



Japanese Encephalitis Virus Vaccination Elicits Cross-Reactive HLA-Class I-Restricted CD8 T Cell Response Against Zika Virus Infection

Marion Tarbe^{1,2†}, Wei Dong^{3†}, Guang Hu^{1,2†}, Yongfen Xu^{1,2†}, Jing Sun^{4†}, Solene Grayo^{1,2}, Xianyang Chen³, Chengfeng Qin⁵, Jincun Zhao⁴, Li Liu^{1,2}, Xiuzhen Li^{1,2*} and Qibin Leng^{1,2,3*}

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Qingfeng Chen, Institute of Molecular and Cell Biology (A*STAR), Singapore

Reviewed by:

Mingzhao Zhu, Institute of Biophysics (CAS), China Honglin Xu, National Vaccine and Serum Institute, China

*Correspondence:

Xiuzhen Li 13725100840@163.com Qibin Leng qbleng@sibs.ac.cn †These authors have contributed equally to this work

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Viral Immunology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Immunology

Received: 29 June 2020 Accepted: 07 September 2020 Published: 25 September 2020

Citation:

Tarbe M, Dong W, Hu G, Xu Y, Sun J, Grayo S, Chen X, Qin C, Zhao J, Liu L, Li X and Leng Q (2020) Japanese Encephalitis Virus Vaccination Elicits Cross-Reactive HLA-Class I-Restricted CD8 T Cell Response Against Zika Virus Infection. Front. Immunol. 11:577546. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.577546 ¹ The Joint Center for Infection and Immunity, Guangzhou Institute of Pediatrics, Guangzhou Women and Children's Medical Center, Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou, China, ² Institut Pasteur of Shanghai, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai, China, ³ Affiliated Cancer Hospital & Institute of Guangzhou Medical University, State Key Laboratory of Respiratory Disease, Guangzhou, China, ⁴ State Key Laboratory of Respiratory Disease, Guangzhou Institute of Respiratory Health, The First Affiliated Hospital of Guangzhou Medical University, Guangzhou, China, ⁵ Department of Virology, State Key Laboratory of Pathogens and Biosecurity, Beijing Institute of Microbiology and Epidemiology, Beijing, China

Japanese encephalitis virus (JEV) exposure or vaccination could elicit cross-reactive CD8 T cell immunity against heterologous flaviviruses in humans. In addition, cross-reactive CD8 T cells induced by dengue virus (DENV) have been shown to play a protective role against Zika virus (ZIKV). However, how JEV exposure or vaccination affects ZIKV infection in humans remains unclear. In this report, epitope prediction algorithms were used to predict the cross-reactive CD8 T cell epitope restricted to human HLA between JEV and ZIKV. We found that these predicted CD8 T cell epitopes are immunogenic and cross-reactive in humanized HLA transgenic mice. Moreover, JEV vaccine immunization provided cross-protection against ZIKV infection. Furthermore, CD8 T cells were involved in the protection against ZKIV infection *in vivo*. Our results have an important clinical implication that vaccination with JEV SA14-14-2 may provide protection against ZIKV infection in humans.

Keywords: JEV, Zika, cross-reactive, epitope, CD8 T cells, HLA-A2 transgenic mice, heterologous immunity

INTRODUCTION

Zika virus (ZIKV) is a global health threat due to its association with severe congenital malformations and its widespread transmission (1, 2). Nevertheless, the spreading of ZIKV is limited in China and South-East Asia (3–5) despite the presence of ZIKV transmission-competent mosquitoes and the circulation of other flaviviruses, including dengue viruses (DVs) and Japanese encephalitis virus (JEV). Several hypotheses have been suggested to explain the low incidence of ZIKV infection in Asia: low burden of public health prior to the Micronesia epidemic in 2007, misdiagnosis even in the laboratory due to the presence of other flaviviruses, endemic of ZIKV in Asia for several decades potentially providing long-lasting immunity, and/or cross-protective immunity provided by other endemic flaviviruses (6). While cross-reactivity of DV immunity with ZIKV infection has been reported because of the presence of DV in South America (7–9), little is

known about immunologic cross-reactivity of JEV to ZIKV and whether JEV pre-existing immunity may provide protection or contribute to ZIKV pathogenesis.

JEV is an arthropod-borne virus transmitted mainly through the bite of Culex species mosquitoes, primarily *Culex tritaeniorhynchus*. JEV is endemic to South and South-East Asia and epidemic in North Asia. It is reported that JEV seropositivity in adults in Korea range from 79–94% (10). Thus, JEV circulates from Pakistan to Japan and from Korea to Indonesia, as well as through east Pacific regions and northern Australia (11). JEV infection induces disease mainly during childhood. Approximately 10% of infected children develop mild febrile illness, and 0.1 to 1% of them progress to encephalitis, of which 20–30% are fatal and 30–50% result in permanent neurologic sequelae (12). The remainder of JEV infections in humans are clinically silent. The overwhelming majority of JEV-exposed individuals thus develop long-lasting immunity.

