IASLC

Overview of Drug-Drug Interactions Between Ritonavir-Boosted Nirmatrelvir (Paxlovid) and Targeted Therapy and Supportive Care for Lung Cancer



Kaleem Anwar, PharmD,^{a,b} Lee Nguyen, PharmD,^{a,b} Misako Nagasaka, MD, PhD,^c Sai-Hong Ignatius Ou, MD, PhD,^c Alexandre Chan, PharmD, MPH^{a,b,c,*}

^aDepartment of Clinical Pharmacy Practice, School of Pharmacy & Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of California, Irvine, Irvine, California ^bDepartment of Pharmacy, University of California Irvine Health, Orange, California ^cDivision of Hematology/Oncology, School of Medicine, University of California, Irvine, Irvine, California

Received 6 October 2022; revised 12 December 2022; accepted 15 December 2022 Available online - 17 December 2022

ABSTRACT

Introduction: Oral administration of ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir (Paxlovid) was found to be promising in the treatment of coronavirus disease 2019. The active antiviral component nirmatrelvir in Paxlovid is co-formulated with ritonavir, a strong cytochrome P450 (CYP) 3A4 inhibitor. Many oral targeted therapies indicated for lung cancer are known substrates of CYP 3A4, and concurrent use with Paxlovid may lead to potential drug-drug interaction (DDI). The purpose of this review is to evaluate the potential DDI between targeted therapies and supportive care for lung cancer and ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir.

Methods: Drug database search in PubMed and the Food and Drug Administration was conducted to identify pharmacokinetic data on oral tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) used in NSCLC, both Food and Drug Administration approved and those in development. Metabolism pathways for various TKIs are extracted, and the impact of TKI area under the curves and maximum concentration by strong CYP 3A4 inducers and inhibitors is summarized. The most common toxicities and supportive care medications for the TKI were identified.

Results: Among EGFR and exon 20 insertion inhibitors, afatinib is least likely to be affected by CYP 3A4, followed by dacomitinib and osimertinib. Among ALK inhibitors, alectinib is the least susceptible to CYP 3A4. ROS1 inhibitors are affected by CYP 3A4 inhibition with the exception of crizotinib. Among MET inhibitors, capmatinib is substantially affected by CYP 3A4 inhibition. Drug exposure of RET inhibitors is expected to increase with CYP 3A4 inhibition, with selpercatinib being the least affected. Certain

supportive care medications for lung cancer TKI may have relevant DDIs.

Conclusions: The clinical impact of the DDI between lung cancer TKI and ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir varies largely on the basis of the susceptibility of CYP 3A4 inhibition caused by the antiviral. Close monitoring and medication adjustments (i.e., dose changes or alternative coronavirus disease 2019 therapy) can be used to overcome DDI to ensure patient safety.

© 2022 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of the International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/ 4.0/).

ISSN: 2666-3643

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtocrr.2022.100452

^{*}Corresponding author.

Disclosure: The authors declare no conflict of interest and the article and data have not been previously published, and that no similar article is in press or under review elsewhere.

Address for correspondence: Alexandre Chan, PharmD, MPH, Department of Clinical Pharmacy Practice, School of Pharmacy & Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of California, Irvine, Irvine, California. E-mail: a.chan@uci.edu

Cite this article as: Anwar K, Nguyen L, Nagasaka M, Ou SHI, Chan A. Overview of drug-drug interactions between ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir (paxlovid) and targeted therapy and supportive care for lung cancer. *JTO Clin Res Rep.* 2023;4:100452.

^{© 2022} The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of the International Association for the Study of Lung Cancer. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Keywords: Drug-drug interaction; Paxlovid; Ritonavir; Nirmatrelvir; Lung cancer; Supportive care

Introduction

The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) issued an emergency use authorization for ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir (Paxlovid) on December 22, 2021, for the treatment of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Nirmatrelvir is an orally bioavailable protease inhibitor that is active against M^{PRO}, a viral protease that plays a vital role in viral replication by cleaving the two viral polyproteins. Currently, nirmatrelvir is administered in tandem with ritonavir, a strong cytochrome P450 (CYP) 3A4 and 2D6 inhibitor, which has been previously used as a pharmacokinetic boosting agent to boost protease inhibitors used to treat human immunodeficiency virus. Coadministration of ritonavir prolongs the serum concentrations of nirmatrelvir to achieve the target therapeutic effect.¹

The current recommended treatment course of ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir for COVID-19 is 5 days. Nevertheless, ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir comes with substantial drug-drug interactions (DDIs) owing to the ritonavir component of Paxlovid.¹ Hence, it is recommended that providers should carefully review patients' concomitant medications before prescribing ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir to evaluate for any potential DDIs. Ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir is contraindicated in patients who have recently (within 2 wk) received a strong CYP 3A4 inducer (such as rifampin or St. John's wort).²

Recent advances with the management of lung cancer have led to approval of a number of targeted therapies, which improves the prognosis and survival for a number of lung cancer subtypes. Among these targeted therapies are tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs). TKIs are a group of medications that disrupt the downstream signal transduction pathways of protein kinases by various modes of inhibition.³ TKI target-specific enzymes (e.g., EGFR, HER2, ALK, MET, BCR-ABL) are categorized as receptor tyrosine kinases, nonreceptor tyrosine kinases, or dualspecificity kinases. TKIs are indicated for treatment of various malignancies, from solid tumors, such as in breast cancer and NSCLC, to acute myelogenous leukemia. Furthermore, many of these targeted agents are orally available, which brings convenience to patients as they can conveniently receive this treatment in the outpatient setting. Unfortunately, many of these agents are also subjected to DDIs owing to their drug profile.⁴ This has raised concerns about the safe use of ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir for COVID-19 infections in patients receiving concomitant oral TKI. The coadministration of these agents will increase the risk for severe toxicities associated with TKI (e.g., interstitial

lung disease, pneumonitis, hepatotoxicity, QTc prolongation) owing to the pharmacokinetic-enhancing effects of ritonavir and the narrow therapeutic window of TKI. Another concern is the potential impact of oral TKI on ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir, which may alter the efficacy for treating the viral infection and safety of the medication. Therefore, the purpose of this review is to evaluate known pharmacokinetic profiles of common and emerging TKIs so that clinicians can make safe recommendations regarding the coadministration of ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir and TKI.

Materials and Methods

A search was conducted to identify oral TKIs that are used in NSCLC in August 2022, which were approved by the FDA, used in clinical practice for lung cancer on the basis of expert opinion, and those in development. A comprehensive search of FDA package inserts was conducted using the FDA drug database. For those TKIs in which FDA package inserts were not available, a literature search using PubMed was conducted to identify pharmacokinetic data. The search was conducted using brand, generic, and clinical trial-coded names of identified TKIs (e.g., gefitinib, erlotinib, afatinib, osimertinib, aumolertinib, furmonertinib, alectinib, brigatinib, lorlatinib, crizotinib, entrectinib, repotrectinib, taletrectinib, capmatinib, tepotinib, selpercatinib, pralsetinib, sotorasib, adagrasib, tucatinib, larotrectinib, cabozantinib, mobocertinib, dabrafenib, trametinib, MRTX849). The search was limited to English-language articles published between 2000 and August 2022. A manual search of clinical trial protocols was conducted for drugs in development and not currently approved by the FDA to identify the necessary information. Last, toxicities related to TKI were identified through package inserts, and the list of toxicities was cross-referenced with current National Comprehensive Cancer Network guidelines on supportive care.

Once oral TKIs and supportive care medications were identified, FDA package insert data for metabolism, excretion, pharmacokinetic induction, and inhibition were extracted (Supplementary Appendix). Data on the change of TKI area under the curve (AUC) and C_{max} on the basis of strong CYP 3A4 inducer (rifampin) and strong CYP 3A4 inhibitor (ketoconazole, itraconazole, or posaconazole) were also extracted. For those TKIs with no FDA package inserts, a search was conducted to identify international package inserts, primary literature, and protocols. Collected data were then consolidated to determine the impact of ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir on lung cancer TKIs and supportive care medications, including TKIs on ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir. Because no patients were included in this study, no consent was required.

Results

Impact of Lung Cancer TKI on Ritonavir-Boosted Nirmatrelvir

Because ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir is a substrate of CYP 3A4, induction or inhibition of CYP 3A4 by oral TKI can lead to a decreased or increased concentration of ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir. In fact, induction of ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir may potentially decrease its efficacy, and the concomitant use of strong CYP 3A4 inducers is contraindicated according to the emergency use authorization of the FDA. Conversely, inhibition of ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir can increase concentrations of the medication leading to increased risk of adverse effects (e.g., dysgeusia, diarrhea, hypertension, and myalgia) (Table 1).

EGFR Inhibitors (Gefitinib, Erlotinib, Afatinib, Osimertinib, Aumolertinib, Furmonertinib, Icotinib, Dacomitinib, Lazertinib). Oral TKIs that target EGFR typically are not inhibitors or inducers of CYP enzymes, apart from gefitinib, osimertinib, furmonertinib, sunvozertinib, and lazertinib. Gefitinib is an inhibitor of CYP 2C19 and 2D6, which can increase the concentration of ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir given that ritonavir is a minor substrate of CYP 2D6. Osimertinib is both an inducer and an inhibitor of CYP 3A4, though induction is dependent on the activation of pregnane X receptor. The CYP induction caused by osimertinib is minimal and likely will not result in substantial changes in the efficacy of ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir. Besides osimertinib, lazertinib is the only other EGFR inhibitor that inhibits CYP 3A4. Furmonertinib and sunvozertinib are inducers of CYP 3A4; however, the strength of induction is not well understood at this time.

EGFR Exon 20 Insertion (Mobocertinib, Sunvozertinib). Mobocertinib is a weak inducer and sunvozertinib is an inducer of CYP 3A4, which may lead to decreased concentrations of ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir. Currently, it is unknown whether reductions in concentration are clinically relevant.

HER2 ± HER4 Inhibitors (Tucatinib, Ner-atinib). Tucatinib is a strong inhibitor of CYP 3A4 and will increase concentrations of ritonavir-boosted nir-matrelvir, which increases the risk of its adverse effects. Neratinib is not an inhibitor or an inducer and is not expected to alter metabolism of ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir.

ALK Inhibitors (Alectinib, Brigatinib, Lorlatinib). Alectinib and brigatinib are neither inhibitors nor inducers of CYP 3A4. Lorlatinib is an inducer of CYP 3A4 and may reduce exposure of ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir.

ROS1 Inhibitors (Crizotinib, Entrectinib, Repotrectinib, Taletrectinib). Crizotinib, entrectinib, and repotrectinib are inhibitors of CYP 3A4 which may lead to increased concentrations of ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir and the associated adverse effects. Taletrectinib is neither an inducer nor an inhibitor of CYP 3A4. ROS1 inhibitors are not known to be inducers of CYP 3A4.

MET Inhibitors (Capmatinib, Tepotinib). Capmatinib and tepotinib are not inducers of inhibitors of CYP 3A4.

RET Inhibitors (Selpercatinib, Pralsetinib). Both selpercatinib and pralsetinib are inhibitors of CYP 3A which can lead to increased concentrations of ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir, increasing the potential for adverse effects. Because pralsetinib is a weak inducer of CYP 3A4, the concentrations of ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir may be decreased; however, the clinical relevance is currently unknown.

MEK/BRAF Inhibitors (Dabrafenib, Trametinib, Cobimetinib/Vemurafenib, Encorafenib/Binimetinib). Cobimetinib, vemurafenib, and encorafenib are all inhibitors of CYP 3A4, which can lead to increased concentrations of ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir, increasing the potential for adverse effects. Encorafenib and dabrafenib are inducers of CYP 3A4, which may lead to decreased concentrations of ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir, but the clinical impact of these interactions is currently unknown. Trametinib is not an inducer or inhibitor of CYP 3A4; thus, it is unlikely to cause any changes to ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir.

NTRK Inhibitor (Larotrectinib). Larotrectinib is an inhibitor of CYP 3A4, which can result in increased concentrations of ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir and its adverse effects when taken concomitantly.

KRAS p.G12C Mutations (Sotorasib, Adagrasib). Sotorasib is not an inducer or an inhibitor of CYP 3A4 and is unlikely to affect concentrations of ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir. Adagrasib, however, induces and inhibits CYP 3A4 enzymes. The clinical relevance of this interaction on ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir is currently unknown.

Multiple-Target TKIs (Cabozantinib, Anlotinib). Anlotinib is an inhibitor of CYP 3A4 which can result in increased concentrations of ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir and its adverse effects when taken

Table 1. Metabolism Profiles of Lung Cancer TKIs

	% of Dose Recovered (% Recovered Unchanged)		Metabolism			
TKIs	Feces	Urine	Major Substrate	Minor Substrate	Induces	Inhibits
EGFR inhibitors			-	-	-	-
Gefitinib ⁶	86	<4	CYP3A4	CYP2D6		CYP2C19, CYP2D6
Erlotinib ⁸	83 (1)	8 (0.3)	CYP3A4	CYP1A2, CYP1A1		
Afatinib ⁵	85 (74.8)	4 (3.52)	<2% Metabolized by FMO3, otherwise negligible	1		
Osimertinib ⁷	68	14	CYP3A4		CYP3A4 (pregnane X dependent), CYP1A2	CYP3A4
Aumolertinib	Unknown	Unknown	CYP3A4			
Furmonertinib ⁵⁵	71.2	6.63	CYP3A4		CYP3A4	
lcotinib ^{56,57}			CYP3A4 CYP2C19	CYP3A5 CYP1A2		
Dacomitinib ⁹	79 (20)	3 (<1)	CYP2D6	CYP3A4		
Lazertinib ⁵⁸	24 (unknown)	4.4 (unknown)	CYP3A4			CYP3A4
EGFR exon 20 insertion						
Mobocertinib ¹⁰	76 (6)	4 (1)	CYP3A		CYP3A	
Sunvozertinib ⁵⁹ (DZD9008)	Unspecified but largely feces and biliary route	СҮРЗА4 СҮРЗА5		СҮРЗА4		
ALK inhibitors						
Alectinib ⁶⁰	98 (84)	<0.5	CYP3A4			
Brigatinib ¹²	65 (26.65)	25 (21.5)	CYP3A4 CYP2C8		CYP3A4	
Lorlatinib ¹³	41 (9)	48 (<1)	CYP3A4	CYP2C8, CYP2C19, CYP3A5	CYP3A CYP2B6 CYP2C9	
ROS1 inhibitors						
Crizotinib ¹⁵	63 (53)	22 (2.3)	CYP3A			CYP3A, CYP2B6
Entrectinib ¹⁴	83 (36)	3	CYP3A4			CYP3A4
Repotrectinib ⁶¹	Unknown	Unknown	CYP3A4		CYP3A4 CYP2B6	CYP2C8/9 CYP2C19 CYP3A4
Taletrectinib ⁶²	Unknown	Unknown	CYP3A4 CYP3A5			
MET inhibitors						
Capmatinib ¹⁶	78 (42)	22 (negligible)	CYP3A4			CYP1A2
Tepotinib ⁶³	85 (45)	13.6 (7)	CYP3A4 CYP2C8			

(continued)

Table 1. Continued						
	% of Dose Recovered (% Recovered Unchanged)		Metabolism			
TKIs	Feces	Urine	Major Substrate	Minor Substrate	Induces	Inhibits
RET inhibitors	-	-		-	-	
Selpercatinib	69 (14)	24 (12)	CYP3A4			СҮРЗА, СҮР2С8
Pralsetinib''	73 (66)	6 (4.8)	СҮРЗА4	CYP2D6, CYP1A2	CYP3A4, CYP3A5, CYP2C8, CYP2C9	CYP3A4, CYP3A5, CYP2C8, CYP2C9
KRAS p.G12C mutations						
Sotorasib ⁶⁴	74 (53)	6 (1)	СҮРЗА		CYP2C8, CYP2C9, CYP2B6	
Adagrasib	40.3 (predominately unchanged)	0.036 (predominately unchanged)	СҮРЗА4		СҮРЗА4	CYP2B6, CYP2C9, CYP3A4, CYP2D6
HER2 \pm HER4 inhibitors						
Tucatinib ¹¹	86 (16)	4.1 (NA)	CYP2C8	CYP3A		CYP3A, CYP2C8
Neratinib ⁶⁵	91.1	1.13	CYP3A4			
NTRK inhibitor						
Larotrectinib ²⁰	58 (5)	39 (20)	CYP3A4			CYP3A4
MEK/BRAF inhibitors						
Dabrafenib ¹⁹	71 (NA)	23 (NA)	CYP2C8 CYP3A4		CYP3A4, CYP2C9, CYP1A2, CYP2B	
Trametinib ⁶⁶	80 (<0.1)	20 (0.1)				CYP2C8
Cobimetinib ⁶⁷	76 (6.6)	17.8 (1.6)	CYP3A			CYP3A, CYP2D6
Vemurafenib ⁶⁸	94 (NA)	1 (NA)				CYP1A2, CYP2A6, CYP2B6, CYP2C8, CYP2C9, CYP2C19, CYP2D6, CYP3A4, CYP3A5
Encorafenib ⁶⁹	47 (5)	47 (2)	СҮРЗА4	CYP2C19	СҮР2В6 СҮР2С9 СҮРЗА4	CYP2B6, CYP2C8/9, CYP2D6 CYP3A4
Binimetinib ⁷⁰	62 (32)	31 (6.5)		CYP1A2 CYP2C19		
Multiple-target TKIs						
Cabozantinib ⁷¹	54 (43)	27 (not detectable)	CYP3A4		CYP1A1	CYP2C8
Anlotinib ^{72,73}	2.2 (NA)	0.9 (NA)	CYP3A4 CYP3A5			CYP3A4 CYP2C9

NA, not applicable; TKI, tyrosine kinase inhibitor.

