To cite: Tu R. Pan K-Y. Cai G.

et al. The role of education

in the association between

self-rated health and levels

cross-sectional study in rural

2019;9:e027659. doi:10.1136/

Prepublication history and

paper are available online. To

view these files, please visit

org/10.1136/bmjopen-2018-

Received 01 November 2018

Revised 14 October 2019

Accepted 16 October 2019

027659).

the journal online (http://dx.doi.

additional material for this

areas of China. BMJ Open

bmjopen-2018-027659

of C-reactive protein: a

BMJ Open The role of education in the association between self-rated health and levels of C-reactive protein: a cross-sectional study in rural areas of China

Raoping Tu,^{1,2,3} Kuan-Yu Pan,⁴ Guoxi Cai,^{2,5} Taro Yamamoto,² Hui-Xin Wang^{4,6}

ABSTRACT

Objectives This study aims to examine the association between self-rated health (SRH) and levels of C-reactive protein (CRP) among adults aged 45 to 101 years old in rural areas of China, and to explore the role of education in the association.

Design Cross-sectional study.

Setting The study population was derived from two databases in China: Nanping project (NP) and the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS). Participants There were 646 participants from a rural area of Nanping (NP) and 8555 rural participants from a national representative sample of China (CHARLS). Methods CRP was measured using a high sensitivity sandwich enzyme immunoassay in the NP and immunoturbidimetric assay in the CHARLS. SRH was assessed by SRH questionnaires and categorised into good and poor. Education was measured by the maximum years of schooling and dichotomised into illiterate and literate. Multivariate linear regression models were used to study the associations.

Results Compared to people with good SRH, those with poor SRH had higher levels of CRP in NP (β =0.16, 95% Cl -0.02 to 0.34) and in CHARLS (β =0.07, 95% Cl 0.02 to 0.11) after adjusting for potential confounders. Similar findings were observed in the pooled population (β =0.08, 95% Cl 0.03 to 0.12), especially in men (β =0.13, 95% Cl 0.06 to 0.20) and in literate people (β =0.12, 95% Cl 0.06 to 0.18).

Conclusion Poor SRH may be a predicator of elevated levels of CRP among middle-aged and older people in rural areas, especially in men and literate people.

Check for updates

© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2019. Re-use permitted under CC BY. Published by BMJ.

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

Dr Hui-Xin Wang; huixin.wang@su.se

Dr Taro Yamamoto; y-taro@nagasaki-u.ac.jp

INTRODUCTION

C-reactive protein (CRP), a marker of systemic inflammation, has been shown to be involved in crucial pathogenesis in a variety of negative health outcomes, including cardiovascular diseases,^{1 2} diabetes,³ cancer⁴ and cognitive decline.⁵ Since the value of CRP in the prediction of prognoses in health outcomes has been recognised, it is important, from a public health perspective, to identify people at risk of elevated CRP in an efficient and simple way.

Strengths and limitations of this study

- Our study population came from two databases, including one national representative sample derived from the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS), making our results highly generalisable to the national rural population of China.
- C-reactive protein (CRP) was an objective measure performed by health professionals using validated methods, making it more reliable than subjective measures.
- Cross-sectional study design prevented us from making causal inferences.
- Convenience sampling in the Nanping project and the relatively large proportion of CHARLS participants with missing values in CRP may have introduced bias.
- Residual confounding or hidden bias cannot be ruled out due to lack of information on some potential confounders, such as clinical cardiovascular risk factors (e.g., High-density lipoprotein cholesterol(H-DL-C); Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c)), acute inflammatory conditions and medication use.

Self-rated health (SRH) refers to an individual's subjective perception of his/her own health and can be easily measured. Despite this, SRH has been featured as a strong predictor for functional ability,⁶ chronic diseases⁷ and mortality.⁸ ⁹ Therefore, many health authorities have introduced SRH for surveillance.¹⁰ The association between SRH and CRP has been examined in previous studies, but the results were inconsistent.^{11–14} These discrepancies may be due to differences in characteristics of the study populations (e.g., age and sex) and study design. For example, a Japanese study demonstrated an association between poor SRH and an elevated CRP value in women, but not in men (age range 40-69).¹⁴ In contrast, in an US sample of younger adults (mean age 28.42±1.78), current SRH was not associated with CRP in women, whereas the association was shown in men.¹³ Among hospital-based studies, poor SRH was associated with higher CRP in female patients with coronary heart disease,¹² but not in patients with breast cancer.¹⁵ In community-based studies, there has been a cross-sectional association between SRH and CRP,^{13 14} but no evidence indicating longitudinal association.¹⁶

