



Article Basal Autophagy Is Necessary for A Pharmacologic PPARα Transactivation

Eun Young Kim¹ and Jae Man Lee^{1,2,*}

- ¹ Department of Biochemistry and Cell Biology, Cell and Matrix Research Institute, School of Medicine, Kyungpook National University, Daegu 41944, Korea; key11@knu.ac.kr
- ² BK21 FOUR KNU Biomedical Convergence Program, Department of Biomedical Science, The Graduate School, Kyungpook National University, Daegu 41944, Korea
- * Correspondence: jaemanlee@knu.ac.kr

Abstract: Autophagy is a conserved cellular process of catabolism leading to nutrient recycling upon starvation and maintaining tissue and energy homeostasis. Tissue-specific loss of core-autophagyrelated genes often triggers diverse diseases, including cancer, neurodegeneration, inflammatory disease, metabolic disorder, and muscle disease. The nutrient-sensing nuclear receptors peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor α (PPAR α) plays a key role in fasting-associated metabolisms such as autophagy, fatty acid oxidation, and ketogenesis. Here we show that autophagy defects impede the transactivation of PPAR α . Liver-specific ablation of the Atg7 gene in mice showed reduced expression levels of PPAR α target genes in response to its synthetic agonist ligands. Since NRF2, an antioxidant transcription factor, is activated in autophagy-deficient mice due to p62/SQSTM1 accumulation and its subsequent interaction with KEAP1, an E3 ubiquitin ligase. We hypothesize that the nuclear accumulation of NRF2 by autophagy defects blunts the transactivation of PPAR α . Consistent with this idea, we find that NRF2 activation is sufficient to inhibit the pharmacologic transactivation of PPAR α , which is dependent on the Nrf2 gene. These results reveal an unrecognized requirement of basal autophagy for the transactivation of PPAR α by preventing NRF2 from a nuclear translocation and suggest a clinical significance of basal autophagy to expect a pharmacologic efficacy of synthetic PPARα ligands.

Keywords: basal autophagy; PPARα; ATG7; NRF2; KEAP1; transactivation; gene expression

1. Introduction

Autophagy is an evolutionarily conserved catabolic and adaptive process induced by diverse conditions, including the deprivation of nutrient or growth factors, pathogen infection, hypoxia, and even exercise [1–7]. This leads to nutrient recycling, prevents cellular damage, and maintains tissues homeostasis in response to energy shortage and constant external and internal harmful insults. Therefore, autophagy has inevitable cytoprotective roles and needs to be precisely and tightly controlled to properly respond to various stimuli. It has been shown that autophagy dysfunctions are intimately associated with a wide range of human pathogenesis, including neurodegeneration, inflammatory and metabolic diseases, and cancers [3,8,9]. Recent studies reveal that autophagy used to be considered a nonselective process is able to selectively eliminate unwanted, potentially cytotoxic molecules, such as damaged organelles or aggregated proteins, serving as a primary cytoprotective function [10,11]. This leads to intensive research to modulate autophagy activity due to its therapeutic potential in many human diseases [12].

Macroautophagy is the major type of autophagy and has been most intensively studied. However, it is important to note that there are two other types of autophagy: microautophagy and chaperone-mediated autophagy. The initial genetic screen in yeasts identified many core autophagy-related genes. Subsequent studies have found that they are highly



Citation: Kim, E.Y.; Lee, J.M. Basal Autophagy Is Necessary for A Pharmacologic PPARα Transactivation. *Cells* **2022**, *11*, 754. https://doi.org/10.3390/cells11040754

Academic Editors: Dong-Hyung Cho and Alexander E. Kalyuzhny

Received: 7 January 2022 Accepted: 17 February 2022 Published: 21 February 2022

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). conserved in mammals, including mice and humans. For example, Atg1 and Atg6 in yeast are homologs of mammalian ULK1 and Beclin1, respectively [13].

In particular, tissue-specific *Atg7* ablation using a Cre-loxp system in mice has revealed that core autophagy-related genes encoding macroautophagy machinery proteins are essential for maintaining almost every tissue homeostasis [14–20]. It has also been shown that liver-specific *Atg7* (*Atg7*^{LKO}) knockout mice showed hepatomegaly phenotypes and marked accumulations of p62, an autophagy adaptor protein [17,21]. Increased protein levels of p62 are capable of interacting with KEAP1, an E3 ubiquitin ligase, which liberates NRF2 from the ubiquitin-mediated proteasomal degradation system. As a potent antioxidant transcription factor, the nuclear NRF2 binds to the antioxidant response element (ARE) of many cytoprotective genes such as *Nqo1*, *Hmox1*, *Gsta1*, and so forth and upregulates their expressions to remove reactive oxygen species [22,23].

Among 48 members of nuclear receptors in the human genome, PPAR α is the most notable nuclear receptor activated during fasting and regulates the expression of genes involved in fasting-mediated metabolism, including fatty acid oxidation and ketogenesis [24–27]. Consistent with its essential roles during fasting, Lee et al. showed that PPAR α is necessary for fasting-induced autophagy and that pharmacological activation of PPAR α is sufficient for induction of autophagy even in fed state [28,29]. Particularly, PPAR α plays a key role in the induction of lipophagy, a selective autophagy for lipid droplets. This may provide peroxisomes and mitochondria with free fatty acids for β -oxidation and ketogenesis [28,29].

In this study, we want to define whether autophagy activity affects a pharmacological activation of nuclear receptor PPAR α . A previous study has already suggested that both *Atg7* knockdown in AML12 cells and *Atg7*^{LKO} mice showed reduced concentrations of ketone body in response to synthetic PPAR α agonists [28]. This result allows us to further investigate whether basal autophagy is essential for the pharmacologic activation of PPAR α . We found that autophagy inhibition in vivo and in cultured cells blunts pharmacological induction of many PPAR α target genes. Furthermore, as it has been reported that there are crosstalks between NRF2 and PPAR γ , the closest homolog of PPAR α in various tissues [30–34], NRF2 activation is often observed in conditions of autophagic defects might interfere with the pharmacological activation of PPAR α in the nucleus.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Chemicals and Reagents

Wild-type C57BL/6J mice were purchased from Japan SLC, Inc. (Hamamatsu, Shizuoka, Japan) (C57BL/6JJmsSlc); Atg7^{F/F} (RBRC02759) [17] mice were purchased from RIKEN BioResource Research Center (Tsukuba, Ibaraki, Japan); Alb-Cre mice (strain #003574) [35] and Nrf2^{F/F} mice (strain #025433) [29,36] were purchased from Jackson laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME, USA); Keap1^{F/F} mice [37] were obtained from Masayuki Yamamoto laboratory. AML12 cells were purchased from ATCC (Manassas, VA, USA) (CRL-2254); GW7647 (Cat. #10008613), Wy-14,643 (Cat. #70703), and L-sulforaphane (SFN, Cat. #14797) from Cayman; dimethylfumarate (DMF, Cat. #242926), butylated hydroxyanisole (BHA, Cat. #B1253-500G), dexamethasone (Cat. #D4902), polyethylene glycol 400 (PEG 400, Cat. #P3265-1KG), 3-methyladenine (Cat. #M9281) and Tween 80 (Cat. #P1754-500ML) from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA); Bafilomycin A1 (BafA1, Cat. #BML-CM110) from Enzo Life Science (Farmingdale, NY, USA); HyClone DMEM/F12 (Cat. #SH30023.01) and fetal bovine serum (FBS, Cat. #SH20084.03) from HyClone (Logan, UT, USA); Trizol Reagent (Cat. #15596018) from Invitrogen (Waltham, MA, USA); RbTaqTM qPCR 2X PreMIX (SYBR Green with high ROX, Cat. #RT531M) from Enzynomics (Daejeon, Korea); PrimeScriptTM 1st strand cDNA Synthesis kit (Cat. #6110A) from TaKaRa Bio Inc. (Kusatsu, Shiga, Japan); cOmpleteTM, Mini, EDTA-free Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Cat. #11836170001), PhosSTOPTM (Cat. #04906837001), and Insulin-Transferrin-Sodium Selenite Supplement (ITS, Cat. #11074547001) from Roche (Basel, Switzerland); penicillinstreptomycin (Cat. #15140122), PierceTM BCA protein assay kit (Cat. #23225) and Pierce

