A chemical screen for medulloblastoma identifies quercetin as a putative radiosensitizer

Tonny Lagerweij^{1,2,3,*}, Lotte Hiddingh^{1,2,3,*}, Dennis Biesmans^{1,3}, Matheus H.W. Crommentuijn³, Jacqueline Cloos¹, Xiao-Nan Li⁴, Mari Kogiso⁴, Bakhos A. Tannous⁵, W. Peter Vandertop², David P. Noske^{2,3}, Gertjan J.L. Kaspers¹, Tom Würdinger^{2,3,5}, Esther Hulleman^{1,2,3}

¹Department of Pediatric Oncology/Hematology, VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

²Department of Neurosurgery, VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

³Department of Neuro-oncology Research Group, Cancer Center Amsterdam, VU University Medical Center, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

⁴Department of Pediatrics, Texas Children's Cancer Center, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, USA

⁵Department of Neurology, Molecular Neurogenetics Unit, Massachusetts General Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

*These authors have contributed equally to this work

Correspondence to: Esther Hulleman, email: e.hulleman@vumc.nl Keywords: medulloblastoma, quercetin, radiosensitizer, small molecule, screen Received: October 04, 2015 Accepted: February 20, 2016

ABSTRACT

Treatment of medulloblastoma in children fails in approximately 30% of patients, and is often accompanied by severe late sequelae. Therefore, more effective drugs are needed that spare normal tissue and diminish long-term side effects. Since radiotherapy plays a pivotal role in the treatment of medulloblastoma, we set out to identify novel drugs that could potentiate the effect of ionizing radiation.

Thereto, a small molecule library, consisting of 960 chemical compounds, was screened for its ability to sensitize towards irradiation. This small molecule screen identified the flavonoid quercetin as a novel radiosensitizer for the medulloblastoma cell lines DAOY, D283-med, and, to a lesser extent, D458-med at low micromolar concentrations and irradiation doses used in fractionated radiation schemes. Quercetin did not affect the proliferation of neural precursor cells or normal human fibroblasts. Importantly, *in vivo* experiments confirmed the radiosensitizing properties of quercetin. Administration of this flavonoid at the time of irradiation significantly prolonged survival in orthotopically xenografted mice. Together, these findings indicate that quercetin is a potent radiosensitizer for medulloblastoma cells that may be a promising lead for the treatment of medulloblastoma in patients.

INTRODUCTION

Medulloblastoma, the most common malignant brain tumor in children, accounts for approximately 20% of all intracranial childhood tumors [1]. Currently, patients are stratified into different risk groups based on histological features, clinical criteria, biological profile, and age. In general, treatment consists of surgery and craniospinal radiotherapy, followed by an additional irradiation boost aimed at the primary tumor site (clinicaltrials.gov NCT02066220). Children <3-5 years (age depending on the country) are treated with chemotherapy only [2, 3]. Although such treatment has resulted in remarkable improvement in outcome, this therapy still fails in approximately 30% of medulloblastoma patients [4]. Moreover, such therapy causes severe long-term side effects that significantly impact quality of life, especially in younger children [5–11]. Thus, there is a need for alternative therapies that allow to lower the total dose of irradiation or increase the radiation efficacy. Besides new radiotherapy techniques that limit the

Published: March 8, 2016

radiation doses to surrounding healthy tissues [12–14], new chemotherapeutics that specifically sensitize the tumor to irradiation may provide alternative therapies [15, 16].

Here, we screened a commercially available small molecule library consisting of 960 compounds (ActiTarg-K960) to identify radiosensitizers for human medulloblastoma cells. and identified quercetin (3,3',4'5,7-pentahydroxyflavone), a flavonoid found in fruits, vegetables and grains, as a potent radiosensitizer. Quercetin treatment at low micromolar concentrations did not affect cell proliferation when used as monotherapy, while the combination with irradiation significantly decreased medulloblastoma cell growth. Importantly, this sensitizing effect was not found on neural precursor cells, or normal human fibroblasts. In addition, guercetin treatment enhanced the in vitro sensitivity to radiation of medulloblastoma cell lines in clonogenic survival assays. However, the radiosensitizing effect was not observed in two primary medulloblastoma cell cultures. Finally, we observed that quercetin administration to orthotopically xenograft mice around the time of irradiation significantly prolonged survival. A flow chart, illustrating the experimental design, is available as Supplementary Figure S1. Since quercetin sensitizes medulloblastoma cells in our experiments at radiation doses used in fractionated radiation schemes, and the quercetin concentrations used can easily be achieved by oral administration, we suggest that the use of quercetin should be further evaluated in clinical trials in medulloblastoma patients in the near future.

RESULTS

Identification of quercetin as a radiosensitizer for medulloblastoma

In order to enable the identification of novel radiosensitizers for medulloblastoma, a small molecule screen was performed using DAOY medulloblastoma cells that were transduced with a lentiviral Gaussia luciferase (Gluc) vector co-expressing the fluorescent 'Cerulean' (CFP) reporter [17]. Expression of these genes allowed to monitor cell survival by bioluminescent and fluorescent read-out of cell viability. To optimize screening conditions, the well-towell and plate-to-plate variation, number of DAOY cells, and the dose of irradiation were determined. When assayed for Gluc luciferase activity, a variation coefficient (CV) of <7% was observed in four independent experiments (Figure 1A), indicating only minimal variation in pipetting errors, substrate stability and measurement errors. An even better CV of < 2% was observed (Figure 1A) when measured by Acumen technology, where equal numbers of cells were plated and detected by CFP expression. Since both assays allowed to monitor cell viability at different time points after treatment, we optimized our screening conditions - number of cells, dose of irradiation, and drug concentrations - by measuring Gluc secretion or cell numbers in time (Figure 1B-1D). This resulted in a four-day assay, using 750 DAOY cells per well with 4 Gy irradiation. In addition, a drug concentration of 1 µM was chosen, since this showed good results in a pilot experiment using eight different, randomly chosen small molecules (Figure 1D), and yielded positive hits in a drug screen performed previously by our group [18]. To identify putative radiosensitizers, cells were treated with compounds from the ActiTarg-K960 drug library consisting of 960 putative kinase inhibitors, or with 0.1% DMSO as an internal control, either as monotherapy, or in combination with irradiation. A reduction of >75% of cell growth after four days of incubation as compared to the DMSO controls was considered to be significant (Figure 2A). In four separate screens, a total of 23 compounds was identified that consistently inhibited cell growth or sensitized towards irradiation, with 12 compounds inducing cell death independently of irradiation, and 11 compounds functioning as radiosensitizers (Table 1 and Supplementary Figure S2). Cytotoxicity of these 23 compounds was subsequently determined on primary human fibroblasts and on C17.2 neuronal precursor cells (NPCs), to assess the therapeutic window (Table 1). This smaller screen narrowed our list of putative novel compounds for use in medulloblastoma down to five: two radiosensitizing agents and three compounds that have been identified as inducers of cell death in DAOY cells independently of irradiation (Figure 2B). The flavonoid quercetin was among these radiosensitizing compounds. Treatment with quercetin 30 minutes prior to irradiation resulted in a 5-fold reduction in cell growth (~20% cell survival), while treatment with quercetin alone did not significantly affect cell viability compared to cells treated with the solvent DMSO (Figure 2C). Irradiation without addition of guercetin resulted in a 2-fold reduction in cell numbers. As mentioned above, these results were not observed in primary human fibroblasts or neuronal precursor cells (Figure 2C).

