



The Brazilian Journal of INFECTIOUS DISEASES

www.elsevier.com/locate/bjid



Original article

Association of X4 tropism with disease progression in antiretroviral-treated children and adolescents living with HIV/AIDS in São Paulo, Brazil

Flávia Jacqueline Almeida^{a,*}, Mayra Simioni Zaparoli^{b,1}, Denise Helena Moreira^b,
Jaqueline de Souza Cavalcanti^b, Rosângela Rodrigues^b, Eitan Naaman Berezin^a,
João Leandro de Paula Ferreira^b, Marco Aurélio Palazzi Sáfyadi^a,
Luis Fernando de Macedo Brígido^b

^a Division of Pediatric Infectious Diseases, Santa Casa de São Paulo Hospital, Brazil

^b Retrovirology Laboratory, Adolfo Lutz Institute, Brazil

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 2 July 2013

Accepted 15 October 2013

Available online 22 November 2013

Keywords:

HIV-1

Children

Viral tropism

Disease progression

ABSTRACT

Management of children with HIV/AIDS is specially challenging. Age-related issues do not allow for direct transposition of adult observations to this population. CXCR4 tropism has been associated with disease progression in adults. The geno2pheno web-base is a friendly tool to predict viral tropism on envelope V3 sequences, generating a false positive rate for a CXCR4 prediction. We evaluated the association of HIV-1 tropism prediction with clinical and laboratory outcome of 73 children with HIV/AIDS in São Paulo, Brazil. The CXCR4 tropism was strongly associated with a lower (nadir) CD4 documented during follow-up ($p < 0.0001$) and with disease severity (clinical event and/or CD4 below 200 cells/mm³) at the last observation, using commonly applied clinical cutoffs, such as ^{10%}FPR_{clonal} ($p = 0.001$). When variables obtained during follow-up are included, both treatment adherence and viral tropism show a significant association with disease severity. As for viremia suppression, 30% (22/73) were undetectable at the last observation, with only adherence strongly associated with suppression after adjustment. The study brings further support to the notion that antiretroviral treatment adherence is pivotal to management of HIV disease, but suggests that tropism prediction may provide an additional prognostic marker to monitor HIV disease in children.

© 2013 Elsevier Editora Ltda. Este é um artigo Open Access sob a licença de [CC BY-NC-ND](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

Introduction

Management of children with HIV/AIDS is specially challenging. Although antiretroviral (ARV) treatment may lead to viremia control and clinical benefit comparable to adult patients, time for treatment initiation, therapy change

strategies, along with other age-related issues, pose additional challenges, adding to higher risk of ARV failure among children.¹ For children with vertically-acquired infection, acute infection occurs during the neonatal period, a time characterized by exceedingly high viremia levels that decline, albeit more slowly than in adults, over the next two or more years to reach a set point. This set point tends to be higher

* Corresponding author at: R. Dr. José Rodrigues Alves Sobrinho, 150, ap. 42 Matisse, 05466-040 Sao Paulo, SP, Brazil.
E-mail address: flaviaja@gmail.com (F.J. Almeida).

¹ Both authors contributed equally to this work.

in children, as compared to adults, and is consistent with more rapid disease progression.^{2–4} Although the goal to eliminate HIV maternal-to-child-transmission (MTCT) is a current priority for international agencies, about 400,000 children are infected annually, and pediatric AIDS remains a major public health issue in many areas. Moreover, for patients who receive adequate care and treatment, life expectancy is extended into adulthood. A better understanding of the pathogenesis of children with HIV/AIDS is instrumental, as the clinical evolution in this population might differ from patients that acquire HIV with a mature immune system.

Over the years, various clinical and laboratory markers of disease progression have been proposed for adults and children, although the determinants for disease progression, especially in children, are unclear. The CD4+ T-lymphocyte count (CD4) and viral load (VL) are the primary markers that have been used in different studies to initiate and monitor antiretroviral therapy (ART) in clinical practice.^{5–9} Additional parameters could improve clinical decision-making, especially those that can predict disease progression. Viral envelope characteristics have been associated with HIV pathogenesis since the beginning of the epidemic.¹⁰ To initiate cellular infection, HIV needs to use the CD4 receptor along with a chemokine coreceptor, mostly CCR5 or CXCR4. Based on the coreceptor usage, HIV-1 variants may be classified as R5-tropic, X4-tropic or dual-tropic (DM). While most primary infections involve viruses that use CCR5 as a coreceptor, CXCR4-using viruses are often identified in adults with advanced disease and its identification has been associated with rapid progression.^{11–14} Viral tropism, although a phenotypic characteristic, can be predicted with genotypic methods. These tests have greater accessibility, lower cost, and shorter assay time than phenotypic methods.^{15,16}

The identification of surrogate markers that provide clues to the clinical outcome may be useful to subsidize clinical decision. The aim of this study was to evaluate HIV tropism genotypic prediction from the first available clinical sample and its association with disease progression in a clinical cohort of children and adolescents with HIV/AIDS in São Paulo, Brazil.

