Legislating for universal access to medicines: a rights-based cross-national comparison of UHC laws in 16 countries

S Katrina Perehudoff^{1,2,3,*}, Nikita V Alexandrov¹ and Hans V Hogerzeil¹

¹Department of Health Sciences, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Hanzeplein 1, 9713, GZ, Groningen, The Netherlands, ²Present address: Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto, 155 College Street, Toronto, ON M5T 3M7, Canada and ³Present address: International Centre for Reproductive Health, Department of Public Health & Primary Care, Ghent University, C. Heymanslaan 10, 9000 Ghent, Belgium

*Corresponding author. Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto, 155 College Street, Toronto, ON M5T 3M7, Canada. E-mail: katrina.perehudoff@gmail.com

Accepted on 16 November 2018

Abstract

Universal health coverage (UHC) aims to ensure that all people have access to health services including essential medicines without risking financial hardship. Yet, in many low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) inadequate UHC fails to ensure universal access to medicines and protect the poor and vulnerable against catastrophic spending in the event of illness. A human rights approach to essential medicines in national UHC legislation could remedy these inequities. This study identifies and compares legal texts from national UHC legislation that promote universal access to medicines in the legislation of 16 mostly LMICs: Algeria, Chile, Colombia, Ghana, Indonesia, Jordan, Mexico, Morocco, Nigeria, Philippines, Rwanda, South Africa, Tanzania, Turkey, Tunisia and Uruguay. The assessment tool was developed based on WHO's policy guidelines for essential medicines and international human rights law; it consists of 12 principles in three domains: legal rights and obligations, good governance, and technical implementation. Relevant legislation was identified, mapped, collected and independently assessed by multi-disciplinary, multi-lingual teams. Legal rights and State obligations toward medicines are frequently codified in UHC law, while most good governance principles are less common. Some technical implementation principles are frequently embedded in national UHC law (i.e. pooled user contributions and financial coverage for the vulnerable), while others are infrequent (i.e. sufficient government financing) to almost absent (i.e. seeking international assistance and cooperation). Generally, upper-middle and high-income countries tended to embed explicit rights and obligations with clear boundaries, and universal mechanisms for accountability and redress in domestic law while less affluent countries took different approaches. This research presents national law makers with both a checklist and a wish list for legal reform for access to medicines, as well as examples of legal texts. It may support goal 7 of the WHO Medicines & Health Products Strategic Programme 2016-30 to develop model legislation for medicines reimbursement.

Keywords: Access, health insurance, legislation, human rights, essential drugs, government, accountability, health financing, user fees, vulnerable populations, equity

Introduction

Two billion people lack access to the medicines they need (Access to Medicines Foundation, 2015). Frequent public sector stock-outs, high medicines prices (especially in the private sector) and inadequate basic health insurance are major access barriers in low- and middle-income

countries (LMICs) (Wirtz *et al.*, 2016). Faced with illness, households may incur catastrophic spending on medicines and endure the impoverishing consequences (Wirtz *et al.*, 2016). Universal access to essential medicines is therefore an important aspect of global development and a crucial component of universal health coverage (UHC), affirmed in

© The Author(s) 2019. Published by Oxford University Press in association with The London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Key Messages

- Health law makers in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs) lack the guidance and tools to write national legislation that promotes universal access to essential medicines through universal health coverage (UHC).
- This is the first study to assess the text of UHC laws for principles of access to medicines, based on WHO's policies on
 essential medicines and international human rights law.
- Evidence from 16 mostly LMICs indicates that national laws often embed individual rights, government obligations, accountability and some technical principles (i.e. coverage for vulnerable groups), while other good governance and technical principles are infrequent.
- This article produces examples of legal text and an assessment tool, which is both a checklist for evaluating national law and policy, and a 'wish list' to guide legal reform.

the Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) 3 for Health. SDG Target 3.8 on UHC aims to ensure that all have access to health services including essential medicines without risking financial hardship (UN General Assembly, 2015). This target encompasses some aspects of the right to health, which offers a set of standards and principles for equitable and inclusive access to healthcare (Ooms *et al.*, 2014; Chapman, 2016a). Under international human rights law, governments have the 'core obligation' to provide essential medicines on a non-discriminatory basis and with attention for vulnerable groups (UN General Assembly, 1966b; UN CESCR 2000; Hogerzeil, 2006). Yet, SDG Target 3.8 neglects important aspects of a right to health approach, such as prioritized care and financial protection for the disadvantaged, and international cooperation and assistance for cost sharing with low-income countries (Ooms *et al.*, 2014; Chapman, 2016a).

According to WHO '[l]aw is a powerful tool for ensuring that the poor and vulnerable are not deprived of access to health care services and other resources for leading a healthy life.' (Magnusson, 2017) A total of 165 States have ratified the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR), and therefore they bear the irrevocable duty to ensure their domestic law, policy, and practice protects and promotes these rights. On the path toward UHC over 70 countries have sought guidance from WHO concerning their domestic health reforms (WHO Consultative Group on Equity and Universal Health Coverage, 2014). WHO's Medicines & Health Products Strategic Programme 2016-30 goal no. 7 is to develop model legislation for medicines reimbursement (WHO, 2017). Indeed, some reforms have been associated with increases in proxy indicators of medicines access such as their sale and use (Garabedian et al., 2012; Nazzal et al., 2016). However, UHC financing and access in other LMICs disproportionately benefits the rich compared with the poor (Asante et al., 2016; Alshamsan et al., 2017).

Human rights are increasingly acknowledged to help close the equity gap in UHC (Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights and WHO, 2012; WHO Consultative Group on Equity and Universal Health Coverage, 2014). They can also guide an inclusive and accountable formulation of universal access to essential medicines in national law and policy (Hogerzeil, 2006; Ooms et al., 2014; Sridhar et al., 2015). In 2010, legal scholars in collaboration with WHO produced a pilot study of national legislation for access to medicines in four countries. Although this study was an important first step to collect and compare these national laws, it did not address UHC, likely reflecting the limited development of the concept at the time (Forzley et al., 2010). In 2017 WHO published guidance to advise Member States on including the right to health in domestic law, including for UHC (Magnusson et al., 2017). However, this guidance lacks a comprehensive approach to essential medicines as a health systems commodity and a component of UHC. Moreover, no practical assessment tool is available to aid lawmakers in analysing the strengths and weaknesses in national law for access to medicines.

Human rights principles in national legislation are an underexplored tool to enhance equitable medicines access through UHC in the existing literature. In existing scholarship, multiple, in-depth analyses of a single or small selection of countries study the evolution and impact of UHC reform on medicines and other health services (Carapinha et al., 2011; Lagomarsino et al., 2012; Atun et al., 2013, 2015; Balabanova et al., 2013). These studies focus on measures of health system function (i.e. pre-payment, pooling risk and purchasing) and of universal access (i.e. population coverage, services and direct costs to patients) (Hamilton et al., 2016). However, these investigations neither use human rights law as the frame of reference, nor do they examine the content of national health legislation. Human rights principles (i.e. entitlements, State obligations, participation and accountability) create an enabling environment for patients to claim their rights and hold their governments accountable; therefore, these principles are also important aspects of legislation (Motta Ferraz, 2011; Chapman, Forman and Lamprea, 2017; Yamin and Maleche, 2017). Yet, previous studies of legal or policy interventions for medicines often source data from systematic literature reviews, websites of international organizations and/or key informants, rather than the laws or policies themselves (Vialle-Valentin et al., 2008; Carapinha et al., 2011; Gammie et al., 2015; Hamilton et al., 2016). This is a pragmatic albeit weak approach considering that no global repository of domestic health law exists and most health legislation is only available in the official national language(s) (Attaran et al., 2012; Levels, Sluiter and Need, 2014).

To address these shortcomings, we develop and apply an assessment tool to UHC legislation in 16 mostly LMICs in order to identify legal texts that promote universal access to medicines. Our research presents examples of legal texts for domestic lawmakers and establishes a baseline of legal commitments to essential medicines in national law; it may support the WHO Medicines & Health Products Strategic Programme 2016–30 to develop model legislation for medicines reimbursement (WHO, 2017).

Materials and methods

This is a cross-national study of UHC legislation for access to medicines from 16 mostly LMICs. It collects, describes and compares legal texts against an assessment tool with 12 principles for essential medicines and human rights. A detailed description of the methodology is in the Supplementary Appendix (https://dx.doi.org/10. 6084/m9.figshare.6535106).

Assessment tool

To analyse the content of UHC laws, we developed an assessment tool to identify 12 principles that are important from the perspective of WHO's essential medicines policies (Hodgkin *et al.*, 2001; WHO,

Table 1. Assessment tool for access to essential medicines in national law and policy

Principles	Human rights principle	WHO essential medicines policy
Legal rights and obligations	3	
1. Right to health	Right to the highest attainable standard of health (UN General Assembly, 1966b; UN CESCR, 2000)	Human rights are a 'value' (Bigdeli <i>et al.</i> , 2013)
 State obligation to pro- vide essential medicines Good governance 	Core obligation to provide essential medicines defined by WHO (UN General Assembly, 1966b; UN CESCR, 1991, 2000, 2008, 2016)	
3. Transparency	Transparency (UN General Assembly, 1966a,b; UN CESCR, 2000)	Includes information to assess service access and coverage, and publicly available price information for medicines. A component of good governance for medicines (Hodgkin <i>et al.</i> , 2001; WHO, 2007, 2014)
4. Participation and consultation	Participation (UN General Assembly, 1966a,b; UN CESCR, 2000)	Collaboration and accountability of all health systems actors, and stakeholder consultation is required. Referenced in good govern- ance for medicines (Hodgkin <i>et al.</i> , 2001; WHO, 2007, 2014)
5. Monitoring and evaluation	Monitoring (UN General Assembly, 1966b; UN CESCR, 2000)	Achieved through explicit government commitment, indicator- based surveys and independent impact evaluation. A component of good governance for medicines (Hodgkin <i>et al.</i> , 2001; WHO, 2007, 2014)
6. Accountability and redress	Accountability (UN General Assembly, 1966a,b; UN CESCR, 2000)	Accountability of all health systems actors (WHO, 2007)
Technical implementation		
7. Selection of essential medicines	(Assured) quality of health services (of the AAAQ) (UN General Assembly, 1966b; UN CESCR, 2000)	Includes the essential drugs concept, procedures to define and up- date the national list(s) of essential drugs, explicit, evidence- based criteria that includes cost effectiveness and selection mech-
8. Government financing	Duty to adopt appropriate legislative, administra- tive, budgetary and other measures to a max- imum of its available resources Core obligation to provide essential medicines as defined by	 anisms (Hodgkin <i>et al.</i>, 2001; WHO, 2004) Requires adequate funding and mobilizing all available public resources and increase funding for priority diseases, and the vulnerable (Hodgkin <i>et al.</i>, 2001; WHO, 2004, 2007)
9. Pool user contributions	WHO (UN General Assembly, 1966b; UN CESCR, 1991, 2000, 2008, 2016)	Medicines reimbursement with user charges is a (temporary) financing option (WHO, 2004, 2007)
10. International assist- ance and technical cooperation	Duty to seek international assistance and technical cooperation (UN General Assembly, 1966b, 2008; UN CESCR, 2000, 2007)	Includes the possibility of using development loans for medicines financing (World Health Organization, 2004)
11. Efficient and cost-ef- fective spending	Duty for the efficient use of available resources Duty to take appropriate steps to ensure that the private business sector is aware of, and consider the importance of, the right to health in pursuing their activities Duty to prevent unreasonably high costs for access to essential medicines from undermining the rights of large segments of the population to health Duty to seek low-cost pol- icy options (UN General Assembly, 1966b, 2008; UN CESCR, 1991, 2000, 2008)	Includes the efficient use of resources and affordable pricing through: price control; a pricing policy for all medicines; compe- tition through generic policies and substitution; good procure- ment practices; price negotiation and information; and TRIPS- compliant measures such as compulsory licensing and parallel imports (Hodgkin <i>et al.</i> , 2001; World Health Organization, 2004, 2007)
12. Financial protection of vulnerable groups	Duty toward non-discrimination and attention to the vulnerable (UN General Assembly, 1966b; UN CESCR, 2000, 2008)	Increase government funding for poor and vulnerable groups and reduce the risk of catastrophic health spending (World Health Organization, 2004, 2007)

Abbreviations: WHO, World Health Organization; AAAQ, availability, accessibility, acceptability and quality.

