Brief Communication

Assessment of insulin sensitivity/resistance

Manish Gutch, Sukriti Kumar¹, Syed Mohd Razi, Kumar Keshav Gupta, Abhinav Gupta

Department of Endocrinology, LLRM Medical College, Meerut, ¹Department of Radiodiagnosis, SGPGI, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India

ABSTRACT

Insulin resistance is one pretty troublesome entity which very commonly aggravates metabolic syndrome. Many methods and indices are available for the estimation of insulin resistance. It is essential to test and validate their reliability before they can be used as an investigation in patients. At present, hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamp and intravenous glucose tolerance test are the most reliable methods available for estimating insulin resistance and are being used as a reference standard. Some simple methods, from which indices can be derived, have been validated e.g. homeostasis model assessment (HOMA), quantitative insulin sensitivity check index (QUICKI). For the clinical uses HOMA-insulin resistance, QUIKI, and Matsuda are suitable, while HES, McAuley, Belfiore, Cederholm, Avignon and Stumvoll index are suitable for epidemiological/research purposes. With increasing number of these available indices of IR, it may be difficult for clinicians to select the most appropriate index for their studies. This review provides guidelines that must be considered before performing such studies.

Key words: Homeostasis model assessment, hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamp, insulin sensitivity, quantitative insulin sensitivity check index

INTRODUCTION

Hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamp (HEC) is known to be the "gold standard" for the measurement of insulin sensitivity. However, the realization that it is time and money consuming led to the development of a simplified approach in quantification of insulin sensitivity. Various indices of insulin sensitivity/resistance using the data from oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) were proposed in last 20 years.

There are two groups of insulin sensitivity indices: (1) Indices calculated by using fasting plasma concentrations of insulin, glucose and triglycerides, (2) indices calculated by using plasma concentrations of insulin and glucose obtained during 120 min of a standard (75 g glucose) OGTT [Tables 1 and 2].

Access this article online				
Quick Response Code:				
	Website: www.ijem.in			
	DOI: 10.4103/2230-8210.146874			

Former group include homeostasis model assessment-insulin resistance (HOMA-IR), QUIKI INDEX, and McAuley index while latter include, Matsuda, Belfiore, Cederholm, Avignon and Stumvoll index [Tables 1 and 2].

These indices are conveniently used in epidemiological and clinical studies to predict diabetes development in a non-diabetic population. Their use in clinical practice is limited because of the absence of reference values for normal and impaired insulin sensitivity.

For the clinical uses HOMA-IR, QUIKI, and Matsuda are suitable while HES, McAuley, Belfiore, Cederholm, Avignon and Stumvoll index are suitable for epidemiological/research purposes [Tables 1 and 2].

Insulin resistance is accepted to be a major risk factor in the etiology of type 2 diabetes mellitus, hypertension, dyslipidemia, atherosclerotic vascular disease, and may be a risk factor for coronary heart disease and stroke as well.^[1]

Several risk factors (e.g. obesity, physical inactivity, body fat distribution, age and hyperinsulinemia) may be considered markers of insulin resistance. Insulin resistance is a predictor for the development of Type 2 diabetes mellitus even in individuals with normal glucose tolerance. Therefore, it is

Corresponding Author: Dr. Manish Gutch, D-15, LLRM Medical College, Meerut - 250 004, Uttar Pradesh, India. E-mail: manisho7gutch@gmail.com

Method	Formula	Normal level	Advantage	Disadvantage	Correlation coefficients with HEC
HOMA-IR	k (I₀×G₀)/22.5	<2.5	Simple, minimally invasive, predicts fasting steady-state G and I levels	Insulin sensitivity in subjects treated with insulin needs further validation	Normal glucose tolerance (0.65; P < 0.0001), impaired glucose tolerance (0.56; $P < 0.0001$) and with type 2diabetes (0.51; $P < 0.0001$)
QUICKI	$1/\left[log(l\mu U/mL) + log(Gmg/dl) \right]$	0.382±0.007 for nonobese, 0.331±0.010 for obese and 0.304±0.007 for diabetic individuals	Consistent, precise index of insulin sensitivity, minimally invasive	Normal range to be established for each laboratory due to significant inter laboratory variations in insulin assay	Correlation coefficient 0.78; $P < 2 \times 10^{-12}$
Matsuda index	10,000 / $\sqrt{(\text{fasting G} \times \text{fasting I})}$ $\sqrt{(\text{mean G} \times \text{mean I})}$	<4.3 predict IR	Represents both hepatic and peripheral tissue sensitivity to insulin	Its correlation is very weak in diabetic patients	0.73 (P <0.0001) in subjects with normal glucose tolerance, 0.66 (P <0.0001) in subjects with impaired glucose tolerance, and 0.60 (P <0.0005) in nondiabetic subjects, and in subjects with type 2 diabetes mellitus the correlation proved to be weaker 0.54 (P <0.0001)