Quercetin sensitizes medulloblastoma cells to radiation *in vitro*

Since quercetin has been reported to effectively cross the blood-brain-barrier [19], we hypothesized that this compound could be an attractive agent for the treatment of medulloblastoma. Therefore, we investigated if similar effects could be observed in additional medulloblastoma cell lines. D283-med, D458-med, and DAOY cells were incubated with 1 µM quercetin 30 minutes prior to irradiation, and cell numbers were determined at 4 days after treatment (Figure 3A). A radiosensitizing effect of quercetin was observed in DAOY and D283-med cell lines, while quercetin treatment by itself did not inhibit cell proliferation in any of the tested cell lines. To further confirm the radiosensitizing potential of quercetin, dose-dependent clonogenic survival assays were performed. Although DAOY cells are reported



Figure 1: Determination of screening conditions. A. Reproducibility of Gluc measurements (upper panel) or cell counts as measured by Acumen technology (lower panel). Aliquots of Gluc-containing medium or equal numbers of cells were plated in 96-well plates in quadruplicate, and measured to assess plate-to-plate and well-to-well variation (RLU=relative luciferase units). Data are presented as means \pm SD. The corresponding coefficients of variation (CV) are depicted in the right-hand panels. **B.** Growth curves of DAOY medulloblastoma cells. One hundred, 250, 500, 750, or 1000 cells were plated per well and set at 100%. Relative cell numbers were measured at different time points after plating as indicated in the figure. A plating density of 750 cells/well resulted in exponentially growing cells after 4 days of incubation that could be monitored without much variation. Data are presented as means \pm SD (n=3). **C.** Graphic representation of the irradiation response in DAOY cells. Growth of non-irradiated (NI) cells is set at 100%. For each irradiation dose 8 samples were measured. **D.** concentration curves of DAOY medulloblastoma cells treated for four days with 0, 0.5, 1, or 2 μ M of different drugs. Compounds were chosen randomly from the TimTec library: ST027883 (-**e**-), ST004727 (-**e**-), ST053862 (-**A**-), ST012157 (-**V**-), ST012256 (-**4**-), ST029265 (-**o**-), ST036501 (-**D**-), ST052055 (-**A**-). A drug concentration of 1 μ M was (red dotted line) was used for the final screen.



Figure 2: A small molecule screen identifies quercetin as a radiosensitizer in medulloblastoma cells. A. Example of a scatter plot of Gluc values (left panels) and Acumen read-out (right panels), representing cell survival after treatment of DAOY cells with 1 μ M of the ActiTarg-K960 small molecule library in the presence, or absence of irradiation (4 Gy). The fluorescence in the single wells as measured by the Acumen is represented as relative intensities of the color green, where black corresponds with little cells and green with many cells. When using Gluc as a read-out, cell viability was measured by luciferase activity and corrected for the toxicity of the solvent, 0.1% DMSO (set to 100%). A reduction of >75% of cell growth was considered to be significant, as indicated by a dashed line. Each dot represents a single well; in position F05 a cytotoxic agent is identified, in DAOY medulloblastoma cells but show limited cytotoxicity on primary human fibroblasts and on C17.2 neuronal precursor cells (NPCs). Two radiosensitizing agents and three compounds that induce cell death independently of irradiation have been identified. C. Graphic representation of relative cell survival in DAOY medulloblastoma cells (left panel), primary human fibroblasts (PHF, middle panel), or neural precursor cells (NPC, right panel) after quercetin (QC) treatment and/ or irradiation (IR), as extracted from the small molecule screen. Data are presented as means \pm SD (n=3). ** p<0.005, Mann-Whitney U test.

IUPAC name	structure formula	Molecular weight (Da)	common name	Fibroblasts	Neural Precursor Cells
(4-methoxyphenyl) (2-methylbenzo[h]quinolin-4-yl) amine, chloride	C21H19CIN2O	350,85		OK	OK
6-amino-4-(9-ethylcarbazol-3- yl)-3-methyl-4H-pyrano[3,2-d] pyrazole-5-carbonitrile	C22H19N5O	369,43		OK	OK
3-amino-1-(4-fluorophenyl)-1H- benzo[f]chromene-2-carbonitrile	C20H13FN2O	316,33		OK	OK
5-bromo-4-(4-cyclohexyl-5- phenyl(1,2,4-triazol-3-ylthio))- 2-phenyl-2-hydropyri dazin-3- one	C24H22BrN5OS	508,44		OK	+/
4,5-dichloro-2-[(4-fluorophenyl) methyl]-2-hydropyridazin-3-one	C11H7Cl2FN2O	273,09		+/	+/
3-[((1E)-2-(2-pyridyl)-1- azavinyl)amino]-6-methyl-4H- 1,2,4-triazin-5-one	C10H10N6O	230,23		+/	cell death
3-[((1E)-2-(2-pyridyl)-1- azavinyl)amino]-4H-1,2,4- triazin-5-one	C9H8N6O	216,2		+/	cell death
1-cyclohexylazoline-2,5-dione	C10H13NO2	179,22	N-cyclohexylmalemide	cell death	cell death
4,7-dimethylpyridino[3,2-h] quinoline, oxamethane	C14H14N2O	226,28	neocuproine	cell death	cell death
2,9-dimethylpyridino[3,2-h] quinoline	C14H12N2	208,26	neocuproine	cell death	cell death
5-((2E)-5,5-dichloropenta-2,4- dienoyl)-6-methylpyran-2-one	C11H8Cl2O3	259,09		cell death	cell death
(8-chloro(4H-benzo[e]1,3- thiazolo[5,4-c]thiin-2-yl)) naphthylamine	C20H13CIN2S2	380,92		cell death	cell death
2-(3,4-dihydroxyphenyl)-3,5,7- trihydroxychromen-4-one	C15H10O7	302,24	quercetin	OK	OK
3-[(tert-butyl)amino]-1-(5- methyl-2,3-diphenylindolyl) propan-2-ol	C28H32N2O	412,57		OK	OK
5-(4,6-dimethylpyrimidin-2- ylthio)-4-nitrobenzo[c]1,2,5- thiadiazole	C12H9N5O2S2	319,37		+/	OK
3-(indol-3-ylmethylene) benzo[b]pyran-2,4-dione	C18H11NO3	289,29		+/	cell death