Live attenuated JEV vaccine (SA14-14-2) is widely used in China and other Asian countries (13). This vaccine is able to elicit both humoral and cellular immunity. Interestingly, while JEV vaccination in children provides high and long-lasting protection through neutralizing antibodies (14, 15). The vaccination in adults provides a T cell immune response that is more potent than a humoral response (16). Additionally, CD8 T cells in JEVvaccinated and JEV-exposed healthy human subjects cross-react with DVs (16, 17) and other flaviviruses. Furthermore, Recent studies have revealed that murine MHC I-restricted CD8 T cells from mice infected with JEV or vaccine strain are indeed cross-reactive with ZIKV (18, 19). These evidences suggest JEV vaccination may elicit cross-reactive T cell immunity against ZIKV and thereby affect ZIKV pathogenesis in humans. To test this hypothesis, we performed bioinformatic analysis to predict the cross-reactive epitope between JEV and ZIKV. Then, the immunogenicity of these epitopes and the cross-protective role of CD8 T cells against ZIKV infection were determined in HLAtransgenic mice. Our results imply that JEV vaccination has a potential to protect ZIKV infection in humans by cross-reactive CD8 T cell immunity against these epitopes.

RESULTS

Prediction of Potential Cross-Reactive Epitope to ZIKV Restricted to Human MHCs

Human leukocyte antigen (HLA)-B*58:01(B58), HLA-A*02:01 (A2), HLA-A*11:01 (A11) and HLA-A*24:02 (A24) are prevalent MHC-I molecules in the Asian population. Moreover, HLA-A*02:01 is the most prevalent MHC-I in the Caucasian population. As JEV SA14-14-2 and ZIKV polyproteins share 56% sequence homology, we wondered whether JEV vaccination could induce cross-reactive CD8 T cell response against ZIKV infection in humans. To determine the potential JEV/ZIKV cross-reactive epitope restricted to human MHC-I, we predicted antigenic peptides from the JEV SA14-14-2 polyprotein using Immune Epitope Database Analysis Resource (IEDAR) software

and selected candidates presenting IEDAR scores under 4, thereby obtaining 196, 185, 207, and 184 epitopes restricted to HLA B58, HLA A2, HLA A11, and HLA A24, respectively. We then aligned the sequences of the JEV SA14-14-2 polyprotein with ZIKV MR766 (reference strain) and ZIKV SPH2015 (Brazilian strain) to determine identical or similar (no more than 2 mutations) antigenic peptides in BLAST software. Further analysis with IEDAR revealed that 42 HLA B58, 21 HLA A2, 39 HLA A11 and 39 HLA A24-binding candidates were predicted, respectively (Figure 1A and Supplementary Tables 1-3). Among them, 6 HLA B58, 4 HLA A2, 5 HLA A11 and 7 HLA A24binding candidates were identical between SA14-14-2 and ZIKV (Figure 1B). Moreover, most of these cross-reactive candidates were located in E, NS3 and NS5 proteins of ZIKV (Figure 1C). These data imply that JEV vaccination may elicit a cross-reactive CD8 T cell response to ZIKV in humans.

A Dominant JEV Epitope Elicits Cross-Reactive CD8 T Cell Response to ZIKV Infection in HLA A2-Transgenic Mice

Considering the availability of HLA transgenic mice and HLA genotype prevalence in humans, we focused our study on the HLA A2-restricted epitopes and evaluated the immunogenic properties of these potential cross-reactive epitopes in vivo. HHD mice, which are transgenic mice with a C57B/L6 background that express the human MHC-I A2 epitope-binding site (20), were infected either with the JEV-attenuated strain SA14-14-2 or ZIKV SZ-WIV01. Seven days after infection, splenocytes were collected and stimulated with each HLA A2-restricted peptide in an IFNy-ELISpot assay. As shown in Figure 2A, J2, Z2, J/Z11, J12, Z12, Z12' and Z14' peptides were immunogenic epitopes in JEV infection. However, in ZIKV infection, the T cell response to J2 and Z2 peptides was negligible. J12, Z12 and Z12' peptides are the most dominant epitopes in response to ZIKV infection (Figure 2B). An intracellular staining assay confirmed that CD8 T cells from ZIKV-infected HHD mice indeed responded to all J12, Z12 and Z12' peptides by producing IFNy. Moreover, CD8 T cells that responded to J12, Z12 and Z12' peptides were polyfunctional because they produced both IFNy and TNFa (Figure 2C).

