

Oxidative Conversion of Glucose to Formic Acid as a Renewable Hydrogen Source Using an Abundant Solid Base Catalyst

Atsushi Takagaki,*^[a, b] Wataru Obata,^[a] and Tatsumi Ishihara*^[a, b, c]

Formic acid is one of the most desirable liquid hydrogen carriers. The selective production of formic acid from monosaccharides in water under mild reaction conditions using solid catalysts was investigated. Calcium oxide, an abundant solid base catalyst available from seashell or limestone by thermal decomposition, was found to be the most active of the simple oxides tested, with formic acid yields of 50% and 66% from glucose and xylose, respectively, in 1.4% H₂O₂ aqueous solution at 343 K for 30 min. The main reaction pathway is a sequential

1. Introduction

The utilization of lignocellulosic biomass has been attracted much attention because environmental issues have become more serious.^[1,2] So far, many efforts have been made to synthesize fuels from lignocellulose.^[3,4] Gasification of lignocellulosic biomass and subsequent Fischer-Tropsch reaction produce liquid biofuels, which are high-quality hydrocarbon.^[5,6] Fast pyrolysis of biomass and successive catalytic upgrading such as hydrodeoxygenation afford bio-oils equivalent to light and heavy oil.^[7,8] The former operates under high temperature and pressure, and the latter mostly needs an external supply of a large amount of hydrogen. In these regards, both conversion processes benefit only in mass production due to the advantage of scale; thus, a large amount of biomass needs to be treated at a chemical plant in industrial areas typically far from

[a]	Dr. A. Takagaki, W. Obata, Prof. T. Ishihara
	Department of Applied Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering
	Kyushu University
	744 Motooka, Nishi-ku
	Fukuoka 819-0395 (Japan)
	E-mail: atakagak@cstf.kyushu-u.ac.jp
	ishihara@cstf.kyushu-u.ac.jp
[b]	Dr. A. Takagaki, Prof. T. Ishihara
	International Institute for Carbon-Neutral Energy Research (WPI-l ² CNER)
	Kyushu University
	744 Motooka, Nishi-ku
	Fukuoka 819-0395 (Japan)
[c]	Prof. T. Ishihara
	Department of Automotive Science
	Graduate School of Integrated Frontier Science
	Kyushu University
	744 Motooka, Nishi-ku
	Fukuoka 819-0395 (Japan)
<u> </u>	© 2021 The Authors Published by Wiley-VCH GmbH This is an open ac

© 2021 The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH GmbH. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made. formation of formic acid from glucose by C–C bond cleavage involving aldehyde groups in the acyclic form. The reaction also involves base-catalyzed aldose-ketose isomerization and retroaldol reaction, resulting in the formation of fructose and trioses including glyceraldehyde and dihydroxyacetone. These intermediates were further decomposed into formic acid or glycolic acid. The catalytic activity remained unchanged for further reuse by a simple post-calcination.

the forest, which causes additional energy consumption for transportation.^[9,10] Although such liquid biofuels are applicable as fuels for aviation and ships, their use in automobiles would be declined in the future as the current demand for the energy transition in transport accelerates the use of renewable hydrogen and electricity.^[11,12]

Formic acid is one of the most promising hydrogen carriers.^[13,14] It can be produced from saccharides by selective oxidation^[15-19] or carbon dioxide transformation, including catalytic hydrogenation,^[20-22] electrochemical reduction,^[23,24] and photocatalytic reduction.^[25-27] In the former case, formic acid is obtained from monosaccharides regardless of the type, such as glucose, xylose, and mannose. Thus, both cellulose and hemicellulose, which are the main components of lignocellulose, can be utilized. Moreover, formic acid can depolymerize lignin,^[28] which helps separate it from (hemi)cellulose and further utilize it. In the combination of lignocellulose depolymerization, the oxidative transformation of sugars to formic acid is expected to offer an opportunity for on-site conversion of biomass, which is much different from the conventional reductive process requiring the external supply of pressurized hydrogen. It is recently reported that mechanochemical depolymerization of lignocellulose using citric acid as a homogeneous acid catalyst and successive hydrolysis-oxidation using hydrogen peroxide as an oxidizing agent in dimethyl sulfoxide at 453 K could afford a high yield of formic acid.^[29]

