

A cascade model of information processing and encoding for retinal prosthesis

Zhi-jun Pei¹, Guan-xin Gao¹, Bo Hao¹, Qing-li Qiao^{2, *}, Hui-jian Ai³

1 Department of Clinical Engineering, Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region People's Hospital, Hohhot, Inner Mongolia Autonomous Region, China 2 School of Biomedical Engineering, Tianjin Medical University, Tianjin, China

3 School of Biomedical Engineering, Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing, China

How to cite this article: Pei ZJ, Gao GX, Hao B, Qiao QL, Ai HJ (2016) A cascade model of information processing and encoding for retinal prosthesis. Neural Regen Res 11(4):646-651.

Funding: This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, No. 30870649; the National Program on Key Basic Research Project of China (973 Program), No. 2005CB724302.

Graphical Abstract



Abstract

Retinal prosthesis offers a potential treatment for individuals suffering from photoreceptor degeneration diseases. Establishing biological retinal models and simulating how the biological retina convert incoming light signal into spike trains that can be properly decoded by the brain is a key issue. Some retinal models have been presented, ranking from structural models inspired by the layered architecture to functional models originated from a set of specific physiological phenomena. However, Most of these focus on stimulus image compression, edge detection and reconstruction, but do not generate spike trains corresponding to visual image. In this study, based on state-of-the-art retinal physiological mechanism, including effective visual information extraction, static nonlinear rectification of biological systems and neurons Poisson coding, a cascade model of the retina including the out plexiform layer for information processing and the inner plexiform layer for information encoding was brought forward, which integrates both anatomic connections and functional computations of retina. Using MATLAB software, spike trains corresponding to stimulus image were numerically computed by four steps: linear spatiotemporal filtering, static nonlinear rectification, radial sampling and then Poisson spike generation. The simulated results suggested that such a cascade model could recreate visual information processing and encoding functionalities of the retina, which is helpful in developing artificial retina for the retinally blind.

Key Words: nerve regeneration; photoreceptor degeneration; retinal prosthesis; linear spatiotemporal filter; static non-linear rectification; spike trains; Poisson spike generation; synaptic transmission; firing rate; contrast gain control; NSFC grants; neural regeneration

Introduction

As neural entrance of human visual system, the retina contains five different cell types: ganglion, amacrine, horizontal, bipolar cells and photoreceptor. These visual cells are organized into a layered architecture. There are many subclasses within each major cell type (Goetz and Trimarchi, 2012). Not the same as in the brain, most neurons in the retina are much localized. They respond through gradual changes of the membrane potential. The only exception to this is ganglion cells, whose long axons converge to make up the optic nerve (Siegert et al., 2009).

Visual information from the surrounding environment is captured by photoreceptors and subsequently converted into electrical neural signals, which then are processed by different excitatory and inhibitory pathways coexisting inside the retinal network and ultimately the output of the retina to higher brain areas by ganglion cells is encoded as spike trains (Seung and Sümbül, 2014).

Nowadays, approximately 1 in 4 blind individuals worldwide suffers from selective photoreceptor loss, which often arises from blinding retinal diseases, such as retinitis pigmentosa or age-related macular degeneration. However, no effective treatment currently exists for retinitis pigmentosa, while progression of age-related macular degeneration can be slown but not prevented. In this case, bypass the lesioned neural tissue, using electrical stimulation to artificially deliver visual information to the surviving retina has become increasingly accepted as the best near-term hope for restoring useful vision in these patients, such as the use of retinal prosthesis (Weiland et al., 2011, 2014).

According to the location of their device, retinal prosthesis generally falls into two categorical types: epiretinal and subretinal prosthesis. No matter what kind of retinal prosthesis to be practical, an inevitable question that must be solved is designing a device that can perform necessary biological function as efficiently as retina. Hence, modeling and simulating the biological retina how to transform incoming light signal into spike trains that can be properly decoded by the brain is a challenge for the implementation of retinal prosthesis.