2004, 2007, 2014; Bigdeli *et al.*, 2013) and international human rights law (UN General Assembly, 1966a,b 2008, UN CESCR, 1991, 2000, 2006, 2007, 2016). (Selected international human rights law concerned States' obligations toward social or health rights, the core obligation to provide essential medicines and/or rights related to good governance.) The principles are based on overlapping concepts related to access to medicines for vulnerable groups in the reference documents. The assessment tool was developed by two authors who shortlisted the relevant principles from source documents, independently piloted the short list on UHC laws to determine their applicability and adequacy, and revised the short list. Three rights to health and pharmaceutical policy experts (one

author and two external reviewers) reviewed the short list to ensure the principles were sufficient and correctly defined.

We categorized the 12 principles in three domains (Table 1): legal rights and obligations (i.e. government's commitments and duties), good governance (i.e. governance principles and processes) and technical implementation (i.e. policy measures to achieve government objectives). The domains correspond to the structureprocess-outcome framework for monitoring and evaluating the realization of human rights (Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights, 2012). We describe the principles and domains in our detailed methodology in the Supplementary Appendix (Perehudoff *et al.*, 2018). We hypothesize that recognizing all three

12. Financial protection of vulnerable groups			I															amen ammos
11. Efficient12. Financialandprotectioncost-effectiveof vulnerablespendinggroups																		iwil law: COM
9. Pool10. International11. Efficient12. Financialuserassistanceandprotectionntributionsandcost-effectiveof vulnerablecooperationspendinggroups																		Larand. Block errore texts reast under and texts ublications: AF Africa: EM Excent Maditerrations: CEA South-East Asia, WD Wastern Davids, ETI Eurone. AM Americans CTV vivil June COM common June
9. Pool user contributions																		II Europee AM
. Government financing																		Jactarn Dacifics E
 Right to 2. State 3. Transp- 4. Participation 5. Monitoring 6. Account- 7. Selection of 8. Government health obligation arency and and ability essential financing consultation evaluation and redress medicines co 																		Tott Acia. W/D W
 Monitoring 6. Account- 7. Selection and ability essential evaluation and redress medicines 																		a. sea somth e
5. Monitoring and evaluation																		Maditarrana
 Participation and consultation 																		micas FM Facto
3. Transp- ' n arency																		istican AF AI
Right to 2. State 3. Trans, health obligation arency																		100 + 000 + V h h h m
1. Rig heal																		مناطيب
Legal family	CIV CUS	CIV CUS	COM CUS	CUS ISL	CIV ISL	CIV ISL	CIV CUS ISL	CIV CUS ISL	CIV COM	CIV ISL	CIV COM		CIV	CIV	CIV	CIV	CIV	and the set
WHO region			AF AF		EM	EM	EM	SEA	WP	AF	AF		EU	AM	AM	AM	AM	- + 0.141 O
Country WHO region		ia	wer Ghana middle Nigeria	minging	Tunisia	Morocco	Jordan	Indonesia	Philippines WP	Algeria	middle South	Africa	Turkey	Colombia	Mexico	Uruguay	Chile	Diacht change
Income economy	Low	,	Lower middle							Upper	middle					High		I acond.



Legend: Black, strong text; grey, weak text; white, no text. Abbreviations: AF, Africa; EM, Eastern Mediterranean; SEA, South-East Asia; WP, Western Pacific; EU, Europe; AM, Americas; CIV, civil law; COM, common law; CUS, customary law; ISL; Islamic law.

domains in UHC legislation will generate more predictable, transparent and accountable rights and obligations, leading to greater responsiveness to the poor and vulnerable, equity, and sustainability.

Country selection

We selected 16 countries that have ratified the ICESCR, have a national health insurance system and had a low- or middle-income economy in 2015, with the exception of Chile and Uruguay (became high -income countries in 2012 (World Bank, n.d.). Our sample achieves maximum variation of WHO regions, legal families (WHO classification) and income economies (World Bank 2015 classification) (Table 2) (World Bank, n.d.; WHO, 2016).

Collection of legislation

We convened multi-disciplinary country research teams (with law/ medicine backgrounds) fluent in the official national language and English. Teams compiled 16 country profiles to describe the national health and legal context of access to medicines, and the relevant national laws (objectives, interrelationships with other legal instruments and text related to medicines). (The profiles are accessible here: https://figshare.com/projects/Legislating_for_universal_access_ to_medicines_A_rights-based_cross-national_comparison_of_UHC_ laws_in_16_countries/35054.)

First, teams identified relevant national laws by searching national government websites, online databases of national law and policy, reference lists in related academic and policy publications and crossreferences in national legislation to other relevant laws. Second, teams mapped the relationship between laws, and cross-referenced our list with academic publications and governmental or other reports to verify that our collection was complete and current. Third, laws were selected for analysis if they were relevant for access to medicines for vulnerable groups and if they addressed at least one principle in the assessment tool. Laws governing the regulation, control and marketing of pharmaceuticals, or private health insurance were excluded. Fourth, at least one pharmaceutical policy expert from each country except Nigeria and Algeria reviewed our list of legislation for relevance and currency.

Most legal texts were extracted from the legislation first by one research team member, followed by one author who verified and supplemented the initial text selection. Selected texts were translated to English and, where possible, peer-reviewed by a second team member.

Data analysis

Two authors each independently coded the strength of each principle in the legal texts on a three-point coding matrix (i.e. strong, weak or absent text) defined in the detailed methodology (see Supplementary Appendix). Generally, strong text includes a clear State commitment to a principle and an action (i.e. to adhere to the concept of essential medicines and introduce a national selection committee) and where possible related to medicines affordability and financing. Weak text includes vague commitments. Coders discussed disagreements until consensus was reached. Pharmaceutical policy experts (explained above) were invited to provide written comments on our preliminary results; minor changes were made to some codes due to more recent laws or differences of interpretation.

We reported the most and least frequent principles in national UHC law. For each principle we described the different approaches in different countries.

We also examined a relationship between the principles and national level of economic development by converting the legal recognition of each principle to a binary score: strong text or weak/absent text and compared with national economic development using the Fischer's Exact Test (performed with SPSS version 25 with significance set at P = 0.05).

Results

We included 86 domestic laws from 16 countries, ranging from two laws per country (Nigeria and Ghana) to 10 laws per country (Colombia) (see list in the Supplementary Appendix: https://dx.doi. org/10.6084/m9.figshare.6537452).

The strength of the 12 principles in UHC legislation is shown by country in Table 2. Legislation with innovative ideas is listed for each of the 12 principles in Table 3 (see full text examples in the Supplementary Appendix: https://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.6538403).

The most common principles are pooling user contributions (n = 10 countries), rights (n = 9), accountability (n = 9), obligations (n = 8) and financial coverage for the vulnerable (n = 8). The least common principles are from the governance domain (monitoring, transparency, participation, n = 2–3) and the technical domain (international cooperation n = 1). Overall, UHC legislation from Colombia, Chile, Mexico and the Philippines codifies a high number of principles.

12 principles for access to medicines in national law Right to health

Medicines are an explicit entitlement in Colombia, Mexico and Uruguay. A less specific universal right to health or services is found in Ghana, Tunisia, Chile, Indonesia and Turkey. Other national legislation did not address the right to access healthcare nor medicines, except the Philippines (right is conditional on financial contribution) and Nigeria (all are entitled to a basic minimum package of health services).

State obligation

Chile, Colombia, Indonesia, Mexico, the Philippines and Uruguay specify strong State obligations to pharmaceutical care. Uruguay and Chile require the State to guarantee access or ensure the availability of medicines in the national formulary for all people. The State is explicitly required to ensure access to pharmaceuticals without payment at the point of service and without discrimination (Mexico), to make essential goods affordable to all (Philippines), or to guarantee the essential medicines are equally available and affordable to the public (Indonesia). The Colombian government is responsible for respecting, protecting and ensuring the enjoyment of the fundamental right to health (including the provision of medicines) in line with the terms in General Comment No. 14.

State responsibilities are somewhat weak in Algeria, Jordan, Morocco and Tunisia. In Algeria, medicines prescribed in public health facilities are provided free-of-charge for in- and out-patients. African countries did not codify any State obligations toward health, with the notable exception of Ghana (to attain UHC) and South Africa (to provide healthcare to those without other forms of insurance, and some women and children).

Transparency

Transparency in pharmaceutical policy is observed in South Africa (price transparency), the Philippines (price ceilings and public disseminating of that information) and Chile (database of drug prices and medicines evaluation reports). The Philippines law has a comprehensive dissemination strategy targeting newspapers, television and posters in public markets, supermarkets and other public places.

