RESEARCH Open Access

Check for updates

Challenging the dogma to "always operate" acute hip fractures: a proof-of-concept pilot study for nonoperative management of undisplaced femoral neck fractures

Juan Manuel Vinas-Rios^{1,2*†}, Jan-Henning Wölm^{1†}, Richard Martin Sellei¹ and Andreas Ladenburger¹

Abstract

Background: The notion that all acute hip fractures are a surgical entity requiring either surgical fracture fixation or hip replacement represents a historic dogma, particularly within the orthopaedic community of the United States. The present study from a European regional trauma center was designed to challenge the notion that stable and undisplaced femoral neck fractures represent an absolute indication for surgical management.

Methods: The purpose of this study was to investigate the hypothesis that stable and undisplaced femoral neck fractures of the Garden types 1 and 2 can be safely managed nonoperatively. A retrospective observational cohort study was carried out at a regional orthopaedic trauma center in Germany from January 1, 2016 to June 30, 2021. The inclusion criteria specified patients older than 18 years suffering a < 24 h, traumatic, femoral neck fracture Garden types 1 and 2. Exclusion criteria included Garden types 3 and 4 femoral neck fractures, pregnancy, active infection or previous surgery, tumor-associated fractures, medical history of femoral neck necrosis, vascular injury associated with femoral neck fractures, nerve injury associated to a femoral neck fracture and \geq 24 h femoral neck fracture. The primary intention of this research was to identify deterioration of fracture retention with an ensuing unplanned trip to the operating room in femoral neck fractures Garden types 1 and 2. Secondary were included unplanned readmissions and complications such as surgical site infection.

Results: A total of 41 undisplaced femoral neck fractures (Garden types 1 and 2) were included in this study; n = 20 were in the resulting admission operatively treated (group 1) and n = 21 were treated conservatively. The mean age in group 1 was 76 years; women (70%). In group 2 it was 81 years with a female dominance (71.4%). Admission status: Garden types 1 and 2, group 1 n = 13/7 and group 2 n = 15/6. Subsequent femoral neck fracture displacement (Y/N) (in case of operation, before operation) group 1 n = 14/6 and group 2 n = 6/15.

Conclusion: According with our results, patients sustaining Garden type 1 femoral neck fractures, depending on age and comorbidities, should be treated conservatively with weight bearing and under physiotherapeutic instructions. In case of femoral neck fractures Garden type 2, a surgical treatment should be performed in order to avoid femoral neck fractures to slip after weight bearing by lacking of fracture impaction.

² Department of Orthopaedics and Traumatology, Sanaklinik Offenbach am Main, Starkenburgring 66, 63069 Offenbach am Main, Germany Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



^{*}Correspondence: vinasrios@outlook.com; juan.vinasrios@sana.de

[†]Juan Manuel Vinas-Rios and Jan-Henning Wölm contributed equally to

Keywords: Undisplaced femoral neck fracture, Conservative treatment, Fracture impaction

Background

Currently it is still controversial, that all acute hip fractures are a surgical entity requiring either surgical fracture fixation or hip replacement, illustrating a historic dogma, particularly within the orthopaedic community of the United States [1–4]. The present study from a European regional trauma center was designed to challenge the belief that stable and undisplaced femoral neck fractures type Garden types 1 and 2 represent an absolute indication for surgical management [1–3]. In 2014, the American Association of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS) released a Clinical Practice Guideline (CPG) on Management of Hip Fractures in the Elderly as follows [2]:

- Regional analgesia with fascia iliaca blocks can improve preoperative pain, timing of surgery is important; improved outcomes are seen if surgery can be performed within 24 to 48 h [3, 4].
- Moderate evidence supports operative fixation for patients with stable (undisplaced) femoral neck fractures [5–9].
- Multimodal pain control in the elderly can minimize delirium, improve patient satisfaction, decrease complications, and improve early mobility [6, 9].
- Bipolar heads do not appear to provide any advantage, so using unipolar heads is a good value-based recommendation unless costs are comparable [10, 11].

Despite the above mentioned considerations, it remains unclear whether conservative treatment should be used to treat the common undisplaced femoral neck fractures (Garden classification, Garden types 1 and 2) [3–8]. Here, we review German patients after conservative and surgical treatment of undisplaced femoral neck fractures.

The treatment options are conservative (bed rest with or without traction) and surgical (internal fixation) [3, 4]. Surgical treatment has been reported to be optimal [1–4]. However, any surgery is associated with inherent risks such as infection and thromboembolic event [1–4, 7, 8]. Nevertheless, patients undergoing conservative treatment showed good outcomes in selected studies [7, 8].

