CORRESPONDENCE

Open Access

Non-adjuvanted interferon-armed RBD protein nasal drops protect airway infection from SARS-CoV-2

Yifan Lin¹, Jing Sun², Xuezhi Cao³, Xiuye Wang³, Xi Chen⁴, Hairong Xu¹, Jincun Zhao ^{2,3⊠}, Yang-Xin Fu^{5⊠} and Hua Peng ^{1,3⊠}

Dear Editor,

The COVID-19 pandemic caused by SARS-CoV-2, highly transmissible from person to person via droplets and small particles inhaled in the upper respiratory tract, leads to drastically increased morbidity and mortality globally¹. Meanwhile, the most recent variant of concern, Omicron, has a substantial growth advantage over Delta in terms of transmission². All WHO-approved vaccines fail to diminish the Omicron variant's transmission^{3,4}. Those vaccines are all administered via intramuscular (i.m.) injection, which induces a high level of serum IgG, but no detectable mucosal IgA in serum and airway⁵. Studies based on monoclonal antibodies suggest that the antibody response produced by i. m. vaccination is insufficient to protect the nasal mucosa⁶, because it is the secreted IgA in the upper respiratory tract, not serum IgG, that dominates the first line of defense to SARS-CoV-2 infection⁷.

Intranasal (i.n.) vaccines can induce airway IgA and reduce viral load at the very early stages of viral infections⁸. Therefore, nasal delivery of safe and potent vaccines should be considered for generating airway mucosal immunity, such as IgA, to reduce respiratory transmission of SARS-CoV-2 rapidly. Effective mucosal delivery of mRNA vaccines has not yet been developed. Most of the current i.n. vaccines, such as adenovirus vector vaccines, rely on infection and show effective mucosal protective responses in preclinical experiment^{9–11}. However, the

pre-existing immune response against adenoviruses prevalent in most populations could interfere with the efficacy of such viral vector vaccines and the subsequent booster immunization¹². In addition, the side effects of active infection by viral vector vaccines through i.n. delivery should not be overlooked¹³. Hence, it is necessary to develop novel vaccines for nasal administration¹⁴.

Recombinant protein vaccines are safe, but with too weak immunogenicity to be employed in airway immunization without adjuvants. Our previous study reported on a fusion-protein vaccine containing the receptorbinding domain (RBD) of the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein and a mouse Interferon, IFNa-Pan-RBD-Fc (IPRF). It is also known as V-01 with human IFN α instead of mouse IFN α applied in monkey studies and clinical trials. In preclinical studies, this interferon-armed RBD protein vaccine induces robust immune responses via i.m. injection even without adjuvant¹⁵, suggesting that the V-01 vaccine could be a potential effective i.n. vaccine candidate for SARS-CoV-2. To test the immunogenicity of IPRF through nasal drops, we first delivered a low dose (10 µg) of the IPRF or the same amount of RBD protein into the mouse subject's nasal cavity. Similar to the known protein vaccines, the RBD protein vaccine could hardly induce detectable anti-RBD antibodies in the absence of adjuvants (Fig. 1a). However, strong RBD-specific IgG and impressive high titer of IgA antibodies were induced in the serum of the IPRF-vaccinated group, and these high levels of antibodies persisted for a long period of time. We further measured IgA in the nasal mucosa and confirmed that IPRF nasal drops induced a robust RBD-specific IgA response, but not RBD (Supplementary Fig. S1a). Impressively, the enzyme-linked immunoprotect (ELI-Spot) assay using the RBD peptide library also

© The Author(s) 2022

Correspondence: Jincun Zhao (zhaojincun@gird.cn) or Yang-Xin Fu (yangxinfu@tsinghua.edu.cn) or Hua Peng (hpeng@moon.ibp.ac.cn) ¹Institute of Biophysics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China ²State Key Laboratory of Respiratory Disease, Guangzhou Institute of Respiratory Health, the First Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article These authors contributed equally: Yifan Lin, Jing Sun, Xuezhi Cao

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.



