



PKM2 Drives Hepatocellular Carcinoma Progression by Inducing Immunosuppressive Microenvironment

Tian-En Li^{1†}, Shun Wang^{1†}, Xiao-Tian Shen¹, Ze Zhang¹, Mo Chen¹, Hao Wang¹, Ying Zhu¹, Da Xu¹, Bei-Yuan Hu¹, Ran Wei¹, Yan Zheng¹, Qiong-Zhu Dong^{1,2*} and Lun-Xiu Qin^{1,2*}

² Institutes of Biomedical Sciences, Fudan University, Shanghai, China

carcinoma (HCC) is unclear.

¹ Department of General Surgery, Huashan Hospital & Cancer Metastasis Institute, Fudan University, Shanghai, China,

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Franz Rödel, University Hospital Frankfurt, Germany

Reviewed by:

Limin Zheng, Sun Yat-sen University, China Wei Chen, Stanford University, United States

*Correspondence:

Qiong-Zhu Dong qzhdong@fudan.edu.cn Lun-Xiu Qin qinlx@fudan.edu.cn

[†]These authors have contributed equally to this work

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Cancer Immunity and Immunotherapy, a section of the journal Frontiers in Immunology

Received: 31 July 2020 Accepted: 29 September 2020 Published: 20 October 2020

Citation:

Li T-E, Wang S, Shen X-T, Zhang Z, Chen M, Wang H, Zhu Y, Xu D, Hu B-Y, Wei R, Zheng Y, Dong Q-Z and Qin L-X (2020) PKM2 Drives Hepatocellular Carcinoma Progression by Inducing Immunosuppressive Microenvironment. Front. Immunol. 11:589997. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.589997 Background and Aims: Pyruvate kinase M2 (PKM2) is an essential regulator of the Warburg effect, but its biological function promoting immune escape of hepatocellular

Methods: GEPIA web tool and immunohistochemistry (IHC) analysis were employed to evaluate the clinical relevance of PKM2 in HCC patients. Both *in vitro* CCK-8, colony formation, and transwell assays, and *in vivo* xenografts were performed to evaluate the malignancy of HCC cells. PKM2 and PD-L1 levels were examined by Western blot, qRT-PCR, and IHC. The role of PKM2 on *in vivo* immune response was also investigated.

Results: PKM2 was significantly upregulated in HCC and associated with a poor prognosis of HCC patients. Knockdown of PKM2 inhibited *in vitro* proliferation, migration, and invasion of HCC cells, as well as *in vivo* tumor growth. Strikingly, PKM2 showed a strong correlation with the expression of immune inhibitory cytokines and lymphocyte infiltration in HCC. The overexpression of PKM2 sensitized HCC to immune checkpoint blockade, which enhanced IFN-γ positive CD8 T cells in HCC mice models.

Conclusion: PKM2 might be a predictor and a potential therapeutic target for immune checkpoint inhibitors in HCC.

Keywords: hepatocellular carcinoma, pyruvate kinase M2, progression, immune escape, immunosuppressive microenvironment, PD-L1

INTRODUCTION

As one of the most common human malignancies, hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the fourth leading cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide (1). Despite the increasing global incidence and status, there has been a dearth of treatment options for advanced HCC. In addition to some multikinase inhibitors such as Lenvatinib and Sorafenib have been proved to be standard treatment, recently, PD-1/PD-L1 blockade therapy have achieved remarkable success. However, their efficacy is

1

limited with less than 20% of patients who would benefit from them (2, 3). Therefore, it is urgent to identify useful biomarkers to select the suitable patients for these therapies.

Previously, our study has shown that glucose metabolic shift influences the HCC progression (4). Cancer cells exhibit aberrant metabolism characterized by shifting energy production from oxidative phosphorylation to glycolysis, known as the "Warburg effect" or "aerobic glycolysis" (5-7). The enhanced glycolysis results in lactic acid accumulation in tumor microenvironment, which helps tumor cells to invade the host's immunosurveillance and enhances tumor survival (8-11). The relation between immunosurveillance and metabolic reprogramming has been verified. Previous studies found that IL-22, produced by immune cells including T cells and NK cells, could promote oxidative phosphorylation and glycolysis and drive metabolic adaptive reprogramming (12). Pyruvate kinase M2 (PKM2) is considered as an essential regulator of this effect associated with cancer (13). Besides, PKM2 is critical in promoting cancer progression (13, 14). It have been found to phosphorylate essential proteins related to cancer progression and metabolism including Bub3 (15), STAT3 (16), histone H3 (17), and SREB1a (18). It also demonstrated that PKM2 can regulate HIF-1 α activity, resulting in the regulate gene expression via enhancing its ability to bind to the hypoxia-responsive elements (HREs) (19). Accumulative studies have shown that PKM2 is overexpressed in various cancers including HCC, and targeting PKM2 increases the therapeutic effect of cancer (20-23).

Tumor cells escape from immunosurveillance in a variety of ways (24). PD-L1/PD-1-induced T cell exhaustion is one of the main causes of immune evasion (24-26). Among the variant kinds of cancer immunotherapies, PD-L1/PD-1 blockade therapy has represented the backbone of improving the objective response and survive of patients with cancer (27, 28), including HCC (29, 30). Accumulative studies show that PD-L1 expression has been widely adopted as a predictive biomarker for PD-L1/PD-1 blockade therapy (25, 31). Thus, understanding PD-L1 intrinsic regulatory mechanisms is of great importance for immune checkpoint blockade therapy. It has been reported that PD-L1 expression was driven constitutively by aberrant signaling pathways or chromosomal alterations, including the interferon receptor adapter JAK2 (32, 33), PTEN deletions or PI3K/AKT mutations (34), MYC overexpression (35), CDK5 disruption (36), or increase in PD-L1 transcripts stabilized by truncation of the 3'untranslated region (UTR) (37). Moreover, PD-L1 up-regulation under hypoxia depended on HIF-1 α , and this has been reported to be related with PKM2 as a nuclear-transcription factor (38, 39).