HEC: Hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamp, HOMA-IR: Homeostasis model assessment-insulin resistance, QUICKI: Quantitative insulin sensitivity check index

important to recognize insulin resistance in the pre-disease stage when therapeutic intervention is likely to be more successful than in manifest disease.^[2]

Several authors proposed various indices of insulin sensitivity based on the interrelations between the concentration of insulin, glucose and other parameters obtained either in the fasting state or during OGTT and correlated the indices with the data obtained during a HEC.^[3]

The HEC-derived index of insulin sensitivity (ISI_{HEC}, ml/kg/min/ μ IU ml) is obtained during a steady state period of HEC.

 $ISI_{HEC} = MCR/I_{mean}$ where,

 I_{mean} – average steady state plasma insulin response ($\mu IU/ml$),

MCR: Metabolic clearance rate of glucose (ml/kg/min). MCR = $M_{mean}/(G_{mean} \times 0.18)$, where

 M_{mean} : Metabolized glucose expressed as average steady state glucose infusion rate per kg of body weight (mg/kg/min) G_{mean} : Average steady state blood glucose concentration (mmol/l)

0.18 –conversion factor to transform blood glucose concentration from mmol/l into mg/ml.

Correct application of the indices in their proposed form and with the proposed concentration units is of high importance.

Therefore, the aim of this review is to introduce several insulin sensitivity indices, their formulas and units as proposed by their authors, and to evaluate critically the use of some of the suggested indices in insulin sensitivity estimation.

Some of the indices for insulin sensitivity/resistance are given below:

Homeostasis model assessment-insulin resistance

Homeostasis model assessment was first developed in 1985 by Matthews et al. It is a method used to quantify insulin resistance and beta-cell function from basal (fasting) glucose and insulin (or C-peptide) concentrations. HOMA is a model of the relationship of glucose and insulin dynamics that predicts fasting steady-state glucose and insulin concentrations for a wide range of possible combinations of insulin resistance and β -cell function. Insulin levels depend on the pancreatic β -cell response to glucose concentrations while, glucose concentrations are regulated by insulin-mediated glucose production via the liver. Thus, deficient β -cell function will echo a diminished response of β -cell to glucose-stimulated insulin secretion. Similarly, insulin resistance is reflected by the diminished suppressive effect of insulin on hepatic glucose production. The HOMA model has proved to be a robust clinical and epidemiological tool for the assessment of insulin resistance. HOMA describes this glucose-insulin homeostasis by means of a set of simple, mathematically-derived nonlinear equations. The approximating equation for insulin resistance has been simplified; it uses a fasting blood sample. It is derived from the use of the insulin-glucose product, divided by a constant. The product of FPG × FPI is an index of hepatic insulin resistance.^[4]

The equation proposed by Matthews *et al.*:

$$IR_{HOMA} = I_0 / (22.5 \times e^{-\ln(Go)} \text{ could be rewritten as :}$$
$$IR_{HOMA} = (I_0 \times G_0) / 22.5 \text{ (mathematically : } e^{-\ln x} = 1 / x\text{)}$$

It is appropriate to apply this index in large epidemiological studies where only fasting insulin and glucose values are available.