Domestic health law most often framed transparency as information about the benefits package and procedure for accessing it

Table 3. Innovative ideas for medicines affordability and financing in national UHC legislation

Indensia: Law No. 362009 (2009) Mexico: General Health Law (2017) 2. State day to provide pharmaceuticals Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Mexico: General Health Law (2017) 3. Transparency of governments' action and outcomes for medicines affordability Philippines: Republic Act No. 7581 (1992), Republic Act No. 9502 (2008) Chile: Law No. 20854 (2012) 4. Participation and consultation for medicines affordability Colombia: Law No. 100 (1993) Chile: Law No. 20850 (2015) 5. Monitoring and evaluation for medicines affordability Philippines: Republic Act No. 9502 (2008) Mexico: Regulations of the General Health Law in the matter of social protection in health (2014) 6. Accountability and redress for medicines affordability Turkey: Patient Rights Regulation (2016) South Africa: National Health Amendment Act No. 12 (2013) 7. Selection of essential medicines Ghana: National Health Amendment Act No. 12 (2013) 7. Selection of essential medicines Ghana: National Health Insurance Act No. 852 (2012) Indunesia: Law No. 402004 (2004), Law No. 362009 (2009) Uruguay: Law No. 402004 (2004), Law No. 362009 (2009) Uruguay: Law No. 402005 (2015) Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Nigeria: Nati	1. Right to health including essential medicines
 2. Star duty to provide pharmaceuticals Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Mexico: General Health Law (2017) 3. Transparency of governments' action and outcomes for medicines affordability Philippines: Republic Act No. 7581 (1992), Republic Act No. 9502 (2008) Chile: Law No. 200584 (2012) 4. Participation and consultation for medicines affordability Colombia: Law No. 2005 (2015) 5. Monitoring and evaluation for medicines affordability Philippines: Republic Act No. 9502 (2008) Mexico: Regulations of the General Health Law in the matter of social protection in health (2014) 6. Accountability and referes for medicines affordability Turkey: Patient Rights Regulation (2016) South Africa: National Health Amendment Act No. 12 (2013) 7. Selection of essential medicines Ghana: National Health Insurance Act No. 852 (2012) Indonesia: Law No. 40/2004 (2004), Law No. 36/2009 (2009) Uruguay: Law No. 18.211 (2007). Decree No. 265006 (2006) 8. Sufficient government financing for essential medicines Rwanda: Law No. 03/2015 (2015) Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Nigeria: National Health No. 85 (2001) Montocci: Law No. 03/2015 (2015) Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Nigeria: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Nigeria: National Health No. 85 (2012) Morocco: Law 6.500 (2002), Decree No. 2-08-177 (2008) Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Nigeria: National Health Insurance Act (2013)	Indonesia: Law No. 36/2009 (2009)
Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Mexico: General Health Law (2017) 3. Transparency of governments' action and outcomes for medicines affordability Philippines: Republic Act No. 7581 (1992), Republic Act No. 9502 (2008) Collect Law No. 20584 (2012) 4. Participation and consultation for medicines affordability Colombia: Law No. 100 (1993) Colombia: Law No. 20505 (2015) 5. Monitoring and evaluation for medicines affordability Philippines: Regulations of the General Health Law in the matter of social protection in health (2014) 6. Accountability and redress for medicines affordability Turkey: Patient Rights Regulation (2016) South Africa: National Health Amendment Act No. 12 (2013) 7. Selection of essential medicines Ghana: National Health Insurance Act No. 852 (2012) Indonesia: Law No. 40/2004 (2004), Law No. 36/2009 (2009) Uruguay: Law No. 40/2004 (2004), Law No. 36/2009 (2009) Uruguay: Law No. 40/2004 (2004), Law No. 36/2009 (2006) 8. Sufficient government financing for essential medicines Rwanda: Law No. 40/2004 (2004), Law No. 36/2009 (2006) 9. Pooling user contributions for essential medicines Ghana: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Nigreria: National Health Insurance Act (2013) <	Mexico: General Health Law (2017)
Mexico: General Health Law (2017) 3. Transparency of governments' action and outcomes for medicines affordability Philippines: Republic Act No. 7581 (1992), Republic Act No. 9502 (2008) Chile: Law No. 20584 (2012) 4. Participation and consultation for medicines affordability Colombia: Law No. 100 (1993) Chile: Law No. 20850 (2015) 5. Monitoring and evaluation for medicines affordability Philippines: Republic Act No. 9502 (2008) Mexico: Regulations of the General Health Law in the matter of social protection in health (2014) 6. Accountability and redrease affordability Turkey: Patient Rights Regulation (2016) South Africa: National Health Arem Act No. 12 (2013) 7. Selection of sesential medicines Ghana: National Health Insurance Act No. 852 (2012) Indonesia: Law No. 108/2004 (2004), Law No. 36/2009 (2009) Uruguay: Law No. 18.211 (2007), Decree No. 265/006 (2006) 8. Sufficient government financing for essential medicines Rwanda: Law No. 03/2015 (2015) Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Nigeria: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Turkey: Law No. 510 (2006) 10. International assistance and technical cooperations for medicines Ghana: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Turkey: Law No. 510 (2006) 11. Efficient and cost-effective spending on essential medicines Ghana: National Health Act No. 8 (2004) Mexico: Internal Regulations of the Health Secretariat of 19 January 2004 11. Efficient and cost-effective spending on essential medicines Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013), Republic Act No. 7581 (1992), Republic Act No. 9502 (2008) Indonesia: Regulation No. 28/2014 (2014), Law No. 36/2009 (2009) 12. Financial Decree No. 1 (2006), Law No. 36/2009 (2009) 13. Heatth Insuranc	2. State duty to provide pharmaceuticals
 S. Transparency of governments' action and outcomes for medicines affordability Philippines: Republic Act No. 7581 (1992), Republic Act No. 9502 (2008) Chile: Law No. 20584 (2012) 4. Participation and consultation for medicines affordability Colombia: Law No. 100 (1993) Chile: Law No. 20850 (2015) 5. Monitoring and evaluation for medicines affordability Philippines: Republic Act No. 9502 (2008) Mexico: Regulations of the General Health Law in the matter of social protection in health (2014) 6. Accountability and redress for medicines affordability Turkey: Patient Rights Regulation (2016) South Africa: National Health Amendment Act No. 12 (2013) 7. Selection of essential medicines Ghana: National Health Amendment Act No. 12 (2013) 7. Selection of essential medicines Ghana: National Health Amendment Act No. 12 (2013) 8. Sufficient government financing for essential medicines Rumada: Law No. 402/004 (2004), Law No. 36/2009 (2009) Uruguay: Law No. 18.211 (2007), Decree No. 265/006 (2006) 8. Sufficient government financing for essential medicines Rwanda: Law No. 03/2015 (2015) Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Nigeria: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Nigeria: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Nigeria: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Phil	Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013)
Philippines: Republic Act No. 7581 (1992), Republic Act No. 9502 (2008) Chile: Law No. 20384 (2012) 4. Participation and consultation for medicines affordability Colombia: Law No. 100 (1993) Chile: Law No. 20850 (2015) 5. Monitoring and evaluation for medicines affordability Philippines: Republic Act No. 9502 (2008) Mexico:: Regulations of the General Health Law in the matter of social protection in health (2014) 6. Accountability and redress for medicines affordability Turkey: Patient Rights Regulation (2016) South Africa: National Health Amendment Act No. 12 (2013) 7. Selection of essential medicines Ghana: National Health Insurance Act No. 852 (2012) Indonesia: Law No. 03/2004 (2004), Law No. 36/2009 (2009) Uruguay: Law No. 18.211 (2007), Decree No. 265/006 (2006) 8. Sufficient government financing for essential medicines Rwanda: Law No. 03/2015 (2015) Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Nigeria: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Nigeria: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Nigeria: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Prooling user contributions for essential medicines Ghana: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Turkey: Law No. 5510 (2006) 10. Internation	Mexico: General Health Law (2017)
Chile: Law No. 20584 (2012) 4. Participation and consultation for medicines affordability Colombia: Law No. 100 (1993) Chile: Law No. 20850 (2015) 5. Monitoring and evaluation for medicines affordability Philippines: Republic Act No. 9502 (2008) Mexico: Regulations of the General Health Law in the matter of social protection in health (2014) 6. Accountability and redress for medicines affordability Turkey: Patient Rights Regulation (2016) South Africa: National Health Amendment Act No. 12 (2013) 7. Selection of essential medicines Ghana: National Health Insurance Act No. 852 (2012) Indonesia: Law No. 40/2004 (2004), Law No. 36/2009 (2009) Uruguay: Law No. 18.211 (2007), Decree No. 265/006 (2006) 8. Sufficient government financing for essential medicines Rwanda: Law No. 03/2015 (2015) Philippines: National Health Insurance Act No. 852 (2012) Morreco: Law No. 03/2015 (2015) Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Nigeria: Stational Health Insurance Act (2013) Nigeria: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Nigeria: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Turkey: Law No. 5510 (2006) 10. International assistance and technical cooperations for medicines affordability Nigeria: National Health Act No. 8 (2004) Mexico: Internal Regulations of the Health Secretariat of 19 January 2004 11. Efficient and cost-effective spending on essential medicines Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013), Republic Act No. 7581 (1992), Republic Act No. 9502 (2008) Indonesia: Regulation No. 28/2014 (2014), Law No. 36/2009 (2009) 12. Financial protection of the poor and Vulnerable Chile: Ministerial Decree No. 1 (2006), Law No. 19966 (2004), Law No. 20850 (2015) Colombia: Law No. 1751 (2015) Jordan: Civil Health Instrance of 2016, Decision of Council of Ministers No. 5157 on 13/8/2014, Instructions (to include pregnant women in civil	3. Transparency of governments' action and outcomes for medicines affordability
 4. Participation and consultation for medicines affordability Colombia: Law No. 100 (1993) Chile: Law No. 20850 (2015) 5. Monitoring and evaluation for medicines affordability Philippines: Republic Act No. 9502 (2008) Mexico: Regulations of the General Health Law in the matter of social protection in health (2014) 6. Accountability and redress for medicines affordability Turkey: Patient Rights Regulation (2016) South Africa: National Health Amendment Act No. 12 (2013) 7. Selection of essential medicines Ghana: National Health Insurance Act No. 852 (2012) Indonesia: Law No. 40/2004 (2004), Law No. 36/2009 (2009) Uurguay: Law No. 18.211 (2007). Decree No. 265/006 (2006) 8. Sufficient government financing for essential medicines Rwanda: Law No. 03/2015 (2015) Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Nigeria: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Nigeria: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Nigeria: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Philippines: National Health Act No. 8 (2004) Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Philippines: National Health Act No. 8 (2004) Mexico: Internal Regulations of the Health Secretariat of 19 January 2004 11. Efficient and cost-effective spending on essential medicines Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013), Republic Act No. 7581 (1992), Republic Act No. 9502 (2008) Indonesia: Regulation No. 28/2014 (2014), Law No. 356	Philippines: Republic Act No. 7581 (1992), Republic Act No. 9502 (2008)
Colombia: Law No. 100 (1993) Chile: Law No. 20850 (2015) 5. Monitoring and evaluation for medicines affordability Philippines: Republic Act No. 9502 (2008) Mexico: Regulations of the General Health Law in the matter of social protection in health (2014) 6. Accountability and redress for medicines affordability Turkey: Patient Rights Regulation (2016) South Africa: National Health Amendment Act No. 12 (2013) 7. Selection of essential medicines Ghana: National Health Insurance Act No. 852 (2012) Indonesia: Law No. 40/2004 (2004), Law No. 36/2009 (2009) Uruguay: Law No. 1321 (2007), Decree No. 265/006 (2006) 8. Sufficient government financing for essential medicines Rwanda: Law No. 03/2015 (2015) Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Nigeria: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Nigeria: National Health Act No. 8 (2004) 9. Pooling user contributions for essential medicines Ghana: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Nigeria: National Health Insurance Act (2013) 10. International Health Insurance Act (2013) 11. Efficient and cost-effective spending on essential medicines affordability Nigeria: National Health Act No. 8 (2004) 10. International assistance and technical cooperations for medicines affordability Nigeria: National Health Act No. 8 (2004) Mexico: Internal Regulations of the Health Secretariat of 19 January 2004 11. Efficient and cost-effective spending on essential medicines Philippines: National Health Act No. 8 (2004) Mexico: Internal Regulation No. 28/2014 (2014), Law No. 36/2009 (2009) 12. Financial protection of the poor and vulnerable Chile: Ministerial Decree No. 1, 12006, (2004), Law No. 7581 (1992), Republic Act No. 9502 (2008) Indonesia: Regulation No. 28/2014 (2014), Law No. 36/2009 (2009) 12. Financial protection of the poor and vulnerable Chile: Ministerial Decree No. 1, 12006, Law No. 19966 (2004), Law No. 2	Chile: Law No. 20584 (2012)
Chile: Law No. 20850 (2015) 5. Monitoring and evaluation for medicines affordability Philippines: Republic Act No. 9502 (2008) Mexico: Regulations of the General Health Law in the matter of social protection in health (2014) 6. Accountability and redress for medicines affordability Turkey: Patient Rights Regulation (2016) South Africa: National Health Amendment Act No. 12 (2013) 7. Selection of essential medicines Ghana: National Health Amendment Act No. 852 (2012) Indonesia: Law No. 402004 (2004), Law No. 362009 (2009) Uruguay: Law No. 18.211 (2007), Decree No. 265/006 (2006) 8. Sufficient government financing for essential medicines Rwanda: Law No. 372015 (2015) Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Nigeria: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Nigeria: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Nigeria: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Turkey: Law No. 5510 (2006) 10. International assistance and technical cooperations for medicines affordability Nigeria: National Health Act No. 8 (2004) Mexico: Internal Regulations of the Health Secretariat of 19 January 2004 11. Efficient and cost-effective spending on essential medicines Philippines: National Health Act No. 8 (2004) 12. Financial protection of the poor and vulnerable Chile: Ministerial Decree No. 1 (2006), Law No. 362009 (2009) 12. Financial protection of the poor and vulnerable Chile: Ministerial Decree No. 1 (2006, Law No. 19966 (2004), Law No. 20850 (2015) Colombia: Law No. 1751 (2015) Jordan: Civil Health Insurance of 2016, Decision of Council of Ministers No. 5157 on 13/8/2014, Instructions (to include pregnant women in civil	4. Participation and consultation for medicines affordability
