

Intraarticular Injections in the Foot and Ankle: Medication Selection Patterns and Perceived Risk Of Chondrotoxicity

Foot & Ankle Orthopaedics 2023, Vol. 8(4) 1–13 © The Author(s) 2023 DOI: 10.1177/24730114231216990 journals.sagepub.com/home/fao

Emily B. Parker, BS¹, Kalei A. Hering, BS¹, Christopher P. Chiodo, MD¹, Jeremy T. Smith, MD¹, Eric M. Bluman, MD PhD¹, and Elizabeth A. Martin, MD, ScM¹

Abstract

Background: Intraarticular corticosteroid injections (ICIs) are widely used to treat foot and ankle conditions. Although laboratory studies indicate certain corticosteroids and local anesthetics used in ICIs are associated with chondrotoxic effects, and selected agents such as ropivacaine and triamcinolone may have less of these features, clinical evidence is lacking. We aimed to identify the patterns of drug selection, perceptions of injectate chondrotoxicity, and rationale for medication choice among surgeons in the American Orthopaedic Foot & Ankle Society (AOFAS).

Methods: An e-survey including demographics, practice patterns, and rationale was disseminated to 2011 AOFAS members. Frequencies and percentages were calculated for demographic data, anesthetic and steroid choice, rationale for injectate choice, and perception of chondrotoxicity. Bivariate analysis was used to identify practice patterns significantly associated with perceptions of injectate risk and rationale.

Results: In total, 387 surveys were completed. Lidocaine and triamcinolone were the most common anesthetic and corticosteroid used (51.2% and 39.3%, respectively). Less than half of respondents felt corticosteroids or local anesthetics bear risk of chondrotoxicity. Respondents agreeing that corticosteroids are chondrotoxic were more likely to use triamcinolone (P=.037). Respondents agreeing local anesthetics risk chondrotoxicity were less likely to use lidocaine (P=.023). Respondents choosing a local anesthetic based on literature were more likely to use ropivacaine (P<.001).

Conclusion: Corticosteroid and local anesthetic use in ICIs varied greatly. Rationale for ICI formulation was also variable, as the clinical implications are largely unknown. Those who recognized potential chondrotoxicity and who chose based on literature were more likely to choose ropivacaine and triamcinolone, as reflected in the basic science literature. Further clinical studies are needed to establish guidelines that shape foot and ankle ICI practices based on scientific evidence and reduce the variation identified by this study.

Level of Evidence: Level IV, cross-sectional survey study.

Keywords: intraarticular injection, local anesthetic, corticosteroid, practice patterns, AOFAS survey, chondrotoxicity

Introduction

Intraarticular corticosteroid injections (ICIs) are frequently used for the treatment of degenerative foot and ankle conditions.¹² ICIs are typically composed of a corticosteroid combined with a local anesthetic and are used for diagnostic and therapeutic purposes.²⁴ Despite a long history of widespread use among foot and ankle surgeons, there are currently no clinical practice guidelines for ICI use in the foot and ankle, and clinical evidence to inform the most safe and effective intraarticular injection practices is lacking.^{2,11,18,30,43}

¹Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA

Corresponding Author:

Elizabeth A. Martin, MD, ScM, Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Harvard Medical School, Brigham and Women's Hospital, 75 Francis St, Boston, MA 02115, USA. Email: eamartin@bwh.harvard.edu

Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage).

However, several in vivo and in vitro studies investigating the most commonly injected medications have found that certain corticosteroids and local anesthetics are associated with pronounced chondrotoxic effects. 5,6,8,13-15,22,25,37,38,40,41 These studies found the extent of chondrotoxicity to be time- and dose-dependent, and several studies found deleterious effects were exaggerated when local anesthetics and corticosteroids were combined.^{5,15,37,38,40} Despite the substantial body of laboratory research with concerning clinical implications, very few clinical studies have attempted to validate these findings, and the limited evidence is incongruent.^{29,30,35,43} As such, whether the chondrotoxic effects identified by laboratory studies translate to human in vivo joint conditions remains unclear.

This study aimed to describe the current ICI medication selection of orthopaedic surgeons within the American Orthopaedic Foot & Ankle Society. We sought to identify the types and volumes of corticosteroids and local anesthetics most commonly used by the membership, perceptions of injectate chondrotoxicity, and rationale for medication selection. Based on our experience, we hypothesized that medication selection patterns would be variable and largely based on personal experience. These data will be helpful for understanding current drug selection choices and designing future prospective clinical trials to determine best practices for foot and ankle intraarticular injections.

Methods

Institutional review board approval was obtained to begin this study. On receiving approval of the AOFAS research committee, an anonymous REDCap survey was disseminated to 2011 AOFAS active surgeon members via email.¹⁹ Both US and international members were included. After the initial invitation was emailed to the membership, 3 follow-up reminders were sent at 1-week intervals.

The survey queried surgeons about their current practice of and rationale for choosing a corticosteroid and local anesthetic for intraarticular injections, the typical dose/volume they inject into the first metatarsophalangeal (MTP) and ankle joint, as well as their perceptions of risk regarding chondrotoxicity of corticosteroids and local anesthetics. Participants were additionally presented with a list of possible complications resulting from intraarticular injections and asked to select any they had observed during their career.¹ Demographics such as practice setting, foot and ankle fellowship training, and number of years in practice after training were collected. The full survey can be viewed in Appendix. **Table I.** Description of Survey Respondents (N = 387).

