

Discovery and characterization of H_v1type proton channels in reef-building corals

Gisela Rangel-Yescas¹, Cecilia Cervantes¹, Miguel A Cervantes-Rocha¹, Esteban Suárez-Delgado¹, Anastazia T Banaszak², Ernesto Maldonado³, Ian Scott Ramsey⁴, Tamara Rosenbaum⁵, Leon D Islas¹*

¹Departmento de Fisiología, Facultad of Medicina, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mexico City, Mexico; ²Unidad Académica de Sistemas Arrecifales, Instituto de Ciencias del Mar y Limnología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Puerto Morelos, Mexico; ³EvoDevo Research Group, Unidad Académica de Sistemas Arrecifales, Instituto de Ciencias del Mar y Limnología, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Puerto Morelos, Mexico; ⁴Department of Physiology and Biophysics, School of Medicine, Virginia Commonwealth University, Richmond, United States; ⁵Departmento of Neurociencia Cognitiva, Instituto de Fisiología Celular, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mexico City, Mexico

Abstract Voltage-dependent proton-permeable channels are membrane proteins mediating a number of important physiological functions. Here we report the presence of a gene encoding H_v1 voltage-dependent, proton-permeable channels in two species of reef-building corals. We performed a characterization of their biophysical properties and found that these channels are fast-activating and modulated by the pH gradient in a distinct manner. The biophysical properties of these novel channels make them interesting model systems. We have also developed an allosteric gating model that provides mechanistic insight into the modulation of voltage-dependence by protons. This work also represents the first functional characterization of any ion channel in scleractinian corals. We discuss the implications of the presence of these channels in the membranes of coral cells in the calcification and pH-regulation processes and possible consequences of ocean acidification related to the function of these channels.

Introduction

Scleractinian or stony corals are organisms in the phylum Cnidaria that deposit calcium carbonate (CaCO₃) in the form of aragonite to build an exoskeleton. Stony corals are the main calcifying organisms responsible for the construction of coral reefs, which are major ecosystems hosting numerous and diverse organisms. Coral reefs also act as natural barriers from strong ocean currents, waves, and tropical storms, providing coastal protection. This protection centers on the ability of scleractinian corals to produce enough CaCO₃. The increase in atmospheric CO₂ concentrations as a result of human activity poses threats to coral-reef-building organisms due to rising sea surface temperatures (*Hoegh-Guldberg, 1999*) and because CO₂ is taken up by the ocean, dangerously lowering the pH of the sea water (*Caldeira and Wickett, 2003*).

It is known that precipitation of the aragonitic form of calcium carbonate is facilitated at elevated pH values, at very low concentrations of protons. Calcification by scleractinian corals is a process that has been shown to be modulated by the pH of the solution in which calcium carbonate is precipitated (*Allemand et al., 2011*). To this end, corals produce a specialized compartment between the ectoderm and the external substrate or skeleton called calicoblastic compartment, which

*For correspondence: leon.islas@gmail.com

Competing interest: See page 17

Funding: See page 17

Preprinted: 09 April 2021 Received: 09 April 2021 Accepted: 30 June 2021 Published: 06 August 2021

Reviewing editor: László Csanády, Semmelweis University, Hungary

© Copyright Rangel-Yescas et al. This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative

Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use and redistribution provided that the original author and source are credited. CC

contains a fluid derived from the surrounding sea water. The composition of this calicoblastic fluid or liquor is strictly regulated by the coral to maintain both an elevated pH, often close to one unit higher than the surrounding sea water, and an increased concentration of Ca²⁺ and carbonates. The molecular details of pH regulation in the calicoblastic fluid are not understood completely. Involvement of proton pumps has been postulated and is likely to be part of proton transport in corals. Both P-type and V-type hydrogen pumps are present in coral transcriptomes and are known to play roles in the physiology of coral-algal symbiosis (*Tresguerres et al., 2017*). V-type H⁺-ATPases have also been shown to be involved in calcification in foraminifera (*Toyofuku et al., 2017*). If a proton pump is involved in lowering proton concentration of the cytoplasmic pH (pH_i). Although measurements of the pH_i in corals indicate values of 7.13–7.4 (*Venn et al., 2009*), it is unknown how coral cells regulate pH_i. Thus, an efficient pH-regulatory mechanism is to be expected to be present in corals. We hypothesized that proton channels might be fundamental to this physiological process and also required for calcification in hard corals.

Although a number of studies have delineated the physiological roles of H_v1 voltage-gated proton channels in vertebrate cells (**DeCoursey, 2013**), less is known about their role in invertebrates. These channels are potential mediators in processes that are critically dependent on proton homeostasis. As an example, they have been shown to be involved in regulating the synthesis of the calcium carbonate skeleton in coccolithophores, calcifying unicellular phytoplankton (**Taylor et al., 2011**).

The range of voltages over which channel activation occurs is strongly modulated by the transmembrane proton gradient, characterized by $\Delta pH = pH_o-pH_i$, that is, the difference between the external and internal pH. In the majority of known H_v1 channels, the voltage at which half of the channels are activated, the V_{0.5} or the apparent threshold for channel opening (V_{Thr}), shifts by roughly 40 mV per unit of ΔpH . Thus, the pH gradient strongly biases the voltage-independent free energy of channel activation (*Cherny et al., 1995*). With few exceptions, channel activation occurs at voltages that are more positive than the reversal potential for protons, implying that protons are always flowing outward under steady-state conditions. The fact that most H_v1s mediate outward currents is the reason these channels are mostly involved in reversing intracellular acidification or producing voltage-dependent cytoplasmic alkalization (*Lishko and Kirichok, 2010; DeCoursey, 2013*).

Here we report the presence of genes encoding H_v1 channels in two species of reef-building corals. We cloned and characterized the biophysical properties of these channels in an expression system using patch-clamp electrophysiology. The demonstration of the presence of voltage-gated proton channels in corals is an initial step to a deeper understanding of coral calcification and its dysregulation under ocean acidification conditions. We show that some of the coral H_v1 's biophysical properties are different from other known proton channels, and this behavior makes them interesting models to try to understand some basic biophysical mechanisms in these channels. To explain this behavior, we developed a novel activation model to describe voltage- and pH-dependent gating that has general applicability to H_v1 channels.

Results

Ion channels have not been characterized in corals. Here, we have initiated their study by searching the transcriptome of the Indo-Pacific coral *Acropora millepora* (*Moya et al., 2012*) for sequences coding for putative voltage-sensing residues present in canonical H_v1 channels with the form RxxRxxRlx, which corresponds to the S4 segment of H_v1 channels and is also found in other voltage-sensitive membrane proteins. Blast searches detected four sequences that seem to correspond to a gene encoding the H_v1 voltage-activated proton-selective ion channel (*Ramsey et al., 2006*; *Sasaki et al., 2006*). A. *millepora* is one of the most widely studied species of scleractinian corals and is well represented in the commercial coral trade (*Cleves et al., 2018*; *Ying et al., 2019*). We proceeded to clone this gene from a small specimen of A. *millepora* obtained from a local aquarium (Reef Services, Mexico City). As indicated in the 'Materials and methods' section, total RNA was extracted from tissue and mRNA was retrotranscribed to obtain complementary DNA (cDNA). We managed to obtain a full-length clone and refer to this sequence as AmH_v1 or H_v1-type proton channel of *A. millepora*.

We were interested in knowing if the same gene is present in a closely related species from the Caribbean Sea. Thus, we used the same primers to clone the H_v1 channel from Acropora palmata, a widespread coral in the same family, and which we call ApH_v1. The amino acid sequence is almost identical to AmH_v1 (*Figure 1—figure supplement 1A*); the greatest divergence is found between a few amino acid residues in the C-terminal region. This result suggests that despite the large biogeographic difference, these two genes have not diverged significantly. The ApH_v1 sequence also gives rise to fast-activating voltage-gated proton currents (*Figure 1—figure supplement 1B*).

The most diagnostic feature of the H_v1 protein is the sequence of the fourth transmembrane domain or S4, which contains three charged amino acids in a characteristic triplet repeat. The presence of these repeats in our sequence allowed us to initially identify our clone as an H_v1 channel. However, we decided to compare our sequence to those of several H_v1 orthologs. We selected a list of 130 H_v1 protein sequences that are well curated in the Gene Bank (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/), representing several branches of the eukaryotes, from unicellular plants to mammals. As expected, the protein sequence of AmH_v1 has similarity to several other H_v1 genes from varied organisms (Figure 1A). The identity varies from 98%, when compared to other putative coral and anemone sequences, to less than 30%, when compared to plant and nematode sequences. In spite of this variability, the putative transmembrane domains of all these proteins show high conservation, and consensus sequence logos can detect the presence of highly conserved individual amino acid sequences that can be considered characteristic of H_v1 channels. Figure 1B compares these transmembrane domain consensus logos with our AmH_v1 sequence. It can be gleaned that AmH_v1 contains the highly conserved residues that form the voltage-sensing amino acid residues in S4 as well as their acidic pairs present in S2 and S3. The extracellular histidine residues involved in Zn²⁺ coordination are also present. These results suggest that our sequence is that of a bona fide H_v1 voltage-sensing domain (VSD).

Apart from canonical voltage-gated channels, several other proteins contain VSDs. Examples are the voltage-sensing phosphatases like VSPs (*Iwasaki et al., 2008*) and TPTE and TPTE2 (*Halaszovich et al., 2012*) proteins (transmembrane proteins with tensin homology) and genes like TMEM266. These proteins are relevant to us since some TPTEs have been shown to also mediate proton currents and TMEM266 can be modulated by Zn²⁺ (*Papp et al., 2019*). We compared the sequence of AmH_v1 with several orthologs of TPTEs and TMEM266. Although there is some similarity within transmembrane domains (*Figure 1—figure supplement 2*), the overall sequence comparison shows that AmH_v1 and these VSD-containing proteins are different.