Amongst the existing models of retina, some structural models built on a full set of synaptic and cellular parameters, they concern more with a detailed reproduction of anatomic connections in successive layers (Bálya et al., 2002; Bonin et al., 2005; Passaglia et al., 2009; Lefebvre et al., 2011; Lideberg, 2013). Other functional models place special emphasis on recreating functional computations of retina, each physiologic phenomenon being modeled with a series of filters (Pelayoa et al., 2004; Wohrer and Kornprobst, 2009; Adesnik et al., 2012). Most of these only focus on stimulus image compression, edge detection and reconstruction, but few studies have given models that generate spike trains corresponding to input visual information.

In the present study, a cascade model of the retina including the out plexiform layer (OPL) for information processing and the inner plexiform layer (IPL) for information encoding was presented, which integrates both anatomic connections and functional computations of retina. In this model, spike trains corresponding to stimulus image were numerically computed by linear spatiotemporal filtering, static nonlinear rectification, radial sampling and then Poisson spike generation based on MATLAB software. Subsequently, we give a general discussion of the advantages and disadvantages of the cascade model.

Materials and Methods

The cascade model

Figure 1 exhibits the global structure of our model comprised of two cascade synaptic layers: the OPL and the I. The OPL defines a signal processing stage through the interaction of light receptors, horizontal cells and bipolar cells. It is modeled as a linear spatiotemporal filter to mediate spatial oppositions that allow the retinal output to enhance image edges. The IPL deals with signal encoding through the interplay of bipolar, amacrine and ganglion cells, which produces the spike rate and then the sampled ganglion cells generating spike trains. Furthermore, information stream flowing between neighboring cells from different layers is depicted by arrows.

Computation by the OPL

In the OPL, the visual signal from receptors to bipolar cells can take two parallel paths. The direct path from receptors to bipolar cells results in the excitatory center signal. The indirect path transits through horizontal cells, and hence through two synapses, this leads to the inhibitory surround signal. In our model, synaptic transmissions are considered linear and both paths will correspond to a linear spatiotemporal filter. The spatial filtering is produced by Gaussian kernel as follows:

$$S_{\sigma}(x, y) = \frac{A}{2\pi\sigma^2} \exp[-\frac{(x^2 + y^2)}{2\sigma^2}]$$
(1)

here A and σ are, respectively, the peak sensitivities and standard deviations of gaussian function. Their temporal component is the convolution of several exponential kernels as follows:

$$T_{\alpha,\tau}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t^{\alpha}}{\alpha!\tau^{\alpha+1}} \exp(-\frac{t}{\tau}) & t > 0\\ 0 & t \le 0 \end{cases}$$
(2)

where α denotes the total number of exponential kernel, and τ is the decay constant. For $t = \alpha \tau$, the resulting filter peaks. When applied to a signal, the kernel induces a temporal delay of value $(\alpha + 1)\tau$.

Finally, always antagonistic center and surround signals are mediated by the bipolar cells layer (Tokutake and Freed, 2008; Cho and Choi, 2014). The band-pass filtering properties of bipolar cells layer are given by the following equations:

$$F_{C}(x, y, t) = S_{\sigma C}(x, y)T_{\alpha C, \tau C}(t)$$
(3)

$$F_{S}(x, y, t) = S_{\sigma S}(x, y)T_{\alpha S, \tau S}(t)$$
(4)

 $V_{Bip-1}(x, y, t) = I(x, y, t) * (F_C - \omega F_S)$ (5)

where I(x, y, t) is the input luminosity visual information; ω represents the relative weight between center and surround signal. According to the previous physiological experiments recording, $A_C > A_S$, $\sigma_C < \sigma_S$, $d_C < d_S$.

Computation by the IPL

In the IPL, ganglion cells are thought as completely independent spiking encoders. Each ganglion cell responds to the stimulus, by modulating its firing frequency, within its receptive field and then transmits that information to the next visual stage independent of other ganglion cells.