ECL Western Blotting Substrate (Cat. #32106) from Thermo Scientific (Waltham, MA, USA); BSA (Cat. #0332-100G) from VWR (Radnor, PA, USA); Immun-Blot PVDF Membrane (Cat. #1620177) from Bio-Rad Laboratories (Hercules, CA, USA); Rabbit monoclonal anti- β actin (13E5) antibody (Cat. #5125, 1:3000 dilution), Rabbit monoclonal anti-Atg7 (D12B11) antibody (Cat. #8558S, 1:1000 dilution), Rabbit SQSTM1/p62 antibody (Cat. #5114S, 1:1000 dilution) and anti-rabbit IgG HRP-linked antibody (Cat. #7074S, 1:3000 dilution) from Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA, USA); Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, Cat. #sc-358801) from Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc. (Dallas, TX, USA); $Atg5^{-/-}$ (RCB 2711) and $Atg7^{-/-}$ (RCB 3707) MEFs from Riken BRC. Information for other reagents not shown here is described in the relevant methods and references.

2.2. Animal Studies

All animal studies and procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the Kyungpook National University (KNU-2020-036). Wild-type mice were C57BL/6J mice. Male *Alb-Cre/+* mice were first bred with either female $Atg7^{F/F}$, *Keap1^{F/F}*, or *Nrf2^{F/F}* mice to generate male *Alb-Cre*; *Atg7^{F/+}*, *Alb-Cre*; *Keap1^{F/+}*, or *Alb-Cre*; $Nrf2^{F/+}$ mice. These mice were then further bred with female $Atg7^{F/F}$, $Keap1^{F/F}$, or $Nrf2^{F/F}$ mice to generate Alb-Cre; Atg7^{F/F} (Atg7^{LKO}), Alb-Cre; Keap1^{F/F} (Keap1^{LKO}), or Alb-Cre; Nrf2^{F/F} mice $(Nrf2^{LKO})$ that showed a hepatocyte-specific ablation of either Atg7, Keap1, or Nrf2 gene, respectively. All experiments were performed in ad libitum fed male mice unless otherwise indicated. To activate PPAR α in the liver, 8–9 week-old male wild-type C57BL/6J, Atg7^{F/F}, Atg7^{LKO}, Alb-Cre/+, Keap1^{LKO}, Nrf2^{F/F}, or Nrf2^{LKO} mice were intraperitoneally injected with vehicle (0.1% dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) in 90:5:5 of saline, PEG-400, and Tween 80, respectively) or GW7647 (10 mg/kg BW) twice a day (first injection at 00:00 and second injection at 12:00). A total of 5 h after the second injection, mice were sacrificed to collect livers (Figures 1, S1, 4 and 5). To activate NRF2, 8–9 week-old male wild-type C57BL/6J, Nrf2^{F/F}, or Nrf2^{LKO} mice were orally gavaged with either vehicle or butylated hydroxyanisole (BHA, 200 mg/kg BW) once a day at 12:00 for 3 days (Figures 4 and 5). To avoid circadian issues, all mice were sacrificed at 17:00-18:00.

2.3. Cell Culture and Drug Treatments

AML12 cells were maintained in the complete media: DMEM/F12 high glucose supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% ITS, 1% penicillin-streptomycin antibiotics, and 40 ng/mL dexamethasone. About 70–80% of confluent cells were seeded in a 6- or 12-well plate in a 1:5 ratio 48 h before drug treatments. To assess a pharmacological activity of PPAR α in an autophagy-inhibited condition (Figure 1c-e and Figure S2c-e), these cells were treated with either bafilomycin A1 (BafA1) or 3-methyladenine (3-MA) in a dose-dependent manner (BafA1 and 3-MA, 0.1, 0.5, or 1 μ M). Simultaneously, they were also treated with synthetic PPAR α agonists 1 μ M GW7647 or 100 μ M Wy-14,643. To determine the pharmacological activity of PPAR α in NRF2-activated conditions, AML12 cells were treated with either sulforaphane (SFN, 12.5, 25, or 50 μ M) or dimethylfumarate (DMF, 50, 75, or 100 μ M) in a dose-dependent manner. Likewise, these cells were also dose-dependently treated with GW7647 (0.01, 0.1, or 1 µM) or Wy-14,643 (1, 10, or 100 µM). On the other hand, AML12 cells were treated with either sulforaphane (25 µM SFN, 6, 12, or 18 h) or dimethylfumarate (75 or 100 µM DMF, 6, 12, or 18 h) in a time-dependent manner. These cells were simultaneously treated with 1 μ M GW7647 or 100 μ M Wy-14,643 for 24 h. The vehicle is 0.1% DMSO. Mouse embryonic fibroblasts derived from wild-type, $Atg5^{-/-}$, or $Atg7^{-/-}$ mouse embryos were maintained in DMEM high glucose supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% ITS, and 1% penicillin-streptomycin antibiotics.



Figure 1. Autophagy inhibition impairs pharmacologic PPAR α transactivation. (a) A schematic diagram of an experimental procedure in mice. Fed or 24 h fasted Atg7^{F/F}, and Atg7^{LKO} mice were intraperitoneally injected with vehicle (0.1% DMSO in 4:1 ratio of PEG 400 and Tween 80) or GW7647, a synthetic PPAR α agonist (10 mg/kg BW) twice a day. A total of 5 h after last treatment, all mice were killed to collect livers, of which total RNAs were isolated for qPCR analysis. (b) Hepatic expression levels of PPAR α target genes Acox1, Acot3, Fgf21, Pdk4, Acot1, and Cidec were determined in Atg7^{F/F} and $Atg7^{LKO}$ mice shown in panel (a) by qPCR analysis. n = 4 per group, ** p < 0.01 vs. $Atg7^{F/F}$ mice treated with vehicle. ## p < 0.01. Data represent mean \pm s.e.m. and are plotted as fold change. Each dot indicates an individual mouse. Statistics by two-tailed t-test. (c) A schematic diagram of an experimental procedure in AML12 cells, a mouse hepatocyte-derived cell line. AML12 cells were treated with bafilomycin A1 (BafA1: 0.1, 0.5, or 1 µM), a known autophagy inhibitor in the absence or presence of synthetic PPAR α agonists (1 μ M of GW764 or 100 μ M of Wy-14,643) for 24 h. Vehicle is 0.1% DMSO. Total RNAs from these cells were prepared to perform qPCR analysis. (d,e) Expression levels of PPAR α target genes *Pdk4*, *Acot3*, and *Ucp2*, were determined in AML12 cells shown in panel (c) by qPCR analysis. n = 3 per group, ** p < 0.01 vs. AML12 cells treated with vehicle. ## p < 0.01 vs. AML12 cells treated with GW7647 or Wy-14,643. Data represent mean \pm s.e.m. and are plotted as fold change. Each dot indicates an individual sample. Statistics by a two-tailed, unpaired Student *t*-test. BW, body weight.

