



## cAMP Signaling Affects Irreversible Attachment During Biofilm Formation by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1

KAORI ONO<sup>1†</sup>, RIE OKA<sup>1†</sup>, MASANORI TOYOFUKU<sup>1†</sup>, AYANE SAKAGUCHI<sup>1</sup>, MASAKAZE HAMADA<sup>1</sup>, SHIOMI YOSHIDA<sup>1</sup>, and NOBUHIKO NOMURA<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Graduate School of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Tsukuba, 1–1–1 Tennodai, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305–8572, Japan* (Received October 22, 2013—Accepted December 17, 2013—Published online February 19, 2014)

*Pseudomonas aeruginosa* responds to environmental changes and regulates its life cycle from planktonic to biofilm modes of growth. The control of cell attachment to surfaces is one of the critical processes that determine this transition. Environmental signals are typically relayed to the cytoplasm by second messenger systems. We here demonstrated that the second messenger, cAMP, regulated the attachment of cells. Our results suggest cAMP inhibited the transition from reversible to irreversible attachment. Further analyses revealed that cell surface hydrophobicity, one of the key factors in cell attachment, was altered by cAMP.

## Key words: cyclic AMP, attachment, biofilm, Pseudomonas aeruginosa

Biofilm formation is regulated by physicochemical and biological signals (1, 7, 28). The first step of biofilm formation by P. aeruginosa is the attachment phase, in which cells reversibly attach to surfaces and eventually become irreversibly attached (19, 22). Bacteria subsequently produce extracellular polysaccharides such as pel, psl, and alginate, which support the formation of biofilms (9, 11). The transition from reversible to irreversible attachment is critical for biofilm formation (5); therefore, understanding the factors that control this process is important. The bacterial second messenger, c-di-GMP, was recently shown to control biofilm formation in response to environmental influences (6, 13, 25). cAMP has also been studied extensively as a typical second messenger, which is conserved among diverse organisms (2). Intracellular cAMP concentrations in P. aeruginosa are controlled by CyaA and CyaB adenylate cyclases or CpdA phosphodiesterase, which synthesize or degrade cAMP, respectively (29, 34). cAMP binds with the cAMP binding protein Vfr, and regulates more than 200 genes (21, 34). A recent study demonstrated that cAMP was involved in the dispersal of biofilms (16). However, the mechanisms by which cAMP affects biofilm formation remain poorly understood. We here showed that cAMP affected the first step of biofilm formation by inhibiting cell attachment to surfaces.

One of the difficulties in studying the effects of cAMP on biofilms is that the accumulation of cAMP requires specific conditions, such as a low calcium environment (24, 34). To overcome this limitation, we constructed an in-frame deletion mutant of the cAMP phosphodiesterase gene  $\Delta cpdA$ , which constitutively accumulates cAMP, by a previously described protocol (31). The cAMP binding protein, Vfr, was also deleted to examine the involvement of the cAMP binding protein. pG19II plasmids carrying deletion cassettes were transferred into *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 (15) by conjugation using Escherichia coli S17-1 (20, 30). Gene deletion was confirmed by PCR as previously described (31). The strains and primers used in this study are listed in Tables S1 and S2, respectively. cAMP levels were measured using an immunoassay (cAMP-Screen<sup>®</sup> 96-well Immunoassay System; Applied Biosystems) following a previously described protocol (10). Intracellular cAMP levels were compared by normalizing cAMP concentrations with total cell protein measured by the Bradford protein assay (3). As expected, intercellular cAMP levels were 5-fold higher in the  $\Delta cpdA$ mutant than in the wild-type (WT) after a 12-h cultivation in Luria-Bertani (LB) medium at 37°C under shaking conditions at 200 rpm (stationary phase of growth) (data not shown). Intracellular cAMP levels were also similar between the *cpdA*-complemented strain ( $\Delta cpdA$ /pBBR1MCS5-*cpdA*, Table S1 [17]) and the WT (data not shown).

To investigate the effect of cAMP accumulation on biofilm formation, WT and the mutants were inoculated in LB medium in 96-well microtiter plates (23), and statically cultivated at 37°C. Cell density was measured at an optical density of 600 nm ( $OD_{600}$ ), and biofilm formation was quantified using 0.1% crystal violet (23). Biofilm formation by the  $\Delta cpdA$  mutants was significantly less than that by the WT, which indicated that cAMP inhibited biofilm formation (Fig. 1A). Similar growth curves were observed for all the strains tested in this study (Fig. 1B); therefore, the effect of cpdA on biofilm formation was not due to differences in growth. Biofilm formation recovered in the cpdA-complemented strain ( $\Delta cpdA/pBBR1MCS5-cpdA$ ). The effect of cAMP was not observed in the  $\Delta cpdA\Delta vfr$  double mutant, which indicated that cAMP inhibited biofilm formation through its receptor Vfr. The deletion of Vfr alone had no effect on biofilm formation (data not shown).