This study examined the selective production of formic acid from monosaccharides in water under mild reaction conditions at a temperature lower than 373 K by using heterogeneous catalysts. Although recent studies demonstrated a fairly good formic acid yield using homogeneous catalysts such as polyoxometalates under high pressure of oxygen^[30] and LiOH in the presence of H_2O_2 ,^[31] the use of heterogeneous catalysts is of importance because of suppressing the corrosion of the reactor and providing an opportunity to reduce not only the environ-

ChemistryOpen **2021**, 10, 954–959



mental impact but also the economic cost. Here, we found that calcium oxide, an abundant solid material available from seashell or limestone by thermal decomposition, could efficiently catalyze the reaction and be reused after calcination.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1. Screening of Solid Catalysts for Formic Acid Production from D-Glucose

The oxidative production of formic acid from D-glucose using H_2O_2 as an oxidant was surveyed using various metal oxides, including alkaline earth metal oxides, rare-earth metal oxides, and transition metal oxides. The concentration of H_2O_2 was 0.9% which is very low and widely available. Although H_2O_2 is currently produced from hydrogen and oxygen via anthraquinone process,^[32] recent studies demonstrated that H_2O_2 could be obtained from H_2O and O_2 by photocatalytic system.^[32,33] The results of product yields after 2 h reaction at 363 K are shown in Figure 1. It was found that alkaline earth metal oxides such as MgO, CaO, SrO, and BaO exhibited high activity for formic acid production. In contrast, rate-earth metal oxides and transition metal oxides showed low or negligible activity. Among them, calcium oxide was the most active catalyst,



Figure 1. Formic acid production from D-glucose using a variety of metal oxides. Reaction conditions: D(+)-glucose (1.1 mmol, 0.20 g), metal oxide (0.20 g), H_2O_2 (5.5 mmol), water (20 mL), 363 K, 2 h.

affording 45% yield of formic acid with the full glucose conversion. In addition to formic acid, glycolic acid, glyceraldehyde, and fructose were observed, and others were undetected products. The activities of other calcium compounds, including hydroxide, carbonate, and phosphate, were investigated. Table 1 lists the results of formic acid production using CaO, $Ca(OH)_2$, $CaCO_3$, and $Ca_3(PO_4)_2$ in which the reaction was carried out at 343 K for 0.5 h. A Mg-Al hydrotalcite was also used as a comparison because it showed a good activity for the reaction in a previous study.^[35] Again, calcium oxide exhibited the highest activity among samples tested, and calcium hydroxide showed comparable activity, whereas calcium carbonate and calcium phosphate showed no activity. While Mg-Al hydrotalcite was also active for the reaction in water, the activity was much lower than that of calcium oxide in this study.

2.2. Effect of Reaction Conditions

The results showing the activity using alkaline earth metal oxides and Mg-Al hydrotalcite indicate that the catalytic activity is attributed to the solid basicity. The solid basicity of CaO was determined by a titration method. After immersion of CaO in toluene solution containing phenolphthalein overnight, an appropriate amount of benzoic acid was added until the color of the surface of CaO changed back. The amount of solid base sites was estimated to be $24\pm3 \,\mu\text{mol}\,\text{g}^{-1}$, indicating a significantly high turnover number of 1040.

Effect of reaction conditions including initial reactant concentration, reaction temperature, and amount of oxidant on the catalytic activity was investigated. Figure 2 shows the dependence of the catalytic activity of CaO on glucose concentration. The reaction was carried out at 343 K for 0.5 h. At a low glucose concentration of 2.8 mmol L⁻¹, a high yield of formic acid (61%) with the full conversion of glucose was achieved. With increasing glucose concentration, glucose conversion and formic acid yield were decreased, whereas fructose yield was increased. The rate of decrease in formic acid yield became gradual, and the yield has reached a plateau. At a high glucose concentration of 56 mmol L⁻¹, the formic acid yield was 36% with 84% glucose conversion.

