MicroRNAs as therapeutic targets in cardiovascular disease

Bernhard Laggerbauer¹ and Stefan Engelhardt^{1,2}

¹Institute of Pharmacology and Toxicology, Technical University of Munich (TUM), Munich, Germany. ²DZHK (German Centre for Cardiovascular Research), Partner Site Munich Heart Alliance, Munich, Germany.

The discovery of microRNAs and their role in diseases was a breakthrough that inspired research into microRNAs as drug targets. Cardiovascular diseases are an area in which limitations of conventional pharmacotherapy are highly apparent and where microRNA-based drugs have appreciably progressed into preclinical and clinical testing. In this Review, we summarize the current state of microRNAs as therapeutic targets in the cardiovascular system. We report recent advances in the identification and characterization of microRNAs, their manipulation and clinical translation, and discuss challenges and perspectives toward clinical application.

Three decades ago, a small noncoding RNA in Caenorhabditis elegans was found to regulate gene expression at the posttranscriptional level (1, 2). What followed was the identification of numerous microRNAs (also termed miRNAs) in higher eukaryotes, and the finding that they regulate the majority of mammalian mRNAs (3). Nonetheless, the question how many microRNAs exist in humans is still a matter of debate. Of the 1973 human microRNAs annotated in mirBase 22.1 (4), many do not withstand curation for stringent criteria such as expression, sequence constraints, or evidence of productive precursor processing. Accordingly, the number of functional microRNAs in humans appears to range from 556 (mir-GeneDB 2.0; ref. 5) to 758 (6). Since most microRNAs only show effects at sufficiently high expression in tissue (see below), this further reduces the fraction of functionally relevant microRNAs. A tentative assumption may thus be that up to 150 microRNAs have a critical role in the cardiovascular system. Of these, 30-35 microRNAs have been comprehensively analyzed and validated in experimental models in vivo (Table 1). The clinical development of many of these candidates has begun to reveal their potential, and several more candidates are expected to follow.

Characteristics and features of microRNAs

Biogenesis, stability, and strand bias of microRNAs

The biogenesis of microRNAs and their maturation have been addressed by excellent reviews (7, 8) and is illustrated in Figure 1A. After processing to a duplex of 21 to 22 nucleotides in length each, one strand, termed the guide strand, becomes part of the RNAinduced silencing complex (RISC), whereas the passenger strand (or *-strand) undergoes accelerated degradation (refs. 9, 10, and Figure 1A). If both strands are maintained, they can adopt individual functions, as demonstrated for cardiovascular miR-21 and miR-126 (11, 12). Another exception are microRNA strands that localize to the nucleus, where they function in unusual manners (12, 13).

Within the RISC, microRNAs associate with the endonuclease argonaute 2 (AGO2) and other proteins. Originally discovered in the context of RNA interference, the RISC can accommodate small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) or microRNAs, yet how they act therein differs. Whereas siRNAs require a full match to their target sequence, mammalian microRNAs depend only on a so-called seed sequence of 7 to 8 nucleotides that lies close to the 5' end and has full target complementarity. Accessory pairing beyond the seed sequence can support target recognition, but only a fraction of microRNAs seem to depend on these interactions (8, 14, 15). MicroRNA target sites in mRNA (termed micro-RNA response elements, MREs) mostly lie within the 3'-UTR and less frequently in 5'-UTRs or coding regions (8, 16). Unlike lncRNAs or circRNAs, which can have various mechanisms of action, microRNAs have two clearly defined activities: they induce either degradation (the dominant activity) or translational silencing of target mRNAs (8).

The microRNA portfolio is complemented by nongenetic variants, termed isomiRs, which result from alternative microRNA processing, nucleotide addition, or editing (17, 18). Many cardiovascular isomiRs exist (19, 20), with levels fluctuating in disease (19). For the isomiRs of miR-487b-3p and miR-411-5p, distinct targetomes of variant and template have been revealed (21, 22).

At the other end of a microRNA's life cycle stands its enzymatic degradation. Most microRNAs have considerable longer halflives than mRNAs, yet there is considerable variability, depending on microRNA strand and sequence, cell type, and *trans*-acting factors (refs. 23, 24, and Figure 1A). Among the latter are also microRNA targets. Although mechanistic details of target-directed microRNA degradation (TDMD) have been resolved (8, 25, 26) and TDMD's significance demonstrated in vivo (27), it is difficult to identify mRNAs that engage in TDMD.

<sup>Conflict of interest: SE is an inventor on patents related to miRNA-based therapeutics filed by the University of Würzburg and the Technical University of Munich (US8592389B2, WO 2021/205032 A1), and is founder of rnatics GmbH.
Copyright: © 2022, Laggerbauer et al. This is an open access article published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.
Reference information:</sup> *J Clin Invest*. 2022;132(11):e159179.
https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI159179.

MicroRNA	Organism	Disease condition and deregulation in tissue	e Key experimental findings in vivo	References
miR-1-3p/miR-133a-3p cluster	Human, rat, rabbit	↑ CAD, ↑ MI, ↓ AAC, ↑ Pacemaker-induced tachycardia	Overexpression prevents cardiac hypertrophy; deficiency prevents ventricular arrhythmia	
miR-15-5p (family, incl. miR-16-5p, miR-195-5p)	Human, mouse, pig	\uparrow HF, cardiomyopathy, aortic stenosis, \uparrow TAC, \uparrow I/R	miR-15b inhibition prevents cardiac remodeling	
	Mouse	↓ I/R	miR-15 inhibition increases cardiac myocyte proliferation	
miR-17-5p	Mouse	↑ I/R	miR-17 inhibition prevents cardiac myocyte apoptosis and reduces the infarct area	
miR-21-5p	Human, mouse, pig Mouse, rat	↑ HF, ↑ TAC (mouse), I/R (pig) ↑ Vein grafting, ↑ carotid injury	miR-21 inhibition or KO prevents and retains cardiac function miR-21 inhibition or KO prevents vascular remodeling	
miR-22-3p	Mouse	↑ Catecholamine-induced stress, ↑ TAC	miR-22 deficiency prevents cardiac remodeling	(168, 169)
·	Mouse	↓ Femoral artery injury	miR-22 elevation inhibits neointima formation	(135)
miR-24-3p	Human, mouse	↑ HF, ↑ MI	miR-24 inhibition reduces cardiac hypertrophy and pulmonary congestion	(162) (170)
	Mouse	↓MI	miR-24 decoy improves post-MI cardiac recovery	(171)
miR-25-3p	Human, mouse	↑ HF, ↑ TAC	miR-25 inhibition improves heart function	(136)
	Mouse	↓ TAC	miR-25 inhibition exacerbates cardiac remodeling	(128)
miR-26a-5p	Human, dog, mouse Mouse	↓ AF, ↓ Tachypacing ↑↓ (dynamic) MI	Elevation of miR-26a reduces, and antimiR-26a increases AF vulnerability in mice	
miR-29h-3n (and family)	Mouse	↑ (transient) TAC	Inhibitor prevents cardiac remodeling improves function	(75)
	Human, mouse	MI	Inhibition moderately increases cardiac collagen levels	(82)
	Human, mouse	↓ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	miR-29 inhibition prevents aneurysm formation	(83, 84)
	Human, mouse	. X rav–induced vasculopathv	miR-29b inhibition reduces vascular inflammation	(173)
miR-33a/b-5p	Mouse, monkey	LDLR ^{-/-} ; Western diet, ↑ High carb/moderate cholesterol	AntimiR-33 reduces atherogenic plaques, increases plasma HDL	(130, 174) (132)
	Mouse	High-fat diet	Hypertriglyceridemia, hepatic steatosis after inhibition	(175)
miR-34a-5p	Human, mouse	↑ (age-dependent), ↑ MI, ↑ vascular calcification	miR-34a deficiency improves survival of CMs/SMCs, revascularization, and prevents vascular calcification	(176, 177)
miR-92a-3p	Mouse, pig	↑ MI, ↑ limb ischemia	Deficiency reduces infarct size, preserves function	(45, 85, 134)
	Mouse	\uparrow LDLR ^{-/-} , \uparrow Femoral artery injury model	miR-92a deficiency improves vascular integrity, reduces atherosclerosis, inflammation, and EC autophagy	(178, 179)
miR-103/107-3p	Mouse	↑ Endothelial dicer KO, ApoE ^{-/-} , I/R	Inhibition of miR-103 or its interaction with IncRNA WDR59 prevents atherosclerosis in ApoE ^{-/-} mice. Inhibition in I/R model reduces infarct size and prevents CM necrosis	(180–182)
miR-126-5p	Mouse	МІ, ↓ АроЕ-/-	miR-126 deficiency impairs vascular integrity and revascularization, and exacerbates atherosclerosis	(183–185)
	Human, mouse	\downarrow Atherosclerotic plaques, \downarrow EC-specific KO of ATG5	The 5p strand sustains endothelial integrity in the context of high shear stress and autophagy	(12)
miR-132-3p	Mouse, pig	↑ TAC, MI	Inhibition prevents cardiac remodeling, retains function	(46, 87)
miR-146a-5p	Human, mouse	↑ PPCM	Inhibition of miR-146a in mice prevents PPCM	
miR-148a-3p	Human, mouse	\downarrow HF, \downarrow Concentric/ \uparrow eccentric cardiomyopathy	miR-148a inhibition increases cardiac remodeling, (elevation: vice versa, and preserves cardiac function)	
miR-155-5p	Human, mouse	\uparrow Viral myocarditis, AngII infusion, TAC	miR-155 inhibition prevents cardiac inflammation, hypertrophy, and functional impairment	(70, 91)
	Mouse	↓ Hind limb ischemia	miR-155 deficiency impairs arteriogenesis	(93)
miR-181b-5p	Human, mouse	↓ CAD, ApoE ^{-/-}	A miR-181b mimic interferes with inflammatory signaling in ECs and reduces atherosclerosis	
miR-199a-3p	Mouse, pig	I, I/R Short-term elevation is cardioprotective, continued overexpression causes fatal arrhythmia		(28, 41, 47)
miR-199b-5p	Human, mouse	↑ HF, ↑ CnA-transgenic mice, ↑ TAC, ↑ MI miR-199b inhibition prevents cardiac remodeling in CnA-transgenic mice and after MI		(188, 189)
miR-208a-3p	Rat, pig	MI, HF (by hypertension), AngII infusion	AntimiR prevents cardiac remodeling, preserves function	(48, 111)
miR-214-3p	Human, mouse	↑ HF, ↑ I/R	miR-214 deficiency impairs CM survival, increases cardiac fibrosis, and reduces cardiac contractility	
miR-221/222-3p	Human, mouse	\downarrow DCM or aortic stenosis, \downarrow AngII infusion, I/R	Deficiency exacerbates cardiac fibrosis, miR-222 elevation in CM protects from cardiac remodeling	(191, 192)
miR-223-3p	Human, mouse	↓ Diabetes mellitus	KO mice show intima hyperplasia (mimics: reciprocal)	(193)
miR-328-3p	Human, dog, mouse	↑ AF, ↑ Tachypacing	Inhibition in mice reduced susceptibility to tachypacing	(194)
miR-378a-3p	Human, mouse	↓ DCM, ↓ TAC	Cardiac overexpression prevents cardiac remodeling	(74)
miR-590-3p	Mouse	MI	Promotes CM proliferation, improves function	(28, 41)

Table 1. MicroRNAs with critical roles in the cardiovascular system, based on deregulation in disease or disease models and effects of their manipulation in vivo

AF, atrial fibrillation; AngII, angiotensin II; CAD, coronary artery disease; CnA, human calcineurin subunit A; DCM, dilated cardiomyopathy; HF, heart failure; I/R, cardiac ischemia/reperfusion; CM, cardiac myocyte; EC, endothelial cell; KO, knockout; SMC, smooth muscle cell; MI; myocardial infarction; PPCM, peripartum cardiomyopathy; AAC/TAC, ascending/transverse aortic constriction.



