LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Taylor & Francis

∂ OPEN ACCESS

The importance of L1 ORF2p cryptic sequence to ORF2p fragment-mediated cytotoxicity

Claiborne M. Christian, Kristine J. Kines, and Victoria P. Belancio

Structural and Cellular Biology, Tulane University School of Medicine, Tulane Cancer Center, Tulane Center for Aging, New Orleans, LA, USA

ABSTRACT

The Long Interspersed Element 1 (LINE1 or L1) ORF2 protein (ORF2p) can cause DNA damage through the activity of its endonuclease domain (EN). The DNA double-strand breaks (DSB) introduced by the ORF2p EN have the potential to be mutagenic. Previously, our lab has shown that ORF2p fragments containing the EN domain could be expressed in mammalian cells and have variable cytotoxicity. Inclusion of the ORF2p sequence C-terminal to the EN domain in these fragments both reduced the cytotoxicity of these fragments and increased their presence in the nucleus as detected by Western blot analysis. Here, we identify the amino acids (aa 270–274) in the newly-identified ORF2p Cryptic region (Cry) that may be important to the subcellular localization and cytotoxic potential of these EN-containing ORF2p fragments.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 11 April 2016 Revised 31 May 2016 Accepted 2 June 2016

KEYWORDS

DNA damage; endonuclease; L1; ORF2; retrotransposition

Introduction

Long Interspersed Element 1 (LINE1 or L1) is the only currently active autonomous retroelement in the human genome.¹ L1 is composed of a 5' Untranslated Region (UTR) with internal PolII promoter, 2 Open Reading Frames (ORFs), a 3' UTR, and PolyA signal. ORF1 codes for the ORF1 protein (ORF1p), the main structural protein of the retrotransposition intermediate L1 ribonucleoprotein particle (RNP).^{2,3} ORF2 codes for the ORF2p, which contains the enzymatic machinery necessary for successful L1 mobilization.^{1,4,5} ORF2p contains 2 enzymatic activities, an N-terminal endonuclease (EN) and a central reverse transcriptase (RT), both of which are required to drive successful L1 retrotransposition.

L1 via the activity of the ORF2p has been shown to cause DNA double-strand breaks (DSBs) in an ENdependent manner.⁶⁻⁸ The expression of L1s with stop codons in the ORF2p can lead to the expression of ORF2p fragments containing the EN domain.⁷ These fragments have the potential to be cytotoxic to mammalian cells.⁷ We have recently demonstrated that the EN domain of the ORF2p is highly cytotoxic to mammalian cells when expressed alone, and that expression of EN-containing truncated ORF2 fragments is possible in vivo.7 Addition of ORF2p sequence Cterminal to the EN domain, recently termed the Cryptic region,⁹ reduced the cytotoxicity associated with these ORF2p fragments compared to the EN domain alone.⁷ Interestingly, this reduction in cytotoxicity was accompanied by an increase in fragment localization to the nucleus.⁷ In short, the more toxic, smaller EN-containing fragment was detected at similar levels in both the cytoplasm and nucleus, while the longer EN-containing fragment that possessed ORF2p Cryptic sequence were less cytotoxic and largely nuclear.⁷ The same phenomenon was observed with other ENcontaining fragments of various lengths. The Cryptic area of the ORF2p contains amino acids important for retrotransposition.⁹ We hypothesized that this area of the ORF2p also contains the amino acid sequence that influences the subcellular localization of the ORF2p fragments and the different cytotoxic potential of these fragments.

Results

In addition to previously described ORF2 fragments (EN239 Δ , EN264 Δ , EN289 Δ , EN314 Δ , EN347 Δ , and ENZ490 Δ),⁹ we generated Gal4-tagged and VP16-tagged EN-containing ORF2 fragment expression

CONTACT Victoria P. Belancio vperepe@tulane.edu Structural and Cellular Biology, Tulane University, 1700 Tulane Ave., LCRC 813, New Orleans, LA 70112, USA.

© 2016 Claiborne M. Christian, Kristine J. Kines, and Victoria P. Belancio. Published with license by Taylor & Francis.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/), which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. The moral rights of the named author(s) have been asserted.