2.4. RNA Purification, cDNA Synthesis, and qPCR Analysis

Total RNA was purified from the snap-frozen mouse liver or AML12 cells using Trizol Reagent according to the manufacturer's instructions (Invitrogen: Waltham, MA, USA). RNA concentration and quality were determined by Nanodrop. A total of 1 μ g of total RNA was converted to cDNA using PrimeScriptTM 1st strand cDNA Synthesis kit (Takara Bio Inc.: Kusatsu, Shiga, Japan). qPCR was performed with RbTaqTM qPCR 2X PreMIX (SYBR Green with high ROX) (Enzynomics: Daejeon, Korea) on StepOnePlus Real-Time PCR systems (Applied Biosystems: Waltham, MA, USA). All reactions were carried out in either duplicate or triplicate, and C_t values were obtained. mRNA levels were normalized to the expression levels of the housekeeping gene *36b4* (also known as *Rplp0*) using the standard curve method. Information of mouse primer sequences for qPCR analysis is listed in Table S1.

2.5. Immunoblot Analysis

~100 mg of frozen liver tissue was homogenized in 1 mL of ice-cold RIPA buffer (25 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.6, 150 mM NaCl, 1% NP-40, 1% sodium deoxycholate, 1 mM EDTA) supplemented with cOmplete protease and PhosSTOP inhibitors (Roche: Basel, Switzerland), followed by brief sonication in ice. The lysates were centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 15 min at 4 °C to collect supernatants, of which protein concentration was determined by the Pierce BCA protein assay kit (ThermoFisher Scientific: Waltham, MA, USA). A total of 25 μ g of protein lysates were loaded on a 10% SDS-PAGE gel to be resolved, and the proteins were transferred onto an Immuno-Blot PVDF membrane (Bio-Rad Laboratories: Hercules, CA, USA). The membrane was blocked with 5% BSA/TBST (Tris-buffered saline with 0.1% Tween-20) solution for 1 h at room temperature. Primary antibodies were diluted in 5% BSA/TBST solution, which was applied onto the membranes at $4 \,^{\circ}$ C for 16 h. The membrane was washed three times at room temperature (each wash for 15 min; the first and second washes with 5% BSA/TBST solution; the third wash with TBST solution). After washes, the membrane was incubated with an anti-rabbit IgG HRP-linked antibody (Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA, USA)) at room temperature for 1 h, followed by three washes as shown above. The bands were visualized using the Pierce ECL Western Blotting Substrate (ThermoFisher Scientific: Waltham, MA, USA).

2.6. Statistical Analysis

All values are shown as mean \pm s.e.m. and error bars were derived from biological replicates rather than technical replicates. Significant differences between the two groups were evaluated using a two-tailed, unpaired Student *t*-test, which was found to be appropriate as groups displayed a normal distribution, and comparable variance of *p* < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

3.1. Autophagy Inhibition Impairs a Pharmacologic PPARa Transactivation

To examine any crosstalk between autophagy and nuclear receptor signaling, liverspecific *Atg7* knockout (*Atg7^{LKO}*) mice were generated by crossing male *Alb-Cre* mice with female $Atg7^{F/F}$ mice. Consistent with previous reports, hepatomegaly phenotypes were observed in $Atg7^{LKO}$ mice compared with $Atg7^{F/F}$ control littermates (Figure S1a). As expected, hepatic expression and protein levels of the *Atg7* gene were also markedly reduced in $Atg7^{LKO}$ mice (Figure S1b,c).

A previous study has shown that increased serum levels of β -hydroxybutyrate, one species of ketone bodies in $Atg7^{F/F}$ control littermates upon treatment of a synthetic PPAR α agonist GW7647 [38], were blunted in $Atg7^{LKO}$ mice. These results allowed us to set up a hypothesis that core autophagy-related genes are essential for the normal function of PPAR α . To test this, hepatic expression levels of PPAR α target genes (Acox1, Acot3, Fgf21, Pdk4, Acot1, and Cidec) were determined in fed or fasted $Atg7^{F/F}$ control littermates and $Atg7^{LKO}$ mice in response to intraperitoneal treatment of GW7647 (Figure 1a). Consis-

tent with a previous report, pharmacologic inductions of hepatic PPAR α target genes were markedly increased in $Atg7^{F/F}$ mice compared with those of vehicle-treated $Atg7^{F/F}$ mice. However, these inductions were largely decreased in GW7647-treated $Atg7^{LKO}$ mice (Figure 1b). To investigate whether these in vivo results recapitulate in cultured cells, we used mouse embryonic fibroblasts (MEFs) derived from wild-type, $Atg5^{-/-}$, or $Atg7^{-/-}$ mice (Figure S2a) [17,39]. As expected, expression levels of Atg5 or Atg7 were almost completely absent in either $Atg5^{-/-}$ or $Atg7^{-/-}$ MEFs. Treatment of Wy-14,643, a synthetic PPAR α agonist in wild-type MEFs robustly increased expressions of PPAR α target genes Acox1, Pdk4, Acot3, and Ucp2, but these responses were markedly blunted in either $Atg5^{-/-}$ or $Atg7^{-/-}$ MEFs (Figure S2b,c). These results suggest that core-autophagy genes are required for the pharmacological PPAR α activation.

To examine whether pharmacologic inhibition of autophagy also shows similar results, mouse hepatocyte cell line AML12 cells were dose-dependently treated with 3-methyladenine or bafilomycin A1, well-known autophagy inhibitors with or without synthetic PPAR α agonist ligands GW7647 or Wy-14,643 (Figures S2d and 1c) [40,41]. Consistent with in vivo mouse results shown in Figure 1b, inductions of most of PPAR α target genes upon GW7647 or Wy-14,643 treatment were dramatically blunted by a dose-dependent treatment of 3-methyladenine or bafilomycin A1 (Figures S2f and 1d,e). All these in vivo and cell culture results indicate that autophagy inhibition can impair a pharmacologic PPAR α activity.

