Research Article

Overweight and Undernutrition in the Cases of School-Going Adolescents in Wolaita Sodo Town, Southern Ethiopia: Cross-Sectional Study

Dereje Yohannes Teferi,¹ Gudina Egata Atomssa,² and Tefera Chane Mekonnen D³

¹ABH Service PLC, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

²College of Medicine and Health Sciences, Haramaya University, Dire Dawa, Ethiopia ³Department of Public Health, College of Medicine and Health Sciences, Wollo University, Dessie, Ethiopia

Correspondence should be addressed to Tefera Chane Mekonnen; teferachane@gmail.com

Received 23 June 2017; Revised 19 November 2017; Accepted 8 February 2018; Published 27 March 2018

Academic Editor: Pedro Moreira

Copyright © 2018 Dereje Yohannes Teferi et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Background. This study aimed to assess the prevalence of malnutrition and associated factors among school adolescents in Wolaita Sodo town, Southern Ethiopia. Methods. A school-based cross-sectional study was conducted from May 18-June 10, 2015. A multistage sampling was used to select a random sample of 690 adolescents from selected schools. Data on sociodemographic information were collected by using an interviewer-administered questionnaire, and anthropometric measurements were made by using a digital Seca scale and height measuring board by trained data collectors. Data were entered into Epi-Data version 3.1 software and exported to SPSS version 20. World Health Organization (WHO) Anthro-plus software was used to analyze anthropometric data. Both binary and multinomial logistic regression analyses were done to identify factors associated with the malnutrition of adolescents. Result. The overall prevalence of thinness, stunting, and overweight/obesity among school adolescents was 4.7% (95% CI: 3%-6.4%); 5.2% (95% CI: 3.4%-7%); and 5.0% (95% CI: 3.4%-6.7%), respectively. Being male (AOR = 4.07; 95% CI: 2.35-7.02), learning at a government school (AOR = 0.37; 95% CI: 0.20-0.65), mothers with no formal education (AOR = 4.03; 95% CI: 1.82-8.92), owning no cattle (AOR = 4.92; 95% CI: 2.08-11.64), skipping meals (AOR = 1.70; 95% CI: 1.05–2.74), and illness in 2 weeks prior to survey (AOR = 2.67; 95% CI: 1.49–4.78) were significantly associated with thinness. However, males, students who had their house, and no cattle were more likely to develop overweight/obesity. Maternal education of secondary school (AOR = 0.214; 95% CI: 0.054-0.846) was significantly associated with the stunting. Conclusion. The study showed the coexistence of undernutrition and overnutrition among school adolescents in the study area. There needs to implement evidence-based school nutrition education and health policies and programs to improve nutritional status of adolescents and timely taking action to limit obesity-related health problems.

1. Background

World Health Organization defines adolescence as a period of transition between childhood and adulthood (10–19 years) and as a period where most important physiological changes occurred. They constitute about one billion (18%) of the world's population [1, 2].

Even though prime causes of adolescent nutritional problem in the developing world are due to inadequacies of

quality and quantity of food, both of the extremes of the nutrition spectrum are linked with a range of adverse health conditions. Overweight and obese adolescents are at risk factors of developing noncommunicable diseases and also suffer from psychological problems such as stigmatization and poor self-esteem [2–5].

Obesity is the risk factor for a range of conditions, including cardiovascular disease, diabetes mellitus, arthritis, and some cancers [6–8]. Adolescence serves as a window of opportunity to break intergenerational cycle of malnutrition and to build a nutritionally healthy adult life. Some nutritional problems originating early in life can be potentially corrected, unless it will lead to life threatening noncommunicable diseases in adulthood. It is the actual period to shape and consolidate healthy eating and lifestyle behaviors, thereby preventing or postponing the onset of nutrition-related chronic disease in adulthood [9].

Information regarding nutritional status of adolescents in Ethiopia is not well documented, and they were the neglected segment of the population [10]. The national prevalence of overweight and/or obesity among adolescents is not found. However, individual study from Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, showed that adolescent overweight/obesity is an emerging challenge and it was about 8% and revealed that private school-going adolescents were more affected [8]. Studies confirmed that nearly three out of four obese adolescents remain obese as adults, increasing their risk of heart disease, type 2 diabetes, stroke, and cancers [11, 12].

But still their feeding problems and nutritional status determination using appropriate anthropometric measurements are not enough investigated in these age groups [9, 13]. Adolescents are suffering from chronic noncommunicable diseases that have been mostly neglected by the international community: diagnosing and treating NCDs and other chronic conditions during adolescence need to be incorporated into national programmes [14].

The available studies focused on factors related to reproductive issues rather than factors associated with normal growth and development of adolescents [15]. Therefore, studies that indicate the actual level of adolescents' nutritional problem and investigate related factors have greater potential to design appropriate intervention and are an alarming for timely taking action for noncommunicable disease prevention.

2. Methods and Materials

2.1. Study Design and Area. A school-based cross-sectional study was carried out from May 18-June 10, 2015, in Wolaita Sodo town. The Wolaita Sodo town is located at 385 kilometers from south west Addis Ababa and 160 kilometers west of regional town, Hawassa, at 6°49' N latitude and 39°47' E longitude and at an altitude of about 1900 m. Sodo town is the capital town of the Wolaita zone, one of the 13 zones in Southern Ethiopia, with an area of 830 square kilometers. According to the 2007 Ethiopian National Population and Housing Census, the population of the town is projected to be about 137,522 for July 2014, with male to female ratio 1.03:1 [10]. The town was structured into 3 subcities and 11 kebeles. There are 10 secondary and 20 primary schools. Of the schools in the town, 16 were governmental and 14 were private schools. None of them had school feeding program or other funding opportunity. The main staple food of the population is teff and maize.

2.2. Population and Eligibility Criteria. All primary, secondary, and preparatory school adolescent students were considered as a source population, whereas all regular students in the selected schools aged between 10 and 19 years where the study population, and all randomly selected students aged between 10 and 19 years in selected schools were constituted the sampling population.

School-going adolescents aged between 10 and 19 years who had been attending regular program were included in the study. However, adolescents who had spinal curvature (unable to stand erectly), pregnant, and edema due to some other pathological causes were excluded.

2.3. Sample Size Determination and Sampling Procedure. The sample size was calculated for the three outcomes (thinness, stunting, and overweight/obesity), and the maximum was taken. The sample size was determined by using a single population proportion formula by considering the following assumptions: 95% confidence level, 16.7% the prevalence of overweight and obesity among school adolescents in North West, Ethiopia [16], margin of error 4%, design effect 2.0, and 5% nonresponse rate. Finally, 690 school adolescents were taken as the sample size.

A multistage sampling technique was used in this study. Among the total of 27,601 students in the town, 21,405 students were enrolled in government schools and 6,196 students were enrolled in private schools. The schools were first stratified in two: governmental and nongovernmental schools. Using the lottery method four schools from each stratum were selected. In the selected schools, one section per grade was selected randomly that makes a total of 25 sections. The total sample size was proportionally allocated to the number of students found in the 25 sections. The allocated sample size per each section was also reallocated to the number of female and male students. Simple random sampling was used, by using ENA for SMART software to select the study subjects randomly.

2.4. Study Variables. Nutritional status among school adolescents in the forms of thinness, normal, and overweight was the prime measure of interest in addition to measuring the chronic irreversible growth faltering (stunting) among adolescents. Data on sociodemographic variables (such as age, gender, family size, educational status of household head, occupational status of father, occupational status of mother, type of school, and family's residence), socioeconomic status, eating habit/nutritional factors, adolescent's behavioral factors (physical work, alcohol drinking, smoking, and eating disorders), environmental factors (presence or absence of latrine, source of drinking water, and personal hygiene), reproductive health (history of pregnancy and age of menarche), and history of medical illness in the past two weeks were deeply investigated. We defined thinness: BMIfor-age Z-score less than -2 standard deviation from new WHO 2007 reference population; stunting: height-for-age Zscore less than -2 standard deviation of the new WHO 2007 reference population; overweight: BMI-for-age Z-score between +1 and +2 standard deviation for new WHO 2007 reference population; and obesity: BMI-for-age Z-score right

to +2 standard deviations for the new WHO 2007 reference population.

2.5. Data Collection. A structured interviewer-administered questionnaire was used to collect data. The questionnaire was developed based on the conceptual framework after thorough review of different literature, and it covered a range of information on socioeconomic and demographic characteristics, adolescents' dietary practice, reproductive health, lifestyle, and sanitation of adolescents. A height measuring board and portable digital Seca scale were used for measurement of height and weight, respectively. A total of twelve data collectors comprising ten diploma holder nurses, with previous experience of data collection, and two BSc holder supervisors were employed to collect the data. The questionnaire was developed from preestablished known sources like reports of an expert committee by WHO and other literatures conducted in developing countries including Ethiopia [2, 6, 10].

2.6. Anthropometric Measurements. The weight was measured using a well-calibrated, portable digital Seca scale. Students were weighted standing on the scale with their shoes off. The digital Seca scale was a self-zeroing scale and was calibrated each day before starting data collection by putting 2 kg iron bars on each scale to ascertain accuracy. The students were measured by taking off shoes, heavy clothes, and mobile from the pocket and by standing upright on the foot mark on a scale according to WHO recommendations [17]. Height was measured using the portable studiometer, which consisted of an anthropometry with a simple triangular headboard. In taking height, the students were made to stand straight with their shoes off and head held erect such that the external auditory meatus and the lower border of the eye were in one horizontal plane (Frankfurt plane). The buttocks, shoulder blades, and heel touched the scale with knees and legs together, and arms hanging naturally by the sides. A movable triangular headboard was brought against the crown of the head, and height measurement was read off at maximum inspiration. The height was measured to the nearest 0.1 cm and weight to the nearest 0.1 kg [17]. All measurements were taken three times, and the average was taken. Anthropometric measurements were converted to height-for-age and BMI-forage Z-scores and compared to the new 2007 WHO reference data for 5-19 years, using WHO Anthro-plus computer program [18]. Adolescents with BMI-for-age Z-score (BAZ) <-5 or >5 were considered as outliers.