Characteristic	n (%)
Practice setting	
Academic	83 (21.4)
Hospital employed	78 (20.2)
Military	7 (1.8)
Private practice	219 (56.6)
Years of experience	
Still in training	0 (0)
0-5у	70 (18.1)
6-10y	72 (18.6)
II-20y	119 (30.7)
>20 y	126 (32.6)
Fellowship trained in F&A	
No	19 (4.9)
Yes	368 (95.1)

Abbreviation: F&A, foot and ankle.

Statistical Analysis

Only fully completed surveys were included in analysis. Frequencies and percentages were calculated for demographic data, anesthetic and steroid choice, volume of local anesthetic injected, primary rationale for injectate choice, perception of chondrotoxicity, and observed complications as a result of intraarticular foot or ankle corticosteroid injections.

Univariate analysis by chi-square test or Fisher exact test was used to identify practice patterns associated with perceptions of injectate risk and rationale. Agreement scale responses regarding perception of injectate chondrotoxicity were collapsed to produce three categories for analysis: "agree," "neither agree nor disagree," and "disagree." Anesthetic volume was categorized as follows: injection of <1 mL, 1 mL, or >1 mL for the first MTP and <2 mL, 2 mL, or >2 mL for the ankle joint. Dependent variables included injectate choice and local anesthetic volume injected. Independent variables included injectate rationale and perception of local anesthetic/steroid chondrotoxicity. *P* values less than .05 were deemed a priori as statistically significant. Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics, version 27.

Results

Of 2011 actively practicing AOFAS members, 387 (19.2%) completed the survey. Most respondents reported working in private practice (56.6%) and had 11 or more years of experience (63.3%). Nearly all respondents completed a foot and ankle fellowship (95.1%) (Table 1).



Figure 1. Respondent perceptions of risk of chondrotoxicity associated with local anesthetics and corticosteroids.

Local Anesthetic Use

Perceived chondrotoxicity of anesthetics. Perceptions of local anesthetic chondrotoxicity varied. Less than half of respondents (42.1%) agreed or strongly agreed that local anesthetics bear risk of chondrotoxicity, 39.3% reported neither agreeing nor disagreeing, and 18.4% disagreed or strongly disagreed local anesthetics may be chondrotoxic (Figure 1).

Local anesthetic choice. Lidocaine was the most commonly used local anesthetic, with 43.7% of respondents typically using lidocaine 1% (Table 2). Bupivacaine was used by 27.7% of respondents, and ropivacaine was used by 4.9% of respondents. All respondents who reported injecting a combination of local anesthetics (14%) reported injecting lidocaine.

Volume of anesthetic injected. Sixteen (4.1%) respondents did not inject the first MTP joint with local anesthetic, and only 1 respondent did not inject the ankle joint with local anesthetic. Respondents most commonly reported injecting 1 mL of anesthetic agent into the first MTP joint (43.2%), with 33.1% injecting less than 1 mL and 19.4% injecting more than 1 mL (Figure 2A). Responses regarding volume of local anesthetic injected into the ankle joint also varied; 30.5% of respondents injected less than 2 mL, 26.6% injected 2 mL, and 42.6% reported injecting more than 2 mL (Figure 2B).

Rationale for anesthetic choice. Respondents reported basing their local anesthetic choice primarily on clinical experience (28.7%), what they learned in training (28.2%), and the anesthetic action (21.4%). Only 7.2% based their choice on literature they reviewed, and 3.6% reported no specific basis for their choice (Figure 3).

Associations between anesthetic use and perceived injectate risk and rationale. Respondents who disagreed that local anesthetics bear risk of chondrotoxicity were less likely to report using bupivacaine (P=.021), and those who agreed that local anesthetics risk chondrotoxic effects were less likely to use lidocaine (P=.019) (Table 2). Those who reported basing their choice primarily on what they learned in training were more likely to use lidocaine (P=.037), whereas those who based their decision on literature they reviewed were more likely to use ropivacaine (P < .001). Respondents who agreed local anesthetics risk chondrotoxicity were more likely to inject less than 1 mL into the first MTP (P=.005) and less likely to inject 1 mL into the first MTP (P < .001), whereas those who were ambivalent about the risk of local anesthetic chondrotoxicity were more likely to inject 1mL (P=.005).

Local Anesthetic Used	Concentration, %	n (%)	Significant Associations	P Value
Bupivacaine (n = $107; 27.7\%$)	0.25	49 (12.7)	Less likely to disagree LAs bear risk of	.021
	0.5	58 (15)	chondrotoxicity	
Lidocaine (n = $198; 51.2$)	I	169 (43.7)	More likely to choose based on what was	.037
	2	29 (7.5)	learned in training	
		()	Less likely to agree LAs bear risk of chondrotoxicity	.019
Ropivacaine (n = $19; 4.9\%$)	0.2	3 (0.8)	More likely to choose based on literature	<.001
	0.5	16 (4.1)	reviewed	
Combination (n = 54; 14%)	N/A	N/A	None	_
Other (n = 9; 2.3%)	N/A	N/A	None	-

Table 2. Local Anesthetic Use and Significant Associations With Rationale and Perception of Risk.

Abbreviation: LA, local anesthetic.