Methods

The purpose of this study was to investigate the hypothesis that stable and undisplaced femoral neck fractures of the Garden types 1 and 2 can be safely managed nonoperatively. A retrospective observational cohort study was carried out at a regional orthopaedic trauma center in Germany from January 1, 2016 to June 30, 2021. The

inclusion criteria specified patients older than 18 years suffering a < $24\,h$, traumatic, femoral neck fracture Garden types 1 and 2. In the course of the above inclusion criteria was decided whether the initially conservatively treated patients would require surgery or continue to be conservatively treated based on the re-evaluation of the clinical and radiological findings.

Patients with the following characteristics were excluded:

- Femoral neck fractures Garden types 3 and 4
- · Pregnancy.
- · Active infection or previous surgery.
- · Tumor-associated fractures
- Medical history of femoral neck necrosis.
- Vascular injury associated to femoral neck fractures
- Nerve injury associated to femoral neck fracture
- ≥24h femoral neck fracture

The primary objective of this research was failure of fracture retention with a consequently unintentional trip to the operating room in femoral neck fractures Garden types 1 and 2. Secondly included were unplanned readmissions, surgical site infection, mobilization and pain on discharge. Dementia and previous mobility were recorded. The limb weight-bearing and mobility under conservative therapy were documented. In particular, it was evaluated whether a discharge from the clinic was possible and whether resumption took place due to the fracture. The pain level became quantitative with the visual analog scale (VAS) detected. Additionally, the period since the traumatic event was recorded as well as which type of surgical procedure was performed.

Results

In total, 41 initially conservative treated undisplaced femoral neck fractures (Garden types 1 and 2) were included in this study; n=20 were in the following admission operatively treated (group 1) and n=21 were conservatively managed. The mean age in group 1 was 76 years; women (70%). In group 2 was 81 years with female dominance (71.4%). Admission status: days until operation in group 1 $n=17.7\pm9.8$ days; Garden type 1, group 1 n=13 and group 2 n=15 and Garden type 2, group 1 n=7 and group 2 n=6. Dementia was found in 3 patients in group 1 and 5 patients in group 2. The admission status and clinical evaluation is as follows: Operative treatment rejection by admission group 1 n=7, group 2 n=1 and

subsequent femoral neck fracture displacement (Y/N) group 1 n = 14/6 and group 2 n = 6/15 (see Table 1).

On the other hand, patient's weight bearing were: mobilization with partial weight bearing group 1 n = 17, group 2 n = 18; non-weight bearing group 1 n = 10, group 2 n = 0, full weight bearing group 1 n = 7, group 2 n = 13 and pain on discharge ≥ 5 visual analog scale (VAS) group 1 n = 5, group 2 n = 7 (Table 1).

The type of surgery in patients with operative treatment in the subsequent admission was as follows: Hemiarthroplasty in n=12, total arthroplasty n=3, Dynamic Hip Screw (DHS) n=4 and gamma nail in a highly progredient displacement of the fracture with per trochanteric component n=1.

Discussion

This study of more than 40 patients within a more than 4 year time frame provided a rare treatment modality of femoral neck fractures namely conservative treatment. Actually, although the AAOS's recommendation of conservative treatment for femoral neck fractures Garden types 1 and 2 in selected patients, the great majority will be surgically treated in one way or another [1–4]. In our point of view, the highlight of this study lies mainly in the number of patients initially treated conservatively. Therefore, such a casuistic with this amount of patients is rare nowadays. The main point of this study lies in avoiding bearing weight of the affected extremity after a femoral neck fracture Garden types 1 and 2 [10–14]. Those patients without an impacted femoral neck fracture are

prone to have a slip of the fracture by further weight bearing [15, 16]. Furthermore, we recommend that patients with an impacted femoral neck fracture, specially Garden types 1 to be treated conservatively from the beginning regardless of the health status and age of the patient [17-20]. However, biomechanical studies have confirmed that fracture fixation and immobilization affect the pattern of skeletogenic stem cell differentiation into osteoblasts; mechanical fixation will obviously influence neovascularisation [11, 12, 21] that could be simulated in the impaction of the fracture in those treated conservatively with further compression and fixation as seen in Garden type 1 femoral neck fracture. Thus, impaction promotes bone union [21]. In some studies, the union rates reached were as high as 90% [19, 22-24]. Further advantages achieved by avoiding surgery in patients with impacted fractures include lack of bleeding, wound-healing problems and infection of the surgical site [25, 26].