To further determine the cross-reactivity between J12 and Z12-specific CD8 T cells, J12 and Z12 tetramer were used to stain CD8 T cells collected from ZIKV-infected mice. We found that Z12 and J12 dual -reactive cells are significantly higher than Z12-specific CD8 T cells (**Figure 2D**). These results indicate that Z12-specific CD8 T cells are cross-reactive with J12-specific CD8 T cells. Altogether, these results demonstrate that JEV vaccination induces cross-reactive HLA A2-restricted CD8 T cells to ZIKV.

JEV Vaccination Polarizes CD8 T Cell Response to the Cross-Reactive ZIKV Epitope

We next studied whether JEV vaccination could affect the CD8 T cell response to ZIKV infection *in vivo*. HHD mice were first immunized with the JEV SA14-14-2 vaccine and then

۰.	Name	Virus strains	Position	Sequence	Score (%)	В		Total	Identical	Similar
	Z1	ZIKV-shared	16	MLKRGVARV	2.5		DF00 4		-	
	J1	SA14	16	MLKRGLPRV	1.5		B5801	196	6	36
	Z2	ZIKV-shared	283	LLIAPAYSI	0.7		A201	185	4	17
	J2	SA14	287	LLVAPAYSF	2.4		AZUT	100	4	17
	Z3	ZIKV-shared	741/745*	SLFGGMSWF	4.9		A1101	207	5	34
	J3	SA14	745	TLFGGMSWI	1.4		AIIUI	201	5	54
	Z4	MR766	1218	HLALVAAFK	3.8		A2402	184	7	32
	J4	SA14	1222	HLALIAVFK	3.9				•	
	Z4'	SPH2015/SZWIV01	1222	HLALIAAFK	3.5					
	Z5	ZIKV-shared	1404/1408*	GLLIVSYVV	0.5					
	J5	SA14	1410	GLMAVSYVV	0.2					
	Z6	ZIKV-shared	1538/1542*	VMQEGVFHT	1.7					
	J6	SA14	1544	VMYENVFHT	0.8					
	Z/J7	ZIKV (all strains) and JEV-shared	1812/1816/1 818**	FMTATPPGT	3.1	с	н	LA B5801	1 1	HLA A201
	Z8	ZIKV-shared	1856/1860*	KTVWFVPSV	1.8	U				
	J8		1862	KTVWFVASV	2.1				-	• •
	Z9	ZIKV-shared	2229/2233*	LLLVVLIPE	2.4	3	5 ⁴ 1 (0	00	
	J9	SA14	2236	LLMVVLIPE	2.2	0	3	0		
	Z10	ZIKV-shared	2294/2298*	SMDIDLRPA	3.3	Rank (%)	2 - 8	2		ഹ്ര്
	J10	SA14	2303	SMALDLRPA	3	, T				0
	Z/J11	ZIKV (all strains) and JEV-shared	2774/2778/2 786**	NLGSGTRAV	1.1	Ω.	<u> </u>]•o		_ 9 55 º	0 0
	Z12	MR766	2836	SLVNGVVRL	1.9					
	J12	SA14	2848	SLVNGVVKL	2.1		E	NS3	NS5	E NS3 NS
	Z12'	SPH2015/SZWIV01	2840	SLINGVVRL	1.1		_			
	Z13	ZIKV-shared	2990/2994*	AIWYMWLGA	3.9			A A110 [.]	4 I	HLA A2402
	J13	SA14	3002	AIWFMWLGA	4			AAHU	I I	ILA AZ4UZ
	Z14	ZIKV-shared	2993/2997*	YMWLGARFL	0.4	-	- 4 -		ma 10	ം എ ഇം
	J14	SA14	3005	FMWLGARYL	0.3	(%)	23 - 8	00		~ ~ ~
	Z15	ZIKV-shared	3008/3012*	FLNEDHWMG	3.3	×		0	5 8 _	ര്റ്റ്
	J15	SA14	3020	FLNEDHWLS	1.8	L L	<i>1</i> 0	6		
	Z/J16	ZIKV (all strains) and JEV-shared	3291/3295/3 305**	RLMANAICS	2.2	Rank		<u>°°0</u>	<u>866</u> 1.	<u>88</u> 4
	J/Z17	MR766/SA14	3292/3306	LMANAICSA	1.5		· —	· ·		_
	Z17'	SPH2015/SZWIV01	3296	LMANAICSS	6.8		_			
	Z18	MR766	3322	MTTEDMLMV	3		E	NS3	NS5 E	E NS3 NS5
	J18	SA14	3336	MTTEDMLQV	3.6					
	Z18'	SPH2015/SZWIV01	3326	MTTEDMLVV	3.4					
quer erive	nce of predic d HLA-B*58	of JEV and ZIKV-derived ted HLA-A*02:01-restrict :01, HLA-A*02:01, HLA-/ tive epitopes on the JEV	ed JEV SA14 A*11:01 and H	, ZIKV MR766, Z ILA-A*24:02-resi	IKVSPH2015 tricted epitop	5 and ZIKN bes and the	/SZWIV01 c eir comparis	ross-reactiv on with ZIK	ve epitopes. (B) V MR766-deriv	, Number of predict red epitopes. (C) Lo