In the present study, we aimed to explore the role of PKM2 in the immune escape of HCC cells, and its potential application in immune therapy of HCC.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cells and Animals

HEK 293T cells, human HCC cell lines (HepG2, Hep3B, PLC/ RCF/5, and Huh7) and mouse HCC cell line (Hepa1-6) were purchased from the Institute of Biochemistry and Cell Biology, Chinese Academy of Science (Shanghai China). Human HCC cell lines MHCC97-L, MHCC97-H, and HCC-LM3 were obtained from the Liver Cancer Institute at Fudan University (Shanghai, China) as previously described (40, 41). These cell lines were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's Medium (DMEM) (Hyclone) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Gibco). All cell lines were routinely maintained at 37.0°C in a humidified incubator with 5% CO₂.

All male mice (C57BL/6, BALB/c nu/nu) were purchased from Shanghai Slac Laboratory Animal Co. and maintained on standard rodent chow and water ad libitum. Prior to any surgical procedures, all mice were given intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection of Pentobarbital Sodium (40 mg/kg; Sigma Aldrich, St.Louis, MO, USA) and conducted in the SPF laboratory. All procedures involving animals were approved by The Animal Care and Use Committee of Fudan University (Shanghai, China).

Establishment of HCC Subcutaneous Xenograft Models in Nude Mice

Four human HCC cells including Sh-control MHCC97-H, Sh-PKM2 MHCC97-H, OE-vector Huh7 and OE-PKM2 Huh7 (2 × $10^{6}/100 \ \mu$ l in 50% Matrigel and PBS per mice) were injected into the right flank of nude mice (5 mice/group) to establish the subcutaneous implantation models. The tumor growth was monitored once per week until the mice were sacrificed. Tumor volume was calculated by the formula: a × b²/2 (a and b represent the largest and smallest tumor diameters respectively). The tumors were collected for RNA preparation, fixed in 4% formalin, and then embedded in paraffin. Consecutive sections of tumor tissues were applied by immunohistochemistry (IHC) staining.

Four mouse HCC cells including Sh-control Hepa1-6, Sh-PKM2 Hepa1-6, OE-control Hepa1-6, and OE-PKM2 Hepa1-6 ($1 \times 10^6/100 \,\mu$ l in 50% Matrigel and PBS per mice) were injected into the right flank of C57BL/6 mice (5 mice/group). All the tumors were collected, and the tumor weight was measured.

RESULTS

PKM2 Is Up-Regulated in HCC and Associated With Poor Prognosis in HCC Patients

To investigate the role of PKM2 in malignant progression of HCC, we used GEPIA web tool to analyze PKM2 mRNA expression in HCC and nontumor liver tissues. The results showed that the mRNA levels of PKM2 in HCC tissues were significantly higher than that in paraneoplastic tissues (**Figure 1A**). In addition, the correlation analysis showed a significant correlation between the expression of PKM2 and the pathological stage (P = 5.91e-05) (**Figure 1B**). PKM2 expression was significantly higher in advanced stages of HCCs than that in early ones. The survival curves showed that a higher PKM2 level was significantly associated with poor prognosis of HCC patients (**Figure 1C**).



FIGURE 1 | PKM2 is upregulated in HCC and associated with poor prognosis in HCC patients. (A) The GEPIA database revealed that PKM2 expression was significantly upregulated in HCC patients. The boxplot analysis showed log2 (TPM+1) on a log-scale. (B) Correlation between the expression level of PKM2 and the pathological stage of HCC patients (GEPIA). (C) The overall survival (OS) of the patients with HCC was computed with the GEPIA web tool. (D) Representative images of IHC staining of PKM2 in HCC tissue microarrays. Scale bar: 100 µm. (E, F) Survival curves of OS (E) and disease-free survival (DFS) (F) in HCC patients with differential PKM2 expression level calculated by Kaplan-Meier analysis and compared with the Log-rank test.

We further evaluated the relationship between the protein level of PKM2 and clinicopathological features of HCC patients (**Supplementary Table 1**). PKM2 expression was found in significant correlation with HCC tumor size (P = 0.013) and tumor grade (P = 0.035) rather than the other clinicopathological features including gender, age, HBV status, liver cirrhosis, AFP, ALT, tumor numbers, tumor capsule, and BCLC stage (**Supplementary Table 2**). Survival analysis showed that patients with high PKM2 expression had shorter overall survival (OS) and disease-free survival (DFS) time (log rank P = 0.0153 and 0.0051, respectively; **Figures 1D–F**).

