



MNX (Medium Duration Nutrition and Resistance-Vibration Exercise) Bed-Rest: Effect of Resistance Vibration Exercise Alone or Combined With Whey Protein Supplementation on Cardiovascular System in 21-Day Head-Down Bed Rest

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Wolfgang Schobersberger, Institut für Sport-, Alpinmedizin & Gesundheitstourismus (ISAG), Austria

Reviewed by:

Dieter Blottner, Charité – Universitätsmedizin Berlin, Germany Andreas Kramer, University of Konstanz, Germany

*Correspondence:

Patrick Guinet patrickguinet@hotmail.com

[†] These authors have contributed equally to this work

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Environmental, Aviation and Space Physiology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Physiology

> Received: 26 March 2020 Accepted: 18 June 2020 Published: 16 July 2020

Citation:

Guinet P, MacNamara JP, Berry M, Larcher F, Bareille M-P, Custaud M-A, Pavy-Le Traon A, Levine BD and Navasiolava N (2020) MNX (Medium Duration Nutrition and Resistance-Vibration Exercise) Bed-Rest: Effect of Resistance Vibration Exercise Alone or Combined With Whey Protein Supplementation on Cardiovascular System in 21-Day Head-Down Bed Rest. Front. Physiol. 11:812. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2020.00812 Patrick Guinet^{1,2*†}, James Patrick MacNamara^{3†}, Matthieu Berry⁴, Françoise Larcher⁵, Marie-Pierre Bareille⁶, Marc-Antoine Custaud^{7,8}, Anne Pavy-Le Traon^{9,10}, Benjamin D. Levine³ and Nastassia Navasiolava^{7,8}

¹ Département d'Anesthésie Réanimation, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Rennes, Rennes, France, ² Centre Hospitalier de Fougères, Fougères, France, ³ Institute for Exercise and Environmental Medicine, Texas Health Presbyterian Hospital, The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX, United States, ⁴ Ramsay Santé, Clinique des Cèdres, Toulouse, France, ⁵ Laboratoire de Biochimie, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire d'Angers, Angers, France, ⁶ Institut de Médecine et de Physiologie Spatiales (MEDES), Toulouse, France, ⁷ Centre de Recherche Clinique, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire d'Angers, Angers, France, ⁸ Mitovasc UMR INSERM 1083-CNRS 6015, Université d'Angers, Angers, France, ⁹ Department of Neurology, French Reference Center for MSA, University Hospital of Toulouse, Toulouse, France, ¹⁰ Institute of Cardiovascular and Metabolic Diseases INSERM U 1048, Toulouse, France

Current inflight countermeasures do not completely prevent bone and cardiovascular changes induced by microgravity. High load Resistance Exercise combined with whole body Vibration (RVE) demonstrated benefits on bone and cardiovascular system during previous Head-Down Bed Rest (HDBR) studies. We examined the effectiveness of RVE alone or combined with a nutritional supplementation of Whey protein (NeX) on cardiovascular deconditioning. Eight male subjects (age 34 ± 8 years) in a crossover design completed three 21-day HDBR campaigns (Control-CON, RVE, and NeX). Pre and post HDBR Orthostatic Tolerance (OT) was evaluated by a 15-min head-up tilt test followed by increasing levels of Lower Body Negative Pressure (LBNP). Heart rate (HR), blood pressure (BP), and Sympathetic Index (Σ I) through spectral analysis were measured during OT test. Plasma Volume (PV), and Maximal Oxygen Uptake (VO₂max) were measured before and after each campaign. Left ventricular mass, left ventricular end diastolic (LVEDV), end systolic (LVESV), stroke (SV) volumes, and circumferential deformation at rest and during an orthostatic stress simulated by a 30 mmHg LBNP were measured by cardiac MRI. RVE failed to prevent any change in these variables and NeX did not have any additional effect over exercise alone. In the 3 groups, (1) OT time dropped similarly (bed rest p < 0.001), (2) HR and ΣI were increased at rest at the end of HDBR and HR increased markedly during LBNP-tilt test, with inability

1

to increase further the ΣI , (3) PV dropped (bed rest p < 0.001), along with LVEDV, LVESV and SV (p = 0.08, p < 0.001, and p = 0.045, respectively), (4) Left ventricle mass did not change significantly, (5) Deformation of the heart assessed by global circumferential strain was preserved and early diastolic circumferential strain rate was increased during orthostatic stress at the end of HDBR, illustrating preserved systolic and diastolic function respectively, without any difference between groups. Despite the drop in PV and LV volumes, RVE and NeX tended to alleviate the decrease in VO₂max. In conclusion, RVE and NeX failed to prevent the cardiovascular deconditioning induced by a 21 day-HDBR.

Keywords: countermeasures, simulated microgravity, cardiovascular deconditioning, resistance vibration exercise, whey protein supplementation, cardiac MRI, orthostatic tolerance, VO_2max

INTRODUCTION

Head-Down Bed Rest (HDBR) accurately reproduces several of the physiological changes induced by microgravity, including many cardiovascular, muscle and bone alterations (Pavy-Le Traon et al., 2007; Hargens and Vico, 2016; Mulavara et al., 2018). HDBR permits testing potential countermeasures, among which exercise is pivotal. As spaceflight duration may increase with potential missions to the Moon and Mars, new and more effective countermeasures against detrimental adaptations will be needed. Currently, in-flight countermeasures still fail to prevent all bone changes (Rittweger et al., 2018) and cardiovascular deconditioning (Lee et al., 2015).

Cardiovascular deconditioning resulting from spaceflight and HDBR includes increased resting heart rate (HR), orthostatic intolerance (OI), and decreased maximal oxygen uptake (VO2max) (Bungo et al., 1985; Convertino, 1994; Pavy-Le Traon et al., 2007). Extensive data about mechanisms of OI from previous spaceflight and HDBR studies highlight its multifactorial origin. Blood volume, autonomic function, adrenergic receptor function, vascular compliance, endothelial function, cardio-vestibular interactions, cardiac mass and left ventricular (LV) volumes are all altered by spaceflight or HDBR (Pavy-Le Traon et al., 2007; Hargens and Vico, 2016). Cardiac deconditioning is evidenced by changes in LV morphology, including reduced cardiac mass, end-diastolic volume, and stroke volume (SV) (Levine et al., 1997; Perhonen et al., 2001; Mulavara et al., 2018). Furthermore, LV relaxation is slowed after bed-rest with a presumed reduction in diastolic suction which may further impair ventricular filling, especially in the upright position (Dorfman et al., 2008; Carrick-Ranson et al., 2013). Exercise training has prevented cardiac deconditioning during bed-rest but has not improved orthostatic tolerance (OT) without concurrent volume loading, emphasizing the dual contributions of plasma volume (PV) and cardiac remodeling to the cardiovascular adaptation to microgravity (Shibata and Perhonen, 2010; Hastings et al., 2012; Ploutz-Snyder et al., 2018). Thus, further understanding of cardiac response to orthostatic stress and interventions that can prevent both cardiac deconditioning and OI are needed.

The effect of high intensity resistance exercise on a vibrating plate, so-called Resistive Vibration Exercise (RVE) has been

explored during 2 long-term bedrest studies (Berlin BR) (Rittweger et al., 2006; Belavy et al., 2010a). First, a 56-day horizontal BR study explored the impact of 11 RVE sessions per week, and demonstrated a beneficial effect on bone loss, changes in bone metabolism, muscle mass loss, and muscle contractile capacity (Blottner et al., 2006; Belavy et al., 2008, 2009; Armbrecht et al., 2010; Rittweger et al., 2010). Second, a 60-day HDBR compared a high load resistive exercise (RE) to RVE, and with only 3 sessions a week showed an additional effect of RVE on bone (Belavy et al., 2011) but not on muscles (Mulder et al., 2006; Belavy et al., 2010b, 2011; Miokovic et al., 2011; Gast et al., 2012).

During these bedrest studies, cardiovascular deconditioning was not assessed extensively, but interesting vascular effects were observed: RVE attenuated the diameter decrease of leg conduit arteries (1st Berlin BR) (Bleeker et al., 2005) prevented completely (carotid artery) or partially (superficial femoral artery) the increase in wall thickness (2nd Berlin BR, van Duijnhoven et al., 2010a) and abolished the marked increase in flow mediated dilation and decrease in baseline diameter of the superficial femoral artery normally associated with prolonged bed-rest (van Duijnhoven et al., 2010b). Another BR study, using a whole body vibrating device with resistive exercise of lesser intensity, did not protect OT but prevented an increase of the sympathetic index (ΣI) (reflecting the sympathovagal balance of cardiac autonomic control) and limited the decrease of the spontaneous baroreflex sensitivity (Coupé et al., 2011).

Bone, muscle and cardiovascular benefits evidenced in Berlin BR studies motivated European Space Agency's decision to continue the study of this high intensity RVE countermeasure, reducing further the frequency of exercise to 2 sessions per week, while comparing RVE alone to a combination of RVE with a nutritional supplementation in whey protein (Nutritional + Exercise, NeX). High protein intake and essential amino acid supplementation have shown anti-catabolic effects (Phillips et al., 2012), enhanced protein synthesis in human skeletal muscle (Paddon-Jones et al., 2004; Graf et al., 2011) and, when combined with branched chain amino acids, prevented cardiac atrophy if not remodeling during bed-rest (WISE study: Dorfman et al., 2007). Nevertheless such a regimen did not maintain lower limb muscle volume and strength during a longterm bed-rest study in women (WISE study: Trappe et al., 2007; Lee et al., 2014). Furthermore, a diet high in protein led to a low-grade metabolic acidosis which altered bone metabolism after bed-rest (Zwart et al., 2005). To neutralize these acidogenic effects of whey protein, several authors combined whey protein with potassium bicarbonate supplement in bed-rest studies (Blottner et al., 2014; Bosutti et al., 2016). This combination was not effective on skeletal muscle atrophy (Blottner et al., 2014) but attenuated disuse-induced reductions in muscle fiber oxidative capacity (Bosutti et al., 2016).

The objective of our study was to provide a large exploration of the cardiovascular effects of RVE and NeX countermeasures compared to control (CON). We hypothesized that RVE and NeX would have a beneficial effect on OT, PV, VO₂max, cardiac mass, and cardiac volumes. Furthermore, cardiac MRI was performed during lower body negative pressure (LBNP), for the first time during a HDBR study, to evaluate cardiac volumes and function during an orthostatic stress, and to determine if RVE or NeX could be an effective countermeasure.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects

A total of twelve healthy men (age 34 \pm 8 years, body mass 70 \pm 8 kg, height 176 \pm 6 cm, BMI 22.4 \pm 1.7 kg/m², mean \pm SD) were included in the study.

Inclusion Criteria

Inclusion criteria were healthy men (according to the performed medical tests plus laboratory analysis), 20–45 years old, BMI 20–26 kg/m², height 158–190 cm, no family or personal past record of acute or chronic diseases, no psychological abnormalities, fitness assessment: <35 years: 35 ml/min/kg < VO₂max < 60 ml/min/kg; >35 years: 30 ml/min/kg < VO₂max < 60 ml/min/kg, mobile and active (no orthopedic, musculoskeletal or cardiovascular disorders), and not under medical attendance.

Exclusion Criteria

Exclusion criteria were OI, cardiac rhythm abnormalities, back pain, reported hiatal hernia, thyroid dysfunction, gastrooesophageal reflux, diabetes, renal stones, migraines, record of thrombophlebitis (personal and family history of thrombosis), claustrophobia, tobacco, drug or alcohol dependence, reported genetic muscle or bone diseases, metallic implants, reported knee problems or joint surgery, BMD: T-score ≤ -1.5 , blood collections 8 weeks or less prior the study (more than 8 ml/kg), special diet (vegan, vegetarian), reported lactose intolerance, Hepatitis A, B, C, Anti – HIV1+2 antibodies, inappropriate thoracic acoustic window and any chronic disease.

This study (registered number: 2012-A00337-36) was carried out with the recommendations of the Ethics Committee (CPP Sud-Ouest Outre-Mer I). The protocol was approved by the French Health Authorities. All subjects gave written informed consent in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The study was performed by the Institute for Space Medicine and Physiology (MEDES-IMPS) in Toulouse, France, and supported by the French Spatial Agency [Centre National d'Etudes Spatiales (CNES)] and European Space Agency (ESA).

