Customizing a Program for Older Adults Living with Frailty in Primary Care

Journal of Primary Care & Community Health Volume 12: 1–7 © The Author(s) 2021 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10.1177/21501327211034807 journals.sagepub.com/home/jpc SAGE

Jananee Rasiah^{1,2}, Tammy O'Rourke^{2,3}, Brian Dompé³, Darryl Rolfson¹, Beth Mansell³, Rachel Pereira³, Titus Chan³, Karen McDonald³, and Anne Summach^{1,3}

Abstract

Coordination of primary care is essential to improving care delivery within health systems, especially for older adults with increased health/social needs. A program jointly funded by the Canadian Foundation for Healthcare Improvement and Canadian Frailty Network, was implemented in a nurse practitioner-led clinic to address the gap in frailty care for older adults. The clinic was situated within a health and social services organization with a mandate to enhance the quality of life of older adults living in the community. Through this program, a frailty assessment pathway and social/clinical prescriptions were implemented with necessary adaptations as a result of COVID-19.

Keywords

frailty, pilot, older adults, primary care, social needs, health promotion

Dates received: 15 March 2021; revised: 5 July 2021; accepted: 6 July 2021.

Introduction

Patients with complex care needs, including older adults, suffer from multiple chronic conditions; cognitive, functional, and mental health impairments; drug interactions; or social vulnerabilities.¹⁻³ Healthcare expenditure on average for older adults living in Canada was approximately 4 times more than that of the general population between 2017 and 2018, at \$12 000 per person.⁴ Yet, 45% of older adults cannot access timely appointments with primary care providers, 32% struggle to secure transportation needed to access services, 39% visited an emergency room in the last 2 years, and only 16% of those with chronic conditions have received comprehensive follow-up.⁵

The Government of Alberta reports that 4000 Albertans turn 65 every month with a projected steady increase to more than 1 million by 2035, placing a further strain on primary care.⁶ Calls-to-action for primary care highlighted that better coordination of health and social services, effectively managed transitions across care settings, and implementation of team-based care models with professionals working to their full scope of practice were imperative.⁷⁻¹⁰ An example of this model is at Sage, where a Nurse Practitioner (NP) led clinic (herein referred to as Sage clinic) was established alongside social care services, senior-driven programming, and community-based outreach in Edmonton, Alberta. NPs are registered health professionals who assess, diagnose, treat, order diagnostic tests, prescribe medications, make referrals to specialists, and manage overall care.¹¹ In 2019, 741 older adults who were under resourced including those with low income, facing housing issues, without a primary care provider, or living with multiple comorbidities accessed the Sage clinic for health and social services. They received ad-hoc frailty assessments and inconsistent follow-up. Therefore, implementing standardized frailty assessments and follow-up care for older adults became an organizational priority. The builDing Resilience And respondinG tO seNior FraiLtY (DRAGONFLY) pilot program was conceived and implemented at Sage clinic with successful funding from the Advancing Frailty Care in Community (AFCC) Collaborative (2019-2022).

¹University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada ²Athabasca University, Athabasca, AB, Canada ³Sage Seniors Association, Health and Social Services, Edmonton, AB, Canada

Corresponding Author:

Anne Summach, Faculty of Nursing, University of Alberta, 3-141 Edmonton Clinic Health Academy (ECHA), 11405 87 Avenue, Edmonton, AB T6G 1C9, Canada. Email: summach@ualberta.ca

Creative Commons Non Commercial CC BY-NC: This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits non-commercial use, reproduction and distribution of the work without further permission provided the original work is attributed as specified on the SAGE and Open Access pages (https://us.sagepub.com/en-us/nam/open-access-at-sage). The aim of DRAGONFLY was to develop an innovative collaborative model to implement frailty care for older adults in the community. However, an unprecedented challenge with the abrupt emergence of 2019 coronavirus disease (COVID-19) necessitated an immediate restructuring of the DRAGONFLY program. In the following sections, we describe the methods, results, and implications of establishing and adapting the DRAGONFLY program within a pandemic context.

Methods

Experience-Based Co-Design

The DRAGONFLY program was developed to benefit older adults living with frailty using the experience-based codesign quality improvement (QI) approach¹² that enabled Sage staff, senior healthcare professionals, a Canadian Frailty Network (CFN) fellow, a patient advisor (older adult living in the community), end-users, and other stakeholders from community programs to co-design the care pathway together. Exclusion criteria to DRAGONFLY were older adults: (1) <50 or >94 years of age and (2) identified cognitive concerns.