To further investigate the effect of cAMP accumulation on biofilm formation, the attachment phase was examined in more detail by measuring the cell populations of reversibly or irreversibly attached cells on surfaces (5). Reversible attachment is defined as a moving cell that interacts with a surface

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. E-mail: nomura.nobuhiko.ge@u.tsukuba.ac.jp; Tel & Fax: +81-29-853-6627.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> These authors contributed equally to this study.



Fig. 1. Biofilm formation (A) and growth curve (B) of *P. aeruginosa* PAO1 (solid diamond),  $\Delta cpdA$  (open squires),  $\Delta cpdA\Delta vfr$  (solid circles), and  $\Delta cpdA/pBBR1MCS5-cpdA$  (crosses).

Biofilm formation (A) was evaluated by optical density (OD<sub>600</sub>) and crystal violet staining (ABS<sub>595</sub>) after cultivation in LB medium at  $37^{\circ}$ C under static conditions. A growth curve (B) was obtained during cultivation in LB medium at  $37^{\circ}$ C under shaking conditions at 200 rpm. Each value is the mean of three independent tests. Error bars indicate one standard deviation.

via the cell pole, while irreversible attachment is defined as a cell oriented parallel to the surface (14, 18, 19, 36). Previous studies demonstrated that reversible or irreversible attached cells were easily distinguishable under a microscope (4, 5)(Fig. S1). A culture solution at the stationary phase of growth, as shown in Fig. 1B, was inoculated in fresh LB medium to obtain 1:100 dilutions, and initial attachment was recorded for 30 s after a 1-h incubation at 37°C. Three fields were recorded for each strain in 3 independent experiments. The irreversible attachment of  $\Delta cpdA$  was significantly less than that of the WT, while reversible attachment was similar among the strains (Fig. 2). This result suggests that the accumulation of cAMP inhibited irreversible attachment, and consequently decreased biofilm formation. Irreversible attachment was restored in  $\Delta cpdA/pBBR1MCS5$ -cpdA and in the  $\Delta cpdA \Delta v fr$  mutant, which confirmed the involvement of cAMP signaling.

The physical properties of cells, such as cell hydrophobicity, are known to affect the attachment of cells to their substratum (12, 32, 33, 35). The microbial adhesion to hydrocarbons (MATH) test was adapted to investigate cell hydrophobicity (26, 27). After a 12-h culture in LB medium, as shown in Fig. 1B, cells were collected and washed in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) buffer twice, and were suspended in the same buffer at an OD<sub>600</sub> of 0.6. Bacterial cell suspensions were mixed with n-hexadecane at a ratio of 1:1



**Fig. 2.** Quantification of the attachment to the polystyrene surface. The number of reversibly and irreversibly attached cells was microscopically counted for 30 s after a 1-h static culture at  $37^{\circ}$ C on a polystyrene plate.  $\Box$  shows the amount of reversibly attached cells, and  $\blacksquare$  shows the amount of irreversibly attached cells. Each value is the mean of three independent tests. Error bars indicate one standard deviation.



**Fig. 3.** Hydrophobicity of *P. aeruginosa.* Hydrophobicity was measured using the MATH test, in which n-hexadecane was used as the organic solvent. Each value is the mean of three independent tests. Error bars indicate one standard deviation.

(volume), and cultured for 30 min at 30°C. Hydrophobicity was calculated from the difference in OD<sub>600</sub> before and after incubation (8). The results obtained showed that the  $\Delta cpdA$ mutant was more hydrophilic than the WT. Consistent with other results, cell hydrophobicity was restored in the *cpdA* complementary strain and in the  $\Delta cpdA\Delta vfr$  mutant (Fig. 3). These results demonstrated that cAMP altered cell hydrophobicity.