Figure 1. MicroRNA life cycle, and identification of microRNAs and their targets. (A) Canonical processing, functional activation, mechanism of action, and degradation pathways of microRNAs. Canonical microRNA biogenesis starts from larger hairpin RNA molecules (pri-miRNAs), which are generated by RNA Pol II transcription of microRNA genes or clusters, or which occur as part of introns. A microprocessor complex that contains the endonuclease Drosha, the DiGeorge critical region 8 protein (DGCR8), and other factors then cleaves these pri-miRNAs. The resulting pre-miRNA is exported to the cytoplasm, where the nuclease Dicer tailors it to 21 to 22 nucleotides in length. There are also noncanonical mechanisms of microRNA biogenesis, some of which bypass the microprocessor complex or Dicer. After processing to a duplex of 21-22 nucleotides in length each, one strand, termed the guide strand, becomes part of the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC), whereas the passenger strand (or *-strand) undergoes accelerated degradation. If both strands are maintained, they can adopt individual functions, as demonstrated for cardiovascular miR-21 and miR-126 (11, 12). Another exception are microRNA strands that localize to the nucleus, where they function in unusual manners (12, 13). Degradation of microRNAs after modification by terminal uridyltransferases (TUTases) (155). Mechanisms of target-directed microRNA degradation (TDMD) have been resolved, including the involvement of ubiquitin ligases (25, 26). (**B**) Routes toward the identification and validation of disease-relevant cardiovascular microRNAs. (**C**) Approaches for the identification and validation of disease-relevant cardiovascular microRNAs.

General approaches to manipulate microRNAs

Synthetic oligonucleotides. The unique mechanism of action of microRNAs makes them ideally druggable by synthetic oligonucleotides that mimic or inhibit their activity. MicroRNA mimics are applied as double strands to make them a substrate for Dicer and promote integration of one strand into the RISC. The use of single-stranded microRNA mimics (28) is more an exception than the rule. Antisense oligonucleotides (ASOs) for microRNA inhibitors (antimiRs) are applied as only a single strand and do not seem to become part of the RISC.

Chemical modification of oligonucleotides has become an imperative not only to reduce nuclease sensitivity and rapid renal clearance, but also to optimize delivery (29). This also applies to microRNA mimics and inhibitors (30, 31). Replacement of phosphodiesters by phosphorothioate is the most common backbone variation in therapeutic ASOs, prolonging serum half-life by orders of magnitude (31). Modification of the ribose 2'OH group, commonly by methyl (2'O-Me), methoxyethyl (2'O-MOE), or fluoro (2'-F), further increases stability (29, 31). The type, number, and positioning of modifications within microRNA mimics or

siRNAs affects their ability to associate with the RISC. Whereas they mostly contain 2'O ribose modifications throughout the molecule, phosphorothioates are only tolerated at terminal positions (29, 32). AntimiRs, by contrast, typically contain multiple phosphorothioates, together with extensive ribose 2'O modification — either as MOE or F/MOE groups, or by alternating 2'deoxy ribose with 2'-to-4' bridging nucleic acids that favor thermostable microRNA binding (31). Among these, locked nucleic acids (LNAs) are most frequently chosen because of their favorable nuclease stability, target affinity, pharmacokinetics, and tolerability (30). LNA antimiRs are composed of 12 to 16 nucleotides and contain interspersed DNA nucleotides in a number and positioning that promotes sequestration of microRNAs, but not RNase H cleavage. An extreme variation are tinymiRs composed of 8 seed-matching nucleotides, but their efficacy appears to fall behind that of longer antimiRs (33, 34). Cholesterol conjugation, a means to increase membrane penetrance, is part of the design of so-called antagomirs (35), also in the cardiovascular context (Table 2).

Expression systems in vivo. Next to synthetic molecules, expression systems offer additional opportunities when microRNA elevation or genetic inactivation is intended. Genetic methods to manipulate protein-coding genes, e.g., CRISPR/Cas9, transgenesis, or expression from plasmids, are also applicable to micro-RNAs. Adeno-associated viruses (AAVs) are particularly versatile tools for these purposes, and for therapeutic development; organotropic serotypes, long-lasting expression, and a favorable risk profile are strong arguments in their favor (36). Two AAV-based gene therapeutics have received market approval (voretigene-neparvovec, onasemnogene-abeparvovec), and others have demonstrated their efficacy in large-animal models, including cardiovascular disease models (37–39).

Selecting microRNAs for therapeutic development. Synthetic libraries of microRNA mimics or inhibitors can be the first step to select microRNAs by cell-culture-based screening (Figure 1B). Principally, these approaches either assess phenotypic effects or display which microRNAs can regulate a target of interest.

A fundamental advantage of functional screening is the possibility to identify microRNAs within their disease-relevant cellular context. Many phenotypic assays are relatively straightforward (e.g., assays for cell survival or morphology changes), quick, and adaptable to high throughput, as also demonstrated with cardiovascular cells (40–42).

Reporter assays, beyond their canonical use to validate MREs, are also suitable to identify microRNAs that regulate a defined mRNA. Typically, a cDNA for luciferase or a fluorescent protein is fused to the natural 3'-UTR of this mRNA, and exogenous micro-RNA mimic or inhibitor will then repress or relieve its expression. It should be cautioned that reporter assays usually ignore mRNAs with MREs that lie outside the 3'-UTR. Another limitation is that they do not mirror physiological microRNA-to-MRE stoichiometries and are thus at risk of errors.

Understanding microRNA deregulation in disease may further identify microRNAs with potential therapeutic relevance. Tissue samples from patients or from disease models in animals are valuable sources for these analyses (Figure 1B). Microarrays or small RNA sequencing (small RNA-Seq) are most widely used in this regard for the unbiased identification of microRNA candidates. Both enable a near-complete detection of basically any annotated miRnome (i.e., the entirety of microRNAs in a cell type or tissue).

Functional validation in cardiovascular disease models

The cardiovascular system offers specific opportunities for the therapeutic development of microRNAs, such as the applicability of noninvasive methods (e.g., Doppler sonography or electrocardiography) and experimental models that faithfully recapitulate human cardiovascular disease.

Small animals, particularly mice, are still fundamental for the validation of microRNAs (Figure 1B) since their cardiovascular system shares substantial similarity with that of humans (43), and they are also a source of primary cells. However, some disparities between rodent and human cardiovascular systems (e.g., heart rate and contraction kinetics) or certain invasive routes of drug administration may necessitate large animal models (43). Pigs, for example, have been used in several microRNA-targeting cardiovascular studies (44–48).

Cells reprogrammed from human induced pluripotent cells (hiPSCs) contain the individual donor genome and are thus helpful for modeling human hereditary cardiovascular disorders. Cardiac myocytes obtained by reprogramming hiPSCs also form contraction-competent tissue suitable for drug testing (49). Tissue explants are another system with a human genetic background. Cardiac slices or aortic tissue from human patients maintain their organotypical features in culture (50, 51) and can be manipulated by viral transduction (52, 53), transfection (54), or used in coculture experiments (55, 56).

Omics technologies have become essential for microRNA characterization, since they examine, in an agnostic, unbiased manner, the effects of a microRNA throughout entire gene expression profiles and help to identify microRNA targets. RNA sequencing from lysed tissue (termed bulk RNA sequencing or RNA-Seq) combines this technology with relatively easy access to biosamples. One caveat of using tissue is that deregulated mRNAs might be obscured if the respective cell type is outnumbered by others where this mRNA is unaltered. In this case, magnetic cell separation (MACS), fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS), or a combination of both performed upstream of RNA-Seq enables the determination of cell-specific expression profiles and reveals low-abundance mRNAs.

Continuing this idea, single-cell RNA-Seq (scRNA-Seq) based on microfluidic separation and genetic barcoding offers the opportunity to determine transcriptomes of individual cells, e.g., from healthy (57) and failing human heart (58). For scRNA-Seq of miRnomes, a variety of work flows have been developed and validated in a comparative study (59), and we may expect that one or a few of them will become a broadly applied technical consensus.

Next to RNA-Seq, proteome analysis bears tremendous potential for the diagnosis and analysis of cardiovascular disease. Proteome data sets from patients have been generated from plasma and also from tissue (60-62). Proteome changes can now be assessed from single cells (63), allowing deep insight into pathophysiological changes. Some microRNAs suppress their targets at the level of translation, and proteomics would be able to identify these targets. The correlation of proteome and miRnome data can

Therapeutic agent/ drug name	Indication	Clinical phase	Study no./status	Preclinical/clinical study outcome	Sponsor	Related cardiovascular studies
miR-16-5p mimic (TargomiR)	Malignant pleural mesothelioma	Phase I	NCT02369198 (completed)	(195)	Asbestos Diseases Research Foundation	
miR-17-5p inhibitor (RGLS4326)	ADPKD	Phase lb	NCT04536688 (completed)	(144)	Regulus Therapeutics	(166)
miR-21-5p inhibitor Iademirsen (RG-012)	Alport's syndrome	Phase I Phase II	NCTO3373786 (completed) NCTO2855268 (ongoing)		Genzyme/Sanofi	(44, 77, 79, 81)
miR-34a-5p mimic (MRX-34)	Advanced cancer	Phase I	NCT01829971 (terminated due to serious (107) adverse effects)		Mirna Therapeutics	(176, 177)
miR-29-3p mimic remlarsen (MRG-201)	Keloid scar formation	Phase I Phase II	NCT02603224 (completed) NCT03601052 (completed)		miRagen Therapeutics (now Viridian Therapeutics)	(75, 83, 84, 173)
miR-92a-3p inhibitor (MRG-110)	Wound healing	Phase I Phase I Phase I	NCTO36O3431 (completed) NCTO3494712 (completed) EUDRA-CT 2017-004180-12 (completed)	(86)	miRagen Therapeutics (now Viridian Therapeutics)	(45, 85, 134)
miR-155-5p inhibitor cobomarsen (MRG-106)	Cutaneous T cell lymphoma	Phase I Phase II	NCT02580552 (completed) NCT03713320 (terminated for strategic reasons)	(151)	miRagen Therapeutics (now Viridian Therapeutics)	(91, 93)
miR-103/107-3p inhibitor (AZD4076)	T2D with NAFLD T2D with NASH	Phase I/IIa Phase I	NCT02826525 (halted for strategic reasons) NCT02612662 (halted for strategic reasons)		AstraZeneca	(182)
miR-122-5p inhibitor (RG-101)	HCV	Phase II Phase II Phase Ib Phase Ib	EudraCT 2015-004702-42 (completed) EudraCT 2015-001535-21 (completed) EudraCT 2013-002978-49 (completed) EudraCT 2016-002069-77 (completed)	(196) (197)	Regulus Therapeutics	
miR-122-5p inhibitor (miravirsen)	HCV	Phase I Phase I Phase I Phase IIa Phase IIa Phase IIa	NCT00688012 (completed) NCT00979927 (completed) NCT01646489 (completed) NCT01200420 EudraCT 2010-019057-17 (completed) NCT01727934 (unknown) NCT01872936 (unknown)	(106, 198, 199)	Santaris Pharma	
miR-132-3p inhibitor (CDP1321)	Stable heart failure	Phase I	NCTO4045405 (completed)	(46, 90)	Cardior Pharmaceuticals	(46, 87–90)