2.7. Data Quality Control and Standardization. To assure the quality of data, training was given to the data collector and supervisors for two days prior to data collection. The training covered the proper filling of the questionnaire, the use of the weight and height scales, and the proper taking of height and weight in order to minimize inter- and intraobserver errors. To assure the accuracy of anthropometric measurement, standardization test was done in 10 adolescents before the actual

survey and systematized based on the result. The technical error of measurement (TEM) was found to be in the acceptable range. Two days before the actual study began, the questionnaire was pretested and validated in 5% of adolescents (not included in the sample) selected from different schools and some modifications were made based on response categories. To improve the quality of the data, the data collectors were closely supervised, and each completed questionnaire was checked to ascertain all questions were properly filled and corrected by the principal investigator. To minimize interobserver variability, during pretesting the coefficient of variation was checked and it was 1.8%, and nature of the data was explored thoroughly to check influential outliers and missed values during analysis.

2.8. Data Processing and Analysis. First, data were checked for completeness and consistency in data entry and cleaning. Then, the data were entered into the Epi-Data version 3.1 and exported to SPSS version 20. Anthropometric data and other essential variables were exported to WHO Anthro-plus software, a computer program which converts anthropometric data into Z-scores of the indices, BAZ and HAZ, taking age and sex into consideration using WHO 2007 population references.

Descriptive statistics using frequency, proportions, mean, standard deviation, and cross tabulations were used to present the study results. Even though multinomial logistic regression does not make any assumptions of normality, linearity, and homogeneity of variance for the independent variables, maximum likelihood estimation, model fitness, classification accuracy, and presence of multi-colinearity were explored before performing the final model. Normality of all continuous variables was checked by using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov test at p value > 0.05. Binary logistic regression was done to determine the associations between each independent variable and outcome variable: stunting. Multinomial logistic regression was performed to investigate factors associated with adolescent nutritional status (thinness, normal, and overweight). Odds ratio with 95% confidence intervals was used to see the strength of association between different variables. A p value less than 0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

3. Result

3.1. Sociodemographic Characteristics of Participants. A total of 655 school-going adolescents participated in the study, with the response rate of 95.2%. Of the study subjects, 340 (51.9%) were males and more than half (377 (57.6%)) were from government schools. Regarding the age of the respondents, the majority (532 (81.2%)) were 15–19 years old and the median age of the respondents was 17 years with interquartile range (IQR) being 3 years. As to the family of the respondents, more than half (397 (60.6%)) of the respondents were from large family members (>5 members). Regarding the education level of the respondent's father, about 274 (41.80%) were college and university completed. About half (333 (50.8%)) of the respondents' fathers were government employees (Table 1).

TABLE 1: Sociodemographic and economic characteristics of respondents among school adolescents in Wolaita Sodo town, May 2015.

TABLE 2: Dietary habit (food frequency) of respondents among school adolescents of Wolaita Sodo town, May 2015.

Variable $(N = 655)$	Level	Frequency	Percent
School type	Government	377	57.6
	Private	278	42.4
Age (years)	10–14	123	18.8
	15–19	532	81.2
Sex	Male 340 Female 315		51.9 48.1
Religion	Orthodox	208	31.8
	Protestant	426	65.0
	Muslim	13	2.0
	Catholic	8	1.2
Ethnicity	Wolaita	588	89.8
	Amhara	22	3.4
	Gurage	14	2.1
	Gamo	9	1.4
	Others	22	3.3
Original	Urban	519	79.2
residence	Rural	136	20.8
Residential house	Rent from private Owned Rent from government	62 550 43	9.4 84.0 6.6
Fathers' education	No formal education Grade 1-4 Grade 5-8 Grade 9-10 Grade 11-12 College and university	40 24 111 120 86 274	6.1 3.7 16.9 18.3 13.1 41.8
Mothers' education	No formal education Grade 1–4 Grade 5–8 Grade 9-10 Grade 11-12 College and university	71 62 181 141 59 141	10.8 9.5 27.6 21.5 9.0 21.5
Family size	≤5	258	39.4
	>5	397	60.6
Monthly income (ETB)	<1000 1001-2000 2001-3000 3001-4000 >4001	175 126 109 74 171	26.7 19.2 16.6 11.3 26.1

3.2. Dietary Habits and Food Frequency of the Students and Their Families. The student's dietary habit showed that most (471 (71.9%)) of the respondents consumed teff as a staple food. Among the families of the respondents, 482 (73.6%) consumed food from market purchases and 88 (13.5%) consumed from their own product and market purchase. A majority (621 (94.8%)) of the respondents consumed fruit in a week of which 207 (31.6%) consumed fruit three days a week. Likewise, 382 (59.1%) of the respondents were taking meat, and 251 (55.6%) had a practice of eating once a week. On the other side, 583 (89%) of the respondents confirmed that they consumed foods containing pulse legumes and lentils (Table 2).

Variable $(N = 655)$	Level	Frequency	Percent
Type of staple	Teff	471	71.9
food	Maize	181	27.6
1000	Others	3	0.5
	Own product	86	13.1
Source of food	Market purchased	481	73.4
	Own product/purchase	88	13.5
Mool froquency	Twice	26	4.0
mean frequency	Three times	404	61.7
per day	Four times	225	34.4
Emit non wools	Yes	621	94.8
Fruit per week	No	34	5.2
Emit nor	1 day/wk	64	10.3
dev/week	2 days/wk	169	27.2
(m - 621)	3 days/wk	207	31.6
(n = 0.21)	4 days/wk	181	29.1
Erwit por day	Once	390	59.5
(n = 621)	Twice	174	26.6
(n = 0.21)	Three times	57	6.9
Dulse and logume	Yes	583	89.0
Puise and leguine	No	72	11
Vegetable per	Yes	611	93.3
week	No	44	6.7
	No hunger	414	63.2
Episodo of hungar	Once	70	10.4
in past 20 days	Twice	75	11.5
in past 50 days	Three times	40	6.1
	Four times	56	8.5

Concerning meal frequency and dietary habit, more than half (404 (61.7%)) of the respondents consumed regular meal three times a day. Regarding skipping regular meal, 304 (46.4%) of the respondents skipped at least one or two of a regular meal. More than half of the respondents (414 (63.2%)) claimed that they did not feel hunger due to a shortage of food in a month. Majority (611 (93.3%)) of the respondents never received any vitamin or mineral supplementation. None of the schools had a school feeding program.

3.3. Adolescents' Characteristics on Lifestyle, Health, and Sanitation. More than three-fourth (574 (87.6%)) of the respondents did not engage in work besides education. Almost the entire respondents (653 (99.7%)) did not smoke cigarettes while 623 (95.1%) of adolescents had never ever drunk alcohol.

Regarding the source of water, the majority (618 (94.4%)) of the respondents used water from safe sources (protected well, spring, and tap). Almost all of the respondents' family (99.8%) had latrine of which 575 (87.8%) had dry pit latrine and 79 (12.1%) had flush toilets. More than three-fourth (572 (87.3%)) of the respondents used soap to wash hands after the toilet. The majority (575 (87.8%)) of respondents had no attack of illness within two weeks prior to the survey. Some of the common illnesses were abdominal pain (23 (3.5%)), malaria (15 (2.3%)), typhoid fever (9 (1.4%)), and upper respiratory disease (9 (1.4%)). Around 40 (6.1%) reported

Variables $(N = 655)$	Level	Frequency	Percent
Work besides	Yes	81	12.4
education	No	574	87.6
0 1	Yes	2	0.3
Smoke cigarette	No	653	99.7
Free datals shock at	Yes	32	4.9
Ever drink alconol	No	623	95.1
Latrine type	Dry pit	575	87.9
(n = 654)	Flush toilet	79	12.1
TAT	Safe	618	94.4
water source	Unsafe	37	5.6
Cattle in the same	Yes	186	28.4
house	No	469	71.6
Dural tastla u an las	No	53	8.1
Brush teeth per day	At least once	602	91.9
II Januah hafana	Not at all	11	1.7
fiand wash before	Sometimes	56	8.5
leeding	Usually	588	89.8
M_{amaga} ($u = 215$)	Yes	267	84.8
(n = 515)	No	48	15.2
Illness in the last two	Yes	80	12.2
weeks	No	575	87.8
A mar abuomia illuoso	Yes	10	1.5
Any chronic liness	No	645	98.5
Difficulty in seeing	Yes	40	6.1
at night	No	615	93.9
Naals assalling	Yes	56	8.5
ineck swelling	No	599	91.5

TABLE 3: Characteristics on behavior, lifestyle, health, and sanitation among school adolescents in Wolaita Sodo town, May 2015.

that they have difficulty with seeing at night, and 56 (8.5%) have neck swelling (goiter) (Table 3).

Among female respondents, about 267 (84.8%) claimed that they start menstruating. The median and mean ages of menarche were 14 years and 13.69 years, respectively. Only 0.6% of female adolescents had previous history of pregnancy.

3.4. Nutritional Status of Adolescents. The mean height and weight were 162.43 cm and 51.96 kg, respectively. The mean BAZ and HAZ were -0.58 and -0.49, respectively. Among all school-going adolescents, 8 (1.2%) were severely thin (<-3 SD), 24 (3.6%) were thin (=-3 SD and <-2 SD), 159 (90.2%) were within the normal range (=-2 SD), 32 (4.9%) were overweight (+1 SD and +2 SD), and 2 (0.3%) were obese (=+2 SD).