 5. Monitoring and evaluation for medicines affordability Philippines: Republic Act No. 9502 (2008) Mexico: Regulations of the General Health Law in the matter of social protection in health (2014) 6. Accountability and redress for medicines affordability Turkey: Patient Rights Regulation (2016) South Africa: National Health Amendment Act No. 12 (2013) 7. Selection of essential medicines Ghana: National Health Insurance Act No. 852 (2012) Indonesia: Law No. 40/2004 (2004), Law No. 36/2009 (2009) Uruguay: Law No. 40/21 (2007), Decree No. 265/006 (2006) 8. Sufficient government financing for essential medicines Rwanda: Law No. 03/2015 (2015) Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Nigeria: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Nigeria: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Nigeria: National Health Insurance Act No. 852 (2012) Morocco: Law 65-00 (2002), Decree No. 2-08-177 (2008) Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Turkey: Law No. 5510 (2006) 10. International assistance and technical cooperations for medicines affordability Nigeria: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Turkey: Law No. 5510 (2006) 11. International assistance and technical cooperations for medicines affordability Nigeria: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Turkey: Law No. 5510 (2006) 12. International assistance and technical cooperations for medicines affordability Nigeria: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Turkey: Law No. 5210 (2004) 41. Efficient and cost-effective spending on essential medicines Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013), Republic Act No. 7521 (1992), Republic Act No. 9502 (2008) Indonesia: Regulations of the Health Sceretariat of 19 January 2004 12. Financial protection of the poor and vulnerable Chile	Colombia: Law No. 100 (1993)
 Philippines: Republic Act No. 9502 (2008) Mexico: Regulations of the General Health Law in the matter of social protection in health (2014) 6. Accountability and redress for medicines affordability Turkey: Patient Rights Regulation (2016) South Africa: National Health Amendment Act No. 12 (2013) 7. Selection of essential medicines Ghana: National Health Insurance Act No. 852 (2012) Indonesia: Law No. 40/2004 (2004), Law No. 36/2009 (2009) Uruguay: Law No. 18.211 (2007), Decree No. 265/006 (2006) 8. Sufficient government financing for essential medicines Rwanda: Law No. 03/2015 (2015) Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Nigeria: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Nigeria: National Health Insurance Act No. 852 (2012) Morocco: Law 65-00 (2002), Decree No. 265/006 (2006) 9. Pooling user contributions for essential medicines Ghana: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Nigeria: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Nigeria: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Turkey: Law No. 5510 (2006) 10. International assistance and technical cooperations for medicines affordability Nigeria: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Turkey: Law No. 5510 (2006) 10. International assistance and technical cooperations for medicines affordability Nigeria: National Health Insurance Act (2013), Republic Act No. 7581 (1992), Republic Act No. 9502 (2008) Indonesia: Regulation of the poor and vulnerable Chile: Ministerial Decree No. 1 (2006), Law No. 19966 (2004), Law No. 20850 (2015) Colombia: Law No. 1751 (2015) Jordan: Civil Health Insurance of 2016, Decision of Council of Ministers No. 5157 on 13/8/2014, Instructions (to include pregnant women in civil 	Chile: Law No. 20850 (2015)
Mexico: Regulations of the General Health Law in the matter of social protection in health (2014) 6. Accountability and redress for medicines affordability Turkey: Patient Rights Regulation (2016) South Africa: National Health Amendment Act No. 12 (2013) 7. Selection of essential medicines Ghana: National Health Insurance Act No. 852 (2012) Indonesia: Law No. 40/2004 (2004), Law No. 36/2009 (2009) Uruguay: Law No. 18.211 (2007), Decree No. 265/006 (2006) 8. Sufficient government financing for essential medicines Rwanda: Law No. 03/2015 (2015) Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Nigeria: National Health Act No. 8 (2004) 9. Pooling user contributions for essential medicines Ghana: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Nigeria: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Outery: Law No. 5510 (2006) 9. Pooling user contributions for essential medicines Ghana: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Turkey: Law No. 5510 (2006) 10. International asistance and technical cooperations for medicines affordability Nigeria: National Health Act No. 8 (2004) Mexico: Internal Regulations of the Health Secretariat of 19 January 2004 11. Efficient and cost-effective spending on essential medicines Philippines: National Health I	5. Monitoring and evaluation for medicines affordability
 6. Accountability and redress for medicines affordability Turkey: Patient Rights Regulation (2016) South Africa: National Health Amendment Act No. 12 (2013) 7. Selection of essential medicines Ghana: National Health Insurance Act No. 852 (2012) Indonesia: Law No. 40/2004 (2004), Law No. 36/2009 (2009) Uruguay: Law No. 18.211 (2007), Decree No. 265/006 (2006) 8. Sufficient government financing for essential medicines Rwanda: Law No. 03/2015 (2015) Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Nigeria: National Health At No. 8 (2004) 9. Pooling user contributions for essential medicines Ghana: National Health Insurance Act No. 852 (2012) Morocco: Law 65-00 (2002), Decree No. 258 (2012) Morocco: Law 65-00 (2002), Decree No. 2-08-177 (2008) Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Turkey: Law No. 5510 (2006) 10. International assistance and technical cooperations for medicines affordability Nigeria: National Health Act No. 8 (2004) Mexico: Internal Regulations of the Health Secretariat of 19 January 2004 11. Efficient and cost-effective spending on essential medicines Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013), Republic Act No. 7581 (1992), Republic Act No. 9502 (2008) Indonesia: Regulation No. 28/2014 (2014), Law No. 36/2009 (2009) 12. Financial protection of the poor and vulnerable Chile: Ministerial Decree No. 1 (2006), Law No. 1996 (2004), Law No. 20850 (2015) Colombia: Law No. 1751 (2015) Jordan: Civil Health Insurance (2016), Decision of Council of Ministers No. 5157 on 13/8/2014, Instructions (to include pregnant women in civil 	Philippines: Republic Act No. 9502 (2008)
Turkey: Patient Rights Regulation (2016) South Africa: National Health Amendment Act No. 12 (2013) 7. Selection of essential medicines Ghana: National Health Insurance Act No. 852 (2012) Indonesia: Law No. 40/2004 (2004), Law No. 36/2009 (2009) Uruguay: Law No. 18.211 (2007), Decree No. 265/006 (2006) 8. Sufficient government financing for essential medicines Rwanda: Law No. 03/2015 (2015) Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Nigeria: National Health Act No. 8 (2004) 9. Pooling user contributions for essential medicines Ghana: National Health Insurance Act No. 852 (2012) Morocco: Law 65-00 (2002), Decree No. 2-08-177 (2008) Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Turkey: Law No. 5510 (2006) 10. International assistance and technical cooperations for medicines affordability Nigeria: National Health Act No. 8 (2004) Mexico: Internal Regulations of the Health Secretariat of 19 January 2004 11. Efficient and cost-effective spending on essential medicines Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013), Republic Act No. 7581 (1992), Republic Act No. 9502 (2008) Indonesia: Regulation No. 28/2014 (2014), Law No. 36/2009 (2009) 12. Financial protection of the poor and vulnerable Chile: Ministerial Decree No. 1 (2006), Law No. 19966 (2004), Law No. 20850 (2015) Colombia: Law No. 1751 (2015) Jordan: Civil Health Insurance (2016, Decision of Council of Ministers No. 5157 on 13/8/2014, Instructions (to include pregnant women in civil	Mexico: Regulations of the General Health Law in the matter of social protection in health (2014)
South Africa: National Health Amendment Act No. 12 (2013) 7. Selection of essential medicines Ghana: National Health Insurance Act No. 852 (2012) Indonesia: Law No. 40/2004 (2004), Law No. 36/2009 (2009) Uruguay: Law No. 40.211 (2007), Decree No. 265/006 (2006) 8. Sufficient government financing for essential medicines Rwanda: Law No. 03/2015 (2015) Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Nigeria: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Nigeria: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Morocco: Law 65-00 (2002), Decree No. 2-08-177 (2008) Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Turkey: Law No. 5510 (2006) 10. International assistance and technical cooperations for medicines affordability Nigeria: National Health Act No. 8 (2004) Mexico: Internal Regulations of the Health Secretariat of 19 January 2004 11. Efficient and cost-effective spending on essential medicines Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013), Republic Act No. 7581 (1992), Republic Act No. 9502 (2008) Indonesia: Regulation No. 28/2014 (2014), Law No. 36/2009 (2009) 12. Financial protection of the poor and vulnerable Chile: Ministerial Decree No. 1 (2006), Law No. 1996 (2004), Law No. 20850 (2015) Colombia: Law No. 1751 (2015) Jordan: Civil Health Insurance of 2016, Decision of Council of Ministers No. 5157 on 13/8/2014, Instructions (to include pregnant women in civil	6. Accountability and redress for medicines affordability
 7. Selection of essential medicines Ghana: National Health Insurance Act No. 852 (2012) Indonesia: Law No. 40/2004 (2004), Law No. 36/2009 (2009) Uruguay: Law No. 18.211 (2007), Decree No. 265/006 (2006) 8. Sufficient government financing for essential medicines Rwanda: Law No. 03/2015 (2015) Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Nigeria: National Health Act No. 8 (2004) 9. Pooling user contributions for essential medicines Ghana: National Health Insurance Act (2012) Morocco: Law 65-00 (2002), Decree No. 2-08-177 (2008) Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Turkey: Law No. 5510 (2006) 10. International assistance and technical cooperations for medicines affordability Nigeria: National Health Act No. 8 (2004) Mexico: Internal Regulations of the Health Secretariat of 19 January 2004 11. Efficient and cost-effective spending on essential medicines Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013), Republic Act No. 7581 (1992), Republic Act No. 9502 (2008) Indonesia: Regulation No. 28/2014 (2014), Law No. 36/2009 (2009) 12. Financial protection of the poor and vulnerable Chile: Ministerial Decree No. 1 (2006), Law No. 19966 (2004), Law No. 20850 (2015) Colombia: Law No. 1751 (2015) Jordan: Civil Health Insurance of 2016, Decision of Council of Ministers No. 5157 on 13/8/2014, Instructions (to include pregnant women in civil 	Turkey: Patient Rights Regulation (2016)
Ghana: National Health Insurance Act No. 852 (2012) Indonesia: Law No. 40/2004 (2004), Law No. 36/2009 (2009) Uruguay: Law No. 18.211 (2007), Decree No. 265/006 (2006) 8. Sufficient government financing for essential medicines Rwanda: Law No. 03/2015 (2015) Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Nigeria: National Health Act No. 8 (2004) 9. Pooling user contributions for essential medicines Ghana: National Health Insurance Act (2012) Morocco: Law 65-00 (2002), Decree No. 2-08-177 (2008) Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Turkey: Law No. 5510 (2006) 10. International assistance and technical cooperations for medicines affordability Nigeria: National Health Act No. 8 (2004) Mexico: Internal Regulations of the Health Secretariat of 19 January 2004 11. Efficient and cost-effective spending on essential medicines Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013), Republic Act No. 7581 (1992), Republic Act No. 9502 (2008) Indonesia: Regulation No. 28/2014 (2014), Law No. 36/2009 (2009) 12. Financial protection of the poor and vulnerable Chile: Ministerial Decree No. 1 (2006), Law No. 19966 (2004), Law No. 20850 (2015) Colombia: Law No. 1751 (2015) Jordan: Civil Health Insurance of 2016, Decision of Council of Ministers No. 5157 on 13/8/2014, Instructions (to include pregnant women in civil	South Africa: National Health Amendment Act No. 12 (2013)
Indonesia: Law No. 40/2004 (2004), Law No. 36/2009 (2009) Uruguay: Law No. 18.211 (2007), Decree No. 265/006 (2006) 8. Sufficient government financing for essential medicines Rwanda: Law No. 03/2015 (2015) Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Nigeria: National Health Insurance Act (2013) 9. Pooling user contributions for essential medicines Ghana: National Health Insurance Act No. 852 (2012) Morocco: Law 65-00 (2002), Decree No. 2-08-177 (2008) Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Turkey: Law No. 5510 (2006) 10. International assistance and technical cooperations for medicines affordability Nigeria: National Health Act No. 8 (2004) Mexico: Internal Regulations of the Health Secretariat of 19 January 2004 11. Efficient and cost-effective spending on essential medicines Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013), Republic Act No. 7581 (1992), Republic Act No. 9502 (2008) Indonesia: Regulation No. 28/2014 (2014), Law No. 36/2009 (2009) 12. Financial protection of the poor and vulnerable Chile: Ministerial Decree No. 1 (2006), Law No. 19966 (2004), Law No. 20850 (2015) Colombia: Law No. 1751 (2015) Jordan: Civil Health Insurance of 2016, Decision of Council of Ministers No. 5157 on 13/8/2014, Instructions (to include pregnant women in civil	7. Selection of essential medicines