EN	Cry	Z	RT	Cys
1-	240-	380-	498-	1130-
239	347	480	773	1147
			EN239Δ	
			EN264Δ	
E			EN269Δ	
			EN274∆	
			EN279Δ	
			EN289Δ	
			EN314Δ	
			EN330Δ	
			EN347Δ	
		111 	∎ ENZ490∆	

Figure 1. Schematic of ORF2 and tagged ORF2 fragments. The ORF2p molecule has multiple annotated domains important for retrotransposition. These include the enzymatically necessary endonuclease (EN: light blue) and reverse transcriptase (RT: purple) domains. Between the EN and RT domains is the Cryptic region (Cry: dark blue) and Z domain (Z: orange). Both contain amino acids essential to retrotransposition and ORF2p function. At the C-terminal end of the ORF2p is a cysteine-rich domain (Cys: yellow) that also contains amino acids essential to retrotransposition. EN-containing ORF2 fragments detailed here were generated in 2 formats: one with an Nterminal Gal4 tag and one with an N-terminal VP16 tag. VP16-tagged expression plasmids also contain a neomycin resistance gene. EN239 Δ , EN269 Δ , EN274 Δ , EN289 Δ , EN347 Δ , and ENZ490 Δ were also generated in an untagged format with a Hygromycin resistance gene in the expression plasmid. As described in materials and methods, reported domains are used as the body of the name, followed by the number corresponding to the terminal amino acid as it would be in the full length ORF2p, with the truncated ORF2p sequence denoted by a Δ .

constructs (Fig. 1: EN269 Δ , EN274 Δ , EN279 Δ , and EN330 Δ). The cytotoxic potential of these EN-containing ORF2 fragments was assessed using the previously described colonogenic cytotoxicity assay involving expression of EN-containing ORF2 fragments. In chronic toxicity assays, the antibiotic selection gene (either hygromycin^r or neomycin^r) is supplied in cis of the ORF2 fragment expression gene on the same transfected plasmid. Selection with antibiotic also selects for chronic expression of the ORF2p fragment with more toxic EN-containing ORF2 fragments, yielding fewer colonies. For acute toxicity assays, the antibiotic selection gene (neomycin^r) is supplied in trans of the ORF2 fragment expression gene on a different plasmid cotransfected with the EN-containing ORF2p fragment expression plasmid. Selected for with antibiotics does not select for the maintenance of the EN fragment expression plasmid. Therefore, this approach measures toxicity resulting from transient expression of specific EN-containing ORF2 fragments. Following transfection into HeLa cells and chronic expression, EN239 Δ , EN264 Δ , EN269 Δ , EN274 Δ , and EN279 Δ VP16-tagged ORF2 fragments were observed to be cytotoxic relative to empty vector control (Fig. 2A: Chronic Toxicity). Similarly, following transient transfection into HeLa cells, EN239 Δ , EN264 Δ , and EN269 Δ Gal4-tagged ORF2p fragments were cytotoxic relative to empty vector control (Fig. 2A: Acute Toxicity). It is of note here that

the chronic expression of EN-containing constructs is consistently more cytotoxic than acute expression of constructs,⁷ though in this case the influence of the different tags cannot be ruled out. Interestingly, ENcontaining fragment chronic toxicity potential appeared group with one another in clusters rather than be reduced linearly with the inclusion of ORF2 Cryptic sequence C-terminal to the EN domain. In the chronic toxicity assay, EN264 Δ and EN269 Δ are not statistically different from one another, while EN274 Δ and EN289 Δ are not statistically different from one another. However, EN269 Δ and EN274 Δ are statistically different from one another, with EN269 Δ being more cytotoxic (Fig. 2B). In the acute toxicity assay, EN239 Δ , EN264 Δ , and EN269 Δ are not statistically different from one another, while EN274 Δ to EN347 Δ are not statistically different from one another (Fig. 2C) as they are not cytotoxic relative to control (Fig. 2A: Acute Toxicity). However, EN269 Δ and EN274 Δ are statistically different from one another, with EN269 Δ being more cytotoxic (Fig. 2C). The inclusion of amino acids 270-274 appeared to be responsible for this decrease in cytotoxicity and grouping in both chronic and acute toxicity experiments.

