Striking Multiple Primary Tumors that underwent Whipple Procedure due to Periampullary Carcinoma: An Analysis of 21 Cases

¹Osman N Dilek, ¹Oguzhan Ozsay, ¹Serkan Karaisli, ¹Emine Ö Gür, ¹Ahmet Er, ¹Selda G Haciyanli, ¹Haldun Kar ²Fatma H Dilek

¹Department of Surgery, Izmir Katip Çelebi University School of Medicine, Izmir, Turkey, ²Department of Pathology, Izmir Katip Çelebi University, Atatürk Research and Education Hospital, Izmir, Turkey

ABSTRACT

Introduction: The term multiple primary tumor (MPT) is used to describe cases where two or more primary tumors show no histopathological similarities in between. Multiple primary tumor cases have begun to increase in recent years as a result of the increase in life expectancy because of the increase in life standards and progress in diagnostic methods. In this study, MPT cases with periampullary tumors that underwent Whipple procedure were discussed in the light of literature data.

Materials and methods: The patient files of 223 cases with periampullary tumors that underwent Whipple procedure in our hospital during the last 6 years were examined retrospectively. More than one primary tumor was detected in 21 patients.

Results: Periampullary carcinomas were detected as a second primary tumor in 18 patients. First primary tumor was periampullary carcinoma in 3 patients that underwent Whipple procedure. After the Whipple procedure, 5 patients died due to early complications in the first 30 days and 6 patients died due to metastases and additional problems that developed during follow-up.

Discussion: The incidence of MPT has been reported as 0.7 to 14.5% in the literature. Most of them are multiple primary case presentations. In patient management, it is recommended that each tumor should be evaluated independently of its own characteristics, and treatment and follow-up should be planned accordingly.

Conclusion: The MPT cases are increasing. The possibility of MPT as well as metastasis should be kept in mind during the evaluation of tumor foci seen during diagnosis and follow-up of patients. The characteristics of each tumor, survival, and prognosis should be evaluated separately and the most appropriate treatment should be offered to the patient. It is recommended that synchronic primary tumors which are considered to be surgically resectable without metastasis should be removed in the same session.

Keywords: Diagnosis, Multiple primary tumors, Pancreas, Treatment, Whipple procedure.

How to cite this article: Dilek ON, Ozsay O, Karaisli S, Gür EÖ, Er A, Haciyanli SG, Kar H, Dilek FH. Striking Multiple Primary Tumors that underwent Whipple Procedure due to Periampullary Carcinoma: An Analysis of 21 Cases. Euroasian J Hepato-Gastroenterol 2018;8(1):1-5.

Source of support: Nil

Conflict of interest: None

INTRODUCTION

The term MPT is used to describe cases where two or more primary tumors show no histopathological similarities in between.

Multiple primary tumor cases have begun to increase in recent years as a result of the increase in life expectancy because of the increase in life standards and progress in diagnostic methods. In the United States, the number of cancer patients who are alive increased by more than three times since the 1970s. Since the first clinical trial of MPTs in 1934 by Bugher, more cases and publications on MPT have begun to appear in recent years.¹⁻³

Multiple primary tumors can be synchronous or metachronous depending on the duration. Many environmental, genetic, and iatrogenic risk factors are thought to be involved in the development of MPT. It is

Address reprint requests to: Osman N Dilek, Department of Surgery, Izmir Katip Çelebi University School of Medicine, Izmir, Turkey Phone: +5323163580, e-mail: osmannuridilek@gmail.com

proposed to question the criteria set by Warren and Gates to define MPT cases.^{4,5} According to this:

- Each lesion has to be malignant.
- It should be established that the pathological features of each lesion are different.
- The possibility of metastasis of the first lesion should be ruled out.

Multiple primary tumors can be seen in four different types according to their origin. These can be defined as multicentric MPTs arising from the same organ or tissue, systemic MPTs occurring in different organs and systems, MPTs that develop in double organs, and randomized incidental MPTs.^{2,6,7}

The presence of other primary tumors involving other organs in patients with periampullary tumors and the clinical behavior to be followed in these cases should be investigated. Herein, we aimed to reveal the MPT cases and their clinical features between our patients who have undergone Whipple procedure due to periampullary tumor in our clinic during the last 6 years.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The records of 223 patients with periampullary tumors that have undergone Whipple procedure in our hospital in the last 6 years were retrospectively reviewed. Multiple primary tumor was detected in 21 of these patients (Table 1). The patients with second primary tumors who could not be operated due to the progression of tumors or accompanying comorbidities were not included in the list.