February 2023

concomitantly. Cabozantinib is not known as an inhibitor or inducer of CYP 3A4.

Impact of Ritonavir-Boosted Nirmatrelvir on Lung Cancer TKI

Ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir is a strong inhibitor of CYP3A and may increase plasma concentrations of drugs that are primarily metabolized by CYP3A (Table 2). Currently, it is contraindicated to be co-administered with drugs that are highly dependent on CYP3A for clearance. In this section, we will review the interaction potential of ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir on various TKIs. Dose-attenuation information from manufacturers is summarized in Table 3.

EGFR Inhibitors (Gefitinib, Erlotinib, Afatinib, Osimertinib, Aumolertinib, Furmonertinib, Dacomitinib, Sunvozertinib, Lazertinib). Most EGFR inhibitors are major substrates of CYP3A4 with the exception of afatinib, dacomitinib, and lazertinib. Coadministration of ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir with EGFR inhibitors is expected to moderately increase the AUCs of these TKIs. In trials, coadministration of itraconazole and ketoconazole, strong CYP 3A4 inhibitors, increased the AUC of these TKIs between 66% and 84%.^{5–9} Because the therapy duration for ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir is relatively short, the moderate increases in AUCs may put patients at risk for common side effects, including cutaneous adverse drug reactions, and gastrointestinal side effects, which may require monitoring.

EGFR Exon 20 Insertion (Mobocertinib, Sunvozertinib). Mobocertinib is a major substrate of CYP 3A, and coadministration with itraconazole and ketoconazole increased the AUC by 374% to 419%.¹⁰ Strong CYP 3A4 inhibitors such as ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir will likely produce similar effects in the AUC and predispose patients to increased risk of adverse events (e.g., interstitial lung disease [ILD], pneumonitis, cardiac toxicity, and diarrhea). Sunvozertinib is also a major substrate of CYP 3A4; however, DDI data are limited because strong 3A4 inhibitors and inducers were excluded in trials.

HER2 ± HER4 Inhibitors (Tucatinib, Neratinib). Neratinib is a major substrate of CYP 3A4 in contrast to tucatinib, which is a minor substrate. Despite tucatinib being a minor CYP 3A4 substrate, 200 mg twice-daily administration of itraconazole increased tucatinib's AUC and C_{max} by 30%.¹¹ Coadministration of neratinib with ketoconazole increased the AUC by 481% and C_{max} by 321%. These increases in drug exposure can increase risk for key adverse class effects (e.g., severe diarrhea and hepatotoxicity). **ALK Inhibitors (Alectinib, Brigatinib, Lorlatinib).** All ALK inhibitors are major substrates of CYP 3A4. Of note, there was no major change with alectinib in the presence of strong CYP 3A4 inhibitors and inducers. Coadministration with itraconazole increased the AUC of brigatinib and lorlatinib by 101% and 42%, respectively.^{12,13} Given that ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir has similar or possibly higher CYP 3A4 inhibiting potential as itraconazole and posaconazole, the concomitant use of ALK inhibitors and ritonavirboosted nirmatrelvir will result in similar supratherapeutic concentrations of ALK inhibitors and associated adverse effects (e.g., hepatotoxicity, pneumonitis, ILD, visual disturbances).

ROS1 Inhibitors (Crizotinib, Entrectinib, Repotrectinib, Taletrectinib). Both crizotinib and entrectinib are major substrates of CYP 3A4. Coadministration with ketoconazole and itraconazole has led to increased AUCs in crizotinib and entrectinib by 216% and 500%, respectively.^{14,15} This makes entrectinib the most vulnerable to strong CYP 3A4 inhibition by ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir with the highest risk for causing adverse effects such as hepatotoxicity, QTc prolongation, ILD, and visual loss. DDI data are limited for repotrectinib and taletrectinib because strong CYP 3A4 inducers and inhibitors were excluded from trials.

MET Inhibitors (Capmatinib, Tepotinib). Capmatinib and tepotinib are both major substrates of CYP 3A4. Coadministration of itraconazole increased the AUC of capmatinib by 421%.¹⁶ This increased drug exposure can lead to a considerable risk of adverse events (e.g., ILD, hepatotoxicity, photosensitivity). The effect of strong CYP 3A4 inhibitors on tepotinib has not been studied, but on the basis of the manufacturer's package insert, concomitant use with dual strong CYP 3A4 inhibitors and P-gp inhibitors should be avoided.

RET Inhibitors (Selpercatinib, Pralsetinib). Both selpercatinib and pralsetinib are major substrates of CYP 3A4. In clinical trials, the coadministration of itraconazole with selpercatinib and pralsetinib increased the AUCs of these agents by 133% and 251%, respectively.^{17,18} Increased drug exposure can potentially lead to an increased risk of adverse effects (e.g., hepatotoxicity, QTc prolongation, hemorrhagic events, impaired wound healing).

MEK/BRAF Inhibitors (Dabrafenib, Trametinib, Cobimetinib/Vemurafenib, Encorafenib/Binimetinib). Trametinib, vemurafenib, and binimetinib are not substrates of CYP