In comparison with our results, most studies revealed a significant risk of displacement during nonoperative treatment. The risk of displacement varied from 14.1 to 55.7% [11, 24, 27]. Verheyen et al. [27] explored the rate of secondary displacement in 105 patients. Forty-eight patients (46%) were at risk of such displacement; however, the patient group had a high mean age with nonimpacted fractures. Therefore, secondary displacement was more common in patients aged >70 years with nonimpacted fractures, in agreement with the data of Raaymakers [11], who reported secondary instability in 41% of patients >70 years of age.

Table 1 Demographics, classification, clinical evaluation by admission and discharge from patients undergoing surgery by femoral neck fracture and those treated conservatively

	Group 1 Unplanned surgery, after initial nonoperative management due to secondary fracture displacement $(n=20)$	Group2 Nonoperative (n = 21)
Gender (M/F)	6/14	6/15
Age (years) (Median, range)	76 ± 8.4	80.9 ± 9.2
Admission Status		
Days until operation	17.7±9.8	N/A
Garden Type 1	13	15
Garden Type 2	7	6
Dementia (Y/N)	3/17	5/16
Operative treatment rejection by admission	7	1
Follow-up femoral neck fracture displacement (Y/N) (in case of operation, before operation)	14/6	6/15
Weight Bearing		
Partial/touchdown weight bearing	17	18
Non-weight bearing	10	0
Full weight bearing	7	13
Pain by discharge (≥ 5 VAS ^a)	5	7

^a Visual analog scale

Hence the main key for treatment selection is a precise diagnosis prior to choosing a treatment option [15, 16, 21, 28]. Radiography has certain limitations when used to distinguish femoral neck fracture types, which can result in misdiagnosis. Furthermore, a patient may in fact have a non-impacted femoral neck fracture but be diagnosed as having an impacted one [4–6, 15, 16, 21, 28]. In addition, diagnoses using the Garden classification are very inconsistent, although widely performed. We recommend a CT-Scan for patients sustaining femoral neck fractures Garden types 1 and 2 for more understanding of the morphology of the femoral neck fracture with possible impaction or not, together with further treatment options [4–6, 15, 16, 21, 29].

In our study patients who underwent an unplanned surgery after initial nonoperative management due to secondary fracture displacement was on average 17 days. Furthermore, it has been demonstrated that patients not being operated on within the next 24 h after suffering a displaced femoral neck fracture are potentially at risk of developing severe complications such as pneumonia and deep venous thrombosis with subsequent death [30]. However, this allegation is particularly crucial in patients with comorbidities, advanced age (>70 years old) [12] and femoral neck fractures Garden types 3 and 4 where an operative procedure is regarded in the majority of the cases as the unique type of treatment. Furthermore, these claims could be interpreted with caution in patients with femoral fracture particularly in Garden type 1 with subsequent fracture impaction, where a conservative treatment has been described in patients <70 years old or without comorbidities. Our group of patients, in particular those with a femoral fracture Garden type 1 with a valgus-impacted stable hip fracture were allowed to fully weight bear. Even more, in our study, patients <70 years old with no comorbidities, were treated conservatively after sustaining a Garden type 1 femoral neck fracture with fracture impaction, whilst the majority of patients further treated surgically, were Garden type 2 femoral fractures. Thus, according with our results, Garden Type 2 femoral neck fractures were prone to slip after weight bearing, being a characteristic of crucial importance for unplanned surgery, after initial non-operative management due to secondary fracture displacement in not valgus-impacted stable hip fracture [12–14].

This work has obvious limitations: Firstly it's retrospective study nature. It could negatively affect the accuracy of our findings. A future strictly designed and adequately powered RCT is essential. Secondly: It recorded Garden types 1 and 2 femoral neck fractures; their prognoses would differ, according to fracture impaction, greatly from each other. A future study

could compare treatment outcomes among patients with these two types of femoral neck fractures and their grade of impaction.

However, it is worth comparing the two treatment protocols in view of cost-benefits. In nonoperative treatment hospital costs are usually higher, as a result of prolonged bed rest, longer rehabilitation, frequent checkups, and an increased incidence of local and general complications such as pneumonia and deep venous thrombosis in older patients (70 years) with comorbidities [12]. The costs of operative management (anaesthesia, implants, etc.) are returned by a shorter hospitalization, and the avoidance of complications of longer recumbency [25, 26].

From our results, the following asseverations can be summarized:

- Early mobilization alongside adequate multimodal analgesic therapy could play a crucial role in further secondary fracture displacement with consequent unplanned surgery, after initial nonoperative management in the first days after trauma in Garden type 2 femoral neck fractures.
- 2. CT-Scans should be performed in patients sustaining femoral neck fractures Garden types 1 and 2 for more understanding of the morphology of the femoral neck fracture in order to detect possible impaction with further treatment options.
- 3. Patients with non-impacted femoral neck fractures particularly Garden type 2 should be treated surgically depending on age and preoperative status.