Study Design

The experiment was organized as a prospective, crossover trial with three campaigns. The campaigns were separated by wash-out periods of 3 months. Volunteers participated in all three campaigns and were assigned in a random order to "Control" (CON), "Exercise and vibration" (RVE), or "Exercise and vibration plus Nutrition" (NeX) group. For each campaign, the subjects remained at MEDES clinic for 35 days, including 7 days of ambulatory control before HDBR (B-#), 21 days of -6° HDBR (D-#), and 7 days of ambulatory recovery period (R-#). Body weight (BW), blood pressure (BP), HR, water intake and urine volume over 24 h were measured daily. Partial water balance, defined as the difference between consumed water and urine volume, was calculated. During HDBR the subjects remained in the head-down tilt position for all activities. For the meal subjects were permitted to lie on their stomach, back or side, however, they were instructed that when in sidelying the trunk and head had to remain in the head-down position, i.e., the head could not be held up with the hand or arm as one commonly does whilst reading. Video monitoring on a 24-h basis permitted further monitoring of subject adherence to the study protocol. A standard controlled diet was followed by all subjects. Individual total energy expenditure (TEE, Kcal) was calculated, based on resting metabolic rate (RMR) measured by indirect calorimetry at B-7 and B-3, and adjusted if necessary during HDBR. TEE was covered by 30-35% total fat, 40-55% carbohydrates, and proteins according to the group. TEE was calculated as followed: during HDBR: TEE = RMR \times 1.1 + 10% TEE, during ambulatory control and recovery periods: TEE = RMR \times 1.4 + 10% TEE. TEE was adjusted for the training groups, with an increase (in Kcal) of $2.9 \times BW$ on exercise days.

One subject did not complete the Control protocol (withdrawal before the beginning of campaign). All subjects completed the RVE protocol. Four subjects did not complete the NeX protocol [one withdrawal before the beginning of campaign, two during ambulatory control (B-1 and B-6), and one on D-17 of HDBR]. Complete data sets were obtained in eight subjects, therefore those 8 subjects were kept for per-protocol analysis (See Figure 1).

This experiment was an integrative international study with several protocols performed on different domains. Some results on this integrative study have already been published: Cvirn et al. (2015) and Waha et al. (2015) (effects of HDBR, RVE, and NeX on the coagulation system), Kenny et al., 2017 (reduction in mitochondrial respiration partially prevented by RVE), Greaves et al., 2019 (echocardiographic study), Kermorgant et al., 2019 (cerebral autoregulation), Owen et al., 2020) (lumbar spinal muscle atrophy reduced by NeX but not by RVE alone), Graf et al., 2014 (no additional effect of whey protein supplementation compared with RVE alone regarding bone turnover markers), Pastushkova et al., 2015 (modification of urine proteome).

Subjects	Campaign 1	Campaign 2	Campaign 3		
А	RVE	NeX	CON		
В	CON	RVE	NeX		
С	RVE	NeX	CON		
D	NeX	CON	RVE		
E	NeX	CON	RVE		
F	CON	RVE	NeX		
G	NeX	CON	RVE		
н	CON	RVE	NeX		
I	RVE	NeX	CON		
J	RVE	NeX	CON		
К	NeX	CON	RVE		
L	CON	RVE	Nex		

FIGURE 1 The different allocations of each subject. CON, control condition; RVE, Resistance vibration exercise condition; NeX, Resistance vibration exercise with nutritional supplementation. Campaign 2: 12 withdrawal on B-1. Campaign 3: F3 withdrawal on B-6, H3 withdrawal before the beginning of the campaign, L3 withdrawal on D-17 of HDBR.

Groups and Countermeasures

Control (CON) group

During HDBR, volunteers did not exercise and followed a standard controlled diet. This group served to assess the effect of countermeasures.

Resistive vibration exercise (RVE) group

All training sessions were performed on an integrated training device, manufactured by Novotec Medical (Pforzheim, Germany), combining 2 systems already used in previous studies (Rittweger et al., 2006; Belavy et al., 2010a; **Figure 2**): (1) a moveable platform designed to exercise in a -6° lying position, with shoulder pads and hand grips preventing downward movement and permitting application of force generated by a pneumatic system via the platform, and (2) a vibration system: Galileo Sensor (Vibration Training device including monitoring function and data recording). The load generated by the moveable platform and transmitted by shoulder pads was progressively increased from 1.3 to 1.8 BW.

During each session, the sequence was performed as follows:

- Warm up consisted in bilateral squats from 10° to 90° knee angle during 8 s (four down, four up) controlled by metronome with eight repetitions, load: 50% of the one repetition maximum (1-RM), vibration amplitude: 8 mm, vibration frequency: 24 Hz.
- Bilateral squats from 10° to 90° knee angle during 8 s (four down, four up) controlled by metronome with 10 repetitions, load at study start: 75% of the 1-RM, progression: 5% load increase when more than 10 repetitions were possible, 5% load decrease when six or



FIGURE 2 | Resistive vibration exercise training (RVE and NeX groups): subjects performed all exercises in the head-down tilt position and were positioned on a moveable platform with shoulder pads and hand grips preventing downward movement and permitting application of force via the platform. A pneumatic system generated the force, applied through the moveable platform, against which the subject needed to resist and move (via the shoulder pads and hand grips). The feet were positioned on either side of a platform which was set to vibrate during high-load resistive exercises. Subjects were given visual feedback of their actual and target position in the exercise via a monitor placed in the subjects' field of view. As the force output was dictated by the exercise in the desired range of motion and at the desired speed. During the heel raises the sport scientist monitored the range of movement and encouraged the subject to go to the end of range in each direction. Here the subject is performing toe raises.

fewer repetitions were possible, vibration amplitude: 8 mm, vibration frequency: 24 Hz.

- Single leg heel raises were carried out from maximal dorsiflexion to maximal plantar flexion as fast as possible until exhaustion, 1.3 times BW, progression: 5% load increase when more than 50 s were possible, 5% load decrease when 30 s or less were possible, vibration amplitude: 8 mm, vibration frequency: 26 Hz.
- Bilateral heel raises were performed from maximal dorsiflexion to maximal plantar flexion as fast as possible until exhaustion, 1.8 times BW, progression: 5% load increase when more than 55 s were possible, 5% load decrease when 40 s or less were possible; vibration amplitude: 8 mm, vibration frequency: 26 Hz.

Each Exercise session lasted approximately 30 min. The training was performed approximately two times per week (D-2, 5, 12, 16, 21).

Resistive vibration exercise plus Nutrition (NeX) group

During HDBR, volunteers underwent the same physical training as previously described but received a different daily diet with an isocaloric supplementation of whey protein (0.6 g/kg BW/day). The total protein intake was 1.8 g/kg BW/day. The schedule of the protein supplementation was the following: (1) on days without exercise, supplementation was applied in equal amounts with main meal and (2) on days with exercise, half of the daily amount was taken in a timeframe of 30 min after exercise and the other half equally distributed with main meals. The product was Diaprotein[®], a powder supplied by Nephrologische Präparate Dr. Volker Steudle (Linden, Germany). The composition was as follows: Diaprotein[®] 100 g Powder, calories 1573 kJ (370 kcal), proteins 90 g, fat 0.2 g, lactose 2.5 g, sodium <300 mg, potassium <650 mg, calcium <400 mg, phosphorus <250 mg, and relation phosphorus/protein <3 mg/g. Since whey protein added a certain acid load to the diet, supplementation of 90 mmol potassium bicarbonate per day, applied in six portions (with main meals) was given to compensate for that. Potassium bicarbonate was provided by Krüger GmbH & Co. KG (Bergisch Gladbach, Germany). Carbohydrates and total fat were decreased in NeX subjects in order to obtain a similar caloric intake in RVE and NeX groups, and the decrease was shared between carbohydrates and fat according to the daily menu, without passing beneath 30% total fat.

Tilt LBNP Test (Presyncopal Tilt Test)

Tilt testing with combined LBNP was chosen as the primary outcome for measuring OT. The measurement was conducted in the morning in a temperature-controlled room at baseline on B-2 and immediately following HDBR on R-0 (first rising at the end of HDBR). The subject remained supine for 20 min, after which supine data were recorded for 5 min. The tilt-table was then tilted to 80° for 15 min. After that, LBNP was applied with steps of -10 mmHg every 3 min. The test was stopped at LBNP -80 mmHg or earlier upon appearance of pre-syncopal signs, request to stop, systolic BP ≤ 80 mmHg, or HR < 50 bpm or >170 bpm.

During the tilt-LBNP test, Orthostatic Tolerance Time (OTT, min) was measured. HR was obtained by standard electrocardiography (Biopac, ECG 100C, United States) and SBP and DBP were measured continuously with a non-invasive finger cuff method (Nexfin[®], BMeye, United States). MBP was determined as the average value over a complete cardiac cycle. Stroke volume (SV, ml) was estimated from the Modelflow method (Wesseling et al., 1993) which computes an aortic flow waveform from finger pressure, by simulating a non-linear three-element model of the aortic input impedance. An estimate of Total Peripheral Resistance (TPR, dynes.s⁻¹.cm⁻⁵) was calculated from Mean blood pressure (MBP)/(SV × HR). Tilt values were calculated as mean values of each variable during the last 3 available minutes of the 15-min -80° head-up tilt test.

The state of autonomic nervous system was estimated via power spectrum analysis of HR variability (HRV) (Task Force et al., 1996; Tank et al., 2004). The power spectral density was estimated using proprietary HRV software. This methodology provides the spectral markers of cardiac sympathetic [lowfrequency power (LF): 0.04–0.15 Hz] and vagal [high-frequency power (HF): 0.15–0.4 Hz] modulation of the sinoatrial node activity. LF- and HF-power were determined and normalized by the total power (LF + HF). The LF-to-HF ratio (as sympathetic index, ΣI , arbitrary units – a.u), which reflects the sympathovagal balance of HR control, was calculated (Pagani et al., 1986). Spontaneous Baroreflex Sensitivity (SBRS, ms.mmHg⁻¹) was estimated using proprietary software. The assessment of baroreflex sensitivity is based on the integrative study of short-term regulation of BP and HR. A spontaneous baroreflex sequence was defined as same-direction changes in R-R interval and SBP for at least three beats. A linear regression was applied to each sequence, and the mean slope was taken as the SBRS.

Plasma Volume Measurement

Plasma volume (PV, ml) was estimated using the optimized Carbon Monoxide Rebreathing Technique (CORT) (Schmidt and Prommer, 2005) in the morning before breakfast before HDBR on B-6 and at the end of HDBR on D-21. Hemoglobin concentration (Hb) and carbon monoxide Hb percentage were determined with a Radiometer OM 3 analyzer (Radiometer, Copenhagen, Denmark), hematocrit (Hct) with a Jouan Hematocrit A 13 centrifuge (Jouan, St. Herblain, France), and exhaled CO with a PAC 7000 analyzer (Draeger, Lübeck, Germany).

Maximal Oxygen Uptake (VO₂max)

An incremental dynamic leg exercise test on a cycle ergometer (Ergometrics 800S, Ergoline, Bitz, Germany) was performed at B-5 and R + 1 to determine maximal oxygen uptake (VO₂max, ml.min⁻¹.kg⁻¹). Breath-by-breath VO₂ was recorded with an Oxycon Pro metabolic cart (E. Jaeger, Hochberg, Germany). VO₂max was determined during the subject selection period. At selection, the initial assessment of aerobic capacity was performed using the following protocol: the subjects cycled for 3 min at 30, 60, 90, 120, and 150 W, followed by an increase of 20 W every min until they could no longer maintain the desired cycling cadence of 75 rotations per minute (rpm) (peak exertion reached) and/or they wanted to stop. Before (B-5) and after the bed-rest period (R + 1), the subjects cycled for 5 min at 25, 50, and 75% of the maximal power measured at selection. At completion of the third stage, the workload increased 25 W every min until exhaustion, when the subject could no longer maintain the required cycling cadence of 75 rpm. HR and BP were monitored continuously. VO₂max was calculated to be the highest 60-s moving average in the VO₂ recording.

Cardiac MRI

Acquisition

Cardiac MRI was performed at B-3 and D-18. Subjects were transported in the supine position and placed into an MRI compatible LBNP chamber (Polyform, La Mézière, France, **Figure 3**). Cardiac MRI was performed on a 1.5T Philips MRI scanner during supine rest and then with LBNP. Protocol included scout and longitudinal axis images as well as short axis cine stack from ventricular apex to left atrium with slice thickness of 6 mm. After supine images without LBNP, negative pressure of -30 mmHg was maintained for 2 min prior to repeating the MRI sequence in order to simulate a mild hypovolemia approximately equivalent to the sitting position and its consequences on myocardial volumes and contractility.