Previously, we had observed that longer, less-toxic EN-containing ORF2 fragments favored nuclear localization, while the minimal highly cytotoxic EN fragment was detected in both the cytoplasm and



Figure 2. Chronic and Acute Toxicity of EN-containing ORF2 fragments. VP16-tagged and Gal4-tagged EN-containing ORF2 fragments are toxic to HeLa cells. (A) Chronic and Acute toxicity assays of EN-containing ORF2 fragments in HeLa cells. Amino acid that ORF2 fragment terminates in (EN Δ) denoted below graph bars for both functional (black) and nonfunctional (gray) EN-containing ORF2 fragments. Nonfunctional EN-containing fragments have catalytically necessary amino acids mutated in the EN domain (D205A, H230A). Error bars denote standard deviation (n = 3). Statistical significance was assessed using Student's t-test (*p < 0.05). (B) Grouping of chronic toxicity. Colony counts for EN264 Δ and EN269 Δ are not significantly different from one another. Colony counts for EN274 Δ and EN279 Δ are not significance was assessed using Student's t-test (*p < 0.05). (C) Grouping of acute toxicity. Colony counts for EN274 Δ and EN269 Δ are not significantly different from one another. Colony counts for EN274 Δ and EN279 Δ . Statistical significance was assessed using Student's t-test (*p < 0.05). (C) Grouping of acute toxicity. Colony counts for EN274 Δ and EN269 Δ are not significantly different from one another. Colony counts for EN274 Δ , EN279 Δ , EN289 Δ , EN314 Δ , EN330 Δ , EN347 Δ , and EN2490 Δ are not significantly different from one another. Colony counts for EN239 Δ , EN347 Δ , and EN2490 Δ are not significantly different from one another. Colony counts for EN239 Δ , EN347 Δ , and EN2490 Δ are not significantly different from one another. Colony counts for EN239 Δ , EN344 Δ , EN330 Δ , EN347 Δ , and EN2490 Δ are not significantly different from one another. Colony counts for EN239 Δ , EN344 Δ , EN330 Δ , EN347 Δ , and EN2490 Δ are not significantly different from one another. Colony counts for EN239 Δ , EN249 Δ and EN269 Δ significantly different from colony counts for EN239 Δ , EN344 Δ , EN330 Δ , EN347 Δ , and EN2490 Δ . Statistical significantly different from one another. Colony counts for

nucleus.7 We hypothesized that the same amino acids that are responsible for the transition from more cytotoxic to less cytotoxic EN-containing ORF2 fragments could also be responsible for this difference in subcellular localization between various fragments. Constructs designed to express Gal4-tagged EN-containing ORF2p fragment were transiently transfected into HeLa cells that were then subjected to Western blot analysis using commercially available Gal4 antibodies (Fig. 3). Gal4-tagged fragments were used because they generated a single, unambiguous protein band when analyzed via Western blot, unlike untagged ORF2p fragments detected with ORF2p antibodies.7 Both whole cell lysate and lysates from cytoplasm/nucleus-fractionated cells were analyzed. While Gal4-tagged EN-containing ORF2p fragments were expressed at similar levels in whole cell lysate, there was a shift in subcellular localization for EN274 Δ , EN289 Δ , EN314 Δ , and EN330 Δ when nuclear and cytoplasmic fractions were analyzed separately. Together, these results demonstrate that the inclusion of amino acids 270-274 promotes the nuclear localization of the Gal4-tagged EN-containing ORF2p fragments which also coincides with the change in cytotoxic potential of the VP16- and Gal4tagged ORF2p fragments.



Figure 3. Subcellular distribution of Gal4-tagged EN-containing ORF2 fragments. Western blot analysis of EN-containing ORF2 fragments. Indicated Gal4-tagged EN-containing constructs were transiently transfected into HeLa cells and subjected to Western blot analysis. Both whole cell lysate and cytoplasmic and nuclear cell fractions were analyzed using commercially available anti-Gal4 antibodies, yielding a single band in each sample lane corresponding to the expected size of each ORF2p fragment. Control is cells transfected with empty vector. Cell fractions are indicated on the right. Molecular weight markers are indicated on the left. GAPDH (total cell lysate and cytoplasmic fraction) and Lamin A/C (L A/C: nuclear fraction) were used as loading controls.