The overall prevalence of thinness (BAZ < -2 SD) and stunting (HAZ <-2 SD) of school adolescents was 4.8% (95% CI: 3%-6.7%) and 5.2% (95% CI: 3.4%-7%), respectively. Thinness and stunting were more prevalent among males than females: 7.4% versus 1.9% and 5.9% versus 4.4%, respectively. The prevalence of overweight and obesity is 4.8% and 0.3%, respectively. The prevalence of overweight/obesity was significantly higher among girls than boys, 9.5% versus 0.9% (Figure 1).

The nutritional status of school-going adolescents was compared to the current new WHO 2007 reference population of age 5–19 years' curve. The BMI-for-age of female



FIGURE 1: Distribution of nutritional status by sex of respondents among school-going adolescents in primary, secondary, and preparatory school of Wolaita Sodo town, May 2015.

adolescents is nearly normally distributed, whereas males are negatively skewed which shows that the mean BMI-for-age of male adolescents was lower than the new WHO 2007 reference populations.

3.5. Factors Associated with Malnutrition of School Adolescents. From the result of multiple logistic regression analysis, students from government schools, male adolescents, mothers with no formal education, being male as household head, students from rented houses, having no intake of fruits per week, having no cattle in the house, skipping meals, and having illness in the last two weeks of the survey showed statistically significant association with thinness. Similarly, male adolescents, school adolescents having their own house, and students who have no cattle and have one to three cattle revealed statistical association with overweight. The odds of thinness among male school adolescents were nearly four times higher than the odds of thinness among girls (AOR = 4.07; 95% CI: 2.35-7.02), but they were less affected by overweight (AOR=0.1; 95% CI: 0.03-0.16) than female adolescents in reference to normal weight school adolescents. School-going adolescents whose mothers had no formal education were also four times more likely to have chronic energy deficiency (thinness) (AOR = 4.03; 95% CI: 1.82-8.92) but had no association with overweight (AOR = 0.38; 95% CI: 0.13-1.11) as compared to school adolescents from mothers having college and university educational level. The odds of being thin (AOR = 1.70; 95% CI: 1.05-2.74) among school adolescents who had missed their meals were two times higher as compared to the odds of thinness among students who did not skip their meals.

However, skipping meals had no relation to the occurrence of overweight (Table 4).

In addition to the above, the study tried to investigate influencing factors of stunting (HAZ < -2 SD) using binary logistic regression. Even though some variables were identified

TABLE 4: Factors associated with thinness and overweight of school adolescents, Wolaita Sodo town, South Ethiopia, May 2015.