infected with ZIKV 28 days later. Non-immunized HHD mice that received ZIKV infection were served as control group. Five days post ZIKV infection, splenocytes were harvested. ELISpot was used to determine the CD8 T cell response to ZIKV epitopes. As expected, T cells responded to J12, Z12, and Z12' peptides in Non-immunized group because of ZIKV infection. Notably, the responses to these peptides were 2 to 4 times higher in the JEV-immunized group than in the non-immunized group (**Figure 3A**).

We next used ICS assay to examine the IFN γ and TNF α production of CD8 T cells from JEV-immunized mice in response to peptide J12, Z12, and Z12'. As shown in the **Figure 3B**, the proportions of IFN γ producing CD8 T cells in the JEV-immunized group in response to J12, Z12 and Z12' peptides were approximately 4 times higher than that in the non-immunized group. Furthermore, IFN γ -producing T cells appeared polyfunctional, as nearly 50% of them also expressed TNF α (**Figures 3C,D**). In addition, the majority of IFN γ and TNF α -producing T cells expressed high levels of CD44 molecules (**Figures 3E,F**), a memory phenotype, indicating the T cell responses are a recall response. These data suggest that JEV vaccination polarizes CD8 T cell response to a dominant epitope that cross-reacts with ZIKV.

JEV-Specific CD8 T-Cells Provide Cross-Protection Against ZIKV Infection

Next, the protective potential of the JEV cross-reactive CD8 T cells against ZIKV infection was determined *in vivo*. We first generated HHD *Ifnar1*^{-/-} mice that are susceptible to ZIKV by crossing HHD mice with *Ifnar1*^{-/-} mice. HHD *Ifnar1*^{-/-} mice were immunized twice with JEV on days 1 and 28, and then mice were challenged with ZIKV 14 days after the second immunization. The non-immunized mice lost approximately 17% of their body weight from day 4 to day 7 after ZIKV infection (**Figure 4B**). In contrast, the immunized mice lost little body weight, and only 1 out of 7 mice died after ZIKV infection







FIGURE 3 | JEV-specific CD8 T cells are recalled upon ZIKV infection in HHD mice. (**A**,**B**) IFN_Y ELISpot assay of indicated epitopes with splenocytes from HHD mice (n = 5 each) that were immunized without (**A**) or with (**B**) the JEV SA14-14-2 vaccine once and then infected with ZIKV. (**C**,**D**) Intracellular staining of splenocytes from HHD mice (n = 5 each) that were immunized with or without the JEV SA14-14-2 vaccine once and then infected with ZIKV. (**C**,**D**) Intracellular staining of splenocytes from HHD mice (n = 5 each) that were immunized with or without the JEV SA14-14-2 vaccine once and then infected with ZIKV. (**C**,**D**) Intracellular staining of splenocytes were cultured with indicated peptides before intracellular staining. The averages of IFN_Y⁺ (**C**) and IFN_Y⁺TNF α ⁺ (**D**) gated on CD8 T cells. (**E**,**F**) Representative plot of IFN_Y and TNF α expression in CD8 T cells.

(Figures 4A,B). These observations suggest that JEV vaccination protects humanized HHD transgenic mice from ZIKV infection.

To determine the cross-protective role of CD8 T cells against ZIKV infection, we transferred purified CD8 T cells from JEVimmunized mice to naïve HHD *Ifnar1*^{-/-} mice prior to ZIKV infection. After ZIKV challenge, all the control mice lost more than 20% of their initial weight in the first week and had to be sacrificed on day 7 or 8 post infection. Mice that were adoptively transferred with JEV-specific CD8 T cells showed similar weight loss as control mice, but three mice (30%) in this group started to recover from day 6 post infection onward (**Figures 5A,B**).