FIGURE 3 | LBNP chamber, made with wood reinforced with plastic resin, especially designed for MRI scanner (Polyform[®], La Mézière, France). Chamber was sealed with a neoprene suit. Negative pressure was maintained by air compressor (Mil's, Lyon, France) and controlled by a manometer (ADMI, Noisy Le Grand, France) situated outside the MRI chamber.

Negative pressure was maintained by air compressor (Mil's, Lyon, France) and controlled by a manometer (ADMI, Noisy Le Grand, France) situated outside the MRI chamber. All MRIs and LBNP were completed under the supervision of cardiologist (MB) and anaesthesiologist (PG) at Toulouse Rangueil Hospital.

Analysis

Left ventricular volumes were measured by manually tracing the endocardial borders of the short axis stack of cine SSFP images from LV base to apex slices during end-diastole and endsystole using Qmass v8.1 (Medis, Raleigh, NC, United States) in accordance to CMR guidelines (Schulz-Menger et al., 2013). Papillary muscles and significant trabeculae were included in endocardial border. End-diastole was defined as the frame with the largest endocardial area before the aortic valve opened, and end-systole was defined as frame with the smallest endocardial area, after the aortic valve had closed. Care was taken to standardize the basal slice and include the LVOT in the traced blood pool. SV was determined by subtracting endsystolic volume (LVESV) from end-diastolic volume (LVEDV). Cardiac output (Q) was estimated by multiplying SV by HR during the scan. LV mass (LVM) was measured by tracing the epicardial border during end-diastole and using a semiautomated program, MassK: a threshold-based method to estimate cardiac mass (Csecs et al., 2018).

To assess systolic and diastolic ventricular motion, feature tracking analysis was performed using Cardiac Performance Analysis MR v1.3 (Tomtec, Unterschleissheim, Germany) (see **Figure 4**). The left ventricle twists during systole to generate the SV and untwists during diastole to restore the end-diastolic volume. Global circumferential strain (GCS) and early diastolic circumferential strain rate (GCSR-E) measure circumferential fiber shortening during systole and re-lengthening rate during



FIGURE 4 | Representative contours of the left ventricle and subsequent measures are shown. (A) Semi-automated contours with manual adjustment are combined with Qmass's threshold based mass detection (green shading) for each short axis slice of the ventricle. These slices create a 3D re-construction of the LV volumes by method of discs (Diastolic volume shown in Panel B). (C) Separate contours at the mid-section of the ventricle are used to measure circumferential strain through the cardiac cycle using Tomtec's semi-automated feature tracking algorithm. (D) Shows both segmental strain curves. Peak strain of the mid-section is shown in white.

early diastole, respectively. GCS and GCSR-E are similar to, but not interchangeable with, systolic and early diastolic torsion. Changes in GCS occur before changes in ejection fraction in some cardiovascular disease, thus GCS provides a measure of subclinical systolic and diastolic function (Lunning et al., 2015). GCS was measured using short axis stack of cine SSFP images from LV base to apex. The basal slice was identified as the slice closest to the mitral valve with circular myocardium. The apical slice was identified as the slice just proximal to systolic cavitary obliteration. The mid slice was identified between basal and apical slices with papillary muscles clearly defined (Hor et al., 2010; Claus et al., 2015). Endocardial and epicardial borders were manually traced at end-systole and adjusted at end-diastole to ensure adequate tracking. Papillary muscles and trabeculae were excluded. Feature tracking was performed by Tomtec's semi-automated algorithm. GCS was defined as peak strain averaged from all three levels of myocardium. Early diastolic circumferential strain rate (GCSR-E) was defined as the first peak positive strain rate during diastole at the mid-section to capture the opposed untwisting of the base and apex. The observer who performed 2D and feature tracking (JM) was blinded to group assignments. Six studies were randomly selected from the study subjects to evaluate intra-observer variability for GCS and GCSR-E. The measures were repeated by researcher (JM) blinded to initial results and coefficient of variation was calculated as intra-observer variation.

Blood Studies

Antecubital venous blood samples were collected before (B-3) and at the end of HDBR (D-21) in the morning before breakfast.

Plasma and serum samples were analyzed for electrolytes (Na+, K+, Cl–), total CO2, glucose, proteins, albumin, urea and creatinine concentrations, insulin, leptin, renin, aldosterone, brain natriuretic peptide (BNP), triglycerides, total cholesterol and HDL-cholesterol. LDL-cholesterol was calculated using the Friedewald formula. Homeostasis model assessment-insulin resistance index (HOMA-IR) was calculated as fasting insulin concentration (μ U/mL) × fasting glucose concentration (mmol/L)/22.5.

Statistical Analysis

Continuous data are expressed as mean \pm SD. We first checked whether data passed d'Agostino-Pearson normality test. 3-way ANOVA or 2-way ANOVA for repeated measures were used with bed-rest (pre, post), countermeasure (Control, RVE, NeX), and position (supine, orthostatic stimulation) as within-subject factors. Statistically significant differences were further analyzed by pairwise multiple comparisons with Sidak correction. All statistical analyses were performed with GraphPrism 8.1.2. Differences were considered as statistically significant when adjusted *p* < 0.05.

RESULTS

Body Weight, HR, BP, Water Balance (See Supplementary Data, Appendix 1–5)

Body weight (**Supplementary Data, Appendix 1**) gradually declined during HDBR without significant difference between groups (day*countermeasure p = 0.07); at R-0 BW decrease vs. B-1 baseline was 2.3 ± 0.9 kg for CON, 2.1 ± 0.8 kg for RVE, and 1.7 ± 0.9 kg for NEX (day p < 0.001, countermeasure p = 0.66). HR (**Supplementary Data, Appendix 2**) expectedly increased at recovery without differences between groups (day*countermeasure p = 0.7, day p < 0.001), systolic and diastolic BPs were not substantially modified (**Supplementary Data, Appendix 3, 4**). Expected changes in water intake and diuresis resulted to about 1L decrease in partial water balance at D-1 and about 0.8 L increase – at R-0 vs. B-1 baseline (**Supplementary Data, Appendix 5**).

Presyncopal Tilt/LBNP Test

Orthostatic Tolerance Time (Figure 5)

Orthostatic tolerance time (min) markedly decreased in all groups without any difference between groups (bed-rest*countermeasure p = 0.76), from 29 ± 6 to 15 ± 10 in CON, 29 ± 4 to 13 ± 9 in RVE, 27 ± 5 to 13 ± 8 in NeX (bed-rest p < 0.001). Pre-BR, all 8 subjects finished the 15-min upright period in all groups, post-BR there were 4 finishers in CON, 4 in RVE, 3 in NeX.

Hemodynamic and Autonomic Responses to Tilt (Table 1 and Figure 5)

No significant differences were found in RVE and NeX compared with control group and between countermeasures (bed-rest*countermeasure interaction p = 0.29 for HR, 0.46 for

SBP, 0.57 for DBP, 0.94 for SV, 0.76 for TPR, 0.74 for Σ I, and 0.54 for SBRS, respectively).

Before HDBR, the upright position provoked expected changes in central hemodynamics and cardiac autonomic neural control (increased HR, BP, TPR, and Σ I; decreased SV and SBRS).

Post-BR supine measurements on R0 compared to pre-BR supine showed increase in HR of about 10–12 bpm, from 55 ± 12 to 67 ± 11 in CON, 58 ± 17 to 67 ± 10 in RVE, and 57 ± 10 to 67 ± 11 in NeX (bed rest p < 0.0001), a two-fold increase in Σ I, \sim 30% decrease in SBRS, and a stable SV with the Modelflow method.

Post-BR upright measurements compared to pre-BR upright showed ~15% decrease in SBP, ~20% decrease in SV and more than 2-fold decrease in SBRS, accompanied by pronounced tachycardia. TPR and Σ I, which were already increased in the supine position, failed to further increase with orthostasis.

Plasma Volume (Figure 5)

For technical reasons pre-BR PV test was not performed for the 1st campaign. Mean of pre-BR test of the 2nd and the 3rd campaign for each subject has been used as a common pre-BR baseline. RVE and NeX did not alleviate the drop in PV (interaction bed rest*countermeasure p = 0.65). PV decreased significantly pre to post BR in the 3 groups, from 3894 ± 573 ml at baseline to 3301 ± 446 ml ($-15 \pm 4\%$) in CON, 3256 ± 519 ml ($-16 \pm 6\%$) in RVE, 3332 ± 601 ml ($-15 \pm 4\%$) in NeX, (bed rest p < 0.0001).

VO₂max (Figure 5)

The differential response to countermeasures on VO₂max did not reach statistical significance (interaction p for bedrest*countermeasure = 0.09). VO₂max (ml/kg/min) declined significantly in CON group (from 38 ± 6 to 31 ± 5 , p < 0.05, $-17 \pm 13\%$), but not in RVE (from 38 ± 5 to 36 ± 5 , $-4 \pm 8\%$) nor in NeX groups (from 37 ± 7 to 34 ± 6 , $-7 \pm 12\%$). Peak power (Watts) dropped from 266 ± 49 to 206 ± 37 in CON (-23%) and decreased from 273 ± 73 to 244 ± 46 in RVE (-10%), 248 \pm 48 to 229 ± 47 in NeX (-8%).

Cardiac Structure and Function (Figures 6, 7 and Table 2)

After 18 days of HDBR, there was a small reduction in LVM that did not reach statistical significance (CON: Pre: 155 ± 52 g, end 132 ± 17 g, p = 0.1) (**Figure 6**). LVEDV decreased with LBNP prior to bedrest, at end-bedrest and with end-bedrest LBNP (LVEDV: bedrest effect p = 0.0003, LBNP effect p = 0.088) (**Figure 6**). LVESV similarly decreased with LBNP, end-bedrest and end-bedrest LBNP (LVESV: bedrest effect p = 0.0009, LBNP effect p = 0.008), which resulted in a decrease in SV with LBNP, end-bedrest LBNP (SV LBNP effect p = 0.045). LV ejection fraction did not change with LBNP or bedrest. Cardiac output slightly decreased with both LBNP and bedrest (Q: bedrest effect p = 0.033, LBNP effect p = 0.005). There was no significant differential response to countermeasures on LV mass (interaction p = 0.6), LV volumes (LVEDV p = 0.26, LVESV



p = 0.63, SV p = 0.57), LV ejection fraction (p = 0.27) or cardiac output (p = 0.32) (**Table 2**).

Peak GCS and GCSR-E across interventions are represented in **Table 2**. At baseline, GCS was $-20.91 \pm 3.58\%$. Across groups, a small reduction in GCS was seen with LBNP (p = 0.06), but no differential change occurred after bedrest or with countermeasures (interaction for bedrest*LBNP p = 0.21, and bedrest*countermeasure p = 0.41) (Figure 7). GCSR-E was 1.19 ± 0.36 1/s at baseline. Across groups, GCSR-E did not change with LBNP prior to bedrest (Control pre: 1.19 \pm 0.36 1/s, pre LBNP: 1.20 \pm 0.27 1/s, p = 0.11) or after bedrest (Control pre: 1.19 ± 0.36 1/s, end: 1.20 ± 0.19 1/s, p = 0.63). There was a differential change with LBNP after bedrest with a significant increase in GCSR-E (Control end: 1.20 \pm 0.19 1/s, end LBNP: 1.46 ± 0.27 1/s, interaction for bedrest*position = 0.02) No differential effect of the countermeasures was seen (interaction p = 0.92). Intra-observer typical error was 1.7% for GCS and 4.8% for GCSR-E.

Cardiovascular Hormones, Blood Variables Relevant to Metabolism, Blood Electrolytes (Table 3 and Figure 8)

Blood biochemistry remained in the physiological range. We did not observe any significant differential changes between groups.

At the end of HDBR, aldosterone and renin increased and BNP tended to decrease.

Leptin tended to increase following HDBR (p = 0.13). Total cholesterol decreased. HDL-fraction was unmodified. Fasting blood glucose remained stable. Fasting insulin and HOMA-IR increased.

Proteins and albumin were unchanged. Creatinine increased at the end of HDBR. Urea was also increased at the end of HDBR, with significantly more prominent increase for NeX.

Sodium, potassium and chlorine were not significantly modified by HDBR or countermeasures.

