

STUDY PROTOCOL

Open Access

Protocol for Shoulder function training reducing musculoskeletal pain in shoulder and neck: a randomized controlled trial

Christoffer H Andersen^{1,3*}, Lars L Andersen¹, Ole S Mortensen^{1,2}, Mette K Zebis³, Gisela Sjøgaard³

Abstract

Background: Neck and shoulder complaints are common among employees in sedentary occupations characterized by intensive computer use. Such musculoskeletal pain - which is often associated with restricted range of motion and loss of muscle strength - is one of the most common conditions treated by physical therapists. The exact mechanism of neck pain is rarely revealed by clinical examination and the treatment has varied from passive rest to active treatments. Active treatments have often been divided into either training of the painful area or the surrounding musculature avoiding direct training of the painful area. Our study investigates the effect of the latter approach.

Methods/Design: A randomized controlled trial of 10 weeks duration is currently being conducted. Employed office workers with severe neck-shoulder pain are randomized to 3 × 20 min shoulder function training with training supervision or to a reference group receiving advice to stay physically active. Shoulder function training primarily focuses on the serratus anterior and lower trapezius muscle with only minimal activation the upper trapezius.

An announcement was sent to the administrative section of the university including jobs characterized by intensive computer work. The first 100 positive replies entered the study. Among these inclusion criteria were pain intensity in the neck/shoulder of at least 3 on a 0-9 scale. Exclusion criteria were cardiovascular disease, trauma, hypertension, or serious chronic disease. Before and after the intervention period the participants replied to a questionnaire about musculoskeletal disorders and work disability, and underwent a standardized clinical examination of the neck and shoulder girdle. Further, on a weekly basis the participants log pain intensity of the neck and shoulder during the previous week.

The primary outcome measure is pain in the neck and shoulders at week 10 based on the weekly pain registration and results from the clinical examination. Secondary outcomes are strength and work disability.

Trial Registration: ClinicalTrials (NCT): NCT01205542

Background

Neck and shoulder complaints are common among employees in sedentary occupations characterized by intensive computer use[1]. Chronic neck pain is often a widespread sensation with hyperalgesia in the ligaments and muscles during both passive and active movements. Musculoskeletal pain - which is associated with restricted range of motion and functional loss[2] - is

one of the most common conditions treated by physical therapists. The occurrence of neck/shoulder muscle pain has increased during recent decades and computer work is particularly associated with neck symptoms[3,4].

Physical exercise is a cornerstone in health and well-being[5]. An increasing number of studies and reviews within the last decade provide evidence for the effectiveness of physical exercise at the workplace in managing musculoskeletal pain[6-8]. The origin of chronic neck pain is multifactorial, including physical strain and psychosocial stress[9]. Treatment regimes has varied from complete rest to high-intensity strength training [10,11].

* Correspondence: cha@nrcwe.dk

¹National Research Centre for the Working Environment, Lersø Parkalle 105, DK 2100 Copenhagen Ø, Denmark

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Excess activation of the upper trapezius, combined with decreased control of the lower trapezius and the serratus anterior likely contributes to neck/shoulder pain. Supporting this, patients with shoulder disorders show an altered muscle activation balance towards increased upper trapezius activation and reduced serratus anterior activation [12]. Targeted rehabilitation of these types of neck/shoulder dysfunctions requires detailed knowledge of exercise-specific activation balance of the scapular muscles. Thus, restoration of balanced muscle activation is a challenge in the rehabilitation training. This study investigates in a randomized controlled design the efficiency of 10 weeks shoulder function training - i.e specific strength training of the lower trapezius and the serratus anterior muscle while minimizing direct training of the upper trapezius. We hypothesize that such exercise relieves neck/shoulder pain among office workers.

Methods and design

Study design

We are currently conducting a randomized controlled trial in Denmark. The trial duration is September 2010 to December 2010. The participants were recruited from a large university.

All of the participants gave their written consent to participate in the study. The local ethics committee approved the study protocol (H-C-2008-103), which qualified for registration in the ClinicalTrials.gov, number NCT01205542

Study population

An announcement with a short introduction and invitation text, together with a link to an internet-based questionnaire was sent to office workers from the administrative section of the university. When 100 had replied positive regarding participation to the questionnaire we closed for further recruitment based on a priori power calculations and drop out estimates. Out of the 100 responders 8 subsequently declined to participate in the study.

Table 1 shows the 100 responders with regard to age, body mass index, neck/shoulder pain and days with neck/shoulder pain within the last 12 months.

