Check for updates

OPEN ACCESS

EDITED BY Lin Zhang, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, China

REVIEWED BY

Raheleh Roudi, Stanford University, United States Hao Zhang, Xiangya Hospital Central South University, China

*CORRESPONDENCE Weihong Li, lwh@cdutcm.edu.cn

SPECIALTY SECTION This article was submitted to Predictive Toxicology, a section of the journal Frontiers in Pharmacology

RECEIVED 29 May 2022 ACCEPTED 04 August 2022 PUBLISHED 05 September 2022

CITATION

Zhang T, Wang Y, Shi C, Liu X, Lv S, Wang X and Li W (2022), Pancreatic injury following immune checkpoint inhibitors: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front. Pharmacol.* 13:955701. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2022.955701

COPYRIGHT

© 2022 Zhang, Wang, Shi, Liu, Lv, Wang and Li. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original

author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

Pancreatic injury following immune checkpoint inhibitors: A systematic review and meta-analysis

Tian Zhang¹, Yi Wang¹, Chunhui Shi², Xiaochun Liu², Shangbin Lv¹, Xin Wang¹ and Weihong Li^{1*}

¹Basic Medical College, Chengdu University of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Chengdu, China, ²Department of Medical Oncology, Baoji Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine, Baoji, China

Background: Pancreatic injury (pancreatitis, amylase/lipase elevation) is a rare adverse event of immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs). With the high number of clinical studies on ICIs, the incidence and characteristics of associated pancreatic injury (PI) need to be reevaluated.

Methods: A systematic review and meta-analysis was conducted to assess the incidence of PI in cancer patients who received ICIs in randomized controlled trials (RCTs). PubMed, Embase, the ASCO, ESMO, and AACR conference proceedings before 1 April 2022, were investigated for relevant research.

Results: 50 RCTs involving 35,223 patients were included. The incidence of ICIs-PI was 2.22% (95% CI = 1.94%-2.53%). The incidence of PI was 3.76% (95% CI = 1.84-7.67%) when combining two ICIs, which was higher than single ICIs [2.25% (95% CI = 1.91-2.65%)]. The ICIs were ranked from high to low based on PI incidence: PD-L1 inhibitors 3.01% (95% CI = 1.86-4.87%), CTLA-4 inhibitors 2.92% (95% CI = 0.99-8.65%) and PD-1 Inhibitor 2% (95% CI = 1.67-2.39%). The ICI with the highest rate of PI was pembrolizumab 7.23.% (95% CI = 1.69-30.89%). In addition, the incidence of severe ICIs-PI was 2.08% (95% CI = 1.76-2.46%); and the incidence of severe PI was 2.32% (95% CI = 1.76-3.06%) when combining two ICIs, which was higher than single ICI [1.95% (95% CI = 1.58-2.41%)]. The ICIs were ranked from high to low according to the incidence of severe PI: PD-L1 inhibitors 3.1% (95% CI = 1.7-5.64%), CTLA-4 inhibitors 2.69% (95% CI = 0.76-9.49%), PD-1 inhibitors 1.80% (95% CI = 1.41-2.29%).

Conclusion: Treatment with multiple ICIs result in a higher incidence of PI compared to single ICIs, irrespective of the grade of pancreatic injury. The incidence of PI caused by PD-L1 inhibitors is higher than that of CTLA-4 inhibitors and PD-1 Inhibitor, and Pembrolizumab has the highest rate of ICIs-PI. Although the incidence of ICIs-PI is not high, they are usually severe (\geq grade 3 events).

KEYWORDS

immune checkpoint inhibitors, immune-related adverse events, meta-analysis, pancreatic injury, pancreatitis, amylase, lipase, randomized clinical trial

Since the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved Ipilimumab for melanoma treatment in 2011, ICIs have revolutionized the oncology treatment landscape (Vaddepally et al., 2020). Cytotoxic T lymphocyteassociated protein 4 (CTLA-4), programmed death receptor 1 (PD-1) and programmed death ligand 1 (PD-L1) are two of the most widely used immune checkpoint pathways that inhibit T cell immune function at different stages of T cell activation (Zhang et al., 2021). PD-1 and PD-L1 antibody block the PD-1/PD-L1 pathway, enabling upregulation of T cell activation, activating endogenous anti-tumor immune responses, and enhancing their function to kill tumor cells (Alsaab et al., 2017). CTLA-4 inhibitors maintain T-cell activation by deactivating the signal that inhibits T-cell activation and deactivates immunosuppression of regulatory T cells (Treg cells) in the tumor microenvironment (Wolchok and Saenger, 2008). PD-1/PD-L1 and CTLA-4, each with several clinically approved targeted antibodies, are currently used to treat more than 17 cancers (de Miguel Calvo, 2020) and excel in controlling disease progression, prolonging survival, and improving quality of life (Tartarone et al., 2019; Nishijima et al., 2019; Liu et al., 2020). Notably, ICIs achieve long-term efficacy even after treatment discontinuation and are generally better tolerated than other oncology treatments (Kroemer and Zitvogel, 2021). However, ICIs are only effective in some patients and are prone to drug resistance (Kaushik et al., 2022; Chen et al., 2022; Tong et al., 2021). Furthermore, most patients treated with ICIs develop immune-related adverse events (irAEs) (Bertrand et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2019; Xu et al., 2018), some of which can be life-threatening (Bagchi et al., 2021; Takamoto et al., 2022; Maeda et al., 2022; Wang et al., 2018). Since ICIs function by slowing down the immune activation process, they tend to exert off-target effects, including inflammation of different organs or tissues (Robert, 2020). In the digestive system, irAEs occur in the colon, intestines, liver, and pancreas (most common to least common) (Macovei Oprescu et al., 2021; Porcu et al., 2020). Pancreatic injury caused by ICIs (ICIs- PI) mainly refers to pancreatitis and increased lipase/amylase following ICI treatment, and has a relatively low incidence (1.05-7%) (Michot et al., 2018; George et al., 2019; Su et al., 2018; Zhou et al., 2021). However, it may result in chronic pancreatic atrophy, recurrent ICIs-PI, and other adverse outcomes, including death. (Abu-Sbeih et al., 2019; Johnson et al., 2022; Das et al., 2020; Ueno et al., 2021; Jiang et al., 2018).

Although ICIs-PI is uncommon, a growing number of clinical studies related to ICIs have been performed, and its impact on patients' quality of life and treatment process cannot be ignored. Therefore, it is necessary to update the understanding of the incidence of ICIs-PI, paying particular attention to its mechanism, immunotherapy toxicity, and its clinical management.

This systematic review and meta-analysis focus on the incidence of PI caused by ICIs in the treatment of solid malignancies. The article is based on the latest prospective clinical trials and reports the incidence of PI induced by various ICIs as single agents or in combination with other drugs.

Materials and methods

This meta-analysis was based entirely on the preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analysis (PRISMA) (Liberati et al., 2009), and was registered in the International prospective register of systematic reviews (PROSPERO) (CRD42022332230).

Data sources and searches

A comprehensive search of PubMed and Embase was conducted. Proceedings of the American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO), European Society of Medical Oncology (ESMO), and American Association for Cancer Research (AACR) were searched to supplement this study. Literature published up to 1 April 2022 were screened. Three researchers (TZ, YW, and CHS) independently reviewed the titles and abstracts of the studies identified in the search and excluded those not relevant. The full and supplementary texts of the remaining studies were reviewed to determine whether they contained the necessary information. Conflicts in study selection were resolved by referencing the original article and reaching a consensus with the Senior Investigator (WHL). The search strategy can be found at Supplementary Material S1.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Inclusion criteria: 1) Patients pathologically diagnosed with solid malignant tumors; 2) Randomized controlled trials (RCTs); 3) The experimental group was treated with PD-1/PD-L1/CTLA-4 inhibitors (Nivolumab, Pembrolizumab, Atezolizumab, Durvalumab, Avelumab, Sintilimab, Cemiplimab, Ipilimumab, Toripalimab, Camrelizumab, Dostalimab, Tislelizumab, Tremelimumab, and Lambrolizumab), with or without other treatments; 4) Control groups were given non- ICI treatments or placebos; 5) Adverse events related to pancreatic injury were fully described; 6) More than 50 patients were involved in each arm.

Exclusion criteria: 1) Hematologic malignancies; 2)Singlearm trials; 3) Phase I clinical trials; 4) Lack of crucial data from trials; 5) Non-English articles; 6) Publication as letters, conference reports, editorials, case reports, animal studies, basic studies, or systematic reviews.