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Nutritional status	Explanatory variables $(N = 655)$	N (%)	COR (95% CI)	AOR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> value
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Thinness	Sex of students				
Fenale 7 (21.9) School type Government 11 (34.4) 0.36 (0.17-0.77) 0.37 (0.20-0.65) 0.001 Private 21 (65.6) Maternal education 6 (8.8) 1.32 (0.44-3.85) 4.03 (1.82-8.92) 0.001 Primary education 10 (31.2) 0.62 (0.24-1.57) 1.08 (0.58-2.02) 0.79 Scendary education 7 (21.9) 0.53 (0.19-1.46) 0.79 (0.42-1.52) 0.49 College and university 9 (28.1) Sec of isouehold head 0.01 Female 1 (3.1) Sec of isouehold head 7 (21.9) 1.86 (0.34-10.12) 4.11 (1.34-12.59) 0.01 House ownership Rented 7 (21.9) 1.86 (0.67-12.09) 3.09 (1.22-7.80) 0.01 Owe d 25 (78.1) 7.03 (0.75-5.63) 1.15 (0.46-2.85) 0.05 Three daryweek 9 (28.1) 1.34 (0.46-3.82) 1.49 (0.76-2.94) 0.23 Four days and above 6 (18.8) 1.23 (0.46-2.85) 0.01 Cattle ownership No 2.25 (0.84-5.99) 0.11 Four days and above 3		Male	25 (78.1)	3.17 (1.35-7.44)	4.07 (2.35-7.02)	0.001
Skhool type Government 11 (34.4) 0.36 (0.17-0.77) 0.37 (0.20-0.65) 0.001 Private 21 (65.6) 403 (1.82-8.92) 0.001 Maternal education 6 (18.8) 1.32 (0.44-3.85) 4.03 (1.82-8.92) 0.79 Primary education 7 (21.9) 0.53 (0.19-1.46) 0.79 (0.42-1.52) 0.49 College and university 9 (28.1) 30 4.66 (1.30-16.69) 0.01 Bernale 1 (3.1) House ownership 0.01 Ernet 0.01 Hernited 7 (21.9) 1.86 (0.57-12.09) 3.09 (1.22-7.80) 0.01 Owned 25 (78.1) 2.32 (0.37-5.62) 1.92 (0.99-3.69) 0.05 Three days/week 9 (28.1) 1.34 (0.46-3.82) 1.49 (0.76-2.94) 0.22 Four days and above 6 (18.8) 1.22 (0.99-1.04) 0.23 (0.71-0.16) 0.002 1-3 cattle 21 (25.7 (78.1) 3.25 (0.96-1.0.4) 2.25 (0.84-5.99) 0.1 No 25 (78.1) 3.25 (0.96-1.0.4) 2.25 (0.84-5.99) 0.1 Four days and above 5 (76		Female	7 (21.9)			
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		School type				
Private 21 (65.6) Maternal education No formal education 6 (18.8) 1.32 (0.44–3.85) 4.03 (1.82–8.92) 0.001 Primary education 7 (21.9) 0.53 (0.19–1.46) 0.79 (0.42–1.52) 0.49 College and university 9 (28.1) 0.53 (0.19–1.46) 0.79 (0.42–1.52) 0.49 College and university 9 (28.1) 0.53 (0.19–1.46) 0.79 (0.42–1.52) 0.49 Male 31 (96.9) 4.11 (0.55–30.61) 4.66 (1.30–16.69) 0.01 Farait 1 (3.1) Farait 1 (3.1) 1.50 (0.67–12.09) 3.09 (1.22–7.80) 0.01 Male 3 (9.4) 1.28 (0.67–12.09) 3.09 (1.22–7.80) 0.01 Orne days/week 3 (9.4) 1.43 (0.34–5.90) 1.15 (0.46–2.85) 0.75 Two days/week 9 (28.1) 1.34 (0.46–3.82) 1.49 (0.76–2.94) 0.23 Four days and above 6 (18.8) 1.22 (0.84–5.99) 0.1 0.002 Cattle 20 (78.1) 3.25 (0.96–10.94) 4.92 (2.08–11.64) 0.001 No 21 (24.5)		Government	11 (34.4)	0.36 (0.17-0.77)	0.37 (0.20-0.65)	0.001
Maternal education 6 (18.8) 1.32 (0.44-3.85) 4.03 (1.82-8.92) 0.001 Primary education 10 (31.2) 0.62 (0.24-1.57) 1.08 (0.58-2.02) 0.79 Secondary education 7 (21.9) 0.53 (0.19-1.46) 0.79 (0.42-1.52) 0.49 College and university 9 (28.1) 0.53 (0.19-1.46) 0.79 (0.42-1.52) 0.49 Male 31 (96.9) 4.11 (0.55-30.61) 4.66 (1.30-16.69) 0.01 Maternal education 7 (21.9) 1.86 (0.34-10.12) 4.11 (1.34-12.59) 0.01 Owned 25 (78.1) 1.86 (0.34-10.12) 4.11 (1.34-12.59) 0.01 Owned 25 (78.1) 1.86 (0.34-10.12) 4.11 (1.34-12.59) 0.01 Owned 25 (78.1) 1.34 (0.46-3.82) 1.49 (0.76-2.85) 0.75 Two days/week 11 (34.3) 2.03 (0.73-5.62) 1.92 (0.99-3.69) 0.02 Three days/week 9 (28.1) 1.34 (0.46-3.82) 1.49 (0.76-2.85) 0.75 Two days/week 3 (24.1) 2.26 (0.46-3.82) 0.001 1.3 eattle 1.32 (26.4) 1.49 (0.76-2.		Private	21 (65.6)			
No formal education 6 (18.8) 1.52 (0.44-3.85) 4.03 (1.82-832) 0.000 Primary education 7 (21.9) 0.53 (0.19-1.46) 0.79 (0.42-1.52) 0.49 College and university 9 (28.1) Set of household head Male 31 (96.9) 4.11 (0.55-30.61) 4.66 (1.30-16.69) 0.01 Fenale 1 (3.1) House ownership Rented 7 (21.9) 1.86 (0.34-10.12) 4.11 (1.34-12.59) 0.01 Owned 25 (78.1) Frati intake per week No intake 3 (9.4) 2.86 (0.67-12.09) 3.09 (1.22-7.80) 0.01 One days/week 11 (34.3) 2.28 (0.67-12.09) 3.09 (1.22-7.80) 0.01 One days/week 3 (9.4) 1.43 (0.34-50.0) 1.15 (0.46-2.85) 0.75 Two days/week 9 (28.1) 1.34 (0.46-3.82) 1.49 (0.76-2.94) 0.23 Four days and above 6 (18.8) Cattle ownership No cattle 25 (78.1) 3.25 (0.96-10.94) 4.92 (2.08-11.64) 0.002 1.3 - Cattle 0 (27.81) No cattle 25 (78.1) 3.25 (0.96-10.94) 4.92 (2.08-11.64) 0.002 1.3 - Cattle 0 (27.81) Four and above 3 (9.4) Illness in the past 2 weeks Yes 7 (21.9) 2.14 (0.89-5.14) 2.67 (1.49-4.78) 0.001 No 25 (78.1) Work besides education Yes 19 (59.4) 1.73 (0.84-5.58) 1.70 (1.05-2.74) 0.02 No 13 (0.06) Overweight Set of students Male 3 (8.8) 0.1 (0.01-0.28) 0.1 (0.03-0.16) 0.001 Female 31 (91.2) School type Government 19 (55.9) 0.88 (0.44-1.77) 0.96 (0.57-1.61) 0.89 Private 15 (44.1) Maternal education No formal education 2 (6.1) 0.43 (0.09-2.08) 0.38 (0.13-1.11) 0.07 Primary education 2 (6.1) 0.43 (0.09-2.08) 0.38 (0.13-1.11) 0.07 Private 15 (44.1) Maternal education 2 (6.1) 0.43 (0.09-2.08) 0.38 (0.13-1.11) 0.07 Private 15 (44.1) Maternal education 2 (6.1) 0.43 (0.09-2.08) 0.38 (0.13-1.11) 0.07 Private 15 (44.1) Maternal education 2 (6.1) 0.43 (0.09-2.08) 0.38 (0.13-1.11) 0.07 Private 15 (44.1) Maternal education 2 (6.1) 0.43 (0.09-2.08) 0.38 (0.13-1.11) 0.07 Private 15 (44.1) Maternal education 2 (6.1) 0.43 (0.09-2.08) 0.38 (0.13-1.11) 0.07 Private 15 (44.1) Maternal education 2 (6.1) 0.43 (0.09-2.08) 0.38 (0.13-1.11) 0.07 Private 15 (44.1) Maternal education 2 (6.1) 0.43 (0.09-2.08) 0.38 (0.13-1.11) 0.07 Private 15 (44.1) Maternal educ		Maternal education	<pre>(12.2)</pre>			0.001
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		No formal education	6 (18.8)	1.32(0.44 - 3.85)	4.03 (1.82-8.92)	0.001
Secondary education 7 (219) 0.39 (0.19–1.46) 0.79 (0.42–1.52) 0.49 Gollege and university 9 (28.1) 0.466 (1.30–16.69) 0.01 Male 31 (96.9) 4.11 (0.55–30.61) 4.66 (1.30–16.69) 0.01 Hermale 1 (3.1) 1.0000 wmership 0.01 0.01 0.01 Rented 7 (21.9) 1.86 (0.67–12.09) 3.09 (1.22–7.80) 0.01 One day/week 3 (94, 1.43 (0.34–5.90) 1.15 (0.46–2.85) 0.75 Too day/week 3 (94, 1.43 (0.34–5.90) 1.15 (0.46–2.85) 0.75 Too day/week 9 (28.1) 1.24 (0.46–3.82) 1.49 (0.76–2.94) 0.23 Four days and above 6 (18.8) 0.01 1.49 (0.76–2.94) 0.23 Four ad above 3 (94, 1) 3.25 (0.96–10.94) 4.92 (2.08–11.64) 0.002 1-3 cattle 25 (78.1) 3.25 (0.96–10.94) 4.92 (2.08–1.64) 0.001 No 25 (78.1) 3.25 (0.96–10.94) 4.92 (2.08–1.64) 0.002 1-3 cattle 25 (78.1) 3.25 (0.49–5.14) 2.67 (1.49–4.78)		Primary education	10(31.2)	0.62 (0.24 - 1.57)	1.08 (0.58 - 2.02)	0.79
Control and university 9 (28.1) See of household head Male 31 (96.9) 4.11 (0.55-30.61) 4.66 (1.30-16.69) 0.01 Female 1 (3.1) House ownership 0.01 Overned 25 (78.1) 4.11 (1.34-12.59) 0.01 Fruit intake per week 3 (9.4) 2.86 (0.67-12.09) 3.09 (1.22-7.80) 0.01 Overned 25 (78.1) 1.83 (0.45-590) 1.15 (0.46-2.85) 0.75 Two day/week 3 (9.4) 1.43 (0.34-5.90) 1.15 (0.46-2.84) 0.23 Four days and above 6 (18.8) Cattle ownership 0.001 0.76-2.94) 0.23 Four days and above 6 (18.8) Cattle ownership 0.002 1-3 cattle 4 (0.25) 2.28 (0.49-10.4) 2.25 (0.84-5.99) 0.1 Four and above 3 (9.4) 1.73 (0.84-5.58) 1.70 (1.05-2.74) 0.002 No 25 (78.1) 3.25 (0.96-10.94) 4.92 (2.08-11.64) 0.001 No 30 (93.7) Skip meal 1.14 (1.01-1.89) 0.45 (0.17-1.17) 0.10 No 30 (93.7)		College and university	7(21.9)	0.53 (0.19–1.46)	0.79 (0.42–1.52)	0.49
Soc by Industrial Hand 31 (96.9) 4.11 (0.55-30.61) 4.66 (1.30-16.69) 0.01 Fernale 1 (3.1) 1		Sex of household head	9 (20.1)			