TKis Change of TKI AUC and C _{max} Rifampin (strong CVP3A4 Inducer) Retoconazole / Iraconazole (strong CVP3A4 Inducer) EGFR inhibitors Geftkinib 1 AUC 58% 1 AUC 60% Total 1 AUC 57% 45805 1 AUC 60% Attainib Unlikely or negligible Unlikely or negligible Osimertinib 1 AUC 57% 1 AUC 47%, 1 C _{max} 20% Armoleritnib Unknown Unknown Unknown Ioaconttinib Unknown 1 AUC 57%, 1 C _{max} 20% TAUC 14% (14%), 1 C _{max} 20% Boconttinib Unknown 1 AUC 57%, 1 C _{max} 20% TAUC 14% (14%), 1 C _{max} 20% Ioatinib Unknown Unknown Unknown EGFR von 20 insertion Sunvozertinib (D2D9008) Strong CVP3A4 Inhibitors and inducers were stopped Defore administration of sunvozertinib per protocol Alct Inhibitors 1 AUC 63%, 1 C _{max} 60% 1 AUC 101%, 1 C _{max} 24% Charatinib 1 AUC 63%, 1 C _{max} 60% 1 AUC 101%, 1 C _{max} 24% Robis entrinis 1 AUC 63%, 1 C _{max} 79% 1 AUC 61%, 1 C _{max} 74% Robis inhibitors I AUC 64%, 1 C _{max} 79% 1 AUC 61%, 1	Table 2. CYP3A4 Enzyme Interaction	With Various TKIs	
Rifampin (Strong CYP3A4 Inducer) Retext (Poaconazole (Traconazole (Strong CYP3A4 Inducer) EGFR Inhibitors IAUC 58% 1AUC 60% Efforminb 1 AUC 57.6%-80% 1 AUC 60% Atatintb Unlikely or negligible Unlikely or negligible Osimetrinb 1 AUC 78% T AUC 26%, 1 Cmax 20% Aumolertrinb Unknown Unknown Furmonetrinb 1 AUC 68%, 1 Cmax 60%, 1 AUC 14%, (41%), 1 Cmax 23% Dacomitrinb Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Dacomitrinb Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown EGFR cxon 20 insertion Strong CYP344 inhibitors and inducers were stopped before administration of survozertinb per protocol 1 AUC 97%, 1 Cmax 21% Alk inhibitors 1 AUC 85%, 1 Cmax 60% 1 AUC 47%, 1 Cmax 21% Crizotinb 1 AUC 85%, 1 Cmax 60% 1 AUC 47%, 1 Cmax 41% Rottenib 1 AUC 84%, 1 Cmax 60% 1 AUC 42%, 1 Cmax 41% Rottenib 1 AUC 47%, 1 Cmax 56% 1 AUC 42%, 1 Cmax 44% Rottenib	TKIs	Change of TKI AUC and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{max}}$	
EGFR inhibitors Gerthinb AUC 57.6% 80% At AUC 57.6% 80% At AUC 57.6% 80% At AUC 57.6% 80% At AUC 54%, 1 Case 20% Aumolectrinb AUC 57.6% 80% Autor engligible Unknown Unknown Unknown Unknown Lazertinb Unknown Unknown Unknown Lazertinb Unknown Unknown Unknown Lazertinb Unknown Unknown Unknown Lazertinb Unknown U		Rifampin (Strong CYP3A4 Inducer)	Ketoconazole/Itraconazole /Posaconazole (Strong CYP3A4 Inhibition)
Geffinib I AUC 58% 74% 74% 74% 74% 74% 74% 74% 74% 74% 74	EGFR inhibitors		
Erlotinib I AUC 57, 5% 80% 1AUC 65% Additional Autor (Autor) (Gefitinib	↓ AUC 58%	↑ AUC 80 %
AfatinibUnlikely or negligibleUnlikely or negligibleOsimertinib1 AUC 78%1 AUC 26%, 1 Cmax 20%AumoletrinibUnknownUnknownFurmonertinib1 AUC 66%, 1 Cmax 60%1 AUC 1.4× (41%), 1 Cmax 20%LotinibUnknown1 AUC 77% 45%DacomitrinibUnknownUnknownEGFR exon 20 insertionStrong CYP3A4 (inhibitors and inducers were stopped before administration of survozertinib per protocolMobacertinib1 AUC 92%1 AUC 374% 419%ALK InhibitorsAUC 92%1 AUC 374% 419%ALK InhibitorsI AUC 92%1 AUC 374% 419%ALK InhibitorsI AUC 92%1 AUC 10%, 1 Cmax 21%Crizotinib1 AUC 92%1 AUC 10%, 1 Cmax 21%Crizotinib1 AUC 65%, 1 Cmax 76%1 AUC 62%, 1 Cmax 44%Restinib1 AUC 67%, 1 Cmax 76%1 AUC 62%, 1 Cmax 44%Rottinib1 AUC 67%, 1 Cmax 56%1 AUC 67%, 1 Cmax 76%Rottinib1 AUC 67%, 1 Cmax 56%1 AUC 67%, 1 Cmax 70%Rottinib1 AUC 67%, 1 Cmax 56%1 AUC 67%, 1 Cmax 70%Rottinib1 AUC 67%, 1 Cmax 70%1 AUC 67%, 1 Cmax 70%Retrinib1 AUC 67%, 1 Cmax 70%1 AUC 67%, 1 Cmax 70%Retrinib1 AUC 67%, 1 Cmax 70%1 AUC 67%, 1 Cmax 70%Retrinib1 AUC 67%, 1 Cmax 70%1 AUC 67%, 1 Cmax 70%Retrinib1 AUC 67%, 1 Cmax 70%1 AUC 67%, 1 Cmax 70%Praterinib1 AUC 67%, 1 Cmax 70%1 AUC 67%, 1 Cmax 70%Praterinib1 AUC 67%, 1 Cmax 70%1 AUC 67%, 1 Cmax 70%Praterinib<	Erlotinib	↓ AUC 57.6%-80%	↑ AUC 66 %
Osimertinib AUC 78% Cmax 04% Cmax 02% Unknown Unknown Furmonertinib AUC 86%, J Cmax 66% ↑ AUC 1.4× (41%), ↑ Cmax 23% Loctinib Unknown I AUC 67%-84% Locomitnib Unknown Unknown Unknown Lazertinib Unknown Unknown CFR exon 20 insertion Sunvozertinib (DZD9008) Strang CYB34 inhibitors and inducers were stopped before administration of sunvozertinib (DZD9008) Strang CYB34 inhibitors and inducers were stopped before administration of sunvozertinib (DZD9008) J AUC 92% AUC 374%-419% ALK inhibitors Alectinib AUC 92% AUC 374% -419% ALK inhibitors Alectinib AUC 92% AUC 374%, 419% ALK inhibitors Alectinib AUC 92% AUC 101%, † Cmax 21% Brigatinib AUC 80%, J Cmax 76% AUC 101%, † Cmax 21% ROS1 inhibitors Crizotinib AUC 89%, J Cmax 76% AUC 216%, † Cmax 24% ROS1 inhibitors Entrectinib AUC 87%, J Cmax 56% AUC 63%, † Cmax 44% Entrectinib AUC 87%, J Cmax 56% AUC 63%, † Cmax 44% Excluded from study Excluded from study Excluded from study Excluded from study AET inhibitors Capmatinib AUC 67%, J Cmax 56% AUC 64%, † Cmax 30% Pralsetinib AUC 67%, J Cmax 30% AUC 215%, † Cmax 30% Pralsetinib AUC 67%, J Cmax 25% AUC 64%, † Cmax 20% HER2 + HER2 + Hinhibitors Furcasib AUC 67%, J Cmax 70% AUC 13%, † Cmax 20% HER2 + HER2 + Hinhibitors Tucatinib AUC 67%, J Cmax 70% AUC 43%, † Cmax 20% Pralsetinib AUC 67%, J Cmax 25% AUC 64%, † Cmax 20% AUC 25%, † Cmax 24% NT6K inhibitors Tucatinib AUC 63%, J Cmax 70% AUC 43%, † Cmax 20% NT6K inhibitors Tucatinib AUC 63%, J Cmax 70% AUC 43%, † Cmax 23% Adgrasib AUC 63%, J Cmax 70% AUC 43%, † Cmax 23% NT6K inhibitors Tucatinib AUC 63%, J Cmax 70% AUC 43%, † Cmax 23% AUC 40%, † AUC 43%, † Cmax 24% NT6K inhibitors Tucatinib AUC 63%, J Cmax 70% AUC 43%, † Cmax 23% Adgrasib AUC 63%, J Cmax 70% AUC 43%, † Cmax 24% MEK /BRAF inhibitors Tucatinib AUC 63%, J Cmax 70% AUC 43%, † Cmax 24% MEK /BRAF inhibitors Turatentinb Unknown	Afatinib	Unlikely or negligible	Unlikely or negligible
Aumolertinib Unknown Unknown Furremertinib 1 AUC 68%, 1 Canas 60% ↑ AUC 67%-84% Icotinib Unknown ↑ AUC 67%-84% Dacomithnib Unknown Unknown EGFR exon 20 insertion Unknown Unknown EGFR exon 20 insertion Strong CYP3A4 inhibitors and inducers were stopped before administration of sunvozertinib per protocol Mobocertnib Mobocertnib 1 AUC 92% ↑ AUC 374%-419% ALK inhibitors AUC 67%, 1 Canas 60% ↑ AUC 101%, ↑ Canas 21% Loriatinib 1 AUC 92% ↑ AUC 67%, 1 Canas 60% ↑ AUC 42%, ↑ Canas 24% ROS1 inhibitors	Osimertinib	↓ AUC 78%	\uparrow AUC 24%, \downarrow C _{max} 20%
Furmonertinib 1 AUC 86%, 1 Cmax 60% 1 AUC 14× (41%), 1 Cmax 23% Dacomitinib Unknown 1 Unknown Lazertlinib Unknown Unknown Lazertlinib Unknown Unknown Lazertlinib Unknown Unknown Sunvozertlinib (02D9008) Strong CYP3A4 inhibitors and inducers were stopped before administration of sunvozertlinib per protocol ALK inhibitors I AUC 92% ↑ AUC 374%-419% ALK inhibitors I AUC 80%, 1 Cmax 60% ↑ AUC 216%, 1 Cmax 21% Brigatinib I AUC 80%, 1 Cmax 60% ↑ AUC 216%, 1 Cmax 24% Cmax 60% Crizotinib I AUC 84%, 1 Cmax 76% ↑ AUC 64%, 1 Cmax 24% Cmax 60% Crizotinib I AUC 84%, 1 Cmax 76% ↑ AUC 64%, 1 Cmax 76% ↑ AUC 64%, 1 Cmax 76% Entrectinib I AUC 67%, 1 Cmax 56% ↑ AUC 64%, 1 Cmax 66% ↑ AUC 64%, 1 Cmax 76% Taletrectinib I AUC 67%, 1 Cmax 56% ↑ AUC 64%, 1 Cmax 70% ↑ AUC 64%, 1 Cmax 70% Taletrectinib I AUC 67%, 1 Cmax 70% ↑ AUC 64%, 1 Cmax 30% ↑ AUC 64%, 1 Cmax 20% Tepotinib I AUC 67%, 1 Cmax 30% ↑ AUC	Aumolertinib	Unknown	Unknown
lectinib Unknown I AUC 67%.84% Dacomitinib Unknown Unknown Unknown EGFR exon 20 insertion Sunvozertinib (D2D9008) Strong CYP3A4 inhibitors and inducers were stopped before administration of sunvozertinib per protocol ALK inhibitors AuK inhibitors I AUC 92% I AUC 92% I AUC 374%.419% ALK inhibitors ALK inhibitors Alk Inhibitors Alk Inhibitors Crizotinib I AUC 80%, I Cmax 60% I AUC 10%, I Cmax 21% Loriatinib I AUC 85%, I Cmax 76% I AUC 10%, I Cmax 24% ROS1 inhibitors Crizotinib I AUC 85%, I Cmax 76% I AUC 10%, I Cmax 44% Entrectinib I AUC 85%, I Cmax 76% I AUC 26%, I Cmax 44% Entrectinib I AUC 87%, I Cmax 76% I AUC 26%, I Cmax 44% Entrectinib I AUC 87%, I Cmax 56% I AUC 65%, I Cmax 70% MET inhibitors Crizotinib I AUC 67%, I Cmax 56% I AUC 65%, I Cmax 60% MET inhibitors Excluded from study Excluded from study MET inhibitors Capmatinib I AUC 67%, I Cmax 56% I AUC 65%, I Cmax 0% MET inhibitors Selperatinib I AUC 67%, I Cmax 70% I AUC 133%, I Cmax 30% Pralsetinib I AUC 65%, I Cmax 70% I AUC 133%, I Cmax 30% Pralsetinib I AUC 65%, I Cmax 70% I AUC 133%, I Cmax 20% Pralsetinib I AUC 65%, I Cmax 70% I AUC 63%, I Cmax 21% NTRK Inhibitors Selperatinib I AUC 65%, I Cmax 70% I AUC 133%, I Cmax 20% Pralsetinib I AUC 65%, I Cmax 70% I AUC 133%, I Cmax 20% Pralsetinib I AUC 65%, I Cmax 70% I AUC 133%, I Cmax 20% Pralsetinib I AUC 65%, I Cmax 70% I AUC 133%, I Cmax 20% Pralsetinib I AUC 65%, I Cmax 70% I AUC 133%, I Cmax 20% Pralsetinib I AUC 65%, I Cmax 70% I AUC 133%, I Cmax 21% NTRK Inhibitor Tucatinib I AUC 67%, I Cmax 71% I AUC 43%, I Cmax 21% NTRK Inhibitor Taraettinib I AUC 67%, I Cmax 70% I AUC 133%, I Cmax 21% NTRK Inhibitor Taraettinib I AUC 67%, I Cmax 70% I AUC 133%, I Cmax 21% NTRK Inhibitor Taraettinib I AUC 67%, I Cmax 70% I AUC 63%, I Cmax 21% NTRK Inhibitor Taraettinib I AUC 67%, I Cmax 70% I AUC 63%, I Cmax 21% NTRK Inhibitor Taraettinib I AUC 67%, I Cmax 70% I AUC 63%, I Cmax 64%, I Cmax 71% NTRK Inhibitor Taraettinib I AUC 64%, I Cmax 71% I AUC 63%, I Cmax 64%, I Cmax 64%, I Cmax 71% I Cmax 71% I Cmax 71% I	Furmonertinib	\downarrow AUC 86%, \downarrow C _{max} 60%	\uparrow AUC 1.4 \times (41%), \uparrow C _{max} 23%
DacomtininbUnknownUnknownLazertinibUnknownUnknownLazertinibUnknownUnknownEGFR exon 20 insertionStrong CYP3A4 inhibitors and inducers were stopped before administration of survozertinib per protocol↑ AUC 374%-419%ALK inhibitors↓ AUC 92%↑ AUC 374%-419%ALK inhibitors↓ AUC 92%↑ AUC 101%, ↑ Cmax 21%AlectinibNo effects observed↓ AUC 80%, ↓ Cmax 60%↑ AUC 101%, ↑ Cmax 24%Brigatinib↓ AUC 80%, ↓ Cmax 76%↑ AUC 42%, ↑ Cmax 24%Loritatinb↓ AUC 85%, ↓ Cmax 76%↑ AUC 42%, ↑ Cmax 74%ROS1 inhibitorsTTCrizotinib↓ AUC 84%, ↓ Cmax 79%↑ AUC 216%, ↑ Cmax 74%Entrectinib↓ AUC 77%, ↓ Cmax 75%↑ AUC 21%, ↑ Cmax 74%Repotrectinib⊥ AUC 67%, ↓ Cmax 56%↑ AUC 42%, ↑ Cmax 74%Metrotectinib⊥ AUC 67%, ↓ Cmax 75%↑ AUC 43%, ↑ Cmax 76%MetrotinibitorsTTCapmathib⊥ AUC 67%, ↓ Cmax 70%↑ AUC 43%, ↑ Cmax 30%Repotrectinib⊥ AUC 67%, ↓ Cmax 70%↑ AUC 43%, ↑ Cmax 30%RET inhibitorsTTSelperatinib⊥ AUC 67%, ↓ Cmax 30%↑ AUC 43%, ↑ Cmax 30%RET inhibitorsTTSelperatinib⊥ AUC 67%, ↓ Cmax 70%↑ AUC 43%, ↑ Cmax 30%RET inhibitorsTTSelperatinib⊥ AUC 67%, ↓ Cmax 05%↑ AUC 43%, ↑ Cmax 44%KRAS p. G12C mutations↑ AUC 43%, ↑ Cmax 76%↑ AUC 43%, ↑ Cmax 23%Crizotinib⊥ AUC 95%, ↓ Cmax 76%	Icotinib	Unknown	↑ AUC 67%-84%
Lazertinib Unknown Unknown EGFR exon 20 insertion Sunvozertinib (DZD9008) Strong CYP3A4 inhibitors and inducers were stopped before administration of sunvozertinib per protocol Mobocertinib 4 JAUC 92% ↑ AUC 374%-419% ALK inhibitors ALK inhibitors ALK inhibitors Loratinib 1 AUC 80%, 1 C _{max} 60% 1 AUC 101%, 1 C _{max} 21% Loritatinib 1 AUC 80%, 1 C _{max} 76% ↑ AUC 101%, 1 C _{max} 24% ROS1 inhibitors Crizotinib 1 AUC 80%, 1 C _{max} 76% ↑ AUC 216%, 1 C _{max} 44% ROS1 inhibitors Crizotinib 1 AUC 84%, 1 C _{max} 79% ↑ AUC 216%, 1 C _{max} 44% Entrectinib 1 AUC 77%, 1 C _{max} 56% ↑ AUC 216%, 1 C _{max} 44% Entrectinib 1 AUC 67%, 1 C _{max} 56% ↑ AUC 216%, 1 C _{max} 44% Entrectinib 1 AUC 67%, 1 C _{max} 56% ↑ AUC 216%, 1 C _{max} 44% Entrectinib 1 AUC 67%, 1 C _{max} 56% ↑ AUC 42%, ↑ C _{max} 44% MET inhibitors Capmatnib 1 AUC 67%, 1 C _{max} 56% ↑ AUC 42%, ↑ C _{max} 40% MET inhibitors Selpercatnib 1 AUC 67%, 1 C _{max} 56% ↑ AUC 42%, ↑ C _{max} 0% Tepotinib 1 AUC 67%, 1 C _{max} 56% ↑ AUC 42%, ↑ C _{max} 0% Prabetinib 1 AUC 66%, 1 C _{max} 70% ↑ AUC 133%, ↑ C _{max} 0% Prabetinib 1 AUC 66%, 1 C _{max} 70% ↑ AUC 133%, ↑ C _{max} 20% HER2 ± HER4 inhibitors Tucatinib 1 AUC 66%, 1 C _{max} 70% ↑ AUC 133, ↑ C _{max} 20% HER2 ± HER4 inhibitors Larotrectinib 1 AUC 67%, 1 C _{max} 76% ↑ AUC 40%, ↑ C _{max} 20% HER2 ± HER4 inhibitors Larotrectinib 1 AUC 87%, 1 C _{max} 76% ↑ AUC 43%, ↑ C _{max} 21% NTRK inhibitor Larotrectinib 1 AUC 87%, 1 C _{max} 76% ↑ AUC 43%, ↑ C _{max} 23% MEX/BAF inhibitor Larotrectinib 1 AUC 81%, 1 C _{max} 76% ↑ AUC 43%, ↑ C _{max} 23% MEX/BAF inhibitor Larotrectinib 1 AUC 83%, 1 C _{max} 71% ↑ AUC 43%, ↑ C _{max} 23% MEX/BAF inhibitor Larotrectinib 1 AUC 83% 1 C _{max} 71% ↑ AUC 43%, ↑ C _{max} 68%, 0 Binimetinib 0 Unknown 0 Unknown Multiple-target TKIS Cabozantinib 0 Unknown 0 Unknown	Dacomitinib	Unknown	Unknown
EGFR evon 20 insertion Sunvozertinib (DZD9008) Strong CYP3A4 inhibitors and inducers were stopped before administration of sunvozertinib per protocol Mobocertinib 1 AUC 92% ↑ AUC 374%-419% ALK inhibitors Image: stopped before administration of sunvozertinib per protocol Alketinib 1 AUC 92% ↑ AUC 101%, ↑ Cmax 21% Brigatinib 1 AUC 85%, 1 Cmax 60% ↑ AUC 101%, ↑ Cmax 21% Corlatinib 1 AUC 85%, 1 Cmax 60% ↑ AUC 62%, ↑ Cmax 12% ROS1 inhibitors Image: stopped before administration of sunvozertinib per protocol 1 AUC 62%, ↑ Cmax 12% ROS1 inhibitors Image: stopped before administration of sunvozertinib per protocol 1 AUC 63%, ↑ Cmax 26% ROS1 inhibitors Image: stopped before administration of sunvozertinib per protocol 1 AUC 63%, ↑ Cmax 76% ↑ AUC 63%, ↑ Cmax 17% Repotrectinib I AUC 67%, ↓ Cmax 70% ↑ AUC 621%, ↑ Cmax 0% Image: stopped before administration of sunvoy RET inhibitors Image: stopped before administration of sunvoy Image: stopped before administration of sunvoy Image: stopped before administration of sunvoy Repotrectinib I AUC 67%, I Cmax 70% ↑ AUC 621%, ↑ Cmax 0% Image: stopped before administration of sunvoy Repotrectinib I AUC 67%, I Cmax 05%	Lazertinib	Unknown	Unknown
Survozertinib (DZD9008) Strong CYP3A4 inhibitors and inducers were stopped before administration of survozertinib per protocol Mobocertinib I AUC 92% 1 AUC 374%-419% ALK inhibitors I AUC 92% 1 AUC 101%, 1 Cmax 21% Alectinib I AUC 80%, 1 Cmax 60% 1 AUC 101%, 1 Cmax 21% Loriatinib I AUC 80%, 1 Cmax 60% 1 AUC 216%, 1 Cmax 24% ROS1 inhibitors Crizotinib I AUC 85%, 1 Cmax 76% 1 AUC 216%, 1 Cmax 44% Entrectinib I AUC 67%, 1 Cmax 56% 1 AUC 6x, 1 Cmax 44% Entrectinib I AUC 67%, 1 Cmax 56% 1 AUC 6x, 1 Cmax 44% Entrectinib Excluded from study Excluded from study Capmatinib I AUC 67%, 1 Cmax 56% 1 AUC 42%, 1 Cmax 0% Tepotinib Unknown Unknown RET inhibitors I AUC 67%, 1 Cmax 30% 1 AUC 213%, 1 Cmax 30% Pralestinib I AUC 67%, 1 Cmax 70% 1 AUC 213%, 1 Cmax 30% Pralestinib Storasib I AUC 67%, 1 Cmax 25% AUC 400%, 1 Cmax 20% KRA p. G12 MRS p. G12C mutations I AUC 67%, 1 Cmax 76% 1 AUC 400%, 1 Cmax 21% MeR2 ±HR4 inhibitors I AUC 67%, 1 Cmax 76% 1 AUC 400%, 1 Cmax 20%	EGFR exon 20 insertion		