In contrast to the OPL, signal transmission in the IPL is approximately static nonlinear and is implicit in most of the experimental literature (Naka and Machuca, 1995). Biologically, static nonlinearities in the retinal neuron network arise from multiple different reasons, such as spiking threshold and saturation. A simplified synaptic transmission function is applied to approximate this translation process (Keat et al., 2001). This step is expressed by the following equations:

$$V_{Gan} = Syn[V_{Bip}(x, y, t)] * \beta \exp(-\beta t) \quad (6)$$

$$Syn(V) = V_{Max}T_{\mu}\left[\frac{\lambda}{V_{Max}}(V - V_{0})\right] \quad (7)$$

$$T_{\mu}(x) = \begin{cases} \mu + \frac{\mu x}{\mu - x} & x \le 0 \\ \mu + \frac{(1 - \mu)x}{(1 - \mu) + x} & x > 0 \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

here V_{Bip} is normalization processing of $V_{\text{Bip-1}}$; V_{Gan} is the average spiking rate of the ganglion cells; V_{Max} is the maximum of the spiking rate; V_0 is spiking threshold; λ is static nonlinear coefficient; and μ is a constant, $0 \le \mu \le 1$. In an effort to make the pixels of spike rate image matching with the ganglion cells for one-to-one correspondence, the distribution mode of ganglion cells must be considered. In a human retina, ganglion cells are not uniformly distributed, but show a particular radial structure, whose density decreases exponentially with the distance increase from its central region, called the fovea (Curcio and Allen, 1990; Harman et al., 2000; Masland, 2012). Hence, the spike rate image was sampled in the polar coordinate fashion given by the following equations:

$$\begin{cases} x_{i,j} = R_i \cos \theta \\ y_{i,j} = R_i \sin \theta \end{cases}$$
(9)
$$R_i = \begin{cases} i & 1 \le i < M \\ M & i \ge M \end{cases}$$
(10)

here *i* is the index of sampling circular; *j* is the index of sampling point; *R* is sampling radius; θ is the sampling polar angle; *M* is the maximum of sampling radius.

Measuring Inter-Spike Intervals (ISI) has been extensively used for the computational studies of spike generation process of real neurons (Dayan and Abbott, 2005; Gollisch and Meister, 2008). For a more biological ISI distribution, the refractory period following each spike is taken into account, which ensures that two successive spikes can never get closer than a few milliseconds. We calculate the firing times of ganglion cells by:

$$t_{i+1} = t_i + \left[-\frac{\ln(V_{rand})}{V_{Gan}}\right]$$
(11)

If
$$\left[-\frac{\ln(V_{rand})}{V_{Gan}}\right] < T_{Ref}$$
 (11) again (12)

where V_{Rand} is a random number generated by MATLAB



Figure 2 Spatiotemporal filters used for information processing in the out plexiform layer (OPL).

(A) Spatial, low-pass Gaussian kernel $S_{\sigma}(x, y)$, (x, y) are the Cartesian coordinates of pixels in the stimulus image. (B) Temporal, low-pass exponential cascade kernel $T_{\alpha,\tau}(t)$, *t* is the time variable, and α is the total number of exponential kernel.



Figure 1 Schematic diagram of the retinal cascade model. Layers of the cells are represented by boxes. Two distinct synaptic layers, the inner plexiform layer and outer plexiform layer, define two successive information processing and encoding stages.



Figure 4 Static nonlinear rectification function in the inner plexiform layer.

The S-type simplified synaptic transmission function means that the gain of biological visual systems is high at low light levels and low at high light levels. This processing step ensures that the spike rate of the ganglion cells is maintained in an appropriate range. $V_{\rm Bip}$ means normalized gray value at pixel.



Figure 3 Spatiotemporal filtering images in the outer plexiform layer (OPL) with different relative surround weights.

(A) Original visual stimulus image. (B) Response to the stimulus image with $\omega = 0.8$. (C) Response to the stimulus image with $\omega = 0.85$. (D) Response to the stimulus image with $\omega = 0.9$. (E) Response to the stimulus image with $\omega = 0.95$. (F) Response to the stimulus image with $\omega = 1$. When relative weight was set as 0.95, this choice results in a predominance of edges and contrast in uniform zones. The OPL reacts to a mixture of spatiotemporal band pass properties and extracts feature information of visual stimulus image.



Figure 5 Radial sampling pattern of the Static nonlinear rectification image in the inner plexiform layer.

(A) Static nonlinear rectification output in the inner plexiform layer. (B) The radial sampling pattern of all spike rates for 1 circular level and 25 samples per circle.