Data Extraction

For each eligible study, the following variables were independently extracted by 3 different investigators (TZ, YW, XCL): first author, year of publication, study name, tumor type, trial phase, tumor staging, drug class, drug name, drug dose, implementation plan, control group implementation content, and plan, version of the (Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE), 2017) number of PI and its incidence, observational indicators. The three authors completed the process independently. In case of discrepancies, the original literature was reviewed and discussed jointly to reach an agreement. If the same trial included multiple publications, the publication with the longest follow-up period or the most informative data was included. Adverse events in clinical trials were reported and graded using The National Cancer Institute's CTCAE v5.0 (2017). CTCAE ranges from grades 1 to 5, with grades 3-5 AEs considered severe adverse events (SAEs).

Risk-Of-Bias Assessment

The quality of the individual studies was evaluated according to The Critical Appraisal Skills Program (CASP) (2015). Publication bias was assessed using the Egger test, where p-value <0.10 was considered significant bias and further corrected by trim-and-fill method.

Data Synthesis and Statistical Analysis

The primary objective was to assess the relationship between ICIs and PI compared to other treatments or placebo. The secondary objectives were to compare the PI risks associated with single-ICI versus dual-ICIs (immunotherapy combinations). The PI risks of different categories of ICIs and the PI risks related to various drugs were also compared. Therefore, subgroup analyses were performed on ICI or dual ICIs, ICIs categories, and ICI drugs. The inconsistency index (I2) was used to assess the heterogeneity between studies, where < 30%, 30%-59%, 60%-75%, and > 75% indicated low, medium, high, and considerable heterogeneity, respectively. A fixed-effects model was used if the heterogeneity was <60%; otherwise, a random-effects model was used. The 95% confidence interval (95% CI) and the summation ratios (ORs) for ICIs-PI incidence were calculated using a generalized linear mixed model (GLMM) (Stijnen et al., 2010). All statistical analyses were carried out using R software (version 4.1.3).

Results

Literature review and characteristics of included studies

The initial search yielded a total of 4580 relevant publications. After screening and eligibility assessment, 50 clinical trials, including 35,223 patients with malignant tumors, were selected for inclusion in this meta-analysis (Figure 1) (Baas et al., 2021; Choueiri et al., 2021; Fennell et al., 2021; Gadgeel et al., 2020; Herbst et al., 2021; Janjigian et al., 2021; Monk et al., 2021; Paz-Ares et al., 2021; Bang et al., 2018; Barlesi et al., 2019; Bellmunt et al., 2021; Brahmer et al., 2015; Burtness et al., 2019; Eggermont et al., 2018; Emens et al., 2020; Eng et al., 2019; Felip et al., 2021; Ferris et al., 2016; Finn et al., 2020; Gianni et al., 2022; Goldman et al., 2021; Gutzmer et al., 2020; Jotte et al., 2020; Lu et al., 2021; Maio et al., 2017; Miles et al., 2021; Moore et al., 2021; Motzer et al., 2020; Motzer et al., 2018; Nishio et al., 2021; O'Byrne et al., 2022; Paz-Ares et al., 2019; Powles et al., 2021; Powles et al., 2020; Powles et al., 2020; Pusztai et al., 2021; Reardon et al., 2020; Reck et al., 2021; Ren et al., 2021; Ribas et al., 2013; Rizvi et al., 2020; Rodríguez-Abreu et al., 2021; Schmid et al., 2018; Socinski et al., 2018; Sun et al., 2021; Weber et al., 2015; Yang et al., 2020; Yau et al., 2022; Zimmer et al., 2020; Huang et al., 2020). The characteristics of the included studies were described in Supplementary Table S1.

The studies included 3 Phase II, 1 Phase II/III, and 46 Phase III RCTs. The most common type of tumors were lung cancer (n = 18; 36%), melanoma (n = 5; 10%), breast cancer (n = 5; 10%), and urothelial carcinoma (n = 4; 8%). The studies involved 10 immune checkpoint inhibitors (Pembrolizumab, Nivolumab, Durvalumab, Atezolizumab, Sintilimab, Ipilimumab, Avelumab, Tremelimumab, Cemiplimab, Camrelizumab). 46 studies included patients with stage III-IV cancer, and 4 RCTs assessed the effects of ICIs on early and medium-stage cancer. Three RCTs were multi-armed trials (Herbst et al., 2021; Goldman et al., 2021; Rizvi et al., 2020), and the arms that met the criteria were included in this meta-analysis. The included studies were divided into combination therapy (7 cohorts) and single treatment (43 cohorts), including PD-1 inhibitors (33 cohorts), PD-L1 inhibitors (8 cohorts), and CTLA-4 inhibitors (2 cohorts) for OR analysis.

All studies used randomization methods, but 13 studies did not clarify the specific randomization method. 14 studies used blinding, 34 were open-label, one did not mention the use of blinding, and one did not specify single or multiple



blinding. A detailed quality assessment of the included literature is presented in Supplementary Table S2.

Incidence of ICIs-PI

The overall incidence of ICIs-PI was 2.22% (95%CI = 1.94-2.53%), and the incidence of PI with dual-ICIs was 3.76% (95%CI = 1.84-7.67%), which was higher than single ICI [2.25% (95%CI = 1.91-2.65%)]. Among the different types of ICIs, the incidence of PI caused by PD-1 inhibitors was 2% (95% CI = 1.67-2.39%), while PD-L1 inhibitors and CTLA-4 inhibitors were similar at 3.01% (95% CI = 1.86-4.87%) and 2.92% (95%CI = 0.99-8.65%), respectively. The incidence of drug-specific PIs were ranked from high to low: 7.23% (95%CI = 1.69-30.89%) for Pembrolizumab, 3.10% (95%CI = 1.43-6.71%) for Durvalumab, 2.95% (95%CI = 1.59-5.46%) for Avelumab, 2.92% (95%CI = 0.99-8.65%) for Tremelimumab, 2.22% (95%CI = 1.72-2.87%)

for Nivolumab, 1.62% (95%CI = 1.21–2.17%) for Atezolizumab and, 1.02% (95%CI = 0.27–3.90%) for Sintilimab. Incidence results are summarized in Figure 2.

Risk-Of-Bias Assessment

Funnel plots were generated to estimate the intervention effects for each study, and Egger's test was performed (Figure 3). The linear regression analysis revealed that p = 0.02 (p < 0.05), indicating a particular deviation in the original data, and 16 studies were missing from the right side of the funnel (k = 69 at this time). The trim-andfill method was used to test whether publication bias affected the combined effect size. After automatic filling through the algorithm, a new comprehensive effect size of 1.7 (1.2–2.2%) was obtained, which showed no significant difference. Hence, it can be concluded that the combined effect was not significantly affected by publication bias.



FIGURE 2

(A) Incidence of pancreatic injury caused by ICIs. Incidence rates are represented by boxes and whiskers represent 95% CIs. (B) Incidence of pancreatic injury caused by single ICIs or in combination, 2 = dual-ICIs, and 1 = single ICI. (C) Incidence of pancreatic injury caused by different drug categories of ICIs. (D) Incidence of pancreatic injury caused by various ICI drugs.

Zhang et al.





Incidence of severe ICIs-PI

Compared with non-ICIs treatment, the incidence of severe PI events caused by ICIs was 2.08% (95% CI = 1.76-2.46%). The incidence of severe pancreatic injury events when using a combination of two ICIs was 2.32% (95% CI = 1.76-3.06%), which was higher than single ICIs [1.95% (95% CI = 1.58-2.41%)]. In addition, the incidence of severe PIs caused by PD-1 was 1.80% (95% CI = 1.41-2.29%), PD-L1 was 3.1% (95% CI = 1.7-5.64%), and CTLA-4 was 2.69% (95% CI = 0.76-9.49%). Incidence results are summarized in Figure 4.

Discussion

Immunotherapy is now a pillar of anti-tumor therapy (Robert, 2020). This study investigated the incidence and characteristics of ICIs-PI by comparing ICIs and nonimmunotherapy. In 35,223 patients from 50 RCTs, the allgrades PI incidence was 2.22% (95% CI = 1.94–2.53%), which was less than previous similar studies (George et al., 2019; Su et al., 2018; Zhou et al., 2021). This study aligns with previous

studies (George et al., 2019; Su et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2022; Petrelli et al., 2021) and confirms that the incidence of PI with combined ICI use is significantly higher than that of single ICI. Furthermore, a previous study exploring the safety of more than 2 ICIs suggested that the incidence of elevated lipase was as high as 7.2% (95% CI = 5.2-9.9) (Zhou et al., 2021), which is significantly higher than these results. The analysis of different types of ICIs revealed that PD-L1 inhibitors caused more PI [3.01% (95% CI = 1.86-4.87%)] than CTLA-4 inhibitors [2.92% (95% CI = 0.99-8.65%)] and PD-1 inhibitors [2% (95% CI = 1.67-2.39%)]. The results contradicted the previous perception that CTLA-4 inhibitors are most likely to cause ICIs-PI (Bagchi et al., 2021; George et al., 2019; Su et al., 2018; Kohlmann et al., 2019). In addition, the highest incidence of ICIs-PI was observed with Pembrolizumab, 7.23% (95% CI = 1.69-30.89%), which is in accordance with a previous study (Chen et al., 2021).