Materials and methods

Study population

The study was offered to all parents or responsible guardians of children or adolescents in regular follow-up at the Pediatric Infectious Diseases Unit of Santa Casa Hospital, São Paulo, from 2000 to 2010. Inclusion criteria consisted of being younger than 19 years, not being pregnant and having written informed consent signed by parents or guardians, with assent from older children and adolescents. Clinical and laboratory data were obtained by Medical Record review. Since March 2000, blood samples from new patients initiating follow-up have been sent to Adolfo Lutz Institute laboratory. Of the 87 children seen at this pediatric clinic, 73 had envelope sequence and VL information. Of those, 63 had also both clinical and CD4 outcome information and for 58 of those

three or more CD4 determinations (a median of eight CD4 determinations) allowed the definition of a lower (nadir) CD4 count.

The study was approved by the two institutions, Institute Adolfo Lutz (CCD-BM 20/08) and Santa Casa Hospital (ISC MSP 015/10).

Disease progression was evaluated according to three criteria:

- (i) CD4 count (nadir) during follow-up;
- (ii) VL at last observation;
- (iii) a composite criteria combining CD4 and clinical disease at last observation:

- Asymptomatic disease (AD): children clinically asymptomatic with CD4 > 200 cells/mm³ or
- Symptomatic disease (SD): children with either CD4 < 200 cells/mm³, clinical event, defined as Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) classification system clinical category B or C, or death.

Amplification and sequencing

HIV viral RNA from plasma was isolated using QIAamp[®] viral RNA mini kit (Qiagen, Germany), according to manufacturer's instructions. Complementary DNA synthesis (cDNA) was performed using SuperScript III enzyme (Life Technologies, USA) and random hexamers. Cell DNA was extracted using QIAamp[®] viral DNA mini kit (Qiagen, Germany), according to manufacturer's instructions. A nested PCR allowed for the amplification of the C2-V3 envelope region using primers ED5, ED12, ED31 and ES8, applying previously described protocols.^{17–19} Purified products were sequenced in automated ABI 3100 or 3130 XL Genetic Analyzers (Applied Biosystems, USA). All sequenced chromatograms obtained were assembled with Sequencher 4.14 (GeneCodes, USA) software and manually edited, considering nucleotide ambiguities whenever a significant secondary peak (~25%) was present in two or more chromatograms. Edited sequences were evaluated individually at websites (see below) and multiple alignments were performed using ClustalW multiple-sequence alignment software, using a reference set available in the Los Alamos HIV-1 database (www.hiv.lanl.gov) and manually edited according to their reading frame, using BioEdit software.

HIV-1 clade was screened at NCBI Genotyping and REGA HIV-1 Subtyping Tools and confirmed by phylogenetic methods, using PAUP software. Drug resistance mutations (DRM) were analyzed at Stanford HIV Database and resistance was evaluated by drug class (nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor [NRTI] DRM, non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor [NNRTI] DRM and protease inhibitor [PI] DRM), as well as combined resistance, such as: (i) no DRM (including polymorphisms without major loss of susceptibility to any drug); (ii) resistance (intermediate or high) to drugs of only one ARV class; (iii) resistance to drugs in two classes and; (iv) resistance to drugs in all three classical classes. Resistance to new classes (integrase inhibitors and entry inhibitors) was not evaluated.

Determination of HIV-1 viral tropism

Viral coreceptor tropism prediction, based on edited partial envelope sequences, was evaluated at the G2P website considering the clonal option to determine the false positive rate (FPR). The FPR is thus the probability (0–1) of a false CXCR4 assignment to a non-CXCR4 envelope sequence. These results were analyzed both as continuous and dichotomous (R5/X4) variables, using the three most widely used cutoffs (20%, 10% and 5.75%) to categorize HIV-1 as only CCR5-tropic or CXCR4-tropic.

Statistical analyses

Tropism prediction was evaluated with independent variables of demographic, clinical and laboratory data documented at study entry, including sex, age at collection, clinical staging, mode of transmission, VL, CD4, CDC category, and previous use of ART and viral subtype at envelope. Variables obtained during follow-up included VL, CD4, clinical events including death, adherence to ART, number of ARV regimens, DRM, and polymerase subtype. Dependent variables at outcome were:

- (i) Clinical condition and CD4 at last observation, dichotomized at 200 cells/mm³ (CDC immunological category 3 versus category 1 or 2), allowing disease severity categorization as SD or AD at last observation (all children above 5 years of age at this CD4 determination);
- (ii) Last VL dichotomized as undetectable (aviremia)/detectable (viremia) VL.

The lower CD4 documented during follow-up (nadir CD4) was also evaluated as a marker of disease progression for patients with at least three determinations during follow-up.

To evaluate differences among proportions the Pearson Chi-square or Fisher Exact tests were used, as appropriate. The Mann-Whitney test was used to compare medians and ANOVA, for multiple comparisons. Correlation of quantitative variables was performed with the Spearman test (PrismaPad) and non-parametric receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve with Stata 8.0. A logistic regression analysis included all variables with $p < 0.20$ at univariate analysis. We analyzed the data using two multiple models for each outcome. The first was only based on the variables available at baseline (study entry), and the other considered all variables obtained during follow-up, evaluated together with those available at baseline that had a $p < 0.20$. Logistic regression analysis was performed using the Stata 8.0 statistical software, applying a level of significance of $p < 0.05$.