As SRH measures personal perception of health, it can be influenced by other factors beyond the real health status. For example, people with different educational levels may have different perceptions of health.¹⁷ This education-related difference in perception of health may further play a role in the association between SRH and health outcomes. Indeed, a stronger association between SRH and mortality among higher educated than lower educated individuals has been shown in two studies.^{18 19} Since CRP has been recognised as an important predicator of mortality,²⁰ education seems to modify its relationship with SRH.²¹ It is noteworthy that studies concerning the association between SRH and CRP were mostly conducted in developed countries where the study populations were relatively well educated.¹¹⁻¹⁴ To our knowledge, no study has focused on the difference in the association between SRH and CRP between illiterate and literate people. In China, despite the decrease in illiteracy from 1990 to 2010, there continues to be large difference between urban and rural areas: the rate of illiteracy in rural areas is two times more than that of urban areas.²² Considering the lack of resources in rural areas, identifying people at risk of negative health outcomes using a simple measure such as SRH is warranted.

In this study, we use two databases from China to examine the association between SRH and CRP among middle-aged and older people in rural areas, and to explore whether the SRH-CRP association varies across age ($45-60/\geq 60$), sex (men/women) and educational levels (illiterate/literate).

METHODS

Study population

Nanping project (NP)

NP is a 2015, voluntary participation, cross-sectional study consisting of residents aged 18 years or older from one county of Nanping City in Fujian Province, China. Seven villages were selected based on recommendations from local health workers, since the residents in these areas are known to be highly cooperative.

As showed in figure 1, a total of 797 people were enrolled in the NP. To match with the age range of study population from the CHARLS, we excluded 98 participants under 45 years old. Those with CRP concentrations higher than 6.25 mg/L in dried blood spots (DBS), which is comparable to 10 mg/L at serum level²³ (n=25), were excluded due to potential acute inflammatory conditions. After further excluding people with missing information on CRP (n=2), SRH (n=25) and on both CRP and SRH (n=1), 646 people remained in this study.



Figure 1 Flow chart of the study populations in Nanping project and China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study.

China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS)

The CHARLS is a nationally representative longitudinal study. Eligible people were selected through a multistage probability sampling, and detailed descriptions of sampling method are provided in the users' guide.²⁴ In this study, we used data from the baseline survey in 2011 because the CRP data were only available in that year. This is a secondary analysis of the CHARLS public database.

Overall, 17430 people were examined at baseline (figure 1). People who lived in communities, or in both villages and communities (n=4562), and had CRP >10 mg/L (n=429) were excluded. We further excluded people with missing data on CRP (n=3810), SRH (n=28) and on both CRP and SRH (n=46). Finally, 8555 (69%) people were included in the analytical sample.

Self-rated health (SRH)

SRH was assessed by one question: 'In general how would you rate your health?' Response options were 'good', 'average', 'poor' and 'very poor'.

C-reactive protein (CRP)

NP

Finger prick blood samples were collected by health workers using a filter paper, known as DBS. We kept the DBS at room temperature for a few days after being desiccated during the investigation period, then stored them in the Fujian Medical University at -20° . We used high sensitivity sandwich enzyme immunoassay method to measure CRP concentrations by applying monoclonal antibodies.²³ Further details of the protocols have been presented elsewhere.²⁵

CHARLS

The venous blood samples were collected by trained staff from local Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention (China CDC). Plasma samples were collected and preserved in 0.5 mL cryovial at -20° C, delivered to Beijing CDC within 2 weeks. Plasma CRP was determined by the immunoturbidimetric assay method at Capital Medical University.²⁶

In both cohorts, all participants were interviewed faceto-face by trained interviewers using a questionnaire that covers information on age, sex, education, marital status, smoking, alcohol consumption and health status. Height and weight were measured by interviewers using standard anthropometers.

Education level was determined by maximum years of schooling: 0year (illiterate), 1-6 years (elementary school), 7-9 years (junior high school), 10-12 years (senior high school) and >12 years (college or above). Due to the fact that more than 30% of both the NP and CHARLS samples were illiterate, we dichotomised education into 0year (illiterate) and >0year (literate). Age was dichotomised as 45–60 years versus ≥ 60 years old, and marital status as married versus non-married. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated by dividing weight (kg) by height squared (m²) and categorised as underweight (<18.5), normal weight (18.5-24.99), overweight (25-29.99) and obese (≥ 30) . Smoking was dichotomised into current smokers and non-current smokers (including former smokers). Alcohol consumption was categorised as regular drinkers (more than three times per week) and non-regular drinkers.