Table 2. Clinical studies on microRNAs with therapeutic potential in the CVS

ADPKD, autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease; T2D, type 2 diabetes; NAFLD, nonalcoholic fatty liver disease; NASH, nonalcoholic steatohepatitis; HCV, hepatitis C virus.

thus support and refine RNA-Seq data for a better understanding of microRNA-regulated networks.

MicroRNA characterization by identification of mRNA targets. For many years, bioinformatic prediction of seed-matching mRNAs has been the first step in microRNA target assessment (Figure 1C) (for completeness, it should be noted that noncanonical targets also exist; ref. 64). For most canonical microRNAs, tools like TargetScan predict multiple mRNA targets (3), which is expected, given the shortness of the seed region. An alternative to using the miRnome as a search space is to identify mRNAs that are deregulated in disease or upon microRNA manipulation (Figure 1C), followed by the analysis of MREs therein. A high degree of target validity can be established by coimmunoprecipitation of microRNAs with AGO-associated mRNAs, followed by sequence analysis. Comparing RNA-Seq data sets obtained with or without an antimiR can delineate mRNAs that have been derepressed from a microRNA as targets (65) (Figure 1C). For the validation of targets, their silencing or genetic inactivation, and in particular the mutation of their MREs, are important approaches.

What other parameters determine target recognition, aside from the mere presence of an MRE? With copy numbers in the range of 1×10^{1} to 1×10^{5} per cell (23, 66, 67), most microRNAs are in substoichiometric ratio to potential target sites in the transcriptome (67, 68). Only microRNAs with sufficiently high levels would thus be expected to cause measurable effects on targetomes (67-69). New perspectives came from evaluating the hypothesis that certain RNAs might function as competing endogenous RNAs (ceRNAs). Two models propose how a ceRNA could function as such; one postulates that an RNA must be present in excess or contain vicinal cooperativity-promoting MREs (67, 69). The other postulates that sequence context beyond the seed match creates high binding affinity (68), overruling unfavorable stoichiometry. Evidence of high-affinity sites was delivered by analyzing antimiRs for their ability to derepress targets (ref. 65 and Figure 1C). In support of this, dinucleotide motifs adjacent to MREs were found to contribute to the affinity for microRNAs (64). Despite their differences, the models seem to agree that (a) typically only highly abundant microRNAs confer far-reaching effects on targetomes,

that (b) an individual target mRNA is usually unable to influence the expression of others, and (c) that additional MREs and/or sequence context contribute to target recognition.

Endogenous microRNA levels undergo extensive changes in disease, reaching from 3- to 4-fold up to 30-fold deregulation (70). This alone, or the simultaneous regulation of additional microRNAs, can have dramatic impact on targetomes and disease phenotype (71, 72). MicroRNAs that are cotranscribed as part of the same cluster can act in concert, as recently shown for the miR-106b-25 cluster (73). On the other hand, an individual microRNA may also regulate various levels of a cellular process. Examples of such multilevel regulation include miR-378a-3p, the miR-29 family, and miR-365-3p (54, 74, 75). In conclusion, activities in concert or on several layers add to the power of micro-RNAs as disease modifiers.

Roles of microRNAs in the cardiovascular system

Although GWAS identified polymorphisms in microRNA biogenesis factors, microRNA genes, or MREs (76), pathophysiological consequences have been resolved for few. Against this limited knowledge stands a wealth of microRNAs that are deregulated or modified in disease. But does the deregulation of a microRNA cause disease or merely indicate it? MicroRNAs that play active roles in pathophysiology frequently combine high basal expression at steady state, pronounced deregulation in disease (Table 1), and preferential occurrence of both in cells/tissue. For example, miR-21-5p is the most abundant microRNA in cardiac macrophages and is 7-fold upregulated in myocardium of the transverse aortic constriction (TAC) model of ventricular pressure overload (77), and miR-29b-3p is highly expressed in cardiac myocytes and approximately 3-fold upregulated upon TAC (75). Many of the 30 to 35 microRNAs with strong in vivo evidence of critical cardiovascular roles (Table 1) cause distinct pathophysiological effects in myocardium or vasculature when manipulated (Figure 2A). A fraction of these do so by engaging signaling pathways that lead to the secretion of protein factors (Figure 2B), whereas others are themselves part of extracellular vesicles, in particular exosomes (Figure 2C). Parallel to this growth of knowledge, the therapeutic development of microRNAs in myocardium and vasculature has markedly increased (ref. 78 and Tables 1 and 2). Although space limitations restrict us from detailed discussion of all microRNAs in Table 1, we highlight some candidates with regard to their cardiovascular roles and clinical development:

miR-21-5p is strongly upregulated in the failing human heart (79) and also in diseases of kidney and lung that share fibrosis as their common denominator. miR-21 inhibitors prevent cardiac fibrosis (79) or neointima formation (80) in animal models. Whereas a global miR-21-5p deficiency remained silent (33, 81), the effects of inhibitors were recapitulated by a genetic miR-21 knockout in nonmyocyte cells (81), indicating a crucial role there-in. Cardiac fibroblasts and macrophages display the highest miR-21-5p levels (77, 81). Mice with macrophage-specific miR-21-5p deficiency were resistant to TAC-induced structural and function-al phenotypes, along with reduced inflammation (77). Consistent-ly, pigs that received antimiR-21 after ischemia/reperfusion had better cardiac function and reduced inflammation (44). Together,

this suggests a strong profibrotic and proinflammatory function of miR-21-5p in myocardium. In agreement, LNA-antimiR-21 is currently also being tested in a phase II study for the treatment of a fibrotic kidney disease (Table 2).

miR-29 is a family of four almost identical variants. Its ability to regulate collagens and other matrix proteins makes it a designated target for antifibrotic therapies. One of the first studies on miR-29 in this regard demonstrated collagen repression and improved cardiac function by miR-29 mimics (82). Since then, this concept was recapitulated in other organs, culminating in the development of a miR-29 mimic (MRG-201) to treat idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (Table 2). Following this principle, but with the aim to derepress collagen expression, antimiR-29b supported vascular wall stabilization in mouse models of abdominal aortic aneurysms (83, 84). Distinct from these findings, our observation that inhibition, rather than elevation, of miR-29 prevents cardiac fibrosis (75) must have surprised the community, but its underlying mechanism has been resolved; each of the miR-29 variants is predominantly expressed in cardiac myocytes (high levels in cardiac fibroblasts occur only with prolonged cultivation), within which miR-29 executes its primary role by engaging the Wnt pathway for cellular hypertrophy and paracrine, profibrotic signaling to fibroblasts. Thus, other than skin diseases where miR-29 elevation is beneficial to suppress fibrotic pathways in fibroblasts, the inhibition of miR-29 appears appropriate in myocardium.

miR-92a-3p is highly expressed in endothelial cells and deregulated in mouse models of vascular and myocardial tissue injury (45, 85). An LNA antimiR against miR-92a promoted angiogenesis and tissue repair in these models (85), which was later confirmed in a pig model of ischemia/reperfusion (45). First steps in clinical translation have been made with a pharmacological study on antimiR-92a (termed MRG-110) in healthy individuals who had received a single i.v. injection (86). Of note, since intradermal injection of antimiR-92a was also effective in animal models of skin injury, a second phase I clinical study was conducted for this route of administration (ClinicalTrials.gov NCT03603431).

miR-132-3p has moved from preclinical to clinical translation at impressive speed. Genetic deficiency of the miR-132/-212 cluster or an antagomir against miR-132-3p prevented TAC-induced pathologic cardiac remodeling (87). Based on this, inhibition of miR-132-3p has been developed in mouse models of heart failure and chronic pressure overload (46, 87). A study in a pig model of heart failure demonstrated long persistence in cardiac tissue ($t_{\frac{1}{12}}$ of 3 weeks) and an advantageous safety profile, and validated the derepression of miR-132 targets (46). Also in pig models, antimiR-132 improved cardiac function after myocardial infarction (MI) (88) or under chronic pressure overload (89). A first-in-human, doseescalating study (phase Ib) in heart failure patients revealed good tolerability and first evidence of a therapeutic benefit (90).

miR-155-5p expression in immune cells is upregulated in patients with cardiac inflammation or respective animal models (70, 91). Bone marrow transplantation experiments in mice attributed the proinflammatory activity of miR-155 to macrophages (91), where it enhances NF- κ B expression and, in that, opposes miR-146a-3p (92). miR-155 inhibition ameliorates cardiac inflammation in mice (70, 91), albeit the finding that macrophage-specific miR-155 deficiency obstructs arteriogenesis after





Figure 2. Functions of microRNAs in the cardiovascular system. (A) Table summarizing microRNA functions in myocardium and vasculature. + indicates that the process is promoted by the indicated microRNA. – indicates the pathophysiologic process is prevented by the indicated microRNA. Information on microRNAs that promote or impair cardiac function, after their elevation or inhibition, is provided in the respective column. (B) Exemplary microRNAs that control targets involved in cell-to-cell communication in the cardiovascular system (information compiled from studies cited in Table 1). (C) Paracrine roles of exemplary secreted microRNAs in the cardiovascular system. Atheroprotective effects are exerted by extracellular miR-126-3p (184) and miR-143-3p/miR-145-3p, proangiogenic effects are exerted by exosomal miR-143-3p, miR-222-5p, miR-92a-3p, and miR-214-3p, whereas miR-320-3p confers the opposite effect. The passenger (3') strand of miR-21 is enriched in exosomes from cardiac fibroblasts, promoting cardiac myocyte hypertrophy (156), whereas the miR-21 guide strand, released by endometrial mesenchymal stem cells, is cardioprotective by promoting cell survival and angiogenesis (157). In the retrograde direction, several microRNAs of myocardial origin promote the mobilization of progenitor cells in bone marrow (102). miR-223-3p is delivered by platelets and regulates differentiation and proliferation of vascular SMCs (193). For an overview on these and other cardiovascular microRNAs with proposed paracrine function, see refs. 99–101. EC, endothelial cell; SMC, smooth muscle cell.

vascular injury (93) demands further analyses in this regard. An antimiR against miR-155 (cobomarsen) has passed a phase I study on cutaneous T cell lymphoma (ClinicalTrials.gov NCT02580552) (94), but a phase II study was terminated for strategic reasons. The gathered clinical data would be valuable to guide the development of antimiR-155 for cardiovascular therapy.