Figure 6 Spike trains generated by one of 25 sampled retinal ganglion cells.

Each dark vertical line indicates a retinal ganglion cell fires spike at certain time. Visual information is encoded in the form of interspike times. and set the bounds from zero to one; T_{Ref} is the absolute refractoriness of retinal ganglion cell, which obeys the normal distribution of N (3 ms, 1 ms) (Field and Chichilnisky, 2007).

Numeric computation was performed with MATLAB 2007b software (MATLAB, Natick, MA, USA).

Results

The visual stimulus

In this work, a static Lena grayscale image was implemented as original visual stimulus to our cascade model. The size of image was 256×256 pixels and gray-level was 256. The stimulus intensity was represented by pixel value.

Information processing in the OPL

When visual stimulus image was given to the model, the OPL processed it by using Gaussian kernel and exponential cascade kernel for spatiotemporal filtering.

The Gaussian function is a common way to model electrical coupling between cells and dendritic spread of retinal cells. **Figure 2A** shows the low-pass spatial filter, which is a normalized and averaging filter. The low-pass temporal filter is also normalized to have an integral of one, which only performs temporal averaging on incoming information, without a linear gain. When the parameter α is greater 0, the shape of exponential cascade filter shows high variability (**Figure 2B**).

In equation (5), ω defines the relative weight between surround and center signal. The best-fitting weights for center and surround single are biologically measured to display a strong cell-to-cell variability, which ranges from 0.85 to 1 (Enroth-Cugell and Robson, 1966).

The simulated result of information processing in the OPL with different relative surround weights are shown in **Figure 3**. As ω increases, the filtering characteristic of OPL is affected obviously. When $\omega = 0.95$, spatiotemporal filtering exports clear edge and good contrast image. The intensity of each pixel in the difference image indicates the change in spiking rate for the ganglion cell, whose receptive field is centered at that pixel. The main parameters we used in the OPL are: $A_{\rm C} = A_{\rm S} = 1$, $\sigma_{\rm C} = 1.5$ pix, $\alpha_{\rm S} = 4.5$ pix, $(\alpha_{\rm C} + 1)\tau_{\rm C} = 30$ ms, $(\alpha_{\rm S} + 1)\tau_{\rm S} = 60$ ms.

Information encoding in the IPL

Static nonlinear rectification has been demonstrated as a very distinct feature in visual image undergoes neural transformation. As shown in **Figure 4**, the experimental curves reported early (Chichilnisky, 2001) can be fitted well with the simplified synaptic transmission function for static nonlinearity in the IPL. Visual neurons in the retina could effectively adjust their gain to maintain an optimal sensitivity at varying light levels. The sigmoid function was carefully adapted to assure a reasonable physiological range for the spike rate of ganglion cells. The main parameters we used in the static nonlinear rectification are: $V_{\text{Max}} = 70$, $\lambda = 0.05$, $V_0 = 0.06$.

Processing the spatiotemporal filtering image resulting from the out plexiform layer with this function gives the average spike rate image, the result is shown in **Figure 5A** and what follows is sampling them according to the topography of ganglion cells in the retina. To this end, a uniform radial sampling pattern of all spike rates for one circular level and 25 samples per circle were adopted (**Figure 5B**).

The average firing rate of sampled ganglion cells is taken as the input parameter (V_{Gan}) for Poisson spike generator. Once the absolute refractory is passed, a spike is emitted. Finally, as shown in **Figure 6**, we get the firing times of 25 sampled ganglion cells within one second. Dark vertical lines indicate a neuron fires at certain time. This temporal sequence of spikes accords with the sparse encoding feature of neurons. When transforming a continuous firing rate into a discrete and random set of spike trains, the Poisson process is considered as a good alternative for coding, in terms of the statistical links between the spike train and the underlying firing rate (Kara et al., 2000; Hartveit and Heggelund, 1994). So we believe that the output is a sequence that indicates the spiking pattern we need to induce on the ganglion cell in order to achieve the desired visual response to our input image in brain.