Results

Participant characteristics

This longitudinal study included consenting 73 children with confirmed HIV-1 infection and one or more envelope sequences.

In this analysis the first available envelope sequence, obtained during follow-up, was used. The median age was

Table 1 – Baseline demographic and laboratory data of study participants.

Age, years	7 (IQR 2–13)
Male gender (%)	42.5 (31/73)
HIV transmission (%)	
Vertical	94.5 (69/73)
Unknown	5.5 (4/73)
CDC clinical category (%)	
N	14 (10/73)
A	10 (7/73)
B	19 (14/73)
C	57 (42/73)
CD4 (cells/mm ³)	442 (IQR 156–951)
Viral load (log ₁₀)	5.2 (IQR 4.4–5.7)
ARV naïve at first visit (%)	55 (40/73)

Table 1 describes baseline demographic and laboratory data of study participants; Age, at study entry, in years (median, 25th–75th interquartile range – IQR); Gender as percentage of males; HIV transmission mode, percentage of cases with documented vertical transmission mode, unknown for cases without clear mode of transmission; CDC classification clinical categories (N, A, B, C) as percentage of cases at study entry; CD4, CD4+ T lymphocytes count (median, IQR of number of cells/mm³); Viral load (median, IQR of HIV RNA copies/mL in log₁₀); ARV naïve at first visit, percentage of patients entering the study before ARV initiation.

seven years (ranging from two months to 18 years old) and 58% of the patients were female. HIV-1 was acquired by vertical transmission in 69 patients and in 4 cases the mode of transmission was not documented. At baseline, median VL was 5.2 log₁₀ (IQR, 4.4–5.7 log₁₀) and CD4 was 442 cells/mm³ (IQR, 156–951 cells/mm³), with a nadir of 149 cells/mm³ (IQR, 27–395 cells/mm³) during follow-up, with a median CD4 count of 491 cells/mm³ (IQR, 271–954 cells/mm³) at the last observation (Table 1). The patients were classified, according to CDC classification system clinical category, as N (14%), A (10%), B (19%) or C (57%). As for immunologic category, 28.7% were in category 1, 32.9% in category 2 and 35.6% in category 3, with two cases with missing data.

Most patients 40/73 (55%) were ARV naïve at collection for envelope sequencing, but all eventually initiated ART in a short period thereafter (median 19 days, [IQR, 10–71 days]). ART initiation depended on drug availability at the time of initiation, and mono-dual therapy was used by 46% of the patients as the first regimen. Part of the patients ($n=33$) initiated treatment before inclusion, some at other services. The median time on treatment was 7.8 years (IQR, 2.2–10.7 years). Among those starting with highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART), the most common combination was a NNRTI (efavirenz [EFV] or nevirapine [NVP]) combined with zidovudine (ZDV) and lamivudine (3TC), used by 31% of the patients. At last documented treatment, most cases were on a lopinavir/ritonavir (LPV/r) based HAART (45%). Patients used a median of three regimens (IQR, 1–5 regimens) during follow-up.

Patients were followed in the study for a median time of 6.1 years (IQR, 1.5–11.6 years). During follow-up, 59% (34/58) of the patients had at least one documented undetectable VL (viremia < 50 copies/mL) at some time during ART and 30%

Table 2a – Association of clinical and laboratory data with the last viral load (viremic or aviremic).

Variable	OR (95% IC)	p-Value	aOR (95% IC)	p-Value
Age	1.16 (1.04–1.29)	0.006	1.2 (1.1–1.37)	0.008
Sex	1.91 (0.67–5.46)	0.23		
Clinical at entry	0.63 (0.21–1.89)	0.41		
Naïve at collection	0.99 (0.36–2.69)	0.98		
First viremia	0.71 (0.45–1.13)	0.15	1.02 (0.56–1.9)	0.904
First CD4	0.70 (0.37–1.30)	0.26		
Env subtype	1.42 (0.44–4.54)	0.56		
Tropism 20%	2.23 (0.78–6.38)	0.14		
Tropism 10%	2.90 (0.86–9.84)	0.09	5 (1.3–19)	0.03
Tropism 5.75%	2.89 (0.75–11.21)	0.12		

OR, Unadjusted Odds Ratio; aOR, Adjusted Odds Ratio; CI, Confidence Interval; Outcome: last viral load observation as viremic or aviremic (below 50 copies/mL). Age, at study entry; sex for patient gender; clinical at entry as asymptomatic (CDC clinical categories N or A) or symptomatic (CDC B or C); naïve at collection for patients entering the study before ARV initiation; first viremia and first CD4 for viral load and CD4+ T-lymphocyte count at first available determination; env subtype for HIV-1 subtype assignment for the envelope region; tropism 20%, 10%, and 5.75% for tropism prediction using three cutoffs at geno2pheno.

(22/73) were undetectable at last observation. The viremic cases had a median VL of 4.20 log₁₀ (IQR, 3.7–5 log₁₀).

Adherence was documented in 63 cases, 26 (41%) of whom had good adherence, defined by the physician’s perception based on reports of missing zero to only a few pills in recent ARV regimens.

