- Williams JM, Greenslade JH, McKenzie JV, Chu K, Brown AFT, Lipman J. Systemic inflammatory response syndrome, quick sequential organ function assessment, and organ dysfunction: insights from a prospective database of ED patients with infection. *Chest* 2017;151:586–596.
- Ranzani OT, Prina E, Menéndez R, Ceccato A, Cilloniz C, Méndez R, et al. New sepsis definition (Sepsis-3) and community-acquired pneumonia mortality: a validation and clinical decision-making study. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2017;196:1287–1297.
- Akinosoglou K, Theodoraki S, Gkavogianni T, Pistiki A, Giamarellos-Bourboulis E, Gogos CA. How well does qSOFA correspond to underlying systemic inflammatory response? *Cytokine* 2018;110:288–290.
- Akinosoglou K, Theodoraki S, Xanthopoulou I, Perperis A, Gkavogianni T, Pistiki A, *et al*. Platelet reactivity in sepsis syndrome: results from the PRESS study. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2017;36:2503–2512.

Copyright © 2020 by the American Thoracic Society

Check for updates

Beply to Topeli et al. and to Akinosoglou et al.

From the Authors:

We would like to thank Topeli and colleagues and Akinosoglou and colleagues for their interest in our manuscript (1).

We carefully read the discussion by Topeli and colleagues on our data and their own results regarding quick sepsis-related organ dysfunction (qSOFA) and other scores for sepsis' mortality prediction in Turkey. We congratulate the authors for their initiative, as we believe it is very important to have data from lowand middle-income countries (LMICs). These countries represent more than 80% of world population, and the data from these settings on sepsis epidemiology and mortality are scarce (2).

There are important similarities between the authors' results and ours. They found that qSOFA score has the worst sensitivity to predict mortality in septic patients, which adds to previous LMICs' studies showing that qSOFA has low sensitivity to predict sepsis mortality in this population (3, 4). However, there are also major differences comparing both results. Their study is a single-center retrospective cohort with a limited number of patients, as can be suggested by the large confidence intervals of the data. Additionally, they collected qSOFA variables from patients at 48 hours before ICU admission, whereas we collected qSOFA data considering only the worst values prior to the suspicion of infection or sepsis, which may have contributed to more accurate findings in our study. The time window is crucial in assessing the sensitivity of a screening tool, as it is expected that if the interval of data collection is increased, more patients that deteriorate and eventually die will have a qSOFA \geq 2. It would also be important to evaluate in Topeli's data whether the use of a single qSOFA variable would increase the sensitivity of the score, as we demonstrated in our study. This modified score could be suggested as an alternative to improve its accuracy in determining mortality in LMICs.

Akinosoglou and colleagues assessed the role of qSOFA according to site of infection in a cohort of 614 septic patients from their institution. They identified that qSOFA accuracy to predict survival is dependent on the focus of infection. Because mortality rates are variable with the site of sepsis, and qSOFA variables may also be affected by the disease itself, their data are very reasonable. It would be interesting to assess data from other series to confirm if qSOFA can have adequate performance in all sepsis sites, or if we should modify the score according to the probable site of infection.

Author disclosures are available with the text of this letter at www.atsjournals.org.

Luciano C. P. Azevedo, Ph.D.* Alexandre B. Cavalcanti, Ph.D. Flavia R. Machado, Ph.D. Instituto Latino-Americano de Sepsis São Paulo. Brazil

*Corresponding author (e-mail: lucianoazevedo@uol.com.br).

References

- Machado FR, Cavalcanti AB, Monteiro MB, Sousa JL, Bossa A, Bafi AT, et al.; Instituto Latino-Americano de Sepsis network investigators. Predictive accuracy of the quick sepsis-related organ failure assessment score in Brazil: a prospective multicenter study. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2020;201:789–798.
- Machado FR, Azevedo LCP. Sepsis: a threat that needs a global solution. Crit Care Med 2018;46:454–459.
- Chen YX, Wang JY, Guo SB. Use of CRB-65 and quick sepsis-related organ failure assessment to predict site of care and mortality in pneumonia patients in the emergency department: a retrospective study. *Crit Care* 2016;20:167.
- Boillat-Blanco N, Mbarack Z, Samaka J, Mlaganile T, Mamin A, Genton B, et al. Prognostic value of quickSOFA as a predictor of 28-day mortality among febrile adult patients presenting to emergency departments in Dar es Salaam, Tanzania. *PLoS One* 2018;13:e0197982.

Copyright © 2020 by the American Thoracic Society

Check for updates

Erratum: Pitolisant for Daytime Sleepiness in Patients with Obstructive Sleep Apnea Who Refuse Continuous Positive Airway Pressure Treatment. A Randomized Trial

There are errors in the article by Dauvilliers and colleagues (1), published in the May 1, 2020, issue of the *Journal*. In the list of HAROSA II Study Group collaborators that appears before the references, one of its members, Dr. Yüksel Peker, is incorrectly listed as Yeksel Peker. In addition, Dr. Peker's current affiliation

³This article is open access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial No Derivatives License 4.0 (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/). For commercial usage and reprints, please contact Diane Gern (dgern@thoracic.org).

The funding source is the Instituto Latino Americano de Sepsis, a nonprofit organization. As an institution, the sponsor of the study had no role in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of the report. The corresponding author had full access to all the data in the study and had final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

Originally Published in Press as DOI: 10.1164/rccm.202003-0628LE on April 6, 2020

³This article is open access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial No Derivatives License 4.0 (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/). For commercial usage and reprints, please contact Diane Gern (dgern@thoracic.org).