As mentioned before, we performed a multiple sequence alignment with 130 H_v1 sequences. In *Figure 2*, we show the detailed sequence alignment of AmH_v1 with five of these sequences, which represent some of the best studied H_v1 genes. It can be seen that there is a high degree of identity, especially in the transmembrane domains. The least degree of conservation appears when comparing this sequence to the dinoflagellate *Karlodinium veneficum* H_v1 channel (*Figure 2A*). A search of available transcriptomes from several coral species allowed us to detect the presence of sequences that are found in H_v1 channels. This suggests that H_v1 proton channels might be found in many families of scleractinian corals (*Figure 2—figure supplement 1*), as has also been recently shown (*Capasso et al., 2021*).

Secondary-structure prediction suggests that AmH_v1 is a canonical H_v1 channel formed by a VSD with four transmembrane segments. The protein sequence was used for 3D modeling using the SWISS MODEL server (*Waterhouse et al., 2018*), which produced models based on the H_v1 chimera structure (*Takeshita et al., 2014*) and the Kv1.2 potassium channel VSD (*Long et al., 2005*). This structural model is shown in *Figure 2B*. The predicted model indicates a shortened N-terminal region, four transmembrane helices, and a long C-terminal helix.

Voltage-gated proton channels from *Ciona* (*Sasaki et al., 2006*) and humans (*Lee et al., 2008*) have been shown to express as dimers in the plasma membrane, and this dimeric form is understood to be the functional unit of these proton channels. The dimer is stabilized by a coiled-coil interaction mediated by an alpha helical C-terminal domain. As shown by the model in *Figure 2B*, AmH_v1 has a long C-terminal helix, which is predicted to engage in a coiled coil (Paircoil2; *McDonnell et al., 2006*). We calculated the probability per residue to form a coiled coil for all the C-terminal residues, both for human and AmH_v1 channels, using the program COILS (*Lupas et al., 1991*). *Figure 3A* shows that the coiled-coil probability for AmH_v1 C-terminus is at least as high or higher than that for hH_v1, an established dimer, strongly suggesting that coral H_v1s might also form dimers.



Figure 1. Conservation and phylogenetic relationships of H_v1 channels. (A) The tree obtained from a multiple sequence alignment from H_v1 channels in CLUSTAL-O. Highlighted in red and yellow are the branches containing coral H_v1 sequences. (B) Consensus logo sequences of transmembrane domains of H_v1 channels. The color code indicates the hydrophobicity of each residue, where blue indicates charged residues, red indicates non-polar residues, and other colors indicate either non-polar or charged residues with less conservation. *Figure 1 continued on next page*



Figure 1 continued

The online version of this article includes the following source data and figure supplement(s) for figure 1:

Source code 1. Code for generating the tree in *Figure 1*.
Figure supplement 1. Some characteristics of H_v1 from *Acropora palmata*.
Figure supplement 2. Comparison of the sequence of AmH_v1 to other voltage-sensing proteins.

In order to study the oligomeric state of the coral H_v1 , we performed FRET experiments with the AmH_v1 channel tagged with fluorescent proteins (FPs) as a FRET pair. *Figure 3B* shows that there is significant FRET efficiency between FP-tagged subunits, indicating a very close interaction between monomers. The measured apparent FRET efficiency vs the fluorescence intensity ratio can be fitted to a model where the subunits assemble as a dimer. From this fit, we can estimate a distance between fluorophores of ~60 Å, which is compatible with AmH_v1 being a dimer, at least in HEK293 cells.



Figure 2. Protein sequence alignment of the AmH_v1 channel with selected H_v1s from other organisms. (A) Amino acid sequence alignment of Acropora millepora H_v1 (AmH_v1) with other known H_v1 orthologs provided by the CLUSTAL-O algorithm. The predicted transmembrane domains are shown by the colored horizontal lines and letters. The colors highlighting the sequence indicate sequence identity. Orange indicates identical amino acids, and cyan indicates no identity. (B) Predicted structural topology of AmH_v1 . Transmembrane domains are colored to correspond with the sequences in (A). The top panel is the view parallel to the membrane while the bottom panel is the view from the top (extracellular) side. The online version of this article includes the following figure supplement(s) for figure 2:

Figure supplement 1. Comparison of the AmH_v1 protein sequence with similar sequences found in other coral species.

Functional expression of AmH_v1 voltage-dependence and kinetics

The cDNA of AmH_v1 was cloned in the pcDNA3 expression vector and transfected into HEK293 cells. Under whole-cell conditions, we recorded large voltage-dependent outward currents. *Figure 4A* shows a family of such currents. The data suggest that these currents were carried mostly by protons, since the reversal potential, measured from a tail current protocol, closely followed the equilibrium potential for protons, as given by the Nernst equation (*Figure 4B*).

The voltage-dependence of channel gating was estimated from a fit of the normalized conductance vs voltage (G-V) to **Equation 1**. The steepness of the curve corresponds to an apparent charge of ~2 e_o , comparable to other H_v1s under similar recording conditions (**Figure 4C**).

Interestingly, these channels seem to activate rapidly. This is apparent from the current traces, which approach a steady state within a few hundred ms (*Figure 4A*), as quantified in *Figure 4D*. *Equation 3* estimates two parameters, an activation time constant (τ) and a delay (δ). Both the time constant and the delay are similarly voltage-dependent at positive potentials. The existence of a delay in the time course implies that activation is a multiple-state process. The delay magnitude is



Figure 3. Subunits of the AmH_v1 channel associate to form dimers. (A) Probability of coiled-coil formation per amino acid residue of the C-terminus domain of hH_v1 (top) and *Acropora millepora* H_v1 (AmH_v1) (bottom). The different colors correspond to the three seven-residue windows used by the program to calculate the score. The sequence of the C-terminus is shown in the x-axis. (B) FRET measurement of dimer formation. The apparent FRET measured from 134 cells is plotted as a function of the ratio of donor to acceptor fluorescence (I_d/I_a). Shown is the average and sem for data in I_d/I_a windows of 0.1. The continuous curve is the fit of the data to the prediction of a model that considers random assembly of donor- and acceptor-tagged subunits into a dimer. The separation between the FRET pairs in a dimer is ~60 Å, according to the model. The upper panel depicts a cartoon of the presumed fluorescent protein (FP)-tagged dimer in the membrane.

The online version of this article includes the following source data for figure 3:

Source data 1. Source data for Figure 3.

eLife Research article



Figure 4. Proton currents mediated by AmH_v1 expressed in HEK293 cells. (A) A typical proton current family elicited by depolarizing pulses from -50 to 60 mV in 10 mV intervals. The duration of the pulses is 500 ms. Linear current components have been subtracted. (B) Reversal potential of currents as a function of the pH gradient. Symbols are individual data and the black horizontal lines are the mean. The dotted line is the expected reversal potential as predicted by the Nernst equation. The inset shows a tail current family from which instantaneous IV curves were extracted to measure the reversal potential. Recordings shown in (A) and (B) were obtained in the whole-cell configuration. (C) Normalized conductance-voltage curve at $\Delta pH = 1$. The purple curve is the fit to **Equation 1** with parameters $V_{0.5} = 7.85$ mV and $q = 2.09 e_0$. Circles are the mean and error bars are the sem (n = 7). (D) Kinetic parameters of activation. Activation time constant and delay estimated from fits of current traces to **Equation 2**. Circles are the mean, and the sem is indicated by the shaded areas (n = 6). The voltage-dependence of the delay and tau of activation were estimated from a fit to **Equation 3**, which appears as the red curve. Parameters are $\delta(0) = 98.2$ ms and $q_{\delta} = 0.47 e_0$. The voltage-dependence parameters for tau are $\tau(0) = 212$ ms and $q_{\tau} = 0.37 e_0$.

The online version of this article includes the following source data for figure 4:

Source data 1. Source data for Figure 4.

smaller than the time constant at all voltages, which can be interpreted to mean that the rate-limiting step for opening comes late in the activation pathway (**Schoppa and Sigworth**, **1998**).

Comparison to human H_v1 channel properties

Human H_v1 is probably the best characterized of the voltage-gated proton channels (*Musset et al., 2008*); so we compared some of the properties of AmH_v1 with hH_v1 . AmH_v1 channels activate faster than their human counterpart. *Figure 5* compares the activation kinetics of these two channels under

eLife Research article



Figure 5. Coral H_v1 channels are faster and activate more readily than their human counterpart. (A) Acropora millepora H_v1 (AmH_v1) currents in response to voltage-clamp pulses from -100 to 120 mV and of 500 ms duration. (B) AmH_v1 currents in response to the same voltage-clamp pulses as in (A) but of a longer duration of 800 ms. (C) Currents through hH_v1 channels in response to voltage-clamp pulses from -100 to 120 mV of 500 ms duration, compared with (A). (D) hHv1 currents recorded with long pulses designed to reach the steady state. Pulses were shortened in duration as depolarizations became larger, in an effort to reduce intracellular proton depletion. Pulses are from -100 to 140 mV. Recordings shown in (A), (B), (C), and (D) were obtained in the whole-cell configuration. (E) Comparison of the conductance-voltage relationship for both channels for short- (circles) and long (triangles)-duration pulses. Black symbols are the mean G/G_{max} for AmH_v1 and yellow symbols for hH_v1. The error bars are the sem (n = 3, for short pulses, both channels, and n = 4, for long pulses, both channels). The continuous blue curves are fits to **Equation 1**. The fitted parameters are AmH_v1, short pulses, q = 1.62 e_o, V_{0.5} = 12.2 mV; AmH_v1, long pulses, q = 1.7 e_o, V_{0.5} = 7.7 mV; hH_v1, short pulses, q = 1.11 e_o, V_{0.5} = 53.1 mV; hH_v1, long pulses, q = 1.47 e_o, V_{0.5} = 34.1 mV. (F) The activation time constant estimated from fits of currents elicited by long pulses to **Equation 2**. Squares are the mean for hH_v1 and circles, for AmH_v1. The shaded areas are the sem (n = 4, for both channels). The online version of this article includes the following source data for figure 5:

Source data 1. Source data for Figure 5.

the same conditions. Steady state is apparently reached sooner after a voltage pulse in AmH_v1 (*Figure 5A*) when compared to hH_v1 (*Figure 5C*). The slower kinetics of the human ortholog is also evidenced in the more sluggish deactivation tail currents (*Figure 5C*). The range of voltages over which activation happens is also different between the two channels, with the coral H_v1 channel activating at more negative voltages than the human clone (*Figure 5E*; notice that the proton

gradient is such that $\Delta pH = 1$ and is the same for recordings of both channel types). Even though AmH_v1 activates at more negative voltages, the activation range is still more positive than the proton reversal potential; thus, coral proton currents activated by depolarization, in the steady state and at least as expressed in HEK293 cells, are always outward.