PKM2 Promotes HCC Progression

To demonstrate the specific role of PKM2 in HCC cells, we detected expression of PKM2 in HCC cell lines including HepG2, Hep3B, PLC/RCF/5 (PLC), Huh7, MHCC97-L, MHCC97-H and HCC-LM3 (**Supplementary Figure 1A**). The results indicated that PKM2 was more highly expressed in HCC cell lines with high metastasis potential including MHCC97-H and HCC-LM3 compared with the others including HepG2, Hep3B, PLC, and Huh7. Then, Knockdown of PKM2 with specific lentiviral short hairpin RNA (Sh-PKM2) (**Supplementary Figures 2A, B**) or PKM2 inhibitor significantly impeded the cell proliferation of

MHCC97-H cells with high intrinsic PKM2 overexpression (**Figure 2A**). Likewise, the numbers of clone formation (**Figure 2B**), as well as the migration and invasion capacities (**Figure 2C**) were notably decreased in MHCC97-H cells with Sh-PKM2 or treated with PKM2 inhibitor. On the other hand, up-regulation of PKM2 by transfection of expression plasmid of PKM2 (OE-PKM2 Huh7 cells) (**Supplementary Figures 2C, D**) significantly enhanced proliferation, migration and invasion capacities of Huh7 cells, which have a low intrinsic PKM2 level (**Figures**)

2D-F). These indicate that PKM2 plays important roles in promoting *in vitro* proliferation and invasion of HCC cells.

To validate the role of PKM2 *in vivo*, we established subcutaneous xenograft models using various HCC cells. Knockdown of PKM2 significantly inhibited the tumor growth of MHCC97-H cells, and the tumors of Sh-PKM2 group were significantly smaller than that of the Sh-controls (**Figures 3A–C**). On the other hand, overexpression PKM2 significantly promoted the tumor growth of Huh7 cells, and the tumors in the



and PKM2 inhibitor. (**D**) Cell proliferations of OE-vector and OE-PKM2 were assessed by CCK-8 assays in Huh7 cells. (**E**) Images (left panel) and quantified analysis (right panel) of clone formation assays in Huh7 cells with OE-vector and OE-PKM2. (**F**) Representative images (left panel) and quantified analysis (right 2 panels) of transwell assays in Huh7 cells with OE-vector and OE-PKM2. (**F**) Representative images (left panel) and quantified analysis (right 2 panels) of vector and OE-PKM2. (**F**) Representative images (left panel) and quantified analysis (right 2 panels) of vector and OE-PKM2. (**F**) Representative images (left panel) and quantified analysis (right 2 panels) of vector and OE-PKM2. (**F**) Representative images (left panel) and quantified analysis (right 2 panels) of vector and OE-PKM2. (**F**) Representative images (left panel) and quantified analysis (right 2 panels) of vector and OE-PKM2. **n** = 5, mean ± SEM, ***P < 0.001, **P < 0.05. Sh, short hairpin; Scr, Sh-control; EV, Overexpression vector; OE, Overexpression; OD, absorbance degrees.

OE-PKM2 group were significantly larger than the controls (**Figures 3D-F**). In addition, IHC staining of PKM2 and Ki67 in xenografts tumors showed that knockdown of PKM2 inhibited proliferation of MHCC97-H tumors, while overexpression of PKM2 promoted the proliferation of Huh7 tumors *in vivo* (**Figure 3G**). These provide further evidence that PKM2 promotes tumor growth and metastasis of HCCs.

PKM2 Modulates Glucose Metabolic Reprogramming in HCC

To investigate the mechanism of PKM2 regulating HCC malignancy potential, we performed Gene Set Enrichment

Analysis (GSEA) from TCGA data. Compared with the PKM2 low expression group, the leading enriched signatures in PKM2 high expression group included gene sets involved in cell cycle (**Figure 4A**), G2/M checkpoint (**Figure 4B**), and glycolysisgluconeogenesis (**Figure 4C**). To verify the metabolic shift induced by PKM2 alteration in HCC, we used qRT-PCR to detect the expression of metabolic enzymes in glucose metabolism. The representative enzymes involved in aerobic glycolysis were significantly reduced in Sh-PKM2 MHCC 97-H cells (**Figure 4D**) and their subcutaneous xenograft tumors (**Supplementary Figure 3A**), while the expression levels of key metabolic enzymes were significantly increased in OE-PKM2







Huh7 cells (**Figure 4E**) and their subcutaneous xenograft tumors (**Supplementary Figure 3B**). Moreover, knockdown of PKM2 effectively inhibited lactate production of MHCC97-H cells and overexpression of PKM2 enhanced lactate production in Huh7 cells (**Figure 4F**). These indicate that PKM2 promotes the progression of HCC *via* regulating glucose metabolism.

PKM2 Remodels Tumor Immune Microenvironment in HCCs

To explore the role of PKM2 in immune response of HCC microenvironment, we analyzed the lymphocyte infiltration and expression of immune inhibitory genes in TCGA database using GSEA. The most significantly enriched signatures were gene sets involved in lymphocyte migration (**Figure 5A**), lymphocyte mediated immunity (**Figure 5B**) and T cell migration (**Figure 5C**) in PKM2-high group compared with the PKM2-low ones. Then we used the GEPIA web tool to analyze the correlation between PKM2 level and CD45, CD4, or CD8. The results indicated that PKM2 expression was in distinctive correlation

with CD45, CD4, and CD8 expression in HCC specimens (Figures 5D-F). We further conducted KEGG enrichment analysis to figure out the differential immune-related genes between the HCCs with different PKM2 levels. The tumors with high PKM2 were found to have higher levels of inflammatory factors, such as TNF and IL-6, and chemokines including CXCL1 and CSF1, as well as the immune inhibitory factors, such as CD274 (PD-L1), CTLA4, and LAG3 (Figure 5G). In addition, increased CD8 T cells, Treg cells, and M2 macrophages but decreased M1 macrophages were found in HCCs with high PKM2 (Figure 5H). These indicate that the high PKM2 expression induces an inflammatory milieu and creates an immunosuppressive microenvironment to support HCC progression.