We next wanted to confirm our results concerning the tagged ORF2 fragments using corresponding untagged ORF2 fragments. Previously, our lab had shown that endogenous expression of truncated ORF2 fragments is possible.⁷ In addition to previously characterized ORF2 fragment expression plasmids (EN239 Δ and ENZ490 Δ), we generated expression plasmids corresponding to the key ORF2 fragments from Figs. 2 and 3 (EN269 Δ , EN274 Δ , EN289 Δ , and EN347 Δ) (Fig. 4A). All EN-containing ORF2 fragments were cytotoxic in HeLa cells compared to their nonfunctional controls (Fig. 4B). The same grouping of the level of cytotoxicity observed with Gal4-tagged fragments in Figure 2B and 2C was also detected with the untagged ORF2 fragments (Fig. 4C). Western blot analysis using monoclonal ORF2p antibodies¹⁰ confirmed that the inclusion of amino acids 270 through 274 in the EN-containing fragments led to a shift in their relative distribution (Fig. 4D), as demonstrated by quantitation of relative protein amounts of EN269 Δ and EN274 Δ in the cytoplasmic and nuclear fractions (Fig. 4E). These data show that amino acids 270 and 274 are important for EN-containing ORF2 fragment cytotoxicity and subcellular distribution, albeit through an unknown mechanism.

Discussion

While much study has been given to the impact of retrotransposons and retrotransposition as a gross process on human health (Reviewed in refs.^{11,12}), many of the specifics of the L1 replication cycle and ORF2p biology remain poorly understood. Our lab has previously demonstrated that EN-containing ORF2 fragments can be cytotoxic and that fragments containing different amounts of the ORF2 Cryptic sequence display different patterns of subcellular localization.⁷ Somewhat counter-intuitively, longer EN-containing fragments that are predominately nuclear have a reduced capacity to induce DNA DSBs and cause cytotoxicity, while the smallest protein fragment containing the minimal EN domain is detected in both cytoplasmic and nuclear fractions and is highly cytotoxic.⁷ The area of the ORF2p that may be involved in this phenomenon (between the EN and Z domains) was previously unannotated and served no known function. However, our lab has recently discovered that this region (called Cryptic) of the ORF2p has several amino acids and putative motifs important to



Figure 4. Analysis of Untagged EN-containing ORF2 Fragments: Selected untagged EN-containing ORF2 fragments behaved similarly to the corresponding VP16- and Gal4-tagged EN-containing ORF2 fragments in regards to cytotoxicity and subcellular distribution. (A) Schematic of untagged ORF2 fragments used in this experiment with ORF2 displayed above for reference. (B) Chronic toxicity of EN-containing ORF2 fragments. All ORF2 fragments were cytotoxic as compared to their nonfunctional controls. Error bars denote standard deviation (n = 3). Statistical significance assessed using Student's t-test (*p < 0.05). (C) Analysis of toxicity grouping of EN-containing ORF2 fragments. Analysis is identical to Figure 2B and 2C (*p < 0.05). (D) Western blot analysis of HeLa cells transiently transfected with indicated constructs using anti-ORF2p monoclonal antibodies. Both whole cell lysate and cytoplasmic and nuclear cell fractions were analyzed. Highest molecular weight band in each sample corresponds to expected size of each construct, with larger EN-containing fragments undergoing processing to yield smaller products (*: EN347 Δ and ENZ490 Δ). Control (C) is cells transfected with empty vector. Cellular fraction is indicated on the right. Molecular weights are indicated on the left. GAPDH (total and cytoplasmic (cyto)) and Lamin A/C (L A/C: nuclear (nuc)) used as loading control. Relative distribution in subcellular compartments of EN269 Δ and EN274 Δ is guantified in (E). (E) Quantitation of relative distribution of EN-containing ORF2 fragments. Signal intensity of EN-containing ORF2p fragments in each compartment was normalized to loading control. Normalized signal was summed for each cellular compartment and proportion protein expression guantitated per compartment (Example for Cytoplasmic: Normalized Cytoplasmic Signal/(Normalized Cytoplasmic Signal+Normalized Nuclear Signal)). Error bars denote standard deviation (n = 3). Statistical significance was assessed using Student's t-test (*p < 0.05).