Circulating microRNAs as mediators of cellular communication

Many cardiovascular disease conditions alter the serum levels of microRNAs, a phenomenon that spurs their utilization as biomarkers (for reviews on these developments, see refs. 95–97).

Intriguingly, microRNAs are also actively released by cells, mostly in the form of exosomes. Whereas vesicular microRNAs

may likewise serve as biomarkers, they also hold therapeutic potential. The broadest evidence for therapeutic effects of vesicular microRNAs has been established in the context of cancer, stem cells and progenitor cells of various origins, or cardiovascular disease (98). Multiple microRNAs are exosomal cargo in cardiovascular disease, and for many, the donor and recipient cells, and the effects elicited therein, have been described (refs. 99-101 and Figure 2C). Interestingly, exosomes appear to be enriched for microRNA passenger strands that, in the case of miR-21-3p, can adopt paracrine function (11). As part of exosomes, cardiac microRNAs can affect remote tissues, for example bone marrow (102). However, the relatively small amounts of microRNAs in plasma also raise concerns about their signaling power (103), prompting more evidence from studies with deficiency of individual microRNAs. Also, the preparation of native or engineered exosomes with defined microRNA content remains challenging (104), as is the improvement of tissue delivery (105).

MicroRNA-targeting therapy on its way to the clinic

The scope of oligonucleotide-based therapies. Most oligonucleotides in therapeutic development are designed to inhibit targets through reverse complementary (antisense) base pairing. This includes ASOs that induce cleavage by RNase H, morpholinos that mask translation initiation or splice sites, siRNAs, and microRNA inhibitors (29). Currently, 10 siRNA- or other ASObased drugs are approved, with several more in clinical studies. Inclisiran, an siRNA that reduces LDL cholesterol and prevents atherosclerosis, may be viewed as the first-in-class ASO for the treatment of cardiovascular disease.

AntimiRs against miR-122-5p (miravirsen, RG-101) for the treatment of hepatitis C have long been the most advanced microRNA-based drug candidates (Table 2). Although their medical need has faded due to the outstanding efficacy of other drugs and the gradual development of viral resistance (106), these antimiRs showed that microRNA-based therapy in patients is possible. By the beginning of 2022, 19 clinical studies on microRNA-based therapeutics had been completed or were ongoing (Table 2). Additional studies, two on miR-103/107-3p (AZD4076) and one on miR-155-5p (cobomarsen) were terminated or halted by the sponsor for strategic reasons.

Clinical status of microRNA-based cardiovascular therapy development. Despite the abandoning of several microRNA-targeting therapeutic developments in other indications (e.g., miravirsen, RG-101, cobomarsen, AZD4076), its impact on the cardiovascular field seems smaller than presumed. Preclinical and clinical data obtained with inhibitory oligonucleotides — even those that have been discontinued — provide valuable information for the design and performance of microRNA-targeting cardiovascular therapies. This applies to miR-17-5p, miR-21-5p, miR-29b-3p, and miR-92a-3p, which have been extensively studied in the laboratory and the clinic (Table 2), but particularly to the aforementioned miR-132-3p inhibitor (CDR132L), developed to treat heart failure. Currently planned for phase II testing, CDR132L might become the first microRNA-targeting drug in cardiovascular therapy.

Other than ASOs, no application of microRNA mimics or overexpression for cardiovascular indications seems close to clinical application. Given the aforementioned complications observed in the antitumoral study on MRX-34 (107) or the adverse effects of prolonged miR-199a expression in mouse models (47), timing and dosing seem particularly critical in microRNA-elevating therapy.

Open questions and major challenges

Cardiovascular delivery and tropism of oligonucleotides

The establishment of effective oligonucleotide concentrations in target tissue or cells is a challenge that inspired a panel of strategies (summarized in Figure 3), of which many hold promise for cardiovascular applications. Due to their hydrophilic nature, oligonucleotides do not penetrate membranes well. Their distribution into cardiovascular tissue is potentially outcompeted by renal filtration (108). Moreover, the fenestration of the endothelium in liver and high monocyte numbers in spleen and bone marrow reduce the cardiovascular availability of oligonucleotides (109). In myocardium, this leads to comparably small cellular uptake (110), although disease conditions apparently improve this process (111). A further, more general problem oligonucleotides face is their spatial seclusion in endosomes after endocytosis, which they must escape to reach their targets (109). LNA antimiRs partly circumvent these obstacles, since they penetrate membranes as "naked" molecules (112), and indeed, many cardiovascular studies go without formulation of the antimiR (see Table 3).

Nonetheless, a large variety of formulation or conjugation strategies has been developed to increase circulation time, membrane translocation, intracellular availability, or tissue tropism of oligonucleotides (29). Nanoparticles based on lipids, polymers, a combination of both, or metals serve as carriers of oligonucleotides (ref. 113 and Figure 3). Conjugation to polyethylene glycol (PEG), a common strategy to slow drug elimination, is also applied for oligonucleotides.

Cholesterol can not only be conjugated to oligonucleotides to facilitate their membrane translocation, but also to nanoparticles. Cell-penetrating peptides (CPPs), including a cardiac-targeting peptide, have proven their suitability in cardiovascular disease models in vivo (114, 115). Currently, a CPP conjugate of eteplirsen is being investigated in a phase II clinical study for the treatment of Duchenne muscular dystrophy (ClinicalTrials.gov NCT04004065).

The highest cellular tropism would be expected from coupling oligonucleotides or microRNA vehicles to receptor ligands or other cell-targeting molecules (ref. 116 and Figure 3). Molecules that bind to cell surface proteins qualify as coupling partners of oligonucleotides, provided that they do not hamper translocation or activity of the drug or cause side effects. One development along this strategy is an siRNA coupled to a CD71 Fab' fragment that targets heart and skeletal muscle in mice and was therapeutically effective in muscular dystrophy (117). Potential also lies in centyrins - derivatives of fibronectin 3 that can be engineered for specificity and affinity, and be coupled to oligonucleotides (118). A folate-coupled antimiR against miR-34-3p preferentially targets tumors in mice (119). More clinically advanced are oligonucleotides conjugated to N-acetylgalactosamine (GalNAc), a natural ligand of the asialoglycoprotein receptor 1, which is strongly expressed on hepatocytes and thus ideal for liver-targeted therapies (Table 2). We may expect various other sugars to prove suitable for cell-specific oligonucleotide delivery, such as mannose,



Figure 3. Molecular vehicles for microRNA modulators and their functionalization. Improved nuclease resistance by the use of modified nucleotides in synthetic oligonucleotides allows for application as "naked" molecules (112). Their embedding in liposomes or lipid nanoparticles (LNPs) or polymer-based nanoparticles (PNPs) can improve cell entry via endocytosis (113). Metal particles such as gold have been used as carriers for oligonucleotides (113) and plasmids (126). Exosomes with microRNA cargo can be isolated from native sources or engineered for optimized microRNA loading or cell specificity (104, 105). Oligonucleotides or their carriers can be further functionalized by conjugation to improve their circulation time (e.g., by PEGylation), membrane penetrance (e.g., cholesterol, cell-penetrating peptides), or to enhance their cell- or tissuespecific delivery (e.g., by coupling to receptor ligands, antibody fragments, or aptamers). TRA, transferrin receptor aptamer. Viral vectors and their organotropic serotypes, particularly adeno-associated virus (AAV), can be utilized for the expression or genetic inactivation (e.g., using CRISPR/Cas systems) of microRNAs or their targets. Improved transduction and/or tropism can be achieved by engineering AAVs (122, 123), and the use of cell-type-specific promoters adds further improvement. Exemplary promoters are denoted for gene expression in cardiac myocytes (Tnnt2, cardiac troponin T2; Myh6, myosin heavy chain 6; Myl2, myosin light chain 2; Nppa, natriuretic peptide A), in endothelial cells (protein tyrosine kinase Tie2/Tek; Kdr/Flk-1, kinase insert domain receptor/fetal liver kinase 1), and in vascular smooth muscle cells (Myh11, myosin heavy chain 11). For a critical review on endothelial cellspecific promoters, see Chakraborty et al. (158).

the receptor for which is predominantly found on macrophages. Finally, several aptamers have been tested in combination with siRNAs (120), and one promoted miR-126-3p delivery through binding to the transferrin receptor (121).

Among viral vectors as vehicles for genetic information, AAVs stand out for their panel of organotropic serotypes that can be further optimized, for example by capsid engineering (122, 123). An example is the chimera of an AAV2 inner loop mutant and AAV8 (AAV2i8, alias BNP116) that preferentially transduces myocytes (124). This vector was utilized to express constitutively active inhibitor-1 in a pig model of cardiac ischemia (38) and is currently being tested in a phase I clinical study (ClinicalTrials.gov NCT04179643). Recently, directed evolution yielded AAVs with superior specificity for muscle cells and high transduction efficiency (125). Promoters for specific gene expression in various cardiovascular cell types (Figure 3) further expand the possibilities.

Apart from the advantages viral vectors offer, some molecular genetic tools such as CRISPR/Cas plasmids can also be delivered without the help of viruses (Figure 3), e.g., by transfection. Whether the nanoparticle-based delivery of plasmids for noncoding RNA (Figure 3), as shown for a circRNA construct (126), will prove suitable for microRNA expression remains to be tested.