DISCUSSION

The Major New Results of This Study Are the Following

- (1) RVE countermeasure had no effect on HDBR-induced hypovolemia, orthostatic intolerance, modifications in heart rate and heart rate variability in responses to tilt, hormonal and metabolic changes.
- (2) LV volumes (LVEDV, LVESV, SV) decreased during bedrest and orthostatic stress (related to decrease in circulating blood volume), while LV mass tended to decrease slightly. Despite reduction in size during bedrest, deformation of the heart assessed by GCS was preserved and GCSR-E was enhanced during orthostatic stress, illustrating preserved systolic and diastolic function, respectively.

Variable Position Pre-HDBR Post-HDBR Pre-HDBR Post-HDBR Pre-HDBR Post-HDBR Pre-HDBR	RVE	NEX				3-way ANOVA	(d)	
HR (bpm) supire 55 ± 12 67 ± 11 58 ± 17 67 ± 10 57 ± 10 57 ± 10 57 ± 10 57 ± 10 57 ± 10 57 ± 10 57 ± 10 57 ± 10 57 ± 10 57 ± 10 57 ± 10 57 ± 10 57 ± 5 74 ± 4 79 ± 6 SBP (mmHg) supire 130 ± 12 117 ± 15 128 ± 8 114 ± 11 134 ± 1 DBP (mmHg) supire 75 ± 9 76 ± 3 70 ± 8 75 ± 5 74 ± 6 X (m) supire 75 ± 9 76 ± 3 70 ± 8 75 ± 5 74 ± 6 SV (m) supire 107 ± 14 102 ± 11 110 ± 15 100 ± 15 103 ± 5 V(m) supire 107 ± 14 102 ± 11 110 ± 15 100 ± 15 103 ± 5 V(m) supire 1390 ± 409 1164 ± 178 1212 ± 313 1178 ± 320 1333 ± 1 TPR (Dynes.s ⁻¹ cm ⁻⁵) supire 1390 ± 409 1164 ± 178 1212 ± 313 1178 ± 320 1333 ± 1 TPR (Dynes.s ⁻¹ cm ⁻⁵) supire 1330 ± 1, 66	IDBR Post-HDBR	Pre-HDBR P	ost-HDBR	BR	GM	Position (tilt)	BR *CM	BR*position
tit 75 ± 10 115 ± 9 78 ± 17 114 ± 4 79 ± 6 SBP (mmHg) supine 130 ± 12 134 ± 9 122 ± 7 127 ± 10 124 ± 3 DBP (mmHg) supine 130 ± 12 117 ± 15 128 ± 8 114 ± 11 124 ± 3 DBP (mmHg) supine 75 ± 9 76 ± 3 70 ± 8 75 ± 5 74 ± 6 DBP (mmHg) supine 75 ± 9 76 ± 3 70 ± 8 114 ± 11 134 ± 3 DBP (mmHg) supine 175 ± 10 122 ± 7 72 ± 5 74 ± 6 SV (m) supine 107 ± 14 102 ± 11 110 ± 15 100 ± 15 74 ± 6 SV (m) supine 107 ± 14 102 ± 11 110 ± 15 103 ± 5 74 ± 6 TPR (Dyness-1 cm -5) supine 1300 ± 409 1164 ± 178 1212 ± 313 1178 ± 320 1333 ± 1 TPR (Dyness-1 cm -5) supine $1380 \pm 1, 33$ 1100 ± 168 $1,92 \pm 1, 51$ $3,35 \pm 1, 8$ $2,77 \pm 3$ TPR (Dyness-1 cm -5) supine $1,83 \pm 1, 34$ $4,04 \pm 4, 63$ </td <td>± 17 67 ± 10</td> <td>57 ± 10</td> <td>67 土 11</td> <td>< 0,0001</td> <td>0,13</td> <td>< 0,0001</td> <td>0,29</td> <td>0,0002</td>	± 17 67 ± 10	57 ± 10	67 土 11	< 0,0001	0,13	< 0,0001	0,29	0,0002
SBP (mmHg)supine 130 ± 12 134 ± 9 122 ± 7 127 ± 10 124 ± 1 tittit 140 ± 12 117 ± 15 128 ± 8 114 ± 11 134 ± 1 DBP (mmHg)supine 75 ± 9 76 ± 3 70 ± 8 75 ± 5 74 ± 6 N(m)supine 75 ± 9 76 ± 3 70 ± 8 75 ± 5 74 ± 6 SV (m)supine 107 ± 14 102 ± 11 110 ± 15 100 ± 15 103 ± 5 SV (m)supine 107 ± 14 102 ± 11 110 ± 15 100 ± 15 103 ± 5 SV (m)supine 107 ± 14 102 ± 11 110 ± 15 100 ± 15 103 ± 5 TPR (Dynes.s ⁻¹ cm ⁻⁵)supine 1330 ± 409 1164 ± 178 1212 ± 313 1178 ± 320 1333 ± 5 TPR (Dynes.s ⁻¹ cm ⁻⁵)supine 1380 ± 409 1164 ± 178 1212 ± 313 1178 ± 320 1333 ± 5 TPR (Dynes.s ⁻¹ cm ⁻⁵)supine $1,831 \pm 1,34$ $4,04 \pm 4,63$ $1,92 \pm 1,51$ $3,35 \pm 1,8$ $2,77 \pm 1$ SBRS (ms.mmHg ⁻¹)supine $19,6 \pm 12,9$ $12,8 \pm 5$ $2,22 \pm 13,6$ $11,9 \pm 4,7$ $17,3 \pm 1$	土 17 114 土 4	79 土 9	123 ± 16					
tit 140 \pm 12 117 \pm 15 128 \pm 8 114 \pm 11 134 \pm DBP (mmHg) supine 75 \pm 9 76 \pm 3 70 \pm 8 75 \pm 5 74 \pm 6 N(m) supine 75 \pm 9 76 \pm 3 70 \pm 8 75 \pm 5 74 \pm 6 SV(m) supine 107 \pm 14 102 \pm 11 110 \pm 15 100 \pm 15 103 \pm 5 SV(m) supine 107 \pm 14 102 \pm 11 110 \pm 15 100 \pm 15 103 \pm 5 TPR (Dynes.s ⁻¹ cm ⁻⁵) supine 1390 \pm 40 1164 \pm 178 1212 \pm 313 1178 \pm 320 1333 \pm 1 TPR (Dynes.s ⁻¹ cm ⁻⁵) supine 1390 \pm 40 1164 \pm 178 1212 \pm 313 1178 \pm 320 1333 \pm 1 TPR (Dynes.s ⁻¹ cm ⁻⁵) supine 1390 \pm 40 1164 \pm 178 1212 \pm 313 1178 \pm 320 1333 \pm 1 TPR (Dynes.s ⁻¹ cm ⁻⁵) supine 1,83 \pm 1,34 4,04 \pm 4,63 1,92 \pm 1,51 3,35 \pm 1,8 2,27 \pm 1 Itit 5,74 \pm4,6 2,76 \pm1,81 6,82 \pm5,25 6,14 \pm 3 2,21 \pm 1 8 2,21 \pm 1 1,92 \pm4,7 1,73 \pm 7,	± 7 127 ± 10	124 土 10	122 ± 9	0,008	0,024	0,25	0,46	0,0003
$ \begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	土 8 114 土 11	134 土 19	109 ± 10					
tit 89 ± 12 80 ± 8 83 ± 7 78 ± 6 87 ± 1 SV (m) supine 107 ± 14 102 ± 11 110 ± 15 100 ± 15 103 ± 5 tit 78 ± 15 63 ± 14 77 ± 16 66 ± 10 73 ± 1 TPR (Dynes.s ⁻¹ cm ⁻⁵) supine 1390 ± 409 1164 ± 178 1212 ± 313 1178 ± 320 1333 ± 5 TPR (Dynes.s ⁻¹ cm ⁻⁵) supine 1390 ± 409 1164 ± 178 1212 ± 313 1178 ± 320 1333 ± 5 TPR (Dynes.s ⁻¹ cm ⁻⁵) supine 1390 ± 409 1164 ± 178 1212 ± 313 1178 ± 320 1333 ± 5 TPR (Dynes.s ⁻¹ cm ⁻⁵) supine 1390 ± 409 1164 ± 178 1212 ± 313 1178 ± 320 1333 ± 5 T (A.U.) supine 1,83 ± 1,34 4,04 ± 4,63 1,92 ± 1,51 3,35 ± 1,8 2,27 ± 5 SBRS (ms.mmHg ⁻¹) supine 19,6 ± 12,9 12,8 ± 5 22 ± 13,6 11,9 ± 4,7 17,3 ± 3	± 8 75 ± 5	74 ± 6	70 土 5	0,17	0,2	< 0,0001	0,57	0,046
SV (m) supire 107 ± 14 102 ± 11 110 ± 15 100 ± 15 103 ± 2 TPR (Dynes.s ⁻¹ cm ⁻⁵) supire 1390 ± 409 1164 ± 178 1212 ± 313 1178 ± 320 1333 ± 5 tht 1568 ± 513 1110 ± 168 1405 ± 284 1006 ± 105 1533 ± 5 tht 1568 ± 513 1110 ± 168 1405 ± 284 1006 ± 105 1533 ± 5 $\Sigma I (A.U.)$ supire $1, 83 \pm 1, 34$ $4, 04 \pm 4, 63$ $1, 92 \pm 1, 51$ $3, 35 \pm 1, 8$ $2, 27 \pm 1$ SBRS (ms.mmHg ⁻¹) supire $19, 6 \pm 12, 9$ $12, 8 \pm 5$ $22 \pm 13, 6$ $11, 9 \pm 4, 7$ $17, 3 \pm 26$	± 7 78 ± 6	87 土 13	79 土 6					
tit 78 ± 15 63 ± 14 77 ± 16 66 ± 10 73 ± 14 TPR (Dynes.s ⁻¹ cm ⁻⁵) supine 1390 \pm 409 1164 \pm 178 1212 \pm 313 1178 \pm 320 1333 \pm 5 thit 1568 \pm 513 1110 \pm 168 1405 \pm 284 1006 \pm 105 1539 \pm 4 $\Sigma I (A.U)$ supine 1,83 \pm 1,34 4,04 \pm 4,63 1,92 \pm 1,51 3,35 \pm 1,8 2,27 \pm 1 tht 5,74 \pm 4,6 2,76 \pm 1,81 6,82 \pm 5,25 6,14 \pm 1 2,27 \pm 1 SBRS (ms.mmHg ⁻¹) supine 19,6 \pm 12,9 12,8 \pm 5 22 \pm 13,6 11,9 \pm 4,7 17,3 \pm .0	± 15 100 ± 15	103 ± 20	104 土 14	0,002	0,47	< 0,0001	0,94	0,098
TPR (Dynes.s ⁻¹ cm ⁻⁵) supine 1390 ± 409 1164 ± 178 1212 ± 313 1178 ± 320 1333 ± 5 tit 1568 ± 513 1110 ± 168 1405 ± 284 1006 ± 105 1539 ± 4 15 (A.U.) supine 1, 83 ± 1, 34 4, 04 ± 4, 63 1, 92 ± 1, 51 3, 35 ± 1, 8 2, 27 ± 5 tit 5, 74 ± 4, 6 2, 76 ± 1, 81 6, 82 ± 5, 25 3, 32 ± 2, 55 6, 14 ± 5 SBRS (ms.mmHg ⁻¹) supine 19, 6 ± 12, 9 12, 8 ± 5 22 ± 13, 6 11, 9 ± 4, 7 17, 3 ± 0, 00 ± 10 ± 10 ± 10 ± 10 ± 10 ± 10 ±	± 16 66 ± 10	73 ± 16	56 土 13					
tit 1568 \pm 513 1110 \pm 168 1405 \pm 284 1006 \pm 105 1539 \pm 4 21(A.U.) supine 1, 83 \pm 1, 34 4, 04 \pm 4, 63 1, 92 \pm 1, 51 3, 35 \pm 1, 8 2, 27 \pm 1 tit 5, 74 \pm 4, 6 2, 76 \pm 1, 81 6, 82 \pm 5, 25 3, 32 \pm 2, 55 6, 14 \pm 1 SBRS (ms.mmHg ⁻¹) supine 19, 6 \pm 12, 9 12, 8 \pm 5 22 \pm 13, 6 11, 9 \pm 4, 7 17, 3 \pm	± 313 1178 ± 320	1333 ± 255 1	081 ± 318	0,003	0,23	0,16	0,76	0,006
ΣI (A.U.) supire 1,83±1,34 4,04±4,63 1,92±1,51 3,35±1,8 2,27±5 tilt 5,74±4,6 2,76±1,81 6,82±5,25 3,32±2,55 6,14±5 SBRS (ms.mmHg ⁻¹) supire 19,6±12,9 12,8±5 22±13,6 11,9±4,7 17,3±	± 284 1006 ± 105	1539 土 433 1	213 ± 302					
tilt 5, 74 ± 4, 6 2, 76 ± 1, 81 6, 82 ± 5, 25 3, 32 ± 2, 55 6, 14 ± 6 SBRS (ms.mmHg ⁻¹) supine 19, 6± 12, 9 12, 8 ± 5 22 ± 13, 6 11, 9 ± 4, 7 17, 3 ±	$\pm 1, 51$ 3, 35 $\pm 1, 8$	$2, 27 \pm 2, 86$ 2	$2, 53 \pm 3, 07$	0,11	0,61	0,02	0,47	0,006
SBRS (ms.mmHg ⁻¹) supine 19, 6 ± 12, 9 12, 8 ± 5 22 ± 13, 6 11, 9 ± 4, 7 17, 3 ± .	\pm 5, 25 3, 32 \pm 2, 55	$6, 14 \pm 3, 65$ 2	$0.05 \pm 1,04$					
	± 13,6 11,9±4,7	17,3±1,3 1	$5, 1 \pm 6, 2$	0,001	0,7	< 0,0001	0,54	0,39
un ਲ, ਹੁੜ ਨੂੰ ਸ਼ੁਰੂ ਨੂੰ ਸ਼ੁਰੂ ਸ਼ਿਰੂ ਸ਼ਿਰੂ ਸ਼ਿਰੂ ਸ਼ੁਰੂ ਸ਼ੁਰੂ ਸ਼ੁਰੂ ਸ਼ਿਰੂ ਸ਼ਿਰੂ ਸ਼ਿਰੂ ਸ਼ਿਰੂ ਸ਼ਿਰੂ ਸ਼ਿਰੂ ਸ	$\pm 4, 6$ 3, 0 ± 1	$6, 6 \pm 3, 3$	$3, 1 \pm 1, 3$					

