

OPEN

Ambient air pollution and inflammatory effects in a Canadian pregnancy cohort

Priyanka Gogna^{a,b}, Will D King^a, Paul J Villeneuve^c, Premkumari Kumarathasan^b, Markey Johnson^d, Bruce Lanphear^e, Robin H Shutt^b, Tye E Arbuckle^b, Michael M Borghese^{a,b^{*}}

Background: Epidemiologic studies have consistently reported associations between air pollution and pregnancy outcomes including preeclampsia and gestational diabetes. However, the biologic mechanisms underlying these relationships remain unclear as few studies have collected relevant biomarker data. We examined relationships between ambient PM_{2.5} and NO₂ with markers of inflammation during pregnancy in a prospective cohort of Canadian women.

Methods: We analyzed data from 1170 women enrolled in the Maternal-Infant Research on Environmental Chemicals study. Daily residential $PM_{2.5}$ and NO_2 exposures during pregnancy were estimated using satellite-based and land-use regression models and used to create 14-day and 30-day exposure windows before blood-draw. Inflammatory markers C-reactive protein, interleukin-6, interleukin-8, and tumor necrosis factor- α were measured in third trimester plasma samples. Multivariable linear regression was used to estimate associations for an interquartile range (IQR) increase in $PM_{2.5}$ and NO_2 and markers of inflammation, while adjusting for individual-level confounders.

Results: Fourteen-day (IQR: 6.85 μ g/m³) and 30-day (IQR: 6.15 μ g/m³) average PM_{2.5} exposures before blood-draw were positively associated with C-reactive protein after adjustment for covariates (24.6% [95% CI=9.4, 41.9] and 17.4% [95% CI=1.0, 35.0] increases, respectively). This association was found to be robust in several sensitivity analyses. Neither PM_{2.5} nor NO₂ exposures were associated with interleukin-6, interleukin-8, or tumor necrosis factor- α .

Conclusion: Exposure to ambient $PM_{2.5}$ is positively associated with maternal inflammatory pathways in late pregnancy. This may contribute to positive associations between ambient $PM_{2.5}$ and risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes.

Keywords: Air pollution; PM_{2.5}; NO₂; Inflammation biomarkers; Pregnancy; C-reactive protein

Ambient air pollution is associated with many pregnancy complications and birth outcomes, including gestational hypertension, preeclampsia, gestational diabetes, low birth weight, and cognitive development.^{1–8} Particulate matter with diameter of

^aDepartment of Public Health Sciences, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario; ^bEnvironmental Health Science and Research Bureau, Health Canada, Ottawa, Ontario; ^cSchool of Mathematics and Statistics, Carleton University, Ottawa, Ontario; ^dWater and Air Quality Bureau, Health Canada, Ottawa, Ontario; and ^aFaculty of Health Sciences, Simon Fraser University, Vancouver, British Columbia.

The authors report no actual or potential competing financial interests.

This work was supported by Health Canada's Chemicals Management Plan, the Canadian Institute of Health Research (grant no. MOP–81285), and the Ontario Ministry of the Environment. P.G. received financial support from the CIHR Doctoral Research Award.

Data used in this analysis are confidential and are not available. Some analytical code may be made available upon request.

Supplemental digital content is available through direct URL citations in the HTML and PDF versions of this article (www.environepidem.com).

*Corresponding Author. Address: Dr. Michael M. Borghese, Environmental Health Science and Research Bureau, Health Canada, 101 Tunney's Pasture Driveway, Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0K9.

E-mail: michael.borghese@hc-sc.gc.ca (M.M. Borghese).

Copyright © 2021 Crown Published by Wolters Kluwer Health, Inc. on behalf of The Environmental Epidemiology. All rights reserved. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives License 4.0 (CCBY-NC-ND), where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.

Received: 30 March 2021; Accepted 5 July 2021

Published online 7 September 2021

DOI: 10.1097/EE9.000000000000168

2.5 µm or less (PM_{2,5}) and nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) are the most commonly measured air pollutants.^{6,9-13} PM_{2,5} is a complex mixture containing persistent organic pollutants, heavy metals, and endotoxins.^{14,15} NO₂ is thought to be a proxy measure of traffic emissions such as benzene, although some studies suggest an independent role of NO₂ on health outcomes after adjustment for other pollutants,^{16,17} as well as on inflammation directly.¹⁸⁻²⁰

Exposure to ambient air pollution elicits inflammatory responses,^{11,21-24} and several reviews support relationships between inflammation biomarkers and pregnancy and birth outcomes.²⁵⁻²⁹ Some markers of inflammation are reported to increase as a response to normal pregnancy, peaking in the third trimester.^{30,31} This, along with pregnancy-specific biologic processes, provides added complexity in disentangling the role of inflammatory markers as potential causal intermediates.^{32,33}

Despite the large body of literature on associations between air pollution and inflammation in general population cohorts, only two previous investigations have explored this association during pregnancy.^{34,35} These investigations assessed C-reactive protein (CRP) only and were unable to assess lower-dose exposures in their respective populations. In addition, one of the studies reported higher mean levels of PM_{2.5} (mean 16.4 µg/m³)³⁴

What this study adds

Air pollutants $PM_{2.5}$ and NO_2 are thought to contribute to the development of several adverse pregnancy complications. We show that an interquartile range increase in $PM_{2.5}$ is associated with 17–25% higher C-reactive protein levels in late-pregnancy in a large, Canadian birth cohort. This corresponds to a ~20 mg/L difference in C-reactive protein levels—a clinically relevant difference—between the highest and lowest $PM_{2.5}$ exposure groups. Our work provides support for a potential mechanistic link, through inflammatory markers, between $PM_{2.5}$ and pregnancy outcomes such as preeclampsia.

Environmental Epidemiology (2021) 5:e168

compared with exposures reported in Canadian pregnancy cohorts (mean 4.0–9.0 μ g/m³).^{8,36,37} The second study did not report PM_{2.5} exposures but had higher mean levels of PM₁₀ and NO₂ than would be expected in a Canadian setting (30.3 μ g/m³ PM_{2.5}, 39.9 ppb NO₂). As relationships observed at high exposure levels may be inconsistent with effects at lower exposures, it is of interest to explore and provide information regarding the dose-response relationship between air pollution and inflammation at lower exposures, such as those experienced by the Canadian population.