Taken together, our results demonstrate that the accumulation of cAMP inhibited the attachment phase of biofilm formation. Hence, cAMP, as well as c-di-GMP, control biofilm formation as second messengers in *P. aeruginosa*. While no significant differences were observed in reversible attachment between the cAMP-accumulating  $\Delta cpdA$  strain and the WT, irreversible attachment was significantly inhibited in the  $\Delta cpdA$  mutant. Therefore, cAMP may be involved in the transition from reversible to irreversible attachment. In reversible attachment, the cells are attached loosely by their poles and can move (5, 14). In contrast, irreversible attachment is accomplished when the cell firmly attaches to the surface (19, 22). The alteration observed in cell hydrophobicity in the  $\Delta cpdA$  mutant may have inhibited the ability of cells to attach to surface, thereby inhibiting the transition to irreversible attachment. The negative effect of cAMP on biofilm formation is consistent with a previous study that reported the involvement of cAMP in biofilm dispersal (16). Our results further demonstrate that cAMP is also involved in the attachment of cells to surfaces, and provide an insight into how cells respond to environmental influences and regulate their life cycle.

## Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the Japan Science and Technology Agency, CREST and ALCA, and a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (60292520) to N. N. from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan (MEXT). M. T. was funded by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (25701012) from MEXT.

## References

- Applegate, D.H., and J.D. Bryers. 1991. Effects of carbon and oxygen limitations and calcium concentrations on biofilm removal processes. Biotechnol. Bioeng. 37:17–25.
- Botsford, J., and J. Harman. 1992. Cyclic AMP in prokaryotes. Microbiol. Rev. 56:100–122.
- Bradford, M.M. 1976. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. Anal. Biochem. 72:248–254.
- Caiazza, N.C., J.H. Merritt, K.M. Brothers, and G.A. O'Toole. 2007. Inverse regulation of biofilm formation and swarming motility by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PA14. J. Bacteriol. 189:3603–3612.
- Caiazza, N.C., and G.A. O'Toole. 2004. SadB is required for the transition from reversible to irreversible attachment during biofilm formation by *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PA14. J. Bacteriol. 186:4476– 4485.
- Coggan, K.A., and M.C. Wolfgang. 2012. Global regulatory pathways and cross-talk control *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* environmental lifestyle and virulence phenotype. Curr. Issues Mol. Biol. 14:47–70.
- De Kievit, T.R., R. Gillis, S. Marx, C. Brown, and B.H. Iglewski. 2001. Quorum-sensing genes in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilms: their role and expression patterns. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 67:1865–1873.
- Duclairoir-Poc, C., T. Meylheuc, S. Ngoya, A. Groboillot, J. Bodilis, L. Taupin, A. Merieau, M.G.J. Feuilloley, and N. Orange. 2011. Influence of growth temperature on cyclolipopeptides production and on adhesion behaviour in environmental strains of *Pseudomonas fluorescens*. J. Bacteriol. Parasitol. S1–002.
- Franklin, M.J., D.E. Nivens, J.T. Weadge, and P.L. Howell. 2011. Biosynthesis of the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* extracellular polysaccharides, Alginate, Pel, and Psl. Front. Microbiol. 2:1–16.
- Fuchs, E.L., E.D. Brutinel, E.R. Klem, A.R. Fehr, T.L. Yahr, and M.C. Wolfgang. 2010. *In vitro* and *in vivo* characterization of the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* cyclic AMP (cAMP) phosphodiesterase CpdA, required for cAMP homeostasis and virulence factor regulation. J. Bacteriol. 192:2779–2790.
- Ghafoor, A., I.D. Hay, and B.H.A. Rehm. 2011. Role of exopolysaccharides in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm formation and architecture. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 77:5238–5246.
- Gilbert, P., D.J. Evans, E. Evans, I.G. Duguid, and M.R. Brown. 1991. Surface characteristics and adhesion of *Escherichia coli* and *Staphylococcus epidermidis*. J. Appl. Bacteriol. 71:72–77.
- Hengge, R. 2009. Principles of c-di-GMP signalling in bacteria. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 7:263–273.