The incidence of severe ICIs-PI was 2.08% (95% CI = 1.76–2.46%). As a less common irAE, the incidence of severe PI is slightly lower than the incidence of all-grade PI. It can be assumed that the risk of PI due to immunotherapy is small but severe. Furthermore, the incidence of all-grade PI and severe PI were higher with combined ICIs than with single ICI. Moreover,

PD-L1 inhibitors showed a higher incidence of severe PI compared to CTLA-4 inhibitors and PD-1 inhibitors. No cases of death due to ICIs-PI were reported in the included studies.

In the case of patients with NSCLC, combining ICIs with chemotherapy inevitably increases the incidence of serious adverse events (Petrelli et al., 2021). A study investigating irAEs of thoracic malignancies suggested that the incidence of elevated amylase levels in NSCLC patients caused by ICI was 0.6-3% (Remon et al., 2018), which is basically consistent with this study. Some scholars believe that the overall incidence of adverse events is similar between PD-L1 and PD-1 inhibitor-treated NSCLC patient cohorts (Pillai et al., 2018). Conversely, it has been suggested that patients with NSCLC who received PD-1 inhibitors tended to exhibit a higher incidence of organ-specific irAE compared to patients treated with PD-L1 inhibitors, particularly in the digestive system (Sun et al., 2019). We present different sounds, as a digestive system irAEs, in this study (cancer type is not distinguished) pancreatic injury in people using PD-L1 showed a higher susceptibility. Unexpectedly, a meta-analysis of irAEs in patients with urinary cancer found that pancreatitis ranked 15th in combined irAEs, which is not a "little problem" that can be ignored (Wu et al., 2022); Meanwhile, the incidence of pancreatitis in breast cancer patients after ICIs and neoadjuvant chemotherapy was 5.41 (1.02-28.66) (Sternschuss et al., 2021); In addition, in a study investigating irAEs in patients with advanced liver cancer, the incidence of elevated lipase and elevated amylase were 11.6% and 10.3%, respectively (Yuan et al., 2020). However, in patients with advanced gastric cancer or gastroesophageal junction cancer, the incidence of elevated lipase is 0.9 (-0.4-2.2) and the incidence of severe lipase elevation is 0.7 (-0.2-1.6) (Yang et al., 2020), indicating that for patients with advanced gastric cancer or gastroesophageal junction cancer, the incidence of elevated lipase is low but the severity after occurrence is high, which is also consistent with the results of our study. In conclusion, the correlation between ICIs-PI and cancer type needs to be further clarified.

The difference between the results of this study and similar studies can be attributed to two aspects. First, it is believed that the incidence of ICIs-PI is a sparse binary classification variable, and to avoid "continuous correction" of zero events and bias in the results of the meta-analysis of sparse data, a generalized linear model for statistics was chosen. Second, many studies using CTLA-4 inhibitors were not included because they did not meet the inclusion criteria for this study. Small samples and non-RCT studies were also excluded, reducing the heterogeneity of this study to some extent, but also affecting the results.

The infiltration of the pancreas by CD3+T lymphocytes, CD8+T lymphocytes, cytotoxic particle-associated RNA binding protein (TIA1+), granulase B+ and neutrophils is believed to be involved in the mechanism of ICIs-PI. This reaction leads to pancreatic cell damage, pancreatic acinarduct metaplasia, decreased pancreatic function, and pancreatic atrophy (Di Giacomo et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2021; Hirota et al., 2022). The study found that the co-suppressive immune checkpoint receptors CTLA-4 and PD-1 are closely related to the occurrence of acute pancreatitis (Xie et al., 2021). They participate in acute pancreatitis (AP) immune regulation through the T lymphocyte function (Pan et al., 2017). CTLA-4 can inhibit the proliferation and activity of T lymphocytes by inhibiting the phosphatidyl inositol-3-kinase/protein kinase B signaling pathway, cyclin D3, cyclin-dependent kinase 4/6, and nuclear factor KB (Kubsch et al., 2003; Grohmann et al., 2002). The lack of negative immunosuppressive signals from CTLA-4 in the body may cause a decrease in the threshold of lymphocyte activation, leading to the development of autoimmune diseases. The PD-1/PD-L1 pathway inhibits T cell activation and proliferation, resulting in T cell apoptosis and mediating host immune surveillance evasion (Keir et al., 2008; Boussiotis, 2016). The study found that PD-1 gene knockout may increase pancreatic damage in mice and lead to inflammatory cell infiltration after AP (Xie et al., 2021). PD-1 and PD-L1 may be markers predicting the risk of infectious complications in AP patients (Pan et al., 2017; Yu et al., 2021; Chen et al., 2017), and elevated CTLA-4 and PD-1 expression levels may prevent early pancreatitis (Chuanlin Wu and Bai, 2021). Tissue-resident memory T cells (TRMs) maintain pancreatic immune homeostasis by interacting with resident macrophages and PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitory pathways. In addition, Nivolumab significantly increased IFN- γ , TNF- α , IL-2 levels, and the multifunctional index of pancreatic TRMs by inhibiting the PD-1 pathway, which was associated with pancreatic inflammation (Weisberg et al., 2019). Atezolizumab and lamborizumab increased pancreatic damage and increased serum amylase and lipase levels in AP mouse models (Xie et al., 2021). Overall, ICIs dysregulate the local immune homeostasis of the pancreas by blocking the CTLA-4 and PD-1/PD-L1 pathways, causing pancreatic injury.

In-depth research and clinical management of ICIs-PI is challenging due to its rarity and the other potential causes of elevated serum lipase and amylase (Abu-Sbeih et al., 2019; Hsu et al., 2020). According to the CTCAE classification, three aspects are involved in assessing pancreatic injury: pancreatitis, lipase levels, and amylase levels (Table1). Elevated pancreatin levels are often observed after ICI treatment but the patients are asymptomatic and exhibit no imaging abnormalities. Many early clinical trials did not report asymptomatic elevated amylase/lipase because the relationship between asymptomatic elevated amylase/lipase levels and pancreatitis is unclear (Liu et al., 2021). Studies have found that in patients with immune-related increased lipase, the actual incidence of pancreatitis is 14%, while 86% of the remaining patients are asymptomatic, and immunotherapy can be safely continued (Michot et al., 2018). Therefore, some guidelines do not recommend routine monitoring of pancreatin unless pancreatitis is suspected (Brahmer et al., 2018). On the other hand, some researchers believe that while elevated pancreatin alone does not diagnose pancreatitis, they suggest an increased

Adverse events	Grade				
	1	2	3	4	5
Pancreatitis	-	-Enzyme elevation or radiologic findings only	Severe pain; vomiting; medical intervention indicated (e.g., analgesia, nutritional support)	Life-threatening consequences; urgent intervention indicated	Death
Lipase increased	>ULN - 1.5 × ULN	>1.5-2 × ULN	>2-5 × ULN	$>5 \times ULN$	Death
Amylase increased	>ULN - 1.5 × ULN	>1.5-2 × ULN	>2-5 × ULN	>5 × ULN	Death

TABLE 1 CTCAE v5.0 classification criteria for pancreatic injury.

There is no difference between CTCAE v4.03 and CTCAE v5.0 in the interpretation of the above three categories. ULN, upper limit of normal value.

risk (Ismail and Bhayana, 2017). In order to manage ICIs-PI, the clinical symptoms of pancreatitis should be closely monitored before and after the administration of ICIs. When clinical indications are clear, amylase and lipase levels should be assessed, and abdominal imaging should be considered (There are not necessarily pancreatitis image features). When immune-mediated pancreatitis is diagnosed, steroids or other immunosuppressants (if steroids are contraindicated) should be promptly initiated. The dose should be gradually decreased, accompanied by periodic monitoring for recurrent pancreatitis (Hsu et al., 2020). In general, discontinuation of ICIs and subsequent use of immunosuppressive therapy are not associated with poorer prognosis (Horvat et al., 2015; Skribek et al., 2021). Still, the time cost of discontinuing ICIs and the side effects associated with immunosuppressants should not be underestimated. For asymptomatic patients with elevated pancreatin and radiographic abnormalities, it is recommended to initiate intravenous fluids within 48 h of elevation to reduce the risk of long-term adverse events (Abu-Sbeih et al., 2019).