RVE and NeX countermeasures had no effect on cardiac structure and function.

- (3) Nutritional supplementation associated with RVE did not provide any additional beneficial effect for studied variables.
- (4) However, RVE and NeX countermeasures tended to limit VO₂max loss, although there was no significant bed rest*countermeasure effect.

Plasma Volume, Orthostatic Tolerance

The decrease in PV observed is a well-known consequence of BR, and mimics post-spaceflight hypovolemia. Other BR studies document a decrease of 10–12% (Fortney et al., 1988, 1994; Traon et al., 1995; Custaud et al., 2002; Belin de Chantemele et al., 2004; Hastings et al., 2012).

RVE and NeX countermeasures had no effect on PV and OT. Although several previous studies had documented beneficial vascular effects of RVE during prolonged HDBR, on artery diameter, stiffness or reactivity (Bleeker et al., 2005; van Duijnhoven et al., 2010a), OT nevertheless seemed to be severely altered: at the end of the 2nd Berlin BR, 11 of 23 subjects could not finish a progressive head-up tilt (+10° every 5 min until 60° HUT) on R0 (Belavy et al., 2010b). During this 2nd Berlin BR the last exercise session was scheduled 4 days before the OT evaluation, which may be enough to limit any effect of exercise on OT (Butler et al., 1991; Khan et al., 2002). In the present study, the last exercise session was scheduled on D21, less than 18 h before the tilt/LBNP test, strengthening the conclusion that RVE had no effect on OT.

The low frequency and low duration of exercise and its focus exclusively on resistance type training likely limited the effectiveness of the countermeasures. A negative result on OT had been similarly observed with a high intensity resistive exercise on a flywheel ergometer 2–3 days per week during a longer 90-days BR (Belin de Chantemele et al., 2004). In contrast, prior studies using a greater frequency and duration have demonstrated that exercise can prevent cardiac deconditioning and maintain PV but not necessarily improve OT without concurrent volume loading (Guinet et al., 2009; Shibata and Perhonen, 2010; Hastings et al., 2012). The RVEs that our subjects performed may have failed to stimulate cardiac work or activate the muscle pump sufficiently to prevent beginning cardiac deconditioning and PV loss.

We did not observe any effect of RVE or NeX on the tilt-LBNP autonomic impairment. ΣI was increased in the supine position after HDBR, but could not increase further during tilt-LBNP test, and supine spontaneous baroreflex slope decreased, in all 3 groups with a further decrease in the HUT position after HDBR. After a 60-day HDBR, Coupé et al. (2011) reported that daily RVE prevented the increase in ΣI in standing position and alleviated the decrease in supine SBRS. While the frequencies of vibrations during exercise were close (30 Hz in the study from Coupé et al., 2011, 25 Hz in our study), there were several differences: in the study of Coupé et al. (2011): the frequency of RVE was higher (daily exercise vs. 2 sessions per week in our study), the vibration amplitude was lower (0.1 mm vs. 8 mm in our study), the intensity of exercise was much





lower (low intensity resistive exercise vs. exhausting exercise in the present study), and HDBR was longer and could induce more pronounced deconditioning (60 days vs. 21 days in our study). As resistive exercise alone does not result in decreased sympathetic activity, unlike endurance training (Fagard, 2006), whole body vibration was hypothesized to act on the autonomic nervous system through plantar and muscular mechanoreceptors (Gladwell and Coote, 2002). This hypothetical effect was not observed in our study.

Biochemical Markers

We observed an increase in Renin and Aldosterone at the end of HDBR, without any difference between groups. Such results have been consistently described during BR studies and in flight, once the initial change in PV (taking 24 h) related to headward fluid shift is achieved (Gharib et al., 1992; Maillet et al., 1996). The decrease in PV, perceived by atrial and kidney receptors, triggers activation of Renin-Angiotensin-Aldosterone System (RAAS) and inhibition of Atrial Natriuretic Factor (ANF)

		Cor	ltrol	Ē	SVE	Ż	eX			3-way ANOVA	((b)	
Variable	LBNP Level	Pre-HDBR	End-HDBR	Pre-HDBR	End-HDBR	Pre-HDBR	End-HDBR	BR	CM	Position (LBNP)	BR*CM	BR*Positio
LVM (g)	0 mm Hg	155 ± 52	132 ± 17	149 ± 57	145 ± 54	154 ± 53	148 土 49	0.1	0.36		0.6	
LVEDV (ml)	0 mm Hg	158 ± 53	134 土 31	171 土 48	143 土 40	163 ± 51	139 ± 39	0.0003	0.32	0.088	0.26	0.21
	–30 mm Hg	129 ± 39	97 ± 21	129 土 34	98 ± 22	129 ± 35	105 ± 29					
LVESV (ml)	0 mm Hg	58 ± 26	46 土 13	59 ± 24	47 ± 16	57 ± 23	48 ± 20	0.0009	0.91	0.008	0.63	0.46
	–30 mm Hg	49 ± 23	32 土 14	50 ± 19	35 ± 15	48 土 16	40 ± 17					
SV (ml)	0 mm Hg	99 ± 29	88 ± 20	112 ± 26	95 ± 25	106 ± 29	91 ± 20	0.0007	0.51	0.045	0.27	0.57
	-30 mm Hg	80 ± 18	65 ± 9	78 土 15	63 ± 8	81 ± 20	65 ± 15					
Ejection	0 mm Hg	0.64 ± 0.06	0.66 ± 0.04	0.66 ± 0.05	0.67 ± 0.03	0.66 ± 0.04	0.67 ± 0.06	0.32	0.97	0.32	0.27	0.63
Fraction	-30 mm Hg	0.64 ± 0.08	0.66 ± 0.04	0.66 ± 0.05	0.67 ± 0.03	0.66 ± 0.04	0.67 ± 0.06					
Cardiac Outpu	t 0 mm Hg	5.28 ± 1.2	4.97 ± 0.51	6.16 ± 1.65	5.16 ± 1.18	5.64 ± 0.68	5.1 ± 0.81	0.033	0.23	0.005	0.32	0.18
(L/min)	-30 mm Hg	4.77 ± 0.79	4.54 ± 0.46	4.86 ± 0.92	4.59 ± 0.65	4.97 ± 0.61	4.6 ± 0.67					
GCS (%)	0 mm Hg	-20.91 ± 3.58	-22.74 ± 2.53	-22.82 ± 3.67	-22.78 ± 2.48	-21.88 ± 2.72	-22.95 ± 3.31	0.34	0.85	0.06	0.41	0.21
	-30 mm Hg	-20.49 ± 3.31	-21.7 ± 3.14	-21.28 ± 3.07	-21.06 ± 3.55	-20.64 ± 2.9	-20.76 ± 3.82					
GCSR-E (1/s)	0 mm Hg	1.19 ± 0.36	1.2 ± 0.19	1.31 ± 0.3	1.23 ± 0.23	1.31 ± 0.31	1.28 ± 0.19	0.63	0.038	0.11	0.92	0.02
	-30 mm Hg	1.2 ± 0.27	1.46 ± 0.27	1.3 ± 0.21	1.5 ± 0.39	1.31 ± 0.27	1.48 ± 0.28					
LVM, left ventric Significant differ	ular mass; LVEDV; ences are bolded.	; left ventricular enc	1-diastolic volume; 1	LVESV, left ventricu.	lar end-systolic volu	me; SV, stroke volur.	ne; GCS, global circ	cumferential	strain; GCSF	R-E, early diasto	lic circumfere	ntial strain

(Gharib et al., 1992). These adaptations lead to a new steady state of PV, stabilized at about 15% less than preBR levels.

Brain natriuretic peptide tended to decrease in the 3 groups, presumably reflecting decreased cardiac load due to inactivity, and in line with documented cardiac atrophy. ANF and BNP share most biological properties including diuretic, natriuretic, cardiac antihypertrophic and antifibrotic properties, and vasodilation through inhibition of sympathetic nervous system and RAAS (Nishikimi et al., 2006). BNP and its more stable split-mate, NT-proBNP, released by ventricular walls in case of volumetric or barometric stimulus, are used as markers of cardiac load in clinical practice (Chen and Burnett, 2006; Ogawa and de Bold, 2012). BNP or NT-proBNP had not been previously measured during HDBR in humans. As ANF and BNP share the same triggering stimulus in the heart wall, the decrease observed in this 21-day HDBR is in line with the decrease in ANF observed in previous BR studies (Gharib et al., 1993; Belin de Chantemele et al., 2004).

Increase in insulin resistance presumably due to inactivityrelated metabolic impairment is typically observed in protocols of simulated microgravity (Pavy-Le Traon et al., 2007). Increase in creatinine evidences increased muscle catabolism. As for increase in urea in NeX group, it may be related to increased protein intake. However, these metabolic changes remained in physiological range.

Cardiac Structure and Function

To our knowledge, this study is the first time that MRI, the gold standard for cardiac structure, has been used to assess bedrestinduced cardiac deconditioning during orthostatic stress. The subjects lost 14.8% of their cardiac mass during the control bedrest campaign, consistent with the cardiac atrophy seen in prior studies (Levine et al., 1996; Perhonen et al., 2001; Dorfman et al., 2008; Carrick-Ranson et al., 2013; Mulavara et al., 2018). The cardiac volumes, including LVEDV, LVESV, and SV, all decreased with bedrest to levels similar to pre-bedrest LBNP. This observation suggests that the upright, sitting position may well be the "regulated" position for the circulation during prolonged absence of gravitational gradients, as has been hypothesized by us and others (Norsk et al., 1995; Pancheva et al., 2006). Moreover LBNP at the end of bedrest (simulating the effect of orthostasis on cardiac morphology) amplified the reduction in cardiac volumes to levels far below the pre-bedrest baseline, consistent with a prior echocardiographic study (Carrick-Ranson et al., 2013). This finding emphasizes why orthostatic stress after bedrest results in an amplification of the reduction in SV induced by gravity, serving as the primary stimulus for postbedrest OI.

NeX results on OT, PV, and cardiac mass and volumes were not different from RVE. While whey protein supplementation combined with resistance vibrations has prevented skeletal muscle loss in other populations (Pennings et al., 2011; Chale et al., 2013) it did not have statistical impact of cardiac mass loss in our study, though the relative drop was much less (14.8% in control arm, 3.9% in NeX arm). Thus, the impact of whey protein on cardiac mass loss, particularly if combined with more intensive exercise, may be of further interest. Addition

Guinet et al.

TABLE 3 | Blood biochemistry.