Table 1: Overview of compounds that induce cell death in DAOY medulloblastoma cells, as identified by a small molecule screen

IUPAC name	structure formula	Molecular weight (Da)	common name	Fibroblasts	Neural Precursor Cells
5-[(1E)-2-(4-bromo-3- chlorophenyl)-2-azavinyl]-2- nitrothiophene	C11H6BrClN2O2S	345,6		+/	cell death
5-[(1E)-2-(2,4-dichlorophenyl)- 2-azavinyl]-2-nitrothiophene	C11H6Cl2N2O2S	301,15		+/	cell death
5-[(1E)-2-(4-iodophenyl)-2- azavinyl]-2-nitrothiophene	C11H7IN2O2S	358,16		cell death	cell death
6-(tert-butyl)-2-[3-(tert-butyl)-5- bromo-2-hydroxyphenylthio]-4- bromophenol	C20H24Br2O2S	488,28		cell death	cell death
[(5-nitro-2-thienyl)methylene] methane-1,1-dicarbonitrile	C8H3N3O2S	205,2		cell death	cell death
9-((1E)-2-nitrovinyl)anthracene	C16H11NO2	249,27		cell death	cell death
di2,3,4,5,6-pentafluorophenyl ketone	C13F10O	362,13		cell death	cell death

Chemical compounds that repetitively induced cell death (upper panel) or functioned as radiosensitizers (lower panel) are represented. NPC=neural precursor cells; OK= no cell death as compared to control, $+/- = \le 50\%$ cell death.

to be clonogenic [20, 21], in our hands DAOY cells appeared unfit for these clonogenic experiments, since they did not form clones. However, treatment of D283-med and D458med medulloblastoma cells with 0.5 µM or 1 µM quercetin showed radiosensitization in both cell lines (Figure 3B), even at radiobiologically relevant irradiation doses of 1 Gy or 2 Gy used in fractionated radiation schemes. These results strengthen our hypothesis that quercetin is a putative radiosensitizer for medulloblastoma. Next, we tested the radiosensitizing effect of quercetin on two primary medulloblastoma cell cultures, VU371 and ICb-1299MB [22]. Similar as for the cell lines, cells were treated with 1 µM quercetin 30 minutes prior to irradiation, and viability was determined four days after treatment (Figure 3C). Again, quercetin by itself did not reduce cell viability, however, these cells are highly sensitive to radiation (data not shown). Therefore, cells were irradiated with the lowest technical dose possible, 0.7 Gy, which already significantly impaired viability. We did not observe an enhanced radiation response in combination with quercetin in these cells. Since medulloblastomas have been subclassified into four molecular subgroups [23], we determined to which subgroups the used cell lines belong. Expression of four subgroup classifier genes was assessed by qRT-PCR, which was previously described by Zhao et al. [22] (Supplementary Figure S3A+B). DAOY was identified as a SHH-group like medulloblastoma while D283-med, D458med, and VU371 belonged to the group 3 subtype (Figure 3D and Supplementary Figure S3A+B). ICb-1299MB was previously classified as a group 4 medulloblastoma [22].

Quercetin treatment improves radiation efficacy in a xenograft tumor model

To further evaluate if quercetin can function as a novel agent in the treatment of medulloblastoma, we investigated the effect of this compound in an in vivo setting, using an orthotopic xenograft mouse model. Therefore, luciferaseexpressing D283-med cells were implanted stereotactically into the left cerebellar hemisphere of nude mice. In two independent experiments, 31 out of 36 mice developed primary tumors, as judged by bioluminescent imaging (BLI) three weeks after implantation. These mice were then randomly assigned into four groups, and treated with vehicle (5% DMSO) or quercetin, either alone or in combination with irradiation. Since quercetin has a half-life of only 20 minutes in vivo [24], six consecutive injections with quercetin (100 mg/kg) were given at time points around the time of irradiation (60, 30 and 5 minutes before irradiation plus 30 and 60 minutes after irradiation and 24 hours after irradiation). Quercetin treatment did not induce any neurological symptoms or other adverse events. Tumor growth was monitored twice a week by BLI. In addition, the mice were monitored daily for discomfort and weight loss. Although the BLI signal of the tumors did not differ between the various groups, survival analysis indicated a significant extension of the group that received ionizing radiation in combination with quercetin as compared to the vehicletreated group (p=0.0052), the quercetin group (p<0.0001), or the group that only received radiotherapy (p=0.002; Figure 4A). All animals treated with the combination of quercetin and irradiation survived for more than 24 days after treatment, with a median survival time of 32 days. Animals that did not receive irradiation (either vehicle- or quercetin-treated) had a median survival of only 12-17 days (Figure 4B).

DISCUSSION

Medulloblastoma therapy still fails in approximately 30% of patients and is often accompanied by severe long-term sequelae. Thus, there still is a need for alternative therapies that allow to lower the total dose of irradiation, reducing the long-term side effects, and/ or increase the radiation efficacy. We show here that the flavonoid quercetin can sensitize medulloblastoma cells to irradiation, and that administration of quercetin during radiotherapy significantly improves survival in mice harboring medulloblastoma.