Inclusion criteria were pain intensity in the neck/shoulder of at least 3 on a 0-9 scale.

Exclusion criteria were a) hypertension (Systolic BP > 160, diastolic BP > 100) or cardiovascular diseases (e.g. chest pain during physical exercise, heart failure, myocardial infarction and stroke), b) symptomatic herniated disc or severe disorders of the cervical spine, c) post-operative conditions in the neck and shoulder region, d) history of severe trauma, and e) pregnancy, f) other serious disease.

Using a computer generated random numbers table, the remaining 47 participants were randomly allocated to training or control. Gender and age was used as stratification variables. Subsequently, participants were informed via email about group allocation. A flow-chart of the process is shown in Figure 1. Table 2 shows the baseline characteristics of the included participants.

The intervention program


The training-group was allocated a total of one hour training per week for 10 weeks during working-hours. Experienced instructors assist all of the training sessions. The reference group was not offered any physical training, but encouraged to stay active, and they replied to the same questionnaires as the training-group.

The training-group performed shoulder function training with exercises which have shown to activate the serratus anterior and lower trapezius muscles to a high extend but with only a low activation of the upper trapezius. The exercises used have been selected on the basis of a yet unpublished study and the two main exercises -push-up plus and press-ups - are pictured in Figure 2. During the 10-week intervention training loads were progressively increased according to the principle of periodization and progressive overload. Each training session started with a short warm-up by slowly moving the neck, upper back, shoulder blades and shoulder joint through pain-free range of motion.

The participants received a training diary for registering training sessions. We encouraged participants to train with an instructor during a specified time period together with their colleagues. Instructors taught the participants how to perform the exercises, and helped

Table 1 Characteristics of the 100 employees responding to the questionnaire

| | All | Men | Women |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| N | 100 | 26 | 74 |
| Age | 44 (11) | 47 (13) | 43 (11) |
| Height | 171 (9) | 180 (7) | 168 (7) |
| Weight | 72 (14) | 83 (14) | 68 (13) |
| BMI | 24 (4) | 25 (3) | 24 (4) |
| Neck & shoulder Pain last month (0-9) | 5.3 (2.2) | 5.5 (2.3) | 5.3 (2.1) |
| Days with neck & shoulder pain within the last 12 months | 174 (138) | 173 (134) | 176 (150) |




with exercise adjustment when needed. The instructors focused on positive feedback to maintain motivation.

Self-reported measures

We applied an email-based questionnaire including e.g. the Standardized Nordic questionnaire for musculoskeletal disorders[13], stages of change[14], work productivity[15] and work disability [16]. The main questions are described in more detail below. Each week the participants received an email asking them “How intense was your worst pain in the neck-shoulder area during the last week on a 0-9 scale?” (0 means no complaints and 9 means pain as bad as it can be).

Musculoskeletal pain symptoms of the neck, shoulder, arm, hand, and back were evaluated using scales concerning both intensity and duration of symptoms. Participants replied to the questions “How many days have you had trouble in [body part] during the last three months?” (0 days; 1-7 days; 8-30 days; >30 days; everyday) for symptom duration, and “On average, how intense was your pain in [body part] during the last three months on a 0-9 scale?” (0 means no complaints and 9 means pain as bad as it can be) for symptom intensity. Answers to the question that concerned symptom duration were recoded as follows: 0 days = 0, 1-7 days = 4, 8-30 days = 19, >30 days = 60, everyday = 90



[1]. Illustrations from the Nordic questionnaire defined the respective body regions[13]. Further, headache was evaluated using a questionnaire on intensity, duration, and frequency of headache during the previous month.

Stages of change in relation to physical activity was in this study assessed by a questionnaire originally presented by Marcus and colleagues in 1992[17]. Questions asked in the questionnaire were e.g. “As far as I’m concerned, I don’t need to exercise regularly”, “I really think I should work on getting started with a regular exercise program in the next 6 months”, and “I have started exercising regularly within the last 6 months”.

Productivity was rated on an 11-step ordinal scale: “How do you perceive your overall productivity the last 4 weeks?” The rating went from 0 (the worst a worker could do) to 10 (the best a worker in the same job could do)[15].