Method	Formula	Normal level	Advantage	Disadvantage	Correlation coefficients with HEC
Hyperinsulinemic euglycemic glucose clamp	$\frac{\text{ISI}_{\text{HEC}}=\text{MCR/I}_{\text{mean}}}{\text{MCR}=\text{M}_{\text{mean}}/(\text{G}_{\text{mean}}\times0.18)}$	Clamp performed at 80 mU/m ² min, a cutoff of 5.3 mg/kg FFM+ 17.7 z min (98% prediction probability) for IR	Direct measure of insulin under steady-state conditions	Laborious, involves intra venous infusion of insulin, frequent blood sampling	Gold standard method for quantifying insulin sensitivity
McAuley index	_e (2,63-0,28 ln (l ₀)-0,31 ln (TAG ₀)	<5.8	The combination of fasting insulin (mIU/I) and triglycerides (TAG, mmol/I) showed the best pre-diction of IR	Robust method, suitable for epidemiological studies	≤0.63 in diabetic patients
Belfiore index	$2/ISI_{Belfiore} = \frac{G_s}{G_N} \times \frac{I_s}{I_N} + 1$	Values above 1.27 indicate pathological IR	Showed normal value for basal glucose and insulin concentrations and for mean nor-mal value for glucose and insulin areas during OGTT	Multiple blood sampling	0.65; P <0.01 in subjects with normal glucose tolerance, 0.54; P <0.01 in subjects with impaired glucose tolerance, and 0.48; P <0.01 in subjects with diabetes type 2
Avignon index	$Sib = 10^{8} / \begin{pmatrix} I_{0} (mU/I) \times G_{0} \\ (mmol/I) \times VD \end{pmatrix}$ $Si2h = 10^{8} / \begin{pmatrix} I_{120} (mU/I) \times G_{120} \\ (mmol/I) \times VD \end{pmatrix}$	-	Determines glucose tolerance and insulin sensitivity in single test	Its correlation is very weak in diabetic patients	Normal glucose tolerance (0.89; $P \le 0.0001$), with impaired glucose tolerance (0.96; $P \le 0.0001$), and in patients with diabetes mellitus type 2 (0.69-0.83; $P \le 0.05$)
Stumvoll index	$\begin{array}{l} 0.156 - 0.0000459 \times I_{120} (pmol / L) \\ - 0.00032 1 \times I_0 (pmol / L) \\ - 0.0054 1 \times G_{120} (mmol / L) \end{array}$	-	Utilizes demographic data like age, sex and BMI along with plasma glucose and insulin to predict insulin sensitivity	Very robust and weekly correlate in diabetic patients	Correlation coefficients with HEC were in the range between 0.62 and 0.79 (<i>P</i> <0.001)
Gutt index	$\begin{array}{l} 75,000 \left(G_{0}-G_{120}\right) \ (mg/dl) \\ \times 0.19 \times BW/120 \times G_{mean} \\ \left(0,\ 120\right) \ (mmol/L) \times Log \\ \left[I_{mean}\left(0,\ 120\right)\right] \ (mU/L) \end{array}$	<45 predict IR	Good to predict onset of type 2 diabetes	Suitable for epidemiological studies	Correlation coefficients with HEC 0.63; P<0.001

I able 2. The indices 1	tor inculin concitivity	/rocietanco	for epidemiological purpose
Table 2. The mulces		// colocarice	