Uruguay: Law No. 18.211 (2007), Decree No. 265/006 (2006) 8. Sufficient government financing for essential medicines Rwanda: Law No. 03/2015 (2015) Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Nigeria: National Health Act No. 8 (2004) 9. Pooling user contributions for essential medicines Ghana: National Health Insurance Act No. 852 (2012) Morocco: Law 65-00 (2002), Decree No. 2-08-177 (2008) Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Turkey: Law No. 5510 (2006) 10. International assistance and technical cooperations for medicines affordability Nigeria: National Health Act No. 8 (2004) Mexico: Internal Regulations of the Health Scretariat of 19 January 2004 11. Efficient and cost-effective spending on essential medicines Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013), Republic Act No. 7581 (1992), Republic Act No. 9502 (2008) Indonesia: Regulation No. 28/2014 (2014), Law No. 36/2009 (2009) 12. Financial protection of the poor and vulnerable Chile: Ministerial Decree No. 1 (2006), Law No. 19966 (2004), Law No. 20850 (2015) Colombia: Law No. 1751 (2015) Jordan: Civil Health Insurance of 2016, Decision of Council of Ministers No. 5157 on 13/8/2014, Instructions (to include pregnant women in civil	Ghana: National Health Insurance Act No. 852 (2012)
 8. Sufficient government financing for essential medicines Rwanda: Law No. 03/2015 (2015) Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Nigeria: National Health Act No. 8 (2004) 9. Pooling user contributions for essential medicines Ghana: National Health Insurance Act No. 852 (2012) Morocco: Law 65-00 (2002), Decree No. 2-08-177 (2008) Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Turkey: Law No. 5510 (2006) 10. International assistance and technical cooperations for medicines affordability Nigeria: National Health Act No. 8 (2004) Mexico: Internal Regulations of the Health Secretariat of 19 January 2004 11. Efficient and cost-effective spending on essential medicines Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013), Republic Act No. 7581 (1992), Republic Act No. 9502 (2008) Indonesia: Regulation No. 28/2014 (2014), Law No. 36/2009 (2009) 12. Financial Decree No. 1 (2006), Law No. 19966 (2004), Law No. 20850 (2015) Colombia: Law No. 1751 (2015) Jordan: Civil Health Insurance of 2016, Decision of Council of Ministers No. 5157 on 13/8/2014, Instructions (to include pregnant women in civil 	Indonesia: Law No. 40/2004 (2004), Law No. 36/2009 (2009)
Rwanda: Law No. 03/2015 (2015)Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013)Nigeria: National Health Act No. 8 (2004)9. Pooling user contributions for essential medicinesGhana: National Health Insurance Act No. 852 (2012)Morocco: Law 65-00 (2002), Decree No. 2-08-177 (2008)Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013)Turkey: Law No. 5510 (2006)10. International assistance and technical cooperations for medicines affordabilityNigeria: National Health Act No. 8 (2004)Mexico: Internal Regulations of the Health Secretariat of 19 January 200411. Efficient and cost-effective spending on essential medicinesPhilippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013), Republic Act No. 7581 (1992), Republic Act No. 9502 (2008)Indonesia: Regulation No. 28/2014 (2014), Law No. 36/2009 (2009)12. Financial protection of the poor and vulnerableChile: Ministerial Decree No. 1 (2006), Law No. 19966 (2004), Law No. 20850 (2015)Colombia: Law No. 7511 (2015)Jordan: Civil Health Insurance of 2016, Decision of Council of Ministers No. 5157 on 13/8/2014, Instructions (to include pregnant women in civil	Uruguay: Law No. 18.211 (2007), Decree No. 265/006 (2006)
 Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Nigeria: National Health Act No. 8 (2004) 9. Pooling user contributions for essential medicines Ghana: National Health Insurance Act No. 852 (2012) Morocco: Law 65-00 (2002), Decree No. 2-08-177 (2008) Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Turkey: Law No. 5510 (2006) 10. International assistance and technical cooperations for medicines affordability Nigeria: National Health Act No. 8 (2004) Mexico: Internal Regulations of the Health Secretariat of 19 January 2004 11. Efficient and cost-effective spending on essential medicines Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013), Republic Act No. 7581 (1992), Republic Act No. 9502 (2008) Indonesia: Regulation No. 28/2014 (2014), Law No. 36/2009 (2009) 12. Financial protection of the poor and vulnerable Chile: Ministerial Decree No. 1 (2006), Law No. 19966 (2004), Law No. 20850 (2015) Colombia: Law No. 1751 (2015) Jordan: Civil Health Insurance of 2016, Decision of Council of Ministers No. 5157 on 13/8/2014, Instructions (to include pregnant women in civil 	8. Sufficient government financing for essential medicines
Nigeria: National Health Act No. 8 (2004) 9. Pooling user contributions for essential medicines Ghana: National Health Insurance Act No. 852 (2012) Morocco: Law 65-00 (2002), Decree No. 2-08-177 (2008) Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Turkey: Law No. 5510 (2006) 10. International assistance and technical cooperations for medicines affordability Nigeria: National Health Act No. 8 (2004) Mexico: Internal Regulations of the Health Secretariat of 19 January 2004 11. Efficient and cost-effective spending on essential medicines Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013), Republic Act No. 7581 (1992), Republic Act No. 9502 (2008) Indonesia: Regulation No. 28/2014 (2014), Law No. 36/2009 (2009) 12. Financial protection of the poor and vulnerable Chile: Ministerial Decree No. 1 (2006), Law No. 19966 (2004), Law No. 20850 (2015) Colombia: Law No. 1751 (2015) Jordan: Civil Health Insurance of 2016, Decision of Council of Ministers No. 5157 on 13/8/2014, Instructions (to include pregnant women in civil	Rwanda: Law No. 03/2015 (2015)
 9. Pooling user contributions for essential medicines Ghana: National Health Insurance Act No. 852 (2012) Morocco: Law 65-00 (2002), Decree No. 2-08-177 (2008) Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Turkey: Law No. 5510 (2006) 10. International assistance and technical cooperations for medicines affordability Nigeria: National Health Act No. 8 (2004) Mexico: Internal Regulations of the Health Secretariat of 19 January 2004 11. Efficient and cost-effective spending on essential medicines Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013), Republic Act No. 7581 (1992), Republic Act No. 9502 (2008) Indonesia: Regulation No. 28/2014 (2014), Law No. 36/2009 (2009) 12. Financial protection of the poor and vulnerable Chile: Ministerial Decree No. 1 (2006), Law No. 19966 (2004), Law No. 20850 (2015) Colombia: Law No. 1751 (2015) Jordan: Civil Health Insurance of 2016, Decision of Council of Ministers No. 5157 on 13/8/2014, Instructions (to include pregnant women in civil 	Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013)
 Ghana: National Health Insurance Act No. 852 (2012) Morocco: Law 65-00 (2002), Decree No. 2-08-177 (2008) Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Turkey: Law No. 5510 (2006) 10. International assistance and technical cooperations for medicines affordability Nigeria: National Health Act No. 8 (2004) Mexico: Internal Regulations of the Health Secretariat of 19 January 2004 11. Efficient and cost-effective spending on essential medicines Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013), Republic Act No. 7581 (1992), Republic Act No. 9502 (2008) Indonesia: Regulation No. 28/2014 (2014), Law No. 36/2009 (2009) 12. Financial protection of the poor and vulnerable Chile: Ministerial Decree No. 1 (2006), Law No. 19966 (2004), Law No. 20850 (2015) Colombia: Law No. 1751 (2015) Jordan: Civil Health Insurance of 2016, Decision of Council of Ministers No. 5157 on 13/8/2014, Instructions (to include pregnant women in civil 	Nigeria: National Health Act No. 8 (2004)
 Morocco: Law 65-00 (2002), Decree No. 2-08-177 (2008) Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Turkey: Law No. 5510 (2006) 10. International assistance and technical cooperations for medicines affordability Nigeria: National Health Act No. 8 (2004) Mexico: Internal Regulations of the Health Secretariat of 19 January 2004 11. Efficient and cost-effective spending on essential medicines Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013), Republic Act No. 7581 (1992), Republic Act No. 9502 (2008) Indonesia: Regulation No. 28/2014 (2014), Law No. 36/2009 (2009) 12. Financial protection of the poor and vulnerable Chile: Ministerial Decree No. 1 (2006), Law No. 19966 (2004), Law No. 20850 (2015) Colombia: Law No. 1751 (2015) Jordan: Civil Health Insurance of 2016, Decision of Council of Ministers No. 5157 on 13/8/2014, Instructions (to include pregnant women in civil 	9. Pooling user contributions for essential medicines
 Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013) Turkey: Law No. 5510 (2006) 10. International assistance and technical cooperations for medicines affordability Nigeria: National Health Act No. 8 (2004) Mexico: Internal Regulations of the Health Secretariat of 19 January 2004 11. Efficient and cost-effective spending on essential medicines Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013), Republic Act No. 7581 (1992), Republic Act No. 9502 (2008) Indonesia: Regulation No. 28/2014 (2014), Law No. 36/2009 (2009) 12. Financial protection of the poor and vulnerable Chile: Ministerial Decree No. 1 (2006), Law No. 19966 (2004), Law No. 20850 (2015) Colombia: Law No. 1751 (2015) Jordan: Civil Health Insurance of 2016, Decision of Council of Ministers No. 5157 on 13/8/2014, Instructions (to include pregnant women in civil 	Ghana: National Health Insurance Act No. 852 (2012)
 Turkey: Law No. 5510 (2006) 10. International assistance and technical cooperations for medicines affordability Nigeria: National Health Act No. 8 (2004) Mexico: Internal Regulations of the Health Secretariat of 19 January 2004 11. Efficient and cost-effective spending on essential medicines Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013), Republic Act No. 7581 (1992), Republic Act No. 9502 (2008) Indonesia: Regulation No. 28/2014 (2014), Law No. 36/2009 (2009) 12. Financial protection of the poor and vulnerable Chile: Ministerial Decree No. 1 (2006), Law No. 19966 (2004), Law No. 20850 (2015) Colombia: Law No. 1751 (2015) Jordan: Civil Health Insurance of 2016, Decision of Council of Ministers No. 5157 on 13/8/2014, Instructions (to include pregnant women in civil 	Morocco: Law 65-00 (2002), Decree No. 2-08-177 (2008)
 10. International assistance and technical cooperations for medicines affordability Nigeria: National Health Act No. 8 (2004) Mexico: Internal Regulations of the Health Secretariat of 19 January 2004 11. Efficient and cost-effective spending on essential medicines Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013), Republic Act No. 7581 (1992), Republic Act No. 9502 (2008) Indonesia: Regulation No. 28/2014 (2014), Law No. 36/2009 (2009) 12. Financial protection of the poor and vulnerable Chile: Ministerial Decree No. 1 (2006), Law No. 19966 (2004), Law No. 20850 (2015) Colombia: Law No. 1751 (2015) Jordan: Civil Health Insurance of 2016, Decision of Council of Ministers No. 5157 on 13/8/2014, Instructions (to include pregnant women in civil 	Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013)
Nigeria: National Health Act No. 8 (2004) Mexico: Internal Regulations of the Health Secretariat of 19 January 2004 11. Efficient and cost-effective spending on essential medicines Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013), Republic Act No. 7581 (1992), Republic Act No. 9502 (2008) Indonesia: Regulation No. 28/2014 (2014), Law No. 36/2009 (2009) 12. Financial protection of the poor and vulnerable Chile: Ministerial Decree No. 1 (2006), Law No. 19966 (2004), Law No. 20850 (2015) Colombia: Law No. 1751 (2015) Jordan: Civil Health Insurance of 2016, Decision of Council of Ministers No. 5157 on 13/8/2014, Instructions (to include pregnant women in civil	Turkey: Law No. 5510 (2006)
 Mexico: Internal Regulations of the Health Secretariat of 19 January 2004 11. Efficient and cost-effective spending on essential medicines Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013), Republic Act No. 7581 (1992), Republic Act No. 9502 (2008) Indonesia: Regulation No. 28/2014 (2014), Law No. 36/2009 (2009) 12. Financial protection of the poor and vulnerable Chile: Ministerial Decree No. 1 (2006), Law No. 19966 (2004), Law No. 20850 (2015) Colombia: Law No. 1751 (2015) Jordan: Civil Health Insurance of 2016, Decision of Council of Ministers No. 5157 on 13/8/2014, Instructions (to include pregnant women in civil 	10. International assistance and technical cooperations for medicines affordability
 11. Efficient and cost-effective spending on essential medicines Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013), Republic Act No. 7581 (1992), Republic Act No. 9502 (2008) Indonesia: Regulation No. 28/2014 (2014), Law No. 36/2009 (2009) 12. Financial protection of the poor and vulnerable Chile: Ministerial Decree No. 1 (2006), Law No. 19966 (2004), Law No. 20850 (2015) Colombia: Law No. 1751 (2015) Jordan: Civil Health Insurance of 2016, Decision of Council of Ministers No. 5157 on 13/8/2014, Instructions (to include pregnant women in civil 	Nigeria: National Health Act No. 8 (2004)
 Philippines: National Health Insurance Act (2013), Republic Act No. 7581 (1992), Republic Act No. 9502 (2008) Indonesia: Regulation No. 28/2014 (2014), Law No. 36/2009 (2009) 12. Financial protection of the poor and vulnerable Chile: Ministerial Decree No. 1 (2006), Law No. 19966 (2004), Law No. 20850 (2015) Colombia: Law No. 1751 (2015) Jordan: Civil Health Insurance of 2016, Decision of Council of Ministers No. 5157 on 13/8/2014, Instructions (to include pregnant women in civil 	Mexico: Internal Regulations of the Health Secretariat of 19 January 2004
Indonesia: Regulation No. 28/2014 (2014), Law No. 36/2009 (2009) 12. Financial protection of the poor and vulnerable Chile: Ministerial Decree No. 1 (2006), Law No. 19966 (2004), Law No. 20850 (2015) Colombia: Law No. 1751 (2015) Jordan: Civil Health Insurance of 2016, Decision of Council of Ministers No. 5157 on 13/8/2014, Instructions (to include pregnant women in civil	
 12. Financial protection of the poor and vulnerable Chile: Ministerial Decree No. 1 (2006), Law No. 19966 (2004), Law No. 20850 (2015) Colombia: Law No. 1751 (2015) Jordan: Civil Health Insurance of 2016, Decision of Council of Ministers No. 5157 on 13/8/2014, Instructions (to include pregnant women in civil) 	
Chile: Ministerial Decree No. 1 (2006), Law No. 19966 (2004), Law No. 20850 (2015) Colombia: Law No. 1751 (2015) Jordan: Civil Health Insurance of 2016, Decision of Council of Ministers No. 5157 on 13/8/2014, Instructions (to include pregnant women in civil	Indonesia: Regulation No. 28/2014 (2014), Law No. 36/2009 (2009)
Colombia: Law No. 1751 (2015) Jordan: Civil Health Insurance of 2016, Decision of Council of Ministers No. 5157 on 13/8/2014, Instructions (to include pregnant women in civil	12. Financial protection of the poor and vulnerable
Jordan: Civil Health Insurance of 2016, Decision of Council of Ministers No. 5157 on 13/8/2014, Instructions (to include pregnant women in civil	Chile: Ministerial Decree No. 1 (2006), Law No. 19966 (2004), Law No. 20850 (2015)
health insurance) No. 9 (2006), Instructions No. 3 (2008)	
	health insurance) No. 9 (2006), Instructions No. 3 (2008)