PKM2 Is Positively Correlated With PD-L1 in HCC Patients

We further used GEPIA to analyze the correlation between PKM2 and PD-L1 expression levels, and found a close



(H) Various immune cell scores of HCC samples in high and low PKM2 expression.

correlation between them in HCCs (**Figure 6A**). This correlation was further validated in protein level in HCC cell lines including HepG2, Hep3B, PLC, Huh7, MHCC97-L, MHCC97-H, and HCC-LM3 detected by Western blot (**Figure 6B** and **Supplementary Figure 1A**) and HCC specimens using IHC on the TMA (**Figure 6C**). The association of PD-L1 expression with clinicopathological parameters was summarized in **Supplementary Table 3**. PD-L1 expression was associated with tumor size (P = 0.026) and tumor number (P = 0.08), rather than the other clinicopathological features. Pearson product-moment

correlation analysis showed a significantly positive correlation between PD-L1 and PKM2 (**Figure 6D**, r = 0.30, P = 0.0049).

To further determine this correlation, we detected the PD-L1 level in HCC cells after PKM2 expression were modulated. Both the mRNA and protein levels of PD-L1 were significantly decreased when PKM2 was knocked down by Sh-PKM2 in MHCC97-H cells (**Supplementary Figure 4A**) but significantly increased in OE-PKM2 Huh7 cells (**Supplementary Figure 4B**). Similar alterations were observed in Hepa1-6 cells (**Supplementary Figure 3C, D**), as well as in the xenograft



FIGURE 6 | PKM2 promote the immune escape of HCC *via* PD-L1 upregulation. **(A)** The correlation between the expression of PKM2 and PD-L1 in human HCC samples using the GEPIA web tool. **(B)** The correlation between PD-L1 and PKM2 expression in human HCC cell lines. Pearson product-moment correlation coefficients and the *P* values are shown. **(C)** Representative IHC staining of HCC tumors for PKM2 and PD-L1. Scale bar: 100 µm. **(D)** The correlation between the PD-L1 and PKM2 expression in tumor tissues of the HCC patients (n = 87). Pearson product-moment correlation coefficients and the *P* values are shown. **(E)** Flow cytometric analysis and quantitative analysis of PD-L1 expression in Sh-PKM2 Hepa1-6 cells. Left panel: Representative image of flow cytometric analysis. **(F)** Flow cytometric analysis and quantitative analysis of PD-L1 expression in OE-PKM2 Hepa1-6 cells. Left panel: Representative image of flow cytometric analysis. Right panel: Quantitative analysis of PD-L1 expression in OE-PKM2 Hepa1-6 cells. Left panel: Representative image of flow cytometric analysis. Right panel: Representative image of flow cytometric analysis. Right panel: Quantitative analysis of PD-L1 expression in OE-PKM2 Hepa1-6 cells. Left panel: Representative image of flow cytometric analysis. Right panel: Quantitative analysis of PD-L1 expression in OE-PKM2 Hepa1-6 cells. Left panel: Representative image of flow cytometric analysis. Right panel: Quantitative analysis of flow cytometric analysis. **(G)** Representative images (left panel) and statistical analysis (right panel) of T cell cytotoxicity assays. n = 5, mean ± SEM, ***P < 0.001.

tumors of Sh-PKM2 MhCC97-H and OE-PKM2 Huh7 cells (**Supplementary Figure 4B**). Flow cytometric (FCM) analysis validated the decreasing expression of PD-L1 in MHCC97-H cells and Hepa1-6 cells after PKM2 knockdown or PKM2

inhibitor treatment, whereas overexpression of PKM2 increased PD-L1 expression in Huh7 and Hepa1-6 cells (**Figures 6E, F** and **Supplementary Figures 6A, B**). Moreover, Sh-PKM2 transfected Hepa1-6 cells were more sensitive to cytotoxicity mediated by

CD8 T cell than the control Hepa1-6 cells, whereas OE-PKM2 Hepa1-6 cells were more resistant to CD8 T cell mediated cytotoxicity than the controls (**Figure 6G**). These results indicate that PKM2 is closely correlated to PD-L1 expression and immune escape in HCCs and may serve as a biomarker for the response to PD-L1 antibody treatment.

Overexpression of PKM2 Enhances the Therapeutic Response of HCC to PD-L1 Blockade

In addition to PKM2 as a biomarker for PD-L1 blockade therapy, we further explored the effect of PKM2 level on the response of PD-L1 blockade. We treated the syngeneic C57BL/6 mice bearing with Sh-PKM2 Hepa1-6 cells or the Sh-control cells with a murine anti-PD-L1 antibody (aPD-L1) at first. Either PKM2 knockdown or aPD-L1 therapy could significantly inhibit *in vivo* tumor growth compared with the controls (**Figures 7A–C**). Besides, we treated OE-PKM2 Hepa1-6 and the OE-vector Hepa1-6 bearing mice with aPD-L1 to elucidate the PKM2 voverexpression on the response to PD-L1 blockade. OE-PKM2 was found to significantly enhance the inhibitory effect of aPD-L1, although aPD-L1 alone also suppressed the tumor growth in subcutaneous implantation models (**Figures 7D–F**). The increase of IFN- γ secretion induced by aPD-L1 therapy was

also much more significantly in the mice from OE-PKM2 group than the OE-vector group (**Figure 7G**). Taken together, these results indicate that PKM2 promotes PD-L1 expression and enhances the response of HCC to PD-L1 blockade therapy.