Quercetin was identified as a 'ready-touse' radiosensitizer in a small molecule screen for medulloblastoma cells, using two independent read-out systems. In this screen 23 compounds were repeatedly identified that could inhibit cell growth (n=12), or sensitize towards irradiation (n=11). Strikingly, two of the compounds that induced cell death independently of irradiation had similar structures, as did three of the 11 compounds that functioned as a radiosensitizer (nitrophenes, Supplementary Figure S2). However, most of those drugs also induced cell death in primary human fibroblasts or neuronal progenitor cells, rendering them unfit for the development of novel and tumor-specific therapies. Unlike those compounds, guercetin did not affect the proliferation of neuronal precursor cells or normal human fibroblasts, nor showed any toxicity in the absence of ionizing radiation. This is in concordance with previous studies that report selective activity of quercetin as a sensitizer to chemotherapeutics on cancer cells, but not in normal cells, even though higher quercetin concentrations (ranging from 5-200 μ M) were used in these studies [25–27]. Moreover, quercetin has been shown to enhance radiation-induced cell death in rat hepatoma cells [28, 29]. Importantly, the low micromolar concentrations used in our experiments are in the range of the plasma concentrations



Figure 3: Quercetin sensitizes towards irradiation in a panel of medulloblastoma cells. A. Graphic representation of relative cell survival of DAOY, D283-med, and D458-med cells after 4 days of quercetin treatment in the presence or absence of irradiation (4 Gy). Cell numbers were determined by visual counts, using a Bürker hemacytometer. Vehicle treated cells are set at 100%. Data are presented as means \pm SD (n=3). ** p<0.005, Mann-Whitney U test. **B.** Clonogenic survival of D283-med (left panel) and D458-med (right panel) medulloblastoma cells, 14 days after irradiation (0-3Gy). Cells were treated with 0 μ M (- \bullet -), 0,5 μ M (- \circ -), or 1 μ M (- Ψ -) quercetin 30 minutes prior to irradiation. A representative experiment is shown. **C.** Graphic representation of relative cell survival of VU371 and ICb-1299MB cells after 4 days of quercetin treatment in the presence or absence of irradiation (0.7 Gy). Cell viability was determined by Cell Titer Glo assay. Vehicle treated cells are set at 100%. Data are presented as means \pm SD. **D.** Molecular subgroup classification of DAOY, D283-med, D458-med, VU371, and ICb-1299MB cells. Expression levels of subgroup classifiers WIF1, SFRP1, NPR3, and KCNA were determined by qRT-PCR to determine to which subgroups the used medulloblastoma cells belong.

that can be reached in humans and are considered to be safe [30, 31]. Quercetin is found in a broad range of fruits and vegetables such as apples, onions and tomatoes, and present in plasma at the nanomolar range (<100 nM) through our dietary intake, but micromolar concentrations have been reported after supplementation [32, 33].

The observation that the concentrations needed for therapeutic benefit can be easily achieved by oral administration, and the fact that guercetin is cheap and readily available, renders this flavonoid an interesting option for the treatment of children with medulloblastoma. Another motivation to consider quercetin as a radiosensitizer for this type of brain tumors, is the previously reported observation that quercetin can pass the blood-brain barrier (BBB) [34, 35]. The BBB is a natural boundary between circulating blood and cerebrospinal fluid that protects the brain from toxins and potentially harmful substances (reviewed by Agarwal et al. [36]). Although beneficial under normal circumstances, the presence of this BBB constitutes a major obstacle for drug delivery in the treatment of brain tumors. Importantly, quercetin has not only been shown to pass the BBB in in vitro systems, but has also been shown to accumulate in the brain after oral administration in rats [19]. Moreover, quercetin has been shown to function as a neuroprotective agent, both in vitro and in vivo following ischemia, trauma, or other forms of induced brain damage [19, 37-39]. Of particular interest in the context of medulloblastoma treatment is the observation that administration of quercetin can improve learning and memory deficits in animals that were subjected to brain damaging agents [40-42].

At low concentrations, quercetin is a potent antioxidant that can scavenge free radicals and bind transition metal ions. The neuroprotective effect of quercetin has been suggested to be due to this anti-oxidative property. However, at higher cellular concentrations (40-100 μ M), quercetin exerts pro-oxidative effects [52–54]. At doses above 100 µM alternative mechanisms, such as modulation of signal transduction pathways or effects on gene expression have also been reported [55]. Quercetin is extensively metabolized through glucuronation, sulfation and/or methylation. Metabolites were detected in urine, plasma and liver homogenate of mice fed with quercetin [43]. Formation of different metabolites may explain concentration dependent effectiveness, as O-methylated metabolites are less protective against peroxide-induced damage than quercetin [44] whereas glucurono-sulfo metabolites are four times more potent in inhibition of LDL oxidation [45]. The relative contribution of the different metabolites to the radiosensitization of the medulloblastoma cells is currently not known. To test which are the major metabolites which would reach the tumor *in vivo*, bioavailability in the brain could be studied in preclinical experiments by the use of cerebral microdialysis [46] or tissue collection at several endpoints. To measure the effects in humans, a phase 0 study could be designed to test the concentration of quercetin and its metabolites by microdialysis [47], biopsies or surgically removed tumor tissues. Quercetin can modulate the activity of many kinases and other enzymes (reviewed by Russo et al. [48]), which may explain the diversity of its actions. Besides neuroprotective properties, quercetin has been described to prevent cardiovascular diseases





[49], to function as a chemopreventive agent [50], to have anti-proliferative and growth-suppressing effects [51], to induce senescence and autophagy [52, 53], and to have anti-inflammatory and anti-angiogenic activities [54, 55]. Each property or action appears to depend on the dose and model system used. Of note are the inhibition of the Wnt/β-catenin pathway, which plays an important role in one of the four medulloblastoma subgroups [23], and the inhibition of the Hepatocyte Growth Factor (HGF)-induced cell migration in medulloblastoma by quercetin [56]. Furthermore, quercetin has been reported to promotes cell apoptosis and in human glioma cell lines by the suppression of PI-3-kinase-Akt and ERK signalling pathways [57, 58] and induce radiosensitization in tumor cells other than medulloblastoma by targeting the ATMmediated pathway, which is critical in the DNA damage response [59]. Which pathway or mechanism is involved in the radiosensitization of medulloblastoma cells by quercetin is not exactly known at the moment.

Four distinct molecular subtypes of medulloblastoma have been identified [23]. Subclassification of the cell lines used in this study showed that the SHH-group like and group 3 subtypes were represented, indicating. that the mechanism underlying the improved radiation response caused by quercetin may be subtype-independent. The primary cell cultures used in this study belong to the group 3 and group 4 subtypes. We did not detect a radiosensitizing effect of quercetin in these cells, since these cells are highly radiosensitive in vitro and there is no window in which quercetin can exert its effect. This was also seen in D458med cells, which show high sensitivity to radiation. Since the established medulloblastoma cell lines used in our experiments may be genetically divergent from *de novo* tumors, it It would be of interest to examine the efficacy of quercetin in radioresistant primary medulloblastoma cell cultures that resemble the original tumor. In addition, the response of primary cell cultures in vitro may not necessarily represent the response in the cerebellar environment. Therefore, we are setting up more primary cell lines of the different subtypes and use these to develop orthotopic mouse models to study the effect of quercetin as a radiosensitizer in medulloblastoma, in an environment that is more comparable to that of the original tumor. However, the results presented here indicate that quercetin functions as a potent radiosensitizer in medulloblastoma cells at easily achievable concentrations, providing a promising lead for treatment of children with this type of brain tumor.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture and lentiviral infections

Mouse C17.2 neural precursor cells [60], human primary fibroblasts (American type culture collection; ATCC) and D283-Med, D458-Med, DAOY medulloblastoma cell lines were cultured at 37°C in a 5% CO_2 humidified atmosphere in DMEM plus 10% fetal calf serum, 100IU/ml penicillin and 100µg/ml streptomycin (PAA Laboratories GmbH, Austria). Pools of D283med FM/GC or DAOY FM/GC cells were generated by infection with lentiviral vectors, expressing the reporter gene combinations *Firefly* luciferase/mCherry (FM) or *Gaussia* luciferase/Cerulean (GC), as described previously [17]. Fluorescence microscopy was used to assess the success rate of transductions and cell viability. For intracranial injections, cells were harvested and suspended in PBS at a concentration of 1×10^8 cells/ml. The cells used in this study were not authenticated.