In order to better estimate both kinetics and activation, we performed experiments with longer pulse durations. This is especially important for the very slow activation of the human channel. The resulting currents are shown in *Figure 5B and D*. The normalized conductance for these currents that are closer to steady state are shown in *Figure 5E* by triangles. These G-V curves are shifted to more negative voltages than the G-V from shorter pulses, as expected. The faster kinetics of AmH_v1 is clearly evidenced when the time constant of activation, τ , estimated using fits of the activation time course to *Equation 2*, is compared for coral and human H_v1 channels. AmH_v1 is more than tenfold faster at 0 mV and over a range of positive voltages (*Figure 5F*).

Effects of the pH gradient on gating

Both native and cloned voltage-gated proton channels are characteristically modulated by the pH gradient (*Cherny et al., 1995; Sasaki et al., 2006; Ramsey et al., 2006*). We carried out experiments to investigate the modulation of the coral H_v1 channels by different pH gradients. We first recorded whole-cell currents at various Δ pH values and estimated the voltage-dependence of the conductance. These G-V curves were fitted to *Equation 2* to obtain the voltage of half activation, V_{0.5}, and apparent gating charge, *q*, which determines the steepness of the fit. As is the case with other H_v1 channels, the V_{0.5} shifts to negative voltages when Δ pH is greater than 0 and to positive voltages when Δ pH is less than 0 (*Figure 6A*). When we plot the V_{0.5} as a function of Δ pH, the relationship seems to be mostly linear over the range of Δ pH –1 to 2. This relationship is somewhat steeper than the generally observed –40 mV/ Δ pH (*Figure 6B*). We tried to obtain recordings over an extended range of Δ pH values. To this end, we performed inside-out recordings in which the composition of solutions can be better controlled, tends to be more stable, and the size of currents is smaller. However, recordings were unstable at extreme pH values, and we only managed to



Figure 6. Modulation of channel activation by the pH gradient. (A) Conductance vs voltage relationships obtained at the indicated Δ pH values, from whole-cell recordings of *Acropora millepora* H_v1 (AmH_v1) proton currents. Continuous lines are fits to **Equation 1**. (B) The parameter V_{0.5} was obtained from the fits in (A) and is displayed as a function of Δ pH. The dotted line is the 40 mV/ Δ pH linear relationship. The continuous gray curve is the prediction of the allosteric model (*Figure 7*). (C) Parameters V_{0.5} (green circles) and V_{Thr} (purple triangles) obtained from a different set of inside-out current recordings. Data are mean ± sem. The continuous gray curve is the same prediction of the allosteric model (*Figure 7*) that is shown in panel (B). The model parameters used to generate the theoretical curve are E = $5x10^5$, D = 10^5 , C = 0.0002, Kv(0) = 0.00005, q_g = $1.0 e_o$, pK_o = 3.4, and pK_i = 7. The online version of this article includes the following source data, source code and figure supplement(s) for figure 6:

Source data 1. Source data for Figure 6.

Figure supplement 1. Equations for the model in Figure 7.

Figure supplement 2. Simulations of the voltage- and pH-dependent behavior predicted by the allosteric model.

Figure supplement 2—source code 1. Source code for Figure 6—figure supplement 2.

reliably extend the data to a ΔpH value of -2. *Figure 6C* shows the summary of the inside-out recordings. We have plotted both the V_{0.5} and the threshold voltage, V_{Thr}. To obtain this last parameter, we fitted the exponential rise of the G-V curve to a function of the form

$$G(V) = G' \cdot exp^{qV/K_BT}$$

 V_{Thr} was calculated as the voltage at which the fit reaches 10% of the maximum conductance. The parameter V_{Thr} should be less sensitive than $V_{0.5}$ to the possible change in the proton gradient that can occur with large currents. It is clear from these data that at extreme values, the dependence of $V_{0.5}$ or V_{Thr} on ΔpH deviates from a simple linear relationship and instead appears to saturate at extreme values of ΔpH .

Allosteric model of voltage- and pH-dependent gating

Currently, there is only one quantitative model that has been used to explain ΔpH gating of H_v1 channels (Cherny et al., 1995). However, this model is euristic and does not provide mechanistic insight into the process of proton modulation of the voltage dependence of proton-permeable channels. In order to explain the modulation of the range of activation by the proton gradient, parameterized by the $V_{0.5}$, we developed a structurally inspired allosteric model of voltage and proton activation. As many voltage-sensing domains, H_v1 has two water-occupied cavities exposed to the extracellular and intracellular media (Ramsey et al., 2010; Islas and Sigworth, 2001; Ahern and Horn, 2005). Recent evidence suggests that these cavities function as proton-binding sites through networks of electrostatic interactions (De La Rosa et al., 2018). In our model, we propose that these two proton-binding sites, one intracellular and one extracellular, allosterically modulate the movement of the voltage-sensing S4 segment and, thus, channel activation in opposite ways. The extracellular site is postulated as inhibitory, while the intracellular site is excitatory, facilitating voltage sensor movement. As a first approximation, we employed a simplified allosteric formalism based on a Monod-Wyman-Changeux (MWC) style model (Horrigan and Aldrich, 2002; Changeux, 2012). As a simplifying assumption, in this model we assume that the voltage sensor moves in a single voltagedependent activation step. We assume the external and internal proton-binding sites have simple protonation given by a single pK_a value. These sites operate as two allosteric modules and are coupled to the voltage sensor according to coupling factors C and D, respectively. These binding sites in turn interact with each other through the coupling factor E. The modular representations of the model are illustrated in Figure 7A, while the full model depicting all open and closed states with all permissible transitions and the corresponding equilibrium constants for each transition is shown in Figure 7B. Full details of equations derived from these schemes are given in supplementary data.

This allosteric model represents the first attempt at producing a quantitative mechanistic understanding of the interaction of the voltage sensor and protons in H_v 1 channels.

From the data shown in **Figure 6C**, it can be seen that the model is capable of reproducing the very steep dependence of $V_{0.5}$ on ΔpH and importantly, the saturation of this relationship at extreme values. Some H_v1 channels from other organisms show a linear dependence of gating over a large range of ΔpH values, while others show a reduced dependence and even saturation over some range of ΔpH (**Thomas et al., 2018**). Our model can explain these different behaviors as different channels having distinct values of pK_{as} for the internal or external sites, differences in coupling factors, or differences in the voltage-dependent parameters (**Figure 6—figure supplements 1** and **2**).

Block by Zn²⁺

The best-characterized blocker of proton channels is the divalent ion zinc (*Cherny et al., 2020*; *De La Rosa et al., 2018*; *Qiu et al., 2016*). We performed experiments to determine if AmH_v1 channels are also inhibited by zinc. We found that indeed, extracellular application of zinc in outside-out patches produced inhibition of the channels, reflected in reduced current amplitudes (*Figure 8A*). *Figure 8B* shows average current-voltage (I-V) relationships in the absence and presence of 10 μ M external zinc. It can be seen that the fraction of current blocked is not the same at every voltage, indicating that this inhibition might be voltage-dependent. The fraction of blocked channels was calculated and is plotted at each voltage along with the I-V curves (*Figure 8B*). It can be clearly seen that inhibition by Zn^{2+} is voltage-dependent. A simple mechanism for voltage-dependent blockage was proposed by *Woodhull*, **1973**. This model postulates that a charged blocker molecule interacts



Figure 7. Gating scheme I. (A) Modular representation of a simple Monod-Wyman-Changeux (MWC) model; the channel opening transition is voltagedependent, with equilibrium constant K(V). B_o and B_i are the unbound states of the extracellular and intracellular proton-binding sites, respectively, and B_oH_o and B_iH_i are the proton-bound states of these binding sites. Q_o and Q_i are equilibrium constants that depend on the pK_a of each of these binding states. C, D, and E are the coupling constants between each of the indicated modules. (B) All the individual states implied in (A) are depicted, along with proton-binding states and the appropriate equilibrium constants. C, closed states, O, open states. OH_x, OH_xH_x and CH_x, CH_xH_x are single or double proton-occupied states, where x can be o for outside or i for inside-facing binding sites.

with a binding site in the target molecule that is located within the electric field. Fitting the data according to this model, and given that zinc is a divalent ion, its apparent binding site is located at a fraction $\delta = 0.2$ of the membrane electric field from the extracellular side (*Figure 8B*).

Zinc blockage proceeds very fast. At 1 mM, the channels are blocked almost instantaneously, and the inhibition washes off very fast as well (*Figure 8C*). Finally, we report the dose-response curve (*Figure 8D*). The inhibition dose-response curve can be fit by a Hill equation (*Equation 4*) with a slope factor of nearly 0.5 and an apparent dissociation constant, K_D , of 27 μ M.