SARS-CoV-2 12 weeks post the boost dose. Mice in each group were euthanized 3 days post challenge. Viral RNA copies in the nasal of each mouse were determined by qRT-PCR and plotted as log10 copies per mL. The data shown are presented as mean \pm SEM. *P* values were determined by one-way ANOVA with multiple comparison tests. ns, not significant; **P* < 0.05, ***P* < 0.01, ****P* < 0.001, *****P* < 0.001.

demonstrated that nasal IPRF could induce a much stronger RBD-specific T cell response than RBD vaccines (Supplementary Fig. S1b).

Robust neutralizing antibodies were also induced by i.n. immunization in both the serum and the supernatant of the nasal mucosa, tested with the original SARS-CoV-2 pseudovirus assay (Fig. 1b). To assess the efficacy of the IPRF i.n. vaccine in preventing airway infection, hACE2transgenic mice were i.n. immunized and challenged with live SARS-CoV-2 42 days after the initial vaccination. Again, the IPRF i.n. vaccine induced robust anti-RBD IgG and IgA antibody responses, while the RBD vaccine group did not induce a detectable antibody response (Supplementary Fig. S2a, b). Sera from IPRF groups present a significantly higher neutralizing antibody titer against SARS-CoV-2 than the RBD group tested by focusreduction neutralization test (Supplementary Fig. S2c). We analyzed the viral load and pathological sections by hematoxylin-eosin staining. Compared to the RBD group, IPRF vaccination resulted in a much lower viral load in lung tissue after infection, and no significant inflammatory response was observed in the lung tissue on day 2 after viral challenge in the IPRF group (Fig. 1c and Supplementary Fig. S3). Impressively, the lungs of IPRFvaccinated mice were cleared of the virus on day 7 post infection (Fig. 1c) and presented no pathology (Supplementary Fig. S3). In contrast, the RBD vaccine failed to reduce viral load and exhibited severe lung pathology. It suggests that conventional protein vaccines without adjuvant might not be able to generate protective immunity.

We next characterized the immune response induced by nasal drops of non-adjuvanted IPRF compared to i.m. injection and found that both administrations induced robust RBD-specific IgG response (Supplementary Fig. S4a). However, only i.n. administration induced a robust RBD-specific IgA antibody response, but not in the i.m. injection group (Fig. 1d). Meanwhile, pseudoviruses were used to evaluate the neutralization titer. There was no significant difference between the viral neutralization titers of sera from mice vaccinated via either IPRF i.m. or i.n. administration (Supplementary Fig. S4b). It is crucial that i.n. drops can induce neutralizing antibodies in the nasal mucosa, while i.m. administration cannot (Fig. 1e). Most impressively, sera from i.n. non-adjuvanted two-dose V-01 vaccinations maintained as strong viral neutralization titers as i.m vaccine against the Omicron pseudovirus (Fig. 1f and Supplementary Fig. S5a). To further compare the anti-viral immunity in the upper respiratory tract induced by i.m. vs i.n. administration, three treatment groups of ACE2 mice (i.m., i.n. vaccination, or PBS) were challenged with authentic SARS-CoV-2 on day 84 after the initial immunization (Supplementary Fig. S6a). We found that on the third day after the viral challenge, the viral load in the lungs decreased significantly in all groups (Supplementary Fig. S6b). Intriguingly, the viral load was not detectable in the nasal mucosa of i.n. vaccinated mice but remained at high levels in the control and i.m. injection groups (Fig. 1g). This result further supports our hypothesis that the nasal route can generate better protection. Indeed, nasal drop vaccination achieves adequate protection, eliminating the virus in the upper respiratory tract and preventing viral spreading in the early stage of infection. Furthermore, an i. m. vaccine may confer relatively weak protection against viral infection in the upper respiratory tract. In the clinic, nasal drops may be a convenient and efficient route of mucosa vaccination, and nasal spray or atomization inhalation should be evaluated for immunization efficiency in future preclinical and clinical trials.

While protein antigens, such as RBD, fail to induce IgA through i.n. vaccination, our study clearly demonstrates that our RBD fusion-protein (IPRF) nasal drops could

induce high titers of RBD-specific IgA in the upper respiratory tract without additional adjuvant. Most importantly, this newly designed nasal drop vaccine can stimulate the production of potent RBD-specific neutralizing antibodies in systemic circulation and in the upper respiratory tract to protect mice from SARS-CoV-2 infection.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Emergency Key Program of Guangzhou Laboratory (EKPG21-21) to H.P., the National Key R&D Program of China (2018ZX10301-404) to H.P., and Bioland Laboratory (Guangzhou Regenerative Medicine and Health Guangdong Laboratory) to H.P.