RESULTS

Multiple primary tumor was detected in 21 patients. One patient with familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP) syndrome had five primary malignant tumors. About 20 patients had malignant tumors in different areas. The distribution of these malignant tumors is as follows: 8 colon/rectum tumor, 2 breast, 2 prostate, 2 stomach (one has gastrointestinal stromal tumor-GIST), 1 lung and bladder, 1 tumor with neural origin, lung, bladder, renal cell, pharynx melanoma and larynx tumor (Table 1). The mean age was 65.8 years (31-86). There were 4 synchronous and 17 metachronous MPTs among our cases. In three of our cases, two colonic and one laryngeal carcinoma were detected during the follow-ups after the Whipple procedure and they were operated. In all the other cases, Whipple procedure was performed due to the second primary malignant tumor originating from the periampullary region. The duration between the first primary tumor and the second primary tumor development varies between 0 and 22 years (mean 5.4 years). Five of the cases were lost due to complications during the first 30 days after surgery. Six of our cases were lost due to metastases and other reasons during follow-up. Ten of our patients are still alive.

DISCUSSION

Those who have a tumor have a better chance of getting a second primary tumor than the normal population. The incidence of MPTs was reported as 0.7 to 14.5%. In the literature, there are many case reports with 2 to 7 primaries.^{8,9} There are publications reporting MPT cases as 18.4 to 33% synchronous and 66 to 81.6% as metachronous.^{3,9,10} Synchronous lesions were found in four of the patients in our series and this was less compared with the literature (Table 1).

The duration between MPTs varies widely, and there are data in the literature that vary between 0.5 and 28 years (mean 8.1 ± 2.5 years).^{2,3} In patients who receive radiotherapy and chemotherapy, this time is shorter and reported to be 3.2 + 3.7 years.^{2,3} In our series, this period varies from 0 to 22 years, with an average of 5.4 years.

Although there is no definite etiologic cause for MPT development, it is known that risk factors, such as increased genetic susceptibility, environmental factors, and iatrogenic risk factors, such as chemotherapeutic agents, hormonal agents, and radiotherapy that are used for diagnostic and therapeutic purposes play a role. Depending on the lifestyle, demographic factors, such as smoking, alcohol, nutrition, inactivity, and obesity also play a role.²

The role of cigarette in the development of pancreatic cancer is already known. The possibility of MPTs should always be considered in other cancer-related patients with cigarette smoking.^{11,12}

It is known that genetic transition plays a role in 15% of pancreatic cancer cases. Multiple tumors can be seen in Gardner and Li Fraumeni syndromes that show genetic transition characteristics. Multiple primary tumor was reported in 21.5% of patients with Lynch syndrome.¹³ Punctiform mutation, loss of heterozygosity, and increased genetic instability may result in a lot of damage in several genes as a result of increasing environmental interactions in the technology age. Especially the mutations in TP53 gene also known as the tumor suppressor gene are also thought to play a role in the development of MPT. In a genetic case study by Romaniuk et al,⁹ they have demonstrated that cell proliferation potential and antiapoptotic stability are high in cases with MPT. There is no such genetic background study in our series. However, this may be the case for patients in whom we have had to perform Whipple procedure due to periampullary tumors.

The BEIR 7 model study of the American Health Council reported the lifetime attributable risk for patients treated