Discussion

A few ion transport mechanisms in reef-building corals have been described, but up to now, no ion channels have been characterized from any scleractinian species. Here we have shown that voltage-gated proton-permeable channels formed by the H_v1 protein are present in corals. In particular, we have cloned these channels from two species of the genus *Acropora, A. millepora and A. palmata.* It is interesting that the protein sequence of these proteins shows a very high degree of conservation, suggesting that, even when the two species are found in different oceans, they haven't had time to diverge substantially or alternatively; selective pressures on these channels are very similar in both species. The presence of H_v1 sequences in many other species of corals from disparate clades suggests that H_v1 plays an important role in coral physiology.

 H_v1 channels are formed by a protein fold that is structurally equivalent to the VSDs of canonical voltage-gated channels (*Sasaki et al., 2006*; *Ramsey et al., 2006*). The VSD is formed by a bundle of four antiparallel alpha helices (*Takeshita et al., 2014*). In some species, it has been shown that H_v1 channels are dimeric (*Lee et al., 2008*; *Mony et al., 2020*; *Lee et al., 2008*). Accordingly, we have also shown here that the AmH_v1 is a dimer. Our FRET results are consistent with the high propensity to form a coiled coil shown by its C-terminal domain.





Figure 8. Block of AmH_v1 channels by extracellular zinc. (A) Acropora millepora H_v1 (AmH_v1)-mediated currents from an outside-out patch in the absence (top) and presence of 10 μ M zinc (middle) and after washing of zinc (bottom). The scale bars apply to the three current families. Voltage pulses lasted 500 ms and were from -80 to 140 mV in 20 mV steps. The $\Delta pH = 1$ with pH_i = 6 and pH_o = 7. (B) Normalized current-voltage relationships before and in the presence of 10 μ M zinc from four patches as in (A). The gray squares are the ratio I_{zinc}(V)/I(V), which gives the voltage-dependence of *Figure 8 continued on next page*

eLife Research article

Figure 8 continued

the blocking reaction. The blue curve is the fit to the Woodhull equation $F_B = \frac{1}{1+e^{-\frac{\delta c(V-V_{0,S})}{1+e^{-\frac{K_B T}{K_B T}}}}}$, where F_B is the fraction of the current blocked, δ is the

fraction of the electric field where the blocker binds, z is the valence of the blocker, $V_{0.5}$ is the potential where half of the current is blocked, K_B is Boltzmann's constant, and T is the temperature in Kelvin. The fitting parameters are $\delta = 0.19$, $V_{0.5} = 77.6$ mV. (C) The effect of zinc is fast. Application of 1 mM zinc to an outside-out patch produces almost instantaneous block of ~90% of the current. The effect also washes off quickly upon removal of zinc. Trace colors are as in (A). Voltage pulse was 100 mV applied every 5 s. (D) Dose-response curve of zinc block of AmH_v1 obtained at 100 mV. The continuous curve is a fit of the data to **Equation 4** with apparent $K_D = 27.4 \,\mu$ M and n = 0.48.

The online version of this article includes the following source data for figure 8:

Source data 1. Source data for Figure 8.

H_v1 channels are different from canonical voltage-gated channels in that both voltage sensing and permeation are mediated through a single protein domain. Voltage sensing is thought to occur through the interaction of charged amino acid side chains with the electric field, leading to the outward movement of the fourth domain or S4, in a similar fashion to other voltage-sensing domains (*Carmona et al., 2018*; *De La Rosa and Ramsey, 2018*). This outward movement of the S4 is coupled to protons moving through the VSD in a manner that is not completely understood (*Randolph et al., 2016*). Most proton-permeable channels seem to have evolved to extrude protons from the cell, and toward this end, their voltage dependence is tightly modulated by the proton gradient between extracellular and intracellular solutions (*Cherny et al., 1995*).

Our electrophysiology experiments show that these coral channels give rise to proton currents when expressed in HEK293 cells and that they retain the functional characteristics that have been shown to define the class in other species, such as very high selectivity for protons, activation by voltage, and modulation of this activation by the proton gradient. The new channels reported here activate faster than the human H_v1 channel. It has been known that different orthologs of H_v1 activate with varying kinetics. For example, sea urchin, dinoflagellate, and recently, fungal H_v1 channels activate rapidly, while most mammalian counterparts have slow activation rates (Musset et al., 2008; Smith et al., 2011; Zhao and Tombola, 2021). A comparative study suggests that two amino acids in the S3 transmembrane segment are important determinants of kinetic differences between sea urchin and mouse H_v1 (Sakata et al., 2016). The authors suggest that the time course of activation is slow in channels containing a histidine and a phenylalanine at positions 164 and 166, respectively (mouse sequence numbering). The AmH_v1 has a histidine at an equivalent position, 132, and a methionine at 134. It is possible that this last amino acid in AmH_v1 confers most of the fast kinetics phenotype. A separate work has shown that a lack of the amino-terminal segment in human sperm H_v1 also produced fast-activating channels (Berger et al., 2017). Interestingly, the Acropora channels have a shorter amino-terminal sequence, which could also contribute to their fast kinetics.

One of the most interesting characteristics found in these new proton channels is their modulation by the proton gradient. As opposed to other H_v1 channels, we can observe a trend toward saturation of the $V_{0.5}$ for activation as a function of ΔpH at extreme values of this variable. A tendency toward saturation of the $V_{0.5}$ - ΔpH relationship has been observed in mutants of the hH_v1 channel (*Cherny et al., 2015*) or at negative values of ΔpH for a snail H_v1 (*Thomas et al., 2018*), but it seems it can be fully appreciated in AmH_v1 . Since our model explains the observation of saturation of voltage gating at extreme values of ΔpH as a consequence of the existence of two saturable sites for proton binding, we attribute this behavior to the large separation of pK_a values for the extracellular and intracellular proton-binding sites. Thus, channels that do not show saturation might have sites with well-separated and differing pKa values. Evolutionary fine tuning of these pKa values will produce channels with pH activation in ranges adapted to their physiological function.

It is important to point out that the external and internal proton-binding sites can be part of the voltage sensor itself. We envision these sites as being formed not by distinct protonatable amino acids but by a network of electrostatic interactions between amino acids in S4 and other transmembrane segments. In this context, the pK_a values of the sites in our model do not reflect pK_as of individual amino acids but of the whole proton-binding site. Recent works by *Carmona et al., 2021* and *Schladt and Berger, 2020* suggest that voltage sensing is directly responsible for pH gating. These authors suggest that the energy of the pH gradient is part of the free energy needed for

voltage-sensor movement. This purely energetic statement is encompassed in our model as the coupling factors between voltage-sensor movement and proton binding, which are in fact energetic factors.

In our model, the strength of allosteric coupling of these sites and the voltage sensor determines if saturation is observed over a short or extended range of ΔpH values and the range of values of V_{0.5} that a particular channel can visit. Coupling also determines the value of the slope of the ΔpH vs V_{0.5} curve. Values larger or smaller than the typical ~40 mV/pH are obtained as a consequence of strong or weak coupling between binding and voltage-sensor movement, respectively (*Figure 6—figure supplement 2*). Our model should provide a framework to better understand the gating mechanisms in future work.

It is clear that more complicated models, with a larger number of voltage-dependent and -independent steps (*Villalba-Galea, 2014*) and coupling to protonation sites, should be the next step to improve data fitting and explore voltage- and proton-dependent kinetics. In particular, these types of models can help explain mutagenesis experiments exploring the nature of the protonation sites. For example, *Villalba-Galea, 2014* proposed the existence of a voltage-independent step in Hv1 gating, and this can be easily incorporated in the model proposed here, as is the fact that hH_v1 and AmH_v1 channels are dimers. The present form of the allosteric model has a single subunit. Since gating in dimeric channels seems to be cooperative, allosteric models have the advantage that cooperativity between subunits can be handled naturally by including coupling between two voltage sensors.

 H_v1 proton channels seem fundamental in handling fluctuations in intracellular pH and take part in several well-characterized physiological processes that depend on proton concentration changes, such as intracellular pH regulation, sperm flagellum beating, reactive oxygen species production and bacterial killing in immune cells, initiation of bioluminescence in single-celled algae, and so on **Castillo et al., 2015**.

What is the function of voltage-gated proton channels in corals? The deposition of a $CaCO_3$ exoskeleton is one of the main defining characteristics of scleractinians; however, the ionic transport mechanisms involved in this process are mostly unknown. In order for aragonite precipitation to occur favorably, the pH of the calicoblastic fluid, right next to the skeleton, is maintained at high levels, between 8.5 and 9 and above the pH of sea water (**Le Goff et al., 2017**). It has been posited that corals control this pH via vectorial transport of protons to the gastrodermal cavity (**Jokiel, 2013**). Since proton transport away from the site of calcification would incur a drastically lower intracellular pH in the cells of the aboral region, we propose that, given their ability to rapidly regulate the intracellular pH (**De-la-Rosa et al., 2016**), H_v1 proton channels contribute by transporting protons from the cells. Thus, these proton channels could be a major component of the mechanisms of intracellular pH regulation in corals. Given that the activation range of H_v1 is controlled by the pH gradient, a large intracellular acidification would facilitate opening of these channels at the resting potential of cells, which is presumably negative.

The finding that coral H_v1 channels retain their sensitivity to Zn^{2+} opens the possibility of using this ion as a pharmacological tool to study the role of proton channels in pH homeostasis. It is interesting that a recent report has shown detrimental effects of zinc supplementation on coral growth (*Tijssen et al., 2017*), a result that could be explained by zinc inhibition of H_v1 .