Participants rated *work disability* at baseline and follow-up by the work module of the Disability of the Arm, Shoulder and Hand questionnaire (DASH): “In the past week did you have any difficulty:” 1) “using your usual technique for your work?”, 2) “doing your usual

Table 2 Characteristics of employees randomized into the two intervention groups

| | All | Reference | Training | P |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|------|
| N | 47 | 23 | 24 | |
| Women | 37 | 18 | 19 | |
| Men | 10 | 5 | 5 | |
| Age | 44 (12) | 45 (11) | 44 (13) | 0.86 |
| Height | 171 (7) | 171 (8) | 171 (7) | 0.84 |
| Weight | 72 (12) | 72 (12) | 72 (13) | 0.99 |
| BMI | 25 (4) | 25 (4) | 24 (3) | 0.85 |
| Neck & shoulder Pain last month (0-9) | 5.6 (1.7) | 5.4 (1.5) | 5.7 (1.9) | 0.64 |
| Days with neck & shoulder pain within the last 12 months | 212 (119) | 211 (126) | 213 (115) | 0.96 |

work because of arm, shoulder or hand pain?”, 3) “doing your work as well as you would like?”, 4) “spending your usual amount of time doing your work?”. Participants replied on a 5-point Likert scale from “No difficulty” to “Unable”. The DASH score was normalized on a scale of 0-100 (by adding the 4 values, dividing by 4, subtracting by 1, and multiplying by 25)[16].

Clinical measurements

A physiotherapist performed a thorough clinical examination of the neck and shoulder girdle of the participants as previously described in detail[18]. This included examination of neck and shoulder mobility, soreness during palpation, muscle tightness, shoulder impingement (Neers test and Hawkins test), and measuring the position of the angulus inferior and angulus superior on the scapula[19].

Objective measures

Testing of physical capacity was performed at baseline and 10-week follow-up in both groups.

Pressure Pain Threshold (PPT) was measured at 4 sites using a standardized procedure[20]. Muscle and bone sites to be examined were located by palpation. The following points were outlined: 1) upper trapezius, 2) lower trapezius, 3) sternum and, 4) tibialis anterior.

Maximal muscle strength was assessed by a maximum isometric shoulder protraction test and shoulder elevation test against a pair of strain gauge dynamometers at baseline and at the end of the intervention (10 weeks).

Muscle activation during strength testing was assessed through surface electromyography (EMG). Electrodes were placed according to SENIAM recommendations on the skin over the following muscles: 1) upper trapezius, 2) middle trapezius, 3) lower trapezius, 4) upper serratus anterior and, 5) lower serratus anterior.

Statistics

The primary outcome is change in pain of the neck and shoulders at 10 weeks. Secondary outcomes include the other measures mentioned above. We will firstly analyze the data according to the intention-to-treat principle and secondly, per protocol. We will use repeated measures analysis of variance. The following null-hypothesis will be tested;

- 1) There is no difference between the training group and reference group for the change in neck/shoulder pain from baseline to week 10.

Power analyses performed prior to the study showed that - to reject the null-hypothesis of equality - we should include 20 participants per group (allowing for a

20% loss to follow-up) for 80% power to detect a change in pain of 1,5 on a 0-9 scale between groups.

Acknowledgements

This study is funded by the Danish Ministry of Culture Committee for Sports Research (grant TKIF2007-023)

Author details

¹National Research Centre for the Working Environment, Lersø Parkalle 105, DK 2100 Copenhagen Ø, Denmark. ²Department of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Bispebjerg University Hospital, DK 2400 Cph NV, Denmark. ³Institute of Sports Science and Clinical Biomechanics, University of Southern Denmark, DK 5230 Odense M, Denmark.

Authors' contributions

GS, MKZ, OSM, LLA and CHA were responsible for the research design. CHA drafted the paper, and all co-authors made significant contributions to drafting the protocol. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Received: 8 December 2010 Accepted: 14 January 2011