(South Africa, Ghana, Philippines, Mexico, Uruguay, Colombia), the rights of patients (South Africa, Nigeria, Rwanda, Ghana, Turkey, Chile) and the complaints procedure (South Africa, Nigeria, Ghana, Colombia, Chile).

Participation and consultation

Patient/consumer participation in domestic pharmaceutical policy is permitted by law in Colombia (users who are trained physicians can join the Pharmacy Commission), Chile (patients may participate in the technical advisory commission recommending priority for high cost medicines) and Mexico (community participation, including to inform the authorities about medicines-related side effects and adverse reactions).

General community participation or empowerment in relation to health involve users in national consultation forums, decisionmaking bodies, National Health Council or Patient Rights Boards (Colombia, Mexico, Philippines, Rwanda, South Africa, Tanzania, Turkey and Uruguay). Laws require health authorities and governing boards to include geographic representatives and women (Nigeria, Ghana), workers (Algeria) or a representative of the national league for the defence of human rights (Tunisia). Jordan and Indonesia do not address the concept of participation.

Monitoring and evaluation

The Philippines' Act on Universal Access and Quality Medicines is the only law in our sample to adopt a patient-centred approach to monitoring medicines prices and affordability. It requires regular surveys of sales prices of medicines and their effect on the household income of different economic groups. Other medicines-specific monitoring is mentioned in laws from Nigeria ('good drug use'), Algeria ('the market situation' of medicines). Mexican legislation requires a periodic evaluation of the healthcare system related to eliminating financial and organisational barriers to accessing services and the access and supply of medicines. All countries except Turkey prescribe general monitoring of the health insurance or system.

Accountability and redress

The right to complain is codified in the domestic health legislation of Colombia, Chile, South Africa and Nigeria (about the manner of treatment), Turkey (in the event of infringement of patient rights), and Mexico and Rwanda (in relation to pharmacy services and code of ethics).

A detailed complaints procedure for patients who experience alleged violations of their health rights is described in legislation from South Africa, Ghana, and Indonesia. Innovative accountability mechanisms include a grievance committee at each health institution to decide on complaints (Philippines), a patient ombudsman to initiate or pursue complaints (South Africa), and patient rights units at health centres (Turkey). Most remaining countries reference a complaint or dispute settlement mechanism in health law (Nigeria, Rwanda, Tanzania, Algeria, Uruguay, Chile, Mexico). No accountability and redress mechanisms were identified in Tunisian, Moroccan or Jordanian law.