Our objective was to examine associations between $PM_{2.5}$ and NO₂ concentrations with markers of inflammation measured during the third trimester of pregnancy and characterize the dose-response relationship. We hypothesized that increased $PM_{2.5}$ and NO₂ exposure would be associated with elevated CRP, interleukin-6, interleukin-8, and tumor necrosis factor- α levels. We also examined the potential for effect modification by fetal sex. The biological sex of the fetus may impact inflammation pathways and metabolism during pregnancy, and previous studies report that mothers carrying male fetuses may have increased inflammation biomarker levels.³⁸⁻⁴¹

Methods

Study population and design

We used data from the Maternal-Infant Research on Environmental Chemicals (MIREC) Study.42 Briefly, between 2008 and 2011, 2001 pregnant women were recruited during their first trimester across ten cities in Canada and followed to delivery.42 Women were eligible for inclusion if they were 18 years of age or older, <14 weeks gestation, able to communicate in English or French, and planning to deliver at a local hospital.42 Biomarker data were available only for women who had a live-singleton birth and provided a blood sample during the third trimester. A complete case restriction was applied for the current study: only participants with complete exposure and outcome data, and residing in a Forward Sortation Area (first 3 digits of Canadian postal locator) less than 10×10 km (n=1,170) were included in the analysis. The original study was approved by the Health Canada and Public Health Agency of Canada Research Ethics Board (file no. REB 2016-017H), as well as the Research Ethics Committees of Sainte-Justine University Hospital in Montreal, Canada, and all study affiliated sites. Ethics approval for this nested analysis was obtained through Queen's University's Health Sciences Research Ethics Board (file no. 6030809). Informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Assessment of PM_{2.5} and NO₂

 $PM_{2.5}$ and NO₂ exposure estimates were developed using exposure surfaces.^{43,44} Surface-based $PM_{2.5}$ estimates were derived from a combination of satellite estimates, a chemical transport model, and geographically weighted regression. Details are described elsewhere.⁴³ The surface-based $PM_{2.5}$ estimates had a spatial resolution of 1×1 km. Ambient NO₂ concentrations for exposure estimates were derived from a national land use regression model, which included a large set of landuse characteristics, and satellite data to estimate ground-level NO₂. The NO₂ surface had a resolution of <100 m.⁴⁴ These surfaces have been extensively used in Canadian epidemiologic investigations.^{8,37,45-49}

PM_{2.5} and NO₂ exposures were assigned using the centroid of participants' self-reported Forward Sortation Area. The Forward Sortation Area corresponds to the first three digits of the Canadian residential postal code. Temporal resolution was added to the surface data for both PM_{2.5} and NO₂ by using values from daily National Air Pollution Surveillance monitoring data located within a 30 km centroid of participants' Forward Sortation Area to scale surface-based estimates.⁵⁰ Participants living further than 30 km from a monitoring station lacked temporal data on changes in pollutant exposures and were excluded from analyses. Residential information was collected during the first and third trimesters, and exposure estimates therefore accounted for residential mobility.

The relevant time-windows for the relationships between air pollution and changes in inflammatory pathways are not fully established. Studies assessing changes in inflammatory processes from air pollution using multiple exposure windows typically find the strongest associations between air pollution and inflammatory biomarkers approximately 1 week to 1 month before biomarker sample collection.¹¹ Daily mean concentrations of ambient air pollution were therefore averaged to derive exposure metrics that corresponded to 14 days and 30 days before the collection of the bio-specimen for inflammation biomarker measurement.

Measurement of biomarkers

Third trimester maternal whole blood was treated with ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid and phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, and was clarified to obtain plasma following a previously reported procedure.⁵¹ The average weeks gestation at blood draw for participants was 31.6. CRP, interleukin-6, interleukin-8, and tumor necrosis factor- α were measured in all women who had singleton live births and provided a blood sample during the third trimester. Biomarkers were analyzed using affinity-based multiplex protein array assays using Bio-Plex Pro Human panels (Bio-Rad, Canada) and Milliplex Map kits (Millipore, Canada).⁵² Overall, inter- and intraassay coefficients of variation for all biomarkers were below 12%.

Covariates

Lifestyle and demographic information was obtained from questionnaires collected throughout the pregnancy. Several covariates were considered as potential confounders in our analyses, based on their relationships as predictors of inflammation biomarkers of interest53 or as potential confounders in previous studies.^{32,34,35} Relationships between covariates were also visualized using a directed acyclic graph (eFigure S1; http:// links.lww.com/EE/A151). Covariates included: household income (below the median Canadian household income vs. above), education (high-school or less vs. any postsecondary), race (White vs. other), prepregnancy body mass index (underweight/normal, overweight, obese), season of blood draw, and age (years). We also considered self-reported information on housing characteristics (home type, attached garage, heating fuel, fireplace, type of cooking appliance, furnace in home, and second-hand smoke exposure in home). Covariate information on pregnancy-specific behaviors included alcohol consumption (none vs. any), smoking status (never, former, quit during this pregnancy), acetylsalicylic acid (aspirin) use (yes vs. no), prenatal multivitamin use, folic acid supplementation, other supplement use (any use vs. no use), activities (walking and biking hours per week), outdoor time (days spent outside for a minimum of 30 minutes), and gestational weight gain across pregnancy (kilograms). Recruitment site was related to both air pollution exposures and inflammation biomarkers and was included as a covariate in all models.

Statistical analysis

All analyses were performed using SAS Enterprise Guide 7.1 (SAS institute, Cary, NC). Multivariable linear regression was used to separately assess the relationship of an interquartile range (IQR) increase in 14-day and 30-day average PM_{2.5} and NO₂ with each biomarker of interest. All biomarkers were

right-skewed and were log-transformed. For each biomarker of interest, a covariate-only model was built separately to select covariates into the model, employing backwards elimination (P < 0.15), which allowed for consistency and comparability among models for each outcome of interest with different air pollution indicators and exposure windows. Values below the limit of detection for interleukin-6 (n=10) were assigned a value of half the limit of detection (0.2 pg/ml). No values were below the limit of detection for other biomarkers. All coefficient estimates (β) were transformed using the following formula to represent the % difference in biomarker levels per IQR: $100 \times (e^{\beta} - 1)$. All multivariable linear regression results described below represent a % change in biomarker levels per IQR increase in exposure.

The shapes of exposure-outcome relationships were explored using exposure categorization at equidistant cut-points and with the inclusion of quadratic terms in models, as well as through restricted cubic splines.⁵⁴

Sensitivity analysis

In two sensitivity analyses, we derived third trimester and total pregnancy averages for $PM_{2.5}$ and NO_2 , to ensure that our findings would be robust to our exposure window definition.