Figure 3 shows the dependence of the activity on the reaction temperature. It was found that the reaction proceeded even at 303 K, affording 21% yield of formic acid with 60% selectivity. No fructose formation was observed, indicating that

Table 1. Formic acid production from D-glucose using calcium compounds. ^[a]						
Catalyst	Glucose conversion/%	Formic acid yield/%	Glycolic acid yield/%	Glyceraldehyde yield/%	Fructose yield/%	
CaO	84	36	5	5	20	
CaO ^[b]	>99	45	11	12	18	
Ca(OH) ₂	83	34	8	8	24	
CaCO ₃	0	0	0	0	0	
$Ca_3(PO_4)_2$	0	0	0	0	0	
Mg-Al hydrotalcite	21	2	0	1	12	
[a] Reaction conditions: D(-	+)-glucose (1.1 mmol, 0.20 g)	, metal oxide (0.20 g), H_2O_2	₂ (5.5 mmol), water (20 mL),	343 K, 0.5 h. [b] 363 K, 2 h.		

ChemistryOpen **2021**, 10, 954–959



Figure 2. Conversion of glucose and yield of products as a function of glucose concentration. Reaction conditions: D(+)-glucose (0.055-1.1 mmol), CaO (0.20 g), H₂O₂ (5.5 mmol), water (20 mL), 343 K, 0.5 h.



Figure 3. Conversion of glucose and yield of products as a function of reaction time. Reaction conditions: D(+)-glucose (0.11 mmol), CaO (0.20 g), H_2O_2 (5.5 mmol), water (20 mL), 303–343 K, 0.5 h.

base-catalyzed glucose-fructose isomerization named Lobry de Bruyn-van Ekenstein transformation^[36] did not occur at nearroom temperature. As the reaction temperature increased, glucose conversion and formic acid yield increased while the formic acid selectivity was decreased due to a simultaneous increase of fructose yield. Figure 4 shows the effect of the H₂O₂ amount added on the reaction. With increasing H₂O₂, the formic acid yield was increased, confirming that H₂O₂ functioned as an oxidant agent. When 11.1 mmol of H₂O₂ was used for the reaction, 56.4% yield of formic acid was obtained. The amount of remained H₂O₂ was analyzed by the UV absorption method.^[37] It was found that the amount of H₂O₂ detected after the reaction was lower than 0.01 mmol for all cases, indicating that H₂O₂ was consumed not only for the oxidative conversion of glucose into formic acid but also by self-decomposition.



Chemistry Europe

European Chemical Societies Publishing

Figure 4. Conversion of glucose and yield of products as a function of H_2O_2 amount added. Reaction conditions: D(+)-glucose (0.11 mmol), CaO (0.20 g), H_2O_2 (5.5–11.1 mmol), water (20 mL), 343 K, 0.5 h

2.3. Reaction Pathway for Formic Acid Production

Figure 5 shows the time course production of formic acid at different temperatures. At 363 K, the reaction was almost complete after 30 min, with a formic acid yield of 43% (Figure 5(a)). After 30 min, a slight increase of glucose conversion was observed, corresponding to fructose formation due to base-catalyzed glucose-fructose isomerization. It suggests that H_2O_2 was rapidly consumed for 30 min, resulting in no further increase of formic acid production. As the reaction was fast at 363 K and in a low glucose/catalyst ratio of 1 (w/w), the reaction was then carried out at 343 K in a high glucose/catalyst ratio of 3 (w/w) to monitor product changes and consider the reaction pathway (Figure 5 (b)). At the initial stage of the reaction, formic acid was preferentially produced. For 5 min, the selectivity to formic acid was 53% at half of the glucose conversion (51%) with no fructose formation. For 30 min, the



Figure 5. Conversion of glucose, yield and selectivity of products as a function of reaction time at (a) 363 K and (b, c) 343 K. Reaction conditions: (a) D(+)-glucose (1.1 mmol, 0.20 g), CaO (0.20 g), H₂O₂ (5.5 mmol), water (20 mL), 363 K. (b, c) D(+)-glucose (1.7 mmol, 0.30 g), CaO (0.10 g), H₂O₂ (8.3 mmol), water (20 mL), 343 K.