BW: Body weight, HEC: Hyperinsulinemic euglycemic clamp, BMI: Basal metabolic rate, OGTT: Oral glucose tolerance test, TAG: Triglycerides, IR: Insulin resistance, FEM: Fat-free mass, ISI: Insulin sensitivity index, MCR: Metabolic clearance rate. HEC – I_{mean} : Average steady state plasma insulin response (μ IU/mI), M_{mean} : Metabolized glucose expressed as average steady state glucose infusion rate per kg of BW (mg/kg/min), G_{mean} : Average steady state blood glucose concentration (mmol/l), 0.18: Conversion factor to transform blood glucose concentration from mmol/l into mg/mI. HOMA-IR – I_0 : Fasting insulin (mIU/l), G_0 : Fasting glucose (mmol/l) concentration. QUICKI – I_0 : Fasting glucose (mmol/l), G_0 : Fasting glucose (mmol/l) concentration. McAuley index – I_0 : Fasting insulin (mIU/l), TAG: Fasting triglyceride concentration during OGTT (mg/dI), I_{mean} : Mean plasma insulin concentration (mIU/l), G_0 : Fasting plasma glucose concentration (mg/dI), G_{mean} : Mean plasma glucose concentration during OGTT (mg/dI), I_{mean} : Mean plasma insulin concentration during OGTT (mU/l), 0.000: Simplifying constant to get numbers from 0 to 12. Belfiore index – G_s , G_n : Plasma glucose concentrations expressed as fasting values or as areas obtained during a standard OGTT at 0 and 2 h (0–2h areas are equal to $G_{s,N}$. Avignon index: I and G represent the plasma concentrations of insulin (mIU/l) and glucose (mmol/l) respectively, VD is the glucose distribution volume calculated using a mono compartmental model: VD=150 ml/kg of BW. Sturwoll index: Fasting insulin (mIU/l), G_0 : Fasting glucose (mmol/l) concentration (mIU/l), G_0 : Fasting glucose concentration (mg/dI), G_{mean} : Mean plasma glucose concentration (mIU/l), G_0 : Fasting glucose (mmol/l) concentration (mIU/l), G_0 : Fasting glucose (mmol/l) respectively, VD is the glucose distribution volume calculated using a mono compartmental model: VD=150 ml/kg of BW. Sturwoll index: Fasting insulin (mIU/l), G_0 : Fasting glucose (m

Homeostasis model assessment-IR for Indian children's are: Boys: Normal weight 1.70 \pm 1.44 (95%CI: 1.46-1.94) versus overweight 2.67 \pm 1.41 (95%CI: 2.40-2.94) versus obese 4.39 \pm 2.14 (95%CI: 3.95-4.83), P < 0.0001 between all groups); Girls: Normal weight 1.21 \pm 1.10 (95% CI 1.73-2.12) versus overweight 3.19 \pm 2.02 (95% CI 2.79-3.60) versus obese 4.19 \pm 2.52 (95% CI 3.69-4.69), P < 0.0001 between all groups).

Quantitative insulin sensitivity check index

Quantitative insulin sensitivity check index (QUICKI) is an empirically-derived mathematical transformation of fasting blood glucose and plasma insulin concentrations that provide a consistent and precise ISI with a better positive predictive power. It is simply a variation of HOMA equations, as it transforms the data by taking both the logarithm and the reciprocal of the glucose-insulin product, thus slightly skewing the distribution of fasting insulin values. QUICKI has been seen to have a significantly better linear correlation with glucose clamp determinations of insulin sensitivity than minimal-model estimates, especially in obese and diabetic subjects. It employs the use of fasting values of insulin and glucose as in HOMA calculations. QUICKI is virtually identical to the simple equation form of the HOMA model in all aspects, except that a log transform of the insulin glucose product is employed to calculate QUICKI. The QUICKI can be determined from fasting plasma glucose (mg/dl) and insulin (μ IU/ml) concentrations.^[5]

$$QUICKI = 1/(\log I_0 + \log G_0)$$

The reported values of QUICKI were 0.382 ± 0.007 for non-obese, 0.331 ± 0.010 for obese and 0.304 ± 0.007 for diabetic individuals.

McAuley index

It is used for predicting insulin resistance in normoglycemic individuals. Regression analysis was used to estimate the cut-off points and the importance of various data for insulin resistance (fasting concentrations of insulin, triglycerides, aspartate aminotransferase, basal metabolic rate (BMI), waist circumference).^[6] A bootstrap procedure was used to find an index most strongly correlating with insulin sensitivity index, corrected for fat-free mass obtained by HEC (Mffm/I).

Mffm/I = e $(2,63-0,28 \ln (I_0) - 0,31 \ln (TAG_0))$

Matsuda index

Several methods have been described that derive an ISI from the OGTT. In these methods, the ratio of plasma glucose to insulin concentration during the OGTT is used. A novel assessment of insulin sensitivity that is simple to calculate and provides a reasonable approximation of whole-body insulin sensitivity from the OGTT was developed by Matsuda and Defronzo, and is referred to as the Matsuda index. Here the OGTT ISI (composite) was calculated using both the data of the entire 3 h OGTT and the first 2 h of the test. The composite whole-body insulin sensitivity index (WBISI), developed by Matsuda and DeFronzo is based on insulin values given in microunits per milliliter ($\mu U/mL$) and those of glucose, in milligrams per deciliter (mg/L) obtained from the OGTT and the corresponding fasting values The index of whole-body insulin sensitivity combines both hepatic and peripheral tissue insulin sensitivity. This index is calculated from plasma glucose (mg/dl) and insulin (mIU/l)concentrations in the fasting state and during OGTT.^[7]