Conditions such as preeclampsia, impaired glucose tolerance, and gestational diabetes may result from increases in the biomarkers of interest; however, such complications may also lead to increases in inflammation.⁵⁵ We conducted a sensitivity analysis excluding women who had already developed preeclampsia, impaired glucose tolerance, or gestational diabetes before the time windows of interest in our investigation (maximum n after exclusion = 771).

Since CRP is a measure of both acute and chronic inflammation, we conducted a sensitivity analysis where we excluded participants with levels >100 mg/L, which may be indicative of an acute infection at the time of the bio-specimen collection (maximum n after exclusion = 1,028).³⁵ Lead is a potential component of PM_{2.5} that has been independently linked to markers of inflammation.⁵⁶ We therefore conducted a sensitivity analysis controlling for third trimester blood lead in analyses with PM_{2.5}. Blood lead levels were measured in whole blood, and detailed methods are described elsewhere.⁵⁷

We also explored the potential for effect modification by fetal sex through the use of interaction terms in modeling, and presentation of stratified results.

Finally, quantitative bias analysis was used to better understand the potential for nondifferential error in exposure classification in our study. As exposure assessment was conducted at the ecologic level, but the true exposure of interest was personal exposure, there is potential for effect estimates to be biased towards the null. A reliability coefficient representing the correlation between ecologic and personal air pollution exposures can be used to better estimate the potential true association in the absence of nondifferential error. Therefore, we corrected the regression coefficients for statistically significant associations from the main analysis using a reliability coefficient of 0.3, as reported in a Canadian cohort of pregnant women comparing personal and ambient air pollution exposures,⁵⁸ where:

 $corrected coefficient estimate = \frac{observed estimate}{reliability coefficient}$.⁵⁹

Results

Participant characteristics are presented in Table 1. For both $PM_{2,5}$ and NO_2 , 14-day and 30-day exposure estimates were similar (Table 2). Distributions of inflammation biomarkers are presented in Table 3.

In multivariable linear regression, 14-day and 30-day average $PM_{2,5}$ exposures before blood draw were associated with higher CRP levels in the third trimester. Each IQR change in 14-day average $PM_{2,5}$ was associated with 24.6% higher CRP levels

(95% CI=9.4, 41.9), and results were comparable for 30-day average (17.4%; 95% CI=1.0, 35.0) (Table 4). No statistically significant associations were observed between NO₂ and CRP, or for other exposure-biomarker relationships (Table 4).

In separate models, quadratic exposure terms were not statistically significant (data not shown). Use of equidistant cut-points for PM_{2,5} exposure resulted in increases in CRP consistent with a monotonic dose-response relationship for both 14-day and 30-day average exposures (eTable S1; http://links.lww.com/EE/ A151). We found that compared with PM_{25} exposures at less than 5 µg/m³, exposures greater than 15 µg/m³ were associated with 50.7% higher CRP levels (95% CI=8.3, 107.5). Although a similar, but attenuated dose-response relationship was found for 30-day average PM_{2.5}, the results were not statistically significant. Categorization of PM2.5 and NO2 did not suggest additional linear or nonlinear relationships with other biomarkers (eTable S1; http://links.lww.com/EE/A151). Visualization of relationships between 14-day and 30-day average $PM_{2.5}$ and CRP via restricted cubic splines were also consistent with a linear trend (eFigures S2 and S3; http://links.lww.com/EE/A151).

In sensitivity analyses, the association between third trimester PM_{2.5} exposure and CRP was comparable to results in the main analysis (eTable S2; http://links.lww.com/EE/A151), however, PM_{2.5} exposure across the entire pregnancy was not associated with CRP.

For 14-day and 30-day average $PM_{2.5}$ exposure and CRP levels, effect estimates were moderately attenuated after excluding women with preeclampsia, impaired glucose tolerance, and gestational diabetes (eTable S3; http://links.lww.com/EE/A151), and only the result for 14-day average $PM_{2.5}$ exposure was statistically significant (19.7%; 95% CI=0.1, 39.1). Similarly, in the sensitivity analyses excluding individuals with CRP levels greater than 100mg/L, effect estimates were attenuated for both 14-day and 30-day average $PM_{2.5}$ exposures, and were statistically significant only for 14-day average exposures (15.0%; 95% CI=1.0, 29.7) (eTable S4; http://links.lww.com/EE/A151). Effect estimates for other relationships were small and not statistically significant.

When third-trimester blood-lead concentrations were included in the analysis, the effect estimates were slightly stronger and were statistically significant for both 14-day (24.6%; 95% CI=9.4, 43.3) and 30-day (18.5%; 95% CI=2.0, 36.3) average $PM_{2.5}$ exposures and CRP levels, respectively (eTable S5; http://links.lww.com/EE/A151). Effect estimates for other associations remained small and nonsignificant.

For all exposure-biomarker relationships, interactions by fetal sex were not statistically significant (eTable S6; http://links. lww.com/EE/A151).

Using a quantitative bias analysis, we estimate that an unbiased percent increase in CRP after accounting for nondifferential ecologic exposure measurement error could be as high as 108% and 70% per observed IQR change in 14-day and 30-day $PM_{2.5}$, respectively.⁵⁸

Discussion

We investigated potential relationships between PM_{2.5} and NO₂ with biomarkers of inflammation, including CRP, interleukin-6, interleukin-8, and tumor necrosis factor- α during the third trimester of pregnancy. Our results suggest a positive linear association between PM_{2.5} and CRP levels in third trimester pregnancy, despite the relatively low exposures to ambient air pollution experienced in this population. This was most consistent using a 14-day average (before blood draw), although similar findings were observed using 30-day and third-trimester averages. This finding was generally robust to several sensitivity analyses. PM_{2.5} was not associated with interleukin-6, interleukin-8, or tumor necrosis factor- α in any analyses. Similarly, NO₂ was not associated with any of the selected markers of inflammation.

Table 1.

Characteristics of MIREC participants and air pollution exposures (n = 1,170).