Health status was measured by asking the participants whether they had any moderate/severe disease symptoms (e.g., fever) in the last month, or used antihypertensive or antidiabetic medications in the NP, and whether they had ever been diagnosed by a doctor with any diseases (e.g., hypertension), or often suffered from any pain currently in CHARLS. People answering positively were categorised as unhealthy, otherwise healthy.

Statistical analysis

First, data from the NP and CHARLS were analysed separately. We applied one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) to examine the differences of CRP in characteristics in each data set by using F-distribution. The CRP variable was log-transformed because it was not normally distributed. The association between SRH and CRP was estimated by β -coefficient and a 95% CI using linear regression in two data sets. The first estimate was respective; in the second, data sets were pooled. Fixed-effect meta-analysis was used to examine the heterogeneity. Then we re-ran the linear regression using the pooled data set.

Age, sex and education were introduced into the basicadjusted model. Further, we additionally adjusted for marital status, smoking, alcohol consumption, BMI and health status.^{27 28} All analyses were repeated in the stratified analyses by age, sex and levels of education.

In order to compare our results with previous studies that included participant with formal education only, we performed additional linear regression analysis stratified by age and sex among illiterate and literate participants separately.

All statistical analyses were performed with Stata V.13.0 (Stata Corp).

Patient and public involvement

There were no participants involved in the development of this study.

RESULTS

Characteristics of the participants

The CRP levels across different characteristics of participants were compared in each data set separately. Table 1 shows that in both data sets that people with advanced age, higher BMI, poorer SRH or an unhealthy status were more likely to have elevated levels of CRP. The findings were inconsistent with sex, education, marital status, smoking and alcohol consumption in the two data sets. People with missing CRP values in NP and CHARLS were better educated and reported better health status compared with those who remained in the analyses (online supplementary tables S1 and S2).

SRH and CRP

Table 2 presents the association between SRH and CRP in the two individual populations. In the NP, a borderline statistically significant association was observed between very poor SRH and elevated levels of CRP (β =0.39, 95% CI -0.07 to 0.85) in basic-adjusted model, while the association was attenuated after adjusting for confounders $(\beta=0.29, 95\% \text{ CI} -0.15 \text{ to } 0.73)$. Despite insignificance, the estimated effect of SRH started to change direction from average SRH (β =-0.05) to poor SRH (β =0.10). In CHARLS, poor and very poor SRH were both associated with higher CRP (β =0.06, 95% CI 0 to 0.12; β =0.11, 95% CI 0.01 to 0.22). Considering the same pattern in both two data sets that poor and very poor SRH have similar effect on CRP and so as good and average SRH, and that there are limited number of participants with very poor SRH in NP, we combined 'good' and 'average' as good SRH, 'poor' and 'very poor' as poor SRH. Further, we found that poor SRH was associated with higher levels of CRP both in NP (β=0.16, 95% CI -0.02 to 0.34) and CHARLS $(\beta=0.07, 95\% \text{ CI } 0.02 \text{ to } 0.11)$ (table 2).

As the same direction of effect of estimate and a very low level of heterogeneity (I-squared <0.001%) were observed in the two data sets (data not shown), we pooled the data and re-ran the linear regression analyses in the combined populations. The association between poorer SRH and higher CRP was observed in the pooled population (β =0.08, 95% CI 0.03 to 0.12) (table 2).

The roles of age, sex and education in the association between SRH and CRP

The association between SRH and CRP stratified by age, sex, education is shown in figure 2. In middle-aged people, worse SRH was associated with higher CRP both in NP (β =0.42, 95% CI 0.14 to 0.71) and CHARLS (β =0.06, 95% CI –0.01 to 0.12). Among older people, a similar trend was observed in CHARLS (β =0.08, 95% CI 0.02 to 0.15), but not in the NP. When stratified by sex, we found a statistically significant SRH–CRP association among men both in NP (β =0.27, 95% CI –0.03 to 0.57)