Genotype test was obtained in 68 cases, with 58% of those with one or more NRTI mutations, 51% with a NNRTI mutation and 35% with one or more major PI mutations. One class resistance was documented in 17% of the cases, two-class resistance in 21% and three-class resistance in 24%.

Genotypic tropism testing and subtyping

Tropism prediction was obtained in 73 cases. Using the 20%FPR_{clonal} cutoff, 55% (40/73) were classified as R5 tropism, 67% (49/73) at 10%FPR_{clonal} and 74% using 5.75%FPR_{clonal} cutoff. There was a significant correlation of a lower FPR_{clonal} with increasing age ($p = 0.02$).

Subtype B at envelope region was documented in 53 (72.6%) cases, HIV-1 F in 16 (22%), subtype C in three (4%), with one BF recombinant. At polymerase ($n = 68$) 74% of sequences were HIV-1 subtype B, 11% F, 4.5% C and 10.5% BF recombinants. In 15% (10/68), there was polymerase/envelope subtype discordance (B/F or F/B recombinants).

HIV-1 subtype C, all concordant in polymerase/envelope, were all classified as R5 HIV-1 infection, with a good clinical and CD4 outcome. For further analysis we categorized subtypes as HIV-1 B and non-B.

Tropism association with HIV disease progression

Overall, seven deaths occurred in this cohort. Three patients died after progressing to AIDS in the first year of life, with complications associated with the diagnosis of *Pneumocystis jiroveci* pneumonia (2) and intestinal cytomegalovirus. All three would be classified as R5 using the 10%FPR_{clonal} and 2/3, if the 20%FPR_{clonal} was used. Older children dying from AIDS-related conditions tended to have very low FPR_{clonal} (3/4 X4, with a FPR_{clonal} below 0.02).

Tables 2a and 2b describe the variables associated with aviremia (VL < 50 copies/mL) in this cohort. Being older at the first observation was associated with virologic suppression. The R5 tropism showed no significant association ($p = 0.09$). All variables with $p < 0.2$ were included in multivariate analysis; older age at blood collection and R5 tropism showed a significant association. However, when all variables obtained during follow-up were evaluated in multivariate analysis, adherence showed the strongest association with viral suppression, with older age marginally associated with suppression.

The association of viral tropism with CD4 was more noticeable. The nadir CD4 showed a strong positive correlation with the FPR_{clonal} ($p = 0.0011$, Fig. 1) values and R5-predicted envelope sequences had a significantly higher nadir CD4 as compared to X4 in all clinical cutoffs: 5.75%FPR_{clonal} ($p = 0.0002$), 10%FPR_{clonal} ($p = 0.0001$) and at 20%FPR_{clonal} ($p < 0.0001$). The area under the curve of the ROC curve of nadir CD4 for viral tropism using the 10%FPR_{clonal} cutoff is 0.82 (95% CI 0.68–0.95) (Fig. 2). A higher nadir CD4 predicted a good clinical and CD4 outcome ($p = 0.001$).




Fig. 1 – Spearman correlation between the V3 sequences’ false positive rate (FPR) and the lower CD4 count (nadir CD4) observed for cases with at least 3 CD4 measures (median of 8 measures; IQR, 4–12 measures).

Table 2b – Association between aviremia (viral load < 50 copies/mL) and data from the last observation.

Variable	OR (95% IC)	p-Value	aOR (95% IC)	p-Value
DRM	0.81 (0.5–1.3)	0.401		
NRTI DRM	0.79 (0.57–1.1)	0.182		
NNRTI DRM	0.89 (0.53–1.5)	0.646		
PI major DRM	0.59 (0.29–1.2)	0.140	0.32 (0.097–1.03)	0.057
Adherence	0.17 (0.06–0.53)	0.002	0.10 (0.01–0.57)	0.010
ARV regimens	0.88 (0.57–1.36)	0.57		
Pol subtype	0.41 (0.13–1.34)	0.14	0.27 (0.1–1.5)	0.141
Nadir CD4	1.19 (0.75–1.89)	0.45		
Tropism 10%	2.90 (0.86–9.84)	0.087	5.3 (0.7–42)	0.113
Age at collection	2.01 (1.21–3.32)	0.007	2.6 (1–6.6)	0.049

OR, Unadjusted Odds Ratio; aOR, Adjusted Odds Ratio; CI, Confidence Interval; DRM for any drug resistance mutation that impact ARV susceptibility (according to Stanford database); NRTI DRM for nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor mutations; NNRTI DRM for non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor mutations; PI major DRM for major protease inhibitor resistance mutations; adherence as physicians perception of patient adherence to antiretroviral therapy; pol subtype: HIV-1 subtype assigned for the polymerase region; ARV regimens for the number of different ARV regimens used during observation.

Tables 2a and 2b show Odds Ratio (OR) and level of significance (*p*) of univariate and multivariate logistic regression analysis. (2a) for variables available upon entering follow-up and in 2b for variables obtained during follow-up along those available upon entering that had a *p* < 0.2. For potential co-linear variables, such as tropism prediction using different cutoffs, or antiretroviral resistance by class, the most significant variables in the univariate analysis were carried on to multivariate adjustment.