ChemistryOpen 2021, 10, 954–959 www.chemistryopen.org



formic acid yield was 39%, with the selectivity of 50%. Prolonged reaction time gradually increased formic acid yield to 45%, increasing glucose conversion to 90%. Simultaneously, glycolic acid was formed along with formic acid. The dependence of product selectivity is shown in Figure 5(c). It was found that the selectivity to formic acid was almost constant to ca. 50%, whereas the selectivity to glycolic acid was decreased with the increase of glucose conversion. While fructose was not formed at the initial stage of the reaction, the selectivity was increased until 30 min reaction and then gradually decreased for further reaction. Besides, it should be noted that the selectivity to others was high for 5 min and then decreased (not shown). These values were 27% for 5 min, 18% for 15 min, and 0% for 30 min. These could be attributed to intermediate species for direct formic acid production, which will be discussed later.

A possibility of further transformation of fructose, glyceraldehyde, and glycolic acid into formic acid was investigated. Table 2 lists the results of formic acid production from these substrates over CaO using H₂O₂ at 363 K for 2 h. The result of glucose conversion corresponds to that in Figure 5(a). Like glucose, a high yield of formic acid was obtained from glyceraldehyde. Thus, the reason that the yield of glyceraldehyde was low in Figure 5(b, c) is due to its rapid transformation. Formic acid was also formed from fructose. The yield was lower than that from glucose and glyceraldehyde, suggesting that fructose as a ketose was relatively a difficult substrate for further transformation into formic acid. This result is in good agreement with the results in Figure 5(b, c) because the fructose yield was moderate, and it was gradually decreased with the increase of reaction time. It was found that glycolic acid was halrd to be converted, which is also in good agreement with the results in Figure 5 because the formed glycolic acid remained unchanged.

In the absence of H_2O_2 , glucose is known to undergo basecatalyzed isomerization and retro-aldol reactions, resulting in the formation of fructose and trioses, including glyceraldehyde and dihydroxyacetone. Although formic acid could be obtained from fructose and glyceraldehyde as described above, the main pathway for formic acid production in the presence of H_2O_2 should be different because formic acid was mostly formed at the initial stage of the reaction with the increase of glucose conversion. A proposed reaction pathway is shown in Scheme 1. Isbell and Naves reported the formic acid formation from saccharides using alkaline hydrogen peroxide.^[38] Ebitani et al. suggested the same reaction mechanism for formic acid production using hydrotalcite catalyst.^[35] OOH⁻ species formed



Scheme 1. Proposed reaction pathway of formic acid production from p-glucose over CaO using H_2O_2 as an oxidant.

by base attack the aldehydic carbon atom of the acyclic form of aldoses such as glucose and glyceraldehyde, resulting in the cleavage of carbon-carbon bond accompanied with the formation of formic acid. The remaining next lower aldose further undergoes decomposition by adding H_2O_2 with the formation of formic acid. This reaction pathway is considered the main route for formic acid production. During the sequential reaction, a variety of lower aldoses could be formed. In Figure 5(c), it was observed that the selectivity to others which are unidentified products, was high at the initial stage of the reaction, which could correspond to a variety of lower aldoses derived from glucose.

A similar reaction occurs for ketoses such as fructose and dihydroxyacetone with the formation of another carboxylic acid, glycolic acid, due to the bond cleavage between second and third carbon, which is in good agreement with the result that the relatively high yield of glycolic acid was obtained from fructose (Table 2). While glycolic acid formation was also observed from glucose and glyceraldehyde, it is likely due to isomerization to fructose or dihydroxyacetone.