Published: 14 January 2011

References

1. Blangsted AK, Sogaard K, Hansen EA, Hannerz H, Sjogaard G: **One-year randomized controlled trial with different physical-activity programs to reduce musculoskeletal symptoms in the neck and shoulders among office workers.** *Scand J Work Environ Health* 2008, **34**:55-65.
2. Hakala P, Rimpela A, Salminen JJ, Virtanen SM, Rimpela M: **Back, neck, and shoulder pain in Finnish adolescents: national cross sectional surveys.** *BMJ* 2002, **5-10**:325-743.
3. Ijmker S, Huysmans MA, Blatter BM, van der Beek AJ, van MW, Bongers PM: **Should office workers spend fewer hours at their computer? A systematic review of the literature.** *Occup Environ Med* 2007, **64**:211-222.
4. Lin JJ, Hanten WP, Olson SL, Roddey TS, Soto-quijano DA, Lim HK, Sherwood AM: **Functional activity characteristics of individuals with shoulder dysfunctions.** *J Electromyogr Kinesiol* 2005, **15**:576-586.
5. Pedersen BK, Saltin B: **Evidence for prescribing exercise as therapy in chronic disease.** *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2006, **16**(Suppl 1):3-63.
6. Proper KI, Koning M, van der Beek AJ, Hildebrandt VH, Bosscher RJ, van Mechelen W: **The effectiveness of worksite physical activity programs on physical activity, physical fitness, and health.** *Clin J Sport Med* 2003, **13**:106-117.
7. Verhagen AP, Karelis C, Bierma-Zeinstra SM, Feleus A, Dahaghin S, Burdorf A, Koes BW: **Exercise proves effective in a systematic review of work-related complaints of the arm, neck, or shoulder.** *J Clin Epidemiol* 2007, **60**:110-117.
8. Ylinen J: **Physical exercises and functional rehabilitation for the management of chronic neck pain.** *Eura Medicophys* 2007, **43**:119-132.
9. Sjogaard G, Lundberg U, Kadefors R: **The role of muscle activity and mental load in the development of pain and degenerative processes at the muscle cell level during computer work.** *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2000, **83**:99-105.
10. Andersen LL, Kjaer M, Sogaard K, Hansen L, Kryger A, Sjogaard G: **Effect of two contrasting types of physical exercises on chronic neck muscle pain.** *Arthritis Rheum* 2008, **59**:84-91.
11. Ylinen J, Takala EP, Nykänen M, Häkkinen A, Mäkiä E, Pohjolainen T, Karppi SL, Kautiainen H, Airaksinen O: **Active neck muscle training in the treatment of chronic neck pain in women: a randomized controlled trial.** *JAMA* 2003, **289**:2509-2516, 21-5.
12. Lin JJ, Lim HK, Soto-quijano DA, Hanten WP, Olson SL, Roddey TS, Sherwood AM: **Altered patterns of muscle activation during performance of four functional tasks in patients with shoulder disorders: interpretation from voluntary response index.** *J Electromyogr Kinesiol* 2006, **16**:458-468.

13. Kuorinka I, Jonsson B, Kilbom Å, Vinterberg H, Biering-Sørensen F, Andersson G, Jørgensen K: **Standardised Nordic questionnaires for the analysis of musculoskeletal symptoms.** *Appl Ergo* 1987, **18**:233-237.
14. Reed GR, Velicer WF, Prochaska JO, Rossi JS, Marcus BH: **What makes a good staging algorithm: examples from regular exercise.** *Am J Health Promot* 1997, **12**:57-66.
15. Pronk NP, Martinson B, Kessler RC, Beck AL, Simon GE, Wang P: **The association between work performance and physical activity, cardiorespiratory fitness, and obesity.** *J Occup Environ Med* 2004, **46**:19-25.
16. Beaton DE, Katz JN, Fossel AH, Wright JG, Tarasuk V, Bombardier C: **Measuring the whole or the parts? Validity, reliability and responsiveness of the disabilities of the arm, shoulder and hand outcome measure in different regions of the upper extremity.** *J Hand Ther* 2001, **14**:128-146.
17. Marcus BH, Selby VC, Niaura RS, Rossi JS: **Self-efficacy and the stages of exercise behavior change.** *Res Q Exerc Sport* 1992, **63**:60-66.
18. Juul-Kristensen B, Kadefors R, Hansen K, Byström P, Sandsjö L, Sjøgaard G: **Clinical signs and physical function in neck and upper extremities among elderly female computer users: the NEW-study.** *Eur J Appl Physiol* 2006, **96**:136-145.
19. Juul-Kristensen B, Hilt K, Enoch F, Remvig L, Sjøgaard G: **Scapular dyskinesis in trapezius myalgia and intra-examiner reproducibility of clinical tests.** *Physiotherapy in Theory and Practice* 2011.
20. Nielsen PK, Andersen LL, Olsen HB, Rosendal L, Sjøgaard G, Sogaard K: **Effect of physical training on pain sensitivity and trapezius muscle morphology.** *Muscle Nerve* 2010, **41**:836-844.

Pre-publication history

The pre-publication history for this paper can be accessed here:
<http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2474/12/14/prepub>

doi:10.1186/1471-2474-12-14

Cite this article as: Andersen et al.: Protocol for Shoulder function training reducing musculoskeletal pain in shoulder and neck: a randomized controlled trial. *BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders* 2011 **12**:14.

**Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central
and take full advantage of:**

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