retrotransposition.⁹ Our data presented here show that there are amino acids in the Cryptic region of the ORF2p molecule that may be modulating EN function. It may be the case that Cryptic, in addition to harboring amino acids necessary for RT function, possesses amino acids that affect EN activity. Interestingly, the amino acids identified here (aa 270–274) within the Cryptic region of the ORF2p overlap with a putative PCNA binding site that has been shown to be important for Alu retrotransposition (FF 273–274).⁹

Our data suggest that it is possible that PCNA may play a role in the localization or nuclear retention of these longer fragments. We speculate that fragments containing the putative PCNA binding site may remain anchored to chromatin, which could reduce their cytotoxic potential by tethering them to the chromatin in a single location. This scenario would also explain their increased presence in the nucleus: the fragments bound to PCNA would be retained in the nucleus on the chromatin. Additionally, PCNA is known to be involved in DNA repair processes,¹³⁻¹⁷ and L1 can be inhibited by DNA repair proteins.¹⁸⁻²⁰ We speculate that the EN-containing fragment could be brought into close proximity to DNA repair proteins via PCNA interaction, thereby limiting ENcontaining ORF2p fragment-mediated cytotoxicity. However, the inverse is also logically possible, as PCNA could theoretically make the EN fragments in question more toxic by keeping them in close proximity to the host DNA. Alternatively, it is possible that the inclusion of these amino acids facilitates ORF2p fragment binding to another regulatory factor, or that these amino acids themselves are somehow directly responsible for modulating the EN activity within the fragments. All of these possibilities are highly speculative and require further experimental interrogation.

How the L1 RNP and the ORF2p molecule localize to the nucleus has been a subject of intense debate within the field, often centering on whether this process is active or a result of the dissolution of the nuclear envelope in actively cycling cells.²¹⁻²⁴ Previously, our lab mutated predicted nuclear localization signals within the Z domain with no effect on toxicity or subcellular localization of longer EN-containing ORF2p fragments.⁷ Here, we empirically identify one area that may be involved in nuclear localization of said fragments. However, the importance of these amino acids to the full-length ORF2p may be different than their importance in the context of the ENcontaining ORF2 fragments. As the ORF2p is a large and enzymatically complex molecule, it is possible that it has redundant mechanisms for nuclear localization. Indeed, prior to the identification of the Cryptic region, over 50% of the 1275 amino acids that comprise the human ORF2p have no known function. Of the 1275 amino acids that comprise the ORF2p molecule, only 631 are contained within the annotated domains (EN, Z, RT, and Cys). It is possible that some of this sequence has multiple, redundant nuclear localization signals. Further studies using the full-length ORF2 and L1 will be needed to fully interrogate the importance of these amino acids to ORF2p function and retrotransposition.

Materials and methods

Naming conventions

ORF2 fragments are named as described.⁹ For C-terminally truncated fragments, the previously

reported domains are used as the body of the name, followed by the number corresponding to the terminal amino acid as it would be in the full length ORF2p, with the truncated ORF2p sequence denoted by a Δ . For example, the ORF2p fragment that contains the EN and Z domains that ends at ORF2p amino acid 490 is written as ENZ490 Δ .

Cloning

Plasmids containing codon optimized L1 sequence (L1PA1 Chang)⁶ were used as templates to generate truncated ORF2 PCR fragments for subcloning into pcDNA 3.1/Hygro+ (Life Technologies), pAct (Promega), and pBind (Promega). ORF2 fragments containing inactivating D205A and H230A mutations within the EN sequence were cloned using previously reported expression plasmid containing codon optimized ORF2 sequence to generate ENn expression plasmids designed to produce protein fragments with non-functional endonucleases.⁷ To generate untagged ORF2p fragment expression constructs, an NheI restriction site-Kozac -ATG and TGA-HindIII restriction site were added 5' and 3' of the ORF2 DNA sequence of interest. PCR products were digested with NheI and HindIII and cloned into pcDNA3.1/Hygro+ (Life Technologies). To generate Gal4 tagged ORF2p fragment expression constructs, PCR primers were designed using the Flexi Vector primer design tool (Promega). These PCR primers added a 5' SgfI restriction site and a 3' PmeI restriction site containing a valine (V) codon (GTT) and a stop codon (TAA) to the ORF2 sequence of interest. PCR products were digested with SgfI/PmeI blend (Promega: catalog number R1852) and cloned into both the pAct and pBind vector (Promega Checkmate Mammalian Two Hybrid System: catalog number C934A).