Num 17 (20.7) 100 (1.50 - 50.01) 100 (1.50 - 50.01) 0.01 Hause ownership Rented 1 (3.1) 11 (0.55 - 50.01) 0.01 Rented 7 (21.9) 1.86 (0.34-10.12) 4.11 (1.34-12.59) 0.01 Owned 25 (78.1) 3.09 (1.22-7.80) 0.01 0.01 Orne day/week 3 (9.4) 2.86 (0.67-12.09) 3.09 (1.22-7.80) 0.01 One day/week 3 (9.4) 1.43 (0.34-5.20) 1.15 (0.46-2.85) 0.05 Two days/week 9 (28.1) 1.34 (0.46-3.82) 1.49 (0.76-2.94) 0.23 Four day and above 6 (1.88) 0.14 (0.66-10.94) 4.92 (2.08-11.64) 0.002 Cattle ownership No 2.25 (0.84-5.99) 0.1 Four and above 3 (9.4) Illness in the past 2 weeks Yes 7 (21.9) 2.14 (0.89-5.14) 2.67 (1.49-4.78) 0.001 No 25 (78.1) No 30 (93.7) Skip meal 1 12 (2.06 (0.17-1.17) 0.10 No 13 (40.60 13 (40.60 1.70 (1.05-2.74) 0.02		Male	31 (96.9)	4 11 (0 55-30 61)	4 66 (1 30-16 69)	0.01
House ownership Construction Rented 7 (21.9) 1.86 (0.34–10.12) 4.11 (1.34–12.59) 0.01 Owned 25 (78.1)		Female	1(3.1)	1.11 (0.35 50.01)	1.00 (1.50 10.07)	0.01
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		House ownership	1 (0.1)			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Rented	7 (21.9)	1.86 (0.34-10.12)	4.11 (1.34-12.59)	0.01
		Owned	25 (78.1)	,		
No intake $3 (9.4)$ 2.86 (0.67–12.99) 3.09 (1.22–7.80) 0.01 One day/week $3 (9.4)$ 1.43 (0.34–5.90) 1.15 (0.46–2.85) 0.75 Two days/week 11 (34.3) 2.03 (0.73–5.62) 1.92 (0.99–3.69) 0.05 Three days/week 9 (28.1) 1.34 (0.46–3.82) 1.49 (0.76–2.94) 0.23 Four days and above 6 (18.8) Cattle ownership No cattle 25 (78.1) 3.25 (0.96–10.94) 4.92 (2.08–11.64) 0.002 1–3 cattle 4 (12.5) 2.28 (0.49–10.4) 2.25 (0.84–5.99) 0.1 Four and above 3 (9.4) Illnes in the past 2 weeks Yes 7 (21.9) 2.14 (0.89–5.14) 2.67 (1.49–4.78) 0.001 No 25 (78.1) Work besides education Yes 2 (6.3) 0.44 (0.10–1.89) 0.45 (0.17–1.17) 0.10 No 30 (93.7) Skip meal Yes 19 (59.4) 1.73 (0.84–5.58) 1.70 (1.05–2.74) 0.02 No 13 (40.6) Overweight Set of students Male 3 (8.8) 0.1 (0.01–0.28) 0.1 (0.03–0.16) 0.001 Female 31 (91.2) School type Government 19 (55.9) 0.88 (0.44–1.77) 0.96 (0.57–1.61) 0.89 Private 15 (44.1) Maternal education No formal education 12 (63.1) 0.43 (0.09–2.08) 0.38 (0.13–1.11) 0.07 Private 15 (44.1) Maternal education 12 (56.4) 0.75 (0.29–1.92) 0.72 (0.39–1.54) 0.31 College and university 9 (27.2) Sec of household head Male 32 (94.1) 2.12 (0.49–9.05) 2.31 (0.92–5.79) 0.07 Female 2 (5.9) Male 32 (94.1) 2.12 (0.49–9.05) 2.31 (0.92–5.79) 0.07 Female 2 (5.9) Male 32 (94.1) 2.12 (0.49–9.05) 2.31 (0.92–5.79) 0.07 Female 2 (5.9) Male 32 (94.1) 2.12 (0.49–9.05) 2.31 (0.92–5.79) 0.07 Female 2 (5.9) House ownership Owned 25 (73.6) 0.63 (0.18–2.19) 0.37 (0.16–0.86) 0.01 Rented 9 (26.4) Fruit intake pr week		Fruit intake per week				
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		No intake	3 (9.4)	2.86 (0.67-12.09)	3.09 (1.22-7.80)	0.01
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		One day/week	3 (9.4)	1.43 (0.34-5.90)	1.15 (0.46-2.85)	0.75
$\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		Two days/week	11 (34.3)	2.03 (0.73-5.62)	1.92 (0.99-3.69)	0.05
Four days and above 6 (18.8) Cattle ownership No cattle 25 (78.1) 3.25 (0.96-10.94) 4.92 (2.08-11.64) 0.002 1-3 cattle 4 (12.5) 2.28 (0.49-10.4) 2.25 (0.84-5.99) 0.1 Four and above 3 (9.4) Illness in the past 2 weeks Yes 7 (21.9) 2.14 (0.89-5.14) 2.67 (1.49-4.78) 0.001 No 25 (78.1) Work besides education Yes 2 (6.3) 0.44 (0.10-1.89) 0.45 (0.17-1.17) 0.10 No 30 (93.7) Skip meal Yes 19 (59.4) 1.73 (0.84-5.58) 1.70 (1.05-2.74) 0.02 No 13 (40.6) Overweight Sex of students Male 3 (8.8) 0.1 (0.01-0.28) 0.1 (0.03-0.16) 0.001 Female 31 (91.2) School type Government 19 (55.9) 0.88 (0.44-1.77) 0.96 (0.57-1.61) 0.89 Private 15 (44.1) Maternal education 2 (6.1) 0.43 (0.09-2.08) 0.38 (0.13-1.11) 0.07 Primary education 12 (36.4) 0.74 (0.30-1.82) 0.52 (0.27-1.00) 0.05 Secondary education 10 (30.3) 0.75 (0.29-1.92) 0.72 (0.39-1.34) 0.31 College and university 9 (27.2) Sex of household head Male 32 (94.1) 2.12 (0.49-9.05) 2.31 (0.92-5.79) 0.07 Female 22 (5.9) House ownership Owned 25 (73.6) 0.63 (0.18-2.19) 0.37 (0.16-0.86) 0.01 Rented 9 (26.4) Fruit intake per week		Three days/week	9 (28.1)	1.34 (0.46-3.82)	1.49 (0.76-2.94)	0.23
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Four days and above	6 (18.8)			
No cattle 25 (78.1) 3.25 (0.96–10.94) 4.92 (2.08–11.64) 0.002 1–3 cattle 4 (12.5) 2.28 (0.49–10.4) 2.25 (0.84–5.99) 0.1 Four and above 3 (9.4) Illness in the past 2 weeks Yes 7 (21.9) 2.14 (0.89–5.14) 2.67 (1.49–4.78) 0.001 No 25 (78.1) Work besides education Yes 2 (6.3) 0.44 (0.10–1.89) 0.45 (0.17–1.17) 0.10 No 30 (93.7) Skip meal Yes 19 (59.4) 1.73 (0.84–5.58) 1.70 (1.05–2.74) 0.02 No 13 (40.6) Overweight Sex of students Male 3 (8.8) 0.1 (0.01–0.28) 0.1 (0.03–0.16) 0.001 Female 31 (91.2) School type Government 19 (55.9) 0.88 (0.44–1.77) 0.96 (0.57–1.61) 0.89 Private 15 (44.1) Maternal education No formal education 2 (6.1) 0.43 (0.09–2.08) 0.38 (0.13–1.11) 0.07 Primary education 12 (36.4) 0.74 (0.30–1.82) 0.52 (0.27–1.00) 0.05 Secondary education 10 (30.3) 0.75 (0.29–1.92) 0.72 (0.39–1.34) 0.31 College and university 9 (27.2) Sex of household head Male 32 (94.1) 2.12 (0.49–9.05) 2.31 (0.92–5.79) 0.07 Female 2 (5.9) House ownership Owned 25 (73.6) 0.63 (0.18–2.19) 0.37 (0.16–0.86) 0.01 Rented 9 (26.4) Fruit intake per week		Cattle ownership				
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		No cattle	25 (78.1)	3.25 (0.96-10.94)	4.92 (2.08-11.64)	0.002
Four and above 3 (9.4) Illness in the past 2 weeks Yes 7 (21.9) 2.14 (0.89–5.14) 2.67 (1.49–4.78) 0.001 No 25 (78.1) Work besides education 0.001 No 30 (93.7) 0.01 Work besides education Yes 2 (6.3) 0.44 (0.10–1.89) 0.45 (0.17–1.17) 0.10 No 30 (93.7) Skip meal 7 78 1.73 (0.84–5.58) 1.70 (1.05–2.74) 0.02 No 13 (40.6) 13 (40.6) 0.1 (0.01–0.28) 0.1 (0.03–0.16) 0.001 Overweight Sex of students Male 3 (8.8) 0.1 (0.01–0.28) 0.1 (0.03–0.16) 0.001 Female 31 (91.2) School type 0.001 1.001–0.28) 0.1 (0.03–0.16) 0.001 Private 19 (55.9) 0.88 (0.44–1.77) 0.96 (0.57–1.61) 0.89 Private 19 (54.41) Maternal education 10 (30.3) 0.75 (0.29–1.92) 0.52 (0.27–1.00) 0.05 Secondary education 12 (36.4) 0.74 (0.30–1.82) 0.52 (0.27–1.00) 0.05 </td <td></td> <td>1–3 cattle</td> <td>4 (12.5)</td> <td>2.28 (0.49–10.4)</td> <td>2.25 (0.84–5.99)</td> <td>0.1</td>		1–3 cattle	4 (12.5)	2.28 (0.49–10.4)	2.25 (0.84–5.99)	0.1
Illness in the past 2 weeks Yes 7 (21.9) 2.14 (0.89–5.14) 2.67 (1.49–4.78) 0.001 No 25 (78.1) Work besides education		Four and above	3 (9.4)			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Illness in the past 2 weeks	= (21.0)	2.14 (0.00 5.14)	0 (5 (1 40 4 50)	0.001
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		Yes	/ (21.9)	2.14 (0.89–5.14)	2.6/ (1.49-4./8)	0.001
Work besides education Yes 2 (6.3) 0.44 (0.10–1.89) 0.45 (0.17–1.17) 0.10 No 30 (93.7) Skip meal Yes 19 (59.4) 1.73 (0.84–5.58) 1.70 (1.05–2.74) 0.02 No 13 (40.6) No 13 (40.6) 0.11 (0.01–0.28) 0.1 (0.03–0.16) 0.001 Overweight Sex of students Male 3 (8.8) 0.1 (0.01–0.28) 0.1 (0.03–0.16) 0.001 Female 31 (91.2) School type Government 19 (55.9) 0.88 (0.44–1.77) 0.96 (0.57–1.61) 0.89 Private 15 (44.1) Maternal education 2 (6.1) 0.43 (0.09–2.08) 0.38 (0.13–1.11) 0.07 Primary education 12 (36.4) 0.74 (0.30–1.82) 0.52 (0.27–1.00) 0.05 Secondary education 12 (36.4) 0.74 (0.30–1.82) 0.52 (0.27–1.00) 0.05 Secondary education 12 (36.4) 0.74 (0.30–1.82) 0.52 (0.27–1.00) 0.05 Secondary education 10 (30.3) 0.75 (0.29–1.92) 0.72 (0.39–1.34) 0.31 College and university		NO Mark harida advertion	25 (78.1)			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Vork besides education	2(63)	0.44 (0.10, 1.80)	0.45 (0.17, 1.17)	0.10