Mobocertinib ↓ AUC 92% ↑ AUC 374%-419% ALK inhibitors ALK inhibitors Brigatinib ↓ AUC 80%, ↓ Cmax 60% ↑ AUC 101%, ↑ Cmax 21% Loritatinib ↓ AUC 80%, ↓ Cmax 60% ↑ AUC 42%, ↑ Cmax 24% Loritatinib ↓ AUC 80%, ↓ Cmax 76% ↑ AUC 42%, ↑ Cmax 44% ROS1 inhibitors ↑ AUC 64%, ↓ Cmax 70% ↑ AUC 64%, ↑ Cmax 1.7× Repotrectinib ↓ AUC 77%, ↓ Cmax 56% ↑ AUC 647, ↑ Cmax 1.7× Taletrectinib ↓ AUC 67%, ↓ Cmax 56% ↑ AUC 421%, ↑ Cmax 0% Taletrectinib ↓ AUC 67%, ↓ Cmax 56% ↑ AUC 421%, ↑ Cmax 0% Tepotinib ⊥ AUC 67%, ↓ Cmax 56% ↑ AUC 421%, ↑ Cmax 0% Tepotinib ⊥ AUC 67%, ↓ Cmax 50% ↑ AUC 421%, ↑ Cmax 30% Pralsetinib ↓ AUC 68%, ↓ Cmax 70% ↑ AUC 13%, ↑ Cmax 30% Pralsetinib ↓ AUC 68%, ↓ Cmax 55% ↓ AUC 40%, ↑ Cmax 30% Pralsetinib ↓ AUC 65%, ↓ Cmax 65% ↑ AUC 40%, ↑ Cmax 30% Pralsetinib ↓ AUC 65%, ↓ Cmax 0.5 ↑ AUC 40%, ↑ Cmax 30% Venzatinib ↓ AUC 65%, ↓ Cmax 0.5 ↑ AUC 40%, ↑ Cmax 31% <tr< td=""><td>Sunvozertinib (DZD9008)</td><td>Strong CYP3A4 inhibitors and inducers were stopped before administration of sunvozertinib per protocol</td><td></td></tr<>	Sunvozertinib (DZD9008)	Strong CYP3A4 inhibitors and inducers were stopped before administration of sunvozertinib per protocol	
ALK inhibitorsAlectinibNo effects observedBrigatinib1 AUC 80%, 1 Cmax 60%1 AUC 101%, 1 Cmax 21%Lorlatinib1 AUC 80%, 1 Cmax 76%1 AUC 12%, 1 Cmax 24%ROS1 inhibitorsCrizotinib1 AUC 84%, 1 Cmax 79%1 AUC 26%, 1 Cmax 44%Entrectinib1 AUC 77%, 1 Cmax 56%1 AUC 64, 1 Cmax 1.7×RepotrectinibExcluded from studyExcluded from studyTaltertectinibExcluded from studyExcluded from studyMET inhibitorsCapmatinib1 AUC 67%, 1 Cmax 56%1 AUC 421%, 1 Cmax 0%TeptotinibUnknownUnknownRET inhibitorsSelperatinib1 AUC 67%, 1 Cmax 70%1 AUC 133%, 1 Cmax 30%Pralsetinib1 AUC 67%, 1 Cmax 25%AUC 251%, 1 Cmax 30%Adarsib1 AUC 51%, 1 Cmax 25%AUC 251%, 1 Cmax 25%Adarsib1 AUC 56%, 1 Cmax 70%1 AUC 400%, 1 Cmax 20%HER2 ± HER4 inhibitorsTucatinib1 AUC 66%, 1 Cmax 71%1 AUC 4.3×, 1 Cmax 31%Neratinib1 AUC 61%, 1 Cmax 71%1 AUC 4.3×, 1 Cmax 21%MEK/PRAF inhibitorsTuraterinib1 AUC 84%, 1 Cmax 71%1 AUC 4.3×, 1 Cmax 24%MEK/PRAF inhibitorsUarofenib1 AUC 64%, 1 Cmax 71%1 AUC 64%, 1 Cmax 24%MEK/PRAF inhibitorsUarofenib1 AUC 64%1 AUC 71%Trametinib1 AUC 64%1 AUC 71%Trametinib1 AUC 64%1 AUC 71% </td <td>Mobocertinib</td> <td>↓ AUC 92%</td> <td>↑ AUC 374%-419%</td>	Mobocertinib	↓ AUC 92%	↑ AUC 374%-419%
Alectinib No effects observed Brigatinib 1 AUC 80%, 1 Cmax 60% ↑ AUC 101%, ↑ Cmax 21% Lorlatinib 1 AUC 80%, 1 Cmax 76% ↑ AUC 42%, 1 Cmax 24% ROS1 inhibitors Crizotinib 1 AUC 84%, 1 Cmax 79% ↑ AUC 216%, 1 Cmax 44% Entrectinib 1 AUC 74%, 1 Cmax 56% ↑ AUC 64 ×, 1 Cmax 44% Repotrectinib Excluded from study Excluded from study Talterectinib Excluded from study Excluded from study Talterectinib Unknown Unknown Tepotinib Unknown Unknown Ref inhibitors Selpercatinib 1 AUC 67%, 1 Cmax 70% ↑ AUC 21%, ↑ Cmax 30% Pralsetinib 1 AUC 67%, 1 Cmax 70% ↑ AUC 21%, ↑ Cmax 30% Pralsetinib 1 AUC 67%, 1 Cmax 30% ↑ AUC 21%, ↑ Cmax 30% Pralsetinib 1 AUC 66%, 1 Cmax 25% Adagrasib 1 AUC 67%, 1 Cmax 0.5× ↑ AUC 43.*, ↑ Cmax 21% Neratinib 1 AUC 67%, 1 Cmax 0.5× ↑ AUC 43.*, ↑ Cmax 21% Neratinib 1 AUC 67%, 1 Cmax 0.5× ↑ AUC 43.*, ↑	ALK inhibitors		
Brigatinib \downarrow AUC 80%, \downarrow C _{max} 60% \uparrow AUC 101%, \uparrow C _{max} 21%Lorlatinib \downarrow AUC 85%, \downarrow C _{max} 76% \uparrow AUC 42%, \uparrow C _{max} 24%ROS1 inhibitors \downarrow AUC 84%, \downarrow C _{max} 79% \uparrow AUC 216%, \uparrow C _{max} 24%Crizotinib \downarrow AUC 77%, \downarrow C _{max} 79% \uparrow AUC 216%, \uparrow C _{max} 44%Entrectinib \downarrow AUC 77%, \downarrow C _{max} 56% \uparrow AUC 6.5, \uparrow C _{max} 1.7×RepotrectinibExcluded from studyExcluded from studyMatter entitiesExcluded from studyExcluded from studyMatter entities \downarrow AUC 67%, \downarrow C _{max} 56% \uparrow AUC 421%, \uparrow C _{max} 0%Caparatinib \downarrow AUC 67%, \downarrow C _{max} 70% \uparrow AUC 421%, \uparrow C _{max} 0%DepotinibUnknownUnknownRET inhibitors \downarrow AUC 67%, \downarrow C _{max} 70% \uparrow AUC 133%, \uparrow C _{max} 30%Pralsetinib \downarrow AUC 67%, \downarrow C _{max} 70% \uparrow AUC 133%, \uparrow C _{max} 30%Pralsetinib \downarrow AUC 68%, \downarrow C _{max} 70% \uparrow AUC 133%, \uparrow C _{max} 30%Pralsetinib \downarrow AUC 68%, \downarrow C _{max} 70% \uparrow AUC 400%, \uparrow C _{max} 20%REX = HER4 inhibitorsII/ Const, \downarrow C _{max} 70% \uparrow AUC 40%, \uparrow C _{max} 20%Uractinib \downarrow AUC 0.6×, \downarrow C _{max} 0.5× \uparrow AUC 41%, \uparrow C _{max} 321%NTRK inhibitorII/ Const, \downarrow C _{max} 71% \uparrow AUC 43%, \uparrow C _{max} 21%IntroductionsI/ AUC 67%, \downarrow C _{max} 71% \uparrow AUC 43%, \uparrow C _{max} 21%Catinib \downarrow AUC 0.6×, \downarrow C _{max} 0.5× \uparrow AUC 41%, \uparrow C _{max} 21%Introductions \downarrow AUC 0.6×, \downarrow C _{max} 71% \uparrow AUC 43%, \uparrow C _{max} 21%Introductions \downarrow AUC 6	Alectinib	No effects observed	
Loriatinib \downarrow AUC 85%, \downarrow C _{max} 76% \uparrow AUC 42%, \uparrow C _{max} 24%ROS1rolinhibitorsCrizotinib \downarrow AUC 84%, \downarrow C _{max} 79% \uparrow AUC 216%, \uparrow C _{max} 4.4%Entrectinib \downarrow AUC 77%, \downarrow C _{max} 56% \uparrow AUC 65%, \uparrow C _{max} 1.7×RepotrectinibExcluded from studyExcluded from studyTaletrectinibExcluded from studyExcluded from studyMET inhibitors \downarrow AUC 67%, \downarrow C _{max} 56% \uparrow AUC 41%, \uparrow C _{max} 0%Tepotinib \downarrow AUC 67%, \downarrow C _{max} 70% \uparrow AUC 41%, \uparrow C _{max} 0%Repotratinib \downarrow AUC 68%, \downarrow C _{max} 70% \uparrow AUC 133%, \uparrow C _{max} 30%Pralsetinib \downarrow AUC 68%, \downarrow C _{max} 30% \uparrow AUC 251%, \uparrow C _{max} 30%Pralsetinib \downarrow AUC 68%, \downarrow C _{max} 25% \uparrow AUC 251%, \uparrow C _{max} 20%KRAS p. 612C mutations \downarrow AUC 0.6×, \downarrow C _{max} 0.5× \uparrow AUC 481%, \uparrow C _{max} 21%Neratinib \downarrow AUC 87%, \downarrow C _{max} 76% \uparrow AUC 481%, \uparrow C _{max} 23%Adagrasib \downarrow AUC 87%, \downarrow C _{max} 0.5× \uparrow AUC 481%, \uparrow C _{max} 28×MER2 \pm HER4 inhibitors \downarrow AUC 87%, \downarrow C _{max} 76% \uparrow AUC 481%, \uparrow C _{max} 28×NTRK inhibitor \downarrow AUC 87%, \downarrow C _{max} 76% \uparrow AUC 481%, \uparrow C _{max} 28×MEX/BRAF inhibitors \downarrow AUC 87%, \downarrow C _{max} 76% \uparrow AUC 481%, \uparrow C _{max} 28×MEK/BRAF inhibitors \downarrow AUC 87%, \downarrow C _{max} 76% \uparrow AUC 481%, \uparrow C _{max} 28×MEK/BRAF inhibitors \downarrow AUC 87%, \downarrow C _{max} 76% \uparrow AUC 71%Cobimetinib \downarrow AUC 83% \uparrow AUC 90%Vermurafenib \downarrow AUC 48% \downarrow AUC 60%, \uparrow C _{max} 68%, <td>Brigatinib</td> <td>\downarrow AUC 80%, \downarrow C_{max} 60%</td> <td>↑ AUC 101%, ↑ C_{max} 21%</td>	Brigatinib	\downarrow AUC 80%, \downarrow C _{max} 60%	↑ AUC 101%, ↑ C _{max} 21%
ROS1 inhibitorsCrizotinib \downarrow AUC 84%, \downarrow Cmax 79% \uparrow AUC 216%, \uparrow Cmax 44%Entrectinib \downarrow AUC 77%, \downarrow Cmax 56% \uparrow AUC 6/x, \uparrow Cmax 1.7×RepotrectinibExcluded from studyExcluded from studyTaletrectinibExcluded from studyExcluded from studyMET inhibitorsCapmatinib \downarrow AUC 67%, \downarrow Cmax 56% \uparrow AUC 421%, \uparrow Cmax 0%TepotinibUnknownUnknownRET inhibitorsSelpercatinib \downarrow AUC 67%, \downarrow Cmax 30% \uparrow AUC 133%, \uparrow Cmax 30%Pralsetinib \downarrow AUC 68%, \downarrow Cmax 30% \uparrow AUC 133%, \uparrow Cmax 20%KRAS p.G12C mutations \downarrow AUC 51%, \downarrow Cmax 25%Adagrasib \downarrow AUC 05%, \downarrow Cmax 76% \uparrow AUC 400%, \uparrow Cmax 20%HER2 \pm HER4 inhibitorsTucatinib \downarrow AUC 81%, \downarrow Cmax 71% \uparrow AUC 1.3., \uparrow Cmax 2.8.×NTRK inhibitorLarotrectinib \downarrow AUC 84%, \downarrow Cmax 71% \uparrow AUC 4.3., \uparrow Cmax 2.8.×MEK/BRAF inhibitorsUratinib \downarrow AUC 84%, \downarrow Cmax 71% \uparrow AUC 4.3., \uparrow Cmax 2.8.×MEK/BRAF inhibitorsDabrafenib \downarrow AUC 63% \uparrow AUC 4.3., \uparrow Cmax 6.8., \downarrow Cmax 71%Cobinetinib \downarrow AUC 63% \uparrow AUC 71%TrametinibUnknownUnknownCobinetinib \downarrow AUC 63% \uparrow AUC 71%Trametinib \downarrow AUC 64% \downarrow AUC 64%Capmatinib \downarrow AUC 64% \uparrow AUC 64%Cobinetinib \downarrow AUC 64% \uparrow	Lorlatinib	\downarrow AUC 85%, \downarrow C _{max} 76%	\uparrow AUC 42%, \uparrow C _{max} 24%
Crizotinib \downarrow AUC 84%, \downarrow Cmax 79% \uparrow AUC 216%, \uparrow Cmax 44%Entrectinib \downarrow AUC 77%, \downarrow Cmax 56% \uparrow AUC 6×, \uparrow Cmax 1.7×RepotrectinibExcluded from studyExcluded from studyTaletrectinibExcluded from studyExcluded from studyMET inhibitors \downarrow AUC 67%, \downarrow Cmax 56% \uparrow AUC 421%, \uparrow Cmax 0%Tepotinib \downarrow AUC 67%, \downarrow Cmax 56% \uparrow AUC 421%, \uparrow Cmax 0%Repotrectinib \downarrow AUC 67%, \downarrow Cmax 56% \uparrow AUC 421%, \uparrow Cmax 0%Tepotinib \downarrow AUC 67%, \downarrow Cmax 70% \uparrow AUC 133%, \uparrow Cmax 30%Pralsetinib \downarrow AUC 87%, \downarrow Cmax 30% \uparrow AUC 133%, \uparrow Cmax 30%Pralsetinib \downarrow AUC 68%, \downarrow Cmax 30% \uparrow AUC 133%, \uparrow Cmax 30%Pralsetinib \downarrow AUC 68%, \downarrow Cmax 0.5× \uparrow AUC 133%, \uparrow Cmax 20%KRAS p. G12C mutations \downarrow AUC 65%, \downarrow Cmax 0.5× \uparrow AUC 40%, \uparrow Cmax 1.3×Sotorasib \downarrow AUC 95%, \downarrow Cmax 0.5× \uparrow AUC 40%, \uparrow Cmax 1.3×Adagrasib \downarrow AUC 0.6×, \downarrow Cmax 0.5× \uparrow AUC 41%, \uparrow Cmax 20%HER2 \pm HER4 inhibitorsITucatinib \downarrow AUC 87%, \downarrow Cmax 76% \uparrow AUC 43%, \uparrow Cmax 21%NTRK inhibitorILarotrectinib \downarrow AUC 83%, \downarrow Cmax 71% \uparrow AUC 43%, \uparrow Cmax 2.8×MEK/BRAF inhibitorsILarotrectinib \downarrow AUC 34% \uparrow AUC 43%, \uparrow Cmax 68%, \downarrow AUC 43%Cobinetinib \downarrow AUC 34% \uparrow AUC 43%, \uparrow Cmax 68%, \downarrow AUC 90%Vemurafenib \downarrow AUC 43% \uparrow AUC 43%, \uparrow Cmax 68%, \downarrow AUC 43%MEK/BRAF inhibitor \downarrow AUC 43% <th< td=""><td>ROS1 inhibitors</td><td></td><td></td></th<>	ROS1 inhibitors		
Entrectinib \downarrow AUC 77%, \downarrow C _{max} 56% \uparrow AUC 6×, \uparrow C _{max} 1.7×RepotrectinibExcluded from studyExcluded from studyTaletrectinibExcluded from studyExcluded from studyMET inhibitorsI AUC 67%, \downarrow C _{max} 56% \uparrow AUC 421%, \uparrow C _{max} 0%Capmatinib \downarrow AUC 67%, \downarrow C _{max} 76% \uparrow AUC 421%, \uparrow C _{max} 0%TepotinibUnknownUnknownRET inhibitors \downarrow AUC 87%, \downarrow C _{max} 70% \uparrow AUC 133%, \uparrow C _{max} 30%Selpercatinib \downarrow AUC 68%, \downarrow C _{max} 30% \uparrow AUC 251%, \uparrow C _{max} 84%KRAS p.G12C mutations \downarrow AUC 51%, \downarrow C _{max} 25%Adagrasib \downarrow AUC 0.6×, \downarrow C _{max} 0.5× \uparrow AUC 40%, \uparrow C _{max} 20%HER2 \pm HER4 inhibitors \downarrow AUC 0.6×, \downarrow C _{max} 76% \uparrow AUC 4.3×, \uparrow C _{max} 321%Tucatinib \downarrow AUC 81%, \downarrow C _{max} 71% \uparrow AUC 4.3×, \uparrow C _{max} 2.8×MEK/BRAF inhibitors \downarrow AUC 34% \uparrow AUC 71%Trametinib \downarrow AUC 34% \uparrow AUC 20%, \uparrow C _{max} 68%,Trametinib \downarrow AUC 40% \land AUC 90%Vemurafenib \downarrow AUC 40% \uparrow AUC 20%, \uparrow C _{max} 68%,Binimetinib \downarrow AUC 40% \land AUC 90%Vemurafenib \downarrow AUC 40% \land AUC 90%Vemurafenib \downarrow AUC 40% \uparrow AUC 200%, \uparrow C _{max} 68%,BinimetinibUnknown \downarrow AUC 20%, \uparrow C _{max} 68%,BinimetinibUnknown \uparrow AUC 20%, \uparrow C _{max} 68%,BinimetinibUnknown \downarrow AUC 20%, \uparrow C _{max} 68%,BinimetinibUnknown \downarrow AUC 20%, \uparrow C _{max} 68%,BinimetinibU	Crizotinib	\downarrow AUC 84%, \downarrow C _{max} 79%	↑ AUC 216%, ↑ C _{max} 44%
RepotrectinibExcluded from studyExcluded from studyTaletrectinibExcluded from studyExcluded from studyMET inhibitorsCapmatinib↓ AUC 67%, ↓ C _{max} 56%↑ AUC 421%, ↑ C _{max} 0%TepotinibUnknownUnknownRET inhibitorsSelpercatinib↓ AUC 87%, ↓ C _{max} 70%↑ AUC 133%, ↑ C _{max} 30%Pralsetinib↓ AUC 87%, ↓ C _{max} 30%↑ AUC 251%, ↑ C _{max} 30%Pralsetinib↓ AUC 68%, ↓ C _{max} 30%↑ AUC 251%, ↑ C _{max} 84%KRAS p. 612C mutationsSotorasib↓ AUC 51%, ↓ C _{max} 25%↑ AUC 400%, ↑ C _{max} 20%HER2 ± HER4 inhibitorsTucatinib↓ AUC 0.6×, ↓ C _{max} 0.5×↑ AUC 1.3×, ↑ C _{max} 321%Neratinib↓ AUC 87%, ↓ C _{max} 76%↑ AUC 4.3×, ↑ C _{max} 2.8×NEK/BRAF inhibitorsUartertinib↓ AUC 81%, ↓ C _{max} 71%↑ AUC 4.3×, ↑ C _{max} 2.8×MEK/BRAF inhibitorsDabrafenib↓ AUC 83%↑ AUC 71%Tranetinib↓ AUC 43%↑ AUC 71%Tranetinib↓ AUC 43%↑ AUC 90%Vemurafenib↓ AUC 40%NAEncorafenib∪nknown↑ AUC 200%, ↑ C _{max} 68%,Binimetinib∪nknown↑ AUC 200%, ↑ C _{max} 68%,Binimetinib </td <td>Entrectinib</td> <td>\downarrow AUC 77%, \downarrow C_{max} 56%</td> <td>\uparrow AUC 6×, \uparrow C_{max} 1.7×</td>	Entrectinib	\downarrow AUC 77%, \downarrow C _{max} 56%	\uparrow AUC 6×, \uparrow C _{max} 1.7×
TaletrectinibExcluded from studyExcluded from studyMET inhibitorsCapmatinib \downarrow AUC 67%, \downarrow C _{max} 56% \uparrow AUC 421%, \uparrow C _{max} 0%TepotinibUnknownUnknownRET inhibitorsSelpercatinib \downarrow AUC 87%, \downarrow C _{max} 70% \uparrow AUC 133%, \uparrow C _{max} 30%Pralsetinib \downarrow AUC 68%, \downarrow C _{max} 30% \uparrow AUC 251%, \uparrow C _{max} 30%Pralsetinib \downarrow AUC 68%, \downarrow C _{max} 30% \uparrow AUC 251%, \uparrow C _{max} 84%KRAS p.G12C mutations \downarrow AUC 95%, \downarrow C _{max} 25%Adagrasib \downarrow AUC 95%, \downarrow C _{max} 0.5× \uparrow AUC 40%, \uparrow C _{max} 20%HER2 \pm HER4 inhibitors \downarrow AUC 0.6×, \downarrow C _{max} 76% \uparrow AUC 1.3×, \uparrow C _{max} 1.3×Neratinib \downarrow AUC 81%, \downarrow C _{max} 76% \uparrow AUC 4.3×, \uparrow C _{max} 2.8×MEK/BRAF inhibitors \downarrow AUC 34% \uparrow AUC 4.3×, \uparrow C _{max} 2.8×MEK/BRAF inhibitors \downarrow AUC 34% \uparrow AUC 90%Vemurafenib \downarrow AUC 83% \uparrow AUC 90%Vemurafenib \downarrow AUC 83% \uparrow AUC 90%Vemurafenib \downarrow AUC 40%NAEncorafenib \downarrow AUC 40%NAEncorafenib \downarrow AUC 40%NAEncorafenib \downarrow AUC 40%NAEncorafenib \downarrow AUC 40% \uparrow AUC 200%, \uparrow C _{max} 68%,BinimetinibUnknown \uparrow AUC 200%, \uparrow C _{max} 68%,BinimetinibUnknown \uparrow AUC 20%, \uparrow C _{max} 68%,BinimetinibUnknown \uparrow AUC 20%, \uparrow C _{max} 68%,BinimetinibUnknown \uparrow AUC 20%, \uparrow C _{max} 68%,BinimetinibUnknown <t< td=""><td>Repotrectinib</td><td>Excluded from study</td><td>Excluded from study</td></t<>	Repotrectinib	Excluded from study	Excluded from study