Some researchers believe that irAEs are positively correlated with the development of objective response rate, progression-free survival, and overall survival in tumor patients (Hussaini et al., 2021). In a study exploring the relationship between irAEs (including pancreatitis) and the median progression-free survival and overall survival of cancer patients, the researchers found that patients with irAEs had significantly longer progression-free survival and overall survival than patients without irAEs (Ishihara et al., 2019). However, no evidence was found on the relationship between increased amylase and lipase levels caused by ICIs and the efficacy of anti-tumor therapy. Similarly, no direct study on the relationship between pancreatitis caused by ICIs and patient prognosis has been found. Therefore, ICIs -PIs cannot be simply considered to indicate good prognosis.

Moreover, the study had some shortcomings. The trial group included ICIs combined with other treatments. Hence, this study could not demonstrate the exact effect of ICIs on PI. Furthermore, the median follow-up time for each RCT was different, which affects the frequency of ICIs-PI and increases confounding factors. There was no comparison of the relationship between drug dose, cancer type, and PI. In addition, studies investigating the effects of Torlipalimab, Dostalimab, Tislelizumab, and Lambrolizumab did not meet the inclusion criteria and were excluded. Finally, in March this year, Lymphocyte activation gene-3 (LAG-3) was approved by the FDA as the third immune checkpoint for clinical use after PD-1/PD-L1 and CTLA-4 (Andrews et al., 2022). However, the relevant clinical data were few and incomplete, so they were not included in this study.

Currently, guidelines do not recommend pancreatin monitoring in cancer patients receiving ICIs. Based on the results discussed earlier, the general public should be educated on pancreatic injury caused by ICIs, especially in severe pancreatic injury. Asymptomatic patients with ICIs-PI also require medical intervention to reduce the likelihood of adverse long-term outcomes. Clinicians should be aware of the challenges related to ICIs-PIs and, if necessary, conduct rational interventions to prevent other complications.

Conclusion

This study reevaluates the incidence of ICIs-PI. Combined ICIs lead to a significantly higher incidence of PI than single ICI. The incidence of ICIs-PI caused by PD-L1 inhibitors was higher than those of CTLA-4 inhibitors and PD-1 inhibitors, regardless of the adverse event grade. Pembrolizumab was most likely agent to cause ICIs-PI. Furthermore, the incidence of severe PI caused by ICIs is slightly lower than that of all-grade pancreatic injury. It can be concluded that the risk of pancreatic damage with immunotherapy is not high but is usually severe (\geq grade 3).

Author contributions

Author TZ has given substantial contributions to the conception or the design of the manuscript, author TZ, YW,

CS, XL and author WL to acquisition, analysis and interpretation of the data. TZ and YW have participated in drafting the manuscript, author WL, SL and XW revised it critically. All authors read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Funding

This work is supported by the National Key Research and Development Program of China (No. 2017YFC1703304), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 81873204), Sichuan Science and Technology Program (No. 2021YFS0040).

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the website: International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO) [PROSPERO (york.ac.uk)] for allowing our protocol to be registered on the site. Additional, thanks to Home for Researchers (www.home-for-researchers.com) for the language improvements in this article.

References

Abu-Sbeih, H., Tang, T., Lu, Y., Thirumurthi, S., Altan, M., Jazaeri, A. A., et al. (2019). Clinical characteristics and outcomes of immune checkpoint inhibitorinduced pancreatic injury. *J. Immunother. Cancer* 7 (1), 31. doi:10.1186/s40425-019-0502-7

Alsaab, H. O., Sau, S., Alzhrani, R., Tatiparti, K., Bhise, K., Kashaw, S. K., et al. (2017). PD-1 and PD-L1 checkpoint signaling inhibition for cancer immunotherapy: Mechanism, combinations, and clinical outcome. *Front. Pharmacol.* 8, 561. doi:10.3389/fphar.2017.00561

Andrews, L. P., Cillo, A. R., Karapetyan, L., Kirkwood, J. M., Workman, C. J., and Vignali, D. A. A. (2022). Molecular pathways and mechanisms of LAG-3 in cancer therapy. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2390, OF1–OF10. doi:10.1158/1078-0432.Ccr-21-2390

Baas, P., Scherpereel, A., Nowak, A. K., Fujimoto, N., Peters, S., Tsao, A. S., et al. (2021). First-line Nivolumab plus Ipilimumab in unresectable malignant pleural mesothelioma (CheckMate 743): A multicentre, randomised, open-label, phase 3 trial. *Lancet* 397 (10272), 375–386. doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(20)32714-8

Bagchi, S., Yuan, R., and Engleman, E. G. (2021). Immune checkpoint inhibitors for the treatment of cancer: Clinical impact and mechanisms of response and resistance. *Annu. Rev. Pathol.* 16, 223–249. doi:10.1146/annurev-pathol-042020-042741

Bang, Y. J., Yañez Ruiz, E., Van Cutsem, E., Lee, K. W., Wyrwicz, L., Schenker, M., et al. (2018). Phase III, randomised trial of Avelumab versus physician's choice of chemotherapy as third-line treatment of patients with advanced gastric or gastrooesophageal junction cancer: Primary analysis of JAVELIN Gastric 300. Ann. Oncol. 29 (10), 2052–2060. doi:10.1093/annonc/mdy264

Barlesi, F., Özgüroğlu, M., Vansteenkiste, J., Spigel, D., Yang, J., Ishii, H., et al. (2019). 2-year follow-up from JAVELIN Lung 200, an open-label, randomized, phase 3 study of Avelumab vs docetaxel in patients with platinum-treated advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC). *J. Immunother. Cancer* 7 (Suppl 1), P338. doi:10.1186/s40425019-0763-1

Bellmunt, J., Hussain, M., Gschwend, J. E., Albers, P., Oudard, S., Castellano, D., et al. (2021). Adjuvant Atezolizumab versus observation in muscle-invasive urothelial carcinoma (IMvigor010): A multicentre, open-label, randomised, phase 3 trial. *Lancet. Oncol.* 22 (4), 525–537. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(21) 00004-8

Bertrand, A., Kostine, M., Barnetche, T., Truchetet, M. E., and Schaeverbeke, T. (2015). Immune related adverse events associated with anti-CTLA-4 antibodies: Systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Med.* 13, 211. doi:10.1186/s12916-015-0455-8

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Supplementary material

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphar. 2022.955701/full#supplementary-material

Boussiotis, V. A. (2016). Molecular and biochemical aspects of the PD-1 checkpoint pathway. N. Engl. J. Med. 375 (18), 1767–1778. doi:10.1056/ NEJMra1514296

Brahmer, J. R., Lacchetti, C., Schneider, B. J., Atkins, M. B., Brassil, K. J., Caterino, J. M., et al. (2018). Management of immune-related adverse events in patients treated with immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy: American society of clinical oncology clinical practice guideline. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 36 (17), 1714–1768. doi:10.1200/ jco.2017.77.6385

Brahmer, J., Reckamp, K. L., Baas, P., Crinò, L., Eberhardt, W. E., Poddubskaya, E., et al. (2015). Nivolumab versus docetaxel in advanced squamous-cell non-small-cell lung cancer. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 373 (2), 123–135. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1504627

Burtness, B., Harrington, K. J., Greil, R., Soulières, D., Tahara, M., de Castro, G., Jr., et al. (2019). Pembrolizumab alone or with chemotherapy versus cetuximab with chemotherapy for recurrent or metastatic squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck (KEYNOTE-048): A randomised, open-label, phase 3 study. *Lancet* 394 (10212), 1915–1928. doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(19)32591-7

Chen, C., Wu, B., Zhang, C., and Xu, T. (2021). Immune-related adverse events associated with immune checkpoint inhibitors: An updated comprehensive disproportionality analysis of the FDA adverse event reporting system. *Int. Immunopharmacol.* 95, 107498. doi:10.1016/j.intimp. 2021.107498

Chen, X., Zhang, W., Yang, W., Zhou, M., and Liu, F. (2022). Acquired resistance for immune checkpoint inhibitors in cancer immunotherapy: Challenges and prospects. *Aging (Albany NY)* 14 (2), 1048–1064. doi:10.18632/aging.203833

Chen, Y., Li, M., Liu, J., Pan, T., Zhou, T., Liu, Z., et al. (2017). sPD-L1 expression is associated with immunosuppression and infectious complications in patients with acute pancreatitis. *Scand. J. Immunol.* 86 (2), 100–106. doi:10.1111/sji.12564

Choueiri, T. K., Powles, T., Burotto, M., Escudier, B., Bourlon, M. T., Zurawski, B., et al. (2021). Nivolumab plus cabozantinib versus sunitinib for advanced renal-cell carcinoma. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 384 (9), 829–841. doi:10.1056/NEJM0a2026982

Chuanlin Wu, J. R., and Bai, Xuewei (2021). Immune checkpoint CTLA4 and PD-1 in the pathogenesis of acute pancreatitis role in the mechanism. *Med. Rev.* 27 (1), 67–77. [In Chinese].

Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE). Common Terminology criteria for adverse events (CTCAE) 2017 (2017). Version 5.0,

 $https://ctep.cancer.gov/protocoldevelopment/electronic_applications/ctc. htm#ctc_50.$

Critical Appraisal Skills Programme (2015). Screening questions: Qualitative research checklist 31.05.

Das, J. P., Postow, M. A., Friedman, C. F., Do, R. K., and Halpenny, D. F. (2020). Imaging findings of immune checkpoint inhibitor associated pancreatitis. *Eur. J. Radiol.* 131, 109250. doi:10.1016/j.ejrad.2020.109250

de Miguel, M., and Calvo, E. (2020). Clinical challenges of immune checkpoint inhibitors. *Cancer Cell* 38 (3), 326–333. doi:10.1016/j.ccell.2020.07.004

Di Giacomo, a. M., Danielli, R., Guidoboni, M., Calabrò, L., Carlucci, D., Miracco, C., et al. (2009). Therapeutic efficacy of Ipilimumab, an anti-CTLA-4 monoclonal antibody, in patients with metastatic melanoma unresponsive to prior systemic treatments: Clinical and immunological evidence from three patient cases. *Cancer Immunol. Immunother.* 58 (8), 1297–1306. doi:10.1007/s00262-008-0642-y

Eggermont, A. M. M., Blank, C. U., Mandala, M., Long, G. V., Atkinson, V., Dalle, S., et al. (2018). Adjuvant pembrolizumab versus placebo in resected stage III melanoma. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 378 (19), 1789–1801. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1802357

Emens, L. A., Esteva, F. J., Beresford, M., Saura, C., De Laurentiis, M., Kim, S. B., et al. (2020). Trastuzumab emtansine plus Atezolizumab versus trastuzumab emtansine plus placebo in previously treated, HER2-positive advanced breast cancer (KATE2): A phase 2, multicentre, randomised, double-blind trial. *Lancet. Oncol.* 21 (10), 1283-1295. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(20)30465-4

Eng, C., Kim, T. W., Bendell, J., Argilés, G., Tebbutt, N. C., Di Bartolomeo, M., et al. (2019). Atezolizumab with or without cobimetinib versus regorafenib in previously treated metastatic colorectal cancer (IMblaze370): A multicentre, open-label, phase 3, randomised, controlled trial. *Lancet. Oncol.* 20 (6), 849–861. doi:10. 1016/S1470-2045(19)30027-0

Felip, E., Altorki, N., Zhou, C., Csőszi, T., Vynnychenko, I., Goloborodko, O., et al. (2021). Adjuvant Atezolizumab after adjuvant chemotherapy in resected stage IB–iiia non-small-cell lung cancer (IMpower010): A randomised, multicentre, open-label, phase 3 trial. *Lancet* 398 (10308), 1344–1357. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(21)02098-5

Fennell, D. A., Ewings, S., Ottensmeier, C., Califano, R., Hanna, G. G., Hill, K., et al. (2021). Nivolumab versus placebo in patients with relapsed malignant mesothelioma (CONFIRM): A multicentre, double-blind, randomised, phase 3 trial. *Lancet. Oncol.* 22 (11), 1530–1540. doi:10.1016/s1470-2045(21)00471-x

Ferris, R. L., Blumenschein, G., Jr., Fayette, J., Guigay, J., Colevas, A. D., Licitra, L., et al. (2016). Nivolumab for recurrent squamous-cell carcinoma of the head and neck. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 375 (19), 1856–1867. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1602252

Finn, R. S., Qin, S., Ikeda, M., Galle, P. R., Ducreux, M., Kim, T. Y., et al. (2020). Atezolizumab plus bevacizumab in unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 382 (20), 1894–1905. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1915745

Gadgeel, S., Rodríguez-Abreu, D., Speranza, G., Esteban, E., Felip, E., Dómine, M., et al. (2020). Updated analysis from KEYNOTE-189: Pembrolizumab or placebo plus pemetrexed and platinum for previously untreated metastatic nonsquamous non-small-cell lung cancer. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 38 (14), 1505–1517. doi:10.1200/jco.19. 03136

George, J., Bajaj, D., Sankaramangalam, K., Yoo, J. W., Joshi, N. S., Gettinger, S., et al. (2019). Incidence of pancreatitis with the use of immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICI) in advanced cancers: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Pancreatology* 19 (4), 587–594. doi:10.1016/j.pan.2019.04.015

Gianni, L., Huang, C. S., Egle, D., Bermejo, B., Zamagni, C., Thill, M., et al. (2022). Pathologic complete response (pCR) to neoadjuvant treatment with or without Atezolizumab in triple-negative, early high-risk and locally advanced breast cancer: NeoTRIP michelangelo randomized study. *Ann. Oncol.* 33, 534–543. doi:10.1016/j. annonc.2022.02.004

Goldman, J. W., Dvorkin, M., Chen, Y., Reinmuth, N., Hotta, K., Trukhin, D., et al. (2021). Durvalumab, with or without Tremelimumab, plus platinum–etoposide versus platinum–etoposide alone in first-line treatment of extensive-stage small-cell lung cancer (CASPIAN): Updated results from a randomised, controlled, open-label, phase 3 trial. *Lancet. Oncol.* 22 (1), 51–65. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(20)30539-8

Grohmann, U., Orabona, C., Fallarino, F., Vacca, C., Calcinaro, F., Falorni, A., et al. (2002). CTLA-4-Ig regulates tryptophan catabolism *in vivo. Nat. Immunol.* 3 (11), 1097–1101. doi:10.1038/ni846

Gutzmer, R., Stroyakovskiy, D., Gogas, H., Robert, C., Lewis, K., Protsenko, S., et al. (2020). Atezolizumab, vemurafenib, and cobimetinib as first-line treatment for unresectable advanced BRAF(V600) mutation-positive melanoma (IMspire150): Primary analysis of the randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. *Lancet* 395 (10240), 1835–1844. doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(20)30934-x

Herbst, R. S., Garon, E. B., Kim, D. W., Cho, B. C., Gervais, R., Perez-Gracia, J. L., et al. (2021). Five year survival update from KEYNOTE-010: Pembrolizumab versus

docetaxel for previously treated, programmed death-ligand 1-positive advanced NSCLC. J. Thorac. Oncol. 16 (10), 1718–1732. doi:10.1016/j.jtho.2021.05.001

Hirota, M., Murakami, K., Koiwai, A., Kawamura, K., Yoshino, Y., Takasu, A., et al. (2022). Neutrophil infiltration and acinar-ductal metaplasia are the main pathological findings in pembrolizumab-induced pancreatitis: A case report. *Intern. Med.* 9565, 22. doi:10.2169/internalmedicine.9565-22

Horvat, T. Z., Adel, N. G., Dang, T. O., Momtaz, P., Postow, M. A., Callahan, M. K., et al. (2015). Immune-related adverse events, need for systemic immunosuppression, and effects on survival and time to treatment failure in patients with melanoma treated with Ipilimumab at memorial sloan kettering cancer center. J. Clin. Oncol. 33 (28), 3193–3198. doi:10.1200/jco.2015.60.8448

Hsu, C., Marshall, J. L., and He, A. R. (2020). Workup and management of immune-mediated hepatobiliary pancreatic toxicities that develop during immune checkpoint inhibitor treatment. *Oncologist* 25 (2), 105–111. doi:10.1634/ theoncologist.2018-0162

Huang, J., Xu, J., Chen, Y., Zhuang, W., Zhang, Y., Chen, Z., et al. (2020). Camrelizumab versus investigator's choice of chemotherapy as second-line therapy for advanced or metastatic oesophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCORT): A multicentre, randomised, open-label, phase 3 study. *Lancet. Oncol.* 21 (6), 832–842. doi:10.1016/s1470-2045(20)30110-8