Using the last CD4 determination alone, above or below 200 cells/mm³, we observed that children with CD4 below 200 cells/mm³ had a significantly lower FPR_{clonal}, 0.035, whereas cases with CD4 above 200 had 0.29 (*p* = 0.0026), with a consequent higher proportion of X4 prediction. At 10% FPR_{clonal}, only 5/16 (31%) of R5 tropism prediction is observed for cases with CD4 below 200 cells/mm³, whereas those with CD4 above 200 cells/mm³ were mostly R5 (79%, 44/56, *p* = 0.001).

We also evaluated the association of viral tropism to disease severity (*n* = 63) dichotomizing cases in two categories: (i) AD outcome and (ii) SD outcome. In the univariate analysis (Tables 3a and 3b), we observed that having a R5-predicted tropism, by any of the clinical cutoffs, was associated with AD. A higher CD4 (CDC category) was also marginally protective. When we analyze with other variables obtained during follow-up, better adherence, lower number of regimens and aviremia showed association with AD outcome. R5 tropism

and adherence remained significantly associated with protection at multivariate analysis.

Some samples for tropism determination were available only near the time of the last observation. The median follow-up time after sample collection used in tropism prediction was 1.2 years (IQR, 6 days to 5.4 years). This period was similar between disease severity (*p* = 0.7) or aviremia (*p* = 0.9) outcome categories. However, if only children with more than one year of observation after envelope analysis were included (*n* = 40), still a significantly lower FPR (median 0.035 IQR 0.015–0.215) is observed among those with severe clinical progression, whereas those with AD disease had a higher FPR (0.37, IQR 0.16–0.47, *p* = 0.0015). Using the 10% FPR_{clonal}, 10/16 with X4 predicted tropism had severe disease as compared to 3/24 with R5 predicted virus (*p* = 0.003). The difference for viremia outcome was not significant (*p* = 0.6).




Fig. 2 – Receiver operating characteristic curve of nadir CD4 using as reference variable the HIV-1 viral tropism predicted at the 10% FPR_{clonal} cutoff, showing an area under the curve (AUC) of 0.816; the use of other FPR cutoffs (5.75% and 20%) show a similar AUC (0.824 and 0.819, respectively).

Discussion

The main purpose of this study was to document clinical and laboratory evolution of this cohort to evaluate if tropism determination can be useful as a marker of disease progression. For this purpose, a longitudinal follow-up of HIV-1-infected children and adolescents was carried out for over 10 years, documenting known predictors of disease progression, such as CD4 counts and adherence to treatment. One major limitation of the study is the fact that tropism determination was not performed at study entry, but sometime during follow-up, with some cases determined at the sample available near last observation. Therefore, the study can only suggest potential associations, and cannot claim that the predicted tropism determined the outcome evaluated. Also, as in other longitudinal studies in clinical settings, different factors, such as assiduity of patients, parents or guardians, proper collection and storage of the samples, documentation of clinical and laboratory data throughout the follow-up impact data collection, and missing data compromise a robust support to our

Table 3a – Association of information available at study entry with clinical severity.

Variable	OR (95% IC)	p-Value	aOR (95% IC)	p-Value
Age at collection	1.09 (0.69–1.7)	0.71		
Sex	0.96 (0.34–2.8)	0.95		
Clinic stage at entry	2.16 (0.66–7.03)	0.201	0.83 (0.20–3.5)	0.80
Naïve at collection	2.29 (0.79–6.61)	0.125	2.2 (0.59–8.39)	0.52
Viremia	1.06 (0.66–1.69)	0.81		
CD4	0.53 (0.26–1.04)	0.07	0.47 (0.20–1.1)	0.078
Env subtype	0.82 (0.26–2.60)	0.74		
Tropism 20%	5.33 (1.74–16.28)	0.003		
Tropism 10%	7.37 (2.03–22.7)	0.001	6.34 (1.58–22.6)	0.008
Tropism 5.75%	6.42 (1.85–22.3)	0.003		

OR, Unadjusted Odds Ratio; aOR, Adjusted Odds Ratio; CI, Confidence Interval; Clinical Severity outcome means children with either CD4 < 200 cells/mm³, clinical event, defined as Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) classification system clinical category B or C, or death. Age, at study entry; sex for patient gender; clinical at entry as asymptomatic (CDC clinical categories N or A) or symptomatic (CDC B or C); naïve at collection for patients entering the study before ARV initiation; first viremia and first CD4 for viral load and CD4+ T-lymphocyte count at first available determination; env subtype for HIV-1 subtype assignment for the envelope region; tropism 20%, 10%, 5.75% for tropism prediction using three cutoffs at geno2pheno.

findings. Although clinical trials provide a stronger basis for the development of cohort studies, the ability to grasp real world dynamics makes clinical setting observations also relevant.

Brazil has the largest pediatric population with HIV/AIDS with public access to both monitoring tools, as well as ART. Little work, however, is available on the long-term evaluation of these patients, some already initiating follow-up in adult services.