DISCUSSION

HCC is the fourth leading cause of cancer related deaths worldwide, but currently there are no effective targeted therapies for the intermediate and advanced HCC (1, 42, 43). In addition to the traditional antiangiogenic tyrosine kinase inhibitors, anti-PD-L1 and anti-PD-1 monoclonal antibodies as well as combined therapies (30, 44) have shown promising antitumor effects, but only a fraction of treated patients achieves durable responses (2, 3, 43-45). These suitable patients of efficient therapeutic strategies need to be selected by specific biomarkers. Accumulative works have demonstrated the key role of metabolic enzymes in tumor microenvironment (46-48). Molecular typing and targeted interventions which based on metabolic reprogramming have become potential therapeutic strategies for HCC (49, 50). Herein, we report that PKM2 drives HCC progression by inducing an immunosuppressive microenvironment. The overexpression of PKM2 enhances the therapeutic response of HCC to PD-L1 blockade.





PKM2 is an essential regulator of the Warburg effect in cancer cells which catalyzes the phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP) and adenosine diphosphate (ADP) into pyruvate and adenosine triphosphate (ATP) as fuel for proliferation and metastasis of cancer cells (51). Accumulative studies have reported that PKM2 is upregulated and promotes the growth and metastasis in multiple tumors (52, 53). In the present study, we found that PKM2 was highly expressed in HCCs and was closely correlated to the poor prognosis of HCC patients. PKM2 was proved to promote HCC growth and metastasis *via in vitro* and *in vivo* assays. Our findings reinforce the idea that metabolic enzyme such as PKM2 is an important mediator for HCC progression. Because HCC are well known to be highly aggressive tumors, it appears that PKM2 may serve as a potential metabolic biomarker for prediction of HCC progression.

Growing evidence indicated that metabolic abnormalities promotes immune escape of cancer cells. Glucose consumption by cancer cells metabolically restricts T cells via dampened their glycolytic capacity and IFN-y production (54). Abnormal accumulation of lactic acid due to excessive glycolysis and further acidic environment lead to cytotoxic effects on various immune cells (55). On the other hand, aerobic glycolysis orchestrates a molecular network of chemokines to affect myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) and maintains tumor immunosuppression (46). In this study, we found PKM2 was in a distinctive correlation with immunosuppressive microenvironment of HCC. Although CD45, CD4 and CD8 immune cells were abundantly infiltrated in the tumor microenvironment of HCC patients with high level PKM2, these patients also have higher levels of inflammatory factors, such as TNF and IL-6, and chemokines including CXCL1 and CSF1, as well as the immune inhibitory factors, such as CD274 (PD-L1), CTLA4, and LAG3. Moreover, Treg cells and M2 macrophages were increasingly infiltrated, while M1 macrophages were decreasingly infiltrated in the high PKM2 tumor microenvironment. These alterations of immune cells and immune factors are responsible for immune escape, which, in turn, promote the progression of HCC. These findings further underscore the close relationship between metabolic alterations and immune responses.

In addition, we found that PKM2 expression was positively correlated with regulators of PD-L1 includes inflammatory signaling like IFN- γ and oncogenic signaling such as MYC, HIF1 α and STATA3 (56). As PKM2 promotes HIF1a downstream gene transcription through direct interaction with HIF1 α (19), which may be one of the mechanisms why PKM2 promotes PD-L1 expression. On the other hand, our previous study found that CSF1-induced macrophage polarization toward M2 reversely promoted PD-L1 expression of tumor cell *via* PI3K/AKT/NF- κ B/ p65 activation (40). It may uncover a new insight on the PD-L1 regulation *via* interaction of tumor cells and immune cells.

The discovery of biomarkers that predict immunotherapeutic response is essential for the clinical application of anti-PD-1/PD-L1 antibodies. Despite the improved response rates of the patients with higher levels of PD-L1 expression, interpretation of PD-L1 by IHC cannot reflect the true expression of PD-L1 expression. The lack of binding sites on PD-L1 amenable for IHC detection, the

variation of IHC cutoffs, and varying detection antibodies contribute to the marred interpretation. besides the PD-L1 expression heterogeneity (57). Thus, the biomarker chaperones are needed to improve the detection accuracy of PD-L1 expression. Our findings demonstrated that high PKM2 expression induces an inflammatory milieu and creates an immunosuppressive microenvironment. This prompted us to hypothesize that anti-PD-1/PD-L1 antibodies were more effective in HCC patients with high PKM2 expression. And it was confirmed by the enhanced therapeutic response to PD-L1 blockade therapy caused by the overexpression of PKM2. These results indicated that PKM2 would promise a probable candidate for improved prediction on PD-L1/PD-1 blockade benefit for HCC patients.