Conclusion

According with our results, patients sustaining Garden type 1 femoral neck fractures, depending on age and comorbidities, should be treated conservatively with weight bearing and under physiotherapeutic instructions. In case of femoral neck fractures Garden type 2, a surgical treatment should be performed in order to avoid femoral neck fractures to slip after weight bearing by lacking of fracture impaction.

Abbreviations

AAOS: American Association of Orthopaedic Surgeons; Cl: Confidence interval; CPG: Clinical Practice Guideline; CT: Computer Tomography; DHS: Dynamic Hip Screw; MRI: Magnetic Resonance Imaging; OR: Likelihoods ratio; RCT: Randomized control trial; RR: Relative risk; SD: Standard Deviation; VAS: Visual analog scale.

Acknowledgments

The publication costs for this article were covered in full by a grant from the Colorado Physician Insurance Company (www.copic.com) to Philip F. Stahel MD

COPIC had no influence on authorship or scientific content of this article.

Authors' contributions

VRJ: Carried out main manuscript writing and literature research. WJH: Carried out manuscript writing and patient recruitment. SR: Contributed with ideas and literature searching. LA: Senior reviewer and owner of the idea of this research. The author(s) read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding

No funding was received for this research.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the institutional and/or national research committee and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

Informed consent: Informed consent was obtained from all individual participants included in the study.

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

All authors certify that they have no affiliations with or involvement in any organization or entity with any financial interest (such as honoraria; educational grants; participation in speakers' bureaus; membership, employment, consultancies, stock ownership, or other equity interest; and expert testimony or patent-licensing arrangements), or non-financial interest (such as personal or professional relationships, affiliations, knowledge or beliefs) in the subject matter or materials discussed in this manuscript.

Author details

¹Department of Orthopaedics and Traumatology Sana Klinikum Offenbach, Offenbach am Main, Germany. ²Department of Orthopaedics and Traumatology, Sanaklinik Offenbach am Main, Starkenburgring 66, 63069 Offenbach am Main, Germany.

Received: 18 December 2021 Accepted: 23 March 2022 Published online: 21 April 2022

References

- Roberts K, Brox W. AAOS Clinical Practice Guideline Management of Hip Fractures in the Elderly. J Am Acad Orthop Surg. 2015;23(2):138–40.
- Management of hip fractures in the elderly evidence- based clinical practice guideline: American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons. 6300 North River Road Rosemont, IL 60018 First Edition 2014. https://doi.org/10.5435/ JAAOS-D-14-00433.
- Flierl MA, Gerhardt DC, Hak DJ, Morgan SJ, Stahel PF. Key issues and controversies in the acute management of hip fractures. Orthopedics. 2010;33(2):102–10.
- Florschutz AV, Langford JR, Haidukewych GJ, Koval KJ. Femoral neck fractures: current management. J Orthop Trauma. 2015;29(3):121–9.
- Lasanianos N, Kanakaris N, Giannoudis PV. An occult acetabular fracture preceding a femoral neck fracture. Orthopedics. 2009;32(8).
- Melvin JS, Mataszewski P, Scolaro J, Baldwin K, Mehta S. The role of computed tomography in the diagnosis and management of femoral neck fractures in the geriatric patient. Orthopedics. 2011;34(2):87.
- Miller BJ, Callaghan JJ, Cram P, Karam M, Marsh JL, Noiseux NO. Changing trends in the treatment of femoral neck fractures: a review of the american board of orthopaedic surgery database. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2014;96(17):e149.
- Helbig L, Werner M, Schneider S, Simank HG. Garden I femoral neck fractures: conservative vs operative therapy. Orthopade. 2005;34(10):1040–5.
- Chen WC, Yu SW, Tseng IC, Su JY, Tul YK, Chen WJ. Treatment of undisplaced femoral neck fractures in the elderly. J Trauma Inj Infect Crit Care. 2005;58(5):1035–9.