MET inhibitorsCapmatinib↓ AUC 67%, ↓ C _{max} 56%↑ AUC 421%, ↑ C _{max} 0%TepotinibUnknownUnknownRET inhibitorsSelpercatinib↓ AUC 87%, ↓ C _{max} 70%↑ AUC 133%, ↑ C _{max} 30%Pralsetinib↓ AUC 68%, ↓ C _{max} 30%↑ AUC 251%, ↑ C _{max} 84%KRAS p.G12C mutationsSotorasib↓ AUC 51%, ↓ C _{max} 25%Adagrasib↓ AUC 95%, ↓ C _{max} 0.5×↑ AUC 400%, ↑ C _{max} 20%HER2 ± HER4 inhibitorsTucatinib↓ AUC 67%, ↓ C _{max} 0.5×↑ AUC 481%, ↑ C _{max} 321%NTRK inhibitorLarotrectinib↓ AUC 87%, ↓ C _{max} 76%↑ AUC 43×, ↑ C _{max} 2.8×MEK/BRAF inhibitorsDabrafenib↓ AUC 34%↑ AUC 71%TrametnibUnknownUnknownCobimetinib↓ AUC 83%↑ AUC 20%, ↑ C _{max} 6%,Gibmetinib↓ AUC 83%↑ AUC 71%TrametnibUnknown↑ AUC 200%, ↑ C _{max} 6%,Gobimetinib↓ AUC 83%↑ AUC 200%, ↑ C _{max} 6%,BinimetinibUnknown↑ AUC 200%, ↑ C _{max} 6%,Gobimetinib↓ AUC 77%∧ AUC 200%, ↑ C _{max} 6%,BinimetinibUnknown↑ AUC 200%, ↑ C _{max} 6%,Multiple-target TKIsCabozantinib↓ AUC 77%↑ AUC 38%AnlotinibUnknown↑ AUC 38%	Taletrectinib	Excluded from study	Excluded from study
Capmatinib \downarrow AUC 67%, \downarrow Cmax 56% \uparrow AUC 421%, \uparrow Cmax 0% UnknownTepotinibUnknownUnknownRET inhibitors \downarrow AUC 87%, \downarrow Cmax 70% \uparrow AUC 133%, \uparrow Cmax 30%Selpercatinib \downarrow AUC 68%, \downarrow Cmax 30% \uparrow AUC 133%, \uparrow Cmax 84%KRAS p.G12C mutations \downarrow AUC 51%, \downarrow Cmax 25% \downarrow AUC 95%, \downarrow Cmax 88% \uparrow AUC 400%, \uparrow Cmax 20%Sotorasib \downarrow AUC 95%, \downarrow Cmax 0.5× \uparrow AUC 400%, \uparrow Cmax 1.3×HER2 \pm HER4 inhibitors \downarrow AUC 0.6×, \downarrow Cmax 76% \uparrow AUC 4.3×, \uparrow Cmax 321%NTRK inhibitor \downarrow AUC 81%, \downarrow Cmax 76% \uparrow AUC 4.3×, \uparrow Cmax 2.8×MEK2 rinib \downarrow AUC 81%, \downarrow Cmax 76% \uparrow AUC 4.3×, \uparrow Cmax 2.8×MEK4 inhibitors \downarrow AUC 81%, \downarrow Cmax 76% \uparrow AUC 4.3×, \uparrow Cmax 2.8×Transfinib \downarrow AUC 81%, \downarrow Cmax 71% \uparrow AUC 71%Transfinib \downarrow AUC 6.8% \downarrow AUC 9.8% \uparrow AUC 71%Cobardenibi \downarrow AUC 40% Λ AUC 90%Vemurafenib \downarrow AUC 40% Λ AEncorafenibUnknown \uparrow AUC 200%, \uparrow Cmax 68%,BinimetinibUnknown \downarrow AUC 200%, \uparrow Cmax 68%,BinimetinibUnknown \downarrow AUC 200%, \uparrow Cmax 68%,BinimetinibUnknown \downarrow AUC 38%Aultiple-target TKIs \Box AUC 38% \uparrow AUC 38%	MET inhibitors		
TepotinibUnknownUnknownRET inhibitorsSelpercatinib \downarrow AUC 87%, \downarrow Cmax 70% \uparrow AUC 133%, \uparrow Cmax 30%Pralsetinib \downarrow AUC 68%, \downarrow Cmax 30% \uparrow AUC 251%, \uparrow Cmax 30%Pralsetinib \downarrow AUC 68%, \downarrow Cmax 30% \uparrow AUC 251%, \uparrow Cmax 40%KRAS p. G12C mutations \downarrow AUC 95%, \downarrow Cmax 88% \uparrow AUC 400%, \uparrow Cmax 20%Adagrasib \downarrow AUC 95%, \downarrow Cmax 0.5× \uparrow AUC 400%, \uparrow Cmax 1.3×Adagrasib \downarrow AUC 0.6×, \downarrow Cmax 0.5× \uparrow AUC 1.3×, \uparrow Cmax 1.3×HER2 \pm HER4 inhibitors \downarrow AUC 87%, \downarrow Cmax 76% \uparrow AUC 4.3×, \uparrow Cmax 2.8×NTRK inhibitor \downarrow AUC 81%, \downarrow Cmax 71% \uparrow AUC 4.3×, \uparrow Cmax 2.8×MEK/BRAF inhibitors \downarrow AUC 34% \uparrow AUC 71%Trametinib \downarrow AUC 83% \land AUC 90%Vemurafenib \downarrow AUC 40%NACobinetinib \downarrow AUC 40%NAEncorafenib \downarrow AUC 40%NAEncorafenibUnknown \uparrow AUC 200%, \uparrow Cmax 68%,BinimetinibUnknown \downarrow AUC 37%Multiple-target TKIs \downarrow AUC 77% \uparrow AUC 38%AnlotinibUnknown \downarrow AUC 38%	Capmatinib	\downarrow AUC 67%, \downarrow C _{max} 56%	\uparrow AUC 421%, \uparrow C _{max} 0%
RET inhibitorsSelpercatinib \downarrow AUC 87%, \downarrow Cmax 70% \uparrow AUC 133%, \uparrow Cmax 30%Pralsetinib \downarrow AUC 68%, \downarrow Cmax 30% \uparrow AUC 251%, \uparrow Cmax 44%KRAS p.G12C mutations \downarrow AUC 51%, \downarrow Cmax 25%Sotorasib \downarrow AUC 95%, \downarrow Cmax 88% \uparrow AUC 400%, \uparrow Cmax 20%HER2 \pm HER4 inhibitors \downarrow AUC 0.6×, \downarrow Cmax 0.5× \uparrow AUC 1.3×, \uparrow Cmax 1.3×Neratinib \downarrow AUC 87%, \downarrow Cmax 76% \uparrow AUC 4.3×, \uparrow Cmax 321%NTRK inhibitor \downarrow AUC 81%, \downarrow Cmax 76% \uparrow AUC 4.3×, \uparrow Cmax 2.8×MEK/BRAF inhibitors \downarrow AUC 34% \uparrow AUC 71%Tranetinib \downarrow AUC 34% \uparrow AUC 71%Trametinib \downarrow AUC 40%NACobimetinib \downarrow AUC 40%NAEncorafenib \downarrow AUC 40%NAEncorafenib \downarrow AUC 40%NAEncorafenibUnknown \uparrow AUC 200%, \uparrow Cmax 68%,BinimetinibUnknown \downarrow AUC 38%Aultinib \downarrow AUC 77% \uparrow AUC 38%	Tepotinib	Unknown	Unknown
Selpercatinib \downarrow AUC 87%, \downarrow Cmax 70% \uparrow AUC 133%, \uparrow Cmax 30%Pralsetinib \downarrow AUC 68%, \downarrow Cmax 30% \uparrow AUC 251%, \uparrow Cmax 84%RAS p.G12C mutations \downarrow AUC 51%, \downarrow Cmax 25%Sotorasib \downarrow AUC 51%, \downarrow Cmax 88% \uparrow AUC 400%, \uparrow Cmax 20%HER2 \pm HER4 inhibitors \uparrow AUC 0.6×, \downarrow Cmax 0.5× \uparrow AUC 1.3×, \uparrow Cmax 1.3×Tucatinib \downarrow AUC 0.6×, \downarrow Cmax 76% \uparrow AUC 413×, \uparrow Cmax 1.3×Neratinib \downarrow AUC 87%, \downarrow Cmax 76% \uparrow AUC 413×, \uparrow Cmax 1.3×Neratinib \downarrow AUC 87%, \downarrow Cmax 76% \uparrow AUC 413×, \uparrow Cmax 1.3×Neratinib \downarrow AUC 87%, \downarrow Cmax 76% \uparrow AUC 43×, \uparrow Cmax 2.8×NERK inhibitor \downarrow AUC 81%, \downarrow Cmax 71% \uparrow AUC 4.3×, \uparrow Cmax 2.8×MEK/BRAF inhibitors \downarrow AUC 34% \uparrow AUC 71%TrametinibUnknownUnknownCobimetinib \downarrow AUC 40%NAEncorafenib \downarrow AUC 40%NAEncorafenib \downarrow AUC 20%, \uparrow Cmax 68%,BinimetinibUnknown \downarrow AUC 20%, \uparrow Cmax 68%,BinimetinibUnknown \uparrow AUC 20%, \uparrow Cmax 68%,BinimetinibUnknown \uparrow AUC 38%Anlotinib \downarrow AUC 77% \uparrow AUC 38%	RET inhibitors		
Pralsetinib \downarrow AUC 68%, \downarrow C _{max} 30% \uparrow AUC 251%, \uparrow C _{max} 84%KRAS p.G12C mutationsSotorasib \downarrow AUC 51%, \downarrow C _{max} 25%Adagrasib \downarrow AUC 95%, \downarrow C _{max} 88% \uparrow AUC 400%, \uparrow C _{max} 20%HER2 \pm HER4 inhibitorsTucatinib \downarrow AUC 0.6×, \downarrow C _{max} 0.5× \uparrow AUC 1.3×, \uparrow C _{max} 1.3×Neratinib \downarrow AUC 87%, \downarrow C _{max} 76% \uparrow AUC 481%, \uparrow C _{max} 28%NTRK inhibitorLarotrectinib \downarrow AUC 81%, \downarrow C _{max} 71% \uparrow AUC 4.3×, \uparrow C _{max} 2.8×MEK/BRAF inhibitorsDabrafenib \downarrow AUC 34% \uparrow AUC 71%TrametinibUnknownUnknownCobimetinib \downarrow AUC 83% \uparrow AUC 90%Vemurafenib \downarrow AUC 40%NAEncorafenib \downarrow AUC 40%NAEncorafenib \downarrow AUC 40% \land AUC 200%, \uparrow C _{max} 68%,BinimetinibUnknownUnknownMultiple-target TKIs \downarrow AUC 77% \uparrow AUC 38%AnlotinibUnknownUnknown	Selpercatinib	\downarrow AUC 87%, \downarrow C _{max} 70%	↑ AUC 133%, ↑ C _{max} 30%
KRAS p.G12C mutationsSotorasib \downarrow AUC 51%, \downarrow C _{max} 25%Adagrasib \downarrow AUC 95%, \downarrow C _{max} 88% \uparrow AUC 400%, \uparrow C _{max} 200%HER2 \pm HER4 inhibitors \downarrow AUC 0.6×, \downarrow C _{max} 0.5× \uparrow AUC 1.3×, \uparrow C _{max} 1.3×Neratinib \downarrow AUC 0.6×, \downarrow C _{max} 76% \uparrow AUC 481%, \uparrow C _{max} 321%NTRK inhibitor \downarrow AUC 81%, \downarrow C _{max} 71% \uparrow AUC 4.3×, \uparrow C _{max} 2.8×MEK/BRAF inhibitors \downarrow AUC 34% \uparrow AUC 71%Dabrafenib \downarrow AUC 34% \uparrow AUC 71%TrametinibUnknownUnknownCobimetinib \downarrow AUC 40%NAEncorafenibUnknown \uparrow AUC 200%, \uparrow C _{max} 68%,BinimetinibUnknownUnknownMultiple-target TKIs \downarrow AUC 77% \uparrow AUC 38%Anlotinib \downarrow AUC 77% \uparrow AUC 38%	Pralsetinib	\downarrow AUC 68%, \downarrow C _{max} 30%	↑ AUC 251%, ↑ C _{max} 84%
Sotorasib \downarrow AUC 51%, \downarrow C _{max} 25%Adagrasib \downarrow AUC 95%, \downarrow C _{max} 88% \uparrow AUC 400%, \uparrow C _{max} 200%HER2 \pm HER4 inhibitorsTucatinib \downarrow AUC 0.6 ×, \downarrow C _{max} 0.5 × \uparrow AUC 1.3 ×, \uparrow C _{max} 1.3 ×Neratinib \downarrow AUC 87%, \downarrow C _{max} 76% \uparrow AUC 481%, \uparrow C _{max} 321%NTRK inhibitorLarotrectinib \downarrow AUC 81%, \downarrow C _{max} 76% \uparrow AUC 4.3 ×, \uparrow C _{max} 2.8 ×MEK/BRAF inhibitorsDabrafenib \downarrow AUC 34% \uparrow AUC 71%TrametinibUnknownUnknownCobimetinib \downarrow AUC 83% \uparrow AUC 90%Vemurafenib \downarrow AUC 40%NAEncorafenibUnknown \downarrow AUC 200%, \uparrow C _{max} 68%,BinimetinibUnknownUnknownMultiple-target TKIs \downarrow AUC 77% \uparrow AUC 38%AnlotinibUnknownUnknownUnknownUnknownUnknown	KRAS p.G12C mutations		
Adagrasib \downarrow AUC 95%, \downarrow C _{max} 88% \uparrow AUC 400%, \uparrow C _{max} 200%HER2 \pm HER4 inhibitorsTucatinib \downarrow AUC 0.6×, \downarrow C _{max} 0.5× \uparrow AUC 1.3×, \uparrow C _{max} 1.3×Neratinib \downarrow AUC 0.6×, \downarrow C _{max} 76% \uparrow AUC 481%, \uparrow C _{max} 321%Neratinib \downarrow AUC 87%, \downarrow C _{max} 76% \uparrow AUC 481%, \uparrow C _{max} 321%NTRK inhibitor \downarrow AUC 81%, \downarrow C _{max} 71% \uparrow AUC 4.3×, \uparrow C _{max} 2.8×MEK/BRAF inhibitors \downarrow AUC 34% \uparrow AUC 71%Dabrafenib \downarrow AUC 34% \uparrow AUC 71%TrametinibUnknownUnknownCobimetinib \downarrow AUC 40%NAEncorafenibUnknown \uparrow AUC 200%, \uparrow C _{max} 68%,BinimetinibUnknownUnknownMultiple-target TKIs \downarrow AUC 77% \uparrow AUC 38%Anlotinib \downarrow AUC 77% \uparrow AUC 38%	Sotorasib	\downarrow AUC 51%, \downarrow C _{max} 25%	
HER4 inhibitorsTucatinib \downarrow AUC 0.6×, \downarrow C _{max} 0.5× \uparrow AUC 1.3×, \uparrow C _{max} 1.3×Neratinib \downarrow AUC 87%, \downarrow C _{max} 76% \uparrow AUC 481%, \uparrow C _{max} 321%NTRK inhibitorLarotrectinib \downarrow AUC 81%, \downarrow C _{max} 71% \uparrow AUC 4.3×, \uparrow C _{max} 2.8×MEK/BRAF inhibitors \downarrow AUC 34% \uparrow AUC 71%Dabrafenib \downarrow AUC 34% \uparrow AUC 71%TrametinibUnknownUnknownCobimetinib \downarrow AUC 40%NAEncorafenibUnknown \uparrow AUC 200%, \uparrow C _{max} 68%,BinimetinibUnknownUnknownMultiple-target TKIs \downarrow AUC 77% \uparrow AUC 38%Anlotinib \downarrow AUC 77% \uparrow AUC 38%	Adagrasib	\downarrow AUC 95%, \downarrow C _{max} 88%	↑ AUC 400%, ↑ C _{max} 200%
Tucatinib \downarrow AUC $0.6 \times$, \downarrow C _{max} $0.5 \times$ \uparrow AUC $1.3 \times$, \uparrow C _{max} $1.3 \times$ Neratinib \downarrow AUC 87% , \downarrow C _{max} 76% \uparrow AUC 481% , \uparrow C _{max} 321% NTRK inhibitor \downarrow AUC 81% , \downarrow C _{max} 71% \uparrow AUC $4.3 \times$, \uparrow C _{max} $2.8 \times$ Larotrectinib \downarrow AUC 34% \uparrow AUC 71% Dabrafenib \downarrow AUC 34% \uparrow AUC 71% TrametinibUnknownUnknownCobimetinib \downarrow AUC 83% \uparrow AUC 90% Vemurafenib \downarrow AUC 40% NAEncorafenibUnknown \uparrow AUC 200% , \uparrow C _{max} 68% ,BinimetinibUnknownUnknownMultiple-target TKIs \downarrow AUC 77% \uparrow AUC 38% Anlotinib \downarrow AUC 77% \uparrow AUC 38%	HER2 \pm HER4 inhibitors		
Neratinib↓ AUC 87%, ↓ C _{max} 76%↑ AUC 481%, ↑ C _{max} 321%NTRK inhibitorLarotrectinib↓ AUC 81%, ↓ C _{max} 71%↑ AUC 4.3×, ↑ C _{max} 2.8×MEK/BRAF inhibitors↓ AUC 34%↑ AUC 71%Dabrafenib↓ AUC 34%↑ AUC 71%TrametinibUnknownUnknownCobimetinib↓ AUC 83%↑ AUC 90%Vemurafenib↓ AUC 40%NAEncorafenibUnknown↑ AUC 200%, ↑ C _{max} 68%,BinimetinibUnknownUnknownMultiple-target TKIs✓✓Cabozantinib↓ AUC 77%↑ AUC 38%AnlotinibUnknownUnknown	Tucatinib	\downarrow AUC 0.6×, \downarrow C _{max} 0.5×	\uparrow AUC 1.3×, \uparrow C _{max} 1.3×
NTRK inhibitor Larotrectinib ↓ AUC 81%, ↓ C _{max} 71% ↑ AUC 4.3×, ↑ C _{max} 2.8× MEK/BRAF inhibitors Dabrafenib ↓ AUC 34% ↑ AUC 71% Trametinib Unknown Unknown Cobimetinib ↓ AUC 83% ↑ AUC 90% Vemurafenib ↓ AUC 40% NA Encorafenib Unknown ↑ AUC 200%, ↑ C _{max} 68%, Binimetinib Unknown Unknown Multiple-target TKIs ↑ AUC 77% Cabozantinib ↓ AUC 77% ↑ AUC 38% Anlotinib Unknown Unknown	Neratinib	\downarrow AUC 87%, \downarrow C _{max} 76%	↑ AUC 481%, ↑ C _{max} 321%
Larotrectinib↓ AUC 81%, ↓ C _{max} 71%↑ AUC 4.3×, ↑ C _{max} 2.8×MEK/BRAF inhibitorsDabrafenib↓ AUC 34%↑ AUC 71%Dabrafenib↓ AUC 34%↑ AUC 71%TrametinibUnknownUnknownCobimetinib↓ AUC 83%↑ AUC 90%Vemurafenib↓ AUC 40%NAEncorafenibUnknown↑ AUC 200%, ↑ C _{max} 68%,BinimetinibUnknownUnknownMultiple-target TKIsCabozantinib↓ AUC 77%↑ AUC 38%AnlotinibUnknownUnknown	NTRK inhibitor		
MEK/BRAF inhibitors Dabrafenib ↓ AUC 34% ↑ AUC 71% Trametinib Unknown Unknown Cobimetinib ↓ AUC 83% ↑ AUC 90% Vemurafenib ↓ AUC 40% NA Encorafenib Unknown ↑ AUC 200%, ↑ C _{max} 68%, Binimetinib Unknown Unknown Multiple-target TKIs Cabozantinib ↓ AUC 77% ↑ AUC 38% Anlotinib Unknown Unknown	Larotrectinib	\downarrow AUC 81%, \downarrow C _{max} 71%	\uparrow AUC 4.3×, \uparrow C _{max} 2.8×
Dabrafenib↓ AUC 34%↑ AUC 71%TrametinibUnknownUnknownCobimetinib↓ AUC 83%↑ AUC 90%Vemurafenib↓ AUC 40%NAEncorafenibUnknown↑ AUC 200%, ↑ C _{max} 68%,BinimetinibUnknownUnknownMultiple-target TKIsCabozantinib↓ AUC 77%↑ AUC 38%AnlotinibUnknownUnknown	MEK/BRAF inhibitors		
TrametinibUnknownUnknownCobimetinib↓ AUC 83%↑ AUC 90%Vemurafenib↓ AUC 40%NAEncorafenibUnknown↑ AUC 200%, ↑ C _{max} 68%,BinimetinibUnknownUnknownMultiple-target TKIsCabozantinib↓ AUC 77%↑ AUC 38%AnlotinibUnknownUnknown	Dabrafenib	↓ AUC 34%	↑ AUC 71%
Cobimetinib↓ AUC 83%↑ AUC 90%Vemurafenib↓ AUC 40%NAEncorafenibUnknown↑ AUC 200%, ↑ C _{max} 68%,BinimetinibUnknownUnknownMultiple-target TKIsCabozantinib↓ AUC 77%↑ AUC 38%AnlotinibUnknownUnknown	Trametinib	Unknown	Unknown
Vemurafenib↓ AUC 40%NAEncorafenibUnknown↑ AUC 200%, ↑ C _{max} 68%,BinimetinibUnknownUnknownMultiple-target TKIsCabozantinib↓ AUC 77%↑ AUC 38%AnlotinibUnknownUnknown	Cobimetinib	↓ AUC 83%	↑ AUC 90%
EncorafenibUnknown↑ AUC 200%, ↑ C _{max} 68%,BinimetinibUnknownUnknownMultiple-target TKIsCabozantinib↓ AUC 77%↑ AUC 38%AnlotinibUnknownUnknown	Vemurafenib	↓ AUC 40%	NA
Binimetinib Unknown Unknown Multiple-target TKIs	Encorafenib	Unknown	\uparrow AUC 200%, \uparrow C _{max} 68%,
Multiple-target TKIs Cabozantinib ↓ AUC 77% Anlotinib Unknown Unknown Unknown	Binimetinib	Unknown	Unknown
Cabozantinib ↓ AUC 77% ↑ AUC 38% Anlotinib Unknown Unknown	Multiple-target TKIs		
Anlotinib Unknown Unknown	Cabozantinib	↓ AUC 77%	↑ AUC 38%
	Anlotinib	Unknown	Unknown