Parameters	Ref range	Con	trol	RV	Έ	Ne	×X	3 way ANOVA (p)		
		Before	End	Before	End	Before	End	Bed Rest	Countermeasure	BR*CM
Aldosterone, ng/L	30-355	53 ± 42	76 ± 31	38 ± 23	$106 \pm 53^{*}$	44 ± 27	$93 \pm 54^{*}$	0.008	0.77	0.13
BNP, ng/L	≤ 35	16 ± 12	6 ± 2	14 ± 13	6 ± 2	12 ± 11	6 ± 2	0.06	0.38	0.07
Renin, ng/L	2.0-25.0	15 ± 17	$39\pm20^{*}$	16 ± 12	$43\pm18^{*}$	13 ± 10	$38\pm14^{*}$	0.0001	0.43	0.85
Leptin, ng/ml	1.2-9.5	1.49 ± 1.39	2.75 ± 3.09	2.00 ± 2.09	2.46 ± 2.37	1.71 ± 1.68	2.38 ± 2.21	0.13	0.8	0.35
Fasting glucose, mmol/L	4.1-6.1	5.0 ± 0.4	5.0 ± 0.2	4.9 ± 0.4	4.7 ± 0.3	4.8 ± 0.4	4.8 ± 0.4	0.15	0.24	0.8
Fasting insulin, μ U/ml	5.0-25.0	4.3 ± 0.9	5.9 ± 1.7	4.4 ± 1.9	$6.2 \pm 1.9^{*}$	4.1 ± 1.6	5.2 ± 1.1	0.005	0.4	0.7
HOMA- IR	< 2	0.97 ± 0.22	1.29 ± 0.36	0.98 ± 0.50	1.29 ± 0.36	0.89 ± 0.38	1.11 ± 0.26	0.005	0.06	0.99
Proteins, g/L	65-80	64 ± 8	68 ± 4	63 ± 8	64 ± 8	64 ± 5	63 ± 6	0.33	0.73	0.21
Albumin, g/L	35-50	40 ± 2	42 ± 2	40 ± 5	40 ± 5	40 ± 2	39 ± 3	0.71	0.87	0.17
Urea, mmol/L	2.7-7.9	4.1 ± 0.4	$4.9 \pm 0.2^{*}$	4.1 ± 0.2	$4.9 \pm 0.4^{*}$	4.2 ± 0.8	$6.4 \pm 0.9^{*\#}$	0.001	0.005	0.0001
Creatinine, µmol/L	65-105	79 ± 7	$86 \pm 9^{*}$	78 ± 9	$83 \pm 12^{*}$	76 ± 9	$82 \pm 8^{*}$	0.004	0.47	0.82
Na ⁺ , mmol/L	135-145	138 ± 5	141 ± 3	139 ± 5	139 ± 7	139 ± 4	136 ± 6	0.74	0.62	0.24
CI ⁻ , mmol/L	95-105	102 ± 3	103 ± 2	101 ± 3	102 ± 4	102 ± 4	99 ± 5	0.37	0.34	0.13
K ⁺ , mmol/L	3.5-5.0	4.0 ± 0.3	3.9 ± 0.2	4.0 ± 0.4	3.9 ± 0.3	4.0 ± 0.2	4.0 ± 0.4	0.38	0.62	0.67
Total CO ₂ , mmol/L	23-29	25 ± 2	26 ± 1	24 ± 3	26 ± 2	25 ± 1	26 ± 1	0.054	0.45	0.98
Cholesterol, mmol/L	3.9-5.1	4.4 ± 0.6	$4.0 \pm 0.6^{*}$	4.6 ± 0.7	$3.8 \pm 0.7^{*}$	$4.8 \pm 0.8^{\#}$	$3.8 \pm 0.8^{*}$	0.004	0.97	0.01
HDL, mmol/L	0.9-2.3	1.2 ± 0.2	$1 \pm 0.2^{*}$	1.2 ± 0.3	$0.9 \pm 0.2^{*}$	1.2 ± 0.2	$0.9 \pm 0.2^{*}$	0.001	0.95	0.22
LDL, mmol/L	< 4.1	2.8 ± 0.3	2.6 ± 0.4	2.9 ± 0.4	$2.4 \pm 0.5^{*}$	3.0 ± 0.6	$2.4 \pm 0.6^{*}$	0.006	0.92	0.12
Triglycerides, mmol/L	0.3-2.3	1.10 ± 0.47	1.05 ± 0.31	1.16 ± 0.46	1.05 ± 0.41	1.28 ± 0.73	0.88 ± 0.21	0.17	0.8	0.09

Values are mean ± SD; BR*CM, bedrest*countermeasure. *p < 0.05 vs. Before; #p < 0.05 vs. Control. Significant differences are bolded.



of branched chain amino acids to the protein supplementation might also help maintaining left and right ventricle masses, as previously demonstrated during a long term bed-rest in women in the WISE study (Dorfman et al., 2007).

This study has two novel findings: first, while a small reduction in GCS was seen with LBNP, a similar reduction was not seen after bedrest. A decrease in GCS with gravitational stress has been shown before, and if cardiac adaptation to bedrest is solely due to loss of PV and cardiac preload, a similar reduction would be expected (Negishi et al., 2017). Yet, the reduction in GCS is limited to LBNP, suggesting that the actual twisting deformation of the heart is preserved despite its reduction in size. Second, cardiac untwisting has been shown to slow after bedrest, as assessed by MRI tagged analysis, but the cardiac response to orthostatic stress was unknown (Dorfman et al., 2008). In our study, we found no difference in the untwisting deformation (GCSR-E) with bedrest, but found a significant increase during orthostatic stress. This increase was likely a result of the higher HR and sympathetic activation increasing contractility (Fredholm et al., 2017). Yet the deconditioned heart was able to mount an appropriate deformation to maintain adequate, if slightly decreased, cardiac output. Both these findings would suggest the deformation of the heart adapts to preserve systolic and diastolic function during bedrest. While both torsion and circumferential strain aim to assess similar cardiac motion, the resulting measure (twisting/untwisting and

deformation, respectively) are not interchangeable, and to our knowledge have not been directly compared. Thus, it remains unknown if the slowed untwisting demonstrated by Dorfman et al. (2008) and the increased deformation rate in our study are compatible findings, particularly since our finding occurred during orthostatic stress.

VO₂max

The decreased VO2max in CON $(-17 \pm 13\%)$ compared to pre HDBR levels is in line with previous studies of similar duration. A decrease of 1% per day until 30 days of HDBR is usually observed in absence of CM (Convertino, 1996; Watenpaugh et al., 2000), although a smaller reduction of 0.3% per day is reported by Ried-Larsen et al. in a recent meta-analysis (Ried-Larsen et al., 2017).

Interestingly the decrease in VO₂max tended to be alleviated in RVE and NeX groups (respectively $-4 \pm 8\%$ and $-7 \pm 12\%$, interaction p = 0.09), although the frequency and duration of training was quite low, e.g., 2 sessions per week with a total of 5 sessions along the 21 days of BR. Each exercise session lasted about 30 min, but the cumulative loading duration did not exceed 5–6 min. During the 1st Berlin BR, this high load RVE achieved lactate levels after exercise of 9– 10 mmol/l, attesting to the high level of intensity (Rittweger et al., 2006). This intensity was accomplished by increasing progressively the load from 1.3 to 1.8 BW, and the frequency of vibration from 19 to 26 Hz at the end of BR. The relative protection of VO₂max during this study suggests that this high intensity resistive exercise has additional benefit to the musculoskeletal gains seen in previous studies (Mulder et al., 2006; Armbrecht et al., 2010). Considering the marked decreases in PV and LV volumes evidenced in this study, the lower VO₂max decrease in RVE and NeX might still represent a true finding despite the absence of a significant interaction effect, but studies with a larger sample size would be required to confirm this.

Considering those results in a whole, it is clear that the RVE countermeasure two times a week, alone or combined with whey protein supplementation, did not have any significant effect on most of the cardiovascular changes induced by 21 days of HDBR.

Regarding our cardiovascular variables, supplementation in whey protein did not show any benefit, except for a potential effect on cardiac mass as mentioned above. Conversely, some positive impact on muscle was observed before: whey protein supplementation alone alleviated disuseinduced reduction in fiber oxidative capacity during a 21days HDBR (Bosutti et al., 2016) even if it did not attenuate lower limb muscle atrophy nor fiber type transition (Blottner et al., 2014). Furthermore, Owen et al. have recently shown in the same MNX bed rest, a reduction in paralumbar spinal atrophy with NeX countermeasure but not RVE alone (Owen et al., 2020).

Though RVE did not prevent cardiovascular deconditioning in this study, it does not preclude the interest of high intensity resistive training. Hastings et al., with a daily rowing exercise and biweekly strength training, prevented myocardial changes and maintained OT with an added oral volume load (Hastings et al., 2012). When a high intensity exercise provides a high loading impact, for example with plyometric exercises like series of jumps, noteworthy beneficial effects on bone and some aspects of the cardiovascular system are observed. During a 60-day-HDBR, a jump countermeasure consisting of 5-6 sessions per week, each session lasting 8-17 min, maintained bone mass and maximal muscle force (Kramer et al., 2017a) and also preserved resting HR and peak oxygen consumption (Kramer et al., 2017b), two major criteria of cardiovascular deconditioning. Nevertheless in this latter study the decrease in PV was not prevented (Kramer et al., 2017a), and neither OT nor cardiac mass and volumes were assessed. Integration of this jumping countermeasure with other ones especially effective on cardiac and vascular deconditioning, such as artificial gravity or LBNP, may be of further interest.

LIMITATIONS

This study has several limitations that are worth noting: First, the sample size in our study was limited to 8 subjects who completed testing. While the crossover design allowed to reduce confounding covariates, we have "lost" 4 subjects out of 12, with unfortunately the withdrawal of 3 subjects in the NeX group during the 3rd campaign. There were potential effects of the countermeasures that did not reach conventional level of significance, namely cardiac mass and VO₂max. Second, the duration and frequency of exercise were reduced compared to prior studies. This was done to assess the effect of twice weekly exercise, but likely limited the impact of the countermeasures. The only effects that a longer or larger study may clarify are the impact of countermeasures on VO₂max and cardiac mass discussed above. Third, our study only included males and thus may not be generalizable to females undergoing spaceflight or bedrest. Finally, cardiac torsion and untwisting could not be assessed and thus our results are not directly comparable to prior studies that showed slowed untwisting after bedrest. We substituted it by circumferential strain and found no impact of countermeasures.

CONCLUSION

During this 21-day HDBR RVE and NeX countermeasures did not limit losses in PV, OT and cardiac volumes. RVE and NeX might alleviate VO_2max loss, and whey protein supplementation might be beneficial on myocardial mass but this would require further studies with larger sample size. Despite the reduction in left ventricle volumes during bedrest, deformation of the heart assessed by GCS was preserved and untwisting deformation was enhanced during orthostatic stress, illustrating the ability of the deconditioned heart to preserve systolic and diastolic functions.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The datasets generated for this study are available on request to the corresponding author.

ETHICS STATEMENT

This study was reviewed and approved by French Health Authorities (Comité de Protection des Personnes Sud-Ouest Outre-Mer I). The subjects provided their written informed consent to participate in this study.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

PG designed the study, acquired and analyzed the data, and wrote the manuscript. JM analyzed MRI data, wrote and reviewed the manuscript. MB acquired MRI data and reviewed the manuscript. FL performed and analyzed biochemical data and reviewed the manuscript. M-PB designed the study and acquired data. M-AC designed the study, acquired and analyzed the data and reviewed the manuscript. AP-L acquired data and reviewed the manuscript. BL designed the study, analyzed MRI data and reviewed the manuscript. NN designed the study, analyzed data, performed statistical analysis and reviewed the manuscript. All authors contributed to the article and approved the submitted version.