Figure 2. (A) Volume of local anesthetic injected into the first MTP joint. (B) Volume of local anesthetic injected into the ankle joint.



Figure 3. Rationale for injectate selection.

Steroid Used n (%)		Significant Associations	
Betamethasone	80 (20.7)	Less likely to choose based on what was learned in training	.037
		More likely to choose based on what was learned from colleagues after training	.012
		More likely to choose based on the drug action	.034
Dexamethasone	30 (7.8)	None	-
Methylprednisolone	121 (31.3)	None	-
Triamcinolone	152 (39.3)	More likely to agree that corticosteroids bear risk of chondrotoxicity	.035
		Less likely to disagree that corticosteroids bear risk of chondrotoxicity	.008
Other	4(1)	None	-

Table 3. Corticosteroid Use and Significant Associations with Rationale and Perception of Risk.

Corticosteroid Use

Perceived chondrotoxicity of corticosteroids. There was no consensus on potential chondrotoxicity of corticosteroids, with 46% agreeing or strongly agreeing that corticosteroids bear risk of chondrotoxicity, 34.1% neither agreeing nor disagreeing, and 19.9% disagreeing or strongly disagreeing (Figure 1).

Corticosteroid choice. The most used corticosteroid was triamcinolone (39.3%), followed by methylprednisolone (31.3%) and betamethasone (20.7%). Only 7.8% of respondents reported injecting dexamethasone (Table 3).

Dose of corticosteroid injected. Examination of reported steroid dosages revealed data that could not be reliably correlated with steroid choice. For example, several respondents who reported most commonly using betamethasone or dexamethasone also reported typically injecting 20- or 40-mg doses of steroid into the first MTP (dosages equivalent to >100 mg of methylprednisolone or triamcinolone). Because of this limitation, we were unable to transform data using dose and potency equivalents or examine relationships between dose injected and perception of chondrotoxicity and injectate rationale.

Rationale for corticosteroid choice. Rationale for corticosteroid choice was heterogenous, with the greatest proportion of respondents basing their selection on what they learned in training (34.9%). Other frequently reported rationales were "based on clinical experience" (22.0%) and "based on the drug action" (16.0%). Only 6.7% of respondents based their corticosteroid selection on literature they had reviewed (Figure 3).

Associations between corticosteroid use and perceived injectate risk and rationale. Respondents basing corticosteroid selection on what they learned in training were less likely to use betamethasone (P=.048), and those who based their decision on what they learned from colleagues after training or the drug action were more likely to use betamethasone (P=.026 and P=.040, respectively). Those who agreed

Table 4. Complications Observed Resulting From Foot orAnkle Intraarticular Injection.

Complication	n (%)ª
Postinjection flare	272 (70.3)
Temporary increase in blood sugar	230 (59.4)
Skin bleaching	229 (59.2)
Vasovagal reaction	123 (31.8)
Skin reaction	116 (30)
Facial flushing	74 (19.1)
Joint instability or dislocation	44 (11.4)
Deep infection	36 (9.3)
Rapid progression of arthritis	23 (5.9)
None	23 (5.9)
Superficial infection	19 (4.9)
latrogenic injury	14 (3.6)
Other	12 (3.1)
Avascular necrosis	4 (1)

^an represents the number of respondents who reported observing the listed complication during their career.

corticosteroids bear risk of chondrotoxicity were more likely to use triamcinolone (P=.037), and those who disagreed corticosteroids risk chondrotoxicity were less likely to use triamcinolone (P=.009) (Table 2).

Observed complications from intraarticular corticosteroid injections. The most frequently observed complication resulting from a foot or ankle ICI was a postinjection flare (70.3%). The majority of respondents had also observed a temporary increase in blood sugar (59.4%) and skin bleaching (59.2%) during their career. Twenty-three of respondents (5.9%) had never observed a complication because of an ICI in their practice (Table 4).

Discussion

This study identified the current ICI drug selection patterns of active surgeon members of the AOFAS. We found that foot and ankle surgeons use a variety of different medications and base their injectate choice primarily on what they learned in training or clinical experience. Those who agreed local anesthetics or corticosteroids risk chondrotoxicity and those who chose local anesthetics based on literature were more likely to choose medications previously reported to have less chondrotoxic potential.

The present study calls attention to the need for wider education on the available evidence and additional clinical studies exploring the safety and efficacy of the most commonly used medications for ICIs in the foot and ankle. These studies will be necessary for refining ICI drug selection practices, as the variability in selection and rationale identified herein demonstrates a need for more robust clinical evidence to support surgeons in practicing evidencebased medicine.

A substantial body of literature describes the chondrotoxic effects of local anesthetics,^{2,6,7,8,13,18,21-23,25,28,32,33} particularly when combined with corticosteroids.^{5,15,21,27,29,30,37,38,40,41} Several of these studies concluded that ropivacaine is less chondrotoxic than bupivacaine or lidocaine, indicating that ropivacaine may have the greatest margin of safety for clinical use.^{2,21-23,27,29,33,40} We found that 42.1% of surgeons acknowledged the risk of chondrotoxicity with local anesthetics; however, only 4.9% typically used ropivacaine for ICIs. Most respondents (78.9%) injected exclusively lidocaine or bupivacaine, with an additional 14% using lidocaine in combination with another local anesthetic. We hypothesize that although many AOFAS members may be aware of the relative chondrotoxicities of local anesthetics, perhaps few use ropivacaine because of its higher cost as compared to the other anesthetic agents, its longer onset time, lack of robust clinical data, or an inability to choose what anesthetic agent is available. Nevertheless, these results suggest many AOFAS members' anesthetic choice is not swayed by the available literature. Indeed, we found that only 7.2% of respondents chose based on literature they reviewed, whereas more than half of respondents reported basing their anesthetic choice on what they learned in training or their clinical experience. While we await more robust clinical evidence on the optimal ICI medications, surgeons who are able to choose may wish to use the lowest-risk option based on what is known from the basic science literature.