Hussaini, S., Chehade, R., Boldt, R. G., Raphael, J., Blanchette, P., Maleki Vareki, S., et al. (2021). Association between immune-related side effects and efficacy and benefit of immune checkpoint inhibitors - a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Cancer Treat. Rev.* 92, 102134. doi:10.1016/j.ctrv.2020.102134

Ishihara, H., Takagi, T., Kondo, T., Homma, C., Tachibana, H., Fukuda, H., et al. (2019). Association between immune-related adverse events and prognosis in patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma treated with Nivolumab. *Urol. Oncol.* 37 (6), 355.e21. doi:10.1016/j.urolonc.2019.03.003

Ismail, O. Z., and Bhayana, V. (2017). Lipase or amylase for the diagnosis of acute pancreatitis? *Clin. Biochem.* 50 (18), 1275–1280. doi:10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2017. 07.003

Janjigian, Y. Y., Shitara, K., Moehler, M., Garrido, M., Salman, P., Shen, L., et al. (2021). First-line Nivolumab plus chemotherapy versus chemotherapy alone for advanced gastric, gastro-oesophageal junction, and oesophageal adenocarcinoma (CheckMate 649): A randomised, open-label, phase 3 trial. *Lancet* 398 (10294), 27–40. doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(21)00797-2

Jiang, R., Xu, L., Huang, Y., Fang, C., Guo, H., Li, S., et al. (2018). Anti-PD-1 drug (Nivolumab) may induce acute and life-threatening pancreatitis in lung cancer patient: A case report. *Pancreas* 47 (8), e53–e54. doi:10.1097/mpa. 000000000001107

Johnson, D. B., Nebhan, C. A., Moslehi, J. J., and Balko, J. M. (2022). Immunecheckpoint inhibitors: Long-term implications of toxicity. *Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol.* 19 (4), 254–267. doi:10.1038/s41571-022-00600-w

Jotte, R., Cappuzzo, F., Vynnychenko, I., Stroyakovskiy, D., Rodríguez-Abreu, D., Hussein, M., et al. (2020). Atezolizumab in combination with carboplatin and nabpaclitaxel in advanced squamous NSCLC (IMpower131): Results from a randomized phase III trial. *J. Thorac. Oncol.* 15 (8), 1351–1360. doi:10.1016/j. jtho.2020.03.028

Kaushik, I., Ramachandran, S., Zabel, C., Gaikwad, S., and Srivastava, S. K. (2022). The evolutionary legacy of immune checkpoint inhibitors. *Semin. Cancer Biol.* doi:10.1016/j.semcancer.2022.03.020

Keir, M. E., Butte, M. J., Freeman, G. J., and Sharpe, A. H. (2008). PD-1 and its ligands in tolerance and immunity. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* 26, 677–704. doi:10.1146/annurev.immunol.26.021607.090331

Kohlmann, J., Wagenknecht, D., Simon, J. C., and Ziemer, M. (2019). Immunerelated pancreatitis associated with checkpoint blockade in melanoma. *Melanoma Res.* 29 (5), 549–552. doi:10.1097/cmr.000000000000011

Kroemer, G., and Zitvogel, L. (2021). Immune checkpoint inhibitors. J. Exp. Med. 218 (3), e20201979. doi:10.1084/jem.20201979

Kubsch, S., Graulich, E., Knop, J., and Steinbrink, K. (2003). Suppressor activity of anergic T cells induced by IL-10-treated human dendritic cells: Association with IL-2- and CTLA-4-dependent G1 arrest of the cell cycle regulated by p27Kip1. *Eur. J. Immunol.* 33 (7), 1988–1997. doi:10.1002/eji.200323600

Liberati, A., Altman, D. G., Tetzlaff, J., Mulrow, C., Gøtzsche, P. C., Ioannidis, J. P., et al. (2009). The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: Explanation and elaboration. *Ann. Intern. Med.* 151 (4), W65–W94. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-151-4-200908180-00136

Liu, X., Guo, C. Y., Tou, F. F., Wen, X. M., Kuang, Y. K., Zhu, Q., et al. (2020). Association of PD-L1 expression status with the efficacy of PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors and overall survival in solid tumours: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int. J. Cancer* 147 (1), 116–127. doi:10.1002/ijc.32744 Liu, Y., Zhang, H., Zhou, L., Li, W., Yang, L., Li, W., et al. (2021). Immunotherapy-associated pancreatic adverse events: Current understanding of their mechanism, diagnosis, and management. *Front. Oncol.* 11, 627612. doi:10. 3389/fonc.2021.627612

Lu, S., Wang, J., Cheng, Y., Mok, T., Chang, J., Zhang, L., et al. (2021). Nivolumab versus docetaxel in a predominantly Chinese patient population with previously treated advanced non-small cell lung cancer: 2-year follow-up from a randomized, open-label, phase 3 study (CheckMate 078). *Lung Cancer* 152, 7–14. doi:10.1016/j. lungcan.2020.11.013

Macovei Oprescu, A., Tulin, R., Slavu, I., Venter, D. P., and Oprescu, C. (2021). Immune checkpoint inhibitor-induced gastrointestinal toxicity: The opinion of a gastroenterologist. *Cureus* 13 (11), e19945. doi:10.7759/cureus.19945

Maeda, T., Hiura, A., Uehara, J., Toyoshima, R., Nakagawa, T., and Yoshino, K. (2022). Early tumour response assessment may avoid serious immune-related adverse events in Nivolumab and Ipilimumab combination therapy for stage IV melanoma. *Br. J. Dermatol.* 186 (3), 587–588. doi:10.1111/bjd.20879

Maio, M., Scherpereel, A., Calabrò, L., Aerts, J., Perez, S. C., Bearz, A., et al. (2017). Tremelimumab as second-line or third-line treatment in relapsed malignant mesothelioma (DETERMINE): A multicentre, international, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase 2b trial. *Lancet. Oncol.* 18 (9), 1261–1273. doi:10.1016/s1470-2045(17)30446-1

Michot, J. M., Ragou, P., Carbonnel, F., Champiat, S., Voisin, A. L., Mateus, C., et al. (2018). Significance of immune-related lipase increase induced by antiprogrammed death-1 or death ligand-1 antibodies: A brief communication. *J. Immunother.* 41 (2), 84–85. doi:10.1097/cji.0000000000202

Miles, D., Gligorov, J., André, F., Cameron, D., Schneeweiss, A., Barrios, C., et al. (2021). Primary results from IMpassion131, a double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomised phase III trial of first-line paclitaxel with or without Atezolizumab for unresectable locally advanced/metastatic triple-negative breast cancer. *Ann. Oncol.* 32 (8), 994–1004. doi:10.1016/j.annonc.2021.05.801

Monk, B. J., Colombo, N., Oza, A. M., Fujiwara, K., Birrer, M. J., Randall, L., et al. (2021). Chemotherapy with or without Avelumab followed by Avelumab maintenance versus chemotherapy alone in patients with previously untreated epithelial ovarian cancer (JAVELIN ovarian 100): An open-label, randomised, phase 3 trial. *Lancet. Oncol.* 22 (9), 1275–1289. doi:10.1016/s1470-2045(21)00342-9

Moore, K. N., Bookman, M., Sehouli, J., Miller, A., Anderson, C., Scambia, G., et al. (2021). Atezolizumab, bevacizumab, and chemotherapy for newly diagnosed stage III or IV ovarian cancer: Placebo-controlled randomized phase III trial (IMagyn050/GOG 3015/ENGOT-OV39). *J. Clin. Oncol.* 39 (17), 1842–1855. doi:10.1200/JCO.21.00306

Motzer, R. J., Escudier, B., McDermott, D. F., Arén Frontera, O., Melichar, B., Powles, T., et al. (2020). Survival outcomes and independent response assessment with Nivolumab plus Ipilimumab versus sunitinib in patients with advanced renal cell carcinoma: 42-month follow-up of a randomized phase 3 clinical trial. *J. Immunother. Cancer* 8 (2), e000891. doi:10.1136/jitc-2020-000891

Motzer, R. J., Tannir, N. M., McDermott, D. F., Arén Frontera, O., Melichar, B., Choueiri, T. K., et al. (2018). Nivolumab plus Ipilimumab versus sunitinib in advanced renal-cell carcinoma. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 378 (14), 1277–1290. doi:10.1056/ NEJMoa1712126

Nishijima, T. F., Shachar, S. S., Muss, H. B., and Tamura, K. (2019). Patientreported outcomes with PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors for advanced cancer: A metaanalysis. *Oncologist* 24 (7), e565–e573. doi:10.1634/theoncologist.2018-0449