In conclusion, our findings indicated that PKM2 drove HCC progression *via* inducing immunosuppressive microenvironment and PD-L1 upregulation. The overexpression of PKM2 sensitized HCC to immune checkpoint blockade, which enhance IFN- γ positive CD8 T cells in HCC mice models. PKM2 might be a predictor and a potential therapeutic target for immune checkpoint inhibitors in HCC.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets analyzed for this study can be found in the Gene Expression Profiling Interactive Analysis 2 (GEPIA2) http://gepia2.cancer-pku.cn.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The studies involving human participants were reviewed and approved by Ethics Boards of Huashan Hospital of Fudan University (Shanghai, China). The patients/participants provided their written informed consent to participate in this study. The animal study was reviewed and approved by Ethical Committee of Fudan University (Shanghai, China). Written informed consent was obtained from the individual(s) for the publication of any potentially identifiable images or data included in this article.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Q-ZD, L-XQ, and T-EL designed the research. SW, T-EL, YiZ, and X-TS performed the research. SW, T-EL, X-TS, ZZ, MC, RW, and HW analyzed the data. T-EL and SW drafted the paper. Q-ZD, DX, and YaZ provided patient tissue samples and collected patient clinical information. Q-ZD, L-XQ, and T-EL revised the paper. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This work was supported by the Program of Shanghai Academic Research Leader (20XD1400900), the National Key Research and

Development Program of China (2017YFC1308604 and 2017YFC0908402), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81940074, 81772563, 81802903, and 81672820), the NSFC Program of International Cooperation and Exchanges (81120108016).

REFERENCES

- 1. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2020. CA Cancer J Clin (2020) 70:7–30. doi: 10.3322/caac.21590
- El-Khoueiry AB, Sangro B, Yau T, Crocenzi TS, Kudo M, Hsu C, et al. Nivolumab in patients with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma (CheckMate 040): an open-label, non-comparative, phase 1/2 dose escalation and expansion trial. *Lancet* (2017) 389:2492–502. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(17) 31046-2
- Zhu AX, Finn RS, Edeline J, Cattan S, Ogasawara S, Palmer D, et al. Pembrolizumab in patients with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma previously treated with sorafenib (KEYNOTE-224): a non-randomised, open-label phase 2 trial. *Lancet Oncol* (2018) 19:940–52. doi: 10.1016/ s1470-2045(18)30351-6
- Zhang Z, Li TE, Chen M, Xu D, Zhu Y, Hu BY, et al. MFN1-dependent alteration of mitochondrial dynamics drives hepatocellular carcinoma metastasis by glucose metabolic reprogramming. *Br J Cancer* (2020) 122:209–20. doi: 10.1038/s41416-019-0658-4
- Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. *Cell* (2011) 144:646–74. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2011.02.013
- Koppenol WH, Bounds PL, Dang CV. Otto Warburg's contributions to current concepts of cancer metabolism. *Nat Rev Cancer* (2011) 11:325–37. doi: 10.1038/nrc3038
- Liberti MV, Locasale JW. The Warburg Effect: How Does it Benefit Cancer Cells? Trends Biochem Sci (2016) 41:211–8. doi: 10.1016/j.tibs.2015.12.001
- Choi SY, Collins CC, Gout PW, Wang Y. Cancer-generated lactic acid: a regulatory, immunosuppressive metabolite? J Pathol (2013) 230:350–5. doi: 10.1002/path.4218
- Romero-Garcia S, Moreno-Altamirano MM, Prado-Garcia H, Sánchez-García FJ. Lactate Contribution to the Tumor Microenvironment: Mechanisms, Effects on Immune Cells and Therapeutic Relevance. *Front Immunol* (2016) 7:52. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2016.00052
- Brand A, Singer K, Koehl GE, Kolitzus M, Schoenhammer G, Thiel A, et al. LDHA-Associated Lactic Acid Production Blunts Tumor Immunosurveillance by T and NK Cells. *Cell Metab* (2016) 24:657-71. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2016.08.011
- Fischer K, Hoffmann P, Voelkl S, Meidenbauer N, Ammer J, Edinger M, et al. Inhibitory effect of tumor cell-derived lactic acid on human T cells. *Blood* (2007) 109:3812–9. doi: 10.1182/blood-2006-07-035972
- Chen W, Zai W, Fan J, Zhang X, Zeng X, Luan J, et al. Interleukin-22 drives a metabolic adaptive reprogramming to maintain mitochondrial fitness and treat liver injury. *Theranostics* (2020) 10:5879–94. doi: 10.7150/thno.43894
- Christofk HR, Vander Heiden MG, Harris MH, Ramanathan A, Gerszten RE, Wei R, et al. The M2 splice isoform of pyruvate kinase is important for cancer metabolism and tumour growth. *Nature* (2008) 452:230–3. doi: 10.1038/ nature06734
- Kurihara-Shimomura M, Sasahira T, Nakashima C, Kuniyasu H, Shimomura H, Kirita T. The Multifarious Functions of Pyruvate Kinase M2 in Oral Cancer Cells. *Int J Mol Sci* (2018) 19:2907. doi: 10.3390/ijms19102907
- Jiang Y, Li X, Yang W, Hawke DH, Zheng Y, Xia Y, et al. PKM2 regulates chromosome segregation and mitosis progression of tumor cells. *Mol Cell* (2014) 53:75–87. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2013.11.001
- Gao X, Wang H, Yang JJ, Liu X, Liu ZR. Pyruvate kinase M2 regulates gene transcription by acting as a protein kinase. *Mol Cell* (2012) 45:598–609. doi: 10.1016/j.molcel.2012.01.001
- Yang W, Xia Y, Hawke D, Li X, Liang J, Xing D, et al. PKM2 phosphorylates histone H3 and promotes gene transcription and tumorigenesis. *Cell* (2012) 150:685–96. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2012.07.018
- 18. Zhao X, Zhao L, Yang H, Li J, Min X, Yang F, et al. Pyruvate kinase M2 interacts with nuclear sterol regulatory element-binding protein 1a and

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu.2020. 589997/full#supplementary-material

thereby activates lipogenesis and cell proliferation in hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Biol Chem* (2018) 293:6623–34. doi: 10.1074/jbc.RA117.000100