This inconsistency in decision-making rationale surrounding ICI practices has been previously observed. A study examining local anesthetic and corticosteroid use trends for large joint and bursa injections among sports medicine physicians found less than half chose a corticosteroid based on literature review.⁹ In addition, a survey of the American Society for Surgery of the Hand and the American Shoulder and Elbow Surgeons found only 9% of respondents selected injectates based on scientific reference.²⁶ Like the present study, both authors cited training as a main rationale.

Given the variation in rationale, it is unsurprising that there is no consensus in injection practices among AOFAS members or within and across other orthopaedic subspecialties.^{3,13,26,39} Kegel et al²⁶ found a 365-fold difference in steroid dose used in trigger finger injections alone, and Blankstein et al³ found widespread variation in ICI practices in the setting of knee osteoarthritis nonoperative management. Skedros et al³⁹ compared ICI use for shoulder pain across surgical and nonsurgical specialties, whereas the study found no significant difference between dose equivalents of corticosteroids used across specialties (orthopaedic surgeons, primary care sports medicine physicians, physical medicine and rehabilitation physicians), there was significant variation in the volumes of local anesthetic used.

We found that AOFAS members with concern regarding the potentially chondrotoxic effects of local anesthetics tended to introduce a lesser amount of anesthetic agent into the joint. Although responses varied widely, we found surgeons most commonly injected 1 and 2mL of local anesthetic into the first MTP joint and ankle joint, respectively. Volume injected into the first MTP ranged from 0.25 up to 5 mL (1 respondent), and volume injected into the ankle joint ranged from 0.3 to 10 mL. No studies to date have explored the effect of local anesthetic volume on clinical outcomes, and as such, it remains unclear whether the timeand dose-dependent chondrotoxic effects demonstrated in the laboratory have implications for optimizing injectate volume. Of note, a series of in vivo and ex vivo experiments using human cartilage suggest local anesthetic volume may have little to no clinical impact; synovial local anesthetic concentrations 10-15 minutes after lidocaine injection into the knee were more than 40-fold lower than the injected concentration, indicating mechanisms that reduce intraarticular local anesthetic concentrations act so quickly that concerns regarding prolonged exposure with larger volumes may be unfounded.35

In vitro and animal in vivo studies have found corticosteroids can similarly damage chondrocytes.14,38,40,41,44 However, basic science studies have also shown that triamcinolone, and in some cases methylprednisolone, may provide protection for chondrocytes when administered in low doses.^{16,44} Clinical studies comparing the most commonly used corticosteroids are limited and have been performed almost exclusively in the knee, hip, and shoulder joints.^{10,11,20} According to some reports, triamcinolone may be the safest and most efficacious^{2,20}; however, other studies have been unable to identify differences in the radiographically measured joint space or patient-reported pain levels when comparing triamcinolone to saline³⁶ or methylprednisolone.²⁹ One study comparing triamcinolone and betamethasone for knee osteoarthritis found that triamcinolone was more effective at reducing pain and local tenderness than betamethasone, although unvalidated outcomes were used and assessed only 1 week after injection.42 The efficacies of triamcinolone, methylprednisolone, betamethasone, and dexamethasone have yet to be compared in the treatment of foot and ankle pathologies. Our study found that triamcinolone was the most frequently used corticosteroid (39.3%) among the AOFAS followed by methylprednisolone (31.3%) and betamethasone (20.7%). More than one-third chose a corticosteroid based on what they learned in training, and those who agreed corticosteroids are chondrotoxic were more likely to use triamcinolone than any other corticosteroid.

Regarding corticosteroid dosing for ICIs, one recent review concluded a 20-mg dose of triamcinolone appears to provide noninferior relief to a 40-mg dose in small and intermediate-sized joints.¹¹ We found that 38.2% of those who reported injecting the ankle joint with corticosteroid reported injecting 40 mg or more of triamcinolone or methylprednisolone, indicating a substantial proportion of respondents may be injecting a higher dose of corticosteroid than may be necessary to achieve the desired clinical result. However, it is important to note that the evidence indicating a 20-mg dose may be as effective as a 40-mg dose for small or intermediate joints is limited, including just 2 randomized controlled trials that were performed in the distal radioulnar joint and the radiocarpal joint.^{4,31} Further research is necessary to identify the ideal corticosteroid dose to maximize clinical benefit while minimizing risks.