		PM _{2.5} (14-day average)	NO ₂ (14-day average)	
Characteristic	n (%)	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD	
Baseline				
Household \sim \$80,000	A1A (35 A)	105+53	17 2 + 11 5	
Household $>$ \$20,000	603 (50.2)	8 9 ± 1 7	17.2 ± 11.5	
Do not know/refuse to answer	A9 (A 2)	89+404	183+118	
Missing	1/1 (1 2)	12/1+57	20.8 + 8.2	
Fducation	14 (1.2)	12.4±0.1	20.0 ± 0.2	
High-school or less	78 (6 7)	105 ± 10	157+102	
College or University	1 089 (93 0)	94+50	17.2 ± 10.2	
Missing	3 (0 3)	65+18	21 3+12 1	
Race	0 (0.0)	0.0 ± 1.0	21.0 ± 12.1	
White	971 (82 9)	95+50	16.0 ± 10.8	
Other	199 (17 0)	97+49	22.6 + 11.2	
Prepregnancy BMI	100 (11.0)	0.1 ± 1.0	22.0 2 11.2	
Linder/normal weight	705 (60.2)	96+49	182+113	
Overweight	227 (19.4)	94 ± 51	15.3+10.6	
Ohese	151 (12.9)	92+59	13.9 ± 10.0	
Missing	87 (7 4)	98+56	18.3+10.6	
Current home type	01 (1.1)	0.0 ± 0.0	10.0 ± 10.0	
Single detached	566 (48.3)	8.6+4.5	13.8 ± 10.3	
Duplex or townhouse	339 (28.9)	10.5+5.6	18.8 ± 10.6	
100% residential building	246 (21.0)	10.0 ± 0.0	21 7 + 11 1	
Mix residential and commercial	18 (1.5)	11 2 + 7 0	27.6+12.4	
Missing	1 (0 1)	45-	12.4 -	
Attached garage	1 (0.1)	4.0	12.1	
Yes	430 (36 8)	90+46	15.0 ± 10.6	
No	740 (63.3)	9.8+5.2	18.4+11.2	
Main heating fuel	1.10 (0010)	010 2 012	101121112	
Electric	375 (32.1)	11.5 ± 6.0	18.0 ± 10.2	
Natural das	643 (54.9)	8.9+3.9	17.5 ± 11.7	
Fuel oil	92 (7.8)	6.3 ± 4.7	9.7 + 7.7	
Other	14 (1.2)	7.1 ± 3.6	15.8 ± 8.9	
Missing	46 (3.9)	9.8 ± 4.3	21.3 ± 11.3	
Fireplace				
No fireplace	715 (61.2)	10.3 ± 5.1	18.0 ± 10.9	
Natural gas	214 (18.3)	7.9 ± 3.9	16.5 ± 11.4	
Propane	15 (1.3)	7.4 ± 6.2	7.1 ± 3.3	
Wood/wood pellets	162 (13.8)	8.4 ± 5.0	15.8 ± 11.4	
Other	61 (5.1)	9.5 ± 5.1	15.7 ± 11.6	
Missing	3 (0.3)	6.8 ± 4.1	9.3 ± 5.1	
Type of cooking appliances used				
Electric stove only	388 (33.2)	10.4 ± 4.9	19.9 ± 10.7	
Electric and other (gas stove, wood stove, charcoal BBQ, propane/gas BBQ)	781 (66.8)	9.1 ± 4.9	15.7 ± 11.1	
Missing	1 (0.1)	9.3 -	26.3 -	
Furnace in home				
No	440 (37.6)	11.2 ± 5.8	20.0 ± 10.5	
Yes	689 (59.0)	8.5 ± 4.1	15.3 ± 11.1	
Missing	41 (3.5)	8.4 ± 4.3	16.6 ± 12.2	
Second-hand smoke exposure				
No	1,122 (95.9)	9.5 ± 4.9	17.3 ± 11.1	
Yes	46 (3.9)	10.5 ± 6.0	12.7 ± 10.9	
Missing	2 (0.2)	4.3 ± 2.5	9.8 ± 2.7	
During pregnancy				
Alcohol consumption	054 (04.0)		10.1.10.0	
No consumption	951 (81.3)	9.4 ± 4.9	16.4 ± 10.8	
Any consumption	218 (18.6)	10.1 ± 5.2	20.4 ± 12.1	
Missing	1 (0.1)	8.1 -	19.9 -	
Smoking status	700 (00 4)			
	730 (62.4)	9.3 ± 4.9	10.9±11.1	
Former Outstatution this successory	305 (26.0)	9.6±4.9	18.1±11.2	
Quir during this pregnancy	87 (7.4)	10.b±5.3	10.8±11.8	
	48 (4.0)	10.0±5.5	10.0±11.1	
ADA USE		00.50	171.110	
	1,138 (97.3)	9.6±5.0	17.1±11.2	
Occasional	32 (2.7)	7.b±4.b	17.4 ± 9.0	
rrenatai munuvitamin use		100.00	100.100	
NU Vee	145 (12.4)	10.8±5.2	10.3±10.2	
เธง 	1,025 (87.6)	9.3±4.9	17.2±11.3	

(Continued)

Table 1. (Continued)

		PM _{2.5} (14-day average)	NO ₂ (14-day average)	
Characteristic	n (%)	Mean ± SD	Mean ± SD	
Folic acid use				
No	816 (78.3)	9.7 ± 4.9	17.2 ± 11.4	
Yes	344 (29.4)	9.1 ± 5.2	16.8 ± 10.4	
Missing	10 (0.9)	9.7 ± 7.0	24.7 ± 14.1	
Other supplement use				
No	807 (68.9)	9.9 ± 5.1	16.9 ± 11.1	
Yes	354 (30.3)	8.6 ± 4.6	17.8 ± 11.2	
Missing	9 (0.7)	9.7 ± 7.0	24.7 ± 14.1	
Season of blood-draw				
Winter	263 (22.5)	9.3 ± 5.0	19.8 ± 13.2	
Spring	334 (28.6)	7.5 ± 3.8	16.1 ± 11.2	
Summer	284 (24.3)	11.7 ± 5.6	15.3 ± 8.7	
Fall	289 (24.7)	9.9 ± 4.6	17.8 ± 10.7	
Continuous characteristics	$\text{Mean} \pm \text{SD}$	Missing (%)		
Age (years)	32.3 ± 5.0	0		
Gestational weight gain (kg)	15.4 ± 5.9	37 (3.0)		
Activity (hours per week)	17.8 ± 15.8	1 (0.1)		
Outside time (days spent outside for \geq 30 minutes between 9 AM and 4 PM in past month)	13.7 ± 10.3	4 (0.3)		

alncome cutoff chosen based on income threshold for two-parent Canadian household.

ASA indicates acetylsalicylic acid.

Table 2.

TABLE 3.