FUNDING

This experiment was proposed and sponsored by the European Space Agency (ESA) and the French Spatial Agency (CNES). In addition, the authors have been supported by their respective research organizations.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was performed at MEDES (Toulouse, France). We acknowledge the dedication and time of the participants

REFERENCES

- Armbrecht, G., Belavi, D. L., Gast, G., Bongrazio, M., Touby, F., Beller, G., et al. (2010). Resistive vibration exercise attenuates bone and muscle atrophy in 56 days of bed rest: biochemical markers of bone metabolism. *Osteoporos. Int.* 21, 597–607. doi: 10.1007/s00198-009-0985-z
- Belavy, D. L., Armbrecht, G., Gast, U., Richardson, C. A., Hides, J. A., and Felsenberg, D. (2010a). Countermeasures against lumbar spine deconditioning in prolonged bed rest: resistive exercise with and without whole body vibration. *J. Appl. Physiol.* 109, 1801–1811. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.00707.2010
- Belavy, D. L., Bock, O., Börst, H., Armbrecht, G., Gast, U., Degner, C., et al. (2010b). The 2nd Berlin BedRest Study: protocol and implementation. J. Musculoskelet. Neuronal. Interact. 10, 207–219.
- Belavy, D. L., Beller, G., Armbrecht, G., and Perschel, F. H. (2011). Fitzner Evidence for an additional effect of whole-body vibration above resistive exercise alone in preventing bone loss during prolonged bed rest. *Osteoporos. Int.* 22, 1581–1591. doi: 10.1007/s00198-010-1371-6
- Belavy, D. L., Hides, J. A., Wilson, S. J., Stanton, W., Dimeo, F. C., Rittweger, J., et al. (2008). Resistive simulated weightbearing exercise with whole body vibration reduces lumbar spine deconditioning in bed-rest. *Spine* 33, E121–E131. doi: 10.1097/BRS.0b013e3181657f98
- Belavy, D. L., Miokovic, T., Armbrecht, G., Rittweger, J., and Felsenberg, D. (2009). Resistive vibration exercise reduces lower limb muscle atrophy during 56-day bed-rest. J. Musculoskelet. Neuronal Interact. 9, 225–235.
- Belin de Chantemele, E., Blanc, S., Pellet, N., Duvareille, M., Ferretti, G., and Gauquelin-Koch, G. (2004). Does resistance exercise prevent body fluid changes after a 90-day bed rest? *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* 92, 555–564.
- Bleeker, M. W., De Groot, P. C., Rongen, G. A., Rittweger, J., Felsenberg, D., Smits, P., et al. (2005). Vascular adaptation to deconditioning and the effect of an exercise countermeasure: results of the Berlin Bed Rest study. *J. Appl. Physiol.* 99, 1293–1300. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.00118.2005
- Blottner, D., Bosutti, A., Degens, H., Schiffl, G., Gutsmann, M., and Buehlmeier, J. (2014). Whey protein plus bicarbonate supplement has little effects on structural atrophy and proteolysis marker immunopatterns in skeletal muscle disuse during 21 days of bed rest. J. Musculoskelet. Neuronal Interact. 14, 432–444.
- Blottner, D., Salanova, M., Puttmann, B., Schiffl, G., Felsenberg, D., Buehring, B., et al. (2006). Human skeletal muscle structure and function preserved by vibration muscle exercise following 55 days of bed rest. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* 97, 261–271. doi: 10.1007/s00421-006-0160-6
- Bosutti, A., Salanova, M., Blottner, D., Buehlmeier, J., Mulder, E., Rittweger, J., et al. (2016). Whey protein with potassium bicarbonate supplement attenuates the reduction in muscle oxidative capacity during 19 days of bed rest. J. Appl. Physiol. 121, 838–848. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.00936.2015
- Bungo, M. W., Charles, J. B., and Johnson, P. C. (1985). Cardiovascular deconditioning during space flight and the use of saline as a countermeasure to orthostatic intolerance. *Aviat. Space Environ. Med.* 56, 985–990.
- Butler, G. C., Xing, H. C., Northey, D. R., and Hughson, R. L. (1991). Reduced orthostatic tolerance following 4 h head-down tilt. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol. Occup. Physiol.* 62, 26–30. doi: 10.1007/bf00635629
- Carrick-Ranson, G., Hastings, J. L., Bhella, P. S., Shibata, S., and Levine, B. D. (2013). The effect of exercise training on left ventricular relaxation and diastolic

in this study. We would also like to thank all the staff at MEDES Institute for Space Medicine and Physiology (Toulouse, France), and particularly A. Beck, for the medical supervision throughout the study.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphys. 2020.00812/full#supplementary-material

suction at rest and during orthostatic stress after bed rest. *Exp. Physiol.* 98, 501–513. doi: 10.1113/expphysiol.2012.067488

- Chale, A., Cloutier, G. J., Hau, C., Phillips, E. M., Dallal, G. E., and Fielding, R. A. (2013). Efficacy of whey protein supplementation on resistance exerciseinduced changes in lean mass, muscle strength, and physical function in mobility-limited older adults. J. Gerontol. A Biol. Sci. Med. Sci. 68, 682–690. doi: 10.1093/gerona/gls221
- Chen, H. H., and Burnett, J. C. (2006). Therapeutic potential for existing and novel forms of natriuretic peptides. *Heart Fail Clin.* 2, 365–373. doi: 10.1016/j.hfc. 2006.09.003
- Claus, P., Omar, A. M. S., Pedrizzetti, G., Sengupta, P. P., and Nagel, E. (2015). Tissue tracking technology for assessing cardiac mechanics: principles, normal values, and clinical applications. *JACC Cardiovasc. Imaging* 8, 1444–1460. doi: 10.1016/j.jcmg.2015.11.001
- Convertino, V. (1996). "Exercise and adaptation to microgravity environments," in *Handbook of Physiology: Section 4. Environmental Physiology*, Vol. 3, eds M. J. Fregly and C. M. Blatteis (New York, NY: Oxford University), 815–843.
- Convertino, V. A. (1994). Countermeasures against cardiovascular deconditioning. *J. Gravit. Physiol.* 1, 125–128.
- Coupé, M., Yuan, M., Demiot, C., Bai, Y. Q., Jiang, S. Z., Li, Y. Z., et al. (2011). Low-magnitude whole body vibration with resistive exercise as a countermeasure against cardiovascular deconditioning after 60 days of head-down bed rest. Am. J. Physiol. Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol. 301, R1748–R1754.
- Csecs, I., Czimbalmos, C., Suhai, F. I., Mikle, R., Mirzahosseini, A., Dohy, Z., et al. (2018). Left and right ventricular parameters corrected with thresholdbased quantification method in a normal cohort analyzed by three independent observers with various training-degree. *Int. J. Cardiovasc. Imaging* 34, 1127– 1133. doi: 10.1007/s10554-018-1322-4
- Custaud, M. A., de Souza, E. P., Abry, P., Flandrin, P., Millet, C., Duvareille, M., et al. (2002). Orthostatic tolerance and spontaneous baroreflex sensitivity in men versus women after 7 days of head-down bed rest. *Auton. Neurosci.* 100, 66–76. doi: 10.1016/s1566-0702(02)00132-7
- Cvirn, G., Waha, J. E., Ledinski, G., Schlagenhauf, A., Leschnik, B., and Koestenberger, M. (2015). Bed rest does not induce hypercoagulability. *Eur. J. Clin. Invest.* 45, 63–69. doi: 10.1111/eci.12383
- Dorfman, T. A., Levine, B. D., Tillery, T., Peshock, R. M., Hastings, J. L., and Schneider, S. M. (2007). Cardiac atrophy in women following bed rest. J. Appl. Physiol. 103, 8–16. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.011 62.2006
- Dorfman, T. A., Smith, S. M., Watenpaugh, D. E., and Hargens, A. R. (2008). Diastolic suction is impaired by bed rest: MRI tagging studies of diastolic untwisting. *J. Appl. Physiol.* 104, 1037–1044. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.00858. 2006
- Fagard, R. H. (2006). Exercise is good for your blood pressure: effects of endurance training and resistance training. *Clin. Exp. Pharmacol. Physiol.* 33, 853–856. doi: 10.1111/j.1440-1681.2006.04453.x
- Fortney, S. M., Beckett, W. S., Carpenter, A. J., Davis, J., Drew, H., and LaFrance, N. D. (1988). Changes in plasma volume during bed rest: effects of menstrual cycle and estrogen administration. *J. Appl. Physiol.* 65, 525–533. doi: 10.1152/ jappl.1988.65.2.525

- Fortney, S. M., Turner, C., Steinmann, L., Driscoll, T., and Alfrey, C. (1994). Blood volume responses of men and women to bed rest. J. Clin. Pharmacol. 34, 434–439. doi: 10.1002/j.1552-4604.1994.tb04984.x
- Fredholm, M., Rock, J. A., Tankersley, C. G., and Vroman, N. B. (2017). Load-dependence of myocardial deformation variables - a clinical strainechocardiographic study. *Acta Anaesthesiol. Scand.* 61, 1155–1165. doi: 10. 1111/aas.12954
- Gast, U., John, S., Runge, M., Rawer, R., Felsenberg, D., and Belavi, D. L. (2012). Short-duration resistive exercise sustains neuromuscular function after bed rest. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 44, 1764–1772. doi: 10.1249/mss.0b013e318256b53b
- Gharib, C., Bansard, J. Y., Hargens, A. R., and Hughson, R. L. (1993). "Fluid and electrolytes regulation in space," in *Advances in Space Biology and Medicine*, Vol. 2, ed. S. L. Bontings (Greenwich: JAI Press Inc), 113–130. doi: 10.1016/s1569-2574(08)60019-2
- Gharib, C., Maillet, A., Gauquelin, G., Allevard, A. M., Güell, A., Cartier, R., et al. (1992). Results of a 4-week head-down tilt with and without LBNP countermeasure: I. Volume regulating hormones. *Aviat. Space Environ. Med.* 63, 3–8.
- Gladwell, V. F., and Coote, J. H. (2002). Heart rate at the onset of muscle contraction and during passive muscle stretch in humans: a role for mechanoreceptors. J. Physiol. 540, 1095–1102. doi: 10.1113/jphysiol.2001. 013486
- Graf, S., Baecker, N., Buehlmeier, J., Annelie, F., Scott, M., Smith, M., et al. (2014). "Effects of resistive vibration exercise combined with whey protein and KHCO3 on bone thurnover markers in head-down tilt bed rest (MTBR-MNX Study)," in *Proceedings of the Aerospace Medicine Conference paper*, (Paris: International Astronautical Federation).
- Graf, S., Egert, S., and Heer, M. (2011). Effects of whey protein supplements on metabolism: evidence from human intervention studies. *Curr. Opin. Clin. Nutr. Metab. Care* 14, 569–580. doi: 10.1097/mco.0b013e32834b89da
- Greaves, D., Arbeille, P., Guillon, L., Zuj, K., and Caiani, E. G. (2019). Effects of exercise countermeasure on myocardial contractility measured by 4D speckle tracking during a 21-day head-down bed rest. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* 119, 2477–2486. doi: 10.1007/s00421-019-04228-0
- Guinet, P., Schneider, S. M., Macias, B. R., Watenpaugh, D. E., Hughson, R. L., and Le Traon, A. P. (2009). WISE-2005: effect of aerobic and resistive exercises on orthostatic tolerance during 60 days bed rest in women. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* 106, 217–227. doi: 10.1007/s00421-009-1009-6
- Hargens, A. R., and Vico, L. (2016). Long-duration bed rest as an analog to microgravity. J. Appl. Physiol. 120, 891–903. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.00935. 2015
- Hastings, J. L., Krainski, F., Snell, P. G., Pacini, E. L., Jain, M., and Bhella, P. S. (2012). Effect of rowing ergometry and oral volume loading on cardiovascular structure and function during bed rest. J. Appl. Physiol. 112, 1735–1743. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.00019.2012
- Hor, K. N., Gottliebson, W. M., Carson, C., Wash, E., Cnota, J., Fleck, R., et al. (2010). Comparison of magnetic resonance feature tracking for strain calculation with harmonic phase imaging analysis. *JACC Cardiovasc. Imaging* 3, 144–151. doi: 10.1016/j.jcmg.2009.11.006
- Kenny, H. C., Rudwill, F., Breen, L., Salanova, M., Blottner, D., Heise, T., et al. (2017). Bed rest and resistive vibration exercise unveil novel links between skeletal muscle mitochondrial function and insulin resistance. *Diabetologia* 60, 1491–1501. doi: 10.1007/s00125-017-4298-z
- Kermorgant, M., Nasr, N., Custaud, M. A., Navasiolava, N., Arbeille, P., Guinet, P., et al. (2019). Effects of resistance exercise and nutritional supplementation on dynamic cerebral autoregulation in head-down bed rest. *Front. Physiol.* 10:1114. doi: 10.3389/fphys.2019.01114
- Khan, M. H., Kunselman, A. R., Leuenberger, U. A., Davidson, W. R., Ray, C. A., Gray, K. S., et al. (2002). Attenuated sympathetic nerve responses after 24 hours of bed rest. Am. J. Physiol. Heart Circ. Physiol. 282, H2210–H2215.
- Kramer, A., Gollhofer, A., Armbrecht, G., Felsenberg, D., and Gruber, M. (2017a). How to prevent the detrimental effects of two months of bed-rest on muscle, bone and cardiovascular system: an RCT. *Sci Rep.* 7:13177.
- Kramer, A., Kümmel, J., Mulder, E., Gollhofer, A., Frings-Meuthen, P., and Gruber, M. (2017b). High-intensity jump training is tolerated during 60 days of bed rest and is very effective in preserving leg power and lean body mass: an overview of the cologne RSL study. *PLoS One* 12:e0169793. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0169793