DRAGONFLY Pilot Program

The DRAGONFLY program was launched in mid-February 2020 with the following objectives: (1) standardize frailty identification; (2) improve comprehensive geriatric assessment pathway for older adults with moderate frailty (see definition below); and (3) implement social/clinical prescriptions (see Figure 1). The expected outcome of the program was to obtain lower frailty or the same frailty assessment scores at follow-up (3-, 6-, and 12-months), as a result of implementing social/clinical prescriptions.

However, in March 2020, the pandemic took effect, and in-person visits at the Sage clinic ceased. In response, the DRAGONFLY team adapted all in-person assessments in the original pathway to a virtual/phone format. The Clinical Frailty Scale (CFS) and Resilience and Vulnerability Assessment Tool (RVAT) (see Supplemental Appendix A) were administered by phone, but the Edmonton Frailty Scale (EFS) could only be administered in-person.¹⁶ An article about the RVAT development and validation will be published elsewhere. The CFS is validated for in-person frailty screening based on clinical judgment¹³ but adaptations were allowed for self-report assessments of frailty (see Supplemental Appendix B), and this version of the CFS is not validated.

Referral, Assessment, and Intervention Pathway

As shown in Figure 2, older adults either self-referred or were referred by external/internal service providers for an assessment where 9 CFS questions were asked to assess older adults' frailty levels (see Table 1). If older adults scored between 4 and 6 (vulnerable to moderate level of frailty), they were referred to the DRAGONFLY Connector who completed additional assessments using the RVAT. The identified vulnerabilities using the RVAT triggered social/ clinical prescriptions based on severity criteria on a continuous scale with a cumulative total of 66. The minimum cut off of 2 out of 66 was determined as a trigger for at least 1 social or clinical prescription based on prior administration of the RVAT (will be described in detail in the subsequent publication on the development/validation of the RVAT). Social prescriptions included services targeted at recreation, financial or housing limitations, or to increase older adults' resilience (see Figure 3). Older adults paneled with the Sage Clinic or those without a primary care provider were managed by NPs for clinical prescriptions. If older adults had pre-existing primary care providers, the DRAGONFLY Connector referred them back to their provider for additional assessment of noted concerns.

Preliminary Results and Analysis

As of April 2021, 61 older adults were identified as vulnerable to moderately frail as per the CFS, of which 54 proceeded with the intake RVAT assessment. Seven older adults opted for usual care to address psychosocial needs through Sage in place of the RVAT assessment. Of the 54 who completed an initial RVAT, only 35 completed the follow-up assessment because 5 have not yet reached the 3-month follow-up mark, 5 have experienced significant cognitive decline after the initial RVAT, and 4 were lost to follow-up. At follow-up, there was a decrease in level of frailty and an improvement in resiliency denoted by lower RVAT scores across the age groups between 1.06 and 2.67 (see Table 2; Figure 4). All 54 older adults had RVAT scores between 5 and 30 (see Figure 5) which triggered the need for social/clinical prescriptions based on individual needs identified through the RVAT. The majority of the social prescriptions were related to life enrichment services (n=63), housing (n=45), and home supports (n=27) at intake and follow-up (see Table 3). Most of the clinical prescriptions were related to NP services (n=31), mental health services (n=23), and primary care provider attachment (n=18) at intake and follow-up (see Table 3).

Implications

Despite current pandemic challenges, DRAGONFLY was able to take flight. In keeping with QI objectives, older adults with moderate levels of frailty received assessments and social/clinical prescriptions aimed at improving their resilience. However, challenges were present during the first year of operations for DRAGONFLY. Funding loss for the NP-led clinic at Sage was to take effect on April 1st,

DRAGONFLY

builDing ResilienceAndrespondinG tO seNiors' FraiLtY

DRAGONFLY is a quality improvement initiative started by Sage Seniors Association and is funded by the Advancing Frailty Care in the Community Collaborative. The project aims to reduce vulnerability and increase resiliency of community seniors through frailty reduction.

Its core elements are:

- Frailty Identification Community seniors are screened for frailty using the Clinical Frailty Scale (CFS).^{1,2} This 9-point screening tool broadly identifies level of frailty in less than 5 minutes through a series of simple questions. Older adults are identified as vulnerable to moderately frail, which corresponds to a CFS score between 4-6, are then referred along the Sage DRAGONFLY pathway for further assessment.
- Geriatric Assessment Completion of an inhouse developed Resilience/Vulnerability Assessment Tool (RVAT) and the Edmonton Frail Scale (EFS) by a DRAGONFLY Connector and Nurse Practitioners helps to clarify specific risks and needs for intervention planning.
- Tailored Interventions Clinical and social prescriptions are developed and offered to those who are at risk of frailty-related decline. Monitoring for increased resiliency and reduced vulnerability is also completed.