The physiological role of H_v1 channels in corals might be essential in the response of these organisms to ocean acidification. Although further research is needed to understand the cellular and subcellular localization of these channels, we theorize that as the pH of sea water acidifies, gating of H_v1 should require stronger depolarization, thus hindering its capacity to transport protons from the cell. This will contribute to a diminished calcification rate and less aragonite saturation of the CaCO₃ skeleton. It would be interesting and important to study the effects of acidification on H_v1 physiology and pH regulation in corals in vivo. Essentially nothing is known about the electrophysiological properties of coral cells. This report represents the first time that an ion channel has been cloned and characterized in any coral and should open a new avenue of research, such as uncovering the cellular and possible subcellular localization of these channels and carefully measuring their physiological role in vivo.

Materials and methods

Identification of H_v1 sequences and cloning

Blast searches of the transcriptome of the Indo-Pacific coral A. millepora (Mova et al., 2012) detected four sequences that we identified as belonging to a putative proton-permeable channel. The GenBank accession numbers for these are XM_015907823.1, XM_015907824.1, XM_029346499.1, and XM_029346498.1. We designed two pairs of oligonucleotides to amplify two of these sequences (Table 1). Total RNA was extracted from the tissue obtained from a fragment of A. millepora acquired from a local salt-water aquarium provider (Reefservices, Mexico City). RNA was extracted by dipping the whole fragment for 2 min in 5 ml of solution D (4 M guanidinium thiocyanate, 25 mM sodium citrate, 5% sarkosyl, and 0.1 M 2-mercaptoethanol). After incubation, the tissue was removed by gently pipetting the solution for 2 min. At this point, the calcareous skeleton was removed and RNA extraction continued according to Chomczynski and Sacchi, 1987. Total RNA (1 µg) from A. millepora was used for reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR), employing oligo dT and SuperScripII reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen, USA). cDNA obtained from RT-PCR was used in three PCRs using oligos (1) AcHv1Nter5' and 3', (2) AcHv1Cter5' and 3', and (3) AcHv1Nter5' and AcHv1Cter3' (Table 1). The Platinium Pfx DNA polymerase (Invitrogen) was used for amplification according to the manufacturer's instructions. 1 µl of Taq DNA polymerase (Invitrogen, USA) was used for 10 min at 72°C to add a poly-A tail at 5' and 3' ends and facilitate cloning into the pGEM-T vector.

The PCR 3 gave rise to a full open reading frame (ORF) containing AmH_v1 . New oligos, AcHv1Nter5' and AcHv1Cter3', containing restriction sites Kpn1 and Not1, respectively, were used to re-amplify the ORF in pGEM-T and subclone it into pcDNA3.1 for heterologous expression.

The H_v1 channel from A. *palmata* was cloned from a fragment of an adult specimen collected from the Limones Reef off of Puerto Morelos, Mexico. RNA extraction from small coral pieces was carried out by flash freezing in liquid nitrogen and grinding the frozen tissue. All other cloning procedures were as for A. *millepora*. All clones and DNA constructs were confirmed by automatic sequencing at the Molecular Biology Facility of the Instituto de Fisiología Celular at UNAM.

Heterologous expression of AmH_v1

All electrophysiological and FRET experiments were carried out in HEK293 cells heterologously expressing the specified clone or DNA construct. HEK293 cells were acquired from ATCC (Gaithersburg, MD, USA) and were found to be free of mycoplasma infection using a PCR-based detection kit (Sigma-Aldrich, USA). Cells were grown on 100 mm culture dishes with 10 ml of Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM, Invitrogen) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Invitrogen, USA) and 100 units/ml-100 µg/ml of penicillin-streptomycin (Invitrogen, USA), incubated at 37°C in an incubator with 5.2% CO₂ atmosphere. When cells reached 90% confluence, the medium was removed, and the cells were treated with 1 ml of 0.05% trypsin-ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) (Invitrogen, USA) for 5 min. Subsequently, 1 ml of DMEM with 10% FBS was added. The cells were mechanically dislodged and reseeded in 35 mm culture dishes over 5x5 mm coverslips for electrophysiology or in 35 mm glass bottom dishes for FRET experiments. In both cases, 2 ml of complete medium was used. Cells at 70% confluence were transfected with pcDNA3.1-AmH_v1 prepared from a plasmid midiprep, using jetPEI transfection reagent (Polyplus Transfection, France). For patch-clamp experiments, pEGFP-N1 (BD Biosciences Clontech, USA) was cotransfected with the channel DNA to

Table 1. Oligonucleotides used to clone amino- and carboxy-terminal partial sequences of AmH_v1 from total reverse-transcribed mRNA from *A. millepora*.

Oligo name	Sequence
AcHvNt5′	ATGATTGATGCAAGAACCAGACGATCGAGCATGGATGAT
AcHvNt3′	TGATCCTGCTCTCAAGTCAAGAACCAACTCAGCAATGAC
AcHvCt5′	ATGGGATTCACATTTTCAAGCACAAATGGAGGTGTTT
AcHvCt3′	TCAGCTTTGTTTAATGTTGTCAATTCAGACTCCAACTG

visualize successfully transfected cells via their green fluorescence. Electrophysiological recordings were done 1 or 2 days after transfection.

FRET measurement of stoichiometry

In order to measure the stoichiometry of subunit interaction employing FRET, we constructed fusion proteins between AmH_v1 and mCerulean and mCitrine FPs, to be used as the donor and acceptor, respectively. The FPs were fused to the N-terminus of the channel in order to disrupt as little as possible the C-terminus-mediated interaction. These constructs were transfected into HEK293 cells as described above. The apparent FRET efficiency between FP-containing constructs, E_{appr} , was measured via sensitized emission of the acceptor, employing the spectral-FRET method (**De-la-Rosa et al., 2013; Zheng et al., 2002**). Fluorescence was measured in a home-modified TE-2000U inverted epifluorescense microscope (Nikon, Japan). The excitation light source was an Argon Ion laser (Spectra-Physics, Germany) mainly producing light at 458, 488, and 514 nm; the laser beam was focused and then collimated using a 3 mm ball lens and a 50-mm focal length planoconvex lens. Collimated light is steered with a mirror and then is focused into the objective back focal plane by a 300-mm focal length achromatic lens.

Cells were imaged with a Nikon 60x oil immersion objective (numerical aperture 1.4). The detection arm of the microscope is coupled to a spectrograph (Acton Instruments, USA) and an EM-CCD camera (Ixon Ultra, Andor, Ireland) controlled by Micromanager software (*Edelstein et al., 2014*). Excitation was achieved with appropriate excitation filters (Chroma, Vermont, USA) for mCerulean (458 nm) and mCitrine (488 nm). The emission filter was a long-pass filter in order to collect the full emission spectrum of the FRET pair. Apparent FRET efficiency is plotted as a function of the fluorescence intensity ratio (I_{donor}/I_{acceptor}). This relationship can be fitted with models of subunit association with a fixed stoichiometry, according to *De-la-Rosa et al., 2013*.

Electrophysiology

All chemicals for solutions were acquired from Sigma-Aldrich (Mexico). Proton current recordings were made from HEK293 cells expressing pCDNA3.1-AmH_v1 in the inside-out, whole-cell, and outside-out configurations of the patch-clamp recording technique. For whole-cell and inside-out recordings, the extracellular solution (bath and pipette, respectively) was (in mM) 80 tetramethylammonium and methanesulfonic acid (TMA-HMESO₃), 100 buffer ((2-(N-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid (MES): pH 5.5, 6.0, and 6.5; 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid (HEPES): pH 7.0, 7.5), 2 CaCl₂, 2 MgCl₂, and pH-adjusted N-methyl-d-glucamine/tetramethylammonium hydroxide (NMDG/TMA-OH) and HCl). The intracellular solution (pipette and bath, respectively) was (in mM) 80 TMA-HMESO₃, 100 buffer (MES: pH 5.5, 6.0, and 6.5; HEPES: pH 7.0, 7.5), 1 ethylene glycol tetraacetic acid (EGTA), and pH-adjusted NMDG/TMA-OH and HCl.

Macroscopic currents were low-pass filtered at 2.5 kHz, sampled at 20 kHz with an Axopatch 200B amplifier (Axon Instruments, USA) using an Instrutech 1800 AD/DA board (HEKA Elektronik, Germany) or an EPC-10 amplifier (HEKA Elektronik, Germany). Acquisition control and initial analysis were done with PatchMaster software. Pipettes for recording were pulled from borosilicate glass capillaries (Sutter Instrument, USA) and fire-polished to a resistance of 4–7 M Ω when filled with recording solution for inside- and outside-out recordings and 1–3 M Ω for the whole-cell recording. The bath (intracellular) solutions in inside-out patches were changed using a custom-built rapid solution changer. For whole-cell recordings, all the bath solution was exchanged to manipulate pH. In some recordings, linear current components were subtracted using a p/4 subtraction protocol.

Conditions for recording zinc effects

The effect of zinc was evaluated in outside-out patches at a Δ pH of 1. The bath solution composition was (in mM) 100 TMA-HMESO₃, 100 HEPES, 8 HCl, 2 CaCl₂, 2 MgCl₂, and the indicated concentration of ZnCl₂. The pipette solution was (in mM) 100 TMA-MESO₃, 100 MES, 8 HCl, 10 EGTA, and 2 MgCl₂. Both solutions were adjusted to pH 7 and pH 6, respectively, with TMA-OH/HCl. Patches were placed in front of a perfusion tube that was gravity-fed with the appropriate solution. Tubes were changed with a home-built rapid perfusion system.

Data analysis

Conductance, G, was calculated from I-V relations assuming ohmic instantaneous currents, according to

$$I(V) = G \cdot (V - V_{rev})$$

The normalized G-V relations were fit to a Boltzmann function according to Equation 1,

$$\frac{G}{G_{max}} = \frac{1}{1 + \exp\left(\frac{q(V - V_{0.5})}{K_B T}\right)}$$
(1)

Here, $V_{0.5}$ is the voltage at which $G/G_{max} = 0.5$, q is the apparent gating charge (in elementary charges, e_o), K_B is the Boltzmann constant, and T is the temperature in Kelvin (22°C).