Previous reports have also demonstrated that genetic ablations of core autophagyrelated genes, including Atg7, lead to the accumulation of p62, an autophagy adaptor protein [42]. We could also confirm marked accumulations of p62 in the livers of Atg^{7LKO} mice (Figure S1d). This causes interactions with KEAP1, an E3 ubiquitin ligase, which liberates NRF2 from the ubiquitin-mediated proteasomal degradation system. Free cytoplasmic NRF2 is then translocated into the nucleus, where it acts as an antioxidant transcription factor to remove reactive oxygen species [21]. As expected, we also observed dramatically increased expression levels of the Nqo1 gene, an NRF2 target gene in the livers of $Atg7^{LKO}$ mice compared with those of *Atg7^{F/F}* mice (Figure S1e). *Atg5^{-/-}* and *Atg7^{-/-}* MEFs also increased Ngo1 and Hmox1 expressions (Figure S2a). Based on these data, we concluded that our Atg7^{LKO} mice also increased hepatic NRF2 activation. It is of interest to note that the pharmacologic PPAR α activation by GW7647 treatment does not significantly alter hepatic *Nqo1* expression compared with vehicle-treated counterparts (Figure S1e). We also found that a dose-dependent treatment of bafilomycin A1 in AML12 cells markedly increased expression levels of the *Hmox1* gene, another known NRF2 target gene. However, expression levels of the Nqo1 gene showed opposite results, which might reflect different expression kinetics of two known NRF2 target genes in response to autophagy inhibition (Figure S1f,g). Treatment of 3-methyladenine in AML12 cells showed similar results (Figure S2d,e).

3.2. SFN-Mediated NRF2 Activation in a Dose-Dependent Manner Impairs a Pharmacologic PPARα Transactivation

To examine whether NRF2 activation directly affects PPAR α activity, AML12 cells were dose-dependently treated with sulforaphane [43] or dimethylfumarate [44], potent NRF2 activators in the presence or absence of synthetic PPAR α agonist ligands GW7647 or Wy-14,643 (Figures 2a and S2a). As expected, sulforaphane or dimethylfumarate dramatically increases the expression of NRF2 target genes *Nqo1* and *Hmox1*, which are not altered by the treatment of synthetic PPAR α agonists (Figures 2b,c and S2b,c). These data indicate that a pharmacologic PPAR α activation may not affect sulforaphane or dimethylfumarate-mediated NRF2 activation.



Figure 2. SFN-mediated NRF2 activation in a dose-dependent manner impairs pharmacologic PPAR α transactivation. (**a**) A schematic diagram of an experimental procedure in AML12 cells. AML12 cells were treated with sulforaphane (SFN, 12.5, 25, or 50 μ M), a known NRF2 activator in a dose-dependent manner in the absence or presence of synthetic PPAR α agonists (GW7647, 0.01, 0.1 or 1 μ M; Wy-14,643, 1, 10 or 100 μ M) for 24 h. Vehicle is 0.1% DMSO. Total RNAs from these cells were prepared to perform qPCR analysis. (**b**,**c**) Expression levels of NRF2 target genes *Nqo1* and *Hmox1* were determined in AML12 cells shown in panel (**a**) by qPCR analysis. n = 3 per group, ** p < 0.01 vs. AML12 cells treated with vehicle. Data represent mean \pm s.e.m. and are plotted as fold change. Each dot indicates an individual well. (**d**,**e**) Expression levels of PPAR α target genes *Pdk4*, *Acot3*, and *Ucp2*, were determined in AML12 cells shown in panel (**a**) by qPCR analysis. n = 3 per group, ** p < 0.01 vs. AML12 cells treated with vehicle. ^{##} p < 0.01 vs. AML12 cells treated with vehicle. ^{##} p < 0.01 vs. AML12 cells treated with vehicle. ^{##} p < 0.01 vs. AML12 cells treated with vehicle. ^{##} p < 0.01 vs. AML12 cells treated with vehicle. ^{##} p < 0.01 vs. AML12 cells treated with vehicle. ^{##} p < 0.01 vs. AML12 cells treated with vehicle. ^{##} p < 0.01 vs. AML12 cells treated with vehicle. ^{##} p < 0.01 vs. AML12 cells treated with 1 μ M GW7647 or 100 μ M Wy-14,643. Data represent mean \pm s.e.m. and are plotted as fold change. Each dot indicates an individual sample. Statistics by a two-tailed, unpaired Student *t*-test.

Intriguingly, however, completely opposite results were observed in terms of a pharmacologic PPAR α activation in the presence of NRF2 activators. We found that dose-dependent treatments of sulforaphane or dimethylfumarate markedly downregulated expression of PPAR α target genes *Pdk4*, *Acot3*, and *Ucp2* in response to GW7647 or Wy-14,643 treatment (Figures 2d,e and S2d,e). All these data suggest that NRF2 activation might mediate the suppression of a pharmacologic PPAR α activation.

3.3. SFN-Mediated NRF2 Activation in a Time-Dependent Manner Impairs a Pharmacologic PPARα Transactivation

To further thoroughly investigate whether NRF2 activation directly affects PPAR α activity, AML12 cells were time-dependently treated with sulforaphane or dimethylfumarate in the presence or absence of GW7647 or Wy-14,643 (Figures 3a and S3a). As expected, these NRF2 activators dramatically increase expression of the *Nqo1* gene in a time-dependent manner (Figures 3b and S3b). However, as mentioned above, we again observed different expression kinetics of two known NRF2 target genes, *Nqo1* and *Hmox1*. A total of 6 h treatment of NRF2 activators showed the highest expression levels of *Hmox1* gene whose mRNA levels were gradually decreased by further increasing treatment time of NRF2 activators (Figures 3b and S3b). Nevertheless, time-dependent treatments of sulforaphane or dimethylfumarate in AML12 cells dramatically suppress the expression of PPAR α target genes *Pdk4*, *Acot3*, and *Ucp2* in response to GW7647 or Wy-14,643 (Figures 3c,d and S3c,d). All these data support that acute NRF2 activation might mediate the suppression of the pharmacologic PPAR α activation.

3.4. NRF2 Activation Is Necessary and Sufficient for Suppressing a Pharmacologic PPARα Transactivation

To further confirm these results in vivo, C57BL/6J wild-type mice were orally gavaged with butylated hydroxyanisole (BHA), an NRF2 activator, for 3 days [45]. On day 3, these mice were intraperitoneally treated with vehicle or GW7647, as shown in Figure 4a. After that, hepatic expression levels of PPAR α target genes *Pdk4*, *Ucp2*, *Acot3*, *Acox1*, and *Fgf21* were determined. As expected, GW7647 treatment markedly increased mRNA levels of these PPAR α target genes, but these responses were significantly blunted in the livers of BHA-treated mice (Figure 4b). These data indicate that BHA-mediated NRF2 activation is able to suppress a pharmacologic PPAR α activation.

To genetically validate these results, we generated liver-specific *Keap1* knockout (*Keap1*^{LKO}) mice by crossing male *Alb-Cre* mice with female *Keap1*^{F/F} mice. Since floxed *Keap1* alleles in *Keap1*^{F/F} mice turned out to be hypomorphic, leading to the spontaneous activation of NRF2, we used *Alb-Cre* control littermates as control mice instead of *Keap1*^{F/F} mice (Figure 4c) [46]. As expected, *Keap1*^{LKO} mice showed almost an absence of hepatic *Keap1* expressions but marked increased mRNA levels of *Nq01* and *Gasta1*, indicating that a potent NRF2 activation occurs in the livers of *Keap1*^{LKO} mice. Once again, hepatic expression levels of PPAR α target genes *Pdk4*, *Ucp2*, *Cidec*, *Acot1* to 3, and *Acox1* were significantly downregulated in GW7647-treated *Keap1*^{LKO} mice (Figure 4d). These data suggest that genetic NRF2 activation leads to the inhibition of the pharmacological PPAR α activation.