Descriptive statistics for air pollution exposures in MIREC participants (n = 1,170)

	Conc	_			Pearson co	orrelation ^a		
Variable	Mean (SD)	25th Percentile	50th Percentile	75th Percentile	IQR	PM _{2.5} (30-day average)	NO ₂ (14-day average)	NO ₂ (30-day average)
PM _{2.5} (14-day average) µg/m ³	9.5 (5.0)	5.6	8.7	12.5	6.9	0.92	0.33	0.30
PM _{2.5} (30-day average) µg/m ³	9.5 (4.5)	6.0	9.0	12.1	6.2	-	0.32	0.33
NO_2 (14-day average) ppb NO_2 (30-day average) ppb	17.1 (11.2) 17.3 (11.1)	7.0 7.0	16.3 17.0	25.0 25.3	18.0 18.3	-	-	0.98 —

^aAll Pearson correlation coefficient p < 0.0001.

Descriptive statistics for inflammation biomarkers in MIREC participants (n = 1,170)

							Spearman correlation		
	Geometric mean	Minimum	25th Percentile	Median	75th Percentile	Maximum	IL-6	IL-8	TNFα
CRP (mg/L)	16.9	0.1	8.1	16.9	35.9	1,602.6	0.19, <i>p</i> <0.001	0.04, p = 0.15	0.15, <i>p</i> <0.001
IL-6 (pg/ml)	1.7	0.1	0.9	1.6	2.8	136.2	-	0.42, <i>p</i> <0.001	0.31, <i>p</i> <0.001
IL-8 (pg/ml)	2.0	0.2	1.4	1.9	2.6	40.4	-	_	0.43, p<0.001
TNF α (pg/ml)	4.3	0.2	3.2	4.3	5.7	28.7	-	-	-

IL-6 indicates interluekin-6; IL-8, interleukin-8; TNFa, tumor necrosis factor-alpha.

C-reactive protein is an acute-phase protein that is synthesized in the liver.⁶⁰ It is a component of the nonspecific acutephase response to inflammation, and considered a classic marker of inflammation.⁶⁰ It is unclear why significant associations were observed only for CRP, and not other inflammation biomarkers. One potential explanation could be that CRP is a more sensitive marker of inflammation compared with other selected biomarkers. The literature on CRP as a biomarker of pregnancy complications is more substantive than for other biomarkers of inflammation complications.^{25,29,61,62} It is possible that other inflammation biomarkers are not as relevant when studying the impact of environmental exposures on inflammation, and may not represent intermediates between exposures and health outcomes of interest.^{30,31,63}

To our knowledge, two previous studies have assessed relationships between air pollution and markers of inflammation during pregnancy. Van Den Hooven et al., studied the association of PM_{10} and NO, with maternal first trimester CRP

levels (>8 mg/L, and \leq 8 mg/L) in the Generation R Study in the Netherlands (n = 5,067).³⁵ Air pollutant exposure windows of 1, 2, and 4 weeks before bio-specimen collection, and total pregnancy were assessed. Participants in the 4th quartile (vs. 1st quartile) of PM₁₀ exposure had higher odds of elevated CRP levels 1 week before bio-specimen collection, with no association with CRP levels for those in the 2nd or 3rd exposure quartiles. Lee et al. studied the associations of several air pollution indicators, including PM_{2.5} and NO₂ on CRP (>8 mg/L, and \leq 8 mg/L) during early pregnancy in a prospective cohort of 1696 pregnant women in Pennsylvania.34 Several different exposure windows were assessed: 8-day, 22-day, 29-day, and same-day. Researchers found that longer PM2.5 exposure windows (22- and 29-day averages) led to higher odds of having elevated CRP levels.³⁴ Neither study demonstrated consistently positive or statistically significant associations with NO2, which is consistent with our results and may be explained by a weak correlation between NO, exposure levels and the pollutants it is used as a proxy for,

Table 4.

Multivariable linear regression analysis for the relationship between short-term PM₂₅ and NO₂ exposure and biomarkers of inflammation in MIREC participants

	Biomarker and		
Exposure	percent difference ^a	Р	
	CRP ^b (n = 1,081)		
PM _{2.5} (per IQR increase)			
14-day average	24.6% (9.4, 41.9)	0.001	
30-day average	17.4% (1.0, 35.0)	0.03	
NO ₂ (per IQR increase)			
14-day average	9.4% (-8.6, 31.0)	0.32	
30-day average	8.3% (-10.4, 31.1)	0.39	
	IL-6° (n = 1,003)		
PM _{2.5} (per IQR increase)			
14-day average	-1.9% (-12.2, 9.4)	0.74	
30-day average	0.1% (-11.3, 12.7)	0.99	
NO ₂ (per IQR increase)			
14-day average	0.0% (-13.9, 16.2)	0.99	
30-day average	6.2% (-8.6, 24.6)	0.45	
	IL-8 ^d (n = 1,072)		
PM _{2.5} (per IQR increase)			
14-day average	3.1% (-3.9, 10.5)	0.39	
30-day average	0.1% (-6.8, 8.3)	0.97	
NO ₂ (per IQR increase)			
14-day average	0.3% (-8.6, 9.4)	0.99	
30-day average	0.4% (-8.6, 11.6)	0.94	
	$TNF\alpha^{e} (n = 1,083)$		
PM _{2.5} (per IQR increase)			
14-day average	2.0% (-3.9, 7.3)	0.61	
30-day average	2.0% (-3.9, 9.4)	0.47	
NO ₂ (per IQR increase)			
14-day average	-1.9% (-8.6, 6.2)	0.63	
30-day average	-1.0% (-8.6, 7.3)	0.81	

Differing final counts in models due to differing availability of covariates selected for each biomarker. ^aPercent difference represents the percentage increase in biomarkers per IQR difference in pollutant.

^bModel for CRP controlled for recruitment center, alcohol, income, activity, body mass index. ^cModel for IL-6 controlled for recruitment center, maternal age, outside time, BMI, folic acid, main heating, furnace.

^dModel for IL-8 controlled for recruitment center, maternal age, alcohol, income, activity, body mass index, folic acid.

eModel for TNFα controlled for recruitment center, maternal age, body mass index.