The time constant of activation was estimated via a fit of the second half of currents to the equation

$$I(t) = I_{ss} \cdot \left(1 - e^{\left(\frac{-(t-\delta)}{\tau}\right)}\right)$$
(2)

where I_{ss} is the amplitude of the current at steady state, δ is the delay of the exponential with respect to the start of the voltage pulse, and τ is the time constant, both with units of ms. The voltage-dependence of δ and τ was estimated from a fit to equation

$$k(V) = k(0)e^{(-Vq_i/K_BT)}$$
(3)

where *i* stands for δ or τ and *k*(0) is the value of either parameter at 0 mV.

Currents in the presence of zinc were normalized to the current before application of the ion to obtain a normalized fraction of current blocked as $F_B = 1 - I/I_{max}$. The zinc dose-response curve was fitted to Hill's equation in the form

$$F_{B} = \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{K_{D}}{[Zn^{2+}]_{a}}\right)^{n_{H}}}$$
(4)

where K_D is the apparent dissociation constant, $[Zn^{2+}]_o$ is the extracellular zinc concentration, and n_H is the Hill coefficient.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Itzel A Llorente for the excellent technical assistance. We also thank Gerardo Coello from IFC-UNAM for help with analysis of coral transcriptomes. This work was funded in part by grant no. IN215621 from DGAPA-PAPIIT-UNAM to LDI, grant no. 247765 to ATB, and grant no. IN200720 to TR. EM was funded by Conacyt-Fronteras en la Ciencia Grant No. 2.

Additional information

Competing interests

Leon D Islas: Reviewing editor, eLife. The other authors declare that no competing interests exist.

runung		
Funder	Grant reference number	Author
Universidad Nacional Autóno- ma de México	IN215621	Leon D Islas
Universidad Nacional Autóno- ma de México	IN200720	Tamara Rosenbaum
Universidad Nacional Autóno- ma de México	IN247765	Anastazia T Banaszak

Rangel-Yescas et al. eLife 2021;10:e69248. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.69248

Eunding

Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y 2 Tecnología Ernesto Maldonado

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

Author contributions

Gisela Rangel-Yescas, Resources, Investigation, Project administration, Writing - review and editing; Cecilia Cervantes, Miguel A Cervantes-Rocha, Esteban Suárez-Delgado, Formal analysis, Investigation, Methodology, Writing - review and editing; Anastazia T Banaszak, Resources, Funding acquisition, Writing - review and editing; Ernesto Maldonado, Resources, Funding acquisition, Methodology, Writing - review and editing; Ian Scott Ramsey, Conceptualization, Writing - review and editing; Tamara Rosenbaum, Resources, Software, Formal analysis, Validation, Visualization, Writing - original draft, Writing - review and editing; Leon D Islas, Conceptualization, Resources, Data curation, Software, Formal analysis, Supervision, Funding acquisition, Validation, Investigation, Visualization, Methodology, Writing - original draft, Project administration, Writing - review and editing

Author ORCIDs

Miguel A Cervantes-Rocha b http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7401-7567 Esteban Suárez-Delgado b http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0147-3451 Anastazia T Banaszak b http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6667-3983 Ernesto Maldonado b http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9627-967X Ian Scott Ramsey b http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6432-4253 Leon D Islas b https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7461-5214

Decision letter and Author response

Decision letter https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.69248.sa1 Author response https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.69248.sa2

Additional files

Supplementary files

• Transparent reporting form

Data availability

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in the manuscript and supporting files. We have provided an Excel file with source data used for figures.

The following datasets were generated:

Author(s)	Year	Dataset title	Dataset URL	Database and Identifier
Rangel-Yescas G, Cervantes C, Cervantes-Rocha MA, Suárez- Delgado E, Banaszak AT, Maldonado E, Ramsey IS, Rosenbaum T, Islas LD	2021	Acropora millepora Hv1 proton- channel nucleotide sequence. MZ029047 - Acropora palmata Hv1 proton-channel nucleotide sequence.	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/nuccore/ MZ029046	NCBI GenBank, MZ0 29046
Rangel-Yescas G, Cervantes C, Cervantes-Rocha MA, Suárez- Delgado E, Banaszak AT, Maldonado E,	2021	Acropora palmata Hv1 proton- channel nucleotide sequence	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/nuccore/ MZ029047	NCBI GenBank, MZ0 29047

Ramsey IS, Rosenbaum T, Islas LD

The following previously published datasets were used:

Author(s)	Year	Dataset title	Dataset URL	Database and Identifier
Australian National University	2019	Title voltage-gated hydrogen channel 1- like [Acropora millepora]	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/protein/ 1666377134/	NCBI Protein, XP_0 29202331.1
King Abdullah University of Science and Technology	2017	voltage-gated hydrogen channel 1- like [Stylophora pistillata]	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/protein/XP_ 022795192.1	NCBI Protein, XP_0 22795192.1
University of Miami	2018	voltage-gated hydrogen channel 1- like [Pocillopora damicornis]	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/protein/XP_ 027057117.1	NCBI Protein, XP_0 27057117.1
QUT	2019	voltage-gated hydrogen channel 1- like [Actinia tenebrosa]	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/protein/XP_ 031564162.1	NCBI Protein, XP_0 31564162.1
Joint Genome Institute (JGI)	2017	voltage-gated hydrogen channel 1 [Nematostella vectensis]	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/protein/XP_ 001626501.1	NCBI Protein, XP_00 1626501.1
JCVI	2009	PREDICTED: voltage- gated hydrogen channel 1-like [Hydra vulgaris]	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/protein/ 828190613/	NCBI Protein, XP_0 12554112.1
Anon	2012	voltage-gated proton channel [Strongylocentrotus purpuratus]	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/protein/ 187282419/	NCBI Protein, NP_00 1119779.1
Anon	2006	voltage-gated hydrogen channel 1 [Ciona intestinalis]	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/protein/NP_ 001071937.1	NCBI Protein, NP_00 1071937.1
Vertebrate Genomes Project	2020	voltage-gated hydrogen channel 1 [Petromyzon marinus]	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/protein/XP_ 032803138.1	NCBI Protein, XP_0 32803138.1
Wellcome Sanger Institute	2021	voltage-gated hydrogen channel 1 [Rana temporaria]	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/protein/XP_ 040202566.1	NCBI Protein, XP_040 202566.1
Naturalis Biodiversity Center	2013	Voltage-gated hydrogen channel 1, partial [Ophiophagus hannah]	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/protein/ 565320699/	NCBI Protein, ETE71598.1
Baylor College of Medicine	2005	voltage-gated hydrogen channel 1- like isoform X1 [Strongylocentrotus purpuratus]	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/protein/XP_ 030847861.1	NCBI Protein, XP_030 847861.1
China Agricultural University	2010	Voltage-gated hydrogen channel 1, partial [Anas platyrhynchos]	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/protein/ EOA95241.1	NCBI Protein, EOA95241.1
Anon	2002	voltage-gated hydrogen channel 1 [Xenopus laevis]	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/protein/NP_ 001088875.1	NCBI Protein, NP_00 1088875.1
KAUST	2015	Voltage-gated hydrogen channel 1 [Exaiptasia diaphana]	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/protein/ KXJ27230.1	NCBI Protein, KXJ27230.1

Structural Biology and Molecular Biophysics

The University of Queensland	2020	voltage-gated hydrogen channel 1 [Cygnus atratus]	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/protein/XP_ 035421542.1	NCBI Protein, XP_0 35421542.1
Anon	2005	voltage-gated hydrogen channel 1 [Gallus gallus]	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/protein/NP_ 001025834.1	NCBI Protein, NP_00 1025834.1
Vertebrate Genomes Project	2019	voltage-gated hydrogen channel 1 [Ornithorhynchus anatinus]	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/protein/XP_ 028914661.1	NCBI Protein, XP_0 28914661.1
University of Washington	2018	voltage-gated hydrogen channel 1 [Theropithecus gelada]	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/protein/XP_ 025257726.1	NCBI Protein, XP_0 25257726.1
Anon	2002	HVCN1 protein [Homo sapiens]	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/protein/ AAH07277.1	NCBI Protein, AAH0 7277.1
The Roslin Institute	2020	voltage-gated hydrogen channel 1 isoform X1 [Canis lupus familiaris]	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/protein/XP_ 038292573.1	NCBI Protein, XP_0 38292573.1
Anon	2021	voltage-gated hydrogen channel 1 [Mus musculus]	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/protein/NP_ 001035954.1	NCBI Protein, NP_00 1035954.1
Beijing Genomics Institute	2003	voltage-gated hydrogen channel 1 [Mus musculus]	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/protein/XP_ 005424087.1	NCBI Protein, XP_00 5424087.1
College of Medicine and Forensics, Xi'an Jiaotong University	2014	voltage-gated hydrogen channel 1 [Egretta garzetta]	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/protein/XP_ 009633183.1	NCBI Protein, XP_00 9633183.1
Vertebrate Genomes Project	2020	voltage-gated hydrogen channel 1 [Amblyraja radiata]	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/protein/XP_ 032899181.1	NCBI Protein, XP_0 32899181.1
US Department of Agriculture, Agriculture Research Service	2018	voltage-gated hydrogen channel 1 [Fusarium longipes]	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/protein/ RGP61076.1	NCBI Protein, RGP610 76.1
US Department of Agriculture, Agriculture Research Service	2018	voltage-gated hydrogen channel 1 [Fusarium flagelliforme]	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/protein/ RFN53390.1	NCBI Protein, RFN53390.1
US Department of Agriculture, Agriculture Research Service	2020	voltage-gated hydrogen channel 1 [Fusarium heterosporum]	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/protein/ KAF5660113.1	NCBI Protein, KAF5660113.1
The Institute of Vegetables and Flowers CAAS	2019	voltage-gated hydrogen channel 1 [Cordyceps javanica]	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/protein/ TQW00298.1	NCBI Protein, TQW00 298.1
Broad Institute	2006	voltage-gated hydrogen channel 1 [Aplysia californica]	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/protein/XP_ 005100666.1	NCBI Protein, XP_00 5100666.1
The Genomic Institute	2017	Voltage-gated hydrogen channel 1 [Fasciola hepatica]	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/protein/ THD25470.1	NCBI Protein, THD25470.1
Global Invertebrate Genomics Alliance (GIGA)	2020	HVCN1 [Bugula neritina]	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/protein/ KAF6036357.1	NCBI Protein, KAF60 36357.1
NINGBO UNIVERSITY	2020	HVCN1 [Mytilus coruscus]	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/protein/ CAC5426376.1	NCBI Protein, CAC5426376.1
McDonnell Genome Institute	2020	Voltage-gated hydrogen channel 1 [Paragonimus heterotremus]	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/protein/ KAF5400532.1	NCBI Protein, KAF5400532.1