Author details

¹Institute of Biophysics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China. ²State Key Laboratory of Respiratory Disease, Guangzhou Institute of Respiratory Health, the First Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China. ³Guangzhou Laboratory and Bioland Laboratory, Guangzhou, Guangdong, China. ⁴LivzonBio Inc., Zhuhai, Guangdong, China. ⁵Department of Basic Medical Sciences, School of Medicine, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China

Author contributions

Y.-X.F., H.P., and Y.L. conceived and designed the study. Y.L., J.S., X.Cao, X.W., X. Chen, and H.X. performed experiments and analyzed the data. Y.-X.F., H.P., and J.Z. supervised the study. Y.L., H.P., and Y.-X.F. wrote and revised the manuscript. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflict of interest

X.Chen is an employee of LivzonBio Inc., China. Other authors declare no conflict of interests.

Publisher's note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Supplementary information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41421-022-00411-4.

Received: 6 January 2022 Accepted: 6 April 2022 Published online: 10 May 2022

References

- 1. World Health Organization. COVID-19 Weekly Epidemiological Update 68th edn (2021).
- Cloete, J. et al. Rapid rise in paediatric COVID-19 hospitalisations during the early stages of the Omicron wave, Tshwane District, South Africa. *medRxiv* https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.1112.1121.21268108v212681 01 (2021).
- Zhao, X. et al. Reduced sera neutralization to Omicron SARS-CoV-2 by both inactivated and protein subunit vaccines and the convalescents. *bioRxiv* https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2021.1112.1116.472391v472391 (2021).
- Cameroni, E. et al. Broadly neutralizing antibodies overcome SARS-CoV-2 Omicron antigenic shift. *Nature* 602, 664–670 (2022).
- Bleier, B. S., Ramanathan, M. Jr & Lane, A. P. COVID-19 vaccines may not prevent nasal SARS-CoV-2 infection and asymptomatic transmission. *Otolar*yngol. Head. Neck Surg. 164, 305–307 (2021).
- Zhou, D. et al. Robust SARS-CoV-2 infection in nasal turbinates after treatment with systemic neutralizing antibodies. *Cell Host Microbe* 29, 551–563.e555 (2021).
- Sterlin, D., Mathian, A., Miyara, M. & Mohr, A. IgA dominates the early neutralizing antibody response to SARS-CoV-2. *Sci. Transl. Med.* 13, eabd2223 (2021).

- Lavelle, E. C. & Ward, R. W. Mucosal vaccines fortifying the frontiers. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 22, 236–250 (2022).
- An, X. et al. Single-dose intranasal vaccination elicits systemic and mucosal immunity against SARS-CoV-2. *iScience* 24, 103037 (2021).
- Ku, M. W. et al. Intranasal vaccination with a lentiviral vector protects against SARS-CoV-2 in preclinical animal models. *Cell Host Microbe* 29, 236–249.e236 (2021).
- Feng, L. et al. An adenovirus-vectored COVID-19 vaccine confers protection from SARS-COV-2 challenge in rhesus macaques. *Nat. Commun.* 11, 4207 (2020).
- Zaiss, A. K., Machado, H. B. & Herschman, H. R. The influence of innate and preexisting immunity on adenovirus therapy. J. Cell Biochem. 108, 778–790 (2009).
- Vasu, N., Ghaffari, G., Craig, E. T. & Craig, T. J. Adverse events associated with intranasal influenza vaccine in the United States. *Ther. Adv. Respir. Dis.* 2, 193–198 (2008).
- Jeyanathan, M. et al. Immunological considerations for COVID-19 vaccine strategies. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 20, 615–632 (2020).
- Sun, S. et al. Interferon-armed RBD dimer enhances the immunogenicity of RBD for sterilizing immunity against SARS-CoV-2. *Cell Res.* **31**, 1011–1023 (2021).