To further confirm the protective role of CD8 T cells against ZIKV infection, we performed CD8 T cell depletion study in HHD transgenic mice. As shown in **Figures 5C,D**, JEVimmunized mice that received isotype control antibody slightly loss weight and recovered rapidly after day 8 post-infection,



and all the mice survived. At the opposite, when CD8 T cells were depleted in JEV-immunized group, all the mice in this group continuously lost weight and none of them survived after day 12 post ZIKV infection. Collectively, these data indicate that memory CD8 T cells generated from JEV immunization could provide cross-protection against ZIKV infection in HHD transgenic mice.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we found abundant cross-reactive epitope candidates that have the potential to be presented by the most prevalent MHC-I molecules in the Asian population. Consistent with previous studies, most of these epitope candidates were mainly located in the E, NS3 and NS5 proteins (21-23). We used HLA-transgenic mice to validate those HLA A2restricted candidates. Among the candidates, the J12 peptide SLVNGVVKL from JEV appeared to be the most immunogenic and immunodominant epitope. Additionally, JEV vaccination induced memory CD8 T cells that can recognize ZIKV analogs SLINGVVRL and SLVNGVVRL, whereas ZIKV-specific CD8 T cells could also cross-react with J12-specific CD8 T cells. Furthermore, adoptively transfer JEV-specific CD8 T cells also could provide cross-protection against ZIKV infection in HLA-A2 transgenic mice. Taken together, these observations suggest that JEV vaccine immunization induce JEV-specific CD8 T cells, having the potential to provide cross-protection against ZIKV infection in humans.

Previous studies by us and others have independent shown that antibodies against JEV are cross-reactive to ZIKV, but passive transfer of JEV antisera fails to protect ZIKV infection in $Ifnar1^{-/-}$ mice (18, 24). Thus, antibody response is unlikely to mediate the protection of JEV vaccine-immunized HLA-transgenic or non-transgenic $Ifnar1^{-/-}$ mice from ZIKV infection. Although JEV antibodies exhibit antibody-dependent

enhancement (ADE) of infection *in vitro*, the ADE effect was not observed in JEV vaccine-immunized mice or JEV antisera transferred mice (18, 24). It has been shown in Dengue virus infection, efficient CD8 T cells response is sufficient to inhibit ADE in infected mice. Our present study together with previous findings (18, 24) all suggest that cross-reactive JEV-specific CD8 T cells are protective to ZIKV infection in wild-type mice that are not HLA-transgenic (18, 19, 25). Thus, JEV vaccine can be clinically used for the prevention of ZIKV infection and also is at low risk of ADE induction in immune-competent humans.

In this study, we found that JEV vaccine immunization can provide cross-protection, but the adoptive transfer of CD8 T cells from JEV vaccine-immunized mice only partially protected naïve HHD *Ifnar1^{-/-}* mice from ZIKV infection. One possible reason for this partial protection is that adoptive CD8 T cells failed to migrate into ZIKV-infected tissues due to a lack of micromilieu cues. Second, it is also possible that cross-reactive CD4 T cells are also important for cross-protection. Furthermore, JEV SA14-14-2 vaccine, as a live virus, could also activate some innate immune cells upon immunization in mice. Upon activation, innate immune cells become memory-like cells and respond to heterologous pathogens quickly and robustly within a few weeks to months. This process is named as trained immunity (26). Thus, it is also possible that JEV vaccination could induce trained immunity and thereby contribute to partial cross-protection against ZIKV infection.

Besides ZIKV, several flaviviruses, including West Nile virus, Usutu virus, Murray Valley encephalitis virus, Alfuy virus, and Spondweni virus, are also closely related to JEV (27). Whether JEV vaccination could elicit cross-protective immunity against these viruses remain unknown. Furthermore, vaccines for tickborne encephalitis, yellow fever virus and dengue virus have also been clinically approved in humans (28). It is therefore of interest to study whether immunization of these vaccines in humans could affect other closely related flaviviruses. Understanding which mechanisms are involved in cross-protection against other



closely related flavivirus is helpful for developing novel strategies to mitigate or prevent newly emerging flavivirus in future.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mice and Ethics Statement

HHD (C57BL/6 background) and WT C57BL/6 mice were originally obtained from Dr. F. Lemonnier (Institute Pasteur Paris) and the Shanghai Laboratory Animal Center (SLAC), respectively. AG6 mice deficient in both IFNAR1 and IFNGR were generated from C57BL/6 background *Ifngr1^{-/-}* mice (Jackson laboratories, #003288) and *Ifnar1^{-/-}* A129 mice (B&H company). AG6 mice were backcrossed to C57BL/6 background mice for more than 8 generations. HHD *Ifnar1^{-/-}* mice were further generated by crossing AG6 mice with HHD mice. All mice were maintained and bred at the Institute Pasteur

of Shanghai under standard pathogen-free conditions. Animal experiments were carried out in the animal biosafety level 2 laboratory and were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee of the Institute Pasteur of Shanghai, CAS (Approval number: A2017017).