Striking Multiple Primary Tumors that underwent Whipple Procedure

while for all where of a surprise stands with MDTs

Table 1: Demographic features of our patients with MPTs							
	Male/	1st primary		2nd primary			
Age	female	tumor	Procedures	tumor	Definitive procedures	PO status	Follow-up
72	Female	Left colon	Left colectomy, 8.2008 + CT	Main bile duct (distal)	Whipple 1.2010	Bleeding	PO excitus 1.2010
60	Male	Pancreas	Whipple 9.2010 + CT	Larynx	Laryngectomy 9.2011	Discharged	Live
75	Female	Breast	Operation 4.2006 + CT	Pancreas	Whipple 6.2012	Fistulae	PO excitus 6.2012
66	Male	Pancreas	Whipple 9.2015 + CT	Left colon	Left colectomy 6.2017	Discharged	Live
57	Male	Stomach	Synchronous tumors	Pancreas (Metastatic?)	Gastrectomy + Whipple 3.2015 + CRT	Discharged	Live
55	Male	Right colon	Right colectomy 5.2009 + CT	Pancreas (Metastatic?)	Whipple 12.2010	Fistulae, sepsis	PO excitus 12.2010
67	Female	Breast	Operation 1991 + CT	Pancreas	Whipple 12.2010	Discharged	Excitus 4.2012 Metastasis
48	Female	Stomach (GIST)	Synchronous Tumors?	Pancreas Tm (Metastatic?)	Gastrectomy + Whipple 11.2012 + CRT	Discharged	Excitus 5.2016 (Pneumonia)
66	Female	Sigmoid	Synchronous tumors	Ampulla tumor	Left colectomy Whipple 2.2012 + CT	Discharged	Excitus 4.2014 (Metastasis)
72	Male	Ampulla	Whipple 6.2010 + CT	Rectosigmoid	Left colectomy, 3.2011	Discharged	Excitus 3.2011 (Metastasis)
73	Male	Bladder + lung	Operation Bladder 2005 Lobectomy 3.2007 + CRTs	Pancreas	Whipple 9.2015	Discharged	Excitus 7.2017 (COLD)
64	Male	Lung	Operation, 2012 + CRT	Ampulla	Whipple 4.2015	Discharged	Live
69	Male	Farinks Melanoma	Operation, 2008	Pancreas (IPMN)	Whipple 10.2015	Discharged	Live
59	Male	Bladder	Operation, 2013 + CRT	Main bile duct (Distal)	Whipple 10.2015	Discharged	Live
70	Male	Right colon	Synchronous tumors	Ampulla	Whipple + Right colectomy 11.2015 + CT	Hemodynamic instability? AMI?	PO excitus-11.2015
76	Male	Prostate	Operation, 2012 + CT	Klatskin (Type I)	Whipple 11.2015	Discharged	Excitus 10.2016 (Metastasis)
49	Female	Colon (FAP) Breast, Adrenal, desmoid	Total colectomy 2006, 2012, 2013, 2015, 2016	Pancreas Solid Pseudopapillary	Whipple 3.2016	Discharged	Live
31	Male	Colon (FAP)	Total colectomy 9.2014	Duodenum	Whipple 12.2016	Discharged	Live
79	Male	Prostate	Operation, 2009 + CRT	Ampulla	Whipple 11.2016	Discharged	Live
86	Female	Schwannoma	Operation, 2010	Ampulla	Whipple 7.2016	Sepsis, MODS	PO excitus 7.2016
74	Male	Renal cell	Nephrectomy 1.2014	Pancreas	Whipple 7.2016	Discharged	Live

PO: Postoperative; FAP: Familial adenomatous poliposis coli; CT: Computed tomography; CRT: Chemoradiotherapy; COLD: Chronic obstructive lung disease; MODS: Multiple organ dysfunction syndrome; AMI: Acute myocardial infarction; IPMN: Intraductal papillary mucinous neoplasms.

with radiotherapy as 3/1.000, although it varied depending on the organs. This risk varies with age. It has been reported that in the younger patients who received radiotherapy due to malignancy, the risk of developing MPT may increase 4 to 60 times depending on the organs, compared with those who receive radiotherapy in advanced ages. Advanced age presents as an important risk factor.¹⁴

It is stated that the secondary primary tumors may develop iatrogenically after the chemotherapy, hormonal treatments, and radiotherapy for the treatment of the first primary tumor. Certain chemotherapeutics, especially alkylating agents, can cause impairments on deoxyribonucleic acid synthesis and functions.¹⁵ It is detected that in our series 12 patients received chemotherapy and 6 patients received radiotherapy, however, we do not have the data to show that it played a role in the development of secondary tumors.

Through advanced diagnostic tools, many previously unknown undifferentiated occult tumors can now be detected. In one of our cases, even though there was no clinical and radiological data, a second primary tumor was detected due to activity involvement in the pancreas during the positron emission tomography (PET) computed tomography (CT) scanning for primary colonic tumor. It has been reported that tumors may develop over the years depending on the rays taken during CT imaging, especially used for diagnostic purposes. In BEIR 7 studies in the USA, it was revealed that a patient who undergoes CT receives a mean of 10 to 20 mSv radiation and radiation causes cancer in one in every 600 patients who undergo abdominal CT.14,16 Computerized tomography taken during periodic postoperative follow-ups is likely to increase this risk.