Routes of administration. With tissue-specific oligonucleotide modifications yet to progress into late-stage therapy development, the route of administration retains high importance for improved efficacy. Intravenous administration of oligonucleotides is most widely applied in experimental models, and also in the phase Ib study on antimiR-132 (Table 3). It should be cautioned, however,

Synthetic molecule	Organism	Formulation	RoA	Dosage/dosing scheme	References
Antagomirs					
Antagomir-21	Mouse	Saline	i.v. via catheter	80 mg/kg, 1 daily dose for 3 days, starting day 1 (d1) or d21 after surgery	(79)
Antagomir-25	Mouse	Saline	i.p.	80 mg/kg, 1 daily dose for 3 days, starting d1 after surgery	(200)
Antagomir-29b	Mouse	Saline	i.v.	80 mg/kg, 1 daily dose for 2 days, starting d1 or d21 after surgery	(82)
Antagomir-146a	Mouse	Not indicated	i.v.	8 mg/kg, d2 before delivery and d1, d3, and d7 after delivery	(186)
Antagomir-199b	Mouse	Saline	i.p.	0.05-80 mg/kg	(127)
AntimiRs					
LNA-antimiR-15b	Mouse	Saline	i.v.	Up to 33 mg/kg, 1 dose 3 days after AnglI infusion	(164)
LNA-antimiR-15	Mouse	Saline	S.C.	2 doses with 5 mg/kg each (2–3 days before TAC, 3–4 days after)	(163)
LNA-antimiR-15b	Pig	Saline	i.v.	Up to 3.3 mg/kg	(164)
LNA-antimiR-21	Pig	Saline	i.v.	10 mg each on d5 and d19 after MI	(44)
LNA-antimiR-22	Mouse	Hydrogel	Perivascular	2.5 nmol Injection concomitant with surgery	(135)
LNA-antimiR-26a	Mouse	Not indicated	i.v.	24 mg/kg, 1 dose 24 hours before MI	(131)
LNA-antimiR-26a or miR-26a mimic	Mouse	Matrigel	S.C.	1×10^{6} cells/mL Matrigel transfection: 30–100 nM oligonucleotide/5 $\times 10^{4}$ cells	(131)
LNA-antimiR-29	Mouse	Saline	i.v.	20 mg/kg, 1 daily dose for 3 days, starting d1 after surgery	(75)
RoA, route of administration; An	gll, angiote	nsin II; i.v., intr	avenous; s.c.,	subcutaneous; i.p., intraperitoneal.	

Table 3. Formulation, route of administration, and dosing regimes o	of exemplary synthetic microRNA inhibitors or mimics
---	--

that i.v. injection rapidly dilutes the drug, and the aforementioned fenestration of certain noncardiovascular tissues adds to this problem. Intraperitoneal injection has been applied in cardiovascular preclinical studies (46, 127, 128), and intracardial injection has been applied in rodents (28, 129), yet the risks of either administration disfavor application in humans. Subcutaneous or intradermal application of oligonucleotides has been successful in cardiovascular studies in mice (111, 130, 131) and monkeys (132). Their minimally invasive character and advantageous pharmacokinetics (110) make them favorable for microRNA-based drugs (Table 3). It should be noted, however, that skin reactions at the injection site frequently occurred in clinical studies (133) (see below for immunogenicity). Several studies have employed device-based methods to combine the advantage of local drug delivery and a low risk of tissue injury. Coronary catheterization, today clinically routine, has been used for the delivery of microRNA drugs in small (79) and large animals (44, 45, 111).

Dosing regimens. Most microRNA mimics or inhibitors tested in cardiovascular disease models in vivo are applied in consecutive doses within hours to days after disease induction (Table 3). Where tested, therapeutic effects by LNA antimiRs appeared within 2 or 3 days (131, 134, 135). Endowed with improved nuclease stability, microRNA modulators display typical half-lives of 3 weeks in cardiac tissue, allowing for effect durations of at least 18 to 46 days in mice (28, 75, 79) or 28 days in pigs (46) (the end points of these studies). An impressive effect duration of approximately 4.5 months was observed with antimiR-loaded nanoparticles, yet it is unclear whether this is attributable to the formulation format (136). The siRNA drug inclisiran provides therapeutic efficacy with only one or two subcutaneous injections per year. This exciting finding should encourage the development and testing of microRNA drugs with similar properties and pharmacokinetics. Another aspect is that microRNA mimics or inhibitors not only have the potential to treat, but also to prevent, cardiovascular disease. For example, mice that received LNA-antimiR-26a prior to MI showed milder phenotypes and better revascularization (131).

Evaluating the risk of side effects

Immune reactions. There are three potentially immunogenic factors to be considered in RNA-based therapy: (a) the nucleotide moiety or its chemical modification, (b) drug formulants, and (c) vectors used for overexpression. A phase I study using a miR-34 mimic against refractory cancer was abandoned due to fatal immune reactions (107), but it is unclear which of the drug components caused this. Likewise, immune responses seen with certain ASOs (137) are not fully resolved. These occurrences are contrasted by very promising safety data from many other clinical studies (Table 2).

Our innate immune response recognizes oligonucleotides as pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs). Toll-like receptors (TLRs) are a family of pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) that sense double- and single-stranded oligonucleotides. However, replacing individual nucleotides can reduce the immunogenicity of an siRNA without loss of efficiency (138). Analogously, naturally occurring nucleoside modifications help to evade TLR recognition (139), as well as 2'O-Me (140) or LNA modifications (141). The immunotolerance for LNA antimiRs is thus best explained by the presence of this moiety.

Formulation in nanoparticles can shield oligonucleotides from PRRs, and PEG in oligonucleotide drugs is used for this purpose in addition to the benefit of increased circulation time. PEG, however, induces antibodies that in one case have been made accountable for severe adverse effects (142). The possibility of PEGrelated safety issues must be taken seriously, despite a long list of well-tolerated, approved PEGylated drugs.

Since viral vectors are potentially immunogenic, there is in principle a chance for adverse effects and for the evocation of neutralizing antibodies (if not present a priori). These hurdles gave rise to engineered "stealth" viruses with reduced immunogenicity (123). Moreover, approved virus-based gene therapeutics are typically combined with immunosuppressants.

Toxicity. Oligonucleotide drugs could, in principle, confer toxicity by sequence-dependent or sequence-independent mechanisms, in this case caused by chemical modifications. The latter has been pri-

marily observed with certain gapmers, apparently due to their strong protein binding (143). MicroRNA mimics or antimiRs differ from gapmers by a more uniform distribution of modifications at the 2'-ribose position. This may explain in part why the majority of preclinical and clinical studies on microRNA mimics or antimiRs reported good safe-ty and tolerance (see refs. 90, 106, 144 for examples).

Sequence-dependent toxicity of antimiRs has been observed in high doses (>80 mg/kg), independent of their chemical modification (127) (note that antimiRs in clinical development are applied at lower dose and with favorable risk profiles; see Table 3). A plausible mechanism is that antimiRs, by preventing their respective microRNAs from AGO binding, allow other microRNAs to take their position in the RISC (145). Analogously, microRNA mimics, in large excess, can outcompete endogenous microRNAs from entering the RISC (146) or bind nonspecifically to RNAs. Whether this accounts for the unexplained complications seen in the miR-34-mimic study (107) remains to be clarified.

Tumorigenesis. Many microRNAs involved in cardiovascular diseases have also been proposed to function in cancer (147). It has become increasingly clear that heart failure and cancer share pathophysiologic mechanisms (148), raising the question whether interfering with specific microRNAs may be beneficial for the treatment of both diseases. Some evidence in support of this hypothesis has been elaborated; beyond their therapeutic cardiovascular effects, antimiRs against miR-21-5p, miR-146a-5p, or miR-155-5p also prevented tumor growth in the respective mouse models (149-151). Others, such as miR-92a-3p, seem to be far less critical in cancer than members of their genetic cluster (152). However, since continuous, uncontrolled cardiac overexpression of miR-199a in pigs induced the formation of weakly differentiated myoblasts, causing fatal arrhythmia (47), this must be considered in risk assessment. Several microRNAs with well-documented cardiovascular function have been assigned an oncogenic or tumor suppressive role solely based on cell culture assays, expression data, or target predictions. Thus, long-term evaluation in animal models and analyses of tissue beyond the cardiovascular system should help to assess the risk of tumorigenesis.

cular therapy, is a clear testimony of the progress made in the past decade. The fact that many microRNAs are yet to be characterized leads us to presume a wider scope of disease conditions and applications of microRNA therapeutics than is currently visible. As recently laid out in a critical evaluation of the vast number of descriptive publications on microRNAs (153), the field is called upon to validate the function of microRNA candidates with scrutiny. Combining microRNA manipulation in disease models, omics technologies, and thorough preclinical testing will be key to improve the therapeutic development and reduce the risk of dropouts.

Although the development of synthetic oligonucleotides has mastered major hurdles, the delivery of these molecules still poses considerable challenges. This holds true in particular for cardiovascular tissue, which does not take up oligonucleotides efficiently. Ideally, certain application routes, e.g., local catheter-based delivery, will become dispensable once the pharmacokinetics of oligonucleotides are further improved. Another hope is that oligonucleotides will be modified not only for improved uptake, but also for cell specificity. This largely underdeveloped area will require intense efforts for the screening of ligands and their chemical coupling to oligonucleotides, together with methods that assess cellular oligonucleotide concentrations.

Acknowledgments

We apologize to colleagues whose work has not been mentioned in this Review due to space limitations. We thank Dena Esfandyari, Petros Avramopoulos, and Deepak Remanujam for helpful scientific discussions. This work was funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) through the Collaborative Research Centre TRR 267 (Project-ID 403584255) and the Research Training Group GRK2338 (Project-ID 321812289), by the German Center for Cardiovascular Research (DZHK), and by the EU Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme through the TRAIN-HEART consortium.

Future perspectives

The growing number of clinical studies targeting microRNAs, leading up to the first clinical study of an antimiR in cardiovas-

Address correspondence to: Stefan Engelhardt, Technical University of Munich (TUM), Institut für Pharmakologie und Toxikologie, Biedersteiner Str. 29, 80802 München, Germany. Phone: 49.89.4140.3260. Email: stefan.engelhardt@tum.de.

- Lee RC, et al. The C. elegans heterochronic gene lin-4 encodes small RNAs with antisense complementarity to lin-14. *Cell*. 1993;75(5):843-854.
- Wightman B, et al. Posttranscriptional regulation of the heterochronic gene lin-14 by lin-4 mediates temporal pattern formation in C. elegans. *Cell*. 1993;75(5):855-862.
- Friedman RC, et al. Most mammalian mRNAs are conserved targets of microRNAs. *Genome Res.* 2009;19(1):92–105.
- Kozomara A, et al. miRBase: from microRNA sequences to function. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2019;47(d1):D155–D162.
- 5. Fromm B, et al. MirGeneDB 2.0: the metazoan microRNA complement. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2020;48(d1):132-141.
- 6. Kim K, et al. A quantitative map of human primary microRNA processing sites. *Mol Cell*.

2021;81(16):3422-3439.

- 7. Treiber T, et al. Regulation of microRNA biogenesis and its crosstalk with other cellular pathways. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*. 2019;20(1):5–20.
- 8. Bartel DP. Metazoan microRNAs. *Cell.* 2018;173(1):20-51.
- 9. Khvorova A, et al. Functional siRNAs and miRNAs exhibit strand bias. *Cell*. 2003;115(4):209–216.
- Schwarz DS, et al. Asymmetry in the assembly of the RNAi enzyme complex. *Cell*. 2003;115(2):199–208.
- Bang C, et al. Cardiac fibroblast-derived micro-RNA passenger strand-enriched exosomes mediate cardiomyocyte hypertrophy. J Clin Invest. 2014;124(5):2136–2146.
- 12. Santovito D, et al. Noncanonical inhibition of caspase-3 by a nuclear microRNA confers endothelial protection by autophagy in atherosclero-

sis. Sci Transl Med. 2020;12(546):1-16.