Primary cell culture VU371 was derived from tumor tissue, surgically removed from a patient diagnosed with medulloblastoma at the VU University Medical Center. Informed consent was obtained according to institutionally approved protocols. ICb-1299MB is a patient derived orthotopic xenograft mouse model of group 4 medulloblastoma [61] and the xenograft cells were kindly provided by Dr. Xiao-Nan Li and Dr. Mari Kogiso (Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX, USA). VU371 and ICb-1299MB were cultured at 37°C in a 5% CO₂ humidified atmosphere in NBM (NeuroBasal Medium)(Invitrogen) supplemented with neural stem cell supplement (NSCS), N2, stable glutamine (PAA), epidermal growth factor (EGF), and basic fibroblast growth factor (bFGF)(PeproTech).

Chemicals

The ActiTarg-K960 chemical library, consisting of 960 putative kinase inhibitory compounds, was obtained from TimTec (Newark, Delaware, USA). Ninety-one percent of these compounds conform to four Lipinski criteria and 97% to three Lipinski criteria, suggesting they have desirable pharmacologic properties [62]. Quercetin dihydrate (Calbiochem, USA) was dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO; Sigma-Aldrich, USA), with a final DMSO concentration of 0.1% for *in vitro* experiments or a final DMSO concentration of 5% for *in vivo* experiments.

Chemical library screen

Seven hundred and fifty DAOY cells were plated per well in 96-well plates. The next day, cells in each well were treated with a different compound from the ActiTarg-K960 library at a 1 μ M concentration. Treatment was performed with drugs in paired 96-well plates, where one plate was exposed to 4 Gy in a Gammacell[®] 220 Research Irradiator (MDS Nordion, Canada) 30 minutes after addition of the compounds, and the other plate was a non-irradiated control. Four days later, cell survival was evaluated by measuring Gaussia luciferase (Gluc) activity [18], or by means of the Acumen ^eX3 laser scanning cytometer (TTP LabTech, UK). Results were analyzed using Acumen Explorer software, calculating the percentage survival for each compound tested with the assay. Robustness of the assays was determined by calculating the Z' factor as described by Zhang *et al.* [63], where Z'=0.84 for the Gluc assay and Z'=0.56 for the Acumen screen.

Survival assays

Responsiveness of D283-med, D458-med (1,000 cells/well, or 1×10^4 cells/flask), or DAOY (1,500 cells/ well) medulloblastoma cells to quercetin (1 μ M) was determined in a cell proliferation assay by use of the Acumen eX3 laser scanning cytometer as described above, and confirmed by cell counts using a Bürker hemocytometer. Viability of primary cells, VU371 and ICb-1299MB (2,000 cells/well), after treatment with 1 µM quercetin and 0.7 Gy irradiation was determined with Cell Titer Glo assay (Promega) according to manufacturer's protocol. In addition, a clonogenic assay was performed with different doses of irradiation (0-3 Gy). Therefore, exponentially growing D283-med and D458-med cells were plated in triplicate in 6-well plates at concentrations ranging from 200-2,000 cells/well, and grown for 14 days in MethoCult®H4001 with 0, 0.5, or 1 µM quercetin. Colonies were counted visually and plating efficiency (PE) was calculated by dividing the number of colonies counted by the number of cells plated. Surviving fractions (SF) were then calculated by dividing the PE by the PE of the non-irradiated control per drug concentration. Duplicate experiments were performed for each cell line. Analysis of inhibitory concentrations was performed using SigmaPlot 11.0 (Systat Software, Inc. San Jose, CA, USA).

qRT-PCR

Quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) analysis was performed to determine expression of the medulloblastoma subgroup classifiers WIF1, SFRP1, NPR3, and KCNA in medulloblastoma cell lines and a normal cerebellum sample. Total RNA was isolated using the TRIzol RNA isolation protocol (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) and equal amounts of RNA were converted to cDNA using the Omniscript kit (Qiagen). Primer sequences for WIF1, SFRP1, NPR3, and KCNA transcripts were previously described by Zhao et al. [22] and primers were manufactured by Biolegio (Nijmegen, The Netherlands). Gene expression of the subgroup classifiers in medulloblastoma cells was normalized to GAPDH expression levels and the Ct values were used to calculate the relative fold difference in mRNA levels ($\Delta\Delta$ Ct method) compared to normal cerebellum.

D283-med orthotopic xenograft mouse model

Female athymic nude-Fox1nu mice (age 8-10 weeks; Harlan, Horst, The Netherlands) were maintained in accordance with the guidelines and regulations set out

by the VU University committee on research animal care. For intracranial injections, mice were anesthetized with 2.5% isoflurane in oxygen, and a volume of 5 μ l (0.5 \times 106 D283-med FM-GC cells) was injected stereotactically into the cerebellum at a rate of 2 μ l per minute, using a Hamilton 10 µl syringe with a 26G needle. Coordinates for injection were determined according to the mouse brain atlas [64]: 2.0 mm lateral, 2.5 mm ventral of lambda, and at a 2.0 mm depth. Before start of the treatment (three weeks after injection of the tumor cells) tumor engraftment was determined by measuring *Firefly* luciferase (Fluc) activity. Based on this activity, mice were randomized into four treatment protocols: vehicle (5% DMSO), quercetin, DMSO/irradiation (4 Gy), and quercetin/irradiation (4 Gy). Mice without tumor engraftment (Fluc activity <80,000 photons/sec at three weeks after implantation) were excluded from the experiment. Quercetin (100 mg/kg) was administered intraperitoneally at six time points: 30 and 60 minutes before-, or after irradiation, 0 hours, and 24 hours after irradiation. Mice not receiving quercetin were treated with the same volume of DMSO dissolved in PBS, at the same time intervals as the quercetin treated mice. To enable precise positioning of the radiation beam on the head and neck area of the mice, the animals were anesthetized with ketamin/xylazin. Mice that were not irradiated were anesthetized just before the zero hours' time point. Tumor growth was monitored semi-weekly by bioluminescent imaging (BLI). In short, 150 µl D-luciferin (0.03 g/L, Gold Biotechnoloby, St. Louis, USA) was injected intraperitoneally and 10 minutes after administration mice were anesthetized with isoflurane inhalation anaesthesia, positioned in the IVIS camera and the bioluminescence signal was determined with the IVIS Lumina CCD camera. In addition, the mice were monitored daily for discomfort and weight loss. When moderate to severe symptoms were present (weight loss of >20% or severe neurological deficits), animals were sacrificed and brains were removed and formalinfixed.