Chronic toxicity assay: Figure 2 VP16 tagged and figure 4

HeLa cells were maintained in MEM supplemented with 1% sodium pyruvate, L-glutamate, NEAA, and 10% FBS as previously described.²⁵ ORF2p EN chronic toxicity assay was performed in HeLa cells as previously described.⁷ Five hundred thousand cells were seeded 16–18 hours prior to transfection in T75 flasks. 1 μ g EN or ENn plasmid containing a Neomycin resistance gene (VP16-tagged: Fig. 2) or Hygromycin resistance gene (untagged: Fig. 4) were transfected with 8 μ L Lipofectamine reagent (Life Technologies) and 4 μ L Plus reagent (Life Technologies). Cell culture media was supplemented with 0.45 mg/mL neomycin (Fig. 2) or hygromycin (Fig. 4) ~24 hours post transfection. Colonies were stained after 2 weeks with of culture with appropriate antibiotic selection with crystal violet solution (0.2% crystal violet, 5% acetic acid, 2.5% isopropanol) and counted with Oxford Optronics ColCount. Statistical significance assessed using Student's *t*-test for paired samples (n = 3), with error bars denoting standard deviation.

Acute toxicity assay: Figure 2 Gal4 tagged

HeLa cells were maintained as described above. ORF2p EN acute toxicity assay was performed in HeLa cells as previously described.⁷ Five hundred thousand cells were seeded 16–18 hours prior to transfection in T75 flasks. 1 μ g EN or ENn plasmid and 1 μ g previously described pIRES2-GFP plasmid (source of neomycin resistance) cotransfected with 8 μ L Lipofectamine reagent (Life Technologies) and 4 μ L Plus reagent (Life Technologies). Colonies were selected, stained, and counted as above. Statistical significance assessed as above.

Immunoblot analysis

Performed as previously described.^{7,9,26} 2 million cells seeded 16-18 hours prior to transfection in T75 flasks. Six μg of appropriate expression construct or appropriate empty vector (control) were transfected with 24 μ L Lipofectamine reagent (Life Technologies) and 12 μ L Plus reagent (Life Technologies). For Cytoplasmic/Nuclear fractionation, approximately 24 hours post transfection, cells were washed 1x with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and then harvested in 500 μ L Lysis Buffer (LB: 50 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM EDTA, 0.5% Triton-X, pH 7.2) supplemented with 10 μ L/mL of Halt protease inhibitor cocktail, phosphate inhibitor cocktail 2, and phosphate inhibitor cocktail 3 (Sigma). Cell lysates were then centrifuged at 4C at 14 thousand rpm for 15 minutes. This cytoplasmic fraction was removed. Remaining nuclear fraction pellet was suspended in 250 μ L Total Lysis Buffer with SDS (TLB SDS: 50 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM EDTA, 0.5% SDS, 0.5% Triton-X, pH 7.2) supplemented with 10 μ L/mL of Halt protease