To genetically validate whether these blunted pharmacological PPAR α activations mediated by NRF2 activators depend on NRF2 proteins, we first generated liver-specific Nrf2 knockout (*Nrf2^{LKO}*) mice by crossing male *Alb-Cre* mice with female *Nrf2^{F/F}* mice. *Nrf2^{F/F}* control littermates and *Nrf2^{LKO}* mice were then orally gavaged with BHA for 3 days. On day 3, these mice were intraperitoneally treated with vehicle or GW7647, as shown in Figure 5a. As expected, hepatic *Nrf2* mRNA levels were markedly reduced in *Nrf2^{LKO}* mice, confirming a genetic ablation of the *Nrf2* gene in the liver (Figure 5b). Like the results obtained in C57BL/6J mice (Figure 4), BHA treatment also robustly increased hepatic expression levels of NRF2 target genes *Nqo1* and *Gasta1* in *Nrf2^{F/F}* control littermates, but these responses were significantly blunted in *Nrf2^{LKO}* mice (Figure 5b).



Figure 3. SFN-mediated NRF2 activation in a time-dependent manner impairs pharmacologic PPAR α transactivation. (**a**) A schematic diagram of an experimental procedure in AML12 cells. AML12 cells were treated with 25 μ M of SFN, a known NRF2 activator in a time-dependent manner (6, 12, or 18 h) in the absence or presence of synthetic PPAR α agonists (GW7647, 0.01, 0.1 or 1 μ M; Wy-14,643, 1, 10 or 100 μ M) for 24 h. Vehicle is 0.1% DMSO. Total RNAs from these cells were prepared to perform qPCR analysis. (**b**,**c**) Expression levels of NRF2 target genes *Nqo1* and *Hmox1* were determined in AML12 cells shown in panel (**a**) by qPCR analysis. (**d**) Expression levels of PPAR α target genes *Pdk4*, *Acot3*, and *Ucp2*, were determined in AML12 cells shown in panel (**a**) by qPCR analysis. (**d**) Expression levels of PPAR α target genes *Pdk4*, *Acot3*, and *Ucp2*, were determined in AML12 cells shown in panel (**a**) by qPCR analysis. (**d**) Expression levels of PPAR α target genes *Pdk4*, *Acot3*, and *Ucp2*, were determined in AML12 cells shown in panel (**a**) by qPCR analysis. (**d**) Expression levels of PPAR α target genes *Pdk4*, *Acot3*, and *Ucp2*, were determined in AML12 cells shown in panel (**a**) by qPCR analysis. *n* = 3 per group, ** *p* < 0.01 vs. AML12 cells treated with vehicle. ## *p* < 0.01 vs. AML12 cells treated with GW7647 or Wy-14,643. Data represent mean \pm s.e.m. and are plotted as fold change. Each dot indicates an individual sample. Statistics by a two-tailed, unpaired Student *t*-test.



Figure 4. NRF2 activation impairs pharmacologic PPARα transactivation in vivo. (a) A schematic diagram of an experimental procedure in mice. The 8- to 9-week-old male C57BL/6J wild-type mice were orally gavaged with vehicle or butylated hydroxyanisole (BHA, 200 mg/kg BW) once a day for 3 days. During the last 24 h, all mice were intraperitoneally injected with vehicle (0.1% DMSO in 4:1 ratio of PEG 400 and Tween 80) or GW7647, a synthetic PPAR α agonist (10 mg/kg BW) twice a day (first injection: 00:00 am, second injection: 12:00 pm). A total of 5 h after last treatment, all mice were killed to collect livers of which total RNAs were prepared for qPCR analysis. (b) Hepatic expression levels of PPARα target genes Pdk4, Upc2, Acot3, Acox1, and Fgf21 were determined as shown in panel (a) by qPCR analysis. n = 8 per group, * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.01 vs. WT treated with vehicle. (c) A schematic diagram of an experimental procedure in mice. The 8- to 9-week-old male Alb-Cre/+ and Keap1^{LKO} mice were intraperitoneally injected with vehicle (0.1% DMSO in 4:1 ratio of PEG 400 and Tween 80) or GW7647 (10 mg/kg BW) twice a day. A total of 5 h after last treatment, all mice were killed to collect livers, of which total RNAs were isolated for qPCR analysis. (d) Hepatic expression levels of Keap1, NRF2 target genes (Nqo1 and Gsta1), and PPARa target genes Pdk4, Upc2, *Cidec, Acot1* to 3, and *Acox1* were determined shown in panel (c) by qPCR analysis. n = 4 per group, ** p < 0.01 vs. *Alb-Cre/+* treated with vehicle. # p < 0.05, ## p < 0.01. Data represent mean \pm s.e.m. and are plotted as fold change. Each dot indicates an individual mouse. Statistics by a two-tailed, unpaired Student t-test. WT, wild-type.



Figure 5. NRF2 activation is necessary and sufficient for suppressing pharmacologic PPAR α transactivation. (**a**) A schematic diagram of an experimental procedure in mice. The 8- to 9-week-old male $Nrf2^{F/F}$ and $Nrf2^{LKO}$ mice were orally gavaged with vehicle or butylated hydroxyanisole (BHA, 200 mg/kg BW) once a day for 3 days. During the last 24 h, all mice were intraperitoneally injected with vehicle (0.1% DMSO in 4:1 ratio of PEG 400 and Tween 80) or GW7647, a synthetic PPAR α agonist (10 mg/kg BW) twice a day (first injection: 00:00 am, second injection: 12:00 pm). A total of 5 h after last treatment, all mice were killed to collect livers of which total RNAs were prepared for qPCR analysis. (**b**) Hepatic expression levels of Nrf2 and its target genes Nqo1, Gsta1, and Hmox1 were determined in AML12 cells shown in panel (**a**) by qPCR analysis, * p < 0.05. (**c**) Hepatic expression levels of PPAR α target genes Pdk4, Upc2, *Cidec*, and *Acot1* to 3 were determined as shown in panel (**a**) by qPCR analysis. n = 3-4 per group, ** p < 0.01 vs. $Nrf2^{F/F}$ treated with vehicle. # p < 0.05, ## p < 0.01. Data represent mean \pm s.e.m. and are plotted as fold change. Each dot indicates an individual mouse. Statistics by a two-tailed, unpaired Student *t*-test.

These results demonstrate that induction of *Nqo1* and *Gsta1* genes by BHA treatment largely depends on NRF2. Moreover, GW7647 treatment in *Nrf2^{F/F}* control littermates also robustly induced hepatic expressions of PPAR target genes *Pdk4*, *Ucp2*, *Cidec*, and *Acot1 to 3*, but these inductions were significantly blunted in BHA-treated *Nrf2^{F/F}* counterparts. However, these suppressed expression levels of PPAR target genes were almost completely lost in BHA-treated *Nrf2^{LKO}* mice, demonstrating that blunted pharmacological transactivation of PPAR α mediated by BHA treatment indeed requires NRF2 proteins (Figure 5c).