Peptide Synthesis

Peptides were synthesized by Genscript with a purity >95% confirmed by HPLC and mass spectrometry. All peptides were dissolved in DMSO at 10 mg/ml and stored at -20° C.

Viruses, Cells, and Reagents

The African Green kidney cell line Vero, Vero E6 and the Baby Hamster Kidney cell line BHK-21 were grown in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM, Gibco, Suzhou, United States), and human erythroleukemic K562 cells were grown in RPMI 1640 medium (Gibco, Suzhou, United States) at 37°C with 5% CO₂. All media were supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS, Gibco, Suzhou, United States), 100 U/ml penicillin (Gibco, Suzhou, United States) and 100 μ g/ml streptomycin (Gibco, Suzhou, United States). The live-attenuated vaccine strain Japanese encephalitis virus SA14-14-2 was gifted by Dr. Chengfeng Qin, and virus stocks were propagated on BHK-21 cells and titrated on Vero E6 cells by plaque-forming assay. The Zika virus strain SZ-WIV01 (GenBank number KU963796) was obtained from the Microorganisms & Viruses Culture Collection Center, Wuhan Institute of Virology, CAS, and virus stocks were propagated on Vero E6 cells by plaque-forming assay.

PMA and ionomycin were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Brefeldin A was purchased from Biotime. Antibodies against mouse CD8 α , CD3, TCR β , CD44, CD69, IFN γ and TNF α were purchased from eBioscience.

JEV Vaccine Immunization and Infection With ZIKV

JEV SA-14-14-2 (5 \times 10⁴ pfu/mouse) or ZIKV (1 \times 10⁴ pfu/mouse) was administered to 6- to 8-week-old HHD mice by intraperitoneal injection (i.p.) or retro-orbital injection. Seven days post infection, splenocytes were collected for flow cytometry analysis or ELISpot assay.

To detect JEV-specific CD8 T cells cross-reactive with ZIKV, 6- to 8-week-old HHD mice were immunized with SA-14-14-2 (i.p., 5×10^4 pfu/mouse) and infected with ZIKV (r.o., 1×10^4 pfu/mouse) 28 days after immunization. Five days post infection, splenocytes were collected for flow cytometry analysis or ELISpot assay.

Mouse IFN_y ELISpot Assay

Mouse IFN γ ELISpot assay was performed using the ELISpot kit (3321-2A, Mabtech) according to the manufacturer's instruction. Briefly, the day before the experiment, plates were coated with anti-IFN γ antibody AN18 at 4°C overnight. After the plates were washed with PBS and blocked with 10% FBS in RPMI, 0.4 µg of each peptide and 2.5 × 10⁵ cells/well were added. The plates were incubated for 40 hours and then washed and visualized. Wells containing splenocytes in the presence of anti-CD3 and anti-CD28 antibodies were used as positive controls, while negative controls contained splenocytes in the presence of DMSO.

ZIKV Challenge in HHD Ifnar1^{-/-} Mice

Four-week-old HHD *Ifnar1^{-/-}* mice were immunized with two doses of 5×10^4 pfu JEV SA14-14-2 four weeks apart. Two weeks after the second immunization, the mice were infected with 10^4 pfu of ZIKV SZ-WIV01. Mouse survival, weight change and clinical score were monitored over 2 weeks. Clinical scores were graded as follows: 0 = healthy; 1 = ruffled fur; 2 = hunched position or reduced activity; 3 = limb weakness; 4 = paralyzed and 5 = moribund or dead.

For CD8 T cell adoptive transfer, 6- to 8-week-old HHD mice were immunized with 5×10^4 pfu of JEV SA14-14-2. Four weeks post immunization, splenocytes were collected, and CD8 T cells were isolated using the EasySepTM Mouse CD8 + T Cell Isolation Kit according to the manufacturer's specifications. Then, 5week-old HHD *Ifnar1^{-/-}* mice were injected with 7.5 million purified CD8-positive splenocytes *via* the i.p. route. One day later, recipient mice were inoculated with 2×10^5 pfu ZIKV, and their survival and weight change were assessed for 2 weeks.

Flow Cytometry

Cells were washed and blocked in staining buffer (PBS, 0.3% BSA and 0.1% sodium azide) containing the anti-CD16/CD32 antibody for 10 min at 4°C and then stained with fluorophore-conjugated antibodies. After the cells were washed twice with staining buffer, data were collected on a Fortessa flow cytometer (BD Biosciences). For intracellular staining, 2.5 μ g/ml BFA was added during the last 4 h of stimulation to block the secretion of cytokines. After the cells were washed and stained for cell-surface markers and fixated and permeabilized with the IC fixation buffer Kit (eBioscience) according to the manufacturer's protocol, the cells were stained with FITC-anti-mouse IFN γ or isotype control and analyzed with a Fortessa flow cytometer. The data were analyzed using FlowJo software.