Structural Biology and Molecular Biophysics

Fudan University	2019	Voltage-gated hydrogen channel 1 [Schistosoma japonicum]	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/protein/ TNN21174.1	NCBI Protein, TNN21174.1
BGI-Shenzhen	2012	voltage-gated hydrogen channel 1 [Cicer arietinum]	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/protein/XP_ 012568882.1	NCBI Protein, XP_0 12568882.1
The Cucumber Genome Initiative	2009	voltage-gated hydrogen channel 1 [Cucumis sativus]	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/protein/XP_ 011656484.2	NCBI Protein, XP_0 11656484.2
African Centre of excellence in Phytomedicine Resaerch	2018	Voltage-gated hydrogen channel 1, partial [Mucuna pruriens]	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/protein/ RDX63547.1	NCBI Protein, RDX63547.1
Xi'an Jiaotong University	2018	voltage-gated hydrogen channel 1 [Papaver somniferum]	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/protein/XP_ 026460796.1	NCBI Protein, XP_0 26460796.1
International peanut genome project	2018	Voltage-gated hydrogen channel [Arachis hypogaea]	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/protein/ QHO09623.1	NCBI Protein, QHO0 9623.1
Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences	2019	voltage-gated hydrogen channel 1 [Benincasa hispida]	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/protein/XP_ 038886538.1	NCBI Protein, XP_0 38886538.1
University of Veterinary Medicine Hannover	2018	Voltage-gated hydrogen channel 1 [Trichoplax sp. H2]	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/protein/ RDD43770.1	NCBI Protein, RDD43770.1
Fisheries and Oceans Canada	2020	voltage-gated hydrogen channel 1- like [Oncorhynchus keta]	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/protein/XP_ 035634051.1	NCBI Protein, XP_0 35634051.1
Deakin University	2017	voltage-gated hydrogen channel 1 [Amphiprion ocellaris]	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/protein/XP_ 023152539.1	NCBI Protein, XP_0 23152539.1
Wellcome Sanger Institute	2019	voltage-gated hydrogen channel 1 [Denticeps clupeoides]	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/protein/XP_ 028830549.1	NCBI Protein, XP_0 28830549.1
Yellow Sea Fisheries Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Fishery Sciences	2019	voltage-gated hydrogen channel 1 [Epinephelus lanceolatus]	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/protein/XP_ 033488479.1	NCBI Protein, XP_0 33488479.1
Wellcome Sanger Institute	2019	voltage-gated hydrogen channel 1 [Myripristis murdjan]	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/protein/XP_ 029918050.1	NCBI Protein, XP_0 29918050.1
King Abdullah University of Science and technology	2017	voltage-gated hydrogen channel 1 [Acanthochromis polyacanthus]	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/protein/XP_ 022070642.1	NCBI Protein, XP_0 22070642.1
BGI-SZ	2018	voltage-gated hydrogen channel 1- like [Tachysurus fulvidraco]	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/protein/XP_ 027031192.1	NCBI Protein, XP_0 27031192.1
Mammalian Gene Collection Program Team	2002	HVCN1 protein [Homo sapiens]	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/protein/ AAH32672.1	NCBI Protein, AAH32672.1
Anon	2011	voltage-gated proton channel kHv1 [Karlodinium veneficum]	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/protein/ AEQ59286.1	NCBI Protein, AEQ59286.1
Okinawa Institute of Science and Technology Graduate University (OIST)	2015	voltage-gated hydrogen channel 1 [Lingula anatina]	https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/protein/XP_ 013413952.1	NCBI Protein, XP_0 13413952.1

References

- Ahern CA, Horn R. 2005. Focused electric field across the voltage sensor of potassium channels. *Neuron* **48**:25–29. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2005.08.020, PMID: 16202706
- Allemand D, Tambutté É., Zoccola D, Tambutté S. 2011. Coral calcification, cells to reefs. In: Dubinsky Z, Stambler N (Eds). *Coral Reefs Ecosyst. Transit.* Springer. p. 119–150. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-0114-4_9
- Berger TK, Fußhöller DM, Goodwin N, Bönigk W, Müller A, Dokani Khesroshahi N, Brenker C, Wachten D, Krause E, Kaupp UB, Strünker T. 2017. Post-translational cleavage of Hv1 in human sperm tunes pH- and voltage-dependent gating. *The Journal of Physiology* **595**:1533–1546. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1113/JP273189, PMID: 27859356
- Caldeira K, Wickett ME. 2003. Anthropogenic carbon and ocean pH. Nature 425:365. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1038/425365a
- Capasso L, Ganot P, Planas-Bielsa V, Tambutté S, Zoccola D. 2021. Intracellular pH regulation: characterization and functional investigation of H⁺ transporters in Stylophora pistillata. *BMC Molecular and Cell Biology* 22:1– 19. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12860-021-00353-x, PMID: 33685406
- Carmona EM, Larsson HP, Neely A, Alvarez O, Latorre R, Gonzalez C. 2018. Gating charge displacement in a monomeric voltage-gated proton (H_v1) channel. PNAS **115**:9240–9245. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas. 1809705115, PMID: 30127012
- **Carmona EM**, Fernandez M, Alvear-Arias JJ, Neely A, Larsson HP, Alvarez O, Garate JA, Latorre R, Gonzalez C. 2021. The voltage sensor is responsible for δph dependence in H_v1 channels. *PNAS* **118**:e2025556118. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2025556118, PMID: 33941706
- Castillo K, Pupo A, Baez-Nieto D, Contreras GF, Morera FJ, Neely A, Latorre R, Gonzalez C. 2015. Voltagegated proton (H(v)1) channels, a singular voltage sensing domain. FEBS Letters 589:3471–3478. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.febslet.2015.08.003, PMID: 26296320
- Changeux JP. 2012. Allostery and the Monod-Wyman-Changeux model after 50 years. Annual Review of Biophysics 41:103–133. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-biophys-050511-102222, PMID: 22224598
- Cherny VV, Markin VS, DeCoursey TE. 1995. The voltage-activated hydrogen ion conductance in rat alveolar epithelial cells is determined by the pH gradient. *Journal of General Physiology* **105**:861–896. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1085/jgp.105.6.861, PMID: 7561747
- Cherny VV, Morgan D, Musset B, Chaves G, Smith SM, DeCoursey TE. 2015. Tryptophan 207 is crucial to the unique properties of the human voltage-gated proton channel, hHV1. Journal of General Physiology 146:343– 356. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1085/jgp.201511456, PMID: 26458876
- Cherny VV, Musset B, Morgan D, Thomas S, Smith SME, DeCoursey TE. 2020. Engineered high-affinity zinc binding site reveals gating configurations of a human proton channel. *Journal of General Physiology* **152**: 202012664. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1085/jgp.202012664
- Chomczynski P, Sacchi N. 1987. Single-step method of RNA isolation by acid guanidinium thiocyanate-phenolchloroform extraction. Analytical Biochemistry 162:156–159. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-2697(87) 90021-2, PMID: 2440339
- Cleves PA, Strader ME, Bay LK, Pringle JR, Matz MV. 2018. CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing in a reefbuilding coral. PNAS 115:5235–5240. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1722151115, PMID: 29695630
- **De La Rosa V**, Bennett AL, Ramsey IS. 2018. Coupling between an electrostatic network and the Zn²⁺ binding site modulates Hv1 activation. *Journal of General Physiology* **150**:863–881. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1085/jgp. 201711822. PMID: 29743298
- De La Rosa V, Ramsey IS. 2018. Gating currents in the Hv1 proton channel. *Biophysical Journal* **114**:2844–2854. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2018.04.049, PMID: 29925021
- De-la-Rosa V, Rangel-Yescas GE, Ladrón-de-Guevara E, Rosenbaum T, Islas LD. 2013. Coarse architecture of the transient receptor potential vanilloid 1 (TRPV1) ion channel determined by fluorescence resonance energy transfer. Journal of Biological Chemistry 288:29506–29517. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M113.479618, PMID: 23965996
- **De-la-Rosa V**, Suárez-Delgado E, Rangel-Yescas GE, Islas LD. 2016. Currents through Hv1 channels deplete protons in their vicinity. *The Journal of General Physiology* **147**:127–136. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1085/jgp. 201511496, PMID: 26809792
- **DeCoursey TE**. 2013. Voltage-gated proton channels: molecular biology, physiology, and pathophysiology of the H(V) family. *Physiological Reviews* **93**:599–652. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00011.2012, PMID: 235 89829
- Edelstein AD, Tsuchida MA, Amodaj N, Pinkard H, Vale RD, Stuurman N. 2014. Advanced methods of microscope control using μmanager software. *Journal of Biological Methods* 1:e10. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 14440/jbm.2014.36, PMID: 25606571
- Halaszovich CR, Leitner MG, Mavrantoni A, Le A, Frezza L, Feuer A, Schreiber DN, Villalba-Galea CA, Oliver D. 2012. A human phospholipid phosphatase activated by a transmembrane control module. *Journal of Lipid Research* 53:2266–2274. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1194/jlr.M026021, PMID: 22896666
- Hoegh-Guldberg O. 1999. Climate change, coral bleaching and the future of the world's coral reefs. *Marine & Freshwater Research* **50**:839–866. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1071/MF99078
- Horrigan FT, Aldrich RW. 2002. Coupling between voltage sensor activation, Ca2+ binding and channel opening in large conductance (BK) potassium channels. *Journal of General Physiology* **120**:267–305. DOI: https://doi. org/10.1085/jgp.20028605, PMID: 12198087