Nishio, M., Barlesi, F., West, H., Ball, S., Bordoni, R., Cobo, M., et al. (2021). Atezolizumab plus chemotherapy for first-line treatment of nonsquamous NSCLC: Results from the randomized phase 3 IMpower132 trial. *J. Thorac. Oncol.* 16 (4), 653–664. doi:10.1016/j.jtho.2020.11.025

O'Byrne, K. J., Lee, K. H., Kim, S. W., Park, K., Nishio, M., Sakai, H., et al. (2022). First-line Nivolumab + Ipilimumab in advanced NSCLC: CheckMate 227 subpopulation analyses in asian patients. *ESMO Open* 7 (1), 100394. doi:10. 1016/j.esmoop.2022.100394

Pan, T., Zhou, T., Li, L., Liu, Z., Chen, Y., Mao, E., et al. (2017). Monocyte programmed death ligand-1 expression is an early marker for predicting infectious complications in acute pancreatitis. *Crit. Care* 21 (1), 186. doi:10.1186/s13054-017-1781-3

Paz-Ares, L., Ciuleanu, T. E., Cobo, M., Schenker, M., Zurawski, B., Menezes, J., et al. (2021). First-line Nivolumab plus Ipilimumab combined with two cycles of chemotherapy in patients with non-small-cell lung cancer (CheckMate 9LA): An international, randomised, open-label, phase 3 trial. *Lancet. Oncol.* 22 (2), 198–211. doi:10.1016/s1470-2045(20)30641-0

Paz-Ares, L., Dvorkin, M., Chen, Y., Reinmuth, N., Hotta, K., Trukhin, D., et al. (2019). Durvalumab plus platinum-etoposide versus platinum-etoposide in firstline treatment of extensive-stage small-cell lung cancer (CASPIAN): A randomised, controlled, open-label, phase 3 trial. *Lancet* 394 (10212), 1929–1939. doi:10.1016/ s0140-6736(19)3222-6 Petrelli, F., Ferrara, R., Signorelli, D., Ghidini, A., Proto, C., Roudi, R., et al. (2021). Immune checkpoint inhibitors and chemotherapy in first-line NSCLC: A metaanalysis. *Immunotherapy* 13 (7), 621–631. doi:10.2217/imt-2020-0224

Petrelli, F., Morelli, A. M., Luciani, A., Ghidini, A., and Solinas, C. (2021). Risk of infection with immune checkpoint inhibitors: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Target. Oncol.* 16 (5), 553–568. doi:10.1007/s11523-021-00824-3

Pillai, R. N., Behera, M., Owonikoko, T. K., Kamphorst, A. O., Pakkala, S., Belani, C. P., et al. (2018). Comparison of the toxicity profile of PD-1 versus PD-L1 inhibitors in non-small cell lung cancer: A systematic analysis of the literature. *Cancer* 124 (2), 271–277. doi:10.1002/cncr.31043

Porcu, M., Solinas, C., Migali, C., Battaglia, A., Schena, M., Mannelli, L., et al. (2020). Immune checkpoint inhibitor-induced pancreatic injury: Imaging findings and literature review. *Target. Oncol.* 15 (1), 25–35. doi:10.1007/s11523-019-00694-w

Powles, T., Csőszi, T., Özgüroğlu, M., Matsubara, N., Géczi, L., Cheng, S. Y. S., et al. (2021). Pembrolizumab alone or combined with chemotherapy versus chemotherapy as first-line therapy for advanced urothelial carcinoma (KEYNOTE-361): A randomised, open-label, phase 3 trial. *Lancet. Oncol.* 22 (7), 931-945. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(21)00152-2

Powles, T., Park, S. H., Voog, E., Caserta, C., Valderrama, B. P., Gurney, H., et al. (2020). Avelumab maintenance therapy for advanced or metastatic urothelial carcinoma. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 383 (13), 1218–1230. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa2002788

Powles, T., van der Heijden, M. S., Castellano, D., Galsky, M. D., Loriot, Y., Petrylak, D. P., et al. (2020). Durvalumab alone and Durvalumab plus Tremelimumab versus chemotherapy in previously untreated patients with unresectable, locally advanced or metastatic urothelial carcinoma (DANUBE): A randomised, open-label, multicentre, phase 3 trial. *Lancet. Oncol.* 21 (12), 1574–1588. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(20)30541-6

Pusztai, L., Yau, C., Wolf, D. M., Han, H. S., Du, L., Wallace, A. M., et al. (2021). Durvalumab with olaparib and paclitaxel for high-risk HER2-negative stage II/III breast cancer: Results from the adaptively randomized I-SPY2 trial. *Cancer Cell* 39 (7), 989–998.e5. doi:10.1016/j.ccell.2021.05.009

Reardon, D. A., Brandes, A. A., Omuro, A., Mulholland, P., Lim, M., Wick, A., et al. (2020). Effect of Nivolumab vs bevacizumab in patients with recurrent glioblastoma: The CheckMate 143 phase 3 randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Oncol.* 6 (7), 1003–1010. doi:10.1001/jamaoncol.2020.1024

Reck, M., Rodríguez-Abreu, D., Robinson, A. G., Hui, R., Csőszi, T., Fülöp, A., et al. (2021). Five-year outcomes with pembrolizumab versus chemotherapy for metastatic non-small-cell lung cancer with PD-L1 tumor proportion score \geq 50. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 39 (21), 2339–2349. doi:10.1200/jco.21.00174

Remon, J., Mezquita, L., Corral, J., Vilariño, N., and Reguart, N. (2018). Immunerelated adverse events with immune checkpoint inhibitors in thoracic malignancies: Focusing on non-small cell lung cancer patients. *J. Thorac. Dis.* 10 (13), S1516–s1533. doi:10.21037/jtd.2017.12.52

Ren, Z., Xu, J., Bai, Y., Xu, A., Cang, S., Du, C., et al. (2021). Sintilimab plus a bevacizumab biosimilar (IBI305) versus sorafenib in unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma (ORIENT-32): A randomised, open-label, phase 2–3 study. *Lancet. Oncol.* 22 (7), 977–990. doi:10.1016/S1470-2045(21)00252-7

Ribas, A., Kefford, R., Marshall, M. A., Punt, C. J., Haanen, J. B., Marmol, M., et al. (2013). Phase III randomized clinical trial comparing Tremelimumab with standard-of-care chemotherapy in patients with advanced melanoma. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 31 (5), 616–622. doi:10.1200/jco.2012.44.6112

Rizvi, N. A., Cho, B. C., Reinmuth, N., Lee, K. H., Luft, A., Ahn, M. J., et al. (2020). Durvalumab with or without Tremelimumab vs standard chemotherapy in first-line treatment of metastatic non-small cell lung cancer: The MYSTIC phase 3 randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Oncol.* 6 (5), 661–674. doi:10.1001/jamaoncol. 2020.0237

Rodríguez-Abreu, D., Powell, S. F., Hochmair, M. J., Gadgeel, S., Esteban, E., Felip, E., et al. (2021). Pemetrexed plus platinum with or without pembrolizumab in patients with previously untreated metastatic nonsquamous NSCLC: Protocolspecified final analysis from KEYNOTE-189. *Ann. Oncol.* 32 (7), 881–895. doi:10. 1016/j.annonc.2021.04.008

Schmid, P., Adams, S., Rugo, H. S., Schneeweiss, A., Barrios, C. H., Iwata, H., et al. (2018). Atezolizumab and nab-paclitaxel in advanced triple-negative breast cancer. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 379 (22), 2108–2121. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1809615

Skribek, M., Rounis, K., Afshar, S., Grundberg, O., Friesland, S., Tsakonas, G., et al. (2021). Effect of corticosteroids on the outcome of patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer treated with immune-checkpoint inhibitors. *Eur. J. Cancer* 145, 245–254. doi:10.1016/j.ejca.2020.12.012

Socinski, M. A., Jotte, R. M., Cappuzzo, F., Orlandi, F., Stroyakovskiy, D., Nogami, N., et al. (2018). Atezolizumab for first-line treatment of metastatic nonsquamous NSCLC. N. Engl. J. Med. 378 (24), 2288–2301. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1716948

Sternschuss, M., Yerushalmi, R., Saleh, R. R., Amir, E., and Goldvaser, H. (2021). Efficacy and safety of neoadjuvant immune checkpoint inhibitors in early-stage triple-negative breast cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J. Cancer Res. Clin. Oncol.* 147 (11), 3369–3379. doi:10.1007/s00432-021-03591-w