2.4. Formic Acid Production from Other Saccharides and Reusability of the Catalyst

Mannose and xylose could be also efficiently converted into formic acid, as shown in Table 3. Yields of formic acid were 39%

Table 2. Conversion of glucose, fructose glyceraldehyde, and glycolic acid over CaO using H2O2 as an oxidant. ^[a]						
Substrate	Conv./%	Yield/% Formic acid	Glycolic acid	Glyceraldehyde	Fructose	
Glucose	>99	45	11	12	18	
Fructose	76	39	25	0	-	
Glyceraldehyde	87	44	12	-	0	
Glycolic acid	38	14	-	0	0	
[a] Reaction conditions: substrate (1.1 mmol), CaO (0.20 g), H ₂ O ₂ (5.5 mmol), water (20 mL), 363 K, 2 h.						



Table 3. Formic acid production from glucose, mannose, and xylose using calcium oxide. ^[a]						
Substrate	Conv./%	Yield/% Formic acid	Glycolic acid	Glyceraldehyde	Fructose	
Glucose	91	52	9	8	19	
Mannose	73	39	6	4	0	
Xylose	79	66	4	4	0	
[a] Reaction conditions: substrate (50 mg), CaO (50 mg), H ₂ O ₂ (2.12 mmol), water (5 mL), 343 K, 0.5 h.						

from mannose and 66% from xylose. High selectivity to formic acid (84%) was obtained from xylose, probably due to the suppression of aldose-ketose isomerization.

The reusability of the catalyst was further investigated. Before reusing the catalyst, it was washed with water and ethanol, followed by drying at 353 K overnight in an oven. The results are shown in Figure 6. The activity was decreased after the first and second reuse. The analysis by XRD of the sample after the second reuse indicated a drastic change of the crystal



Figure 6. Reusability of CaO catalyst for formic acid production from glucose using H_2O_2 as an oxidant. Reaction conditions: Glucose (50 mg), CaO (50 mg), H_2O_2 (2.12 mmol), water (5 mL), 343 K, 0.5 h.



Figure 7. XRD patterns for CaO before and after the reaction.

structure, as shown in Figure 7. After reuse, the sample was transformed into $CaCO_3$, which is inactive for the reaction mentioned above (Table 1). Thus, after the second reuse, the sample was calcined at 1073 K before reuse. The XRD pattern of the re-calcined sample indicated a mixture of $Ca(OH)_2$ and CaO. As both exhibited comparable activity (Table 1), the sample gave the same activity as the fresh catalyst. It was demonstrated that the catalytic activity was fully recovered by a simple calcination.

3. Conclusion

Calcium oxide, a simple, widely available alkaline earth metal oxide, efficiently produced formic acid from glucose, fructose, mannose, and xylose in water using hydrogen peroxide as an oxidant under mild reaction conditions. The yield of formic acid was 52% from glucose and 66% from xylose, respectively. While the reaction includes aldose-ketose isomerization and retroaldol reaction, the main pathway is a sequential formation of formic acid from aldose by C–C bond cleavage involving aldehyde groups in the acyclic form. The catalyst could be reused without loss of activity by calcination before reuse.

Experimental Section

Chemicals

D(+)-glucose (98%, Kishida), D(-)-fructose (99%, Wako), D(+)mannose (99%, Wako), D(+)-xylose (99%, Wako), DL-glycelaldehyde $(>90\,\%,$ Sigma-Aldrich), glycolic acid (97\%, Wako), formic acid (98%, Wako), hydrogen peroxide (30%, Wako), and benzoic acid (99.5%, Wako) were used for the reactions and the analysis. Calcium oxide (CaO, 99.9%, Wako), hydroxide (Ca(OH)₂, 90%, Kishida), carbonate (CaCO₃, 99.95%, Wako), and phosphate (Ca₃(PO₄)₂, 98%, Wako) were used as catalysts. Other metal oxides, MgO (99.9%, Wako), SrO (95%, Kishida), BaO (90%, Wako), Sc₂O₃ (99.9%, Kojundo), Y₂O₃ (99.99%, Wako), La₂O₃ (99.99%, Wako), CeO₂ (99.9%, Wako), TiO₂ (anatase, 98.5 %, Wako), Nb₂O₅ (99.9 %, Kojundo), Ta₂O₅ (99.9%, Wako), Cr₂O₃ (Wako), SnO₂ (98%, Wako) and ZnO (99.9%, Wako) were also used as catalysts. Mg-Al hydrotalcite (Mg/Al=3) was prepared by a conventional coprecipitation method^[39] using Mg(NO₃)₂·6H₂O (99%, Wako), Al(NO₃)₃·9H₂O (98%, Wako), Na₂CO₃ (99.5%, Kishida) and NaOH (98%, Kishida).