Discussion

The goal of this study was to construct a cascade model to simulate how the biological retina processes and encodes incoming light signal. The main constraint that has been found to be imposed by retinal connectivity is the nonseparability of filtering in the OPL. Because of its cellular implementation, the spatial surround signal is necessarily delayed temporally. In comparison with the conventional difference of Gaussian model, a supplementary temporal component is induced in this paper, which makes our non-separable spatiotemporal filter not only acting as an edge detector but also preserving more detailed information of the original image. Integration of center and surround signals at the level of bipolar cells implies a signal weighting parameter ω that concentrates the whole balance of a channel of unites between low pass and band pass properties. To indeed display a visible mixture of both characteristics, we found the best weight ω to be about 0.95, but there is no evidence that weight is a constant for different subtypes of bipolar cells, nor that it is a constant spatially. The static nonlinearity naturally provides a better fit to real cellular response and allows the model to function under the wide variety of illuminations in our environment. Even in real experiments, it is observed that retinal spike trains have more or less variability than a Poisson emission process, at least during the periods of high firing activity. In case of no other information is known about a spike train than its average firing rate, we applied the homogeneous Poisson process to minimize artificial, model-related correlations, in addition, neuronal absolute refractory period is embedded in the precise spike emissions of a Poisson process. It efficiently reflects the information processing and encoding mechanism of retina in response to a static visual stimulus. A further advantage is that the model allows for the simultaneous analysis of the responses of a large number of neurons for a given stimulus condition.

In order to reproduce the most important characteristics

of retina, it was attempted to include all relevant details on the basis of the available experimental data. However, full biological realism is difficult to achieve in a model, as it is impossible to include every known fine detail of retinal anatomy and physiology. Therefore, specific assumptions and simplifications were made in order to ensure a high degree of realism. This is sometimes difficult as the available experimental data is not always conclusive or contradictory. Also, many details of the model are based on experimental evidence from different species. For example, all neurons were implemented as single-compartment structures, and effects of neighboring cells in the same layer were neglected, such as photo transduction and cellular adaption (Chen et al., 2005). Moreover, translation equation between distinct layers was simplified to allow for an easier mathematical treatment, and information loss is inevitable. Additionally, an important information processing property of the retina, contrast gain control (Victor, 1987) was not involved in our model. Empirical models have been proposed, some including divisive normalization of the signal by a surround component, but no definitive explanation about the usefulness or biological origin of this phenomenon exists yet. Temporal properties of ganglion cells as well as their global filtering gain depend on the local level of contrast. Empirical models have been proposed, some including divisive normalization of the signal by a surround component, but no definitive explanation about the usefulness or biological origin of this phenomenon exists yet. This effect could result in a nonlinear compression function that would be dynamic, depending on the local contrast of the scene (Berry et al., 1999; Jarsky et al., 2011; Scholl et al., 2012).

The overall motivation for the work is to restore partial vision to people who are blind due to loss of photoreceptor function. If we can construct a more complicated retina model including all basic retinal neuron classes and their realistic interconnection patterns based on better anatomical and physiological data, it will provide greater assistance in the realization of a clinically viable prosthesis.

Author contributions: *ZJP was responsible for modeling, programming and implementation, result analysis and paper writing. QLQ was responsible for fundraising, directed research, and validated the paper. GXG, BH and HJA was in charge of portions of the modeling and programming. All authors approved the final version of the paper.*

Conflicts of interest: *None declared.*

Plagiarism check: This paper was screened twice using Cross-Check to verify originality before publication.

Peer review: This paper was double-blinded and stringently reviewed by international expert reviewers.

References

- Adesnik H, Bruns W, Taniguchi H, Huang ZJ, Scanziani M (2012) A neural circuit for spatial summation in visual cortex. Nature 490:226-231.
- Bálya D, Roska B, Roska T (2002) A CNN framework for modeling parallel processing in a mammalian retina. Int J Circ Theor App 30:363-393.

Berry MJ, Brivanlou IH, Jordan TA (1999) Anticipation of moving stimuli by the retina. Nature 398:334-338.