- Hinsa, S.M., M. Espinosa-Urgel, J.L. Ramos, and G.A. O'Toole. 2003. Transition from reversible to irreversible attachment during biofilm formation by *Pseudomonas fluorescens* WCS365 requires an ABC transporter and a large secreted protein. Mol. Microbiol. 49:905–918.
- Holloway, B. 1969. Genetics of *Pseudomonas*. Bacteriological reviews. 33:419–443.
- Huynh, T.T., D. McDougald, J. Klebensberger, B. Al Qarni, N. Barraud, S.A. Rice, S. Kjelleberg, and D. Schleheck. 2012. Glucose starvation-induced dispersal of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilms is cAMP and energy dependent. PLoS One. 7:e42874.
- Kovach, M.E., P.H. Elzer, D.S. Hill, G.T. Robertson, M.A. Farris, R.M. Roop, and K.M. Peterson. 1995. Four new derivatives of the broad-host-range cloning vector pBBR1MCS, carrying different antibiotic-resistance cassettes. Gene. 166:175–176.
- Lawrence, J.R., P.J. Delaquis, D.R. Korber, and D.E. Caldwell. 1987. Behavior of *Pseudomonas fluorescens* within the hydrodynamic boundary layers of surface microenvironments. Microb. Ecol. 14:1– 14.
- Marshall, K.C., K.C. Stout, and R. Mitchell. 1971. Mechanism of the initial events in the sorption of marine bacteria to surfaces. Microbiology 68:337–348.
- 20. Maseda, H., I. Sawada, K. Saito, H. Uchiyama, T. Nakae, and N. Nomura. 2004. Enhancement of the *mexAB-oprM* efflux pump expression by a quorum-sensing autoinducer and its cancellation by a regulator, MexT, of the *mexEF-oprN* efflux pump operon in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 48:1320–1328.
- McDonough, K., and A. Rodriguez. 2011. The myriad roles of cyclic AMP in microbial pathogens: from signal to sword. Nat. Rev. Microbiol. 10:27–38.
- Monds, R.D., and G.A. O'Toole. 2009. The developmental model of microbial biofilms: ten years of a paradigm up for review. Trends. Microbiol. 17:73–87.
- O'Toole, G.A., and R. Kolter. 1998. Initiation of biofilm formation in *Pseudomonas fluorescens* WCS365 proceeds via multiple, convergent signalling pathways: a genetic analysis. Mol. Microbiol. 28:449–461.
- Rietsch, A., and J. Mekalanos. 2006. Metabolic regulation of type III secretion gene expression in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Mol. Microbiol. 59:807–820.
- Römling, U., and D. Amikam. 2006. Cyclic di-GMP as a second messenger. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 9:218–228.
- Rosenberg, M. 2006. Microbial adhesion to hydrocarbons: twenty-five years of doing MATH. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 262:129–134.
- Rosenberg, M., D. Gutnick, and E. Rosenberg. 1980. Adherence of bacteria to hydrocarbons: a simple method for measuring cell surface hydrophobicity. FEMS Microbiol. Lett. 9:29–33.
- Sauer, K., M.C. Cullen, A.H. Rickard, L.A.H. Zeef, D.G. Davies, and P. Gilbert. 2004. Characterization of nutrient-induced dispersion in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1 biofilm. J. Bacteriol. 186:7312– 7326.
- Siegel, L.S., P.B. Hylemon, and P.V. Phibbs. 1977. Cyclic adenosine 3',5'-monophosphate levels and activities of adenylate cyclase and cyclic adenosine 3',5'-monophosphate phosphodiesterase in *Pseudomonas* and *Bacteroides*. J. Bacteriol. 129:87–96.
- Simon, R., M. O'Connell, M. Labes, and A. Pühler. 1986. Plasmid vector for the genetic analysis and manipulation of rhizobia and other gram-negative bacteria. Methods Enzymol. 118:640–659.
- Tashiro, Y., R. Sakai, M. Toyofuku, I. Sawada, T. Nakajima-Kambe, H. Uchiyama, and N. Nomura. 2009. Outer membrane machinery and alginate synthesis regulators control membrane vesicle production in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. J. Bacteriol. 191:7509–7519.
- van Loosdrecht, M.C., J. Lyklema, W. Norde, G. Schraa, and A.J.B. Zehnder. 1987. The role of bacterial cell wall hydrophobicity in adhesion. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 53:1893–1897.
- Wang, H., M. Sodagari, Y. Chen, X. He, B.Z. Newby, and L.K. Ju. 2011. Initial bacterial attachment in slow flowing systems: effects of cell and substrate surface properties. Colloids Surf. B: Biointerfaces. 87:415–422.
- Wolfgang, M.C., V.T. Lee, M.E. Gilmore, and S. Lory. 2003. Coordinate regulation of bacterial virulence genes by a novel adenylate cyclase-dependent signaling pathway. Dev. Cell. 4:253– 263.
- Zita, A., and M. Hermansson. 1997. Effects of bacterial cell surface structures and hydrophobicity on attachment to activated sludge flocs. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 63:1168–1170.
- Zobell, C.E. 1943. The effect of solid surfaces upon bacterial activity. J. Bacteriol. 46:39–56.