Skip meal Yes 19 (59.4) 1.73 (0.84–5.58) 1.70 (1.05–2.74) 0.02 No 13 (40.6) 0 0.01 (0.01–0.28) 0.1 (0.03–0.16) 0.001 Overweight Sex of students 0.02 (0.57–1.61) 0.001 0.001 Female 31 (91.2) School type 0.02 (0.57–1.61) 0.89 Government 19 (55.9) 0.88 (0.44–1.77) 0.96 (0.57–1.61) 0.89 Private 15 (44.1) 0.007 0.07 (0.09–2.08) 0.38 (0.13–1.11) 0.07 Maternal education 2 (6.1) 0.43 (0.09–2.08) 0.38 (0.13–1.11) 0.07 Priwate 12 (36.4) 0.74 (0.30–1.82) 0.52 (0.27–1.00) 0.05 Secondary education 10 (30.3) 0.75 (0.29–1.92) 0.72 (0.39–1.34) 0.31 College and university 9 (27.2) Sex of household head 0.01 Male 32 (94.1) 2.12 (0.49–9.05) 2.31 (0.92–5.79) 0.07 Female 2 (5.9) House ownership 0.001 Rented 9 (26.4) 0.63 (0.18–2.19) 0.37 (0.16–0.86) 0.01 Rented 9 (26.4) Fruit i		No	2(0.3)	0.44 (0.10-1.09)	0.43 (0.17-1.17)	0.10
No 19 (59.4) 1.73 (0.84-5.58) 1.70 (1.05-2.74) 0.02 No 13 (40.6) 002 001 002 001 002 001 001 001 001 001 001 001 001 001 001		Skip meal	50 (95.7)			
No 13 (40.6) Interference Interference <thinterference< th=""> Interference <</thinterference<>		Yes	19 (59.4)	1.73 (0.84-5.58)	1.70(1.05 - 2.74)	0.02
OverweightSex of students Male3 (8.8)0.1 (0.01-0.28)0.1 (0.03-0.16)0.001Female31 (91.2)School typeGovernment19 (55.9)0.88 (0.44-1.77)0.96 (0.57-1.61)0.89Private15 (44.1)Maternal education2 (6.1)0.43 (0.09-2.08)0.38 (0.13-1.11)0.07Primary education12 (36.4)0.74 (0.30-1.82)0.52 (0.27-1.00)0.05Secondary education10 (30.3)0.75 (0.29-1.92)0.72 (0.39-1.34)0.31College and university9 (27.2)90.07Female2 (5.9)House ownership0wned25 (73.6)0.63 (0.18-2.19)0.37 (0.16-0.86)0.01Fruit intake per week10 (26.4)10 (26.4)10 (26.4)10 (26.4)10 (26.4)		No	13 (40.6)		100 (100 20 1)	0.01
Male 3 (8.8) 0.1 (0.01-0.28) 0.1 (0.03-0.16) 0.001 Female 31 (91.2) School type 0<	Overweight	Ser of students	,			
Finale 31 (91.2) 50 (000 (000 (000 (000 (000 (000 (000 (o ver weight	Male	3 (8 8)	0.1 (0.01 - 0.28)	0.1 (0.03-0.16)	0.001
School type Government 19 (55.9) 0.88 (0.44–1.77) 0.96 (0.57–1.61) 0.89 Private 15 (44.1) Maternal education 2 (6.1) 0.43 (0.09–2.08) 0.38 (0.13–1.11) 0.07 No formal education 12 (36.4) 0.74 (0.30–1.82) 0.52 (0.27–1.00) 0.05 Secondary education 10 (30.3) 0.75 (0.29–1.92) 0.72 (0.39–1.34) 0.31 College and university 9 (27.2) 9 (27.2) 0.31 (0.92–5.79) 0.07 Female 2 (5.9) 10.43 (0.18–2.19) 0.37 (0.16–0.86) 0.01 Rented 9 (26.4) 9 (26.4) 10.18–2.19 0.37 (0.16–0.86) 0.01		Female	31 (91.2)	0.1 (0.01 0.20)		0.001
Government 19 (55.9) 0.88 (0.44–1.77) 0.96 (0.57–1.61) 0.89 Private 15 (44.1) Maternal education 2 (6.1) 0.43 (0.09–2.08) 0.38 (0.13–1.11) 0.07 Primary education 12 (36.4) 0.74 (0.30–1.82) 0.52 (0.27–1.00) 0.05 Secondary education 10 (30.3) 0.75 (0.29–1.92) 0.72 (0.39–1.34) 0.31 College and university 9 (27.2) 9 (27.2) 9 0.72 0.95 0.31 (0.92–5.79) 0.07 Female 2 (5.9) 10 university 9 (25.9) 0.63 (0.18–2.19) 0.37 (0.16–0.86) 0.01 Rented 9 (26.4) 9 (26.4) 10 university 9 (26.4) 10 university 0.11		School type	01 ()112)			
Private 15 (44.1) Maternal education 0.43 (0.09–2.08) 0.38 (0.13–1.11) 0.07 No formal education 2 (6.1) 0.43 (0.09–2.08) 0.38 (0.13–1.11) 0.07 Primary education 12 (36.4) 0.74 (0.30–1.82) 0.52 (0.27–1.00) 0.05 Secondary education 10 (30.3) 0.75 (0.29–1.92) 0.72 (0.39–1.34) 0.31 College and university 9 (27.2) 9 0.72 (0.39–1.34) 0.31 Sex of household head 32 (94.1) 2.12 (0.49–9.05) 2.31 (0.92–5.79) 0.07 Female 2 (5.9) 1000000000000000000000000000000000000		Government	19 (55.9)	0.88(0.44 - 1.77)	0.96 (0.57-1.61)	0.89
Maternal education 2 (6.1) 0.43 (0.09–2.08) 0.38 (0.13–1.11) 0.07 Primary education 12 (36.4) 0.74 (0.30–1.82) 0.52 (0.27–1.00) 0.05 Secondary education 10 (30.3) 0.75 (0.29–1.92) 0.72 (0.39–1.34) 0.31 College and university 9 (27.2) 9 (27.2) 0.75 (0.29–1.92) 0.72 (0.39–1.34) 0.31 Male 32 (94.1) 2.12 (0.49–9.05) 2.31 (0.92–5.79) 0.07 Female 2 (5.9) 0.063 (0.18–2.19) 0.37 (0.16–0.86) 0.01 Rented 9 (26.4) 9 10.11 10.11 10.11 10.11 Fruit intake per week 10 (26.4) 10.11 10.11 10.11 10.11 10.11		Private	15 (44.1)	· · · · ·	. , ,	
No formal education 2 (6.1) 0.43 (0.09–2.08) 0.38 (0.13–1.11) 0.07 Primary education 12 (36.4) 0.74 (0.30–1.82) 0.52 (0.27–1.00) 0.05 Secondary education 10 (30.3) 0.75 (0.29–1.92) 0.72 (0.39–1.34) 0.31 College and university 9 (27.2) 9 (27.2) 0.75 (0.29–1.92) 0.72 (0.39–1.34) 0.31 Sex of household head 7 7 7 0.07 0.07 Female 2 (5.9) 2.12 (0.49–9.05) 2.31 (0.92–5.79) 0.07 House ownership 0 0.63 (0.18–2.19) 0.37 (0.16–0.86) 0.01 Rented 9 (26.4) 9 (26.4) 7 10.11 10.11 10.11		Maternal education				
Primary education 12 (36.4) 0.74 (0.30–1.82) 0.52 (0.27–1.00) 0.05 Secondary education 10 (30.3) 0.75 (0.29–1.92) 0.72 (0.39–1.34) 0.31 College and university 9 (27.2) 9 (27.2) 0.75 (0.29–1.92) 0.72 (0.39–1.34) 0.31 Sex of household head Male 32 (94.1) 2.12 (0.49–9.05) 2.31 (0.92–5.79) 0.07 Female 2 (5.9) 10 (30.6) 0.63 (0.18–2.19) 0.37 (0.16–0.86) 0.01 Move ownership 0 0.25 (73.6) 0.63 (0.18–2.19) 0.37 (0.16–0.86) 0.01 Rented 9 (26.4) 10 (26.4)		No formal education	2 (6.1)	0.43 (0.09-2.08)	0.38 (0.13-1.11)	0.07
Secondary education 10 (30.3) 0.75 (0.29–1.92) 0.72 (0.39–1.34) 0.31 College and university 9 (27.2) 9 (27.2) 0.31 0.31 Sex of household head 0 0 0.31 0.31 Male 32 (94.1) 2.12 (0.49–9.05) 2.31 (0.92–5.79) 0.07 Female 2 (5.9) 0.63 (0.18–2.19) 0.37 (0.16–0.86) 0.01 Rented 9 (26.4) 9 0.63 0.18–2.19 0.37 (0.16–0.86) 0.01 Fruit intake per week 0 0.64 (0.14–0.14) 0.51 (0.14–0.14) 0.51 0.51 (0.14–0.15) 0.51		Primary education	12 (36.4)	0.74 (0.30-1.82)	0.52 (0.27-1.00)	0.05
College and university 9 (27.2) Sex of household head 1 Male 32 (94.1) 2.12 (0.49–9.05) 2.31 (0.92–5.79) 0.07 Female 2 (5.9) 1<		Secondary education	10 (30.3)	0.75 (0.29–1.92)	0.72 (0.39–1.34)	0.31
Sex of household head Male 32 (94.1) 2.12 (0.49–9.05) 2.31 (0.92–5.79) 0.07 Female 2 (5.9) House ownership 0wned 25 (73.6) 0.63 (0.18–2.19) 0.37 (0.16–0.86) 0.01 Rented 9 (26.4) Fruit intake per week 9 (26.4) 0.01 0.01 0.01		College and university	9 (27.2)			
Male 32 (94.1) 2.12 (0.49–9.05) 2.31 (0.92–5.79) 0.07 Female 2 (5.9) 1000000000000000000000000000000000000		Sex of household head				
Female 2 (5.9) House ownership 000000000000000000000000000000000000		Male	32 (94.1)	2.12 (0.49-9.05)	2.31 (0.92–5.79)	0.07
House ownership Owned 25 (73.6) 0.63 (0.18–2.19) 0.37 (0.16–0.86) 0.01 Rented 9 (26.4) Fruit intake per week		Female	2 (5.9)			
Owned 25 (73.6) 0.63 (0.18-2.19) 0.37 (0.16-0.86) 0.01 Rented 9 (26.4) Fruit intake per week		House ownership		0 (2 (0 10 2 10)		0.01
Fruit intake per week		Owned	25(73.6)	0.63 (0.18-2.19)	0.37 (0.16-0.86)	0.01
		Kented Fruit intaka par waak	9 (20.4)			
No intake $2(50)$ $127(02640)$ $186(06652)$ 022		No inteles	2(50)	1.27(0.26,6.10)	186 (0.66 5.25)	0.22
One day/week $3(8.8)$ $0.95(0.25-3.64)$ $1.85(0.76-4.53)$ 0.25		One day/week	$\frac{2}{3}(8.8)$	0.95(0.20-0.19)	1.00(0.00-3.23) 1.85(0.76-4.53)	0.25
Two days/week $8 (235)$ $0.98 (0.37-2.61)$ $1.66 (0.76-2.80)$ 0.17		Two days/week	8 (23 5)	0.98 (0.37 - 2.61)	1.46 (0.76-2.80)	0.17
Three days/week $12(35.3)$ $1.19(0.49-2.89)$ $1.63(0.90-2.96)$ 0.10		Three days/week	12(35.3)	1.19 (0.49-2.89)	1.63(0.90-2.96)	0.10
Four days and above 9 (26.5)		Four days and above	9 (26.5)	(=	(5120
Cattle ownership		Cattle ownership				