Catalytic Test

A quantity of 1.1 mmol of D(+)-glucose and 0.20 g of catalysts were put into 20 mL of water containing 5.5 mmol of H_2O_2 in a reactor



vessel. The vessel was heated at 353–373 K for 0.5–2 h in an oil bath under stirring. After the reaction, the reaction mixture was analyzed by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC; LC-2000 plus, JACSO) equipped with a differential reactive index detector (RI-2031 plus, JASCO) with Aminex HPX-87H column (flow rate: 0.5 mLmin⁻¹, eluent: 10 mmol L⁻¹ H₂SO₄).

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by a Grand-in-Aid for Science Research (B) (No. 18H01785) of JSPS, Japan.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Keywords: biomass · formic acid · heterogeneous catalysis · oxidation · sugars

- [1] C.-Y. Lin, C. Lu, Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev. 2021, 136, 110445.
- [2] E. Calcio Gaudino, G. Cravotto, M. Manzoli, S. Tabasso, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2021, 50, 1785–1812.
- [3] J.-P. Lange, ChemSusChem 2018, 11, 997–1014.
- [4] J. A. Muldoon, B. G. Harvey, ChemSusChem 2020, 13, 5777-5807.
- [5] R. G. Dos Santos, A. C. Alencar, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 2020, 45, 18114– 18132.
- [6] H. Wei, W. Liu, X. Chen, Q. Yang, J. Li, H. Chen, Fuel 2019, 254, 115599.
- [7] K. Iisa, D. J. Robichaud, M. J. Watson, J. ten Dam, A. Dutta, C. Mukarakate, S. Kim, M. R. Nimlos, R. M. Baldwin, *Green Chem.* 2018, 20, 567–582.
- [8] N. Koike, S. Hosokai, A. Takagaki, S. Nishimura, R. Kikuchi, K. Ebitani, Y. Suzuki, S. T. Oyama, J. Catal. 2016, 333, 115–126.
- [9] Z. Miao, Y. Shastri, T. E. Grift, A. C. Hansen, K. C. Ting, *Biofuels Bioprod. Biorefin.* 2012, 6, 351–362.
- [10] L. J. R. Nunes, T. P. Causer, D. Ciolkosz, Renewable Sustainable Energy Rev. 2020, 120, 109658.
- [11] I. Staffell, D. Scamman, A. Velazquez Abad, P. Balcombe, P. E. Dodds, P. Ekins, N. Shah, L. R. Ward, *Energy Environ. Sci.* 2019, *12*, 463–491.
- [12] I. Hannula, D. M. Reiner, Joule 2019, 3, 2390-2402.
- [13] M. Grasemann, G. Laurenczy, Energy Environ. Sci. 2012, 5, 8171–8181.
- [14] A. Bahuguna, Y. Sasson, ChemSusChem 2021, 14, 1258–1283.