Patients in this study were followed up at a single clinical site in São Paulo, the largest city in South America. The service studied is not a specific reference center for AIDS or for infected pregnant women and therefore receives sick children that end up finding their HIV diagnosis during the evaluation at entry. Most children observed without ART at entry were brought to the service due to some clinical condition

and initiated ARV shortly after this initial observation. This might have caused an inclusion bias toward more severe cases. Another issue is the fact that the service cares for patients without insurance and therefore includes a significant proportion of children from lower income strata. However, the population of the study had some degree of homogeneity, such as access to free ARV, socio cultural background and the fact that all were treated by the same clinical team, diminishing the variability in clinical management. Because of limited numbers of infected children and of mothers with relevant data, we were unable to investigate effects of other factors possibly associated with disease progression in vertically infected children, such as maternal ART use and maternal VL.

Children in clinical services are followed based on clinical condition, routine laboratory workup, and two major HIV-related prognostic markers, CD4 and VL. Although clinical

Table 3b – Association of follow-up information and significant entry observations with clinical severity.

Variable	OR (95% IC)	p-Value	aOR (95% IC)	p-Value
First CD4 category	0.53 (0.27–1.04)	0.066	0.86 (0.27–2.9)	0.299
ARV Regimens	0.81 (0.66–0.98)	0.034	0.51 (0.29–1.26)	0.147
DRM	0.80 (0.49–1.32)	0.386		
NRTI DRM	0.79 (0.60–1.05)	0.117		
NNRTI DRM	0.86 (0.51–1.41)	0.544		
PI major DRM	0.63 (0.38–1.03)	0.067	0.69 (0.22–1.79)	0.537
Polsubtype	0.59 (0.16–2.19)	0.428		
Adherence	0.091 (0.02–0.45)	0.003	0.04 (0.02–0.79)	0.032
Everavir	0.31 (0.10–0.95)	0.040		
Aviremia	10.5 (2.17–50.1)	0.003	1.3 (0.5–11)	0.816
Tropism 10%	7.4 (2.23–22.4)	0.001	10.3 (1.5–72)	0.019

OR, Unadjusted Odds Ratio; aOR, Adjusted Odds Ratio; CI, Confidence Interval; DRM for any drug resistance mutation that impact ARV susceptibility (according to Stanford database); NRTI DRM for nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor mutations; NNRTI DRM for non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor mutations; PI major DRM for major protease inhibitor resistance mutations; adherence for physicians perception of patient adherence to antiretroviral therapy; pol subtype: HIV-1 subtype assigned for the polymerase region; ARV regimens for the number of different ARV regimens used during observation; Everavir for one or more undetectable viral load during follow up; Aviremia for undetectable viremia at last observation.

Tables 3a and 3b show Odds Ratio (OR), 95% confidence interval (CI95%) and level of significance (p) of univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses. In 3a, associations with disease severity of variables available upon entering the study. 3b for variables obtained during follow-up among those available at entry that had a p < 0.2. For potential co-linear variables, as tropism prediction using different cutoffs, or ARV resistance by class, the most significant variable in the univariate analysis were carried on to multivariable adjustment.

evolution will be influenced by different biological, socio-cultural and access to health-related issues, it is conceivable that certain viral characteristics may influence this outcome. Viral tropism has been suggested to be an additional marker of disease severity in adults.¹³

Although viral tropism is more properly evaluated using phenotypic assays, genotypic methods allow for a reasonable prediction, and have been increasingly used. Moreover, it was considered sufficient by the European Consensus Group to define tropism for the usage of CCR5 antagonists.¹⁴ In our study, we did not try to evaluate the tropism assay itself, but rather correlate the genotypic prediction with the actual clinical and laboratory outcomes of these patients. To that end, we analyzed envelope sequences at the geno2pheno website, a commonly used resource that provides a FPR for the probability of misclassifying a sequence as X4. Therefore, the lower the FPR, the lower the chance of false X4 classifications. As different clinical cutoffs have been used, we evaluated our dataset with the three most commonly used cutoffs.

This study showed a relatively high proportion of X4-predicted variants, 45% if a more conservative ($^{20\%}$ FPR_{clonal}) cutoff is used. Some previous studies reported similar prevalence in chronically infected children.^{20,21} One study with HIV-1 subtype C infected children, observed a lower presence of X4,²² similar to a study in Uganda with different subtypes,²³ whereas others found a higher X4 prevalence.²⁴ The differences in study design, population, age of participants, tropism definition and viral subtype may all contribute to these discrepancies. Clade C cases are considered to be preferentially R5 isolates, and in the small subgroup of clade C infection in our study we also found only R5-predicted variants.