It is emphasized that MPT develops especially after prostate, breast, colorectal, and bladder tumors.^{2,3,17} In our series, it is seen that 14 cases are in the group that is described in Table 1. The likelihood of having MPT in these cases is high and it may be because the incidence is higher in the community, the survival time is longer, and it may be due to periodic screenings. In a series by Cheng et al,¹⁸ colon, stomach, and liver lesions were reported as the most common tumors in the 129 MPT gastrointestinal stromal cases. In the same series, onethird of the same cases were found to be associated with gynecologic primary tumors and the number of cases of periampullary MPT was reported as 6. In the series of Irimie et al, the coexistence of breast-gynecologic carcinoma and colon-breast carcinoma was the most common case. Different demographics of countries may play a role in different coexistences.

Although there is a large number of case reports of MPTs involving colorectal carcinomas in the literature, we did not find any series with periampullary tumors with MPTs.^{5,18} In the US SEER data of 4,013 cases published by Amin et al¹¹ from New York Presbyterian Hospital, they have found that the relative risk of pancreatic carcinoma is more in patients aged 20 to 49 years with colon or hepatobiliary tumors, in patients with pharynx, colon, or stomach tumors aged 50 to 64 years or in patients with biliary or gastric tumors aged 65 years and above. The patients who were referred to our clinic to undergo the Whipple procedure were usually the second primaries. In our series, colorectal tumors were the most common type of MPTs seen with periampullary tumors (eight cases). In our series, colon and laryngeal carcinomas were detected only in three cases during follow-ups after Whipple surgery. This might be because of the short

duration of survival with periampullary tumors. Neugut et al¹⁹ and Neugut and Gold²⁰ reported that lung and head and neck cancers may be more common, particularly in cases of pancreatic cancer who are smokers. Laryngeal carcinoma was detected 1 year later in one of our patients who underwent Whipple procedure who was a smoker and he was operated.

It has been reported that PET CT may be helpful in the detection of metastases and in the differential diagnosis and detection of secondary primary tumors.²¹ In two of our patients with lung cancer and one patient with laryngeal cancer who were smokers, primary pancreatic tumor was detected during follow-ups and they were operated. The PET CTs taken during the follow-ups of these patients have played a role in the diagnosis of the second primary tumor. In one of our cases, pancreatic involvement was detected with a control PET CT when there was no pancreatic mass image. After biopsy and Whipple procedure, the tumor was diagnosed as a solid pseudopapillary tumor.

It is recommended to follow the oncological surgical principles in the treatment. Each case should be evaluated according to the performance status and tumor stage. As with the first tumor in MPT cases, it is recommended to plan and implement treatment interventions at the earliest possible stage in secondary lesions.³ Patients with malignancy are recommended to follow a specific algorithm in terms of local recurrence and metastasis.

As a result, the possibility of MPT during the evaluation of patients in the preoperative period should be kept in mind. The risk of developing MPT is greater in younger patients with primary tumors. The longer the lifespan, the greater the risk of developing MPT. The coexistence of smoking-related tumors in smokers should be considered first. Early diagnosis is seen as the most important factor that affects survival. The treatment, survival, and prognosis of each tumor should be evaluated separately. However, in the treatment of the second primary tumor, the prognosis of the first primary tumor and the performance status of the patient are the most important determinants. It is recommended that surgical interventions in synchronous cases should be performed in one session, if possible.

REFERENCES

- Bugher JC. The probability of the chance occurrence of multiple malignant neoplasms. Am J Cancer 1934 Aug; 21(4):2309-2824.
- Mariotto AB, Rowland JH, Ries LA, Scoppa S, Feuer EJ. Multiple cancer prevalence: a growing challenge in long-term survivorship. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2007 Mar;16(3):566-571.
- 3. Irimie A, Achimas-Cadariu P, Burz C, Puscas E. Multiple primary malignancies- Epidemiological analysis at a



single tertiary institution. J Gastrointestin Liver Dis 2010 Mar;19(1):69-73.