References:

1.K.Rockwood et al. Using the Clinical Frailty Scale in allocating scarce health care resources. Canadian Geriatrics Journal, 2020, 23(3). 2.NHS Specialized Clinical Frailty Network. CFS Training, 2018. Available from: <u>https://www.scfn.org.uk/clinical-frailty-scale-training</u> 3.M. Abbasi et al. Identification and management of frailty in the primary care setting. CMAJ, 2018, **190** (8).

Our Process

- Intake Seniors can self refer to the center for resources, be referred by other providers, or contact Sage's health services program.
- Assessment Initial phone CFS identifies seniors qualifying for quality improvement project. Secondary assessments highlight determinants of health that require support. Nonclinical personnel administer these.
- Intervention For determinants that have obvious vulnerabilities, seniors are connected with resources to improve their resilience. These occur within the community, not a clinic.
- Follow-up Repeat frailty and resilience screening measure success in ameliorating factors contributing to vulnerability.

What does this mean for you?

Edmonton community-based senior serving organizations routinely welcome seniors - a unique opportunity for frailty identification among our community seniors. We would like to partner with you to increase frailty screening in our community.



Handout developed in partnership with students from the University of Alberta's School of Public Health

Figure I. DRAGONFLY program.

2020 but was superseded by COVID-19 in March. It was unclear what capacity Sage had to implement DRAGONFLY without a health program at Sage.

The restrictions as a result of the pandemic, such as no access to in-person health and social care services at Sage and only urgent home visits meant that the DRAGONFLY



Figure 2. Assessment pathway.

Table I. Adapted CFS Items.

Screening CFS statement	Score
I am terminally ill and at the end of my life	9
I am completely dependent for all of my personal care	8
I need help with all of my personal care	7
I need assistance with out of home activities, require help with bathing or medications, or struggle with stairs	6
I need physical or practical assistance with finances, transportation, or heavy housework	5
I am more tired than I used to be, and have more trouble obtaining supports than before, but can still coordinate things myself	4
My health conditions are well managed, but I am generally inactive. I may require advice on how to obtain supports with finances, transportation, or heavy housework	3
I am well, but only occasionally active. I can manage finances, transportation, and heavy housework on my own I am active, energetic, and exercise regularly	2 I



Figure 3. Intervention pathway.

		CFS*				RVAT				RVAT					
	Intake (N=61)				Intake (N=54)				3-Month Follow-up (N=35)						
Age groups	Ν	Mean	SD	Variance	IR	Ν	Mean	SD	Variance	IR	Ν	Mean	SD	Variance	IR
50-64 years	13	4.62	0.77	0.59	Ι	12	19.67	9.54	90.97	16	7	17.00	7.96	63.33	12
65-79 years	35	4.63	0.77	0.59	I	31	17.06	6.26	39.20	7	22	16.00	3.98	15.81	6
80-94 years	13	4.92	0.86	0.74	2	11	15.18	3.66	13.36	6	6	12.83	3.97	15.77	6

Table 2. Descriptive Statistics for CFS and RVAT.

Abbreviations: SD, standard deviation; IR, interquartile range.

CFS* score between 4 and 6 indicative of vulnerable to moderate levels of frailty.



Figure 4. RVAT scores per age group.

team had to modify the program. The DRAGONFLY budget was reallocated. Two full-time DRAGONFLY Connectors (social workers) were hired, to provide stability after the health clinic funding drew to a close. One NP was seconded from a partner academic institution 1 day a week, so that oversight of the program continued. The electronic medical record was redesigned to allow for management of social needs and processes, thereby improving access to timely information across disciplines and comprehensive reporting to support research.

Concurrent validation of the tools to assess frailty and resilience/vulnerability was no longer possible because the

CFS was adapted to be administered over the phone, the EFS was not administered, and the RVAT development and validation study was yet to be published. At best, face validity is evident in these tools that were used. As well, EFS-AC (for acute care) was developed and can be administered via telephone as performance-based items were replaced based on previous work by Hilmer et al¹⁴ and Rose et al.¹⁵ The EFS-AC will be considered as part of the assessment pathway within the program in the near future and will need to be validated in the community setting.

The DRAGONFLY team collaborated with a variety of stakeholders along the way, including academic institutions,



Figure 5. Distribution of RVAT scores.