Statistical Analyses

Statistical analyses for continuous data were performed with Prism6 for Windows software (Prism Graph-Pad Software Inc.). P < 0.05 was considered significant. Graphs were produced, and statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

All datasets presented in this study are included in the article/**Supplementary Material**.

ETHICS STATEMENT

The animal study was reviewed and approved by Shanghai Laboratory Animal Center.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

QL and XL designed this research. MT, WD, GH, YX, JS, SG, and XC performed the research. CQ, LL, and JZ contributed to reagents and animals. MT, WD, LL, and SG analyzed the data. MT, WD, and QL wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

MT and WD were funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (81750110552 and 81901602, respectively). QL was funded by grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31800735 and 31870829), and the National Key Project for Infectious Diseases of China (2018ZX10301-208).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We wish to thank the staff in the flow cytometry and animal facilities at the State Key Laboratory of Respiratory Disease and Institut Pasteur of Shanghai.

REFERENCES

- Boggild AK, Geduld J, Libman M, Yansouni CP, McCarthy AE, Hajek J, et al. Surveillance report of Zika virus among *Canadian travellers* returning from the Americas. *CMAJ*. (2017) 189:E334–40. doi: 10.1503/cmaj.161241
- Driggers RW, Ho CY, Korhonen EM, Kuivanen S, Jaaskelainen AJ, Smura T, et al. Zika virus infection with prolonged maternal viremia and fetal brain abnormalities. N Engl J Med. (2016) 374:2142–51. doi: 10.1056/ NEJMoa1601824 doi: 10.1056/nejmoa1601824
- Singapore Zika Study Group. Outbreak of Zika virus infection in Singapore: an epidemiological, entomological, virological, and clinical analysis. *Lancet Infect Dis.* (2017) 46:509–20. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(17)30249-9
- Chu D-T, Ngoc VTN, Tao Y. Zika virus infection invietnam: current epidemic, strain origin, spreading risk, and perspective. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis.* (2017) 36:2041–2. doi: 10.1007/s10096-017-3030-8
- Duong V, Ong S, Leang R, Huy R, Ly S, Mounier U, et al. Low circulation of Zika virus, Cambodia, 2007-2016. *Emerg Infect Dis.* (2017) 23:296–9. doi: 10.3201/eid2302.161432
- 6. Duong V, Dussart P, Buchy P. Zika virus in Asia. Int J Infect Dis. (2017) 54:121-8.
- Dejnirattisai W, Supasa P, Wongwiwat W, Rouvinski A, Barba-Spaeth G, Duangchinda T, et al. Dengue virus sero-cross-reactivity drives antibodydependent enhancement of infection with Zika virus. *Nat Immunol.* (2016) 17:1102–8. doi: 10.1038/ni.3515
- Wen J, Tang WW, Sheets N, Ellison J, Sette A, Kim K, et al. Identification of Zika virus epitopes reveals immunodominant and protective roles for dengue virus cross-reactive CD8+ T cells. *Nat Microbiol.* (2017) 2:17036. doi: 10.1038/ nmicrobiol.2017.36
- Priyamvada L, Quicke KM, Hudson WH, Onlamoon N, Sewatanon J, Edupuganti S, et al. Human antibody responses after Dengue virus infection are highly cross-reactive to Zika virus. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. (2016) 113:7852–7. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1607931113
- Choe YJ, Taurel AF, Nealon J, Seo HS, Kim HS. Systematic review of seroepidemiological studies on Japanese encephalitis in the Republic of Korea. *Int J Infect Dis.* (2018) 67:14–9. doi: 10.1016/j.ijid.2017.11.023
- Wang H, Liang G. Epidemiology of Japanese encephalitis: past, present and future propestcs. *Therapeut Clin Risk Manag.* (2015) 11:435–48. doi: 10.2147/ tcrm.s51168
- Fischer M, Lindsey N, Staples E, Hills S. Japanese Encephalitis Vaccines: Recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP), Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report (MMWR)(59(RR01)). Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention CDC (2010). p. 1–27.
- Yu Y. Phenotypic and genotypic characteristics of Japanese encephalitis attenuated live vaccine virus SA14-14-2 and their stabilities. *Vaccine*. (2010) 28:3635–41. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2010.02.105
- Zaman K, Naser AM, Power M, Yaich M, Zhang L, Ginsburg AS, et al. Lotto-lot consistency of live attenuated SA 14-14-2 Japanese encephalitis vaccine manufactured in a good manufacturing practice facility and non-inferiority with respect to an earlier product. *Vaccine*. (2014) 32:6061–6. doi: 10.1016/j. vaccine.2014.09.012
- Tandan JB, Ohrr H, Sohn YM, Yoksan S, Ji M, Nam CM, et al. Single dose of SA 14-14-2 vaccine provides long-term protection against Japanese Encephalitis: a case-control study in Nepalese children 5 years after immunization. *Vaccine*. (2007) 25:5041–5. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2007.04.052
- 16. Turtle L, Tatullo F, Bali T, Ravi V, Soni M, Chan S, et al. Cellular immune responses to live attenuated Japanese encephalitis (JE) vaccine SA-14-14-2