Postinjection flares have also been reported to be common by other authors.^{1,21} Importantly, these are not to be confused with infection. Although the etiology for such flares is not yet fully understood, proposed mechanisms include a rapid intracellular ingestion of the microcrystalline corticosteroid ester or a reaction to the corticosteroid crystals themselves.²¹ Accordingly, our group frequently recommends 1-2 days of oral NSAIDs following the injection. Prior studies have not identified any associations between corticosteroid type and rate of postinjection flare.^{17,34}

This study has limitations including selection and nonresponse bias, inherent to electronic questionnaires and all studies with incomplete response rates. We acknowledge that surgeons choosing to respond to a survey regarding injections may have strong views. Although we had a low response rate (19.2%), this is comparable to previous membership survey studies.^{3,9,24,26} Second, only AOFAS surgeon members were queried, which may not be generalizable to all providers who perform foot and ankle ICIs in clinical practice. Third, data regarding injection frequency or patient selection was not obtained. However, prior studies have explored injection frequency,^{3,24} and in our experience, surgeons largely do not adapt their drug choice based on patient demographics or disease severity. Lastly, steroid dosage data were not consistent enough for rigorous analysis, which prevented us from exploring potential relationships between dose injected and perception of chondrotoxicity

and injectate rationale. Despite these limitations, we feel the results of our survey provide a useful description of the current ICI practice patterns of actively practicing AOFAS members and can be helpful for designing prospective clinical trials to determine best practices for foot and ankle intraarticular injections.

Conclusion

In summary, our survey identified the current practice patterns for ICIs within the AOFAS. Corticosteroid and local anesthetic use for ICIs varied greatly among the membership. Respondents who recognized potential chondrotoxicity and chose based on literature were more likely to choose ropivacaine and triamcinolone, reflecting the available basic science literature. This study adds to a small body of work highlighting a common theme: rationale for ICI formulation is variable as the clinical implications are largely unknown. Although the chondrotoxicity and long-term safety of ICIs remains controversial, choosing medication based on the available evidence may help to reduce potential risks associated with this common treatment modality. This study further underscores the need for additional studies to determine clinical implications and to shape ICI practices based on scientific evidence.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the American Orthopaedic Foot & Ankle Society for allowing the dissemination of this survey to its members. The authors would also like to thank the AOFAS members who took the time to participate in this study.

Ethical Approval

Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the Mass General Brigham Institutional Review Board (IRB protocol number: 2021P002829).

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article. ICMJE forms for all authors are available online.

Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

ORCID iDs

Emily B. Parker, BS, D https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9274-1073 Jeremy T. Smith, MD, D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1555-9494

Eric M. Bluman, MD, PhD, (D) https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3848-1677

References

- Anderson SE, Lubberts B, Strong AD, Guss D, Johnson AH, DiGiovanni CW. Adverse events and their risk factors following intra-articular corticosteroid injections of the ankle or subtalar joint. *Foot Ankle Int.* 2019;40(6):622-628.
- Bedi A, Trinh TQ, Olszewski AM, Maerz T, Ramme AJ. Nonbiologic injections in sports medicine. *JBJS Rev.* 2020;8(2):e0052. doi:10.2106/JBJS.RVW.19.00052
- Blankstein M, Lentine B, Nelms NJ. Common practices in intra-articular corticosteroid injection for the treatment of knee osteoarthritis: a survey of the American Association of Hip and Knee Surgeons Membership. J Arthroplasty. 2021;36(3):845-850. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2020.09.022
- Boesen M, Boesen L, Jensen KE, et al. Clinical outcome and imaging changes after intraarticular (IA) application of etanercept or methylprednisolone in rheumatoid arthritis: magnetic resonance imaging and ultrasound-Doppler show no effect of IA injections in the wrist after 4 weeks. *J Rheumatol*. 2008;35(4):584-591.
- Braun HJ, Wilcox-Fogel N, Kim HJ, Pouliot MA, Harris AH, Dragoo JL. The effect of local anesthetic and corticosteroid combinations on chondrocyte viability. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* 2012;20(9):1689-1695. doi:10.1007/ s00167-011-1728-1
- Breu A, Rosenmeier K, Kujat R, Angele P, Zink W. The cytotoxicity of bupivacaine, ropivacaine, and mepivacaine on human chondrocytes and cartilage. *Anesth Analg.* 2013;117(2):514-522. doi:10.1213/ANE.0b013e31829481ed
- Busse P, Vater C, Stiehler M, et al. Cytotoxicity of drugs injected into joints in orthopaedics. *Bone Joint Res.* 2019;8(2):41-48. doi:10.1302/2046-3758.82.BJR-2018-0099.R1
- Chu CR, Izzo NJ, Coyle CH, Papas NE, Logar A. The in vitro effects of bupivacaine on articular chondrocytes. J Bone Joint Surg Br. 2008;90(6):814-820. doi:10.1302/0301-620X.90B6.20079
- Cushman D, Teramoto M, Asay A, Clements ND, McCormick ZL. Corticosteroid and local anesthetic use trends for large joint and bursa injections: results of a survey of sports medicine physicians. *PM R*. 2021;13(9):962-968.
- Cushman DM, Bruno B, Christiansen J, Schultz A, McCormick ZL. Efficacy of injected corticosteroid type, dose, and volume for pain in large joints: a narrative review. *PM R*. 2018;10(7):748-757. doi:10.1016/j.pmrj.2018.01.002
- Cushman DM, Ofek E, Syed RH, et al. Comparison of varying corticosteroid type, dose, and volume for the treatment of pain in small- and intermediate-size joint injections: a narrative review. *PM R*. 2019;11(7):758-770. doi:10.1016/j. pmrj.2018.09.040
- de Cesar Netto C, da Fonseca LF, Simeone Nascimento F, et al. Diagnostic and therapeutic injections of the foot and ankle—An overview. *Foot Ankle Surg.* 2018;24(2):99-106. doi:10.1016/j.fas.2017.02.001
- Dragoo JL, Braun HJ, Kim HJ, Phan HD, Golish SR. The in vitro chondrotoxicity of single-dose local anesthetics. *Am J Sports Med.* 2012;40(4):794-799. doi:10.1177/0363546511434571
- Dragoo JL, Danial CM, Braun HJ, Pouliot MA, Kim HJ. The chondrotoxicity of single-dose corticosteroids. *Knee* Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc. 2012;20(9):1809-1814. doi:10.1007/s00167-011-1820-6