- Islas LD, Sigworth FJ. 2001. Electrostatics and the gating pore of shaker potassium channels. *Journal of General Physiology* **117**:69–90. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1085/jgp.117.1.69, PMID: 11134232
- Iwasaki H, Murata Y, Kim Y, Hossain MI, Worby CA, Dixon JE, McCormack T, Sasaki T, Okamura Y. 2008. A voltage-sensing phosphatase, Ci-VSP, which shares sequence identity with PTEN, dephosphorylates phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate. PNAS 105:7970–7975. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0803936105, PMID: 18524949
- Jokiel PL. 2013. Coral reef calcification: carbonate, bicarbonate and proton flux under conditions of increasing ocean acidification. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **280**:20130031. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2013.0031
- Le Goff C, Tambutté E, Venn AA, Techer N, Allemand D, Tambutté S. 2017. In vivo pH measurement at the site of calcification in an octocoral. *Scientific Reports* **7**:1–14. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-10348-4, PMID: 28894174
- Lee SY, Letts JA, Mackinnon R. 2008. Dimeric subunit stoichiometry of the human voltage-dependent proton channel Hv1. PNAS 105:7692–7695. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0803277105, PMID: 18509058
- Lishko PV, Kirichok Y. 2010. The role of Hv1 and CatSper channels in sperm activation. *The Journal of Physiology* 588:4667–4672. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2010.194142, PMID: 20679352
- Long SB, Campbell EB, Mackinnon R. 2005. Crystal structure of a mammalian voltage-dependent shaker family K + channel. *Science* **309**:897–903. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1116269, PMID: 16002581
- Lupas A, Van Dyke M, Stock J. 1991. Predicting coiled coils from protein sequences. *Science* **252**:1162–1164. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.252.5009.1162, PMID: 2031185
- McDonnell AV, Jiang T, Keating AE, Berger B. 2006. Paircoil2: improved prediction of coiled coils from sequence. Bioinformatics 22:356–358. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bti797, PMID: 16317077
- Mony L, Stroebel D, Isacoff EY. 2020. Dimer interaction in the Hv1 proton channel. PNAS **117**:20898–20907. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2010032117, PMID: 32788354
- Moya A, Huisman L, Ball EE, Hayward DC, Grasso LC, Chua CM, Woo HN, Gattuso JP, Forêt S, Miller DJ. 2012. Whole transcriptome analysis of the coral Acropora millepora reveals complex responses to CO₂-driven acidification during the initiation of calcification. *Molecular Ecology* 21:2440–2454. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1111/j.1365-294X.2012.05554.x, PMID: 22490231
- Musset B, Cherny W, Morgan D, Okamura Y, Ramsey IS, Clapham DE, DeCoursey TE. 2008. Detailed comparison of expressed and native voltage-gated proton channel currents. *The Journal of Physiology* 586: 2477–2486. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1113/jphysiol.2007.149427, PMID: 18356202
- Papp F, Lomash S, Szilagyi O, Babikow E, Smith J, Chang TH, Bahamonde MI, Toombes GES, Swartz KJ. 2019. TMEM266 is a functional voltage sensor regulated by extracellular Zn². eLife 8:e42372. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 7554/eLife.42372, PMID: 30810529
- Qiu F, Chamberlin A, Watkins BM, Ionescu A, Perez ME, Barro-Soria R, González C, Noskov SY, Larsson HP. 2016. Molecular mechanism of Zn2+ inhibition of a voltage-gated proton channel. *PNAS* **113**:E5962–E5971. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1604082113, PMID: 27647906
- Ramsey IS, Moran MM, Chong JA, Clapham DE. 2006. A voltage-gated proton-selective channel lacking the pore domain. Nature 440:1213–1216. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature04700, PMID: 16554753
- Ramsey IS, Mokrab Y, Carvacho I, Sands ZA, Sansom MSP, Clapham DE. 2010. An aqueous H+ permeation pathway in the voltage-gated proton channel Hv1. Nature Structural & Molecular Biology 17:869–875. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.1826, PMID: 20543828
- Randolph AL, Mokrab Y, Bennett AL, Sansom MS, Ramsey IS. 2016. Proton currents constrain structural models of voltage sensor activation. *eLife* **5**:e18017. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.18017, PMID: 27572256
- Sakata S, Miyawaki N, McCormack TJ, Arima H, Kawanabe A, Özkucur N, Kurokawa T, Jinno Y, Fujiwara Y, Okamura Y. 2016. Comparison between mouse and sea urchin orthologs of voltage-gated proton channel suggests role of S3 segment in activation gating. *Biochimica Et Biophysica Acta (BBA) Biomembranes* 1858: 2972–2983. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbamem.2016.09.008
- Sasaki M, Takagi M, Okamura Y. 2006. A voltage sensor-domain protein is a voltage-gated proton channel. Science **312**:589–592. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1122352, PMID: 16556803
- Schladt TM, Berger TK. 2020. Voltage and pH difference across the membrane control the S4 voltage-sensor motion of the Hv1 proton channel. *Scientific Reports* 10:1–13. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-77986z, PMID: 33277511
- Schoppa NE, Sigworth FJ. 1998. Activation of shaker potassium channels. I. characterization of voltagedependent transitions. The Journal of General Physiology 111:271–294. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1085/jgp.111. 2.271, PMID: 9450944
- Smith SM, Morgan D, Musset B, Cherny VV, Place AR, Hastings JW, Decoursey TE. 2011. Voltage-gated proton channel in a dinoflagellate. PNAS 108:18162–18167. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1115405108, PMID: 22006335
- Takeshita K, Sakata S, Yamashita E, Fujiwara Y, Kawanabe A, Kurokawa T, Okochi Y, Matsuda M, Narita H, Okamura Y, Nakagawa A. 2014. X-ray crystal structure of voltage-gated proton channel. Nature Structural & Molecular Biology 21:352–357. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nsmb.2783, PMID: 24584463
- Taylor AR, Chrachri A, Wheeler G, Goddard H, Brownlee C. 2011. A voltage-gated H+ channel underlying pH homeostasis in calcifying coccolithophores. *PLOS Biology* 9:e1001085. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001085, PMID: 21713028

- Thomas S, Cherny VV, Morgan D, Artinian LR, Rehder V, Smith SME, DeCoursey TE. 2018. Exotic properties of a voltage-gated proton channel from the snail *Helisoma trivolvis*. *Journal of General Physiology* **150**:835–850. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1085/jgp.201711967, PMID: 29743301
- Tijssen J, Wijgerde T, Leal MC, Osinga R. 2017. Effects of zinc supplementation on growth and colouration of the scleractinian coral Stylophora pistillata. PeerJ 5:e2858v1. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7287/peerj.preprints.2858v1
- **Toyofuku T**, Matsuo MY, de Nooijer LJ, Nagai Y, Kawada S, Fujita K, Reichart GJ, Nomaki H, Tsuchiya M, Sakaguchi H, Kitazato H. 2017. Proton pumping accompanies calcification in foraminifera. *Nature Communications* **8**:1–6. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms14145, PMID: 28128216
- Tresguerres M, Barott KL, Barron ME, Deheyn DD, Kline DI, Linsmayer LB. 2017. Cell biology of reef-building corals: ion transport, acid/base regulation, and energy metabolism. In: Weihrauch D (Ed). Acid-Base Balance Nitrogen Excretion Invertebr. Springer. p. 193–218. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-39617-0_7
- Venn AA, Tambutté E, Lotto S, Zoccola D, Allemand D, Tambutté S. 2009. Imaging intracellular pH in a reef coral and symbiotic Anemone. PNAS 106:16574–16579. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0902894106, PMID: 19720994
- Villalba-Galea CA. 2014. Hv1 proton channel opening is preceded by a voltage-independent transition. Biophysical Journal 107:1564–1572. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bpj.2014.08.017, PMID: 25296308
- Waterhouse A, Bertoni M, Bienert S, Studer G, Tauriello G, Gumienny R, Heer FT, de Beer TAP, Rempfer C, Bordoli L, Lepore R, Schwede T. 2018. SWISS-MODEL: homology modelling of protein structures and complexes. Nucleic Acids Research 46:W296–W303. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky427, PMID: 297 88355
- Woodhull AM. 1973. Ionic blockage of sodium channels in nerve. *Journal of General Physiology* **61**:687–708. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1085/jgp.61.6.687, PMID: 4541078
- Ying H, Hayward DC, Cooke I, Wang W, Moya A, Siemering KR, Sprungala S, Ball EE, Forêt S, Miller DJ. 2019. The Whole-Genome sequence of the coral Acropora millepora. *Genome Biology and Evolution* **11**:1374–1379. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evz077, PMID: 31059562
- Zhao C, Tombola F. 2021. Voltage-gated proton channels from fungi highlight role of peripheral regions in channel activation. *Communications Biology* **4**:1–13. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s42003-021-01792-0
- Zheng J, Trudeau MC, Zagotta WN. 2002. Rod cyclic nucleotide-gated channels have a stoichiometry of three CNGA1 subunits and one CNGB1 subunit. *Neuron* **36**:891–896. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0896-6273(02) 01099-1, PMID: 12467592