- Li H, et al. Identification of ncRNA-mediated functions of nucleus-localized miR-320 in cardiomyocytes. *Mol Ther Nucleic Acids*. 2020;19:132–143.
- Agarwal V, et al. Predicting effective micro-RNA target sites in mammalian mRNAs. *Elife*. 2015;4:1–38.
- Broughton JP, et al. Pairing beyond the seed supports MicroRNA targeting specificity. *Mol Cell*. 2016;64(2):320–333.
- Grimson A, et al. MicroRNA targeting specificity in mammals: determinants beyond seed pairing. *Mol Cell*. 2007;27(1):91–105.
- Gebert LFR, MacRae IJ. Regulation of micro-RNA function in animals. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* 2019;20(1):21–37.
- 18. Yang A, et al. 3' Uridylation confers miRNAs

with non-canonical target repertoires. *Mol Cell*. 2019;75(3):511–522.e4.

- 19. van der Kwast RVCT, et al. Adenosine-to-inosine editing of vasoactive microRNAs alters their targetome and function in ischemia. *Mol Ther Nucleic Acids*. 2020;21:932–953.
- McGahon MK, et al. Distinctive profile of IsomiR expression and novel microRNAs in rat heart left ventricle. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(6):e65809.
- 21. Van Der Kwast RVCT, et al. Adenosine-to-inosine editing of MicroRNA-487b alters target gene selection after ischemia and promotes neovascularization. *Circ Res.* 2018;122(3):444–456.
- 22. van der Kwast RVCT, et al. MicroRNA-411 and its 5'-isomiR have distinct targets and functions and are differentially regulated in the vasculature under ischemia. *Mol Ther.* 2020;28(1):157–170.
- Kingston E, Bartel D. Global analyses of the dynamics of mammalian microRNA metabolism. *Genome Res.* 2019;29(11):1777–1790.
- Marzi MJ, et al. Degradation dynamics of micro-RNAs revealed by a novel pulse-chase approach. *Genome Res.* 2016;26(4):554–565.
- 25. Han J, et al. A ubiquitin ligase mediates target-directed microRNA decay independently of tailing and trimming. *Science*. 2020;370(6523):eabc9546.
- 26. Shi CY, et al. The ZSWIM8 ubiquitin ligase mediates target-directed microRNA degradation. *Science*. 2020;370(6523):eabc9359.
- Bitetti A, et al. MicroRNA degradation by a conserved target RNA regulates animal behavior. *Nat Struct Mol Biol.* 2018;25(3):244–251.
- Matsui M, et al. Argonaute 2-dependent regulation of gene expression by single-stranded miRNA mimics. *Mol Ther.* 2016;24(5):946–955.
- Roberts TC, et al. Advances in oligonucleotide drug delivery. *Nat Rev Drug Discov*. 2020;19(10):673–694.
- Stenvang J, et al. Inhibition of microRNA function by antimiR oligonucleotides. *Silence*. 2012;3(1):1.
- Shen X, Corey DR. Chemistry, mechanism and clinical status of antisense oligonucleotides and duplex RNAs. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2018;46(4):1584–1600.
- Khvorova A, Watts JK. The chemical evolution of oligonucleotide therapies of clinical utility. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2017;35(3):238–248.
- 33. Patrick DM, et al. Stress-dependent cardiac remodeling occurs in the absence of microRNA-21 in mice. J Clin Invest. 2010;120(11):3912–3916.
- 34. Thum T, et al. Comparison of different miR-21 inhibitor chemistries in a cardiac disease model. *J Clin Invest*. 2011;121(2):461-462.
- 35. Krützfeldt J, et al. Silencing of micro-RNAs in vivo with 'antagomirs'. *Nature*. 2005;438(7068):685–689.
- Ishikawa K, et al. Human cardiac gene therapy. Circ Res. 2018;123(5):601–613.
- 37. Hinkel R, et al. Heme oxygenase-1 gene therapy provides cardioprotection via control of post-ischemic inflammation: an experimental study in a pre-clinical pig model. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2015;66(2):154–165.
- Ishikawa K, et al. Cardiac I-1c overexpression with reengineered AAV improves cardiac function in swine ischemic heart failure. *Mol Ther*. 2014;22(12):2038–2045.

- 39. Raake PWJ, et al. AAV6.βARKct cardiac gene therapy ameliorates cardiac function and normalizes the catecholaminergic axis in a clinically relevant large animal heart failure model. *Eur Heart J.* 2013;34(19):1437–1447.
- Martello A, et al. Phenotypic miRNA screen identifies miR-26b to promote the growth and survival of endothelial cells. *Mol Ther Nucleic Acids*. 2018;13:29–43.
- Eulalio A, et al. Functional screening identifies miRNAs inducing cardiac regeneration. *Nature*. 2012;492(7429):376–381.
- Verjans R, et al. Functional screening identifies microRNAs as multi-cellular regulators of heart failure. *Sci Rep.* 2019;9(1):1–15.
- Milani-Nejad N, Janssen PML. Small and large animal models in cardiac contraction research: advantages and disadvantages. *Pharmacol Ther*. 2014;141(3):235–249.
- Hinkel R, et al. AntimiR-21 prevents myocardial dysfunction in a pig model of ischemia/reperfusion injury. JAm Coll Cardiol. 2020;75(15):1788–1800.
- 45. Hinkel R, et al. Inhibition of microRNA-92a protects against ischemia/reperfusion injury in a large-animal model. *Circulation*. 2013;128(10):1066-1075.
- 46. Foinquinos A, et al. Preclinical development of a miR-132 inhibitor for heart failure treatment. *Nat Commun.* 2020;11(1):633.
- Gabisonia K, et al. MicroRNA therapy stimulates uncontrolled cardiac repair after myocardial infarction in pigs. *Nature*. 2019;569(7756):418–422.
- Bellera N, et al. Single intracoronary injection of encapsulated antagomir-92a promotes angiogenesis and prevents adverse infarct remodeling. J Am Heart Assoc. 2014;3(5):e000946.
- 49. Eder A, et al. Human engineered heart tissue as a model system for drug testing. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev.* 2016;96:214–224.
- 50. Fischer C, et al. Long-term functional and structural preservation of precision-cut human myocardium under continuous electromechanical stimulation in vitro. *Nat Commun.* 2019;10(1):1–12.
- Meekel JP, et al. An in vitro method to keep human aortic tissue sections functionally and structurally intact. *Sci Rep.* 2018;8(1):1–12.
- Thomas RC, et al. A myocardial slice culture model reveals alpha-1A-adrenergic receptor signaling in the human heart. *JACC Basic to Transl Sci.* 2016;1(3):155–167.
- 53. Kang C, et al. Human organotypic cultured cardiac slices: new platform for high throughput preclinical human trials. *Sci Rep.* 2016;6:1–13.
- 54. Esfandyari D, et al. MicroRNA-365 regulates human cardiac action potential duration. *Nat Commun.* 2022;13(1):1–15.
- 55. Sassi Y, et al. Cardiac myocyte–secreted cAMP exerts paracrine action via adenosine receptor activation. J Clin Invest. 2014;124(12):5385–5397.
- 56. Mariani SA, et al. Pro-inflammatory aortaassociated macrophages are involved in embryonic development of hematopoietic stem cells. *Immunity*. 2019;50(6):1439–1452.
- 57. Litviňuková M, et al. Cells of the adult human heart. *Nature*. 2020;588(7838):466-472.
- 58. Wang L, et al. Single-cell reconstruction of the adult human heart during heart failure and recovery reveals the cellular landscape

underlying cardiac function. *Nat Cell Biol.* 2020;22(1):108–119.

- Hücker SM, et al. Single-cell microRNA sequencing method comparison and application to cell lines and circulating lung tumor cells. *Nat Commun*. 2021;12(1):1–13.
- 60. Mokou M, et al. Proteomics based identification of KDM5 histone demethylases associated with cardiovascular disease. *EBioMedicine*. 2019;41:91–104.
- Yin X, et al. Glycoproteomic analysis of the aortic extracellular matrix in Marfan patients. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol. 2019;39(9):1859–1873.
- 62. Doll S, et al. Region and cell-type resolved quantitative proteomic map of the human heart. *Nat Commun.* 2017;8(1):1–13.
- Brunner AD, et al. Ultra-high sensitivity mass spectrometry quantifies single-cell proteome changes upon perturbation. *Mol Syst Biol.* 2022;18(3):e10798.
- 64. McGeary SE, et al. The biochemical basis of microRNA targeting efficacy. *Science*. 2019;366(6472):eaav1741.
- 65. Werfel S, et al. Preferential microRNA targeting revealed by in vivo competitive binding and differential Argonaute immunoprecipitation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2017;45(17):10218–10228.
- 66. Bissels U, et al. Absolute quantification of microRNAs by using a universal reference. *RNA*. 2009;15(12):2375-2384.
- 67. Denzler R, et al. Assessing the ceRNA hypothesis with quantitative measurements of mirna and target abundance. *Mol Cell*. 2014;54(5):766–776.
- Bosson AD, et al. Endogenous miRNA and target concentrations determine susceptibility to potential ceRNA competition. *Mol Cell*. 2014;56(3):347–359.
- 69. Denzler R, et al. Impact of microRNA levels, target-site complementarity, and cooperativity on competing endogenous RNA-regulated gene expression. *Mol Cell*. 2016;64(3):565–579.
- Corsten MF, et al. MicroRNA profiling identifies microRNA-155 as an adverse mediator of cardiac injury and dysfunction during acute viral myocarditis. *Circ Res.* 2012;111(4):415–425.
- Hu Y, et al. Epitranscriptional orchestration of genetic reprogramming is an emergent property of stress-regulated cardiac microRNAs. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2012;109(48):19864–19869.
- 72. Bagnall RD, et al. Global microRNA profiling of the mouse ventricles during development of severe hypertrophic cardiomyopathy and heart failure. *PLoS One*. 2012;7(9):e44744.
- 73. Raso A, et al. A microRNA program regulates the balance between cardiomyocyte hyperplasia and hypertrophy and stimulates cardiac regeneration. *Nat Commun.* 2021;12(1):4808.
- 74. Ganesan J, et al. MiR-378 controls cardiac hypertrophy by combined repression of mitogenactivated protein kinase pathway factors. *Circulation.* 2013;127(21):2097–2106.
- Sassi Y, et al. Cardiac myocyte miR-29 promotes pathological remodeling of the heart by activating Wnt signaling. *Nat Commun.* 2017;8(1):1614.
- Griesemer D, et al. Genome-wide functional screen of 3'UTR variants uncovers causal variants for human disease and evolution. *Cell*. 2021;184(20):5247–5260.