- Farkas B, Kvell K, Czömpöly T, Illés T, Bárdos T. Increased chondrocyte death after steroid and local anesthetic combination. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2010;468(11):3112-3120. doi:10.1007/s11999-010-1443-0
- Frank E, Hung HH, Krishnan Y, Senter B, Bodick N, Grodzinsky A. Dose-dependent chondroprotective effects of triamcinolone acetonide on inflamed and injured cartilage using an in vitro model. *Osteoarthritis Cartilage*. 2019;27:S176. doi:10.1016/j.joca.2019.02.265
- Goldfarb CA, Gelberman RH, McKeon K, Chia B, Boyer MI. Extra-articular steroid injection: early patient response and the incidence of flare reaction. *J Hand Surg Am.* 2007;32(10):1513-1520. doi:10.1016/j.jhsa.2007.08.002
- Gulihar A, Robati S, Twaij H, Salih A, Taylor GJS. Articular cartilage and local anaesthetic: a systematic review of the current literature. *J Orthop*. 2015;12:S200-S210. doi:10.1016/j. jor.2015.10.005
- Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)—A metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. *J Biomed Inform*. 2009;42(2):377-381. doi:10.1016/j.jbi.2008.08.010
- Hepper CT, Halvorson JJ, Duncan ST, Gregory AJM, Dunn WR, Spindler KP. The efficacy and duration of intra-articular corticosteroid injection for knee osteoarthritis: a systematic review of level I studies. J Am Acad Orthop Surg. 2009;17(10):638-646. doi:10.5435/00124635-200910000-00006
- Honcharuk E, Monica J. Complications associated with intraarticular and extra-articular corticosteroid injections. *JBJS Rev.* 2016;4(12):e2. doi:10.2106/JBJS.RVW.16.00004
- Jacob B, Zippelius T, Kloss N, et al. Local anesthetics' toxicity toward human cultured chondrocytes: a comparative study between lidocaine, bupivacaine, and ropivacaine. *Cartilage*. 2019;10(3):364-369.
- 23. Jayaram P, Kennedy DJ, Yeh P, Dragoo J. Chondrotoxic effects of local anesthetics on human knee articular cartilage: a systematic review. *PM R*. 2019;11(4):379-400.
- Johnson JE, Klein SE, Putnam RM. Corticosteroid injections in the treatment of foot & ankle disorders: an AOFAS survey. *Foot Ankle Int.* 2011;32(4):394-399. doi:10.3113/ FAI.2011.0394
- Karpie JC, Chu CR. Lidocaine exhibits dose- and timedependent cytotoxic effects on bovine articular chondrocytes in vitro. *Am J Sports Med.* 2007;35(10):1622-1627. doi:10.1177/0363546507304719
- Kegel G, Marshall A, Barron OA, Catalano LW, Glickel SZ, Kuhn M. Steroid injections in the upper extremity: experienced clinical opinion versus evidence-based practices. *Orthopedics*. 2013;36(9):e1141-e1148. doi:10.3928/01477447-20130821-15
- Kreuz PC, Steinwachs M, Angele P. Single-dose local anesthetics exhibit a type-, dose-, and time-dependent chondrotoxic effect on chondrocytes and cartilage: a systematic review of the current literature. *Knee Surg Sports Traumatol Arthrosc.* 2018;26(3):819-830. doi:10.1007/s00167-017-4470-5
- Lo IKY, Sciore P, Chung M, et al. Local anesthetics induce chondrocyte death in bovine articular cartilage disks in a dose- and duration-dependent manner. *Arthroscopy*. 2009;25(7):707-715. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2009.03.019