- Lee, S. M. C., Feiveson, A. H., Stein, S., Stenger, M. B., and Platts, S. H. (2015). Orthostatic intolerance after ISS and space shuttle missions. *Aerosp. Med. Hum. Perform.* 86, A54–A67.
- Lee, S. M. C., Schneider, S. M., Feiveson, A. H., Macias, B. R., Smith, S. M., and Watenpaugh, D. E. (2014). WISE-2005: countermeasures to Prevent Muscle Deconditioning During Bed Rest in Women. J. Appl. Physiol. 116, 654–667. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.00590.2013
- Levine, B. D., Lane, L. D., Watenpaugh, D. E., Gaffney, F. A., Buckey, J. C., and Blomqvist, C. G. (1996). Maximal exercise performance after adaptation to microgravity. J. Appl. Physiol. 81, 686–694. doi: 10.1152/jappl.1996.81.2.686
- Levine, B. D., Zuckerman, H., and Pawelczyk, J. A. (1997). Cardiac atrophy after bed-rest deconditioning: a nonneural mechanism for orthostatic intolerance. *Circulation* 96, 517–525. doi: 10.1161/01.cir.96.2.517
- Lunning, M. A., Kutty, S., Rome, E. T., Li, L., Padiyath, A., Loberiza, F., et al. (2015). Cardiac magnetic resonance imaging for the assessment of the myocadium following doxorubicin-based chemotherapy. *Am. J. Clin. Oncol.* 38, 377–381. doi: 10.1097/coc.0b013e31829e19be
- Maillet, A., Fagette, S., Allevard, A. M., Pavy-Le Traon, A., Guell, A., and Gharib, C. (1996). Cardiovascular and hormonal response during a 4-week head-down tilt with and without exercise and LBNP countermeasures. *J. Gravit. Physiol.* 3, 37–48.
- Miokovic, T., Armbrecht, G., Felsenberg, D., and Belavy, D. L. (2011). Differential atrophy of the postero-lateral hip musculature during prolonged bedrest and the influence of exercise countermeasures. J. Appl. Physiol. 110, 926–934. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.01105.2010
- Mulavara, A. P., Peters, B. T., Miller, C. A., Kofman, I. S., Reschke, M. F., Taylor, L. C., et al. (2018). Physiological and functional alterations after spaceflight and bed rest. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 50, 1961–1980. doi: 10.1249/mss. 000000000001615
- Mulder, E. R., Stegeman, D. F., Gerrits, K. H., Paalman, M. I., Rittweger Felsenberg, D., et al. (2006). Strength, size and activation of knee extensors followed during 8 weeks of horizontal bed rest and the influence of a countermeasure. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* 97, 706–715. doi: 10.1007/s00421-006-0241-6
- Negishi, K., Borowski, A. G., Popoviæ, Z. B., Greenberg, N. L., Martin, D. S., and Bungo, M. W. (2017). Effect of gravitational gradients on cardiac filling and performance. J. Am. Soc. Echocardiogr. 30, 1180–1188. doi: 10.1016/j.echo. 2017.08.005
- Nishikimi, T., Maeda, N., and Matsuoka, H. (2006). The role of natriuretic peptides in cardioprotection. *Cardiovasc. Res.* 69, 318–328. doi: 10.1016/j.cardiores. 2005.10.001
- Norsk, P., Drummer, C., Röcker, L., Strollo, F., Christensen, N. J., Warberg, J., et al. (1995). Renal and endocrine responses in humans to isotonic saline infusion during microgravity. *J. Appl. Physiol.* 78, 2253–2259. doi: 10.1152/jappl.1995. 78.6.2253
- Ogawa, T., and de Bold, A. J. (2012). Brain natriuretic Peptide production and secretion in inflammation. *J. Transplant. Epub* 2012:28.
- Owen, P. J., Ambrecht, G., Bansmann, M., Zange, J., Pohle-Fröhlich, R., Felsenberg, D., et al. (2020). Whey protein supplementation with vibration exercise ameliorates lumbar paraspinal muscle atrophy in prolonged bed-rest. J. Appl. Physiol. 128, 1568–1578. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.00125.2020
- Paddon-Jones, D., Sheffield-Moore, M., Zhang, X. J., Volpi, E., Wolf, S. E., Aarsland, A., et al. (2004). Amino acid ingestion improves muscle protein synthesis in the young and elderly. *Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab.* 286, E321-E328. doi: 10.1152/ajpendo.00368.2003
- Pagani, M., Lombardi, F., Guzzetti, S., Rimoldi, O., Furlan, R., Pizzinelli, P., et al. (1986). Power spectral analysis of heart rate and arterial pressure variabilities as a marker of sympatho-vagal interaction in man and conscious dog. *Circ. Res.* 59, 178–193. doi: 10.1161/01.res.59.2.178
- Pancheva, M. V., Panchev, V. S., and Suvandjieva, A. V. (2006). Lower body negative pressure vs. lower body positive pressure to prevent cardiac atrophy after bed rest and spaceflight. What caused the controversy?. J. Appl. Physiol. 100, 1091–1092.
- Pastushkova, LKh, Custaud, M. A., Kononikhin, A. S., Brzhozovsky, A. G., Dmitrieva, L. E., and Dobrokhotov, I. V. (2015). Modification of urine proteome in healthy human during 21-day bed rest. *Aviakosm. Ekolog. Med.* 49, 11–16.
- Pavy-Le Traon, A., Heer, M., Narici, M. V., Rittweger, J., and Vernikos, J. (2007). From space to Earth: advances in human physiology from 20 years of bed rest

studies (1986–2006). Eur. J. Appl. Physiol. 101, 143–194. doi: 10.1007/s00421-007-0474-z

- Pennings, B., Boirie, Y., Senden, J. M., Gijsen, A. P., Kuipers, H., and van Loon, L. J. (2011). Whey protein stimulates postprandial muscle protein accretion more effectively than do casein and casein hydrolysate in older men. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* 93, 997–1005. doi: 10.3945/ajcn.110.008102
- Perhonen, M. A., Franco, F., Lane, L. D., Buckey, J. C., Blomqvist, C. G., Zerwekh, J. E., et al. (2001). Cardiac atrophy after bed rest and spaceflight. J. Appl. Physiol. 91, 645–653. doi: 10.1152/jappl.2001.91.2.645
- Phillips, B. E., Hill, D. S., and Atherton, P. J. (2012). Regulation of muscle protein synthesis in humans. *Curr. Opin. Clin. Nutr. Metab. Care* 15, 58–63. doi: 10.1097/mco.0b013e32834d19bc
- Ploutz-Snyder, L. L., Downs, M., Goetchius, E., Crowell, B., English, K. L., Ploutz-Snyder, R., et al. (2018). Exercise training mitigates multisystem deconditioning during bed rest. *Med. Sci. Sports Exerc.* 50, 1920–1928. doi: 10.1249/mss. 000000000001618
- Ried-Larsen, M., Aarts, H. M., and Joyner, M. J. (2017). Effects of strict prolonged bed rest on cardiorespiratory fitness: systematic review and meta-analysis. *J. Appl. Physiol.* 123, 790–799. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.00415.2017
- Rittweger, J., Albracht, K., Flück, M., Ruoss, S., Brocca, L., Longa, E., et al. (2018). Sarcolab pilot study into skeletal muscle's adaptation to long-term spaceflight. *NPJ Microgravity* 4:18.
- Rittweger, J., Belavy, D., Hunek, P., Gast, U., Boerst, H., Feilcke, B., et al. (2006). Highly demanding resistive vibration exercise program is tolerated during 56 days of strict bed-rest. *Int. J. Sports Med.* 27, 553–559. doi: 10.1055/s-2005-872903
- Rittweger, J., Beller, G., Armbrecht, G., Mulder, E., Buehring, B., Gast, U., et al. (2010). Prevention of bone loss during 56 days of strict bed rest by sidealternating resistive vibration exercise. *Bone* 46, 137–147. doi: 10.1016/j.bone. 2009.08.051
- Schmidt, W., and Prommer, N. (2005). The optimised CO-rebreathing method: a new tool to determine total haemoglobin mass routinely. *Eur. J. Appl. Physiol.* 95, 486–495. doi: 10.1007/s00421-005-0050-3
- Schulz-Menger, J., Bluemke, D. A., Bremerich, J., Flamm, S. D., Fogel, M. A., Friedrich, M. G., et al. (2013). Standardized image interpretation and post processing in cardiovascular magnetic resonance: Society for Cardiovascular Magnetic Resonance (SCMR) board of trustees task force on standardized post processing. J. Cardiovasc. Magn. Reson. 15:35.
- Shibata, S. M., and Perhonen, B. D. (2010). Supine cycling plus volume loading prevent cardiovascular deconditioning during bed rest. J. Appl. Physiol. 108, 1177–1186. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.01408.2009
- Tank, J., Diedrich, A., Szczech, E., Luft, F. C., and Jordan, J. (2004). 2-Adrenergic transmission and human baroreflex regulation. *Hypertension* 43, 1035–1041. doi: 10.1161/01.hyp.0000125729.90521.94
- Task Force, A., Esc, and Asc. (1996). European Society of Cardiology and the North American Society of Pacing and Electrophysiology. Heart rate

variability: standards of measurement, physiological interpretation, and clinical use. *Circulation* 93, 1043–1065. doi: 10.1161/01.cir.93.5.1043

- Traon, A. P., Vasseur, P., Arbeille, P., Guell, A., Bes, A., and Gharib, C. (1995). Effects of 28-day head-down tilt with and without countermeasures on lower body negative pressure responses. *Aviat. Space Environ. Med.* 66, 982–991.
- Trappe, T. A., Burd, N. A., Louis, E. S., Lee, G. A., and Trappe, S. W. (2007). Influence of Concurrent Exercise or Nutrition Countermeasures on Thigh and Calf Muscle Size and Function During 60 Days of Bed Rest in Women. *Acta Physiol.* 191, 147–159. doi: 10.1111/j.1748-1716.2007. 01728.x
- van Duijnhoven, N. T., Green, D. J., Felsenberg, D., Belavy, D. L., Hopman, M. T., and Thijssen, D. H. (2010a). Impact of bed rest on conduit artery remodeling: effect of exercise countermeasures. *Hypertension* 56, 240–246. doi: 10.1161/ hypertensionaha.110.152868
- van Duijnhoven, N. T., Thijssen, D. H., Green, D. J., Felsenberg, D., Belavy, D. L., and Hopman, M. T. (2010b). Resistive exercise versus resistive vibration exercise to counteract vascular adaptations to bed rest. *J. Appl. Physiol.* 108, 28–33. doi: 10.1152/japplphysiol.00760.2009
- Waha, J. E., Goswami, N., Schlagenhauf, A., Leschnik, B., Koestenberger, M., Reibnegger, G., et al. (2015). Effects of exercise and nutrition on the coagulation system during bedrest immobilization. *Medicine* 94:e1555. doi: 10.1097/md. 000000000001555
- Watenpaugh, D. E., Ballard, R. E., Schneider, S. M., Lee, S. M., Ertl, A. C., and William, J. M. (2000). Supine lower body negative pressure exercise during bed rest maintains upright exercise capacity. *J. Appl. Physiol.* 89, 218–227. doi: 10.1152/jappl.2000.89.1.218
- Wesseling, K. H., Jansen, J. R., Settels, J. J., and Schreuder, J. J. (1993). Computation of aortic flow from pressure in humans using a nonlinear, three-element model. *J. Appl. Physiol.* 74, 2566–2573. doi: 10.1152/jappl.1993.74.5.2566
- Zwart, S. R., Davis-Street, J. E., Paddon-Jones, D., Ferrando, A. A., Wolfe, R. R., and Smith, S. M. (2005). Amino acid supplementation alters bone metabolism during simulated weightlessness. J. Appl. Physiol. 99, 134–140. doi: 10.1152/ japplphysiol.01406.2004

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Guinet, MacNamara, Berry, Larcher, Bareille, Custaud, Pavy-Le Traon, Levine and Navasiolava. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.