Statistical analysis

A coefficient of variation (CV) and Z' factor were calculated to assess the reproducibility and robustness of the small molecule screens, as described by Zhang *et al.* [63], where CV=SD/ μ and Z' = 1-($3\sigma_{c+}+3\sigma_{c-}$)/I μ_{c+} - μ_{c-} I. Statistical significance of treatment was assessed using the Mann-Whitney U test. Kaplan-Meier survival curves were generated with GraphPad Prism 5. Median survival of the groups was calculated and survival curves were compared with the Log-rank (Mantel-Cox) test. The *p* values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS AND FUNDING

We thank Laurine Wedekind for technical assistance and Stichting VUme-CCA for their contribution to acquire the Acumen eX3. This study was supported by Stichting KiKa-Foundation Children Cancer-free (EH, TW).

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors disclose no potential conflicts of interest.

FINANCIAL SUPPORT

This study was supported by Stichting KiKa-Foundation Children Cancer-free (EH, TW).

REFERENCES

- Ostrom QT, Gittleman H, Farah P, Ondracek A, Chen Y, Wolinsky Y, Stroup NE, Kruchko C and Barnholtz-Sloan JS. CBTRUS statistical report: Primary brain and central nervous system tumors diagnosed in the United States in 2006-2010. Neuro-oncology. 2013; 15:1-56.
- Grill J, Sainte-Rose C, Jouvet A, Gentet J-C, Lejars O, Frappaz D, Doz F, Rialland X, Pichon F, Bertozzi A-I, Chastagner P, Couanet D, Habrand J-L, Raquin M-A, Le Deley M-C and Kalifa C. Treatment of medulloblastoma with postoperative chemotherapy alone: an SFOP prospective trial in young children. The Lancet Oncology. 2005; 6:573-580.
- 3. von Bueren AO, von Hoff K, Pietsch T, Gerber NU, Warmuth-Metz M, Deinlein F, Zwiener I, Faldum A, Fleischhack G, Benesch M, Krauss J, Kuehl J, Kortmann RD and Rutkowski S. Treatment of young children with localized medulloblastoma by chemotherapy alone: results of the prospective, multicenter trial HIT 2000 confirming the prognostic impact of histology. Neuro-oncology. 2011; 13:669-679.
- Gajjar A, Packer RJ, Foreman NK, Cohen K, Haas-Kogan D and Merchant TE. Children's Oncology Group's 2013 blueprint for research: central nervous system tumors. Pediatric blood & cancer. 2013; 60:1022-1026.
- 5. Toogood AA. Endocrine consequences of brain irradiation. Growth hormone & IGF research. 2004; 14:S118-124.
- Armstrong GT, Whitton JA, Gajjar A, Kun LE, Chow EJ, Stovall M, Leisenring W, Robison LL and Sklar CA. Abnormal timing of menarche in survivors of central nervous system tumors: A report from the Childhood Cancer Survivor Study. Cancer. 2009; 115:2562-2570.
- Silber JH, Radcliffe J, Peckham V, Perilongo G, Kishnani P, Fridman M, Goldwein JW and Meadows AT. Wholebrain irradiation and decline in intelligence: the influence of dose and age on IQ score. Journal of clinical oncology. 1992; 10:1390-1396.
- 8. Ris MD, Packer R, Goldwein J, Jones-Wallace D and Boyett JM. Intellectual outcome after reduced-dose radiation therapy plus adjuvant chemotherapy for medulloblastoma: a

Children's Cancer Group study. Journal of clinical oncology. 2001; 19:3470-3476.

- Palmer SL, Goloubeva O, Reddick WE, Glass JO, Gajjar A, Kun L, Merchant TE and Mulhern RK. Patterns of intellectual development among survivors of pediatric medulloblastoma: a longitudinal analysis. Journal of clinical oncology. 2001; 19:2302-2308.
- Kieffer-Renaux V, Viguier D, Raquin M-A, Laurent-Vannier A, Habrand J-L, Dellatolas G, Kalifa C, Hartmann O and Grill J. Therapeutic schedules influence the pattern of intellectual decline after irradiation of posterior fossa tumors. Pediatric blood & cancer. 2005; 45:814-819.
- Palmer SL, Reddick WE and Gajjar A. Understanding the cognitive impact on children who are treated for medulloblastoma. Journal of pediatric psychology. 2007; 32:1040-1049.
- Miralbell R, Lomax A and Russo M. Potential role of proton therapy in the treatment of pediatric medulloblastoma/ primitive neuro-ectodermal tumors: spinal theca irradiation. International journal of radiation oncology, biology, physics. 1997; 38:805-811.
- Lee CT, Bilton SD, Famiglietti RM, Riley BA, Mahajan A, Chang EL, Maor MH, Woo SY, Cox JD and Smith AR. Treatment planning with protons for pediatric retinoblastoma, medulloblastoma, and pelvic sarcoma: how do protons compare with other conformal techniques? International journal of radiation oncology, biology, physics. 2005; 63:362-372.
- Fossati P, Ricardi U and Orecchia R. Pediatric medulloblastoma: toxicity of current treatment and potential role of protontherapy. Cancer treatment reviews. 2009; 35:79-96.
- Luttjeboer M and Kaspers GJL. Medulloblastoma: need for targeted treatment. Expert review of anticancer therapy. 2006; 6:649-652.
- Vuurden DGV, Hulleman E, Meijer OLM, Wedekind LE, Kool M, Witt H, Vandertop PWP, Würdinger T, Noske DP, Kaspers GJL and Cloos J. PARP inhibition sensitizes childhood high grade medulloblastoma and ependymoma to radiation. Oncotarget. 2011; 2:984-996. doi: 10.18632/ oncotarget.362.
- Wurdinger T, Badr C, Pike L, de Kleine R, Weissleder R, Breakefield XO and Tannous BA. A secreted luciferase for ex vivo monitoring of in vivo processes. Nature methods. 2008; 5:171-173.
- Badr CE, Wurdinger T and Tannous BA. Functional drug screening assay reveals potential glioma therapeutics. Assay and drug development technologies. 2011; 9:281-289.
- Ishisaka A, Ichikawa S, Sakakibara H, Piskula MK, Nakamura T, Kato Y, Ito M, Miyamoto K-i, Tsuji A, Kawai Y and Terao J. Accumulation of orally administered quercetin in brain tissue and its antioxidative effects in rats. Free radical biology & medicine. 2011; 51:1329-1336.