- 77. Ramanujam D, et al. MicroRNA-21-dependent macrophage-to-fibroblast signaling determines the cardiac response to pressure overload. *Circulation*. 2021;143(15):1513–1525.
- Huang CK, et al. Preclinical and clinical development of noncoding RNA therapeutics for cardiovascular disease. *Circ Res.* 2020;126(5):663–678.
- 79. Thum T, et al. MicroRNA-21 contributes to myocardial disease by stimulating MAP kinase signalling in fibroblasts. *Nature*. 2008;456(7224):980–984.
- 80. Ji R, et al. MicroRNA expression signature and antisense-mediated depletion reveal an essential role of microRNA in vascular neointimal lesion formation. *Circ Res.* 2007;100(11):1579–1588.
- Ramanujam D, et al. Viral vector-based targeting of miR-21 in cardiac nonmyocyte cells reduces pathologic remodeling of the heart. *Mol Ther*. 2016;24(11):1939–1948.
- 82. van Rooij E, et al. Dysregulation of microRNAs after myocardial infarction reveals a role of miR-29 in cardiac fibrosis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2008;105(35):13027–13032.
- Boon RA, et al. MicroRNA-29 in aortic dilation: implications for aneurysm formation. *Circ Res.* 2011;109(10):1115–1119.
- Maegdefessel L, et al. Inhibition of micro-RNA-29b reduces murine abdominal aortic aneurysm development. J Clin Invest. 2012;122(2):497–506.
- Bonauer A, et al. MicroRNA-92a controls angiogenesis and functional recovery of ischemic tissues in mice. *Science*. 2009;324(5935):1710–1713.
- Abplanalp WT, et al. Efficiency and target derepression of anti-miR-92a: results of a first in human study. *Nucleic Acid Ther*. 2020;30(6):335–345.
- Ucar A, et al. The miRNA-212/132 family regulates both cardiac hypertrophy and cardiomyocyte autophagy. *Nat Commun.* 2012;3:1078.
- Batkai S, et al. CDR132L improves systolic and diastolic function in a large animal model of chronic heart failure. *Eur Heart J.* 2021;42(2):192–201.
- Hinkel R, et al. AntimiR-132 attenuates myocardial hypertrophy in an animal model of percutaneous aortic constriction. J Am Col Cardiol. 2021;77(23):2923–2935.
- 90. Täubel J, et al. Novel antisense therapy targeting microRNA-132 in patients with heart failure: results of a first-in-human phase 1b randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *Eur Heart J*. 2021;42(2):178–188.
- Heymans S, et al. Macrophage microRNA-155 promotes cardiac hypertrophy and failure. *Circulation*. 2013;128(13):1420–1432.
- Mann M, et al. An NF-κB-microRNA regulatory network tunes macrophage inflammatory responses. *Nat Commun.* 2017;8(1):851.
- 93. Pankratz F, et al. MicroRNA-155 exerts cellspecific antiangiogenic but proarteriogenic effects during adaptive neovascularization. *Circulation*. 2015;131(18):1575–1589.
- 94. Anastasiadou E, et al. Cobomarsen, an oligonucleotide inhibitor of miR-155, slows DLBCL tumor cell growth in vitro and in vivo. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2021;27(4):1139–1149.
- 95. Halushka PV, et al. Opportunities for microRNAs in the crowded field of cardiovascular biomark-

ers. Annu Rev Pathol. 2019;14:211-238.

- 96. Schulte C, et al. Comparative analysis of circulating noncoding rnas versus protein biomarkers in the detection of myocardial injury. *Circ Res.* 2019;125(3):328–340.
- 97. Kaur A, et al. Systematic review of microRNA biomarkers in acute coronary syndrome and stable coronary artery disease. *Cardiovasc Res.* 2021;116(6):1113–1124.
- O'Brien K, et al. RNA delivery by extracellular vesicles in mammalian cells and its applications. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* 2020;21(10):585–606.
- Zheng D, et al. The role of exosomes and exosomal microRNA in cardiovascular disease. Front Cell Dev Biol. 2021;8:1–15.
- 100.Kesidou D, et al. Extracellular vesicle miRNAs in the promotion of cardiac neovascularisation. *Front Physiol*. 2020;11:579892.

101. Ottaviani L, et al. Myocardial cell-to-cell communication via microRNAs. *Noncoding RNA Res.* 2018;3(3):144–153.

- 102. Cheng M, et al. Circulating myocardial micro-RNAs from infarcted hearts are carried in exosomes and mobilise bone marrow progenitor cells. *Nat Commun.* 2019;10(1):959.
- 103. Gruner HN, McManus MT. Examining the evidence for extracellular RNA function in mammals. *Nat Rev Genet*. 2021;22(7):448–458.
- 104.Sahoo S, et al. Therapeutic and diagnostic translation of extracellular vesicles in cardiovascular diseases: roadmap to the clinic. *Circulation*. 2021;1426(14):1429–1449.
- 105. de Abreu RC, et al. Native and bioengineered extracellular vesicles for cardiovascular therapeutics. Nat Rev Cardiol. 2020;17(11):685-697.
- 106. Janssen HLA, et al. Treatment of HCV infection by targeting microRNA. N Engl J Med. 2013;368(18):1685-1694.
- 107. Hong DS, et al. Phase 1 study of MRX34, a liposomal miR-34a mimic, in patients with advanced solid tumours. *Br J Cancer*. 2020;122(11):1630–1637.
- 108.Seth PP, et al. Selective tissue targeting of synthetic nucleic acid drugs. J Clin Invest. 2019;129(3):915–925.
- 109. Juliano RL. The delivery of therapeutic oligonucleotides. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016;44(14):6518-6548.
- 110. Geary RS, et al. Pharmacokinetics, biodistribution and cell uptake of antisense oligonucleotides. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev.* 2015;87:46–51.
- 111. Eding JEC, et al. The efficacy of cardiac antimiR-208a therapy is stress dependent. *Mol Ther*. 2017;25(3):694-704.
- 112. Stein CA, et al. Efficient gene silencing by delivery of locked nucleic acid antisense oligonucleotides, unassisted by transfection reagents. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2009;38(1):1–8.
- 113. Mitchell MJ, et al. Engineering precision nanoparticles for drug delivery. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2021;20(2):101-124.
- 114. Bian J, et al. Effect of cell-based intercellular delivery of transcription factor GATA4 on ischemic cardiomyopathy. *Circ Res.* 2007;100(11):1626–1633.
- 115. Zahid M, et al. Cardiac targeting peptide, a novel cardiac vector: Studies in bio-distribution, imaging application, and mechanism of transduction. *Biomolecules*. 2018;8(4):14296–14296.

- 116. Grijalvo S, et al. Covalent strategies for targeting messenger and non-coding RNAs: an updated review on siRNA, miRNA and antimiR conjugates. *Genes (Basel)*. 2018;9(2):74.
- 117. Sugo T, et al. Development of antibody-siRNA conjugate targeted to cardiac and skeletal muscles. J Control Release. 2016;237:1–13.
- 118. Klein D, et al. Centyrin ligands for extrahepatic delivery of siRNA. *Mol Ther*. 2021; 29(6):2053-2066.
- 119. Orellana EA, et al. FolamiRs: Ligand-targeted, vehicle-free delivery of microRNAs for the treatment of cancer. Sci Transl Med. 2017;9(401):1–11.
- 120. Bom APDA, et al. Aptamers as delivery agents of siRNA and chimeric formulations for the treatment of cancer. *Pharmaceutics*. 2019;11(12):1–16.
- 121. Rohde JH, et al. A universal aptamer chimera for the delivery of functional microRNA-126. *Nucleic Acid Ther.* 2015;25(3):141–151.
- 122.Domenger C, Grimm D. Next-generation AAV vectors—do not judge a virus (only) by its cover. *Hum Mol Genet*. 2019;28:3–14.
- 123. Li C, Samulski RJ. Engineering adeno-associated virus vectors for gene therapy. *Nat Rev Genet*. 2020;21(4):255–272.
- 124. Asokan A, et al. Reengineering a receptor footprint of adeno-associated virus enables selective and systemic gene transfer to muscle. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2010;28(1):79–82.
- 125. Tabebordbar M, et al. Directed evolution of a family of AAV capsid variants enabling potent muscle-directed gene delivery across species. *Cell*. 2021;184(19):4919–4938.
- 126.Zeng Y, et al. A circular RNA binds to and activates AKT phosphorylation and nuclear localization reducing apoptosis and enhancing cardiac repair. *Theranostics*. 2017;7(16):3842–3855.
- 127. Duygu B, et al. Comparison of different chemically modified inhibitors of miR-199b in vivo. *Biochem Pharmacol*. 2019;159:106–115.
- 128. Raso A, et al. Therapeutic delivery of miR-148a suppresses ventricular dilation in heart failure. *Mol Ther.* 2019;27(3):584–599.
- 129.Yang H, et al. An in vivo miRNA delivery system for restoring infarcted myocardium. ACS Nano. 2019;13(9):9880–9894.
- 130. Rayner KJ, et al. Antagonism of miR-33 in mice promotes reverse cholesterol transport and regression of atherosclerosis. J Clin Invest. 2011;121(7):2921–2931.
- 131. Icli B, et al. MicroRNA-26a regulates pathological and physiological angiogenesis by targeting BMP/SMAD1 signaling. *Circ Res.* 2013;113(11):1231–1241.
- 132. Rayner KJ, et al. Inhibition of miR-33a/b in non-human primates raises plasma HDL and lowers VLDL triglycerides. *Nature*. 2011;478(7369):404–407.
- 133. van Meer L, et al. Injection site reactions after subcutaneous oligonucleotide therapy. Br J Clin Pharmacol. 2016;82(2):340–351.
- 134. Rogg EM, et al. Analysis of cell type-specific effects of microRNA-92a provides novel insights into target regulation and mechanism of action. *Circulation.* 2018;138(22):2545–2558.
- 135. Yang F, et al. miR-22 is a novel mediator of vascular smooth muscle cell phenotypic modulation and neointima formation. *Circulation*.

2018;137(17):1824-1841.