Journal of Nutrition and Metabolism

Nutritional status	Explanatory variables $(N = 655)$	N (%)	COR (95% CI)	AOR (95% CI)	<i>p</i> value
	No cattle	21 (61.8)	0.81 (0.37-1.76)	0.37 (0.20-0.69)	0.002
	1–3 cattle	3 (8.8)	0.51 (0.13-1.91)	0.36 (0.14-0.89)	0.02
	Four and above	10 (29.4)			
	Illness in the past 2 weeks				
	Yes	5 (14.7)	1.32 (0.49-3.52)	1.15 (0.57-2.31)	0.69
	No	29 (85.3)			
	Work besides education				
	Yes	2 (5.9)	0.41 (0.09-1.76)	0.35 (0.10-1.24)	0.10
	No	32 (94.1)			
	Skip meal	× /			
	Yes	16 (47.1)	1.05 (0.52-2.11)	1.09 (0.69-1.73)	0.7
	No	18 (52.9)	. ,	. ,	

TABLE 4: Continued.

The reference category is normal weight adolescents; the bold indicates statistically significant variables; COR, crude odds ratio; AOR, adjusted odds ratio.

TABLE 5: Factors associated with stunting of	school adolescents, Wolaita Sodo town, Southern Ethio	opia, May 2015.
--	---	-----------------

Variable (N (55)	Nutritional status			
Variable (IV = 655)	Stunted	Normal	COR (95% CI)	AUK (95% CI)
Original residence				
Urban	22 (4.2%)	497 (95.8%)	1	
Rural	12 (8.8%)	124 (91.2%)	2.19 (1.05-4.54)*	2.619 (0.83-8.27)
Cattle in the same house				
Yes	17 (9.1%)	169 (90.9%)	2.67 (1.33-5.36)*	2.11 (0.86-5.20)
No	17 (3.6%)	452 (96.4%)	1	
Source of drinking water				
Safe source	29 (4.7%)	589 (95.3%)	1	
Not safe source	5 (13.5%)	32 (86.5%)	3.17 (1.15-8.74)*	2.03 (0.66-6.25)
Fathers' occupation				
Farmer	8 (7.5%)	99 (92.5%)	1.41 (0.59–3.35)	0.32 (0.09-1.23)
Merchant	3 (2.1%)	143 (97.9%)	0.36 (0.11-1.27)	0.25 (0.61-1.02)
Day laborer	5 (7.2%)	64 (92.8%)	1.36 (0.49-3.82)	0.98 (0.28-3.36)
Government/NGO employee	18 (5.4%)	315 (94.6%)	1	
Mothers' education				
No formal education	6 (8.5%)	65 (91.55)	1.21 (0.42-3.47)	0.49 (0.10-2.40)
Primary education	14 (5.8%)	229 (94.2%)	0.801 (0.35-1.85)	0.53 (0.15-1.89)
Secondary education	4 (2.0%)	196 (98.0%)	0.26 (0.08-0.87)*	0.21 (0.05-0.85)*
College and university	10 (7.1%)	131 (92.9%)	1	
Family size				
≤5 members	17 (6.6%)	241 (93.4%)	0.63 (0.32-1.27)	2.03 (0.94-4.36)
>5 members	17 (4.3%)	380 (95.7%)	1	
House ownership				
Owned	31 (5.6%)	519 (94.4%)	1	
Rent from private	1 (1.6%)	61 (98.4%)	0.27 (0.04-2.05)	1.80 (0.37-8.66)
Rent from government	2 (4.7%)	41 (95.3%)	0.82 (0.19-3.53)	0.31 (0.02-3.97)

COR, crude odds ratio; AOR, adjusted odds ratio; *statistical significant variable at p value < 0.01.

as associated factors for stunting, after controlling for confounding effect of variables in the final step, only mothers' education had associations with stunting. Adolescents whose mothers had secondary education were 78.6% more likely to stunting as compared to adolescents whose mothers had college and university education (Table 5).

4. Discussion

This study revealed that the overall prevalence malnutrition (thinness, overweight, and stunting) was 4.8% (95% CI: 3%-6.4%), 4.8% (95% CI: 3.2%-6.6%), and 5.2% (95% CI: 3.4%-7%), respectively. The prevalence of both overweight

and obesity was 5.0% (95% CI: 3.4%–6.7%). The prevalence of undernutrition was more or less comparable to the study conducted in Addis Ababa; the prevalence of thinness and stunting was 7.2% and 6.2% [8]. The report also goes in line with the nutritional status reported from Turkish adolescents where the rate of being underweight and stunted was 5.0% and 4.4% [19]. The prevalence of thinness is much lower than those reported from the Mekelle city (37.8%) [16], Ambo town (27.2%) [20], Chiro town (24.4%) [21], Malaysia (16%) [22], and Addis Ababa (13%) [23]. Similarly, the study has shown a lower prevalence of thinness than International Center for Research on Women (ICRW) reports from different African countries, such as Senegal (29.8%), Benin (23%), and Sudan (25%) [22]; West Bengal (42.4%) [24]; and Nigeria (18.9%) [25]. The difference is mainly due to the difference in socioeconomic backgrounds in the study areas. When boys were compared to girls, there was a higher prevalence of thinness among boys than girls (7.4% versus 1.9%). This might be due to more variation in maturation time in boys than girls, for which girls attained maturation earlier than boys. The difference can also be explained that, in our community, boys are more engaged in hard physical work and other out-door activities than girls that they have higher energy expenditure compared to girls. The differences in prevalence of thinness by sex are also demonstrated by the study conducted in Ambo town (29.8% versus 24.6%) [20], Chiro town (34.2% versus 10.4%) [21], Addis Ababa (9.9% versus 2.6%) [8], Wardha of South India (75% versus 25%) [26], and West Bengal (52.1% versus 32%) [24]. Manyanga et al. reported from large cross-sectional data from Global School-based Student Health Survey (GSHS) conducted in seven African countries, based on WHO 2007 growth chart, that males had a higher prevalence of being thin in every country [6]. By contrast, Mondal and Terangpi from Assam, North India, reported that prevalence of thinness was slightly higher among girls (14.9%) than boys (12.05%) [27].

Regarding the sex specific prevalence of stunting, there is higher prevalence of stunting among boys (5.9%) than girls (4.4%). This study also agrees with reports from 16 demographic and health survey from Sub-Saharan Africa [28]. However, Nitish Mondal and his colleague pointed that, in Assam, North India, prevalence of stunting was similar among boys (50.1%) and girls (50.2%) [27]. There is a higher prevalence of stunting among late adolescents (5.6%) than early adolescents (3.3%). By contrast, study done in Chiro town showed there is a high prevalence of stunting in early adolescents than late adolescents (7.8% versus 6.8%) [21].

About overweight and obesity, the prevalence of overweight and obesity is 4.7% and 0.3%, respectively. Yetubie et al. [20] also reported that 4.4% of adolescents were overweight in Ambo town. This is higher than overweight/obesity reported from Mekele city (2.4%) [16], Chiro town, West Hararge [21], and rural Wardha, India (2.0%) [6]. This might be due to socioeconomic differences. However, it is lower than those reported from Hawassa city (12.9%) [29] and Addis Ababa (8.5%) [8]. This can be explained by the fact that Hawassa and Addis Ababa are more urban than Wolaita Sodo, and adolescents living there may have a higher chance of feeding modern canned foods and fried junk foods.

The prevalence of overweight/obesity was nearly the same with the prevalence of thinness (5.0% versus 4.7%), indicating both forms of malnutrition coexist in the study area. The prevalence of overweight/obesity in this study tells us that the problem is rapidly increasing as compared to 2011 report of national demographic survey of Ethiopia and large numbers of adolescents are prone for development of noncommunicable diseases. To date, there is no clear and comprehensive intervention strategy for such population segments to reduce overthreatening effect of NCDs in later life. The present study showed that there is a significantly higher rate of overweight/obesity among girls than boys; 9.5% versus 0.9%. This can be explained by the fact that girls

attain maturity earlier than boys. It can also be due to, in Ethiopia, female adolescents did household chores such as cooking giving them more access to food. This finding is consistent with the finding of a study conducted in Ambo town [20].

This study revealed that being male, private school, mothers' education, having private house, having more cattle, and had an illness in the last two weeks preceding survey are associated with thinness. Male adolescents were 4 times more likely to be thinner than their counterparts. This can be explained by that boys are maybe engaged in more strenuous activity than girls. This study agrees with a study from Mekelle city and Chiro town where boys are more likely to be thinner than girls, respectively [16, 21]. This also goes in line with the study conducted in African countries which confirms that boys are encouraged to be autonomous at younger age than girls, meaning that they are more likely to be exposed to infection which leads to nutritional problems [6, 30].

It is expected that adolescents at private schools are relatively from wealthy families, and thus, they might have low prevalence of undernutrition and higher prevalence of overnutrition as compared to their counterparts. However, in contrast to this, the study showed that adolescents learning in private schools were more likely to be thin as compared to those from government schools. This might be due to differences in the feeding habit.

Adolescents from families that do not have cattle were four times more thinner than their counterparts. Cattle are an important source of milk and meat. Families that do not raise their own cattle must purchase milk and meat from the sources outside of the home. Without money, this approach is not likely to be successful and people substitute grain for milk and meat. Yetubie et al. also reported similar findings from Ambo town [20]. The study also revealed that adolescents from families renting their house were more likely to be thin compared to adolescents from families who owned the house. This might be due to unstable lifestyle and low socioeconomic condition among the former ones.

Evidence suggests that the educational status of the family, particularly the mother, was an important determinant of nutritional status of their children [16]. This study also revealed that adolescents whose mothers have no formal education were more likely to be thinner than adolescents whose mothers have college and university education. In contradiction to the above, students of less educated mothers had significantly lower odds for stunting. This may be due to the fact that mothers with low education level will have enough time for caring their children, but mothers with advanced education will be employed by different sectors and thus will not have adequate time. This might be due to the fact that the higher the maternal education, the more the household income increasing the purchasing power of the household. Another possible explanation could be mothers who have attained higher education level might have good awareness about nutrition and has decision making power over the household food choice and might have also good caring capacity of their children. Educated mothers are also more likely to use modern health care [31].

Regarding the health status, 12.2% of adolescents reported that they had an illness in the last two weeks preceding the survey. Adolescents who had an illness within two weeks preceding survey were 3 times more prone to thinness than those who had not. The disease affects nutritional status by reducing appetite and at the same time by increasing nutrient demand of the body. The study done in Jimma [32] and Gujarat, India, [33] showed that adolescents who have poor access to health care are more likely undernourished.

Even though extensive effort was made to enhance the quality of the study, there may be minimal effect on the power of the study due to small sample size. There might be some interobserver variability by the data collector, but standardization and adjustment of scales and reliability of the tool were done regularly.