Stijnen, T., Hamza, T. H., and Ozdemir, P. (2010). Random effects meta-analysis of event outcome in the framework of the generalized linear mixed model with applications in sparse data. *Stat. Med.* 29 (29), 3046–3067. doi:10.1002/sim.4040

Su, Q., Zhang, X. C., Zhang, C. G., Hou, Y. L., Yao, Y. X., and Cao, B. W. (2018). Risk of immune-related pancreatitis in patients with solid tumors treated with immune checkpoint inhibitors: Systematic assessment with meta-analysis. J. Immunol. Res. 2018, 1027323. doi:10.1155/2018/1027323

Sun, J. M., Shen, L., Shah, M. A., Enzinger, P., Adenis, A., Doi, T., et al. (2021). Pembrolizumab plus chemotherapy versus chemotherapy alone for first-line treatment of advanced oesophageal cancer (KEYNOTE-590): A randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 3 study. *Lancet* 398 (10302), 759–771. doi:10.1016/ s0140-6736(21)01234-4

Sun, X., Roudi, R., Dai, T., Chen, S., Fan, B., Li, H., et al. (2019). Immunerelated adverse events associated with programmed cell death protein-1 and programmed cell death ligand 1 inhibitors for non-small cell lung cancer: A PRISMA systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMC Cancer* 19 (1), 558. doi:10. 1186/s12885-019-5701-6

Takamoto, K., Komori, T., Ishida, Y., Kambe, N., and Kabashima, K. (2022). Updosing of Nivolumab may induce serious immune-related adverse events. *J. Eur. Acad. Dermatol. Venereol.* 36 (2), e141–e142. doi:10.1111/jdv.17701

Tartarone, A., Roviello, G., Lerose, R., Roudi, R., Aieta, M., and Zoppoli, P. (2019). Anti-PD-1 versus anti-PD-L1 therapy in patients with pretreated advanced nonsmall-cell lung cancer: A meta-analysis. *Future Oncol.* 15 (20), 2423–2433. doi:10. 2217/fon-2018-0868

Tong, H., Wei, H., Smith, A. O., and Huang, J. (2021). The role of m6A epigenetic modification in the treatment of colorectal cancer immune checkpoint inhibitors. *Front. Immunol.* 12, 802049. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2021.802049

Ueno, M., Tsuji, Y., Yokoyama, T., Koyama, T., Uenishi, Y., Ishida, E., et al. (2021). Fatal immune checkpoint inhibitor-related pancreatitis. *Intern. Med.* 60 (24), 3905–3911. doi:10.2169/internalmedicine.7366-21

Vaddepally, R. K., Kharel, P., Pandey, R., Garje, R., and Chandra, A. B. (2020). Review of indications of FDA-approved immune checkpoint inhibitors per NCCN guidelines with the level of evidence. *Cancers (Basel)* 12 (3), E738. doi:10.3390/ cancers12030738

Wang, D Y, Salem, J E, Cohen, J V, Chandra, S, Menzer, C, Ye, F, et al. (2018). Fatal Toxic Effects Associated With Immune Checkpoint Inhibitors: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *JAMA Oncol.* 4 (12):1721–1728. https://doi.org/10.1001/ jamaoncol.2018.3923

Wang, Y., Zhou, S., Yang, F., Qi, X., Wang, X., Guan, X., et al. (2019). Treatmentrelated adverse events of PD-1 and PD-L1 inhibitors in clinical trials: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *JAMA Oncol.* 5 (7), 1008–1019. doi:10.1001/jamaoncol. 2019.0393

Weber, J. S., D'Angelo, S. P., Minor, D., Hodi, F. S., Gutzmer, R., Neyns, B., et al. (2015). Nivolumab versus chemotherapy in patients with advanced melanoma who progressed after anti-CTLA-4 treatment (CheckMate 037): A randomised, controlled, open-label, phase 3 trial. *Lancet. Oncol.* 16 (4), 375–384. doi:10.1016/s1470-2045(15)70076-8

Weisberg, S. P., Carpenter, D. J., Chait, M., Dogra, P., Gartrell-Corrado, R. D., Chen, A. X., et al. (2019). Tissue-resident memory T cells mediate immune

homeostasis in the human pancreas through the PD-1/PD-L1 pathway. Cell Rep. 29 (12), 3916-3932.e5. doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2019.11.056

Wolchok, J. D., and Saenger, Y. (2008). The mechanism of anti-CTLA-4 activity and the negative regulation of T-cell activation. *Oncologist* 13 (4), 2–9. doi:10.1634/ theoncologist.13-S4-2

Wu, Z., Chen, Q., Qu, L., Li, M., Wang, L., Mir, M. C., et al. (2022). Adverse events of immune checkpoint inhibitors therapy for urologic cancer patients in clinical trials: A collaborative systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur. Urol.* 81 (4), 414–425. doi:10.1016/j.eururo.2022.01.028

Xie, T., Wang, N., Yao, G., Xu, S., Wang, D., Liu, X., et al. (2021). PD-1/ PDL1 blockade exacerbates pancreatic damage and immune response in a mouse model of acute pancreatitis. *Inflammation* 44 (4), 1441–1451. doi:10.1007/s10753-021-01430-1

Xu, C., Chen, Y. P., Du, X. J., Liu, J. Q., Huang, C. L., Chen, L., et al. (2018). Comparative safety of immune checkpoint inhibitors in cancer: Systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Bmj* 363, k4226. doi:10.1136/bmj.k4226

Yang, L., Dong, X. Z., Xing, X. X., Cui, X. H., Li, L., and Zhang, L. (2020). Efficacy and safety of anti-PD-1/anti-PD-L1 antibody therapy in treatment of advanced gastric cancer or gastroesophageal junction cancer: A meta-analysis. *World J. Gastrointest. Oncol.* 12 (11), 1346–1363. doi:10.4251/wjgo.v12.i11. 1346

Yang, Y., Wang, Z., Fang, J., Yu, Q., Han, B., Cang, S., et al. (2020). Efficacy and safety of Sintilimab plus pemetrexed and platinum as first-line treatment for locally advanced or metastatic nonsquamous NSCLC: A randomized, double-blind, phase 3 study (oncology pRogram by InnovENT anti-PD-1-11). *J. Thorac. Oncol.* 15 (10), 1636–1646. doi:10.1016/j.jtho.2020.07.014

Yau, T., Park, J. W., Finn, R. S., Cheng, A. L., Mathurin, P., Edeline, J., et al. (2022). Nivolumab versus sorafenib in advanced hepatocellular carcinoma (CheckMate 459): A randomised, multicentre, open-label, phase 3 trial. *Lancet. Oncol.* 23 (1), 77–90. doi:10.1016/s1470-2045(21)00604-5

Yu, X., Pan, Y., Fei, Q., Lin, X., Chen, Z., and Huang, H. (2021). Serum soluble PD-1 plays a role in predicting infection complications in patients with acute pancreatitis. *Immun. Inflamm. Dis.* 9 (1), 310–318. doi:10.1002/iid3.394

Yuan, H., Mao, J., Liu, C., Fu, H., Guo, W., and Ding, G. (2020). Risk of adverse events in advanced hepatocellular carcinoma with immune checkpoint therapy: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin. Res. Hepatol. Gastroenterol.* 44 (6), 845–854. doi:10.1016/j.clinre.2020.02.012

Zhang, H., Dai, Z., Wu, W., Wang, Z., Zhang, N., Zhang, L., et al. (2021). Regulatory mechanisms of immune checkpoints PD-L1 and CTLA-4 in cancer. J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res. 40 (1), 184. doi:10.1186/s13046-021-01987-7

Zhang, Y., Fang, Y., Wu, J., Huang, G., Bin, J., Liao, Y., et al. (2022). Pancreatic adverse events associated with immune checkpoint inhibitors: A large-scale pharmacovigilance analysis. *Front. Pharmacol.* 13, 817662. doi:10.3389/fphar. 2022.817662

Zhou, X., Yao, Z., Bai, H., Duan, J., Wang, Z., Wang, X., et al. (2021). Treatmentrelated adverse events of PD-1 and PD-L1 inhibitor-based combination therapies in clinical trials: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet. Oncol.* 22 (9), 1265–1274. doi:10.1016/s1470-2045(21)00333-8

Zimmer, L., Livingstone, E., Hassel, J. C., Fluck, M., Eigentler, T., Loquai, C., et al. (2020). Adjuvant Nivolumab plus Ipilimumab or Nivolumab monotherapy versus placebo in patients with resected stage IV melanoma with no evidence of disease (IMMUNED): A randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 2 trial. *Lancet* 395 (10236), 1558–1568. doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(20)30417-7