- Luo W, Hu H, Chang R, Zhong J, Knabel M, O'Meally R, et al. Pyruvate kinase M2 is a PHD3-stimulated coactivator for hypoxia-inducible factor 1. *Cell* (2011) 145:732–44. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2011.03.054
- Tamada M, Suematsu M, Saya H. Pyruvate kinase M2: multiple faces for conferring benefits on cancer cells. *Clin Cancer Res* (2012) 18:5554–61. doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.Ccr-12-0859
- 21. Palsson-McDermott EM, Curtis AM, Goel G, Lauterbach MA, Sheedy FJ, Gleeson LE, et al. Pyruvate kinase M2 regulates Hif-1 α activity and IL-1 β induction and is a critical determinant of the warburg effect in LPS-activated macrophages. *Cell Metab* (2015) 21:65–80. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2014.12.005
- van Niekerk G, Engelbrecht AM. Role of PKM2 in directing the metabolic fate of glucose in cancer: a potential therapeutic target. *Cell Oncol (Dordr)* (2018) 41:343–51. doi: 10.1007/s13402-018-0383-7
- Feng J, Wu L, Ji J, Chen K, Yu Q, Zhang J, et al. PKM2 is the target of proanthocyanidin B2 during the inhibition of hepatocellular carcinoma. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res* (2019) 38:204. doi: 10.1186/s13046-019-1194-z
- Kalbasi A, Ribas A. Tumour-intrinsic resistance to immune checkpoint blockade. Nat Rev Immunol (2020) 20:25–39. doi: 10.1038/s41577-019-0218-4
- Herbst RS, Baas P, Kim DW, Felip E, Pérez-Gracia JL, Han JY, et al. Pembrolizumab versus docetaxel for previously treated, PD-L1-positive, advanced non-small-cell lung cancer (KEYNOTE-010): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* (2016) 387:1540–50. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(15)01281-7
- Gandini S, Massi D, Mandalà M. PD-L1 expression in cancer patients receiving anti PD-1/PD-L1 antibodies: A systematic review and metaanalysis. Crit Rev Oncol Hematol (2016) 100:88–98. doi: 10.1016/ j.critrevonc.2016.02.001
- Feng Z, Rong P, Wang W. Meta-analysis of the efficacy and safety of PD-1/ PD-L1 inhibitors administered alone or in combination with anti-VEGF agents in advanced hepatocellular carcinoma. *Gut* (2020) 69:1904–6. doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2019-320116
- Havel JJ, Chowell D, Chan TA. The evolving landscape of biomarkers for checkpoint inhibitor immunotherapy. *Nat Rev Cancer* (2019) 19:133–50. doi: 10.1038/s41568-019-0116-x
- 29. Finn RS, Ryoo BY, Merle P, Kudo M, Bouattour M, Lim HY, et al. Pembrolizumab As Second-Line Therapy in Patients With Advanced Hepatocellular Carcinoma in KEYNOTE-240: A Randomized, Double-Blind, Phase III Trial. J Clin Oncol (2020) 38:193–202. doi: 10.1200/jco.19.01307
- Finn RS, Qin S, Ikeda M, Galle PR, Ducreux M, Kim TY, et al. Atezolizumab plus Bevacizumab in Unresectable Hepatocellular Carcinoma. N Engl J Med (2020) 382:1894–905. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1915745
- Ribas A, Hu-Lieskovan S. What does PD-L1 positive or negative mean? J Exp Med (2016) 213:2835–40. doi: 10.1084/jem.20161462
- 32. Green MR, Monti S, Rodig SJ, Juszczynski P, Currie T, O'Donnell E, et al. Integrative analysis reveals selective 9p24.1 amplification, increased PD-1 ligand expression, and further induction via JAK2 in nodular sclerosing Hodgkin lymphoma and primary mediastinal large B-cell lymphoma. *Blood* (2010) 116:3268–77. doi: 10.1182/blood-2010-05-282780
- Ansell SM, Lesokhin AM, Borrello I, Halwani A, Scott EC, Gutierrez M, et al. PD-1 blockade with nivolumab in relapsed or refractory Hodgkin's lymphoma. N Engl J Med (2015) 372:311–9. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa1411087
- Lastwika KJ, Wilson W,3, QK L, Norris J, Xu H, Ghazarian SR, et al. Control of PD-L1 Expression by Oncogenic Activation of the AKT-mTOR Pathway in Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer. *Cancer Res* (2016) 76:227–38. doi: 10.1158/ 0008-5472.Can-14-3362
- Casey SC, Tong L, Li Y, Do R, Walz S, Fitzgerald KN, et al. MYC regulates the antitumor immune response through CD47 and PD-L1. *Science* (2016) 352:227–31. doi: 10.1126/science.aac9935