AUC, area under the curve; NA, not applicable; TKI, tyrosine kinase inhibitor.

3A4 and unlikely to be affected by strong CYP 3A4 inhibition by ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir. Dabrafenib is a major substrate of CYP 3A4 and coadministration with

ketoconazole increased the AUC of dabrafenib by 71%.¹⁹ Cobimetinib coadministration with itraconazole increased AUC by 90%. Encorafenib coadministration with

Table 3. Dose-Attenuation Recommendations F	elated to CYP3A4 on the basis of Package Inserts
TKIs	Dose-Attenuation Recommendations
EGFR inhibitors	
Gefitinib	 Strong CYP3A4 inducers: ↑ dose to 500 mg daily Strong CYP3A4 inhibitors: use with caution
Erlotinib	 Strong CYP3A4 inducers: consider an alternative agent, increase dose to a maximum of 450 mg daily Strong CYP3A4 inhibitors: use with caution
Afatinib	Not applicable
Osimertinib	 Strong CYP3A4 inducers: avoid use if possible, increase to max 160 mg daily and then resume 80 mg daily 3 wk after discontinuing inducer Strong CYP3A4 inhibitors: no clinically relevant effect observed, use with caution
Aumolertinib	Not available
Furmonertinib	 Strong CYP3A4 inducers: caution Strong CYP3A4 inhibitors: generally safe and well tolerated; no strong data to suggest dose adjustment yet.
lcotinib	Not available
Dacomitinib	Not available
Lazertinib	 Strong CYP3A4 inducers: not well studied, avoid use if possible Strong CYP3A4 inhibitors: not well studied. in the absence of an alternative drug, monitor closely for signs of changes in the tolerability of lazertinib (e.g., QTc prolongation, cardiotoxicity, keratitis, LFTs)
EGFR exon 20 insertion	
Mobocertinib	 Strong CYP3A inducers: avoid use Strong CYP3A inhibitors: avoid use; if unavoidable, reduce the dose by approximately 50%.
Sunvozertinib (DZD9008)	• On the basis of in vitro data and clinical exposure, DZD9008 DDI risk is considered unlikely to cause clinically relevant hepatic drug interactions at clinically relevant concentrations through inhibition or induction of cytochrome P450 enzyme activity
ALK inhibitors	
Alectinib	Not applicable
Brigatinib	 Strong CYP3A4 inducers: avoid use; if unavoidable, increase dose by 30 mg increments once daily up to maximum of twice the original dose Strong CYP3A4 inhibitors: avoid use; if unavoidable, decrease dose by 50%
Lorlatinib	 Strong CYP3A inducers: Contraindicated (potential risk of hepatotoxicity); discontinue strong CYP3A inducers for 3 plasma half-lives before initiating. Strong CYP3A inhibitors: avoid use; if unavoidable, reduce starting dose from 100 mg once daily to 75 mg once daily. If starting dose is reduced to 75 mg owing to adverse reactions, then reduce further to 50 mg before starting strong CYP3A inhibitor.
ROS1 inhibitors	
Crizotinib	 Strong CYP3A4 inducers: avoid use Strong CYP3A4 inhibitors: avoid use; if unavoidable, reduce dose to 250 mg once daily
Entrectinib	 Strong CYP3A4 inducers: avoid use Strong CYP3A4 inhibitors: > 12 yo + BSA > 1.5 m², reduce dose to 100 mg once daily if cannot be avoided; if BSA ≤ 1.5 m² avoid use
Repotrectinib	Strong CYP3A4 inducers and inhibitors were excluded from the protocol
Taletrectinib	Strong CYP3A4 inducers and inhibitors were excluded from the protocol
MET inhibitors	
Capmatinib	Strong CYP3A4 inducers: avoid useStrong CYP3A4 inhibitors: closely monitor for adverse reactions
Tepotinib	Strong CYP3A4 inducers: avoid useStrong CYP3A4 inhibitors: avoid use
RET inhibitors	
Selpercatinib	 Strong CYP3A4 inducers: avoid use Strong CYP3A4 inhibitors: avoid use; if unavoidable, then reduce 160 mg twice daily dose to 80 mg twice daily and 120 mg twice daily dose to 40 mg twice daily

Table 3. Continued	
TKIs	Dose-Attenuation Recommendations
Pralsetinib	 Strong CYP3A4 inducers: double the dose on day 7 of the inducer until 14 d after discontinuation of inducer Strong CYP3A4 inhibitors: avoid use; if unavoidable, then reduce 400 mg once daily to 200 mg, 300 mg once daily to 200 mg, 200 mg once daily to 100 mg
KRAS p.G12C mutations	
Sotorasib	 Strong CYP3A4 inducers: avoid use
Adagrasib	 Strong CYP3A4 inhibitors and inducers: early in study, use an alternative concomitant medication
HER2 \pm HER4 inhibitors	
Tucatinib	 Strong CYP3A4 inducers: avoid use Strong CYP2C8 inhibitors: avoid use; if unavoidable, then reduce 100 mg twice daily
Neratinib	 Strong CYP3A4 inducers: avoid use Strong CYP3A4 inhibitors: avoid use
NTRK inhibitor	
Larotrectinib	 Strong CYP3A4 inducer: avoid use; if unavoidable, then double the dose Strong CYP3A4 inhibitor: avoid use; if unavoidable, then reduce the dose by 50%
MEK/BRAF inhibitors	
Dabrafenib	 Strong CYP3A4 inhibitors: avoid use; if unavoidable, then monitor closely for adverse reactions
Trametinib	• n combination with dabrafenib, refer to dabrafenib labeling for dose adjustments
Cobimetinib	 Strong CYP3A4 inducers: avoid use, may decrease systemic exposure by more than 80% Strong CYP3A4 inhibitors: avoid use, especially in patients already taking reduced
	doses (e.g., 40 or 20 mg daily)
Vemurafenib	 Strong CYP3A4 inducers: avoid use; if unavoidable, increase dose by 240 mg (one tablet) Strong CYP3A4 inhibitors: avoid use, in vitro reveals as a CYP3A4 substrate but no data in vivo
Encorafenib	 Strong CYP3A4 inducers: avoid coadministration. Current data suggest auto- induction owing to reduced steady-state concentrations compared with initiation concentrations after the first dose. Strong CYP3A4 inhibitors: reduce dose by one-third. After inhibitor has been discontinued for three to five half-lives, resume normal dose.
Binimetinib	• No clinically important drug interactions have been observed and are not a sub- strate of CYP3A4
Multiple-target TKIs	
Cabozantinib	 Strong CYP3A4 inducers: increase the daily dose by 20 mg Strong CYP3A4 inhibitors: reduce the daily dose by 20 mg
Anlotinib	• Not available

BSA, body surface area; DDI, drug-drug interaction; LFT, liver function test; TKI, tyrosine kinase inhibitor.

posaconazole increased AUC by 200% and C_{max} by 68%. Increases in concentrations of these agents may pose a higher risk of adverse effects (e.g., diarrhea, nausea, fatigue, and electrolyte abnormalities).