- 20. von Bueren AO, Oehler C, Shalaby T, von Hoff K, Pruschy M, Seifert B, Gerber NU, Warmuth-Metz M, Stearns D, Eberhart CG, Kortmann RD, Rutkowski S and Grotzer MA. c-MYC expression sensitizes medulloblastoma cells to radio- and chemotherapy and has no impact on response in medulloblastoma patients. BMC cancer. 2011; 11:74-74.
- Najim N, Podmore ID, McGown A and Estlin EJ. Biochemical changes and cytotoxicity associated with methionine depletion in paediatric central nervous system tumour cell lines. Anticancer research. 2009; 29:2971-2976.
- 22. Zhao X, Liu Z, Yu L, Zhang Y, Baxter P, Voicu H, Gurusiddappa S, Luan J, Su JM, Leung H-cE and Li X-N. Global gene expression profiling confirms the molecular fidelity of primary tumor-based orthotopic xenograft mouse models of medulloblastoma. Neuro-oncology. 2012; 14:574-583.
- 23. Taylor MD, Northcott PA, Korshunov A, Remke M, Cho Y-J, Clifford SC, Eberhart CG, Parsons DW, Rutkowski S, Gajjar A, Ellison DW, Lichter P, Gilbertson RJ, Pomeroy SL, Kool M and Pfister SM. Molecular subgroups of medulloblastoma: the current consensus. Acta neuropathologica. 2012; 123(4):465-472.
- 24. Ferrer P, Asensi M, Segarra R, Ortega A, Benlloch M, Obrador E, Varea MT, Asensio G, Jordá L and Estrela JM. Association between pterostilbene and quercetin inhibits metastatic activity of B16 melanoma. Neoplasia (New York, NY). 2005; 7:37-47.
- 25. Aghdassi A, Phillips P, Dudeja V, Dhaulakhandi D, Sharif R, Dawra R, Lerch MM and Saluja A. Heat shock protein 70 increases tumorigenicity and inhibits apoptosis in pancreatic adenocarcinoma. Cancer research. 2007; 67:616-625.
- 26. Aalinkeel R, Bindukumar B, Reynolds JL, Sykes DE, Mahajan SD, Chadha KC and Schwartz SA. The dietary bioflavonoid, quercetin, selectively induces apoptosis of prostate cancer cells by down-regulating the expression of heat shock protein 90. The Prostate. 2008; 68:1773-1789.
- Lugli E, Ferraresi R, Roat E, Troiano L, Pinti M, Nasi M, Nemes E, Bertoncelli L, Gibellini L, Salomoni P, Cooper EL and Cossarizza A. Quercetin inhibits lymphocyte activation and proliferation without inducing apoptosis in peripheral mononuclear cells. Leukemia research. 2009; 33:140-150.
- 28. van Rijn J and van den Berg J. Flavonoids as enhancers of x-ray-induced cell damage in hepatoma cells. Clinical cancer research. 1997; 3:1775-1779.
- Luttjeboer M, Lafleur MVM, Kwidama ZJ, Van Rijn J, Van Den Berg J, Slotman BJ, Kaspers GJL and Cloos J. Strategies for the analysis of in vitro radiation sensitivity and prediction of interaction with potential radiation modifying agents. International journal of radiation biology. 2010; 86:458-466.
- 30. Harwood M, Danielewska-Nikiel B, Borzelleca JF, Flamm GW, Williams GM and Lines TC. A critical review of the data related to the safety of quercetin and

lack of evidence of in vivo toxicity, including lack of genotoxic/carcinogenic properties. Food and chemical toxicology. 2007; 45:2179-2205.

- 31. Ferry DR, Smith A, Malkhandi J, Fyfe DW, DeTakats PG, Anderson D, Baker J and Kerr DJ. Phase I clinical trial of the flavonoid quercetin: pharmacokinetics and evidence for in vivo tyrosine kinase inhibition. Clinical cancer research. 1996; 2:659-668.
- 32. Conquer JA, Maiani G, Azzini E, Raguzzini A and Holub BJ. Supplementation with quercetin markedly increases plasma quercetin concentration without effect on selected risk factors for heart disease in healthy subjects. The Journal of nutrition. 1998; 128:593-597.
- Manach C, Williamson G, Morand C, Scalbert A and Rémésy C. Bioavailability and bioefficacy of polyphenols in humans. I. Review of 97 bioavailability studies. The American journal of clinical nutrition. 2005; 81:2308-2428.
- Faria A, Pestana D, Teixeira D, Azevedo J, De Freitas V, Mateus N and Calhau C. Flavonoid transport across RBE4 cells: A blood-brain barrier model. Cellular & molecular biology letters. 2010; 15:234-241.
- 35. Yang Z-H, Sun X, Mei C, Sun X-B, Liu X-D and Chang Q. An in vitro transport model for rapid screening and predicting the permeability of candidate compounds at blood-brain barrier. Journal of Asian natural products research. 2011; 13:1087-1097.
- 36. Agarwal S, Sane R, Oberoi R, Ohlfest JR and Elmquist WF. Delivery of molecularly targeted therapy to malignant glioma, a disease of the whole brain. Expert reviews in molecular medicine. 2011; 13:e17-e17.
- Schültke E, Kamencic H, Zhao M, Tian G-F, Baker AJ, Griebel RW and Juurlink BHJ. Neuroprotection following fluid percussion brain trauma: a pilot study using quercetin. Journal of neurotrauma. 2005; 22:1475-1484.
- 38. Pu F, Mishima K, Irie K, Motohashi K, Tanaka Y, Orito K, Egawa T, Kitamura Y, Egashira N, Iwasaki K and Fujiwara M. Neuroprotective effects of quercetin and rutin on spatial memory impairment in an 8-arm radial maze task and neuronal death induced by repeated cerebral ischemia in rats. Journal of pharmacological sciences. 2007; 104:329-334.
- Lu J, Wu D-M, Zheng Y-L, Hu B, Zhang Z-F, Shan Q, Zheng Z-H, Liu C-M and Wang Y-J. Quercetin activates AMP-activated protein kinase by reducing PP2C expression protecting old mouse brain against high cholesterolinduced neurotoxicity. The Journal of pathology. 2010; 222:199-212.
- 40. Singh A, Naidu PS and Kulkarni SK. Reversal of aging and chronic ethanol-induced cognitive dysfunction by quercetin a bioflavonoid. Free radical research. 2003; 37:1245-1252.
- 41. Tota S, Awasthi H, Kamat PK, Nath C and Hanif K. Protective effect of quercetin against intracerebral streptozotocin induced reduction in cerebral blood flow and

impairment of memory in mice. Behavioural brain research. 2010; 209:73-79.