There was a significant correlation between a lower FPR and older age in our population. Other studies^{20,25} also found that correlation and that tendency is in accordance with most of the evidence in adults that suggests the emergence of X4 variants later, as the disease progresses.^{12,26} In adults, after a mostly R5 infection, about 50% of HIV infected patients evolve to X4 tropic variants later in disease.¹³

Suppression of viremia is the main goal of HAART. At last observation, only 30% (22/73) of the patients achieved aviremia. This figure is not unusual for children initiating therapy before the HAART era, as is the case of many of these patients. Response rates are better for children initiating HAART with new regimens (data not shown). From the data available upon entering follow-up, being older and having a R5 virus was associated with virologic suppression in multivariate analysis (Tables 2a and 2b). However, the association of R5 with viral suppression is weak and not sustained when variables obtained during follow-up are included. When follow-up information is considered, the association of aviremia with age becomes less striking and adherence is the only strong predictor of aviremia. It is well known that a good adherence to ART is the central determinant of viral suppression and long-term disease-free survival. This is a difficult goal, particularly in very young children who rely on parents or caregivers to administer drugs and in adolescents, who are more likely than younger children either to report poor adherence themselves or to have it reported by caregivers and/or health professionals.²⁷

The association of age with viremia suppression may be due to an easier adaptation of older children to ARV and to the bimodal nature of HIV disease in children, as pointed out by Puthanakit et al.²⁸ in their study of treatment initiation in older children. Therefore, children who do not present clinical disease early after birth might already represent a population of better clinical outcome. Similarly, early deaths in the first year may represent either more aggressive disease or cases that reached the service at an advance stage, in which clinical intervention was of limited impact.

We did not find an association between DRM and viral suppression. It was not the objective of this paper to evaluate this issue, and resistance was considered here as one of the possible variables observed during follow-up that should be used in adjusting the multivariate analysis. The resistance profile and viral diversity of pol gene in this population have been previously published.^{29,30} The lack of correlation of DRM with clinical severity or viremia is possibly due to the fact that DRM is generally absent in samples from patients with adequate adherence, aviremic cases, as well as from viremic, poorly controlled, low adherence patients, as only those submitted to sufficient drug exposure would develop genetic mutations. Nevertheless, the genotypic test was helpful in guiding salvage therapy in many patients (data not shown).

Contrary to viral suppression, CD4 levels, as well as a composite outcome combining both CD4 and clinical condition, showed a strong and independent association with viral tropism. Entry CD4 (CDC category) showed a tendency in predicting a less severe outcome ($p=0.08$). However, viral tropism, specially using the $^{10\%}$ FPR_{clonal} cutoff shows an independent, strong association with AD (Tables 2a and 2b) when only information available at the study entry is considered. When follow-up information is included, ARV adherence and R5 viral tropism are both significantly associated to AD. We also found a strong, significant correlation between FPR and CD4 nadir values (Fig. 1). There is evidence that immunologic deterioration in HIV-1-infected children precedes the viral phenotypic switch from R5 to X4 variants³¹ and that the presence of X4 variants is linked with faster progression to AIDS, a rapid fall in CD4 count²⁶ and a lower CD4 nadir. This association of viral tropism with CD4, but not with viremia control, has been observed in some studies with adult patients,¹³ and we and others^{32,33} have found an association of a lower geno2pheno FPR with CD4 depletion in adults.

Since some of our cases had insufficient follow-up time after tropism determination it is not possible to exclude the possibility that the predicted tropism was secondary to clinical deterioration. The use of tropism prediction information, in a clinical setting context, may assist pediatricians in planning a more aggressive intervention in cases with more severe prognosis, maximizing resources available for populations with increased risk of disease progression. Current Brazilian treatment guidelines indicate treatment for children with clinical disease, VL above 100,000 copies/mL or CD4 below 25%. Although the efforts in improving adherence are a cornerstone of treatment success, information on viral tropism, along with other prognostic markers, could be useful to support clinical decision.

In conclusion, our study documented for the first time a strong predictive association, independent from other

available markers, that a high FPR, predicting a CCR5 coreceptor usage, is protective in children and is related to a better immunological (CD4 level) and clinical outcome.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by Unesco-Brazilian AIDS Program CSV 092/06 and FAPESP 2011/21958-2.