4. Discussion

In this study, we showed that inhibition of basal autophagy impairs a pharmacological activation of the fasting-activated nuclear receptor PPAR α . Moreover, our data also suggested that NRF2 known to be activated in autophagy-defective conditions might mediate

this effect. In the clinical aspects, this phenomenon could be critical, in particular for hyperlipidemia patients taking fibrate derivatives. Simultaneous activation of both NRF2 and PPAR α transcription factors might diminish the beneficial effects of fibrate medications.

Similar results have also been reported by the Komatsu and Panasyuk laboratories in regards to the impairment of PPAR α transactivation by autophagy defects, although their molecular mechanisms are distinct from ours [47,48]. They have found that NCoR1 corepressor proteins are remarkedly accumulated in autophagy-defective hepatocytes obtained from *Atg5*, *Atg7*, or *Vps15* conditional knockout mice. These increased levels of NCoR1 tend to be recruited to PPAR α , which leads to suppression of its target gene expression. In terms of the detailed molecular mechanism by which autophagy defects impede PPAR α signaling, we speculate that there are many more mechanisms yet to be discovered.

Similar to our findings, sulforaphane treatment in 3T3-L1 cells inhibits adipocyte differentiation by reducing the expression of PPAR γ , a master regulator of adipogenesis, indicating that NRF2 activation might impair functionalities of other PPARs [30]. Conversely, NRF2 activation also increases the expression of PPARs. Treatment of either BHA or sulforaphane has been shown to induce hepatic PPAR γ or PPAR β/δ expression in livers of wild-type mice [31,32]. Consistently, in our experiments in Figure 4a, we could confirm that a 3-day treatment of BHA increases expression of both *Ppar\gamma* and *Ppar\beta/\delta* genes (data not shown). Moreover, cooperative actions between PPAR γ and NRF2 have also been reported. In oxidative stress conditions, both transcription factors reciprocally induce their expression as an upstream regulator, resulting in increased expressions of many cytoprotective genes encoding HO-1, CAT, SOD, and GST. These enzymes have been known to alleviate reactive oxygen species in cells and tissues [33,34].

It has been known that heme oxygenase-1 (HO-1) encoded by the *Hmox1* gene, a known NRF2 target gene, produces biliverdin from heme, and biliverdin reductase then converts biliverdin to bilirubin [49]. Interestingly, the Hinds laboratory has shown that bilirubin might act as an endogenous agonist ligand for PPAR α , although its physiological relevance to the fasting-activated PPAR α signaling should be confirmed [50,51]. According to this scenario, PPAR α target genes might be induced rather than reduced via an HO-1/bilirubin/PPAR α axis. However, we found that treatment of sulforaphane, dimethylfumarate, or BHA, or liver-specific *Keap1* deletion did not increase basal expression levels of most PPAR α target genes at least we examined, indicating that NRF2 activation might not affect a basal activity of PPAR α .

In the future, the generation of liver-specific *Atg7* and *Nrf2* double knockout (*Atg7*^{LKO}; *Nrf2*^{LKO}) mice may provide a valuable resource to address whether NRF2 activation in *Atg7*^{LKO} mice is genetically required for suppressing the pharmacological activation of the nuclear receptor PPAR α . Moreover, the generation of liver-specific *Nrf2* and *Keap1* double knockout (*Nrf2*^{LKO}; *Keap1*^{LKO}) mice may also give us important insights to examine whether the blunted pharmacological activation of PPAR α in *Keap1*^{LKO} mice is genetically dependent on NRF2.

Further investigations are also necessary to define detailed molecular mechanisms by which nuclear NRF2 proteins interfere with the functions of the nuclear receptor PPARα. This could be achieved in a variety of mechanisms, including a direct protein-protein interaction, squelching coactivators, interfering with the recruitment of coactivators, promoting recruitment of corepressors, inhibiting nuclear receptor binding to its response element of target genes, and so on.

5. Conclusions

In summary, we propose an essential role of basal autophagy activity for the pharmacological functions of the nuclear receptor PPAR α . Autophagic defects lead to NRF2 translocation from the cytoplasm to the nucleus, where it acts as an antioxidant transcription factor by regulating many cytoprotective genes. We speculate that these nuclear NRF2 transcription factor proteins could interfere with the activities of many other transcription factors, including PPAR α , via a variety of mechanisms (Figure 6). This study establishes the unexpected role of basal autophagy for the therapeutic effects of pharmacologically targeting the nuclear receptor PPAR α , which may be useful for the treatment of diverse metabolic diseases.



Figure 6. Working model of basal autophagy defects or NRF2 activators that impair pharmacological transactivation of the nuclear receptor PPAR α . Similar to NRF2 activators, autophagy inhibition by pharmacologic or genetic manipulations liberates NRF2 from KEAP1-mediated proteasomal degradation (1, 2). This event leads to the nuclear translocation of NRF2 from the cytoplasm, which acts as a potent antioxidant transcription factor regulating the expression of many cytoprotective genes (3). On the other hand, the nuclear NRF2 interferes with a pharmacologic nuclear receptor signaling, including PPAR α (4). ARE, antioxidant response element; PPRE, peroxisome proliferator response element; DR1, direct repeat 1. This schematic diagram was created in BioRender.com (accessed on 6 January 2022).