- 42. Tongjaroenbuangam W, Ruksee N, Chantiratikul P, Pakdeenarong N, Kongbuntad W and Govitrapong P. Neuroprotective effects of quercetin, rutin and okra (Abelmoschus esculentus Linn.) in dexamethasone-treated mice. Neurochemistry international. 2011; 59:677-685.
- Horton JA, Li F, Chung EJ, Hudak K, White A, Krausz K, Gonzalez F and Citrin D. Quercetin inhibits radiationinduced skin fibrosis. Radiation research. 2013; 180:205-215.
- 44. Spencer JPE, Kuhnle GGC, Williams RJ and Rice-Evans C. Intracellular metabolism and bioactivity of quercetin and its in vivo metabolites. The Biochemical journal. 2003; 372:173-181.
- Morand C, Crespy V, Manach C, Besson C, Demigné C and Rémésy C. Plasma metabolites of quercetin and their antioxidant properties. The American journal of physiology. 1998; 275:R212-219.
- 46. Kervezee L, Hartman R, van den Berg D-J, Shimizu S, Emoto-Yamamoto Y, Meijer JH and de Lange ECM. Diurnal variation in P-glycoprotein-mediated transport and cerebrospinal fluid turnover in the brain. The AAPS journal. 2014; 16:1029-1037.
- 47. Jacus MO, Throm SL, Turner DC, Patel YT, Freeman BB, Morfouace M, Boulos N and Stewart CF. Deriving therapies for children with primary CNS tumors using pharmacokinetic modeling and simulation of cerebral microdialysis data. European journal of pharmaceutical sciences. 2014; 57:41-47.
- Russo M, Spagnuolo C, Tedesco I, Bilotto S and Russo GL. The flavonoid quercetin in disease prevention and therapy: facts and fancies. Biochemical pharmacology. 2012; 83:6-15.
- Perez-Vizcaino F and Duarte J. Flavonols and cardiovascular disease. Molecular aspects of medicine. 2010; 31:478-494.
- 50. Jagtap S, Meganathan K, Wagh V, Winkler J, Hescheler J and Sachinidis A. Chemoprotective mechanism of the natural compounds, epigallocatechin-3-O-gallate, quercetin and curcumin against cancer and cardiovascular diseases. Current medicinal chemistry. 2009; 16:1451-1462.
- Lamson DW and Brignall MS. Antioxidants and cancer, part 3: quercetin. Alternative medicine review. 2000; 5:196-208.
- Zamin LL, Filippi-Chiela EC, Dillenburg-Pilla P, Horn F, Salbego C and Lenz G. Resveratrol and quercetin cooperate to induce senescence-like growth arrest in C6 rat glioma cells. Cancer science. 2009; 100:1655-1662.
- 53. Wang K, Liu R, Li J, Mao J, Lei Y, Wu J, Zeng J, Zhang T, Wu H, Chen L, Huang C and Wei Y. Quercetin induces

protective autophagy in gastric cancer cells: involvement of Akt-mTOR- and hypoxia-induced factor 1α -mediated signaling. Autophagy. 2011; 7:966-978.

- Donnini S, Finetti F, Lusini L, Morbidelli L, Cheynier V, Barron D, Williamson G, Waltenberger J and Ziche M. Divergent effects of quercetin conjugates on angiogenesis. The British journal of nutrition. 2006; 95:1016-1023.
- 55. Chirumbolo S. The role of quercetin, flavonols and flavones in modulating inflammatory cell function. Inflammation & allergy drug targets. 2010; 9:263-285.
- 56. Labbé D, Provençal M, Lamy S, Boivin D, Gingras D and Béliveau R. The flavonols quercetin, kaempferol, and myricetin inhibit hepatocyte growth factor-induced medulloblastoma cell migration. The Journal of nutrition. 2009; 139:646-652.
- 57. Pan H-C, Jiang Q, Yu Y, Mei J-P, Cui Y-K and Zhao W-J. Quercetin promotes cell apoptosis and inhibits the expression of MMP-9 and fibronectin via the AKT and ERK signalling pathways in human glioma cells. Neurochemistry international. 2015; 80:60-71.
- 58. Pozsgai E, Bellyei S, Cseh A, Boronkai A, Racz B, Szabo A, Sumegi B and Hocsak E. Quercetin increases the efficacy of glioblastoma treatment compared to standard chemoradiotherapy by the suppression of PI-3-kinase-Akt pathway. Nutrition and cancer. 2013; 65:1059-1066.
- 59. Lin C, Yu Y, Zhao H-G, Yang A, Yan H and Cui Y. Combination of quercetin with radiotherapy enhances tumor radiosensitivity in vitro and in vivo. Radiotherapy and oncology. 2012; 104:395-400.
- Snyder EY, Deitcher DL, Walsh C, Arnold-Aldea S, Hartwieg EA and Cepko CL. Multipotent neural cell lines can engraft and participate in development of mouse cerebellum. Cell. 1992; 68:33-51.
- Zhao X, Liu Z, Yu L, Zhang Y, Baxter P, Voicu H, Gurusiddappa S, Luan J, Su JM, Leung H-E and Li X-N. Global gene expression profiling confirms the molecular fidelity of primary tumor-based orthotopic xenograft mouse models of medulloblastoma. Neuro-oncology. 2012; 14:574-583.
- 62. Pollastri MP. Overview on the Rule of Five. Current protocols in pharmacology / editorial board, SJ Enna (editor-in-chief) [et al]. 2010; Chapter 9:Unit 9.12-Unit 19.12.
- Zhang JH, Chung TD and Oldenburg KR. A Simple Statistical Parameter for Use in Evaluation and Validation of High Throughput Screening Assays. Journal of biomolecular screening. 1999; 4:67-73.
- 64. Paxinos G and Franklin KBJ. (2001). The mouse brain in stereotaxic coordinates: second edition (Deluxe): Academic Press, New York).