Selection of essential medicines

In Colombia, Ghana, Indonesia and Nigeria, the concept of essential medicines informs the pharmaceutical benefits package or the medicines provided in public centres, and their selection criteria, procedure and periodicity. Pharmaceuticals in other UHC packages are referred to as those on the national drug list (Rwanda), national formulary (Chile and Uruguay), explicit medical benefits (Mexico) and reimbursed medicines (Algeria). In Mexico, the criteria for prioritizing essential services are: the financial sustainability of the system, epidemiological profile and health needs, level of medical attention, which interventions are already covered and the principles of equity and distributive justice.

Government financing

Nigeria is the only country to codify the State duty to allocate funds (specifically 20% of the Basic Health Care Provision Fund) to provide essential medicines, vaccines and consumables for primary care. Colombia, Mexico, the Philippines and Turkey have a similar text but lack an explicit focus on essential medicines. In the Philippines, the government guarantees the financial viability of the health insurance program, which includes pharmaceuticals.

Pool user contributions

Mandatory pre-payment of UHC contributions is codified in domestic law in Colombia, Chile, Ghana, Indonesia, Jordan, Mexico, Morocco, the Philippines, Rwanda, Tunisia and Turkey. These laws require user contribution toward health insurance except for those unable to pay.

International assistance and technical cooperation

Mexico is the only country to embed technical cooperation with the international community for health technology assessment in law. Chilean law permits contributions from the international community to the Fund for High-Cost Diagnostics and Treatments. Colombia and Chile are also part of the Andean Agreement Decisions (called REMSAA resolutions) for medicines. Other countries engage in international cooperation and technical assistance for other health matters (Indonesia, Nigeria, Turkey, South Africa).

Efficient and cost-effective spending

The principle of efficient/cost-effective spending and related policy measures are codified in legislation from Colombia, Chile, Mexico, Uruguay, Indonesia, Turkey and the Philippines. Mechanisms for efficiencies include a positive list for health insurance (Jordan, Uruguay) that is based on prioritization (Chile, Mexico), exclusion criteria for medicines reimbursement (Colombia) based on health technology assessment (Indonesia, the Philippines), reference pricing (Algeria, Tunisia, Morocco), price ceilings/maximum retail prices (Rwanda, Philippines), regular pricing review (Ghana), generic promotion and/or substitution (Ghana, Algeria, Morocco, Mexico, South Africa) and the establishment of a national committee on medicines pricing (Turkey, Colombia) or a committee to study medicines prices (Algeria). Of notable mention is the Philippine [Medicines] Price Act that prohibits profiteering and permits medicines price freezes in emergency situations or excessively high prices.

Financial protection of vulnerable groups

The government finances universal access to basic health insurance for the impoverished in Mexico, the Philippines, Indonesia, Colombia and Chile. In Jordan, healthcare is provided free of charge to pregnant women and children, and to the poor who opt-in to insurance for a substantially reduced fee. Vulnerable groups and the impoverished are conditionally exempt from contributions for health services in Moroccan, Nigerian, Rwandan, Tanzanian, Ghanaian and Turkish law.

Care is provided free of charge in public centres in Algeria (for people in difficulty), Tunisia (preventative and general health services up to a geographic quota), South Africa (primary care to all and health services to pregnant women and children under 6 years) and Rwanda (medicines and reproductive health care).

Trends

We identified trends (described below), but, no significant relationships between specific principles and income economies.

Discussion

Our study presents an assessment tool for access to medicines in national law and a cross-national snapshot of legal texts from 16 mostly LMICs. Legal rights and State obligations toward medicines are often embedded in national UHC law, while most principles for good governance are much less common. Some technical principles to implement medicines affordability and financing are frequently embedded in national UHC law (i.e. pooled user contributions and financial coverage for the vulnerable), while other principles are infrequent (i.e. sufficient government financing) to almost absent (i.e. seeking international cooperation). We also identified several trends in the legal text of countries from different levels of development (see below). To our knowledge, this is the first study to provide an in-depth qualitative analysis of legal text for access to medicines by systematically collecting and assessing domestic legislation against an assessment tool based on WHO's policies on essential medicines and international human rights law. Our assessment tool serves as both a checklist for assessing national law and policy, and a 'wish list' to guide legal reform.

Trends in legislation

The core purpose of this research was to fill a critical gap in knowledge by describing and comparing legal provisions for access to medicines. In addition to this objective, we identify three legislative trends more common (albeit not significant) in the upper-middle income countries (and those recently graduated to high income) than low and lower-middle income countries that we sampled. These relationships should be interpreted as hypotheses for further exploration in a larger sample of countries and/or more data points, as follows.

Trend 1: Explicit individual rights and state obligations

Affluent countries tend to codify universal entitlements and government duties. Some even include the right to free care at public health centres (Algeria, South Africa). This vague entitlement lacks clear State obligations and lines of accountability. On the other hand, less affluent countries generally refrain from guaranteeing the right to basic healthcare for all.

Some countries from all economic classes protect public health in legislation by prohibiting the denial of emergency medical treatment (Nigeria, Algeria, South Africa). This legal guarantee concerns only ad-hoc care for the immediate continuation of life; it fails to take a holistic approach to health that includes disease prevention and health promotion in the absence of illness and treatment of chronic diseases.

Trend 2: Clear boundaries to entitlements and obligations

Inevitable boundaries must be established for basic health packages. No government can provide universal access to all possible health interventions. Affluent countries tend to limit the scope of medicines provided by adopting the principle of essential medicines and mechanisms for their selection in UHC law. These countries also often recognize the principle of cost-effectiveness in relation to medicine selection/reimbursement and use health technology assessment (HTA) as the mechanism. HTA is an objective, transparent and predictable method for establishing the boundaries of an essential health services package. It also shapes the population's legitimate expectations about which health interventions they are entitled to under UHC.

Conversely, less affluent countries define patients' entitlements to health interventions based on the principle of available public resources (Nigeria, Tunisia). Despite being a recognized principle in the right to health, the concept of 'available resources' yields vague obligations and opaque entitlements when transplanted in national legislation. State action and rights realization are difficult to assess against these flexible standards, complicating the redress of violations.

Trend 3: Mechanisms for accountability and redress

Legislation in affluent countries affirms the right to hold the government accountable and outlines procedures to seek redress for rights violations. The Turkish Patient Rights Regulation entitles patients with health needs that cannot be met presently to request the objective justification of the State's priority ranking on the basis of medical evidence. The right to question State decisions to provide some medicines but not others, and to receive a response, is the essence of accountability. South Africa has introduced a Patient Ombudsman who is responsible for investigating cases of rights violations in healthcare, based on complaints or his/her own initiative.

While less affluent countries do include some mechanisms for accountability and redress in their UHC laws, these are often limited to the (contributing) members of UHC schemes thereby excluding the general public who is not eligible for or cannot afford coverage (Ghana, Tanzania and Rwanda).

Policy implications for WHO Member States

Our findings respond to the legitimate concerns of policy makers who hesitate to embed human rights principles in domestic law out of concern that they may trigger (further) rights-based medicines litigation. We provide a menu of principles and legal texts that, when applied together, may help to prevent such spurious claims. These texts establish health entitlements with boundaries through objective criteria (including cost effectiveness), transparent and participatory decision-making processes, and non-judicial accountability mechanisms to redress violations before having to resort to the courts. Recognizing the legal boundaries of the right to access to medicines informs patients' reasonable expectations of their health system. It can also protect against excessive or unreasonable claims for immediate access to treatments at any cost. Starting from this basic package, governments should apply the human rights principle of progressive realization by continuously and expeditiously expanding the boundaries of access to medicines for all (Perehudoff *et al.*, 2016).

National law makers can undertake a 'check-up' of access to medicines using our assessment tool to identify strengths and weaknesses in existing domestic law. Our assessment tool and the example legal text can also be used as a guide for writing future legislation (Table 3). Particularly, less affluent countries may seek inspiration from the language that more affluent nations codify to develop their UHC schemes and scale-up access to medicines.

Policy implications for WHO

WHO should develop a publicly accessible online repository of national health legislation, as echoed by other global health researchers (Attaran et al., 2012). WHO should also publish technical advice for Member States legislating for access to medicines in UHC schemes, in line with the goal of WHO's 2016-30 Medicines & Health Products Strategic Programme (WHO, 2017). This advice can use our assessment tool as a starting point and expand on the examples presented. Our examples translate some recommendations of the WHO Consultative Group on Equity and UHC for making fair choices toward UHC into provisions for domestic law (WHO Consultative Group on Equity and Universal Health Coverage, 2014). WHO's technical advice could especially catalyse national governments to embed in their domestic legislation some of the under-addressed principles we identified (i.e. duty of government to sufficiently finance essential medicines and to seek international assistance).

Monitoring bodies (i.e. WHO, Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights) can use our results to expand their indicator of government commitment to health rights, which is currently a right to health in constitutional or other national law (Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights, 2012). Other sub-indicators could track specific State duties in national health legislation, such as for (1) the control of medicines prices, and (2) the sufficient financing of essential medicines for the poor and vulnerable. Embedding these sub-indicators in national law may have a more direct effect on patient-level access than governments codifying a constitutional right to health. Legalizing these State obligations can also support accountability and redress if rights are violated.

Future research

Our study of 16 mostly LMICs does not investigate other countries making important strides toward UHC, such as Thailand, Viet Nam, and Kyrgyzstan, because we lacked the language capacity. Future research should contribute additional analyses of legislation from these and other LMICs countries with UHC.

The private sector plays a crucial role in developing, manufacturing and supplying medicines, and is increasingly active in UHC schemes as a health insurer and provider (Chapman, 2016b). Future research should continue to examine rights-based legal approaches to regulating the private sector in the context of UHC (Hallo De Wolf and Toebes, 2016; Tsevelvaanchig *et al.*, 2018). National policies, particularly for pharmaceuticals, health and intellectual property, can instruct the development of health law or substitute it entirely by directing State policies and programming. Future research should investigate the content, implementation and impact of national policies in relation to access to medicines as part of the right to health.

The present study of UHC 'law on the books' does not examine the important question of how these laws are implemented in practice. In a different study, we conducted a follow-up report of eight right to health indicators of access to medicines in 194 countries (Perehudoff et al., 2018). We did not find any relationship between having a constitutional law supporting essential medicines or national medicines policy and process or outcome indicators relevant for the right to health (i.e. government spending on medicines, national availability of essential medicines, childhood immunization rates). However, our analysis did not include UHC legislation, was at a high level of abstraction and had data from fewer than half of the expected data points. Therefore, we recommend that future studies elucidate how the laws in the present study are implemented through in-depth country case studies with more detailed and disaggregated sub-indicators, possibly based on the 24 indicators presented by the Lancet Commission on Essential Medicines Policies (Wirtz et al., 2016). Subsequent research should also study whether the human rights principles we investigated help improve governance, implementation and health outcomes for a more equitable and universal provision of healthcare in practice (Tremper et al., 2010).