- Okike K, Udogwu UN, Isaac M, Sprague S, Swiontkowski MF, Bhandari M, et al. Not All Garden-I and II Femoral Neck Fractures in the Elderly Should Be Fixed: Effect of Posterior Tilt on Rates of Subsequent Arthroplasty. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2019;101(20):1852–9.
- Clement ND, Green K, Murray N, Duckworth AD, McQueen MM, Court-Brown CM. Undisplaced intracapsular hip fractures in the elderly: predicting fixation failure and mortality. A prospective study of 162 patients. J Orthop Sci. 2013;18(4):578–85.
- 12. Raaymakers EL. The non-operative treatment of impacted femoral neck fractures. Injury. 2002;33(Suppl 3):C8–14.
- Gjertsen JE, Fevang JM, Matre K, Vinje T, Engesæter LB. Clinical outcome after undisplaced femoral neck fractures. Acta Orthop. 2011;82(3):268–74.
- Xu DF, Bi FG, Ma CY, Wen ZF, Cai XZ. A systematic review of undisplaced femoral neck fracture treatments for patients over 65 years of age, with a focus on union rates and avascular necrosis. J Orthop Surg Res. 2017;12(1):28.
- Du CL, Ma XL, Zhang T, Ma JX, Sun XL, Wang SL, et al. Three-dimensional reconstruction study of the displacement of undisplaced femoral neck fractures. Zhonghua Wai Ke Za Zhi. 2012;50(10):889–93.
- Du CL, Ma XL, Zhang T, Zhang HF, Wang CG, Zhao F, et al. Reunderstanding of garden type I femoral neck fractures by 3-dimensional reconstruction. Orthopedics. 2013;36(6):820–5.
- 17. Schemitsch E, Bhandari M. Femoral neck fractures: controversies and evidence. J Orthop Trauma. 2009;23(6):385.
- Yamamoto T, Kobayashi Y, Nonomiya H. Undisplaced femoral neck fractures need a closed reduction before internal fixation. Eur J Orthop Surg Traumatol. 2019;29(1):73–8.
- Taha ME, Audigé L, Siegel G, Renner N. Factors predicting secondary displacement after non-operative treatment of undisplaced femoral neck fractures. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg. 2015;135(2):243–9.
- Hardy J, Collin C, Mathieu PA, Vergnenègre G, Charissoux JL, Marcheix PS. Is non-operative treatment still relevant for Garden Type I fractures in elderly patients? The femoral neck impaction angle as a new CT parameter for determining the indications of non-operative treatment. Orthop Traumatol Surg Res. 2019;105(3):479–83.
- Morimoto M, Takahashi Y, Kubo T, Sugiura K, Tamaki Y, Toki S, et al. Prognostic value of dynamic MRI positive enhancement integral color mapping in osteosynthesis of undisplaced femoral neck fractures. J Orthop Sci. 2017;22(4):722–5.
- 22. Zhao F, Zhou Z, Yan Y, Yuan Z, Yang G, Yu H, et al. Effect of fixation on neovascularization during bone healing. Med Eng Phys. 2014;36(11):1436–42.
- Ma S, Wang K, Tong Z, Zhang M, Wang W. Outcome of non-operative management in Garden I femoral neck fractures. Inj Int J Care Inj. 2006;37(10):974–8.
- Buord JM, Flecher X, Parratte S, Boyer L, Aubaniac JM, Argenson JN. Garden I femoral neck fractures in patients 65 years old and older: is conservative functional treatment a viable option? Orthop Traumatol. 2010;96(3):228–34.
- McDonald LS, Tepolt F, Leonardelli D, Hammerberg EM, Stahel PF. A cascade of preventable complications following a missed femoral neck fracture after antegrade femoral nailing. Patient Saf Surg. 2013;7:16.
- Hahnhaussen J, Hak DJ, Weckbach S, Ertel W, Stahel PF. High-Energy Proximal Femur Fractures in Geriatric Patients: A Retrospective Analysis of Short-Term Complications and In-Hospital Mortality in 32 Consecutive Patients. Geriatr Orthop Surg Rehabil. 2011;2(5–6):195–202.
- Verheyen CC, Smulders TC, van Walsum AD. High secondary displacement rate in the conservative treatment of impacted femoral neck fractures in 105 patients. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg. 2005;125(3):166–8.
- Zlowodzki M, Bhandari M, Keel M, Hanson BP, Schemitsch E. Perception of Garden's classification for femoral neck fractures: an international survey of 298 orthopaedic trauma surgeons. Arch Orthop Trauma Surg. 2005;125(7):503–5.
- 29. Augat P, Bliven E, Hackl S. Biomechanics of Femoral Neck Fractures and Implications for Fixation. J Orthop Trauma. 2019;33(Suppl 1):27–32.
- Pincus D, Ravi B, Wasserstein D, Huang A, Paterson JM, Nathens AB. Association between wait time and 30-day mortality in adults undergoing hip fracture surgery. JAMA. 2017;318(20):1994–2003.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.