inhibitor cocktail, phosphate inhibitor cocktail 2, and phosphate inhibitor cocktail 3 (Sigma). Cells were sonicated with a Microson XL-2000 sonicator (Misonix) 3x (10 seconds sonication/10 seconds rest on ice), and cell lysates were then centrifuged at 4C at 14 thousand rpm for 15 minutes. Protein concentrations of cleared cell lysates were determined using BioRad protein assay (Bradford method). For total cell lysis, approximately 24 hours post transfection, cells were washed 1x with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and then harvested in 500 μ L TLB SDS supplemented with 10 μ L/mL of Halt protease inhibitor cocktail, phosphate inhibitor cocktail 2, and phosphate inhibitor cocktail 3 (Sigma). After one round of freeze (-80C) /thaw on ice, cells were sonicated with a Microson XL-2000 sonicator (Misonix) 3x (10 seconds sonication/10 seconds rest on ice), and cell lysates were then centrifuged at 4C at 14 thousand rpm for 15 minutes. Protein concentrations of cleared cell lysates were determined using BioRad protein assay (Bradford method). 30 μ g of total cell lysate were boiled in equal volume of Laemmli buffer with 3.4% β -mercaptoethanol (Fig. 4) or heated at 85C for 5 minutes in Laemmli buffer without β -mercaptoethanol supplementation (Fig. 3). Samples were fractionated on 3-8% Tris-Acetate gels (Life Technologies) and transferred to nitrocellulose membranes using the iBlot system (Life Technologies). Membranes were blocked in PBS-Tween (PBS, 0.1% Tween) with 5% blotting-grade blocker (BioRad) and incubated with primary antibodies overnight at 4C. Primary antibodies for Gal4-tagged constructs were commercially available anti-Gal4 antibodies (Santa Cruz: sc-577 1:1000 dilution). Primary antibodies for untagged ENcontaining fragments were previously reported custom monoclonal ORF2p antibodies.¹⁰ As appropriate, either HRP-goat anti-mouse (Fig. 4) or HRP-goat anti-rabbit (Fig. 3) were used. Western blots were developed using the Immun-Star WesternC kit (Bio-Rad). Images were captured using a BioRad Gel Doc XR+ imager. GAPDH (Santa Cruz: sc-25778) was used as loading control (1:3000 in 3% blotting grade blocker/PBS-Tween). Statistical significance of ORF2p or protein fragment band signal intensity differences relative to GAPDH loading control (cytoplasmic) or Lamin A/C (nuclear) assessed using Student's t-test for paired samples (n = 3), with error bars representing standard deviation.

Abbreviations

Cry	Cryptic
DSB	Double-Strand Break
EN	endonuclease
LINE1 or L1	Long Interspersed Element 1
ORF	Open Reading Frame
ORFp	Open Reading Frame protein
RT	reverse transcriptase
UTR	Untranslated Region

Disclosure of potential conflicts of interest

No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Dawn deHaro, Mark Sokolowski, and the members of COMET (Consortium of Mobile Elements at Tulane) for critical discussion.

Funding

This work was funded by Life Extension Foundation to VPB; National Institutes of Health [P20GM103518] to VPB; Kay Yow Cancer Fund to VPB.

References

- Mathias SL, Scott AF, Kazazian HH, Boeke JD, Gabriel A. Reverse transcriptase encoded by a human transposable element. Science 1991; 254:1808-10; PMID:1722352; http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1722352
- [2] Leibold DM, Swergold GD, Singer MF, Thayer RE, Dombroski BA, Fanning TG. Translation of LINE-1 DNA elements in vitro and in human cells. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1990; 87:6990-4; PMID:1698287; http:// dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.87.18.6990
- [3] Kolosha VO, Martin SL. In vitro properties of the first ORF protein from mouse LINE-1 support its role in ribonucleoprotein particle formation during retrotransposition. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1997; 94:10155-60; PMID:9294179; http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.94.19.10155
- [4] Feng Q, Moran JV, Kazazian HH, Boeke JD. Human L1 retrotransposon encodes a conserved endonuclease required for retrotransposition. Cell 1996; 87:905-16; PMID:8945517; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00) 81997-2
- [5] Moran JV, Holmes SE, Naas TP, DeBerardinis RJ, Boeke JD, Kazazian HH. High frequency retrotransposition in cultured mammalian cells. Cell 1996; 87:917-27; PMID:8945518; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674 (00)81998-4
- [6] Wallace NA, Belancio VP, Deininger PL. L1 mobile element expression causes multiple types of toxicity. Gene 2008; 419:75-81; PMID:18555620; http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/j.gene.2008.04.013