Table 1 CRP values across characteristics of the study population						
	NP (n=646)		CHARLS (n=8555)			
	Median (IQR)*	P value†	Median (IQR)*	P value†		
Age		<0.001		<0.001		
45–60	0.6 (0.3 to 1.2)		0.9 (0.5 to 1.7)			
≥60	0.8 (0.4 to 1.8)		1.1 (0.6 to 2.1)			
Sex		0.011		0.003		
Men	0.6 (0.3 to 1.3)		1.0 (0.5 to 2.0)			
Women	0.8 (0.4 to 1.7)		0.9 (0.5 to 1.8)			
Education		0.004		0.316		
Illiterate	0.9 (0.4 to 1.8)		1.0 (0.5 to 2.0)			
Literate	0.6 (0.3 to 1.3)		0.9 (0.5 to 1.9)			
Marital status		0.495		<0.001		
Married	0.7 (0.3 to 1.5)		0.9 (0.5 to 1.9)			
Non-married	0.7 (0.4 to 1.7)		1.1 (0.6 to 2.4)			
Smoking		0.467		0.041		
Current smokers	0.6 (0.3 to 1.4)		1.0 (0.5 to 2.0)			
Non-current smokers	0.7 (0.4 to 1.6)		0.9 (0.5 to 1.9)			
Alcohol consumption		0.001		0.635		
Regular drinkers	0.5 (0.3 to 1.1)		0.9 (0.5 to 1.9)			
Non-regular drinkers	0.8 (0.4 to 1.6)		1.0 (0.5 to 1.9)			
BMI		<0.001		<0.001		
Underweight (<18.5)	0.5 (0.2 to 1.4)		0.8 (0.5 to 1.9)			
Normal weight (18.5–24.99)	0.6 (0.3 to 1.1)		0.8 (0.5 to 1.7)			
Overweight (25–29.99)	1.2 (0.6 to 2.3)		1.2 (0.7 to 2.3)			
Obese (≥30)	1.6 (1.0 to 4.4)		1.9 (0.9 to 3.3)			
Self-rated health		0.071		<0.001		
Good	0.6 (0.3 to 1.7)		0.9 (0.5 to 1.8)			
Average	0.7 (0.3 to 1.5)		0.9 (0.5 to 1.8)			
Poor	0.8 (0.4 to 1.5)		1.0 (0.6 to 2.1)			
Very poor	1.0 (0.5 to 2.3)		1.1 (0.6 to 2.3)			
Health status‡		0.002		<0.001		
Healthy	0.5 (0.3 to 1.3)		0.8 (0.5 to 1.7)			
Unhealthy	0.8 (0.4 to 1.6)		1.0 (0.5 to 2.0)			

Missing values: NP: 1 missing in health status. CHARLS: 2 missing in age, 7 missing in sex, 4 missing in education,1 missing in smoking, 3 missing in alcohol consumption, 1191 missing in BMI, 65 missing in health status.

*Median (Interquartile range, IQR).

†Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was applied to compare the mean of log-transformed values of CRP.

‡Health status: Unhealthy: Self-reported moderate to severe symptoms in the last month or used antihypertensive or antidiabetic medications (NP); Had been diagnosed by a doctor with any disease or often suffered from any pain currently (CHARLS). Healthy: no such report. BMI, body mass index; CHARLS, China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study; CRP, C-reactive protein; NP, Nanping project.

and CHARLS (β =0.12, 95% CI 0.05 to 0.19), but not in women. In a stratified analysis by education, the association between SRH and CRP was seen in literate people both in NP (β =0.26, 95% CI 0.02 to 0.51) and CHARLS (β =0.11, 95% CI 0.05 to 0.16), but not in illiterate people.

In the pooled population, the SRH–CRP association was repeated in the middle-aged (β =0.08, 95% CI 0.02 to 0.14), older people (β =0.08, 95% CI 0.02 to 0.15), men

 $(\beta=0.13, 95\%$ CI 0.06 to 0.20) and literate people ($\beta=0.12$, 95% CI 0.06 to 0.18) (figure 2).

Additional analyses

Identical trends with respect to the modifying effect of age and sex on the association between SRH and CRP were observed among literate people, but not among illiterate people (online supplementary table S3).