- Luciani A, Ascione G, Marussi D, Oldani S, Caldiera S, Bozzoni S, Codecà C, Zonato S, Ferrari D, Foa P. Clinical analysis of multiple primary malignancies in the elderly. Med Oncol 2009;26(1):27-31.
- 5. Zhao J, Tan Y, Wu Y, Zhao W, Wu J, Ji M, Shi L, Jiang J, Wu C. A rare case of eight multiple primary malignant neoplasms in a female patient: a case report and review of the literature. Oncol Lett 2015 Feb;9(2):587-590.
- Moertel CG. Multiple primary malignant neoplasms: historical perspectives. Cancer 1977 Oct;40(4 Suppl):1786-1792.
- 7. Hayat MJ, Howlander N, Reichman ME. Cancer statistics, trends, and multiple primary cancer analysis from the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) Program. Oncologist 2007 Jan;12(1):20-37.
- 8. Mohammad AM, Al-Zahrani AS, EL-Khatib HM. Double primary cancers registered in tertiary care hospital: review of cases. J Case Reports 2014;4(1):72-76.
- Romaniuk, Lyndin M, Smiyanov V, Sikora V, Rieznik A, Kuzenko Y, Budko H, Moskalenko Y, Karpenko L, Sikora V, et al. Primary multiple tumor with affection of the thyroid gland, uterus, urinary bladder, mammary gland and other organs. Pathol Res Pract 2017 May;213(5):574-579.
- Bagri PK, Singh D, Singhal MK, Singh G, Mathur G, Jakhar SL, Beniwal S, Sharma N, Kumar HS, Sharma A, et al. Double primary malignancies: a clinical and pathological analysis report from a regional cancer institute in India. Iranian J Cancer Prev 2014 Spring;7(2):66-72.
- 11. Amin S, McBride RB, Kline JK, Mitchel EB, Lucas AL, Neugut AI, Frucht H. Incidence of subsequent pancreatic adenocarcinoma in patients with a history of nonpancreatic primary cancers. Cancer 2012 Mar;118(5):1244-1251.
- 12. Andersson G, Wennersten C, Borgquist S, Jirström K. Pancreatic cancer risk in relation to sex, lifestyle factors, and

pre-diagnostic anthropometry in the Malmö Diet and Cancer Study. Biol Sex Differ 2016 Dec;7:66.

- Lynch HT, Harris RE, Lynch PM, Guirgis HA, Lynch JF, Bardawil WA. Role of heredity in multiple primary cancer. Cancer 1977 Oct;40(4 Suppl):1849-1854.
- 14. Donovan EM, James H, Bonora M, Yarnold JR. Evans incidence risk estimates using BEIR VII models for standard and radiotherapy for early breast cancer. Med Phys 2012;39(10):5814-5824.
- Escobar PA, Smith MT, Vasishta A, Hubbard AE, Zhang L. Leukaemia-specific chromosome damage detected by comet with fluorescence in situ hybridization (comet-FISH). Mutagenesis 2007 Sep;22(5):321-327.
- Griffey RT, Sodickson A. Cumulative radiation exposure and cancer risk estimates in emergency department patients undergoing repeat or multiple CT. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2009 Apr;192(4):887-892.
- Curtis R, Freedman D, Ron E, Ries LAG, Hacker DG, Edwards BK, Tucker MA, Fraumeni JF Jr. New malignancies among cancer survivors: SEER cancer registries, 1973-2000. NIH Publication No. 05-5302. Bethesda, MD; 2006.
- Cheng HY, Chu CH, Chang WH, Hsu TC, Lin SC, Liu CC, Yang AM, Shih SC. Clinical analysis of multiple primary malignancies in the digestive system: a hospital-based study. World J Gastroenterol 2005 Jul;11(27):4215-4219.
- Neugut AI, Ahsan H, Robinson E. Pancreas cancer as a second primary malignancy. A population-based study. Cancer 1995 Aug;76(4):589-592.
- Neugut AI, Gold D. Gastrointestinal cancers. In: Neugut AI, Meadows AT, Robinson E, editors. Multiple primary cancers. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 1999. pp. 347-363.
- 21. Pang L, Liu G, Shi H, Hu P, Li B, Cheng D. Nineteen cases with synchronous multiple primary cancers studied by 18F-FDG PET/CT. Hell J Nucl Med 2017;20(1):36-40.