IL-6 indicates interluekin-6; IL-8, interleukin-8; TNFα, tumor necrosis factor-alpha.

such as benzene, volatile organic pollutants, and other tailpipe emissions.⁶⁴ Other explanations for differences in relationships between PM_{2.5} and NO₂ with inflammation markers could be due to the methods used to estimate exposure (PM_{2.5} relying primarily on satellite data and NO₂ relying primarily on land use regression), as well as differences in the spatial resolution of the pollutants (NO₂ being more heterogeneous).⁶⁵

Biomarker levels in our study were comparable to other pregnancy cohorts for interleukin-6,^{66,67} interleukin-8,^{66,68} and tumor necrosis factor- α ,^{67,68} but higher for CRP.³⁵ We analyzed blood samples from the third trimester, when CRP levels are thought to be highest, although previous studies evaluated biomarkers earlier in pregnancy.^{34,35} Median CRP levels in our study are 4-times higher than in the study by Van Den Hooven et al.,³⁵ and the two aforementioned previous studies categorized CRP levels at 8 mg/L, which would correspond to the 25th percentile in our sample. In addition, there are differences in exposure distributions between our study and these previous investigations. We reported a 14-day mean PM_{2.5} exposure of 9.5 µg/m³ for our study participants compared with a 7-day mean of 16.4 µg/ m³ reported by Lee et al.³⁴ Nonetheless, we report comparable associations between PM_{2.5} and CRP in our study and we further demonstrate evidence of linear and monotonic associations between continuous exposure and outcome variables that were not observed in other studies. Collectively, these results suggest that short-term exposure to $PM_{2.5}$ during pregnancy may result in higher levels of CRP.

When applying the percent increase in CRP levels observed between the referent category of categorized 14-day PM₂, exposure and the highest level of exposure, the change corresponds to a ~20 mg/L increase in CRP levels. For context, a meta-analysis of studies assessing changes in CRP and their relationship with preeclampsia found a weighted mean difference (after adjustment for body mass index) of 2.6 mg/L between preeclampsia cases and controls.⁶⁹ An Australian prospective study found a mean difference of 32.2 mg/L in CRP levels in the third trimester between women diagnosed with gestational diabetes compared with controls.70 Research on the potential for elevated CRP levels in predicting pregnancy complications, such as preeclampsia or gestational diabetes, is ongoing. However, based on the current literature, the differences in CRP observed in the higher versus lower exposure levels in our cohort correspond to changes that may be considered clinically meaningful.

This study has some important limitations. First, we relied on an ecologic measure of ambient air pollution which may have led to nondifferential error in our estimates. We restricted all analyses to areas less than 10×10 km to minimize this exposure assessment limitation and employed a quantitative bias analysis to estimate the potential true effect size in the absence of nondifferential exposure misclassification. Although the reliability coefficient used for the quantitative bias analysis was not estimated from the MIREC cohort, it was based on a Canadian pregnancy cohort,⁵⁸ and allows us to observe the potential degree of misclassification occurring in our study. This reliability coefficient is not itself without error, and may be influenced by behaviors, indoor sources of exposure, home locations, and season. Nonetheless, we expect that this revised estimate likely reduces some nondifferential error, while informing on the degree of misclassification occurring in the analysis, and the impact of this on the observed estimate. Another potential concern was the limited understanding of the most appropriate time windows of exposure. We studied four different exposure windows to better understand relationships of interest, and found consistent associations for PM2 5 and CRP for 14-day, 30-day, and third-trimester average exposures.

Finally, we relied on a single measure of inflammatory markers in the third trimester. The timing of CRP measures in pregnancy is heterogeneous in studies of CRP and pregnancy complications.⁶⁹ Our finding that exposures around the third trimester were more strongly associated with CRP than exposures during other time points could reflect that these measures are simply more proximal to the timing of the outcome measure. It was not possible to evaluate the effect of different time windows of exposure in our study with only a single outcome measure. However, our findings are consistent with a recent meta-analysis on PM_{2.5} exposure and preeclampsia risk, which found that pregnant women may be most susceptible to PM_{2.5} exposures in the third trimester. This matches the time-window of exposure investigated in our study.⁷¹

Our analysis has several strengths. The MIREC Study is a prospective, multisite pregnancy cohort study, and is well positioned to study the impact of ambient air pollution on maternal health. To our knowledge, this is the first investigation of $PM_{2.5}$ and NO_2 exposures and biomarkers of inflammation to study dose-response relationships during pregnancy. The use of objective exposure and outcome measures helps to mitigate both recall and selection bias in our analysis. Finally, we conducted several sensitivity analyses to more thoroughly understand the observed relationships. To our knowledge, this is also the first study of air pollution exposures during pregnancy and biomarkers of inflammation to employ a quantitative bias analysis.

We showed that short-term (14-day and 30-day) $PM_{2.5}$ exposure was associated with higher third trimester CRP concentrations. This may represent one mechanism through which $PM_{2.5}$ is associated with pregnancy complications. Future studies should investigate longitudinal changes in CRP levels from air pollution exposure, especially at low-levels, such as those typically experienced by the Canadian population. This work adds to the growing literature underscoring the potential health impacts of air pollution in specific vulnerable populations, such as pregnant women.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to the MIREC families for their interest and participation and to the dedicated site and coordinating center staff for recruiting the participants, and collecting and managing the data and biospecimens.