Table 2 Association between self-rated health and C-reactive protein							
	Model 1*		Model 2†	Model 2†			
	Ν	β (95% CI)	P value	β (95% Cl)	P value		
NP							
Good health	188	Ref.		Ref.			
Average	270	-0.03 (-0.22 to 0.17)	0.792	-0.05 (-0.24 to 0.14)	0.589		
Poor	165	0.12 (-0.10 to 0.34)	0.292	0.10 (-0.11 to 0.32)	0.349		
Very poor	23	0.39 (-0.07 to 0.85)	0.093	0.29 (-0.15 to 0.73)	0.202		
Good/Poor‡	458/188	0.17 (-0.01 to 0.35)	0.067	0.16 (-0.02 to 0.34)	0.077		
CHARLS							
Good health	1794	Ref.		Ref.			
Average	4157	0.01 (-0.04 to 0.06)	0.613	0 (-0.05 to 0.06)	0.911		
Poor	2157	0.10 (0.04 to 0.15)	0.001	0.06 (0 to 0.12)	0.055		
Very poor	447	0.16 (0.06 to 0.25)	0.001	0.11 (0.01 to 0.22)	0.036		
Good/Poor	5951/2604	0.10 (0.05 to 0.14)	< 0.001	0.07 (0.02 to 0.11)	0.004		
NP+CHARLS							
Good health	1982	Ref.		Ref.			
Average	4427	0.02 (-0.03 to 0.07)	0.379	0.01 (-0.04 to 0.06)	0.643		
Poor	2322	0.11 (0.05 to 0.16)	< 0.001	0.08 (0.02 to 0.14)	0.013		
Very poor	470	0.18 (0.09 to 0.28)	< 0.001	0.14 (0.04 to 0.24)	0.007		
Good/Poor	6409/2792	0.11 (0.06 to 0.15)	< 0.001	0.08 (0.03 to 0.12)	0.001		

*Adjusted for age, sex, education.

†Adjusted for age, sex, education, marital status, smoking, alcohol consumption, BMI, health status.

‡Good=Good+Average, Poor=Poor+Very poor.

CHARLS, China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study; NP, Nanping project.

DISCUSSION

In this study, based on 9201 residents in rural area of China, we found that poor SRH was associated with an elevated level of CRP in middle-aged and older people, especially among men and literate people.

Our finding of the association between poorer SRH and higher CRP level was in line with results from previous studies that included participants at similar age as our study participants.^{11 14} Yet, those studies mainly included people living in industrialised countries with higher education, while our participants resided in less developed country with features of low literacy.

Possible pathways linking poor SRH and an elevated level of CRP could be related to psychological stress and health behaviours. Poor SRH may reflect a poor physical (e.g., inaccessibility to health service) and social (e.g., limited social network) environment, which can limit one's coping ability and induce psychological stress. It is known that stress can activate the sympathetic nervous system and the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis, contributing to the production of stress hormones, which in turn increase the secretion of CRP.^{29 30} In addition, people with poor SRH were less likely to have an active lifestyle.³¹ Having an inactive lifestyle has been suggested to potentially weaken the immune system and facilitate the inflammation processes through the release of pro-inflammatory adipokines.³²

It is notable that poor SRH was associated with an elevated CRP level in literate participants, but not in illiterate participants, which was consistent with one previous study.²¹ Similar findings were also shown in studies focusing on SRH and mortality.¹⁸ ¹⁹ One of the possible explanations may be that illiterate people are often lack of health-related knowledge and access to healthcare,¹⁷ and thus may misinterpret the feeling that they have in their bodies.³³ It has been shown that poor SRH in the less educated people mainly represents less serious diseases.³⁴ In our study, we also found that illiterate people were more likely to rate their health as poor and to report illness or pain both in NP and CHARLS. Moreover, illiterate people may have to withstand more pressure as they have less social and financial resources. Thus, other factors may contribute to the reported poor SRH, rather than actual health condition.

We found that SRH–CRP associations were only observed in men, but not in women, which may be due to the potential sex differences in reporting SRH. Previous studies have shown that the poor SRH in women can reflect both serious and non-serious diseases, whereas it tends to reflect serious diseases in men.³⁵ Broad dimensions of health perceptions may lead to less accurate SRH in women. In addition, the proportion of illiterate people among women is much higher than that among men in

N (Go	od/Poor SRH)		$\beta^*(95\%~CI)$	Р
1.NP				
45≤Age<60	208/59		- 0.42 (0.14 to 0.71)	0.003
Age≥60	250/129	+	0.03 (-0.20 to 0.26)	0.792
Men	192/69	+	0.27 (-0.03 to 0.57)	0.075
Women	266/119		0.10 (-0.12 to 0.32)	0.365
Illiterate	174/91		0.04 (-0.22 to 0.30)	0.743
Literate	284/97		0.26 (0.02 to 0.51)	0.034
2.CHARLS				
45≤Age<60	3468/1235		0.06 (-0.01 to 0.12)	0.074
Age≥60	2481/1369	+	0.08 (0.02 to 0.15)	0.013
Men	2989/1053		0.12 (0.05 to 0.19)	0.001
Women	2957/1549		0.02 (-0.04 to 0.08)	0.420
Illiterate	1767/1068		0 (-0.08 to 0.07)	0.960
Literate	4181/1535	•-	0.11 (0.05 to 0.16)	< 0.001
3.NP+CHARLS	5			
45≤Age<60	3676/1294		0.08 (0.02 to 0.14)	0.013
Age≥60	2731/1498		0.08 (0.02 to 0.15)	0.012
Men	3181/1122	_ _	0.13 (0.06 to 0.20)	<0.001
Women	3223/1668	+	0.03 (-0.03 to 0.09)	0.276
Illiterate	1941/1159		0.01 (-0.07 to 0.08)	0.862
Literate	4465/1632	_	0.12 (0.06 to 0.18)	< 0.001