- Martin CL, Browne JA. Intra-articular corticosteroid injections for symptomatic knee osteoarthritis: what the orthopaedic provider needs to know. J Am Acad Orthop Surg. 2019;27(17):e758-e766. doi:10.5435/JAAOS-D-18-00106
- Martin SD, Conaway WK, Lei P. Use of intra-articular corticosteroids in orthopaedics. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2018;100(10):885-891. doi:10.2106/JBJS.17.00289
- Pereira DF, Natour J, Machado NP, Furtado RNV. Effectiveness of intra-articular injection in wrist joints according to triamcinolone hexacetonide dose in rheumatoid arthritis: a randomized controlled double-blind study. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil*. 2015;94(2):131-138. doi:10.1097/ PHM.000000000000174
- Piper SL, Kim HT. Comparison of ropivacaine and bupivacaine toxicity in human articular chondrocytes. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2008;90(5):986-991. doi:10.2106/JBJS.G.01033
- Piper SL, Kramer JD, Kim HT, Feeley BT. Effects of local anesthetics on articular cartilage. *Am J Sports Med.* 2011;39(10):2245-2253. doi:10.1177/0363546511402780
- Price R, Sinclair H, Heinrich I, Gibson T. Local injection treatment of tennis elbow—hydrocortisone, triamcinolone and lignocaine compared. *Br J Rheumatol*. 1991;30(1):39-44. doi:10.1093/rheumatology/30.1.39
- Ravnihar K, Marš T, Pirkmajer S, et al. The influence of a single intra-articular lidocaine injection on the viability of articular cartilage in the knee. *Cartilage*. 2021;13(suppl 1):456S-463S.
- 36. Raynauld JP, Buckland-Wright C, Ward R, et al. Safety and efficacy of long-term intraarticular steroid injections in osteoarthritis of the knee: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2003;48(2):370-377. doi:10.1002/art.10777

- Seshadri V, Coyle CH, Chu CR. Lidocaine potentiates the chondrotoxicity of methylprednisolone. *Arthroscopy*. 2009;25(4):337-347. doi:10.1016/j.arthro.2009.01.003
- Sherman SL, Khazai RS, James CH, Stoker AM, Flood DL, Cook JL. In vitro toxicity of local anesthetics and corticosteroids on chondrocyte and synoviocyte viability and metabolism. *Cartilage*. 2015;6(4):233-240. doi:10.1177/1947603515594453
- Skedros JG, Hunt KJ, Pitts TC. Variations in corticosteroid/ anesthetic injections for painful shoulder conditions: comparisons among orthopaedic surgeons, rheumatologists, and physical medicine and primary-care physicians. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2007;8(1):63. doi:10.1186/1471-2474-8-63
- 40. Sola M, Dahners L, Weinhold P, Svetkey van der Horst A, Kallianos S, Flood D. The viability of chondrocytes after an in vivo injection of local anaesthetic and/or corticosteroid: a laboratory study using a rat model. *Bone Joint J.* 2015;97-B(7):933-938. doi:10.1302/0301-620X.97B7.35398
- Syed HM, Green L, Bianski B, Jobe CM, Wongworawat MD. Bupivacaine and triamcinolone may be toxic to human chondrocytes: a pilot study. *Clin Orthop Relat Res.* 2011;469(10):2941-2947. doi:10.1007/s11999-011-1834-x
- 42. Valtonen EJ. Clinical comparison of triamcinolonehexacetonide and betamethasone in the treatment of osteoarthrosis of the knee-joint. *Scand J Rheumatol Suppl*. 1981;41:1-7.
- 43. Vannabouathong C, Del Fabbro G, Sales B, et al. Intra-articular injections in the treatment of symptoms from ankle arthritis: a systematic review. *Foot Ankle Int.* 2018;39(10):1141-1150. doi:10.1177/1071100718779375
- Wernecke C, Braun HJ, Dragoo JL. The effect of intraarticular corticosteroids on articular cartilage: a systematic review. Orthop J Sports Med. 2015;3(5):2325967115581163. doi:10.1177/2325967115581163

Appendix

#	Variable / Field Name	Field Label Field Note	Field Attributes (Field Type, Validation, Choices, Calculations, etc.)			
Instrument: Local Anesthetic for Intraarticular Foot and Ankle Injections (local_anesthetic_for_intraarticular_foot_and_ankle)						
1	[record_id]	Record ID	text			
2	[setting]	What is your primary practice setting?	radio, Required 1 Academic 2 Hospital Employed 3 Military 4 Private Practice			
3	[fa]	Are you fellowship trained in Foot and Ankle?	yesno, Required			
4	[years]	How many years have you been in practice, after training?	radio, Required1Still in training20 - 5 years36 - 10 years411 - 20 years5More than 20 years			
5	[anesthetic]	Section Header: Which local anesthetic do you most commonly use for INTRAARTICULAR injections? (This does not include the local anesthetic for numbing the skin, if applicable.)	radio, Required1Bupivacaine 0.25%2Bupivacaine 0.5%3Lidocaine 1%4Lidocaine 2%5Ropivacaine 0.2%6Ropivacaine 0.5%7Combination (please specify below)8Other (please specify below)			
6	[specify1] Show the field ONLY if: [anesthetic]=8	Please specify which local anesthetic you most commonly use.	text			
7	[combination] Show the field ONLY if: [anesthetic]=7	Please specify the combination of local anesthetics which you most commonly use for intraarticular injections. You may check multiple boxes.	checkbox, Required 1 combination1 Bupivacaine 0.25% 2 combination2 Bupivacaine 0.5% 3 combination3 Lidocaine 1% 4 combination4 Lidocaine 2% 5 combination5 Ropivacaine 0.2% 6 combination6 Ropivacaine 0.5%			
8	[small]	What volume (mL) of local anesthetic do you typically inject into the first MTP joint?	text (number, Min: 0, Max: 5), Required			
9	[largeanesthetic]	What volume (mL) of local anesthetic do you typically inject into the ankle joint?	text (number, Min: 0, Max: 10), Required			

(continued)

Appendix. (continued)