- [7] Kines KJ, Sokolowski M, deHaro DL, Christian CM, Belancio VP. Potential for genomic instability associated with retrotranspositionally-incompetent L1 loci. Nucleic Acids Res 2014; 42:10488-502; PMID:25143528; http:// dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gku687
- [8] Gasior SL, Wakeman TP, Xu B, Deininger PL. The human LINE-1 retrotransposon creates DNA double-strand breaks. J Mol Biol 2006; 357:1383-93; PMID:16490214; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2006.01.089
- [9] Christian CM, deHaro D, Kines KJ, Sokolowski M, Belancio VP. Identification of L1 ORF2p sequence important to retrotransposition using Bipartile Alu retrotransposition (BAR). Nucleic Acids Res 2016; 44 (10):4818-34; PMID:27095191
- [10] Sokolowski M, DeFreece CB, Servant G, Kines KJ, deHaro DL, Belancio VP. Development of a monoclonal antibody specific to the endonuclease domain of the human LINE-1 ORF2 protein. Mob DNA 2014; 5:29; PMID:25606060; http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13100-014-0029-x
- [11] Belancio VP, Roy-Engel AM, Deininger PL. All y'all need to know'bout retroelements in cancer. Semin Cancer Biol 2010; 20:200-10; PMID:20600922; http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1016/j.semcancer.2010.06.001
- [12] Belancio VP, Deininger PL, Roy-Engel AM. LINE dancing in the human genome: transposable elements and disease. Genome Med 2009; 1:97; PMID:19863772; http:// dx.doi.org/10.1186/gm97
- Kelman Z. PCNA: structure, functions and interactions. Oncogene 1997; 14:629-40; PMID:9038370; http://dx.doi. org/10.1038/sj.onc.1200886
- [14] Andersen PL, Xu F, Xiao W. Eukaryotic DNA damage tolerance and translesion synthesis through covalent modifications of PCNA. Cell Res 2008; 18:162-73; PMID:18157158; http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/cr.2007.114
- [15] Mailand N, Gibbs-Seymour I, Bekker-Jensen S. Regulation of PCNA-protein interactions for genome stability. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol 2013; 14:269-82; PMID:23594953; http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrm3562
- [16] Zhu Q, Chang Y, Yang J, Wei Q. Post-translational modifications of proliferating cell nuclear antigen: A key signal integrator for DNA damage response (Review). Oncol Lett 2014; 7:1363-9; PMID:24765138
- [17] Karimian A, Ahmadi Y, Yousefi B. Multiple functions of p21 in cell cycle, apoptosis and transcriptional regulation after DNA damage. DNA Repair (Amst) 2016; 42:63-71; PMID:27156098; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. dnarep.2016.04.008
- [18] Gasior SL, Roy-Engel AM, Deininger PL. ERCC1/XPF limits L1 retrotransposition. DNA Repair (Amst) 2008; 7:983-9; PMID:18396111; http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. dnarep.2008.02.006
- [19] Wallace NA, Gasior SL, Faber ZJ, Howie HL, Deininger PL, Galloway DA. HPV 5 and 8 E6 expression reduces ATM protein levels and attenuates LINE-1 retrotransposition. Virology 2013; 443(1):69-79; PMID: 23706308

- [20] Alderton GK. Tumour suppression: p53 suppresses retrotransposition. Nat Rev Cancer 2016; 16:70; PMID:26743031
- [21] Goodier JL, Ostertag EM, Engleka KA, Seleme MC, Kazazian HH. A potential role for the nucleolus in L1 retrotransposition. Hum Mol Genet 2004; 13:1041-8; PMID:15028673; http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/ hmg/ddh118
- [22] Shi X, Seluanov A, Gorbunova V. Cell divisions are required for L1 retrotransposition. Mol Cell Biol 2007; 27:1264-70; PMID:17145770; http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/ MCB.01888-06
- [23] Kubo S, Seleme MC, Soifer HS, Perez JL, Moran JV, Kazazian HH, Kasahara N. L1 retrotransposition in nondividing and primary human somatic cells. Proc Natl

Acad Sci U S A 2006; 103:8036-41; PMID:16698926; http://dx.doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0601954103

- [24] Xie Y, Mates L, Ivics Z, Izsvák Z, Martin SL, An W. Cell division promotes efficient retrotransposition in a stable L1 reporter cell line. Mob DNA 2013; 4:10; PMID: 23497436; http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1759-8753-4-10
- [25] Perepelitsa-Belancio V, Deininger P. RNA truncation by premature polyadenylation attenuates human mobile element activity. Nat Genet 2003; 35:363-6; PMID: 14625551; http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ng1269
- [26] Sokolowski M, Deharo D, Christian CM, Kines KJ, Belancio VP. Characterization of L1 ORF1p Self-Interaction and Cellular Localization Using a Mammalian Two-Hybrid System. PLoS One 2013; 8:e82021; PMID: 24324740; http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0082021