Figure 2 β-coefficient and 95% CI of CRP in relation to poor self-rated health from linear regression models stratified by age, sex and education in NP, CHARLS, and the pooled populations of the two data sets. SRH is dichotomised as poor to very poor versus good to average. When stratified by age, models are adjusted for sex, education, marital status, smoking, alcohol consumption, BMI, health status; when stratified by sex, models are adjusted for age, education, marital status, smoking, alcohol consumption, BMI, health status; when stratified by education, models are adjusted for age, sex, marital status, smoking, alcohol consumption, BMI, health status. *The average CRP changes in response to one-unit shift in SRH. BMI, body mass index; CHARLS, China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study; NP, Nanping project; SRH, self-rated health.

both data sets. This may explain the inconsistent findings between our study (6% participants with more than 9 years of schooling) and the Iwate-KENCO study from Japan, in which the corresponding figure was 46%.¹⁴

Findings from two data sets were not completely consistent. The association between poor SRH and elevated CRP values among older people (aged ≥ 60 years) was observed in CHARLS, but not in NP. In both populations, poor SRH was only associated with higher CRP in men, not in women. One of the explanations for these findings may be related to educational levels in the two study populations. Indeed, the proportion of illiterate people was relatively higher in older adults in NP (76.2%) than in CHARLS (58.3%), and there was a higher proportion of illiterate people in women in both populations. Second, we observed similar age and sex differences in the associations between SRH and CRP among the literate: poor SRH was associated with elevated CRP values, especially in men, which was the same as the main results. This suggests that education might play a role in the SRH–CRP association.

The strengths of this study include the objective measure of CRP, the use of two different study populations to increase the confidence of our findings, and the high generalisability of our results to rural population of China given the use of national representative sample, CHARLS.

There are several limitations that should be considered. First, the cross-sectional study design prevented us from making causal inferences. Second, CRP was evaluated using different methods in NP and CHARLS. Nevertheless, the association between SRH and CRP did not differ between the two cohorts. Third, the self-reported SRH and some of the covariates may introduce reporting bias. Fourth, selection bias may arise due to the use of convenience sampling in NP. However, the results from NP were similar to those from CHARLS, which is a national representative sample. Finally, residual confounding or hidden bias cannot be ruled out due to lack of information on some potential confounders, such as clinical cardiovascular risk factors (e.g., High-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C); Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c)), acute inflammatory conditions and medication use.

This study provides evidence that SRH, a simple measurement, may be used as an indicator of bad physical health among middle-aged and older literate people, but not among the illiterate people, in rural area. In China, the implementation of health surveillance is more challenging in rural than in urban areas because of the discrepant ageing processes,³⁶ knowledge gaps²² and income inequality between these two areas. Elevated CRP has been associated with various physical¹⁻⁴ and psychological health outcomes.^{37 38} Thus, our results support the consideration of using an efficient and cost-effective way, such as SRH, to monitor the health status in rural population where medical resources are limited. Future studies are needed to confirm our results and extend these findings to larger and more diverse populations. Moreover, identification of simple health indicators for illiterate people is warranted.

Author affiliations

¹Department of Community Nursing, School of Nursing, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou, China

²Department of International Health and Medical Anthropology, Institute of Tropical Medicine (NEKKEN), Nagasaki University, Nagasaki, Japan

³Leading Program, Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, Nagasaki University, Nagasaki, Japan

⁴Aging Research Center, Department of Neurobiology, Care Sciences and Society (NVS), Karolinska Institutet and Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden ⁵Department of Public Health, Nagasaki Prefectural Institute of Environment and Public Health, Nagasaki, Japan

⁶Stress Research Institute, Faculty of Social Sciences, Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden

Acknowledgements We would like to express our sincere gratitude to the participants and local staff in Nanping project (NP). We are grateful for those supporters: Harvard University (Aki Yazawa); National Center for Global Health and Medicine, Japan (Yosuke Inoue); Nagasaki Prefectural Institute of Environment and Public Health (Guoxi Cai); Fujian Medical University (Fei He, Jie Chen); Fujian

Open access

Provincial Center for Disease Control and Prevention (Meng Huang) during the data collection in NP. Data from China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS) were collected by the National School of Development at Peking University, China. We appreciate the University of Copenhagen (Tianwei Xu); Fujian Provincial Center for Disease Control and Prevention (Xiuquan Lin); Nagasaki University (Sabin Nundu) for providing valuable comments in analysis and interpretation of data.