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https:// www.mdpi.com/2073-4409/11/4/754/s1, Figure S1: Upregulation of NRF2 target genes in response to autophagy inhibition; Figure S2: Both genetic and pharmacological inhibitions of autophagy suppress the pharmacological PPAR α activation. Figure S3: DMF-mediated NRF2 activation in a dose-dependent manner impairs a pharmacologic PPAR α transactivation; Figure S4: DMF-mediated NRF2 activation in a time-dependent manner impairs a pharmacologic PPAR α transactivation; Table S1: Mouse primer sequences for qPCR analysis.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, J.M.L.; methodology, E.Y.K. and J.M.L.; validation, E.Y.K. and J.M.L.; formal analysis, E.Y.K. and J.M.L.; investigation, E.Y.K. and J.M.L.; writing—original draft preparation, E.Y.K.; writing—review and editing, J.M.L.; visualization, E.Y.K.; supervision, J.M.L.; project administration, E.Y.K. and J.M.L.; funding acquisition, J.M.L. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MSIT): 2016R1C1B2009587, 2019R1H1A1101394.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to thank Noboru Mizushima for $Atg5^{-/-}$ MEFs, Masaaki Komatsu for $Atg7^{-/-}$ MEFs and $Atg7^{F/F}$ mice, Masayuki Yamamoto for $Keap1^{F/F}$ mice, and Youngtae Jeong for a rederivation of $Keap1^{F/F}$ mice. Furthermore, we would like to thank David D. Moore, Kang Ho Kim, Martin Wagner, and all members of the Nuclear Receptor and Disease Laboratory for critical comments, helpful suggestions, and additional support.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Kim, S.; Eun, H.S.; Jo, E.K. Roles of Autophagy-Related Genes in the Pathogenesis of Inflammatory Bowel Disease. *Cells* 2019, 8, 77. [CrossRef]
- Klionsky, D.J.; Abdelmohsen, K.; Abe, A.; Abedin, M.J.; Abeliovich, H.; Acevedo Arozena, A.; Adachi, H.; Adams, C.M.; Adams, P.D.; Adeli, K.; et al. Guidelines for the use and interpretation of assays for monitoring autophagy (3rd edition). *Autophagy* 2016, 12, 1–222. [CrossRef]
- 3. Levine, B.; Kroemer, G. Autophagy in the pathogenesis of disease. Cell 2008, 132, 27–42. [CrossRef]
- Mizushima, N.; Levine, B.; Cuervo, A.M.; Klionsky, D.J. Autophagy fights disease through cellular self-digestion. *Nature* 2008, 451, 1069–1075. [CrossRef]
- 5. Rabinowitz, J.D.; White, E. Autophagy and metabolism. *Science* **2010**, *330*, 1344–1348. [CrossRef]
- Silwal, P.; Paik, S.; Jeon, S.M.; Jo, E.K. Nuclear Receptors as Autophagy-Based Antimicrobial Therapeutics. *Cells* 2020, *9*, 1979. [CrossRef]
- Yazdani, H.O.; Huang, H.; Tsung, A. Autophagy: Dual Response in the Development of Hepatocellular Carcinoma. *Cells* 2019, 8, 91. [CrossRef]
- 8. Klionsky, D.J.; Petroni, G.; Amaravadi, R.K.; Baehrecke, E.H.; Ballabio, A.; Boya, P.; Bravo-San Pedro, J.M.; Cadwell, K.; Cecconi, F.; Choi, A.M.K.; et al. Autophagy in major human diseases. *EMBO J.* **2021**, *40*, e108863. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 9. Takamura, A.; Komatsu, M.; Hara, T.; Sakamoto, A.; Kishi, C.; Waguri, S.; Eishi, Y.; Hino, O.; Tanaka, K.; Mizushima, N. Autophagy-deficient mice develop multiple liver tumors. *Genes Dev.* **2011**, *25*, 795–800. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 10. Gatica, D.; Lahiri, V.; Klionsky, D.J. Cargo recognition and degradation by selective autophagy. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **2018**, *20*, 233–242. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 11. Green, D.R.; Levine, B. To be or not to be? How selective autophagy and cell death govern cell fate. *Cell* **2014**, *157*, 65–75. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Fleming, A.; Noda, T.; Yoshimori, T.; Rubinsztein, D.C. Chemical modulators of autophagy as biological probes and potential therapeutics. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **2011**, *7*, 9–17. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 13. Nakatogawa, H.; Suzuki, K.; Kamada, Y.; Ohsumi, Y. Dynamics and diversity in autophagy mechanisms: Lessons from yeast. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **2009**, *10*, 458–467. [CrossRef]
- Ebato, C.; Uchida, T.; Arakawa, M.; Komatsu, M.; Ueno, T.; Komiya, K.; Azuma, K.; Hirose, T.; Tanaka, K.; Kominami, E.; et al. Autophagy is important in islet homeostasis and compensatory increase of beta cell mass in response to high-fat diet. *Cell Metab.* 2008, *8*, 325–332. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Jung, H.S.; Chung, K.W.; Won Kim, J.; Kim, J.; Komatsu, M.; Tanaka, K.; Nguyen, Y.H.; Kang, T.M.; Yoon, K.H.; Kim, J.W.; et al. Loss of autophagy diminishes pancreatic beta cell mass and function with resultant hyperglycemia. *Cell Metab.* 2008, *8*, 318–324. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 16. Komatsu, M.; Waguri, S.; Chiba, T.; Murata, S.; Iwata, J.; Tanida, I.; Ueno, T.; Koike, M.; Uchiyama, Y.; Kominami, E.; et al. Loss of autophagy in the central nervous system causes neurodegeneration in mice. *Nature* **2006**, *441*, 880–884. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Komatsu, M.; Waguri, S.; Ueno, T.; Iwata, J.; Murata, S.; Tanida, I.; Ezaki, J.; Mizushima, N.; Ohsumi, Y.; Uchiyama, Y.; et al. Impairment of starvation-induced and constitutive autophagy in Atg7-deficient mice. *J. Cell Biol.* 2005, *169*, 425–434. [CrossRef]
- 18. Masiero, E.; Agatea, L.; Mammucari, C.; Blaauw, B.; Loro, E.; Komatsu, M.; Metzger, D.; Reggiani, C.; Schiaffino, S.; Sandri, M. Autophagy is required to maintain muscle mass. *Cell Metab.* **2009**, *10*, 507–515. [CrossRef]
- 19. Zhang, Y.; Goldman, S.; Baerga, R.; Zhao, Y.; Komatsu, M.; Jin, S. Adipose-specific deletion of autophagy-related gene 7 (atg7) in mice reveals a role in adipogenesis. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2009**, *106*, 19860–19865. [CrossRef]
- Singh, R.; Xiang, Y.; Wang, Y.; Baikati, K.; Cuervo, A.M.; Luu, Y.K.; Tang, Y.; Pessin, J.E.; Schwartz, G.J.; Czaja, M.J. Autophagy regulates adipose mass and differentiation in mice. J. Clin. Investig. 2009, 119, 3329–3339. [CrossRef]
- Komatsu, M.; Kurokawa, H.; Waguri, S.; Taguchi, K.; Kobayashi, A.; Ichimura, Y.; Sou, Y.S.; Ueno, I.; Sakamoto, A.; Tong, K.I.; et al. The selective autophagy substrate p62 activates the stress responsive transcription factor Nrf2 through inactivation of Keap1. *Nat. Cell Biol.* 2010, *12*, 213–223. [CrossRef]
- Yamamoto, M.; Kensler, T.W.; Motohashi, H. The KEAP1-NRF2 System: A Thiol-Based Sensor-Effector Apparatus for Maintaining Redox Homeostasis. *Physiol. Rev.* 2018, 98, 1169–1203. [CrossRef]
- 23. Baird, L.; Yamamoto, M. The Molecular Mechanisms Regulating the KEAP1-NRF2 Pathway. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 2020, 40, e00099-20. [CrossRef]
- 24. Evans, R.M.; Barish, G.D.; Wang, Y.X. PPARs and the complex journey to obesity. *Nat. Med.* 2004, 10, 355–361. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Issemann, I.; Green, S. Activation of a member of the steroid hormone receptor superfamily by peroxisome proliferators. *Nature* 1990, 347, 645–650. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 26. Kersten, S.; Desvergne, B.; Wahli, W. Roles of PPARs in health and disease. Nature 2000, 405, 421–424. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. Bensinger, S.J.; Tontonoz, P. Integration of metabolism and inflammation by lipid-activated nuclear receptors. *Nature* **2008**, 454, 470–477. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lee, J.M.; Wagner, M.; Xiao, R.; Kim, K.H.; Feng, D.; Lazar, M.A.; Moore, D.D. Nutrient-Sensing nuclear receptors coordinate autophagy. *Nature* 2014, 516, 112–115. [CrossRef]