10	[f1]	Please select your main reason for choosing a local anesthetic for an intraarticular iniection.	radio, Required
			a with the second in training
		You will be able to select up to three reasons.	2 What I learned from colleagues after training
			3 Based on the anesthetic action
			4 Based on guidelines
			5 Based on literature I have reviewed
			6 Based on clinical experience
			7 Based on what is available (e.g. hospital formulary)
			8 No specific basis
11	[f2]	Please select your secondary reason for choosing a local	radio, Required
	Show the field ONLY if:	anesthetic for intraarticular injections.	1 What I learned in training
	[f1] = '1' or [f1] = '2' or [f1] =	If not applicable, select N/A	2 What I learned from colleagues after training
	'3' or [f1] = '4' or [f1] = '5' or [f		3 Based on the anesthetic action
			4 Based on guidelines
			5 Based on literature L have reviewed
			6 Based on clinical experience
			7 Based on what is available (e.g. hospital
			formulary)
			8 N/A
12	[f3]	Please select your third reason for choosing a local anesthetic	radio, Required
	Show the field ONLY if:	for intraarticular injections.	1 What I learned in training
	[f2] = '1' or [f2] = '2' or [f2] =	If not applicable, select N/A.	2 What I learned from colleagues after training
	'3' or [f2] = '4' or [f2] = '5' or [f 2] = '6' or [f2] = '7'		3 Based on the anesthetic action
			4 Based on guidelines
			5 Based on literature I have reviewed
			6 Based on clinical experience
			7 Based on what is available (e.g. hospital
			formulary)
			8 N/A
13	[toxicity]	Section Header:	radio
		Local anesthetic bears risk of chondrotoxicity.	1 Strongly disagree
			2 Disagree
			3 Neither agree nor disagree
			4 Agree
			5 Strongly agree
14	[steroid_2]	Section Header:	radio, Required
		Which steroid do you most commonly use for	1 Betamethasone (Celestone)
		INTRAARTICULAR injections?	2 Dexamethasone
			3 Methylprednisolone (Depomedrol)
			4 Triamcinolone (Kenalog)
			5 Other (please specify below)
15	[specify2]	Please specify which steroid you most commonly use.	text
	Show the field ONLY if		
	[steroid_2]=5		
16	[smallsteroid]	What dose (mg) of steroid do you typically inject into the first MTP joint?	text (number, Min: 0, Max: 100), Required
17	[largesteroid]	What dose (mg) of steroid do you typically inject into the ankle joint?	text (number, Min: 0, Max: 100), Required

(continued)

Appendix. (continued)

18	[= 4]	Please select your main reason for choosing a steroid for an	radio Required		
10	[+4]	intraarticular injection.	1 What I learned in training		
			2 What Learned from colleagues after training		
		You will be able to select up to three reasons.	3 Based on the drug action		
			4 Based on guidelines		
			5 Based on literature L bave reviewed		
			6 Based on clinical experience		
			7 Based on what is available (e.g. bosnital		
			formulary)		
			8 No specific basis		
19	[f5]	Please select your secondary reason for choosing a steroid for	radio, Required		
	Show the field ONLY if:	intraarticular injections.	1 What I learned in training		
	[f4] = '1' or [f4] = '2' or [f4] = '3' or [f4] = '4' or [f4] = '5' or [f	If not applicable, select N/A.	2 What I learned from colleagues after training		
	4] = '6' or [f4] = '7'		3 Based on the drug action		
			4 Based on guidelines		
			5 Based on literature I have reviewed		
			6 Based on clinical experience		
			7 Based on what is available (e.g. hospital formulary)		
			8 N/A		
20	[f6]	Please select your third reason for choosing a steroid for	radio. Required		
20	Show the field ONLY if	intraarticular injections.	1 What I learned in training		
	[f5] = '1' or [f5] = '2' or [f5] =	If not applicable, select N/A.	2 What I learned from colleagues after training		
	'3' or [f5] = '4' or [f5] = '5' or [f 5] = '6' or [f5] = '7'		3 Based on the drug action		
			4 Based on guidelines		
			5 Based on literature l have reviewed		
			6 Based on clinical experience		
			7 Based on what is available (e.g. hospital		
21	[steroidrisk]	Section Header:	radio		
		Controsteroid bears risk of chondrotoxicity.	Strongly disagree		
			2 Disagree		
			3 Neither agree nor disagree		
1			4 Agree		

(continued)

Appendix. (continued)

22	[complication]	Section Header:	che	ckbox, Required	
		In your career, have you observed any of the following	1	complication1	Postinjection flare
		complications as a result of an intraarticular foot or ankle corticosteroid injection?	2	complication2	Vasovagal reaction
			3	complication3	Facial flushing
		Please select all that apply or select "None" if you have never observed an intraarticular injection related complication.	4	complication4	Skin reaction
			5	complication5	Temporary increase in blood sugar
			6	complication <u>6</u>	Superficial infection
			7	complication7	Deep infection
			8	complication <u>8</u>	Avascular necrosis
			9	complication9	Joint instability or dislocation
			10	complication10	Skin bleaching
			11	complication11	Rapid progression of arthritis
			12	complication12	latrogenic injury
			13	complication13	Other
			14	complication14	None
23	[local_anesthetic_for_intra	Section Header: Form Status	dro	odown	
	articular_foot_and_ankle_co	Complete?	0	Incomplete	
	mpacee]		1	Unverified	
			2	Complete	