Table 3.	Social and	l Clinical	Prescriptions	at Intake	and Follow-up.
----------	------------	------------	---------------	-----------	----------------

Social prescriptions	Referrals (n)	Clinical prescriptions	Referrals (n)	
Housing and financial assistance	45	NP services	31	
Home supports	27	Mental health services	23	
Virtual programming and life enrichment	63	Primary care provider attachment	18	
Safe house for elder abuse	3	Health navigation	13	
Transportation services	10	Physiotherapy	10	
LGBTQ2S+ programming	I	Referral to primary care provider	5	
Caregiver supports	5	Community geriatric psychiatric services	7	
Support groups	I	Pharmacist	3	
Hoarding supports	I	Home care	3	
Multicultural health brokers' services	I			
Volunteer services	3			

students, patient advisors, community organizations, and the larger Sage team. Although the original aim of this program was to develop an innovative collaborative model to implement frailty care for older adults in the community, the challenges were so significant that the program had to be modified and tool validity and data collection were compromised. Preliminary results do show that the overall RVAT scores were reduced by 1.06 to 2.67 points at 3-month follow-up across age groups, which implies improved resilience and decreased frailty. As well, data has to be stratified further to determine and report on which clinical/social prescriptions can be attributed to this improvement. Automated reports generated from the electronic medical record on clinical/social prescription data are limited due to a lack of differentiation between intake and follow-up. These preliminary data interpretations are limited and not generalizable because the RVAT is not validated and the CFS has been modified as we described above. Yet, our team believes it is important to publish findings from a pilot study like this, to describe real world challenges with research in clinical practice environments and highlight pragmatic decisions that were taken. Solutions to these limitations are being explored and modifications planned, so that more accurate and meaningful data can be reported to measure the impact of the DRAGONFLY project.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: Jananee Rasiah, PhD Candidate, Faculty of Nursing, University of Alberta held and was supported by a Canadian Frailty Network Interdisciplinary Fellowship from 2019-2020.

ORCID iDs

Jananee Rasiah D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2987-1200 Titus Chan D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0252-8856 Anne Summach D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9174-697X

Supplemental Material

Supplemental material for this article is available online.

References

 Grant RW, Ashburner JM, Hong CS, Chang Y, Barry MJ, Atlas SJ. Defining patient complexity from the primary care physician's perspective: a cohort study. *Ann Intern Med.* 2011;155:797-804.

- Schaink A, Kuluski K, Lyons R, et al. A scoping review and thematic classification of patient complexity: offering a unifying framework. *J Comorb*. 2012;2(1):1-9.
- Smith SM, Soubhi H, Fortin M, Hudon C, O'Dowd T. Managing patients with multimorbidity: systematic review of interventions in primary care and community settings. *BMJ*. 2012;345:e5205.
- Gibbard R. Meeting the Care Needs of Canada's Aging Population—July 2018. The Conference Board of Canada; 2018.
- 5. Canadian Medical Association. *The State of Seniors Health Care in Canada*. Canadian Medical Association; 2016.
- Government of Alberta. *Population Projections: Alberta and Census Divisions, 2020–2046.* Treasury Board and Finance; 2020.
- Rudoler D, Peckham A, Grudniewicz A, Marchildon G. Coordinating primary care services: a case of policy layering. *Health Policy*. 2019;123(2):215-221.
- 8. Starfield B. The future of primary care: refocusing the system. *N Engl J Med*. 2008;359:2087-2091.
- Starfield B. Toward international primary care reform. CMAJ. 2009;180(11):1091-1092.
- Shortell SM. Bridging the divide between health and health care. JAMA. 2013;309:1121-1122.
- College and Association of Registered Nurses of Alberta. Scope of Practice for Nurse Practitioners. College and Association of Registered Nurses of Alberta; 2017.
- The King's Fund. Experience-Based Co-Design. Working With Patients to Improve Health Care. The Kings Fund; 2011.
- Rockwood K, Theou O. Using the clinical frailty scale in allocating scarce health care resources. *Can Geriatr J.* 2020; 23(3):254-259.
- Hilmer SN, Perera V, Mitchell S, et al. The assessment of frailty in older people in acute care. *Australas J Ageing*. 2009;28(4):182-188.
- Rose M, Yang A, Welz M, Masik A, Staples M. Novel modification of the Reported Edmonton Frail Scale. *Australas J Ageing*. 2018;37(4):305-308.
- Rolfson DB, Majumdar SR, Tsuyuki RT, Tahir A, Rockwood K. Validity and reliability of the Edmonton Frail Scale. *Age Ageing*. 2006;35:526-529.