REFERENCES

- Clifford A, Lang L, Chen R, Anstey KJ, Seaton A. Exposure to air pollution and cognitive functioning across the life course–A systematic literature review. *Environ Res.* 2016;147:383–398.
- Dadvand P, Figueras F, Basagaña X, et al. Ambient air pollution and preeclampsia: a spatiotemporal analysis. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2013;121:1365–1371.
- 3. Guxens M, Aguilera I, Ballester F, et al; INMA (INfancia y Medio Ambiente) Project. Prenatal exposure to residential air pollution and infant mental development: modulation by antioxidants and detoxification factors. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2012;120:144–149.
- Li Z, Tang Y, Song X, Lazar L, Li Z, Zhao J. Impact of ambient PM_{2.5} on adverse birth outcome and potential molecular mechanism. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf.* 2019;169:248–254.
- Malmqvist E, Jakobsson K, Tinnerberg H, Rignell-Hydbom A, Rylander L. Gestational diabetes and preeclampsia in association with air pollution at levels below current air quality guidelines. *Environ Health Perspect.* 2013;121:488–493.
- Nieuwenhuijsen MJ, Dadvand P, Grellier J, Martinez D, Vrijheid M. Environmental risk factors of pregnancy outcomes: a summary of recent meta-analyses of epidemiological studies. *Environ Health*. 2013;12:6.
- Shah PS, Balkhair T; Knowledge Synthesis Group on Determinants of Preterm/LBW births. Air pollution and birth outcomes: a systematic review. *Environ Int.* 2011;37:498–516.
- Stieb DM, Chen L, Beckerman BS, et al. Associations of pregnancy outcomes and PM_{2.5} in a National Canadian Study. *Environ Health Perspect.* 2016;124:243–249.
- 9. Cohen AJ, Ross Anderson H, Ostro B, et al. The global burden of disease due to outdoor air pollution. *J Toxicol Environ Health A*. 2005;68:1301–1307.
- Rijnders E, Janssen NA, van Vliet PH, Brunekreef B. Personal and outdoor nitrogen dioxide concentrations in relation to degree of urbanization and traffic density. *Environ Health Perspect.* 2001;109(suppl 3):411–417.
- Li Y, Rittenhouse-Olson K, Scheider WL, Mu L. Effect of particulate matter air pollution on C-reactive protein: a review of epidemiologic studies. *Rev Environ Health*. 2013;27:1–24.
- 12. Boogaard H, Walker K, Cohen AJ. Air pollution: the emergence of a major global health risk factor. *Int Health*. 2019;11:417–421.
- Schraufnagel DE, Balmes JR, Cowl CT, et al. Air pollution and noncommunicable diseases: a review by the Forum of International Respiratory Societies' Environmental Committee, Part 2: Air Pollution and Organ Systems. *Chest.* 2019;155:417–426.
- Degobbi C, Saldiva PHN, Rogers C. Endotoxin as modifier of particulate matter toxicity: a review of the literature. *Aerobiologia*. 2010;27:97–105.
- Kampa M, Castanas E. Human health effects of air pollution. *Environ Pollut*. 2008;151:362–367.
- Brook JR, Burnett RT, Dann TF, et al. Further interpretation of the acute effect of nitrogen dioxide observed in Canadian time-series studies. J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol. 2007;17(suppl 2):S36–S44.
- 17. Burnett RT, Stieb D, Brook JR, et al. Associations between short-term changes in nitrogen dioxide and mortality in Canadian cities. *Arch Environ Health*. 2004;59:228–236.
- Blomberg A, Krishna MT, Bocchino V, et al. The inflammatory effects of 2 ppm NO₂ on the airways of healthy subjects. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 1997;156(2 pt 1):418–424.
- Ji X, Han M, Yun Y, Li G, Sang N. Acute nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) exposure enhances airway inflammation via modulating Th1/Th2 differentiation and activating JAK-STAT pathway. *Chemosphere*. 2015;120:722–728.

- Wegmann M, Renz H, Herz U. Long-term NO₂ exposure induces pulmonary inflammation and progressive development of airflow obstruction in C57BL/6 mice: a mouse model for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease? *Pathobiology*. 2002;70:284–286.
- 21. Brook RD, Rajagopalan S. Particulate matter, air pollution, and blood pressure. J Am Soc Hypertens. 2009;3:332–350.
- Colaço M, Duarte A, Zuzarte M, Costa BFO, Borges O. Airborne environmental fine particles induce intense inflammatory response regardless of the absence of heavy metal elements. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf.* 2020;195:110500.
- Donaldson K, Stone V, Seaton A, MacNee W. Ambient particle inhalation and the cardiovascular system: potential mechanisms. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2001;109(suppl 4):523–527.
- 24. Kumarathasan P, Vincent R, Blais E, et al. Cardiovascular and inflammatory mechanisms in healthy humans exposed to air pollution in the vicinity of a steel mill. *Part Fibre Toxicol.* 2018;15:34.
- Lau SY, Guild SJ, Barrett CJ, et al. Tumor necrosis factor-alpha, interleukin-6, and interleukin-10 levels are altered in preeclampsia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Reprod Immunol.* 2013;70:412–427.
- Pantham P, Aye IL, Powell TL. Inflammation in maternal obesity and gestational diabetes mellitus. *Placenta*. 2015;36:709–715.
- deRosset L, Strutz KL. Developmental origins of chronic inflammation: a review of the relationship between birth weight and C-reactive protein. *Ann Epidemiol.* 2015;25:539–543.
- Sorokin Y, Romero R, Mele L, et al. Maternal serum interleukin-6, C-reactive protein, and matrix metalloproteinase-9 concentrations as risk factors for preterm birth <32 weeks and adverse neonatal outcomes. *Amer J Perinatol.* 2010;27:631–640.
- Wei SQ, Fraser W, Luo ZC. Inflammatory cytokines and spontaneous preterm birth in asymptomatic women: a systematic review. Obstet Gynecol. 2010;116(2 pt 1):393–401.
- Bränn E, Edvinsson Å, Rostedt Punga A, Sundström-Poromaa I, Skalkidou A. Inflammatory and anti-inflammatory markers in plasma: from late pregnancy to early postpartum. *Sci Rep.* 2019;9:1863.
- Watts DH, Krohn MA, Wener MH, Eschenbach DA. C-reactive protein in normal pregnancy. Obstet Gynecol. 1991;77:176–180.
- Latzin P, Frey U, Armann J, et al. Exposure to moderate air pollution during late pregnancy and cord blood cytokine secretion in healthy neonates. *PLoS One*. 2011;6:e23130.
- Thaxton JE, Sharma S. Interleukin-10: a multi-faceted agent of pregnancy. Am J Reprod Immunol. 2010;63:482–491.
- Lee PC, Talbott EO, Roberts JM, Catov JM, Sharma RK, Ritz B. Particulate air pollution exposure and C-reactive protein during early pregnancy. *Epidemiology*. 2011;22:524–531.
- 35. van den Hooven EH, de Kluizenaar Y, Pierik FH, et al. Chronic air pollution exposure during pregnancy and maternal and fetal C-reactive protein levels: the Generation R Study. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2012;120:746–751.
- Brauer M, Lencar C, Tamburic L, Koehoorn M, Demers P, Karr C. A cohort study of traffic-related air pollution impacts on birth outcomes. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2008;116:680–686.
- Lavigne É, Burnett RT, Stieb DM, et al. Fine particulate air pollution and adverse birth outcomes: effect modification by regional nonvolatile oxidative potential. *Environ Health Perspect*. 2018;126:077012.
- Diaz-Castro J, Pulido-Moran M, Moreno-Fernandez J, et al. Gender specific differences in oxidative stress and inflammatory signaling in healthy term neonates and their mothers. *Pediatr Res.* 2016;80:595–601.
- Borrás C, Sastre J, García-Sala D, Lloret A, Pallardó FV, Viña J. Mitochondria from females exhibit higher antioxidant gene expression and lower oxidative damage than males. *Free Radic Biol Med.* 2003;34:546–552.
- Waugh D. Association between maternal fluoride exposure and child IQ. JAMA Pediatr. 2020;174:211–212.
- 41. Schlütter JM, Kirkegaard I, Petersen OB, et al. Fetal gender and several cytokines are associated with the number of fetal cells in maternal blood–an observational study. *PLoS One.* 2014;9:e106934.
- Arbuckle TE, Fraser WD, Fisher M, et al. Cohort profile: the maternal-infant research on environmental chemicals research platform. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol*. 2013;27:415–425.
- van Donkelaar A, Martin RV, Spurr RJ, Burnett RT. High-resolution satellite-derived PM_{2.5} from optimal estimation and geographically weighted regression over North America. *Environ Sci Technol.* 2015;49:10482–10491.
- Hystad P, Setton E, Cervantes A, et al. Creating national air pollution models for population exposure assessment in Canada. *Environ Health Perspect.* 2011;119:1123–1129.