Contributors HXW, RPT and KYP conceptualised the study. RPT analysed the data and drafted the manuscript. HXW, KYP, GXC and TY contributed to critical revisions of the manuscript. RPT and HXW are responsible for ensuring the integrity and accuracy of the study. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding This study is financed by the Program for Nurturing Global Leaders in Tropical and Emerging Communicable Diseases, Graduate School of Biomedical Sciences, Nagasaki University, Japan (RPT); the Swedish Research Council (Grant no: 2018-02998) and the Swedish Research Council for Health, Working Life and Welfare (Forte) (2019-01120) (HXW); the Ministry of Education of Taiwan, the Swedish National Graduate School on Ageing and Health (SWEAH), and Gamla Tjänarinnor Foundation (2016-00358) (KYP). NP was financially supported by the JSPS KAKENHI from the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (13J06172).

Competing interests None declared.

6

Patient consent for publication Not required.

Ethics approval The Ethics Committee for Medical Research at the University of Tokyo (No. 10515-(1)) and the Ethics Committee of the Institute of Tropical Medicine at Nagasaki University (No.120910100-5) approved the study protocol of NP. The Medical Ethics Committee of Peking University approved the research protocol of CHARLS.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement All of the CHARLS data will be accessible to researchers around the world at the CHARLS project website (http://charls.pku.edu. cn/en). No additional data available.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Unported (CC BY 4.0) license, which permits others to copy, redistribute, remix, transform and build upon this work for any purpose, provided the original work is properly cited, a link to the licence is given, and indication of whether changes were made. See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

REFERENCES

- Black PH, Garbutt LD. Stress, inflammation and cardiovascular disease. J Psychosom Res 2002;52:1–23.
- 2 Ridker PM. From C-reactive protein to interleukin-6 to interleukin-1. *Circ Res* 2016;118:145–56.
- 3 Barzilaym JI, Freedland ES. Inflammation and its relationship to insulin resistance, type 2 diabetes mellitus, and endothelial dysfunction. *Metab Syndr Relat Disord* 2003;1:55–67.
- 4 Wang J, Lee I-M, Tworoger SS, et al. Plasma C-reactive protein and risk of breast cancer in two prospective studies and a meta-analysis. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev 2015;24:1199–206.
- 5 Panza F, Solfrizzi V, Logroscino G, et al. Current epidemiological approaches to the metabolic-cognitive syndrome. J Alzheimers Dis 2012;30:S31–75.
- 6 Idler EL, Kasl SV. Self-ratings of health: do they also predict change in functional ability? J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci 1995;50B:S344–53.
- 7 Latham K, Peek CW. Self-Rated health and morbidity onset among late midlife U.S. adults. J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci 2013;68:107–16.
- 8 DeSalvo KB, Bloser N, Reynolds K, et al. Mortality prediction with a single general self-rated health question. J Gen Intern Med 2006;21:267–75.
- 9 Singh-Manoux A, Dugravot A, Shipley MJ, et al. The association between self-rated health and mortality in different socioeconomic groups in the GAZEL cohort study. Int J Epidemiol 2007;36:1222–8.
- 10 Salomon JA, Nordhagen S, Oza S, et al. Are Americans feeling less healthy? The puzzle of trends in self-rated health. Am J Epidemiol 2009;170:343–51.
- 11 Christian LM, Glaser R, Porter K, et al. Poorer self-rated health is associated with elevated inflammatory markers among older adults. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* 2011;36:1495–504.