NTRK Inhibitor (Larotrectinib). Larotrectinib is a major substrate of CYP 3A4, and coadministration with itraconazole increased the AUC of larotrectinib by 4.3 times.²⁰ This increase in drug exposure may magnify the risk for central nervous system adverse events (dizziness, cognitive impairment, mood disorders, sleep disturbances), fractures, and hepatotoxicity.

KRAS p.G12C Mutations (Sotorasib, Adagrasib). Sotorasib is a major substrate of CYP 3A4; however, no clinically meaningful effect on the exposure of sotorasib was observed after coadministration with itraconazole. Adagrasib is also a major substrate for CYP 3A4, and the presence of strong CYP 3A4 inhibition by itraconazole can lead to an AUC increase of 400%. There is an expected corresponding increase, but different magnitude of impact on AUC with ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir co-administration.

Multiple-Target TKIs (Cabozantinib, Anlotinib). Cabozantinib and anlotinib are both major substrates of CYP 3A4. Co-administration with ketoconazole for 27 days increased AUC of cabozantinib by 38%. Data are limited on the effect of co-administration of strong CYP 3A4 inhibitors on anlotinib.

Impact of Ritonavir-Boosted Nirmatrelvir on Supportive Care Medications

Most often, patients diagnosed with having lung cancer receive supportive care medications for managing associated cancer- and treatment-induced toxicities. Patients receiving lung cancer TKI often experience nausea/vomiting, gastrointestinal toxicities (e.g., diarrhea), and dermatologic toxicities that can affect their quality of life. In this section, we will focus on the potential DDI of ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir on various supportive care medications.

Nausea and Vomiting. Aprepitant, prednisone, and dexamethasone are all major substrates of CYP 3A4, whereas granisetron and ondansetron are minor substrates. Co-administration of strong CYP 3A4 inhibitors with aprepitant is not recommended because it can cause an increase in serious adverse events (e.g., hypotension and bradycardia). Concomitant use of strong CYP 3A4 inhibitors with corticosteroids (e.g., dexamethasone, prednisone) should be used with caution, for a limited amount of time, and patient monitoring for side effects is recommended. The 5-HT3 inhibitors (e.g., granisetron, ondansetron) are the least affected by strong CYP 3A4 inhibitors and should be used first line before other antiemetics are considered. Adverse effects associated with 5-HT3 inhibitors include constipation, headaches, and QTc prolongation, and they may be exacerbated with concomitant ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir.

Gastrointestinal Toxicities. Loperamide is a minor substrate of CYP 3A4, whereas diphenoxylate/atropine is not a substrate of CYP 3A4. Co-administration of loperamide with strong CYP 3A4 inhibitors such as ritonavir has resulted in an increase of AUC from 104 ± 60 h \times pmol/mL after placebo to 276 ± 68 h.²¹ Patients receiving loperamide and ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir should be monitored for nausea and constipation. Diphenoxylate/atropine is not expected to have clinically relevant changes in AUC during co-administration with strong CYP 3A4 inhibitors.

Dermatologic Toxicities. Patients receiving TKI most often receive antibiotics, antipyretics, antihistamines, and topical agents for managing dermatologic toxicities. Within the tetracycline family, only tetracycline is expected to interact with ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir, as it is a minor substrate of CYP 3A4.²² Drug exposure is

not expected to change substantially with doxycycline and tetracycline during the co-administration of strong CYP 3A4 inhibitors.^{22,23} Erythromycin is a major substrate of CYP 3A4, and co-administration of strong CYP 3A4 inhibitors should be avoided in patients at increased risk of adverse effects (e.g., QTc prolongation).²⁴ Clindamycin is a substrate of CYP 3A4 and co-administration of strong CYP 3A4 inhibitor may also increase the concentration of clindamycin.²⁵ Monitoring for adverse effects associated with clindamycin is recommended. Triamcinolone is a substrate of CYP 3A4, and coadministration of strong CYP 3A4 inhibitors may lead to serious glucocorticoid toxicities (e.g., iatrogenic Cushing's syndrome), even with topical administration.²⁶ Loratadine is most often used for managing pruritus related to oral TKIs. CYP 3A4 is not a major part of loratadine metabolism, suggesting it is safe to use in conjunction with ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir.²⁷ Last, antipyretics such as ibuprofen and acetaminophen are not metabolized by the CYP 3A4 system and thus are concomitant ritonavir-boosted unaffected by nirmatrelvir.28,29

Cancer-Associated Pain. Patients with lung cancer most often require analgesics to relieve their cancerassociated pain. Among opioids, tramadol, methadone, hydrocodone, oxycodone, fentanyl, and buprenorphine are major substrates of CYP3A4, and coadministration of strong CYP 3A4 inhibitors should be carefully monitored for potential adverse effects (e.g., life-threatening respiratory depression, sedation, hypotension), and a dose reduction should be considered.³⁰⁻³⁵ Codeine is primarily metabolized to morphine by CYP 2D6 and norcodeine by CYP 3A4, and coadministration with strong CYP3A4 inhibitors may result in an increase in codeine plasma concentrations with subsequently greater metabolism by CYP 2D6 resulting in greater morphine levels; thus, a dose reduction should be considered.³⁶ In contrast, oxymorphone, tapentadol, morphine, and hydromorphone are not metabolized by CYP 3A4 and therefore are not expected to considerably increase plasma concentrations, suggesting they are safe to use in conjunction with ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir.³⁷⁻⁴⁰ As mentioned previously, ibuprofen and acetaminophen, used as both antipyretics and nonopioid analgesics, are not metabolized by the CYP 3A4 system and thus are unaffected by concomitant use of ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir.^{28,29}

Cancer-Associated Venous Thromboembolism. Direct oral anticoagulants and low molecular weight heparin are widely used and preferred to treat cancer-associated venous thromboembolisms in patients with lung cancer. Among preferred direct oral anticoagulants, apixaban and rivaroxaban are major substrates of CYP 3A4, whereas edoxaban is not a substrate of CYP 3A4 and thus unlikely unaffected by CYP 3A4 inhibition.⁴¹⁻⁴³ If apixaban is co-administered with ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir, a dose reduction to 2.5 mg twice daily should be made, along with close monitoring of signs and symptoms of bleeding. There is no current dosereduction recommendation for rivaroxaban coadministration with CYP 3A4 inhibitors; however, use should be avoided if possible, and if it is unavoidable, signs and symptoms of bleeding should be closely monitored. Enoxaparin and dalteparin are not substrates of CYP 3A4 and thus are not affected by coadministration of ritonavir-boosted nirmatelvir.44,45 In some cases, clinicians may use dabigatran, fondaparinux, and warfarin. The R-enantiomer of warfarin is metabolized by CYP 3A4; thus, coadministration of warfarin with ritonavirboosted nirmatrelvir is discouraged.⁴⁶ Dabigatran and fondaparinux are not metabolized by CYP 3A4 and therefore are unaffected by concomitant use of ritonavirboosted nirmatrelvir.45,47

Discussion

In this review, we have identified a number of potential DDIs between lung cancer TKIs and ritonavirboosted nirmatrelvir. Lung cancer TKIs are most often substrates of CYP 3A4, and knowing that ritonavirboosted nirmatrelvir is a strong inhibitor of CYP 3A4, potential DDIs can occur when co-administered. Although ritonavir is a strong inhibitor of CYP 3A4, the degree of CYP 3A4 inhibition varies depending on the TKI and the dose and duration of the inhibitor. Clinical pharmacologic studies have summarized the degree of drug concentration increase. For instance, coadministration of capmatinib and itraconazole (a strong CYP 3A4 inhibitor) can result in a 421% increase in AUC, whereas coadministration of osimertinib and itraconazole only resulted in a 24% increase in AUC. Because strong CYP 3A4 inhibitors can cause changes to exposure of TKI and potentiate adverse effects, the concomitant use of such agents with TKI is not recommended and should be identified and avoided if possible. Of note, a few of the TKI are known substrates of CYP 3A4 and may potentially have increased exposure when co-administered with ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir; however, there is a lack of published pharmacologic studies that would allow a thorough evaluation of the impact of drug exposure owing to strong CYP 3A4 inducers or inhibitors. The current manufacturer's prescribing information does not contraindicate the concurrent use of ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir with CYP 3A4 inhibitors, such as in other ritonavir-based therapies, but it recommends monitoring. Nevertheless, if ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir must be used with potentially interacting TKI, careful monitoring for toxicities is advised.

DDIs are most often observed within oncology practice, especially with the wider use of oral TKI to treat various malignancies, such as lung cancers. Nevertheless, such interactions may also affect efficacy and safety of the various TKIs. For example, coadministration of imatinib (a drug to treat chronic myelogenous leukemia) and voriconazole (a strong CYP 3A4 inhibitor) is known to cause severe pustular eruption.⁴⁸ On the basis of the recommendation of the FDA, drugs that increase the AUC of sensitive index substrates of CYP 3A by five or more folds are considered as strong inhibitors of CYP 3A4.⁴⁹ These drugs include the various antifungals (itraconazole, ketoconazole, posaconazole, voriconazole), antibiotics (clarithromycin), diltiazem, several antivirals (ritonavir, nelfinavir, boceprevir, telaprevir), and neurologic agents, such as nefazodone. Grapefruit juice, grapefruit, and Seville oranges are also known to strongly inhibit CYP 3A4. Strong, moderate, and weak inhibitors are drugs that increase the AUC of sensitive index substrates by greater than or equal to fivefold, greater than or equal to twofold to less than fivefold, and greater than or equal to 1.25-fold to less than twofold, respectively.⁴⁹ Nevertheless, the extent to which strong CYP 3A4 inhibitors affects the exposure of each TKI may vary between each drug. This article has provided an extensive review of the impact of strong CYP3A4 inhibitors that can be extrapolated to ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir.

Current guidelines of the National Institutes of Health stated that because ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir is the only highly effective oral antiviral for COVID-19, DDIs resulting in increased drug exposure should not preclude the use of this medication if they can be safely managed.¹ In view of the need to initiate ritonavirboosted nirmatrelvir for treatment of COVID-19, clinicians may choose to temporarily interrupt TKI therapies in view of the interaction. Furthermore, in view that there is an increased use of off-label prolonged therapy (as long as 10 d total) of ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir in clinical practice owing to COVID-19 rebound that may happen as early as day 7 (3.53%) after initiation of ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir,50 prolonged treatment should be evaluated on a case-by-case scenario on the basis of risk factors and patient-specific factors to determine whether a prolonged or second 5-day course is necessary. With prolonged use of ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir, it is important to ensure that any modification with TKI should be continued for 3 additional days after the end of ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir.

Temporary reduction of TKI doses is a potential strategy for managing the drug interaction between strong CYP 3A4 inhibition. Inhibition of this enzyme

system can result in higher exposure of TKI and might allow for lower dosages to minimize adverse effects and reduce health care costs. In an open-label, crossover study, investigators compared the pharmacokinetics of monotherapy erlotinib 150 mg once daily (control arm) with erlotinib 75 mg daily plus ritonavir 200 mg daily (intervention arm).⁵¹ The authors concluded that the pharmacokinetic exposure at a dose of 75 mg erlotinib when combined with ritonavir was similar to 150 mg erlotinib. In fact, the authors concluded that ritonavir boosting is a promising strategy to reduce erlotinib treatment costs and provides a rationale for other expensive therapies metabolized by CYP 3A4. In contrast, if the decision is to temporary hold oral TKI while patient is receiving ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir, it is recommended that the oral TKI should be held for 3 additional days, in view of the inhibitor effect that can last 3 additional days post-drug administration.

An alternative strategy to holding TKI or making TKI dose adjustments would be to use the other oral antiviral with emergency use authorization to treat severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), molnupiravir. In contrast to ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir, molnupiravir is a nucleoside analogue that inhibits SARS-CoV-2 replication by inducing RNA mutagenesis.⁵² Molnupiravir does not require a pharmacokinetic booster (i.e., ritonavir) and is not an inhibitor or an inducer of any major human CYP enzymes; therefore, it will likely not interact with TKI by CYP enzymes.⁵³ With respect to efficacy, the phase 3 portion of the clinical trial (MOVe-OUT) revealed that molnupiravir had an absolute reduction of hospitalization or death by 3% and adjusted relative risk reduction of 30% compared with placebo.⁵⁴ In comparison, the phases 2 to 3 randomized clinical trial that evaluated ritonavirboosted nirmatrelvir revealed a 5.8% absolute reduction and 89% relative risk reduction in hospitalization or death owing to SARS-CoV-2.54

Last, we have also observed that TKI within the same class may have different DDI potential. Providers who are newly initiating oral TKI must consider the potential risks of DDI with other medications for treatment of comorbid conditions. Nevertheless, for patients who have already been stabilized with a specific TKI, it may be challenging to switch from one TKI to another in view of the temporary DDI with ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir. Providers must exercise their professional judgment to decide on how best to concurrently administer ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir and TKI to prevent any adverse drug events. Providers should also consider consulting an oncology pharmacist to optimize the dosing strategies of the TKI.

In conclusions, with the recent advancements in COVID-19 treatments, the wide use of ritonavir-boosted

nirmatrelvir may increase the risk of patients with lung cancer for unwanted morbidities owing to DDIs. In this review, we have identified a number of potential DDIs between lung cancer TKIs and ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir. Nevertheless, the impact of the interaction between these TKIs and ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir varies largely on the basis of the susceptibility of CYP 3A4 inhibition of the TKI. Clinicians must be diligent in assessing the risk of interactions before the prescribing of ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir in patients with lung cancer and should consider strategies to overcome DDIs and consulting an oncology pharmacist to optimize the dosing of the TKI.

CRediT Authorship Contribution Statement

Kaleem Anwar: Data curation, Methodology, Writing of original draft.