- [15] F. Jin, J. Yun, G. Li, A. Kishita, K. Tohji, H. Enomoto, Green Chem. 2008, 10, 612–615.
- [16] J. Li, D.-J. Ding, L. Deng, Q.-X. Guo, Y. Fu, ChemSusChem 2012, 5, 1313– 1318.
- [17] Z. Tang, W. Deng, Y. Wang, E. Zhu, X. Wan, Q. Zhang, Y. Wang, *ChemSusChem* 2014, 7, 1557–1567.
- [18] J. Reichert, B. Brunner, A. Jess, P. Wasserscheid, J. Albert, *Energy Environ*. Sci. 2015, 8, 2985–2990.
- [19] K. Li, L. Bai, P. N. Amaniampong, X. Jia, J.-M. Lee, Y. Yang, ChemSusChem 2014, 7, 2670–2677.
- [20] J. F. Hull, Y. Himeda, W.-H. Wang, B. Hashiguchi, R. Periana, D. J. Szalda, J. T. Muckeran, E. Fujita, *Nat. Chem.* 2012, 4, 383–388.
- [21] R. Sun, Y. Liao, S.-T. Bai, M. Zheng, C. Zhou, T. Zhang, B. F. Sels, *Energy Environ. Sci.* doi.org/10.1039/D0EE03575 K.
- [22] K. Mori, T. Sano, H. Kobayashi, H. Yamashita, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 8902–8909.
- [23] J. Tian, M. Wang, M. Shen, X. Ma, Z. Hua, L. Zhang, J. Shi, ChemSusChem 2020, 13, 6442–6448.
- [24] Y.-X. Duan, Y.-T. Zhou, Z. Yu, D.-X. Liu, Z. Wen, J.-M. Yan, Q. Jiang, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. doi.org/10.1002/anie.202015713.
- [25] K. lizuka, T. Wato, Y. Miseki, K. Saito, A. Kudo, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 20863–20868.
- [26] R. Kuriki, K. Sekizawa, O. Ishitani, K. Maeda, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 2406–2049; Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 2436–2439.
- [27] K. Kamada, J. Jung, T. Wakabayashi, K. Sekizawa, S. Sato, T. Morikawa, S. Fukuzumi, S. Saito, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2020**, *142*, 10261–10266.
- [28] A. Rahimi, A. Ulbrich, J. J. Coon, S. S. Stahl, *Nature* 2014, *515*, 249–252.
 [29] J.-H. Park, D.-W. Lee, M.-H. Jin, Y.-J. Lee, G.-S. Song, S.-J. Park, H. J. Jung,
- K. K. Oh, Y.-C. Choi, *Chem. Eng. J.* doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2020.127827. [30] S. Maerten, C. Kumpidet, D. Voß, A. Bukowski, P. Wasserscheid, J. Albert,
- Green Chem. 2020, 22, 4311–4320. [31] C. Wang, X. Chen, M. Qi, J. Wu, G. Gözaydın, N. Yan, H. Zhong, F. Jin,
- Green Chem. **2019**, *21*, 6089–6096.
- [32] J. M. Campos-Martin, G. Blanco-Brieva, J. L. G. Fierro, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 6962–6984; Angew. Chem. 2006, 118, 7116–7139.
- [33] H. Hou, X. Zeng, X Zhang, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2019, 59, 17356–17376.
- [34] C. Chu, Q. Zhu, Z. Pan, S. Gupta, D. Huang, Y. Du, S. Weon, Y. Qu, C. Muhich, E. Stavitski, K. Domen, J.-H. Kim, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 2020, 117, 6376–6382.
- [35] R. Sato, H. Choudhary, S. Nishimura, K. Ebitani, Org. Process Res. Dev. 2015, 19, 449–453.
- [36] J. C. Speck Jr. Adv. Carbohydr. Chem. 1958, 13, 63-103.
- [37] T. Ishihara, R. Nakashima, Y. Ooishi, H. Hagiwara, M. Matsuka, S. Ida, *Catal. Today* 2015, 248, 35–39.
- [38] H. S. Isbell, R. G. Naves, Carbohydr. Res. 1974, 36, C1-C4.
- [39] A. Takagaki, K. Iwatani, S. Nishimura, K. Ebitani, Green Chem. 2010, 12, 578–581.

Manuscript received: March 25, 2021 Revised manuscript received: May 12, 2021