 $\mathrm{ISI}_{(\mathrm{MATSUDA})} = 1000 \, / \, \sqrt{\mathrm{G}_0 \, \mathrm{I}_0 \, \mathrm{G}_{\mathrm{MEAN}} \, \mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{MEAN}}}$

 I_0 – Fasting plasma insulin concentration (mIU/l),

 G_0 – Fasting plasma glucose concentration (mg/dl),

G_{mean} – Mean plasma glucose concentration during OGTT (mg/dl),

I_{mean} – Mean plasma insulin concentration during OGTT (mU/l),

10,000–Simplifying constant to get numbers from 0 to 12. $\sqrt{-}$ Correction of the nonlinear values distribution.

Belfiore index

The Belfiore index is mainly used for calculation of the Belfiore formulas in defining the normal values for basal glucose and insulin concentrations and mean normal value for glucose and insulin areas during OGTT. The main point of the Belfiore formulas is the comparison of insulin and glucose values measured (fasting, 0-1-2 h areas or 0-2 h areas) with the defined normal reference values.^[8]

Cederholm index

The insulin sensitivity index proposed by CEDER-HOLM and Cederholm and Wibell represents mainly peripheral insulin sensitivity and muscular glucose uptake, due to the dominant role of peripheral tissues in glucose disposal after an oral glucose load.^[9]

Avignon index

The authors (Avignon *et al.* 1999) proposed 3 insulin sensitivity indices: Sib (derived from fasting plasma insulin and glucose concentrations), Si2h (derived from plasma insulin and glucose concentrations in the 120th min of OGTT) and SiM (derived by averaging Sib and Si2h after balancing Sib by a coefficient of 0.137 to give the same weight to both indices).^[10]

It was observed that the results obtained by computation of sensitivity indices from glucose and insulin concentrations in the basal state and during a conventional 2 h OGTT were useful for blending both the determination of glucose tolerance and an estimate of insulin sensitivity in a single and simple test.

Stumvoll index

It is possible to calculate insulin sensitivity and insulin release from simple demographic parameters and values obtained during an OGTT with practical precision. Stumvoll and Gerich proposed use of demographic data such as age, sex and BMI in addition to plasma glucose (mmol/L) and insulin (pmol/L) responses during the OGTT to predict insulin sensitivity and beta cell function. The equations were generated using the multiple linear regression analysis and adapted to the availabilities of sampling times during OGTT and of demographic parameters (BMI, age).^[11]

EXAMPLE

A 35-year-old male person, weighing 68 kg, with a height of 164 cm, and thus a BMI of 25.28 kg/m². He is non-diabetic, non-hypertensive and has a normal lipid profile. He does not have any family history of coronary artery disease, diabetes mellitus or hypertension. His fasting blood sugar is 100 mg/dl, and fasting insulin level of 4.6 μ U/ml. An OGTT was done with 75 g of anhydrous glucose [Table 3]. His blood sugar and insulin levels are as follows.

Table 3: Comparison of insulin sensitivity through different methods							
Parameters	0 min	30 min	60 min	90 min	120 min		
Blood sugar [mg/dl]	100	160	160	160	140		

5

Insulin level [µU/ml]

Using the above values, we calculated various insulin sensitivity indices, the values obtained are as follows:

10

10

10

5

Parameters derived from above mentioned example: HOMA-IR-1.23 [Normal < 2.5], QUICKI-0.39 [Normal < 0.4], MATSUDA-12.34 [Normal < 4.5].

From the above-derived values, we can conclude that patient does not have insulin resistance as all the three values are within normal limits.