- 136. Wahlquist C, et al. Inhibition of miR-25 improves cardiac contractility in the failing heart. *Nature*. 2014;508(7497):531–535.
- 137. Dobrovolskaia MA, McNeil SE. Immunological and hematological toxicities challenging clinical translation of nucleic acid-based therapeutics. *Expert Opin Biol Ther.* 2015;15(7):1023–1048.
- 138. Judge AD, et al. Sequence-dependent stimulation of the mammalian innate immune response by synthetic siRNA. *Nat Biotechnol*. 2005;23(4):457-462.
- 139. Karikó K, et al. Suppression of RNA recognition by Toll-like receptors: the impact of nucleoside modification and the evolutionary origin of RNA. *Immunity*. 2005;23(2):165–175.
- 140. Broering R, et al. Chemical modifications on siRNAs avoid toll-like-receptor-mediated activation of the hepatic immune system in vivo and in vitro. *Int Immunol.* 2014;26(1):35–46.
- 141. Alharbi A, et al. Rational design of antisense oligonucleotides modulating the activity of TLR7/8 agonists. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2020;48(13):7052–7065.
- 142. Povsic TJ, et al. Pre-existing anti-PEG antibodies are associated with severe immediate allergic reactions to pegnivacogin, a PEGylated aptamer. J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2016;138(6):1712–1715.
- 143. Shen W, et al. Chemical modification of PS-ASO therapeutics reduces cellular protein-binding and improves the therapeutic index. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2019;37(6):640–650.
- 144.Lee EC, et al. Discovery and preclinical evaluation of anti-miR-17 oligonucleotide RGLS4326 for the treatment of polycystic kidney disease. *Nat Commun.* 2019;10(1):1–14.
- 145. Androsavich JR, Chau BN. Non-inhibited miRNAs shape the cellular response to anti-miR. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2014;42(11):6945–6955.
- 146.Khan AA, et al. Transfection of small RNAs globally perturbs gene regulation by endogenous microRNAs. Nat Biotechnol. 2009;27(6):549–555.
- 147. Slack FJ, Chinnaiyan AM. The role of non-coding RNAs in oncology. *Cell*. 2019;179(5):1033–1055.
- 148.de Boer RA, et al. Common mechanistic pathways in cancer and heart failure. A scientific roadmap on behalf of the Translational Research Committee of the Heart Failure Association (HFA) of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). Eur J Heart Fail. 2020;22(12):2272–2289.
- 149.Sahraei M, et al. Suppressing miR-21 activity in tumor-associated macrophages promotes an antitumor immune response. *J Clin Invest*. 2019;129(12):5518–5536.
- 150. Mastroianni J, et al. miR-146a controls immune response in the melanoma microenvironment. *Cancer Res.* 2019;79(1):183–195.
- 151. Anastasiadou E, et al. Cobomarsen, an oligonucleotide inhibitor of miR-155, slows DLBCL tumor cell growth in vitro and in vivo. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2021;27(4):1139–1149.
- 152. Mu P, et al. Genetic dissection of the miR-17-92 cluster of microRNAs in Myc-induced B-cell lymphomas. *Genes Dev.* 2009;23(24):2806–2811.
- 153. Kilikevicius A, et al. Reexamining assumptions about miRNA-guided gene silencing. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2022;50(2):617–634.
- 154. Elbarbary RA, et al. Tudor-SN-mediated

endonucleolytic decay of human cell micro-RNAs promotes G1/S phase transition. *Science*. 2017;356(6340):859–862.

- 155. Yang A, et al. AGO-bound mature miRNAs are oligouridylated by TUTs and subsequently degraded by DIS3L2. *Nat Commun.* 2020;11(1):1–13.
- 156.Bang C, et al. Cardiac fibroblast-derived micro-RNA passenger strand-enriched exosomes mediate cardiomyocyte hypertrophy. J Clin Invest. 2014;124(5):2136–2146.
- 157. Wang K, et al. Enhanced cardioprotection by human endometrium mesenchymal stem cells driven by exosomal microRNA-21. *Stem Cells Transl Med.* 2017;6(1):209–222.
- Chakraborty R, et al. Promoters to study vascular smooth muscle. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 2019;39(4):603–612.
- 159. Carè A, et al. MicroRNA-133 controls cardiac hypertrophy. *Nat Med.* 2007;13(5):613–618.
- 160.Karakikes I, et al. Therapeutic cardiac-targeted delivery of miR-1 reverses pressure overloadinduced cardiac hypertrophy and attenuates pathological remodeling. J Am Heart Assoc. 2013;2(2):17-19.
- 161. Besser J, et al. MiRNA-1/133a clusters regulate adrenergic control of cardiac repolarization. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(11):e113449.
- 162.Van Rooij E, et al. A signature pattern of stressresponsive microRNAs that can evoke cardiac hypertrophy and heart failure. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* USA. 2006;103(48):18255–18260.
- 163. Tijsen AJ, et al. The microRNA-15 family inhibits the TGFβ-pathway in the heart. *Cardiovasc Res.* 2014;104(1):61-71.
- 164. Hullinger TG, et al. Inhibition of miR-15 protects against cardiac ischemic injury. *Circ Res.* 2012;110(1):71–81.
- 165.Porrello ER, et al. Regulation of neonatal and adult mammalian heart regeneration by the miR-15 family. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2013;110(1):187-192.
- 166. Du W, et al. By targeting Stat3 microRNA-17-5p promotes cardiomyocyte apoptosis in response to ischemia followed by reperfusion. *Cell Physiol Biochem.* 2014;34(3):955–965.
- 167. McDonald RA, et al. miRNA-21 is dysregulated in response to vein grafting in multiple models and genetic ablation in mice attenuates neointima formation. *Eur Heart J*. 2013;34(22):1636–1643.
- 168. Huang ZP, et al. MicroRNA-22 regulates cardiac hypertrophy and remodeling in response to stress. *Circ Res.* 2013;112(9):1234–1243.
- 169.Gurha P, et al. Targeted deletion of micro-RNA-22 promotes stress-induced cardiac dilation and contractile dysfunction. *Circulation*. 2012;125(22):2751–2761.
- 170.Fiedler J, et al. Functional microRNA library screening identifies the hypoxamir miR-24 as a potent regulator of smooth muscle cell proliferation and vascularization. *Antioxid Redox Signal*. 2014;21(8):1167–1176.
- 171. Meloni M, et al. Local inhibition of micro-RNA-24 improves reparative angiogenesis and left ventricle remodeling and function in mice with myocardial infarction. *Mol Ther*. 2013;21(7):1390–1402.
- 172. Luo X, et al. MicroRNA-26 governs profibrillatory inward-rectifier potassium current changes in atri-

al fibrillation. J Clin Invest. 2013;123(5):1939-1951.

- 173. Eken SM, et al. miR-29b mediates the chronic inflammatory response in radiotherapyinduced vascular disease. *JACC Basic Transl Sci*. 2019;4(1):72–82.
- 174. Najafi-Shoushtari SH, et al. MicroRNA-33 and the SREBP host genes cooperate to control cholesterol homeostasis. *Science*. 2010;328(5985):1566–1569.
- 175. Goedeke L, et al. Long-term therapeutic silencing of miR-33 increases circulating triglyceride levels and hepatic lipid accumulation in mice. *EMBO Mol Med.* 2014;6(9):1133–1141.
- 176. Boon RA, et al. MicroRNA-34a regulates cardiac ageing and function. *Nature*. 2013;495(7439):107–110.
- 177. Badi I, et al. miR-34a promotes vascular smooth muscle cell calcification by downregulating SIRT1 (sirtuin 1) and AXL (AXI receptor tyrosine kinase). *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol*. 2018;38(9):2079–2090.
- 178. Loyer X, et al. Inhibition of microRNA-92a prevents endothelial dysfunction and atherosclerosis in mice. *Circ Res.* 2014;114(3):434–443.
- 179. Daniel JM, et al. Inhibition of miR-92a improves re-endothelialization and prevents neointima formation following vascular injury. *Cardiovasc Res.* 2014;103(4):564–572.
- 180. Wang JX, et al. MicroRNA-103/107 regulate programmed necrosis and myocardial ischemia/ reperfusion injury through targeting FADD. *Circ Res.* 2015;117(4):352–363.
- 181. Jiang L, et al. Inhibition of microRNA-103 attenuates inflammation and endoplasmic reticulum stress in atherosclerosis through disrupting the PTEN-mediated MAPK signaling. J Cell Physiol. 2020;235(1):380–393.
- 182. Natarelli L, et al. miR-103 promotes endothelial maladaptation by targeting lncWDR59. Nat Commun. 2018;9(1):2645.
- 183. Wang S, et al. The endothelial-specific microRNA miR-126 governs vascular integrity and angiogenesis. *Dev Cell*. 2008;15(2):261–271.
- 184.Zernecke A, et al. Delivery of microRNA-126 by apoptotic bodies induces CXCL12-dependent vascular protection. *Sci Signal*. 2009;2(100):ra81.
- 185.Schober A, et al. MicroRNA-126-5p promotes endothelial proliferation and limits atherosclerosis by suppressing Dlk1. *Nat Med*. 2014;20(4):368-376.
- 186.Halkein J, et al. MicroRNA-146a is a therapeutic target and biomarker for peripartum cardiomyopathy. J Clin Invest. 2013;123(5):2143–2154.
- 187. Sun X, et al. MicroRNA-181b regulates NF-κBmediated vascular inflammation. J Clin Invest. 2012;122(6):1973-1990.
- 188. Da Costa Martins PA, et al. MicroRNA-199b targets the nuclear kinase Dyrk1a in an auto-amplification loop promoting calcineurin/NFAT signalling. *Nat Cell Biol.* 2010;12(12):1220–1227.
- 189. Duygu B, et al. miR-199b-5p is a regulator of left ventricular remodeling following myocardial infarction. Noncoding RNA Res. 2017;2(1):18–26.
- 190. Aurora AB, et al. MicroRNA-214 protects the mouse heart from ischemic injury by controlling Ca²⁺ overload and cell death. J Clin Invest. 2012;122(4):1222–1232.
- 191. Liu X, et al. miR-222 is necessary for exerciseinduced cardiac growth and protects against

pathological cardiac remodeling. *Cell Metab*. 2015;21(4):584–595.

- 192.Verjans R, et al. MicroRNA-221/222 family counteracts myocardial fibrosis in pressure overload-induced heart failure. *Hypertension*. 2018;71(2):280–288.
- 193. Zeng Z, et al. Platelet-derived miR-223 promotes a phenotypic switch in arterial injury repair. J Clin Invest. 2019;129(3):1372–1386.
- 194. Lu Y, et al. MicroRNA-328 contributes to adverse electrical remodeling in atrial fibrillation. *Circulation*. 2010;122(23):2378-2387.
- 195.van Zandwijk N, et al. Safety and activity of

microRNA-loaded minicells in patients with recurrent malignant pleural mesothelioma: a first-in-man, phase 1, open-label, dose-escalation study. *Lancet Oncol.* 2017;18(10):1386–1396.

- 196. Deng Y, et al. Randomized clinical trials towards a single-visit cure for chronic hepatitis C: Oral GSK2878175 and injectable RG-101 in chronic hepatitis C patients and long-acting injectable GSK2878175 in healthy participants. *J Viral Hepat*. 2020;27(7):699–708.
- 197. van der Ree MH, et al. Safety, tolerability, and antiviral effect of RG-101 in patients with chronic hepatitis C: a phase 1B, double-

blind, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet*. 2017;389(10070):709-717.

- 198.Van Der Ree MH, et al. Long-term safety and efficacy of microRNA-targeted therapy in chronic hepatitis C patients. *Antiviral Res.* 2014;111:53–59.
- 199.Van Der Ree MH, et al. Miravirsen dosing in chronic hepatitis C patients results in decreased microRNA-122 levels without affecting other microRNAs in plasma. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther*. 2016;43(1):102-113.
- 200.Dirkx E, et al. Nfat and miR-25 cooperate to reactivate the transcription factor Hand2 in heart failure. *Nat Cell Biol.* 2013;15(11):1282–1293.