REFERENCES

1. Castro H, Judd A, Gibb DM, et al. Risk of triple-class virological failure in children with HIV: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet*. 2011;377:1580–7.
2. Center for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). 1994 revised classification system for human immunodeficiency virus infection in children less than 13 years of age. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 1994;43:1–10.
3. Luzuriaga K, Wu H, McManus M, et al. Dynamics of human immunodeficiency virus type I replication in vertically infected infants. *J Virol*. 1999;73:362–7.
4. Tiemessen CT, Kuhn L. Immune pathogenesis of pediatric HIV-1 infection. *Curr HIV/AIDS Rep*. 2006;3:13–9.
5. Rouet F, Sakarovich C, Msellati P. Pediatric viral human immunodeficiency virus type 1 RNA levels, timing of infection, and disease progression in African HIV-1-infected children. *Pediatrics*. 2003;112:e289.
6. Goulder PJ, Jeena P, Tudor-Williams G, Burchett S. Paediatric HIV infection: correlates of protective immunity and global perspectives in prevention and management. *Br Med Bull*. 2001;58:89–108.
7. Goujard C, Bonarek M, Meyer L, et al. CD4 cell count and HIV DNA level are independent predictors of disease progression after primary HIV type 1 infection in untreated patients. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2006;42:709–15.
8. Langford SE, Ananworanich J, Cooper DA. Predictors of disease progression in HIV infection: a review. *AIDS Res Ther*. 2007;4:11.
9. Carvalho IR, Pinto JA, Cardoso CAA, Candiani TMS, Kakehasi FM. Evaluation of hematological, virologic and anthropometric parameters as progression markers in HIV-1 infected children. *J Pediatr (Rio J)*. 2009;85:149–56.
10. Lifson JD, Feinberg MB, Reyes GR, et al. Induction of CD4-dependent cell fusion by the HTLV-III/LAV envelope glycoprotein. *Nature*. 1986;323:725–8.
11. Moyle GJ, Wildfire A, Mandalia S, et al. Epidemiology and predictive factors for chemokine receptor use in HIV-1 infection. *J Infect Dis*. 2005;191:866–72.
12. Moore JP, Kitchen SG, Pugach P, Zack JA. The CCR5 and CXCR4 coreceptors – central to understanding the transmission and pathogenesis of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 infection. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses*. 2004;20:111–26.
13. Daar E, Kesler KL, Petropoulos CJ, et al. Baseline HIV type 1 coreceptor tropism predicts disease progression. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2007;45:643–9.
14. Vandekerckhove LP, Wensing AM, Kaiser R, et al. European guidelines on the clinical management of HIV-1 tropism testing. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2011;11:394–407.
15. Lengauer T, Sander O, Sierra S, Thielens A, Kaiser R. Bioinformatics prediction of HIV coreceptor usage. *Nat Biotechnol*. 2007;25:1407–10.
16. Poveda E, Seclen E, Gonzalez Mdel M, et al. Design and validation of new genotypic tools for easy and reliable estimation of HIV tropism before using CCR5 antagonists. *J Antimicrob Chemother*. 2009;63:1006–10.
17. Stuyver L, Wyseur A, Rombout A, et al. Line probe assay for rapid detection of drug-selected mutations in the human immunodeficiency virus type 1 reverse transcriptase gene. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 1997;41:284–91.
18. WHO-UNAIDS. Guidelines for standard HIV isolation and characterization procedures. 2nd ed. Geneva: Health and Development Ed.; 2002, 140 pp.
19. Ferreira JLP, Thomaz M, Rodrigues R, et al. Molecular characterization of newly identified HIV-1 infections in Curitiba, Brazil: preponderance of clade C among males with recent infections. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz*. 2008;103:800–8.
20. Frange P, Briand N, Veber F, et al. CCR5 antagonists: a therapeutic option in HIV-1 perinatally infected children experiencing virologic failure? *AIDS*. 2012;26:1673–7.
21. Crudeli CM, Aulicino PC, Rocco CA, Bologna R, Mangano A, Sen L. Relevance of early detection of HIV type 1 SI/CXCR4-using viruses in vertically infected children. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses*. 2012;28:685–92.
22. Neogi U, Sahoo PN, Arumugam K, Sonnerborg A, De Costa A, Shet A. Higher prevalence of predicted X4-tropic strains in perinatally-infected older children with HIV-1 subtype C in India. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2012;59:347–53.
23. Church JD, Huang W, Mwatha A, et al. Analysis of HIV tropism in Ugandan infants. *Curr HIV Res*. 2010;8:498–503.
24. Briz V, García D, Méndez-Lagares G, et al. High prevalence of X4/DM-tropic variants in children adolescents infected with HIV-1 by vertical transmission. *J Pediatr Infect Dis*. 2012;31:1048–52.
25. Green TN, Archary M, Gordon ML, et al. Drug resistance and coreceptor usage in HIV type 1 subtype C-infected children initiating or failing highly active antiretroviral therapy in South Africa. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses*. 2012;28:324–32.
26. Correa R, Muñoz-Fernández MA. Viral phenotype affects the thymic production of new T cells in HIV-1-infected children. *AIDS*. 2001;15:1959–63.
27. Fotheringham MJ, Sawyer MG. Adherence to recommended medical regimens in childhood and adolescence. *J Paediatr Child Health*. 1995;31:72–8.
28. Puthanakit T, Saphonn V, Ananworanich J, et al. Early versus deferred antiretroviral therapy for children older than 1 year infected with HIV (PREDICT): a multicentre, randomised, open-label trial. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2012;12:933–41.
29. Almeida FJ, Berezin EN, Rodrigues R, et al. Diversity and prevalence of antiretroviral genotypic resistance mutations among HIV-1-infected children. *J Pediatr*. 2009;85:104–9.
30. Almeida FJ, Rodrigues R, Zapparoli MS, et al. Prevalence of transmitted HIV-1 drug resistance mutations in children and adolescents in São Paulo, Brazil. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2012;31:e255–7.
31. Casper CH, Clevestig P, Carlenor E, et al. Link between the X4 phenotype in human immunodeficiency virus type 1-infected mothers and their children, despite the early presence of R5 in the child. *J Infect Dis*. 2002;186:914–21.
32. Lanca AM, Collares JK, Ferreira JL, et al. HIV-1 tropism and CD4 T lymphocyte recovery in a prospective cohort of patients initiating HAART in Ribeirão Preto, Brazil. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz*. 2012;107:96–101.
33. Santoro MM, Armenia D, Fabeni L, et al. The lowest X4 Geno2Pheno false-positive rate is associated with greater CD4 depletion in HIV-1 infected patients. *Clin Microbiol Infect*. 2012;18:E289–98.