Thus, we see that, there are various tools used for quantifying insulin sensitivity and resistance directly (hyperinsulinemic euglycemic glucose clamping and insulin suppression tests) and indirectly (frequently sampled intravenous glucose tolerance test, OGTT, meal tolerance test, and HOMA-IR). The utility of HOMA-IR in assessment of IR has been validated in children and adolescents. HOMA-IR is a simple method for evaluation of insulin sensitivity and correlates with the results of glucose clamp test in subjects with mild diabetes without significant hyperglycemia. Nevertheless it is difficult to apply to patients with poor glycemic control, those with severe β cell dysfunction or those treated with insulin.^[12]

Insulin resistance, earlier thought to be a rare complication of the treatment of diabetes, is now recognized as a component of several disorders, including the following:^[13]

- Extreme insulin-resistance syndromes, such as the type B syndrome with autoantibodies against the insulin receptor, and rare inherited disorders, such as Leprechaunism with insulin-receptor mutations and the lipodystrophic states
- Impaired glucose tolerance and type 2 diabetes mellitus.
- Obesity, stress, infection, uremia, acromegaly, glucocorticoid excess, and pregnancy, which cause secondary insulin resistance
- Common disorders such as the metabolic syndrome, hypertension, hyperlipidemia, coronary artery disease, the polycystic ovary syndrome, and ovarian hyperthecosis, in which the mechanism of the associated hyperinsulinemia is unknown.

CONCLUSION

Estimation of impaired insulin sensitivity should be given importance mainly in individuals with risk factors. The importance of the indices lies in their use in large epidemiological studies for assessment of relations between selected variables. For fasting values, insulin resistance is defined by WHO as the highest quartile of the IR_{HOMA} index in non-diabetic subjects. Insulin resistance is also defined as the lowest decile of insulin sensitivity in the lean subgroup of non-diabetic population. In clinical practice, however, their application is limited due to the lack of exact reference values.

REFERENCES

- 1. Bray GA. Medical consequences of obesity. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2004;89:2583-9.
- 2. Boden G. Pathogenesis of type 2 diabetes. Insulin resistance. Endocrinol Metab Clin North Am 2001;30:801-15, v.
- DeFronzo RA, Tobin JD, Andres R. Glucose clamp technique: A method for quantifying insulin secretion and resistance. Am J Physiol 1979;237:E214-23.
- Matthews DR, Hosker JP, Rudenski AS, Naylor BA, Treacher DF, Turner RC. Homeostasis model assessment: Insulin resistance and beta-cell function from fasting plasma glucose and insulin concentrations in man. Diabetologia 1985;28:412-9.
- Chen H, Sullivan G, Yue LQ, Katz A, Quon MJ. QUICKI is a useful index of insulin sensitivity in subjects with hypertension. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 2003;284:E804-12.
- McAuley KA, Williams SM, Mann JI, Walker RJ, Lewis-Barned NJ, Temple LA, *et al.* Diagnosing insulin resistance in the general population. Diabetes Care 2001;24:460-4.
- Matsuda M, DeFronzo RA. Insulin sensitivity indices obtained from oral glucose tolerance testing: Comparison with the euglycemic insulin clamp. Diabetes Care 1999;22:1462-70.
- Belfiore F, Iannello S, Volpicelli G. Insulin sensitivity indices calculated from basal and OGTT-induced insulin, glucose, and FFA levels. Mol Genet Metab 1998;63:134-41.
- Cederholm J, Wibell L. Insulin release and peripheral sensitivity at the oral glucose tolerance test. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 1990;10:167-75.
- Avignon A, Boegner C, Mariano-Goulart D, Colette C, Monnier L. Assessment of insulin sensitivity from plasma insulin and glucose in the fasting or post oral glucose-load state. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord 1999;23:512-7.
- Stumvoll M, Gerich J. Clinical features of insulin resistance and beta cell dysfunction and the relationship to type 2 diabetes. Clin Lab Med 2001;21:31-51.
- Mari A, Pacini G, Murphy E, Ludvik B, Nolan JJ. A model-based method for assessing insulin sensitivity from the oral glucose tolerance test. Diabetes Care 2001;24:539-48.
- 13. Singh B, Saxena A. Surrogate markers of insulin resistance: A review. World J Diabetes 2010;1:36-47.

Cite this article as: Gutch M, Kumar S, Razi SM, Gupta KK, Gupta A. Assessment of insulin sensitivity/resistance. Indian J Endocr Metab 2015;19:160-4. Source of Support: Nil, Conflict of Interest: No.