Strengths and limitations

First, although our sample is not representative of all LMICs with UHC, it includes countries from all world regions with a diversity of legal traditions and income economies. Most LMICs should be able to locate a comparable country in our sample and learn from its examples.

Second, we minimized the risk of reporting bias by working with multiple, trained research assistants fluent in the national language. We also verified our collection of legislation and preliminary findings with national experts, except Nigeria and Algeria. Our sources are more objective than similar studies that rely on interpretations of law and policy in academic literature and from key informants. Yet, our conclusions only reflect the retrievable laws and may underestimate the observed trends.

Third, to minimize the risk of incorrect translations or inconsistent interpretation, all research assistants were trained in the standard terminology and definitions of the 12 principles. Translations from French, Indonesian and Spanish were peer reviewed.

Conclusion

This is the first study to systematically map, collect, and assess national UHC legislation for attributes related to access to essential medicines, particularly for vulnerable groups. Our research offers domestic law makers an assessment tool that is both a checklist and a wish list for legal reform for access to medicines. We present examples of legal texts from a range of mostly LMICs providing essential medicines through UHC. These examples may inspire other WHO Member States to adopt a human rights-based legal framework for universal access to medicines and sustainable development; they may also support the WHO Medicines & Health Products Strategic Programme 2016–30 to develop model legislation for medicines reimbursement (goal 7).

Supplementary data

Project tools, case studies, and data are available at http://healthandgender. org/accesstomedicines.html. Supplementary data are available at *Health Policy and Planning* online.

Acknowledgements

We are indebted to our research assistants (D. Altajem, C. Adionyi Ochieng, M. Brandner, J. Brice, J. Castela Forte, J. Cerezo, R. De Jong, J. Greaves Siew, S. Henning, A. Irzaldy, J. Nzambi, M. Ovadek, S. Redondo Mezmiz, N. Rusli, A. Sankaranarayanan, A. Stanglmair, K. Tombat, T. Verdi, S. Wimmer, S. Yuseinbasheva), our country profiles editorial assistant (M. Hoffmann) and our experts reviewers: Prof. Brigit Toebes, Prof. Fatima Suleman, Dr Ellen 't Hoen, Prof. Mohammed Hassar, Prof. Faris Dahiyat, Mr Enver Kagàan Atikeler, Dr Socorro Zarate-Escalante, Ms Martha Gyansa-Lutterodt, Ms Stella Matutina Tuyisenge, Ms Rose Shija Muhangwa, Mr Benoit Mathivet, Ms Lucía Giudice, Dr Claudia Marcela Vargas Peláez, Dr Edwin Tayebwa and Mr Dunstan Bishanga, Ms Daniela Moye Holz and Ms Armina Padmasawitri. This research was undertaken and financed by the Department of Health Sciences, University Medical Centre, University of Groningen. We have no additional funding to report.

Conflict of interest statement

None declared.

References

- Access to Medicines Index. (2015). *Methodology (2015)*. Haarlem: Access to Medicines Foundation, 64.
- Alshamsan R, Leslie H, Majeed A et al. (2017). Financial hardship on the path to universal health coverage in the Gulf States. *Health Policy* 121: 315–20.
- Asante A, Price J, Hayen A *et al.* (2016). Equity in health care financing in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review of evidence from studies using benefit and financing incidence analyses. *PLoS One* 11: e0152866.
- Attaran A, Pang T, Whitworth J et al. (2012). Healthy by law: the missed opportunity to use laws for public health. The Lancet 379: 283–5.
- Atun R, Aydın S, Chakraborty S et al. (2013). Universal health coverage in Turkey: enhancement of equity. *The Lancet* **382**: 65–99.
- Atun R, de Andrade LOM, Almeida G et al. (2015). Health-system reform and universal health coverage in Latin America. The Lancet 385: 1230–47.
- Balabanova D, Mills A, Conteh L *et al.* (2013). Good health at low cost 25 years on: lessons for the future of health systems strengthening. *The Lancet* 381: 2118–33.
- Bigdeli M, Jacobs B, Tomson G et al. (2013). Access to medicines from a health system perspective. Health Policy and Planning 28: 692–704.
- Carapinha JL, Ross-Degnan D, Desta AT *et al.* (2011). Health insurance systems in five Sub-Saharan African countries: medicine benefits and data for decision making. *Health Policy* 99: 193–202.
- Chapman AR, Forman L, Lamprea E. (2017). Evaluating essential health packages from a human rights perspective. *Journal of Human Rights* 16: 142–59.
- Chapman AR. (2016a). Assessing the universal health coverage target in the sustainable development goals from a human rights perspective. *BMC International Health and Human Rights* 1633: 1–9.
- Chapman AR. (2016b). Global Health, Human Rights and the Challenge of Neoliberal Policies. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Forzley M, Walker D, Gispen ME, (2010). Essential laws for medicines access a, pilot study on national legislation. No Publishers Stated 38.
- Gammie T, Lu C, Babar ZUD, (2015). Access to orphan drugs: a comprehensive review of legislations, regulations and policies in 35 countries. *PLoS One* 10: e0140002.
- Garabedian LF, Ross-Degnan D, Ratanawijitrasin S *et al.* (2012). Impact of universal health insurance coverage in Thailand on sales and market share of medicines for non-communicable diseases: an interrupted time series study. *BMJ Open* 2: e001686.

- Hallo De Wolf A, Toebes B. (2016). Assessing private sector involvement in health care and universal health coverage in light of the right to health. *Health and Human Rights* 18: 79–92.
- Hamilton WL, Doyle C, Halliwell-Ewen M et al. (2016). Public health interventions to protect against falsified medicines: a systematic review of international, national and local policies. *Health Policy and Planning* 31: 1448–66.
- Hodgkin C et al. (2001). How to Develop and Implement a National Drug Policy, 2nd edn. Geneva: World Health Organization, 83.
- Hogerzeil HV. (2006). Essential medicines and human rights: what can they learn from each other? *Bulletin of the World Health Organization* 84: 371–5.
- Lagomarsino G, Garabrant A, Adyas A *et al.* (2012). Moving towards universal health coverage: health insurance reforms in nine developing countries in Africa and Asia. *The Lancet* **380**: 933–43.
- Levels M, Sluiter R, Need A. (2014). A review of abortion laws in Western-European countries. A cross-national comparison of legal developments between (1960) and (2010). *Health Policy* 118: 95–104.
- Magnusson RS et al. (2017). Advancing the Right to Health: The Vital Role of Law. Sydney: World Health Organization, 331.
- Motta Ferraz OL. (2011). Health inequalities, rights and courts: the social impact of judicialization of health. In: Yamin AE, Gloppen S (eds). *Litigating Health Rights: Can Courts Bring More Justice to Health*. Boston: Harvard University Press, 72–102.
- Nazzal C, Frenz P, Alonso FT et al. (2016). Effective universal health coverage and improved 1-year survival after acute myocardial infarction: the Chilean experience. *Health Policy and Planning* 31: 700–5.
- Office of the High Commissioner for Human Rights. (2012). Human rights indicators: a guide to measurement and implementation. Document No.: HR/PUB/12/5, 174.
- Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights, World Health Organization. (2012). Technical guidance on the application of a human rights-based approach to the implementation of policies and programmes to reduce preventable maternal morbidity and mortality. Document No.: A/HRC/21/22, 20.
- Ooms G et al. (2014). Is universal health coverage the practical expression of the right to health care? BMC International Health and Human Rights 143: 1–7.
- Perehudoff SK, Alexandrov NV, Hogerzeil HV. (2018). Access to essential medicines in 195 countries: a human rights approach to sustainable development. *Global Public Health* Sept 14: 1–14.
- Perehudoff SK, Toebes B, Hogerzeil HV. (2016). A human rights-based approach to the reimbursement of expensive medicines. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization* 94: 935–6.
- Sridhar D, McKee M, Ooms G et al. (2015). Universal health coverage and the right to health. International Journal of Health Services: Planning, Administration, Evaluation 45: 495–506.
- Tremper C, Thomas S, Wagenaar AC. (2010). Measuring law for evaluation research. *Evaluation Review* 34: 242–66.
- Tsevelvaanchig U, Narula IS, Gouda H *et al.* (2018). Regulating the for profit private healthcare providers towards universal health coverage: a qualitative

study of legal and organizational framework in Mongolia. *The International Journal of Health Planning and Management* **33**: 185–201.

- UN CESCR. (1991). General comment no. 3 on The nature of states parties' obligations. Document No.: E/(1991)/23 (1991).
- UN CESCR. (2000). General comment no. 14 on the Right to the highest attainable standard of health. Document No.: E/C.12/(2000)/4.
- UN CESCR. (2006). General comment no. 17 on The right of everyone to benefit from the protection of the moral and material interests resulting from any scientific, literary or artistic production of which he or she is the author. Document No.: E/C.12/GC/17.
- UN CESCR. (2007). An evaluation of the obligation to take steps to the "maximum of available resources" under an Optional Protocol to the Covenant. Document No.: E/C.12/(2007)/1.
- UN CESCR. (2008). General comment no. 19 on The right to social security. Document No.: E/C.12/GC/19.
- UN CESCR. (2016). General comment no. 22 on the Right to sexual and reproductive health. Document No.: E/C.12/GC/22.
- UN General Assembly. (1966a). International Covenant on civil and political rights. Resolution 2200A XXI.
- UN General Assembly. (1966b). International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Resolution 2200A XXI.
- UN General Assembly. (2008). Optional protocol of the Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights. Resolution A/RES/63/117.
- UN General Assembly. (2015). Resolution on transforming our world: the 2030 agenda for sustainable development. Document No.: A/RES/70/1.
- Vialle-Valentin CE, Ross-Degnan D, Ntaganira J et al. (2008). Medicines coverage and community-based health insurance in low-income countries. *Health Research Policy and Systems* 6: 11.
- WHO. (2004). Equitable Access to Essential Medicines: A Framework for Collective Action. Geneva: World Health Organization, 6.
- WHO. (2007). Everybody's Business- strengthening Health Systems to Improve Health Outcomes. Geneva: World Health Organization, 44.
- WHO. (2014). Good Governance for Medicines Model Framework. Geneva: WHO, 54.
- WHO. (2016). Health Laws and UHC: WHO's Work on Law and Universal Health Coverage..
- WHO. (2017). Towards Access 2030. WHO Medicines and Health Products Programme Strategic Framework 2016-2030. Geneva: WHO, 36.
- WHO Consultative Group on Equity and Universal Health Coverage. (2014). Making Fair Choices on the Path to Universal Health Coverage. Geneva: World Health Organization, 84.
- Wirtz VJ et al. (2016). Essential medicines for universal health coverage. Lancet 74: 1–74.
- World Bank. n.d. Country and lending groups. https://datahelpdesk.world bank.org/knowledgebase/articles/906519-world-bank-country-and-lend ing-groups, accessed 1 February 2018.
- Yamin AE, Maleche A. (2017). Realizing universal health coverage in East Africa: the relevance of human rights. BMC International Health and Human Rights 1721: 1–10.