- Lee, J.M. Transcriptional coordination of hepatic autophagy by nutrient-sensing nuclear receptor PPARα and FXR. Ann. Pediatr. Endocrinol. Metab. 2016, 21, 193–198. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Choi, K.M.; Lee, Y.S.; Sin, D.M.; Lee, S.; Lee, M.K.; Lee, Y.M.; Hong, J.T.; Yun, Y.P.; Yoo, H.S. Sulforaphane inhibits mitotic clonal expansion during adipogenesis through cell cycle arrest. *Obesity* 2012, 20, 1365–1371. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Huang, J.; Tabbi-Anneni, I.; Gunda, V.; Wang, L. Transcription factor Nrf2 regulates SHP and lipogenic gene expression in hepatic lipid metabolism. *Am. J. Physiol. Gastrointest. Liver Physiol.* 2010, 299, G1211–G1221. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Hu, R.; Xu, C.; Shen, G.; Jain, M.R.; Khor, T.O.; Gopalkrishnan, A.; Lin, W.; Reddy, B.; Chan, J.Y.; Kong, A.N. Gene expression profiles induced by cancer chemopreventive isothiocyanate sulforaphane in the liver of C57BL/6J mice and C57BL/6J/Nrf2 (-/-) mice. *Cancer Lett.* 2006, 243, 170–192. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Lee, C. Collaborative Power of Nrf2 and PPARgamma Activators against Metabolic and Drug-Induced Oxidative Injury. Oxid. Med. Cell Longev. 2017, 2017, 1378175. [CrossRef]
- Dovinova, I.; Kvandova, M.; Balis, P.; Gresova, L.; Majzunova, M.; Horakova, L.; Chan, J.Y.; Barancik, M. The role of Nrf2 and PPARgamma in the improvement of oxidative stress in hypertension and cardiovascular diseases. *Physiol. Res.* 2020, 69, S541–S553. [CrossRef]
- Postic, C.; Shiota, M.; Niswender, K.D.; Jetton, T.L.; Chen, Y.; Moates, J.M.; Shelton, K.D.; Lindner, J.; Cherrington, A.D.; Magnuson, M.A. Dual roles for glucokinase in glucose homeostasis as determined by liver and pancreatic beta cell-specific gene knock-outs using Cre recombinase. J. Biol. Chem. 1999, 274, 305–315. [CrossRef]
- Kong, X.; Thimmulappa, R.; Craciun, F.; Harvey, C.; Singh, A.; Kombairaju, P.; Reddy, S.P.; Remick, D.; Biswal, S. Enhancing Nrf2 pathway by disruption of Keap1 in myeloid leukocytes protects against sepsis. *Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med.* 2011, 184, 928–938. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Okawa, H.; Motohashi, H.; Kobayashi, A.; Aburatani, H.; Kensler, T.W.; Yamamoto, M. Hepatocyte-specific deletion of the keap1 gene activates Nrf2 and confers potent resistance against acute drug toxicity. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 2006, 339, 79–88.
 [CrossRef]
- Brown, P.J.; Stuart, L.W.; Hurley, K.P.; Lewis, M.C.; Winegar, D.A.; Wilson, J.G.; Wilkison, W.O.; Ittoop, O.R.; Willson, T.M. Identification of a subtype selective human PPARα agonist through parallel-array synthesis. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2001, 11, 1225–1227. [CrossRef]
- Kuma, A.; Hatano, M.; Matsui, M.; Yamamoto, A.; Nakaya, H.; Yoshimori, T.; Ohsumi, Y.; Tokuhisa, T.; Mizushima, N. The role of autophagy during the early neonatal starvation period. *Nature* 2004, *432*, 1032–1036. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Santilli, A.A.; Scotese, A.C.; Tomarelli, R.M. A potent antihypercholesterolemic agent: (4-chloro-6-(2,3-xylidino)-2-pyrimidinylthio) acetic acid (Wy-14643). *Experientia* 1974, 30, 1110–1111. [CrossRef]
- Reddy, J.K.; Moody, D.E.; Azarnoff, D.L.; Tomarelli, R.M. Hepatic effects of some [4-chloro-6-(2,3-xylidino)-2-pyrimidinylthio] acetic acid (WY-14,643) analogs in the mouse. *Arch. Int. Pharmacodyn. Ther.* 1977, 225, 51–57.
- Komatsu, M.; Waguri, S.; Koike, M.; Sou, Y.S.; Ueno, T.; Hara, T.; Mizushima, N.; Iwata, J.; Ezaki, J.; Murata, S.; et al. Homeostatic levels of p62 control cytoplasmic inclusion body formation in autophagy-deficient mice. *Cell* 2007, 131, 1149–1163. [CrossRef]
- McMahon, M.; Itoh, K.; Yamamoto, M.; Chanas, S.A.; Henderson, C.J.; McLellan, L.I.; Wolf, C.R.; Cavin, C.; Hayes, J.D. The Cap'n'Collar basic leucine zipper transcription factor Nrf2 (NF-E2 p45-related factor 2) controls both constitutive and inducible expression of intestinal detoxification and glutathione biosynthetic enzymes. *Cancer Res.* 2001, 61, 3299–3307. [PubMed]
- 44. Wilms, H.; Sievers, J.; Rickert, U.; Rostami-Yazdi, M.; Mrowietz, U.; Lucius, R. Dimethylfumarate inhibits microglial and astrocytic inflammation by suppressing the synthesis of nitric oxide, IL-1beta, TNF-α and IL-6 in an in-vitro model of brain inflammation. *J. Neuroinflamm.* **2010**, *7*, 30. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Itoh, K.; Chiba, T.; Takahashi, S.; Ishii, T.; Igarashi, K.; Katoh, Y.; Oyake, T.; Hayashi, N.; Satoh, K.; Hatayama, I.; et al. An Nrf2/small Maf heterodimer mediates the induction of phase II detoxifying enzyme genes through antioxidant response elements. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 1997, 236, 313–322. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Taguchi, K.; Maher, J.M.; Suzuki, T.; Kawatani, Y.; Motohashi, H.; Yamamoto, M. Genetic analysis of cytoprotective functions supported by graded expression of Keap1. *Mol. Cell. Biol.* 2010, 30, 3016–3026. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 47. Iershov, A.; Nemazanyy, I.; Alkhoury, C.; Girard, M.; Barth, E.; Cagnard, N.; Montagner, A.; Chretien, D.; Rugarli, E.I.; Guillou, H.; et al. The class 3 PI3K coordinates autophagy and mitochondrial lipid catabolism by controlling nuclear receptor PPARα. *Nat. Commun.* 2019, *10*, 1566. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 48. Saito, T.; Kuma, A.; Sugiura, Y.; Ichimura, Y.; Obata, M.; Kitamura, H.; Okuda, S.; Lee, H.C.; Ikeda, K.; Kanegae, Y.; et al. Autophagy regulates lipid metabolism through selective turnover of NCoR1. *Nat. Commun.* **2019**, *10*, 1567. [CrossRef]
- Chiang, S.K.; Chen, S.E.; Chang, L.C. A Dual Role of Heme Oxygenase-1 in Cancer Cells. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 2018, 20, 39. [CrossRef]
 Stec, D.E.; John, K.; Trabbic, C.J.; Luniwal, A.; Hankins, M.W.; Baum, J.; Hinds, T.D., Jr. Bilirubin Binding to PPARα Inhibits Lipid Accumulation. *PLoS ONE* 2016, 11, e0153427. [CrossRef]
- 51. Gordon, D.M.; Hong, S.H.; Kipp, Z.A.; Hinds, T.D., Jr. Identification of Binding Regions of Bilirubin in the Ligand-Binding Pocket of the Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptor-A (PPARα). *Molecules* **2021**, *26*, 2975. [CrossRef] [PubMed]