Lee Nguyen: Review and editing.

Misako Nagasaka: Review and editing.

Sai-Hong Ignatius Ou: Conceptualization, Review and editing.

Alexandre Chan: Conceptualization, Methodology, Supervision, Review and editing.

Supplementary Data

Note: To access the supplementary material accompanying this article, visit the online version of the *JTO Clinical and Research Reports* at www.jtocrr.org and at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtocrr.2022.100452.

References

- National Institutes of Health. COVID-19 treatment guidelines: ritonavir-boosted nirmatrelvir (paxlovid), https:// www.covid19treatmentguidelines.nih.gov/therapies/ antiviral-therapy/ritonavir-boosted-nirmatrelvir%5fpaxlovid-/. Accessed September 2, 2022.
- 2. Food and Drug Administration. Fact sheet for healthcare providers. Emergency use authorization for paxlovid. https://www.fda.gov/media/155050/download. Accessed September 2, 2022.
- 3. Thomson RJ, Moshirfar M, Ronquillo Y. Tyrosine kinase inhibitors. StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island, FL: StatPearls Publishing; 2022. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih. gov/books/NBK563322/?report=classic. Accessed September 2, 2022.
- NCCN. Non-small cell lung cancer, Version 4. 2022. https://www.nccn.org/professionals/physician_gls/pdf/ nscl.pdf. Accessed September 3, 2022.
- 5. Food and Drug Administration. GILOTRIF® (afatinib) FDA package insert. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/ drugsatfda_docs/label/2018/201292s014lbl.pdf. Accessed September 2, 2022.
- Food and Drug Administration. IRESSA (gefitinib) FDA package insert. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/

drugsatfda_docs/label/2018/206995s003lbl.pdf. Accessed September 2, 2022.

- 7. Food and Drug Administration. TAGRISSOTM (osimertinib) FDA package insert. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/ drugsatfda_docs/label/2015/208065s000lbl.pdf. Accessed September 2, 2022.
- 8. Food and Drug Administration. TARCEVA® (erlotinib) FDA package insert. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/ drugsatfda_docs/label/2010/021743s14s16lbl.pdf. Accessed September 2, 2022.
- 9. Food and Drug Administration. VIZIMPRO® (dacomitinib) FDA package insert. https://www.accessdata.fda. gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2018/211288s000lbl.pdf. Accessed
- Food and Drug Administration. EXKIVITY[™] (mobocertinib) FDA package insert. https://www.accessdata.fda. gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2021/215310s000lbl.pdf. Accessed September 2, 2022.
- 11. Food and Drug Administration. TUKYSATM (tucatinib) FDA package insert. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/ drugsatfda_docs/label/2020/213411s000lbl.pdf. Accessed September 2, 2022.
- 12. Food and Drug Administration. ALUNBRIG® (brigatinib) FDA package insert. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/ drugsatfda_docs/label/2020/208772s008lbl.pdf. Accessed September 2, 2022.
- Food and Drug Administration. LORBRENA® (lorlatinib) FDA package insert. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/ drugsatfda_docs/label/2021/210868s004lbl.pdf. Accessed 02 September 2, 2022.
- 14. Food and Drug Administration. ROZLYTREK (entrectinib) FDA package insert. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/ drugsatfda_docs/label/2019/212725s000lbl.pdf. Accessed September 2, 2022.
- 15. Food and Drug Administration. XALKORI® (crizotinib) FDA package insert. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/ drugsatfda_docs/label/2021/202570s030lbl.pdf. Accessed September 2, 2022.
- Food and Drug Administration. TABRECTATM (capmatinib) FDA package insert. https://www.accessdata.fda. gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2020/213591s000lbl.pdf. Accessed September 2, 2022.
- Food and Drug Administration. GAVRETOTM (pralsetinib) FDA package insert. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/ drugsatfda_docs/label/2020/214701s000lbl.pdf. Accessed September 2, 2022.
- Food and Drug Administration. RETEVMOTM (selpercatinib) FDA package insert. https://www.accessdata.fda. gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2020/213246s000lbl.pdf. Accessed September 2, 2022.
- 19. Food and Drug Administration. TAFINLAR® (dabrafenib) FDA package insert. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/ drugsatfda_docs/label/2018/202806s010lbl.pdf. Accessed September 2, 2022.
- 20. Food and Drug Administration. VITRAKVI® (larotrectinib) FDA package insert. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/ drugsatfda_docs/label/2021/211710s004lbl.pdf. Accessed September 2, 2022.
- 21. Tayrouz Y, Ganssmann B, Ding R, et al. Ritonavir increases loperamide plasma concentrations without

evidence for P-glycoprotein involvement. *Clin Pharma-col Ther.* 2001;70:405-414.

- 22. Food and Drug Administration. Tetracycline FDA package insert. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_ docs/label/2014/060704s035lbl.pdf. Accessed September 2, 2022.
- 23. Food and Drug Administration. DORYX® (doxycycline hyclate) FDA package insert. https://www.accessdata. fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2008/050795s005lbl.pdf. Accessed September 2, 2022.
- 24. Food and Drug Administration. Erythromycin ethylsuccinate FDA package insert. https://www.accessdata. fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2012/050207s071lbl.pdf. Accessed September 2, 2022.
- 25. Food and Drug Administration. Cleocin HCl® (clindamycin hydrochloride) FDA package insert. https:// www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2014/ 050162s092s093lbl.pdf. Accessed September 2, 2022.
- 26. Food and Drug Administration. Kenalog CREAM (triamcinolone acetonide) FDA package insert. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/ pre96/011601s036lbl.pdf. Accessed September 2, 2022.
- 27. Food and Drug Administration. CLARITIN® (loratadine) FDA package insert. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/ drugsatfda_docs/label/2000/20641s7lbl.pdf. Accessed September 2, 2022.
- 28. Food and Drug Administration. Acetaminophen FDA package insert. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/ drugsatfda_docs/label/2015/204767s000lbl.pdf. Accessed September 2, 2022.
- 29. Food and Drug Administration. Motrin® (ibuprofen) FDA package insert. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/ drugsatfda_docs/label/2007/017463s105lbl.pdf. Accessed September 2, 2022.
- Food and Drug Administration. Ultram ER (tramadol hydrochloride) FDA Package insert. https://www.accessdata. fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2017/021692s015lbl.pdf. Accessed December 2, 2022.
- 31. Food and Drug Administration. DOLOPHINE® (methadone hydrochloride) FDA package insert. https:// www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2018/ 006134s045lbl.pdf. Accessed September 2, 2022.
- 32. Food and Drug Administration. ZOHYDRO® ER (hydrocodone bitartrate) FDA package insert. https://www. accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2021/20288 0s020lbl.pdf. Accessed December 2, 2022.
- Food and Drug Administration. OXYCONTIN® (oxycodone hydrochloride) FDA package insert. https://www. accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2021/022272 s046lbl.pdf. Accessed December 2, 2022.
- 34. Food and Drug Administration. Duragesic (fentanyl transdermal system) FDA package insert. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2021/019813 s081lbl.pdf. Accessed December 2, 2022.
- 35. Food and Drug Administration. BUTRANS (buprenorphine) Transdermal Patch FDA package insert. https:// www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2014/ 021306s015s019lbl.pdf. Accessed December 2, 2022.
- 36. Food and Drug Administration. Codeine sulfate FDA package insert. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/

drugsatfda_docs/label/2021/022402s014lbl.pdf. Accessed December 2, 2022.

- 37. Food and Drug Administration. OPANA® ER (oxymorphone hydrochloride) FDA package insert. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/ label/2021/021610s028lbl.pdf. Accessed December 2, 2022.
- Food and Drug Administration. NUCYNTA® (tapentadol) FDA package insert. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/ drugsatfda_docs/label/2021/022304s023lbl.pdf. Accessed December 2, 2022.
- 39. Food and Drug Administration. Morphine sulfate FDA package insert. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/ drugsatfda_docs/label/2012/022207s004lbl.pdf. Accessed December 2, 2022.
- 40. Food and Drug Administration. DILAUDID® INJECTION (hydromorphone hydrochloride) FDA package insert. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/ label/2019/019034s035lbl.pdf. Accessed December 2, 2022.
- 41. Food and Drug Administration. ELIQUIS (apixaban) FDA package insert. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/ drugsatfda_docs/label/2012/202155s000lbl.pdf. Accessed December 2, 2022.
- 42. Food and Drug Administration. XARELTO® (rivaroxaban) FDA package insert. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/ drugsatfda_docs/label/2016/202439s017lbl.pdf. Accessed December 2, 2022.
- 43. Food and Drug Administration. SAVAYSA (edoxaban) FDA package insert. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/spl/ data/ddafd408-bb00-4aac-b7fb-aa2c8bb8de88/ddafd408bb00-4aac-b7fb-aa2c8bb8de88.xml#S7.1. Accessed December 2, 2022.
- 44. Food and Drug Administration. Lovenox (enoxaparin sodium injection) FDA package insert. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2009/020164 s083lbl.pdf. Accessed December 2, 2022.
- 45. Food and Drug Administration. FRAGMIN® (dalteparin sodium) FDA package insert. https://www.accessdata. fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2019/020287s072lbl.pdf. Accessed December 2, 2022.
- Food and Drug Administration. COUMADIN (warfarin sodium) FDA package insert. https://www.accessdata.fda. gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2011/009218s107lbl.pdf. Accessed December 2, 2022.
- 47. Food and Drug Administration. ARIXTRA (fondaparinux sodium) FDA package insert. https://www.accessdata. fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2009/021345s019lbl.pdf. Accessed December 2, 2022.
- **48.** Gambillara E, Laffitte E, Widmer N, et al. Severe pustular eruption associated with imatinib and voriconazole in a patient with chronic myeloid leukemia. *Dermatology*. 2005;211:363-365.
- 49. Food and Drug Administration. Drug development and drug interactions | Table of substrates, inhibitors and inducers. https://www.fda.gov/drugs/drug-interactionslabeling/drug-development-and-drug-interactions-tablesubstrates-inhibitors-and-inducers. Accessed September 2, 2022.

- 50. Wang L, Berger NA, Davis PB, Kaelber DC, Volkow ND, Xu R. COVID-19 rebound after paxlovid and molnupiravir during January-June 2022. medRxiv. https://www. medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2022.06.21.22276724v1. Accessed September 2, 2022.
- **51.** Boosman RJ, de Gooijer CJ, Groenland SL, et al. Ritonavir-boosted exposure of kinase inhibitors: an open label, cross-over pharmacokinetic proof-of-concept trial with erlotinib. *Pharm Res.* 2022;39:669-676.
- Kabinger F, Stiller C, Schmitzová J, et al. Mechanism of molnupiravir-induced SARS-CoV-2 mutagenesis. Nat Struct Mol Biol 09. 2021;28:740-746.
- 53. Atmar RL, Finch N. New perspectives on antimicrobial agents: molnupiravir and Nirmatrelvir/Ritonavir for treatment of COVID-19. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 08 16 2022;66:e0240421.
- 54. Jayk Bernal A, Gomes da Silva MM, Musungaie DB, et al. Molnupiravir for oral treatment of Covid-19 in nonhospitalized patients. *N Engl J Med.* 02 10 2022;386:509-520.
- **55.** Zou HX, Zhang YF, Zhong DF, et al. Effect of autoinduction and food on the pharmacokinetics of furmonertinib and its active metabolite characterized by a population pharmacokinetic model. *Acta Pharmacol Sin*. 2022;43:1865-1874.
- 56. Liu D, Jiang J, Zhang L, et al. Clinical pharmacokinetics of Icotinib, an anti-cancer drug: evaluation of dose proportionality, food effect, and tolerability in healthy subjects. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol.* 2014;73:721-727.
- 57. Zhang T, Zhang K, Ma L, et al. Metabolic pathway of icotinib in vitro: the differential roles of CYP3A4, CYP3A5, and CYP1A2 on potential pharmacokinetic drugdrug interaction. J Pharm Sci. 2018;107:979-983.
- Food and Drug Administration. Lazertinib Korean package insert. https://nedrug.mfds.go.kr/pbp/CCBBB01/ getItemDetail?itemSeq=202100467. Accessed September 2, 2022.
- 59. ClinicalTrials.gov. A phase I/II, open-label, multicenter student to assess the safety, tolerability, pharmacokinetics and anti-tumor efficacy of DZD9008 (Sunvozertinib) in patients with advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) with EGFR or HER2 mutation. https:// clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT03974022. Accessed September 2, 2022.
- 60. Food and Drug Administration. ALECENSA® (alectinib) FDA package insert. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/ drugsatfda_docs/label/2017/208434s003lbl.pdf. Accessed September 2, 2022.
- 61. Cleveland Clinic. A phase 1/2. open-label, multi-center, first-in-human study of the safety, of the safety, tolerability, pharmacokinetics, and anti-tumor activity of TPX-0005, of TPX-0005 (repotrectinib) in patients with advanced solid tumors harboring ALK, ROS1, or NTRK1-3 rearrangements (TRIDENT-1). https://my.clevelandclinic. org/clinical-trials/1251-a-phase-12-open-label-multicenter-first-in-human-study-of-the-safety-tolerabilitypharmacokinetics-and-anti-tumor-activity-of-tpx-0005in-patients-with-advanced-solid-tumors-harboring-alkros1-or-ntrk1-3-rearrangements-trident-1. Accessed September 2, 2022.

- 62. Cleveland Clinic. A single-arm, open-label, multicenter phase 2 study to evaluate the efficacy and safety of taletrectinib in patients with advanced or metastatic ROS1 positive NSCLC and other solid tumors. https://my. clevelandclinic.org/clinical-trials/1558-a-single-armopen-label-multicenter-phase-2-study-to-evaluate-theefficacy-and-safety-of-taletrectinib-in-patients-withadvanced-or-metastatic-ros1-positive-nsclc-and-othersolid-tumors. Accessed September 2, 2022.
- 63. Food and Drug Administration. TEPMETKO® (tepotinib) FDA package insert. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/ drugsatfda_docs/label/2021/214096s000lbl.pdf. Accessed September 2, 2022.
- 64. Food and Drug Administration. LUMAKRASTM (sotorasib) FDA package insert. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/ drugsatfda_docs/label/2021/214665s000lbl.pdf. Accessed September 2, 2022.
- 65. Food and Drug Administration. NERLYNX (neratinib) FDA package insert. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/ drugsatfda_docs/label/2017/208051s000lbl.pdf. Accessed September 2, 2022.
- 66. Food and Drug Administration. MEKINIST® (trametinib) FDA package insert. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/ drugsatfda_docs/label/2018/204114s007lbl.pdf. Accessed September 2, 2022.
- 67. Food and Drug Administration. COTELLIC (cobimetinib) FDA package insert. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/

drugsatfda_docs/label/2015/206192s000lbl.pdf. Accessed September 2, 2022.

- 68. Food and Drug Administration. ZELBORAF® (vemurafenib) FDA package insert. https://www.accessdata. fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2017/202429s012lbl.pdf. Accessed September 2, 2022.
- 69. Food and Drug Administration. BRAFTOVITM (encorafenib) FDA package insert. https://www.accessdata. fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2018/210496lbl.pdf. Accessed September 2, 2022.
- 70. Food and Drug Administration. MEKTOVI® (binimetinib) package insert. https://www.accessdata.fda.gov/ drugsatfda_docs/label/2018/210498lbl.pdf. Accessed October 1, 2022.
- 71. Food and Drug Administration. CABOMETYX® (cabozantinib) FDA package insert. https://www.accessdata. fda.gov/drugsatfda_docs/label/2019/208692s003lbl.pdf. Accessed September 2, 2022.
- **72.** Sun Y, Niu W, Du F, et al. Safety, pharmacokinetics, and antitumor properties of anlotinib, an oral multi-target tyrosine kinase inhibitor, in patients with advanced refractory solid tumors. *J Hematol Oncol.* 2016;9:105.
- **73.** Zhong CC, Chen F, Yang JL, et al. Pharmacokinetics and disposition of anlotinib, an oral tyrosine kinase inhibitor, in experimental animal species. *Acta Pharmacol Sin.* 2018;39:1048-1063.