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fimmu. 2020.577546/full#supplementary-material

in adults in a JE/Dengue co-endemic area. *PLoS Neglect Trop Dis.* (2017) 11:e0005263. doi: 10.1371/journal.pntd.0005263

- Turtle L, Bali T, Buxton G, Chib S, Chan S, Soni M, et al. Human T cell responses to Japanese encephalitis virus in health and disease. J Exp Med. (2016) 213:1331–52. doi: 10.1084/jem.20151517
- Zhang W, Xu Y, Zhao F, Tarbe M, Zhou S, Wang W, et al. The pre-existing cellular immunity to Japanese encephalitis virus heterotypically protects mice from Zika virus infection. *Sci. Bullet.* (2019) 65:6. doi: 10.1016/j.scib.2019.11. 006
- Chen D, Duan Z, Zhou W, Zou W, Jin S, Li D, et al. Japanese encephalitis virusprimed CD8+ T cells prevent antibody-dependent enhancement of Zika virus pathogenesis. J Exp Med. (2020) 217:e20192152. doi: 10.1084/jem.20192152
- Pascolo S, Bervas N, Ure JM, Smith AG, Lemonnier FA, Perarnau B. HLA-A2.1-restricted education and cytolytic activity of CD8(+) T lymphocytes from beta2 microglobulin (beta2m) HLA-A2.1 monochain transgenic H-2Db beta2m double knockout mice. *J Exp Med.* (1997) 185:2043–51. doi: 10.1084/ jem.185.12.2043
- Lai L, Rouphael N, Xu Y, Natrajan MS, Beck A, Hart M, et al. Innate, T-, and B-cell responses in acute human zika patients. *Clin Infect Dis.* (2018) 66:1–10. doi: 10.1093/cid/cix732
- Edupuganti S, Natrajan MS, Rouphael N, Lai L, Xu Y, Feldhammer M, et al. Biphasic zika illness with rash and joint pain. Open Forum Infect Dis. (2017) 4:ofx133. doi: 10.1093/ofid/ofx133
- Waggoner JJ, Rouphael N, Xu Y, Natrajan M, Lai L, Patel SM, et al. Pericarditis associated with acute zika virus infection in a returning traveler. *Open Forum Infect Dis.* (2017) 4:ofx103. doi: 10.1093/ofid/ofx103
- 24. Wang R, Zhen Z, Turtle L, Hou B, Li Y, Wu N, et al. T cell immunity rather than antibody mediates cross-protection against Zika virus infection conferred by a live attenuated Japanese encephalitis SA14-14-2 vaccine. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol.* (2020) 104:6779–89. doi: 10.1007/s00253-020-10710-z
- Wang R, Zhen Z, Turtle L, Hou B, Li Y, Gao N, et al. Cross-protection against zika virus infection conferred by a live attenuated japanese encephalitis SA14-14-2 vaccine. *bioRxiv*. (2020). [Preprint]. doi: 10.1101/2020.02.14.950352
- Netea MG, Giamarellos-Bourboulis EJ, Dominguez-Andres J, Curtis N, van Crevel R, van de Veerdonk FL, et al. Trained immunity: a tool for reducing susceptibility to and the severity of SARS-CoV-2 infection. *Cell.* (2020) 181:969–77. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2020.04.042
- Moureau G, Cook S, Lemey P, Nougairede A, Forrester NL, Khasnatinov M, et al. New insights into flavivirus evolution, taxonomy and biogeographic history, extended by analysis of canonical and alternative coding sequences. *PLoS One.* (2015) 10:e0117849. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0117849
- Li G, Teleki C, Wang T. Memory T cells in flavivirus vaccination. Vaccines (Basel). (2018) 6:73. doi: 10.3390/vaccines60 40073

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Tarbe, Dong, Hu, Xu, Sun, Grayo, Chen, Qin, Zhao, Liu, Li and Leng. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.