- Dorand RD, Nthale J, Myers JT, Barkauskas DS, Avril S, Chirieleison SM, et al. Cdk5 disruption attenuates tumor PD-L1 expression and promotes antitumor immunity. *Science* (2016) 353:399–403. doi: 10.1126/science.aae0477
- Kataoka K, Shiraishi Y, Takeda Y, Sakata S, Matsumoto M, Nagano S, et al. Aberrant PD-L1 expression through 3'-UTR disruption in multiple cancers. *Nature* (2016) 534:402–6. doi: 10.1038/nature18294
- Noman MZ, Desantis G, Janji B, Hasmim M, Karray S, Dessen P, et al. PD-L1 is a novel direct target of HIF-1α, and its blockade under hypoxia enhanced MDSC-mediated T cell activation. *J Exp Med* (2014) 211:781–90. doi: 10.1084/ jem.20131916
- Palsson-McDermott EM, Dyck L, Zasłona Z, Menon D, McGettrick AF, Mills KHG, et al. Pyruvate Kinase M2 Is Required for the Expression of the Immune Checkpoint PD-L1 in Immune Cells and Tumors. *Front Immunol* (2017) 8:1300. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2017.01300
- 40. Zhu Y, Yang J, Xu D, Gao XM, Zhang Z, Hsu JL, et al. Disruption of tumourassociated macrophage trafficking by the osteopontin-induced colonystimulating factor-1 signalling sensitises hepatocellular carcinoma to anti-PD-L1 blockade. *Gut* (2019) 68:1653–66. doi: 10.1136/gutjnl-2019-318419
- 41. Qin Z, Xiang C, Zhong F, Liu Y, Dong Q, Li K, et al. Transketolase (TKT) activity and nuclear localization promote hepatocellular carcinoma in a metabolic and a non-metabolic manner. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res* (2019) 38:154. doi: 10.1186/s13046-019-1131-1
- Yang JD, Hainaut P, Gores GJ, Amadou A, Plymoth A, Roberts LR. A global view of hepatocellular carcinoma: trends, risk, prevention and management. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* (2019) 16:589–604. doi: 10.1038/s41575-019-0186-y
- Faivre S, Rimassa L, Finn RS. Molecular therapies for HCC: Looking outside the box. J Hepatol (2020) 72:342–52. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2019.09.010
- Llovet JM, Ricci S, Mazzaferro V, Hilgard P, Gane E, Blanc JF, et al. Sorafenib in advanced hepatocellular carcinoma. N Engl J Med (2008) 359:378–90. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa0708857
- 45. Kudo M, Finn RS, Qin S, Han KH, Ikeda K, Piscaglia F, et al. Lenvatinib versus sorafenib in first-line treatment of patients with unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma: a randomised phase 3 non-inferiority trial. *Lancet* (2018) 391:1163–73. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(18)30207-1
- 46. Li W, Tanikawa T, Kryczek I, Xia H, Li G, Wu K, et al. Aerobic Glycolysis Controls Myeloid-Derived Suppressor Cells and Tumor Immunity via a Specific CEBPB Isoform in Triple-Negative Breast Cancer. *Cell Metab* (2018) 28:87–103.e106. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2018.04.022
- Mogilenko DA, Haas JT, L'Homme L, Fleury S, Quemener S, Levavasseur M, et al. Metabolic and Innate Immune Cues Merge into a Specific Inflammatory Response via the UPR. *Cell* (2019) 177:1201–16.e1219. doi: 10.1016/ j.cell.2019.03.018

- Dias AS, Almeida CR, Helguero LA, Duarte IF. Metabolic crosstalk in the breast cancer microenvironment. *Eur J Cancer* (2019) 121:154–71. doi: 10.1016/j.ejca.2019.09.002
- Bidkhori G, Benfeitas R, Klevstig M, Zhang C, Nielsen J, Uhlen M, et al. Metabolic network-based stratification of hepatocellular carcinoma reveals three distinct tumor subtypes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* (2018) 115:E11874– e11883. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1807305115
- Lu M, Zhu WW, Wang X, Tang JJ, Zhang KL, Yu GY, et al. ACOT12-Dependent Alteration of Acetyl-CoA Drives Hepatocellular Carcinoma Metastasis by Epigenetic Induction of Epithelial-Mesenchymal Transition. *Cell Metab* (2019) 29:886–900.e885. doi: 10.1016/j.cmet.2018.12.019
- Keller KE, Tan IS, Lee YS. SAICAR stimulates pyruvate kinase isoform M2 and promotes cancer cell survival in glucose-limited conditions. *Science* (2012) 338:1069–72. doi: 10.1126/science.1224409
- Liu F, Ma F, Wang Y, Hao L, Zeng H, Jia C, et al. PKM2 methylation by CARM1 activates aerobic glycolysis to promote tumorigenesis. *Nat Cell Biol* (2017) 19:1358–70. doi: 10.1038/ncb3630
- Yang W, Zheng Y, Xia Y, Ji H, Chen X, Guo F, et al. ERK1/2-dependent phosphorylation and nuclear translocation of PKM2 promotes the Warburg effect. *Nat Cell Biol* (2012) 14:1295–304. doi: 10.1038/ncb2629
- Chang CH, Qiu J, O'Sullivan D, Buck MD, Noguchi T, Curtis JD, et al. Metabolic Competition in the Tumor Microenvironment Is a Driver of Cancer Progression. *Cell* (2015) 162:1229–41. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2015.08.016
- Cassim S, Pouyssegur J. Tumor Microenvironment: A Metabolic Player that Shapes the Immune Response. *Int J Mol Sci* (2019) 21:157. doi: 10.3390/ ijms21010157
- Sun C, Mezzadra R, Schumacher TN. Regulation and Function of the PD-L1 Checkpoint. *Immunity* (2018) 48:434–52. doi: 10.1016/j.immuni.2018.03.014
- Patel SP, Kurzrock R. PD-L1 Expression as a Predictive Biomarker in Cancer Immunotherapy. *Mol Cancer Ther* (2015) 14:847–56. doi: 10.1158/1535-7163.Mct-14-0983

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Li, Wang, Shen, Zhang, Chen, Wang, Zhu, Xu, Hu, Wei, Zheng, Dong and Qin. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.