- 12 Janszky I, Lekander M, Blom M, et al. Self-rated health and vital exhaustion, but not depression, is related to inflammation in women with coronary heart disease. Brain Behav Immun 2005;19:555–63.
- 13 Shanahan L, Bauldry S, Freeman J, *et al.* Self-rated health and C-reactive protein in young adults. *Brain Behav Immun* 2014;36:139–46.
- 14 Tanno K, Ohsawa M, Onoda T, et al. Poor self-rated health is significantly associated with elevated C-reactive protein levels in women, but not in men, in the Japanese general population. J Psychosom Res 2012;73:225–31.
- 15 Orre IJ, Reinertsen KV, Aukrust P, et al. Higher levels of fatigue are associated with higher CRP levels in disease-free breast cancer survivors. J Psychosom Res 2011;71:136–41.
- 16 Tamura T, Naito M, Maruyama K, et al. The association between self-rated health and high-sensitivity C-reactive protein level: a cross-sectional and 5-year longitudinal study. BMC Public Health 2018;18:1380.
- 17 Spuling SM, Huxhold O, Wurm S. Predictors of self-rated health: does education play a role above and beyond age? *J Gerontol B Psychol Sci Soc Sci* 2017;72:415–24.
- 18 Dowd JB, Zajacova A. Does the predictive power of self-rated health for subsequent mortality risk vary by socioeconomic status in the US? Int J Epidemiol 2007;36:1214–21.
- 19 Huisman M, van Lenthe F, Mackenbach J. The predictive ability of self-assessed health for mortality in different educational groups. *Int J Epidemiol* 2007;36:1207–13.
- 20 Li Y, Zhong X, Cheng G, et al. Hs-CRP and all-cause, cardiovascular, and cancer mortality risk: a meta-analysis. *Atherosclerosis* 2017;259:75–82.
- 21 Dowd JB, Zajacova A. Does self-rated health mean the same thing across socioeconomic groups? Evidence from biomarker data. *Ann Epidemiol* 2010;20:743–9.
- 22 Guo S, Guo Y. Spotlight on China: changes in education under China's market economy. Springer, 2016.
- 23 Brindle E, Fujita M, Shofer J, et al. Serum, plasma, and dried blood spot high-sensitivity C-reactive protein enzyme immunoassay for population research. J Immunol Methods 2010;362:112–20.
- 24 Zhao Y, Strauss J, Yang G, et al. China health and retirement longitudinal study–2011–2012 national baseline users' guide. National School of Development, Peking University, 2013.
- 25 Yazawa A, Inoue Y, Cai G, et al. Epstein-Barr virus antibody titer as a stress biomarker and its association with social capital in rural Fujian communities, China. Am J Hum Biol 2018;30:e23135.
- 26 Zhao Y, Crimmins E, Hu P, et al. CHARLS blood sample users' guide. National School of Development, Peking University, 2014.
- 27 Kiecolt-Glaser JK, Gouin J-P, Hantsoo L. Close relationships, inflammation, and health. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 2010;35:33–8.
- 28 Zheng H, Thomas PA, status M. Marital status, self-rated health, and mortality: overestimation of health or diminishing protection of marriage? J Health Soc Behav 2013;54:128–43.
- 29 Coussons-Read ME, Okun ML, Nettles CD. Psychosocial stress increases inflammatory markers and alters cytokine production across pregnancy. *Brain Behav Immun* 2007;21:343–50.
- 30 Shivpuri S, Gallo LC, Crouse JR, et al. The association between chronic stress type and C-reactive protein in the multi-ethnic study of atherosclerosis: does gender make a difference? J Behav Med 2012;35:74–85.
- 31 Molarius A, Berglund K, Eriksson C, *et al.* Socioeconomic conditions, lifestyle factors, and self-rated health among men and women in Sweden. *Eur J Public Health* 2007;17:125–33.
- 32 Gleeson M, Bishop NC, Stensel DJ, *et al*. The anti-inflammatory effects of exercise: mechanisms and implications for the prevention and treatment of disease. *Nat Rev Immunol* 2011;11:607–15.
- 33 Franks P, Gold MR, Fiscella K. Sociodemographics, self-rated health, and mortality in the US. Soc Sci Med 2003;56:2505–14.
- 34 Regidor E, Guallar-Castillón P, Gutiérrez-Fisac JL, et al. Socioeconomic variation in the magnitude of the association between self-rated health and mortality. *Ann Epidemiol* 2010;20:395–400.
- 35 Benyamini Y, Leventhal EA, Leventhal H. Gender differences in processing information for making self-assessments of health. *Psychosom Med* 2000;62:354–64.
- 36 Gong P, Liang S, Carlton EJ, et al. Urbanisation and health in China. The Lancet 2012;379:843–52.
- 37 Valkanova V, Ebmeier KP, Allan CL. Crp, IL-6 and depression: a systematic review and meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. J Affect Disord 2013;150:736–44.
- 38 Wium-Andersen MK, Ørsted DD, Nielsen SF, et al. Elevated C-Reactive Protein Levels, Psychological Distress, and Depression in 73 131 Individuals. JAMA Psychiatry 2013;70:176–84.