5. Conclusion and Recommendation

The present study successfully documented undernutrition, in terms of thinness and stunting and overweight/obesity among school-going adolescents of Wolaita Sodo town. The prevalence of undernutrition is slightly similar as that of overnutrition, indicating that both forms of malnutrition coexist. There is an increasing trend in the level of overweight/obesity. Being male, mother with no formal education, owning no cattle, and illness in last two weeks preceding survey was associated with being thin. The higher level of maternal education also reduces the rate of stunting among their children. There was a significant difference on the prevalence of adolescent malnutrition for age and sex of adolescent with respect to different nutritional indicators.

Abbreviations

- BAZ: BMI-for-age Z-score
- BMI: Body mass index
- CI: Confidence interval
- HAS: Height-for-age Z-score
- SD: Standard deviation.

Data Availability

The datasets during and/or analyzed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Ethical Approval

The research and ethics committee of Wolaita Sodo University approved the study protocol, and then the official letter of cooperation was written to zonal health and Wolaita Sodo town education office.

Consent

The nature of the study was fully explained to the study participants prior to data collection. For selected students 9

aged 10–14, the assent was taken from parents/guardians. If the parents or guardians are unable to read and write, students were told to read to them and tell parents/guardians put their finger print for signature if they agreed their child to take part in the study. The informed verbal consent was obtained from all the participants after giving information about the aim of the study.

Disclosure

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declared that they have no conflicts of interest.

Authors' Contributions

Dereje Yohannes Teferi initiated and developed the proposal, did the data collection and analysis, and wrote the manuscript. Gudina Egata Atomssa developed the proposal, revised the report, and involved in the revision of manuscript. Tefera Chane Mekonnen involved in the proposal organization, supervised the field work, worked on the analysis, and wrote the manuscript. All authors contributed equally to the research work.

Acknowledgments

The research was funded by Wolaita Sodo University. The authors would like to acknowledge Wolaita Sodo University for providing materials used for the work. The authors' deepest gratitude goes to data collectors, kebeles leaders, and Wolaita Sodo health center manager for cooperation starting from the beginning till the end of data collection time. The authors' heartfelt thanks go to Wolaita Sodo town residents, particularly the respondents.

References

- A. K. Agarwal, H. S. Joshi, and A. Singh, "Vitamin A deficiency among school going adolescents in rural areas of Bareily," *European Journal of Nutrition and Food Safety*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 318–324, 2014.
- [2] World Health Organization, "Health needs of adolescents," Tech. Rep. 609, WHO Expert Committee, Geneva, Switzerland, 1997.
- [3] K. K. Mak and S. H. Tan, "Underweight problems in Asian children and adolescents," *European Journal of Pediatrics*, vol. 171, no. 5, pp. 779–785, 2012.
- [4] B. Geurden, "Malnutrition and cognitive impairment among people aged 60 years and above living in regular housing or in special housing in Sweden: a population based cohort study," *Evidence Based Nursing*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 62-63, 2012.
- [5] WHO Working Group, "Reviews analyses; use and interpretation of anthropometric indicators of nutritional status," *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, vol. 64, no. 6, 2005.
- [6] T. Manyanga, H. El-Sayed, D. T. Doku, and J. R. Randall, "The prevalence of underweight, overweight, obesity and associated

risk factors among school-going adolescents in seven African countries," *BMC Public Health*, vol. 14, no. 1, p. 887, 2014.

- [7] T. Leenstra, L Petersen, S. Kariuki, A. J. Oloo, P. A. Kager, and F. O. ter Kuile, "Prevalence and severity of malnutrition and age at menarche; cross-sectional studies in adolescent schoolgirls in Western Kenya," *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, vol. 59, no. 1, pp. 41–48, 2005.
- [8] Y. Gebreyohannes, S. Solomon Shiferaw, B. Demtsu, and G. Bugssa, "Nutritional status of adolescents in selected government and private secondary schools of Addis Ababa, Ethiopia," *International Journal of Nutrition and Food Sciences*, vol. 3, no. 6, pp. 504–514, 2014.
- [9] H. Delisle, V. Chandra-Mouli, and B. de Benoist, Should Adolescents Be Specifically Targeted for Nutrition in Developing Countries: To Address Which Problems, and How?, World Health Organization and International Nutrition Foundation for Developing Countries, Geneva, Switzerland, 2000, https:// www.scribd.com/document/367704199/Adlosent-Nutrition-Delisle.
- [10] Central Statistical Agency, Ethiopian Demographic Health Survey, Central Statistical Agency, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 2016.
- [11] T. A. Niklas, T. Baranowski, K. W. Cullen, and G. Berenson, "Eating patterns, dietary quality and obesity," *Journal of the American College of Nutrition*, vol. 20, no. 6, pp. 599–608, 2001.
- [12] World Health Organization, Global Status Report on Non-Communicable Diseases 2010, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, 2011.
- [13] M. D. Onis, "WHO child growth standards: length/heightfor-age, weight-for-age, weight-for-length, weight-for-height and body mass index-for-age: methods and development," *Acta Paediatrica*, vol. 95, pp. 76–85, 2006.
- [14] A Global Community Investment Initiative: Non-Communicable Diseases and Adolescents an Opportunity for Action, 2014.
- [15] J. Haidar, D. HaileMariam, T. Demisse, and H. Kloos, "Food, diet and nutrition," in *The Epidemiology and Ecology of Health and Disease in Ethiopia*, pp. 90–109, Shama Books, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 2006.
- [16] Z. A. Anteneh, M. Gedefaw, K. N. Tekletsadek, M. Tsegaye, and D. Alemu, "Risk factors of overweight and obesity among high school students in Bahir Dar city, North West Ethiopia: school based cross-sectional study," *Advances in Preventive Medicine*, vol. 2015, Article ID 294902, 9 pages, 2015.
- [17] T. Lohman, A. Roche, and R. Martorell, Anthropometric Standardization Reference Manual, Human Kinetics Books, Champaign, IL, USA, 1991.
- [18] WHO, AnthroPlus for Personal Computers Manual: Software for Assessing Growth of the World's Children and Adolescents, World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, 2009.
- [19] I. Özgüven, B. Ersoy, A. A. Özgüven, and P. D. Erbay, "Evaluation of nutritional status in Turkish adolescents as related to gender and socioeconomic status," *Journal of Clinical Research in Pediatric Endocrinology*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 111–116, 2010.
- [20] M. Yetubie, J. Haidar, H. Kassa, and F. Fallon, "Socioeconomic and demographic factors affecting body mass index of adolescents students aged 10–19 in Ambo (a rural town) in Ethiopia," *International Journal of Biomedical Science*, vol. 6, no. 4, pp. 321–326, 2010.
- [21] T. Damie, M. Wondafrash, and A. Teklehaymanot, "Nutritional status and associated factors among school adolescent in Chiro Town, West Hararge, Ethiopia," *Gaziantep Medical Journal*, vol. 21, no. 1, pp. 32–42, 2015.

- [22] L. S. Cordeiro, S. Lamstein, Z. Mahmud, and F. J. Levinson, "Adolescent malnutrition in developing countries: a close look at the problem and at two national experiences," SCN News, vol. 31, pp. 6–13, 2013.
- [23] A. Zeleke, Prevalence and Determinants of Childhood and Adolescent Overweight and Obesity among Primary School Students in Addis Ababa, Vol. 90, School of Public Health, Addis Ababa University, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 2007.
- [24] N. Mondal and J. Sen, "Prevalence of stunting and thinness among rural adolescents of Darjeeling district, West Bengal, India," *Italian Journal of Public Health*, vol. 7, no. 1, 2010.
- [25] O. Omigbodun, K. I. Adediran, J. O. Akinyemi, A. O. Omigbodun, B. O. Adedokun, and O. Esan, "Gender and rural-urban differences in the nutritional status of in-school adolescents in south-western Nigeria," *Journal of Biosocial Science*, vol. 42, no. 5, pp. 653–676, 2010.
- [26] D. G. Dambhare, M. S. Bharambe, A. M. Mehendale, and B. S. Garg, "Nutritional status and morbidity among school going adolescents in Wardha, a Peri-Urban area," *Online Journal of Health and Allied Sciences*, vol. 9, no. 2, p. 24, 2010.
- [27] N. Mondal and M. Terangpi, "Prevalence of undernutrition among tribal adolescents of Karbi Anglong district of Assam, Northeast India," *Sri Lanka Journal of Child Health*, vol. 43, no. 3, pp. 154–157, 2014.
- [28] H. Wamani, A. N. Åstrøm, S. Peterson, J. K. Tumwine, and T. Tylleskär, "Boys are more stunted than girls in sub-Saharan Africa: a meta-analysis of 16 demographic and health surveys," *BMC Pediatrics*, vol. 7, no. 1, p. 17, 2007.
- [29] T. Teshome and D. Moges, "Prevalence and associated factors of overweight and obesity among high school adolescents in urban communities of Hawassa, Southern Ethiopia," *Current Research in Nutrition and Food Science*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 23–36, 2013.
- [30] H. Delisle, Nutrition in Adolescence–Issues and Challenges for the Health Sector, Issues in Adolescent Health and Development, 2005.
- [31] M. Frost, R. Forste, and D. Haas, "Maternal education and child nutritional status in Bolivia: finding the links," *Social Science and Medicine*, vol. 60, no. 2, pp. 395–407, 2005.
- [32] H. Assefa, T. Belachew, and L. Negash, "Socioeconomic factors associated with underweight and stunting among adolescents of Jimma Zone, South West Ethiopia: a crosssectional study," *ISRN Public Health*, vol. 2013, Article ID 238546, 7 pages, 2013.
- [33] J. Vaishnav, G. Vaishnav, and M. Verma, "Socio-demographic study among school going adolescents," *Journal of Clinical* and Experimental Research, vol. 1, no. 2, pp. 31–38, 2013.