- 45. Stieb DM, Judek S, van Donkelaar A, et al. Estimated public health impacts of changes in concentrations of fine particle air pollution in Canada, 2000 to 2011. Can J Public Health. 2015;106:e362–e368.
- 46. Stieb DM, Lavigne E, Chen L, Pinault L, Gasparrini A, Tjepkema M. Air pollution in the week prior to delivery and preterm birth in 24 Canadian cities: a time to event analysis. *Environ Health*. 2019;18:1.
- 47. Villeneuve PJ, Goldberg MS, Crouse DL, et al. Residential exposure to fine particulate matter air pollution and incident breast cancer in a cohort of Canadian women. *Environ Epidemiol*. 2018;2:e021.
- Lavigne E, Ashley-Martin J, Dodds L, et al. Air pollution exposure during pregnancy and fetal markers of metabolic function: the MIREC study. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2016;183:842–851.
- Villeneuve PJ, Weichenthal SA, Crouse D, et al. Long-term exposure to fine particulate matter air pollution and mortality among canadian women. *Epidemiology*. 2015;26:536–545.
- Johnson M, Macneill M, Grgicak-Mannion A, et al. Development of temporally refined land-use regression models predicting daily household-level air pollution in a panel study of lung function among asthmatic children. J Expo Sci Environ Epidemiol. 2013;23:259–267.
- Kumarathasan P, Goegan P, Vincent R. An automated high-performance liquid chromatography fluorescence method for the analyses of endothelins in plasma samples. *Anal Biochem.* 2001;299:37–44.
- 52. Kumarathasan P, Williams G, Bielecki A, et al. Characterization of maternal plasma biomarkers associated with delivery of small and large for gestational age infants in the MIREC study cohort. *PLoS One*. 2018;13:e0204863.
- 53. Michigan A, Johnson TV, Master VA. Review of the relationship between C-reactive protein and exercise. *Mol Diagn Ther.* 2011;15:265–275.
- Desquilbet L, Mariotti F. Dose-response analyses using restricted cubic spline functions in public health research. *Stat Med*. 2010;29:1037–1057.
- Harmon AC, Cornelius DC, Amaral LM, et al. The role of inflammation in the pathology of preeclampsia. *Clin Sci (Lond)*. 2016;130:409–419.
- Khan DA, Qayyum S, Saleem S, Khan FA. Lead-induced oxidative stress adversely affects health of the occupational workers. *Toxicol Ind Health.* 2008;24:611–618.
- 57. Arbuckle TE, Liang CL, Morisset AS, et al; MIREC Study Group. Maternal and fetal exposure to cadmium, lead, manganese and mercury: the MIREC study. *Chemosphere*. 2016;163:270–282.
- Nethery E, Leckie SE, Teschke K, Brauer M. From measures to models: an evaluation of air pollution exposure assessment for epidemiological studies of pregnant women. Occup Environ Med. 2008;65:579–586.

- Knuiman MW, Divitini ML, Buzas JS, Fitzgerald PE. Adjustment for regression dilution in epidemiological regression analyses. *Ann Epidemiol.* 1998;8:56–63.
- 60. Clearfield MB. C-reactive protein: a new risk assessment tool for cardiovascular disease. J Am Osteopath Assoc. 2005;105:409–416.
- Black KD, Horowitz JA. Inflammatory markers and preeclampsia: a systematic review. Nurs Res. 2018;67:242–251.
- 62. Gomes J, Au F, Basak A, Cakmak S, Vincent R, Kumarathasan P. Maternal blood biomarkers and adverse pregnancy outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Crit Rev Toxicol.* 2019;49:461–478.
- 63. Graham C, Chooniedass R, Stefura WP, et al; CHILD Study Investigators. *In vivo* immune signatures of healthy human pregnancy: inherently inflammatory or anti-inflammatory? *PLoS One.* 2017;12:e0177813.
- Moshammer H, Poteser M, Kundi M, et al. Nitrogen-dioxide remains a valid air quality indicator. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2020;17:E3733.
- Beckerman B, Jerrett M, Brook JR, Verma DK, Arain MA, Finkelstein MM. Correlation of nitrogen dioxide with other traffic pollutants near a major expressway. *Atmos Environ*. 2008;42:275–290.
- 66. Sharma A, Satyam A, Sharma JB. Leptin, IL-10 and inflammatory markers (TNF-alpha, IL-6 and IL-8) in pre-eclamptic, normotensive pregnant and healthy non-pregnant women. *Am J Reprod Immunol.* 2007;58:21–30.
- Vitoratos N, Economou E, Iavazzo C, Panoulis K, Creatsas G. Maternal serum levels of TNF-alpha and IL-6 long after delivery in preeclamptic and normotensive pregnant women. *Mediators Inflamm*. 2010;2010:908649.
- von Minckwitz G, Grischke EM, Schwab S, et al. Predictive value of serum interleukin-6 and -8 levels in preterm labor or rupture of the membranes. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand. 2000;79:667–672.
- Rebelo F, Schlüssel MM, Vaz JS, et al. C-reactive protein and later preeclampsia: systematic review and meta-analysis taking into account the weight status. J Hypertens. 2013;31:16–26.
- McLachlan KA, O'Neal D, Jenkins A, Alford FP. Do adiponectin, TNFα, leptin and CRP relate to insulin resistance in pregnancy? Studies in women with and without gestational diabetes, during and after pregnancy. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev.* 2006;22:131–138.
- Yu H, Yin Y, Zhang J, Zhou R. The impact of particulate matter 2.5 on the risk of preeclampsia: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int*. 2020;27:37527–37539.