- Bonin V, Mante V, Carandini M (2005) The suppressive field of neurons in lateral geniculate nucleus. J Neurosci 25:10844-10856.
- Chen AH, Zhou Y, Gong HQ (2005) Luminance adaptation increased the contrast sensitivity of retinal ganglion cells. Neuroreport 16:371-375.
- Chichilnisky EJ (2001) A simple white noise analysis of neuronal light responses. Comput Neural Syst 12:199-213.
- Cho MW, Choi MY (2014). A model for the receptive field of retinal ganglion cells. Neural Netw 49:51-58.
- Curcio CA, Allen KA (1990) Topography of ganglion cells in human retina. J Comp Neurol 300:5-25.
- Dayan P, Abbott LF (2005) Theoretical Neuroscience. Boston: MIT Press.
- Enroth-Cugell C, Robson JG (1966) The contrast sensitivity of retinal cells of the cat. J Physiol 187:517-552.
- Field GD, Chichilnisky EJ (2007) Information processing in the primate retina: circuitry and coding. Annu Rev Neurosci 30:1-30.
- Goetz JJ, Trimarchi JM (2012) Single-cell profiling of developing and mature retinal neurons. J Vis Exp 62:3791-3824.
- Gollisch T, Meister M (2008) Rapid neural coding in the retina with relative spike latencies. Science 319:1108-1111.
- Harman A, Abrahams B, Moore S (2000) Neuronal density in the human retinal ganglion cell layer from 16-77 years. Anat Rec 260:124-131.
- Jarsky T, Cembrowski M, Logan SM, Kath WL, Riecke H, Demb JB, Singer JH (2011) A synaptic mechanism for retinal adaptation to luminance and contrast. J Neurosci 31:11003-11015.
- Kara P, Reinagel P, Reid RC (2000) Low response variability in simultaneously recorded retinal, thalamic, and cortical neurons. Neuron 27:635-646.
- Keat J, Reinagel P, Reid RC (2001) Predicting every spike: a model for the responses of visual neurons. Neuron 30:803-817.
- Kumbhani RD, Nolt MJ, Palmer LA (2007) Precision, reliability, and information-theoretic analysis of visual thalamocortical neurons. J Neurophysiol 98:2647-2463.
- Lefebvre J, Longtin A, Leblanc VG (2011) Responses of recurrent nets of asymmetric ON and OFF cells. J Biol Phys 37:189-212.
- Lindeberg T (2013) A computational theory of visual receptive fields. Biol Cybern 107:589-635.
- Masland RH (2012) The neuronal organization of the retina. Neuron 76:266-280.
- Naka KI, Machuca H (1995) Static nonlinearity in the retinal neuron network. Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society, IEEE 17th Annual Conference 2:1385-1386.
- Passaglia CL, Freeman DK, Troy JB (2009) Effects of remote stimulation on the modulated activity of cat retinal ganglion cells. J Neurosci 29:2467-2476.
- Pelayoa FJ, Romeroa S, Morillasa CA (2004) Translating image sequences into spike patterns for cortical neuron-stimulation. Neurocomputing 58:885-892.
- Scholl B, Latimer KW, Priebe NJ (2012). A retinal source of spatial contrast gain control. J Neurosci 32:9824-9830.
- Seung HS, Sümbül U (2014) Neuronal cell types and connectivity: lessons from the retina. Neuron 83:1262-1272.
- Siegert S, Scherf BG, Didkovsky N (2009) Genetic address book for retinal cell types. Nat Neurosci 12:1197-1204.
- Tokutake Y, Freed MA (2008) Retinal ganglion cells-spatial organization of the receptive field reduces temporal redundancy. Eur J Neurosci 28:914-23.
- Victor JD (1987) The dynamics of the cat retinal X cell centre. J Physiol 386:219-246.
- Weiland JD, Cho AK, Humayun MS (2011) Retinal prostheses: current clinical results and future needs. Ophthalmol 118:2227-2237.
- Weiland JD, Humayun MS (2014) Retinal prosthesis. IEEE Trans Biomed Eng 61:1412-1424.
- Wohrer A, Kornprobst P (2009) Virtual Retina: a biological retina model and simulator, with contrast gain control. J Comput Neurosci 26:219-249.

Copyedited by Ann Dawes E, Raye W, Robens J, Wang J, Li CH, Song LP, Zhao M