

Editorial



Myocardial Longitudinal Strain in Prediction of Heart Failure after Acute Myocardial Infarction

Hyemoon Chung , MD

Division of Cardiology, Department of Internal Medicine, Kyung Hee University Medical Center, Kyung Hee University, Seoul, Korea

OPEN ACCESS

Received: May 1, 2019

Accepted: May 6, 2019

Correspondence to
Hyemoon Chung, MD

Division of Cardiology, Department of Internal Medicine, Kyung Hee University Medical Center, Kyung Hee University, 23 Kyungheedaero, Dongdaemun-gu, Seoul 02447, Korea.
E-mail: bluesunny52@gmail.com

Copyright © 2019. The Korean Society of Cardiology

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0>) which permits unrestricted noncommercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ORCID iDs

Hyemoon Chung 
<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5615-6245>

Conflict of Interest

The author has no financial conflicts of interest.

The contents of the report are the author's own views and do not necessarily reflect the views of the *Korean Circulation Journal*.

► See the article "Usefulness of Myocardial Longitudinal Strain in Prediction of Heart Failure in Patients with Successfully Reperfused Anterior Wall ST-segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction" in volume 49 on page 960.

Myocardial infarction (MI) is one of the major etiologies of heart failure and a contributor for adverse cardiovascular outcome. In patients with acute MI, left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) has been widely used for predicting cardiovascular outcome. Current guidelines state that LVEF is a key prognostic factor and recommend performing routine echocardiography after primary percutaneous coronary intervention to assess LVEF before discharge in all ST-segment elevation MI (STEMI) patients.^{1,2)} However, the LVEF is a both preload- and afterload-dependent parameter, whereas strain has been shown to be less affected by loading conditions. In addition, 2-dimensional speckle tracking echocardiography (STE) is relatively less operator dependent and more reproducible than LVEF. Recent studies reported that myocardial deformation imaging by STE after MI predicts cardiovascular outcomes such as new onset heart failure and cardiovascular death.³⁻⁵⁾ Among STE-related parameters, global longitudinal strain (GLS) most reliably predicted outcome in patients with acute MI.^{4,5)} Prognosis of MI is also known to be related to the extent of infarction, and GLS was associated with infarction size at a previous study.⁶⁾ So all these studies have implicated that more intensive follow-up plan is needed when GLS is impaired in patients with acute MI.

In this issue, Lee et al.⁷⁾ reported the results of their investigation of 171 patients with STEMI who underwent primary coronary intervention. The authors selected the patients with anterior STEMI and followed for a long-term period. As most recent studies focused on GLS, the authors also analyzed both GLS and longitudinal strain (LS) of anterior segments in this study. As mentioned before, not only global myocardial function but also degree of regional myocardial injury is important to assess long-term prognosis. The left ventricular (LV) regional systolic function has been proposed for risk stratification in patients with acute MI. Moller et al.⁸⁾ reported that wall motion score index (WMSI) had a greater prognostic power, although both LVEF and WMSI provided prognostic information. Carluccio et al.⁹⁾ also reported that WMSI independently predicted cardiac events in patients with acute MI. Park et al.¹⁰⁾ reported that both GLS and segmental LS of left anterior descending coronary artery territory were independent predictors of all-cause mortality in patients with anterior-wall MI.

In this study, the investigators classified heart failure into 2 groups; in-hospital and out-hospital heart failure group. However, the predictors of these 2 groups were different; GLS

predicted in-hospital heart failure, and LS of anterior segments predicted post-discharge heart failure. The authors explained that LS can reflect the potential for late recovery or remodeling more accurately than LVEF and GLS, because infarcted myocardium undergoes adverse remodeling in a considerable number of patients after anterior MI. Then, they concluded that LS of anterior segments predicted long-term heart failure events than GLS or LVEF. However, the results of the current study should be cautiously interpreted. In this article, the underlying mechanism of out-hospital heart failure in individual patient is unclear whether it is due to new-onset ischemic event or underlying heart failure aggravation due to LV remodeling or increased hemodynamic loading status. Nevertheless, this study adds evidence that GLS is a significant prognostic factor in patients who underwent acute MI. Additionally, we can recognize that segmental myocardial deformation is also important in predicting prognosis, especially in patients with regional myocardial injury due to acute MI.

REFERENCES

1. Ibanez B, James S, Agewall S, et al. 2017 ESC Guidelines for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with ST-segment elevation: the task force for the management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with ST-segment elevation of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). *Eur Heart J* 2018;39:119-77.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
2. O'Gara PT, Kushner FG, Ascheim DD, et al. 2013 ACCF/AHA guideline for the management of ST-elevation myocardial infarction: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association task force on practice guidelines. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2013;61:e78-140.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
3. Wang N, Hung CL, Shin SH, et al. Regional cardiac dysfunction and outcome in patients with left ventricular dysfunction, heart failure, or both after myocardial infarction. *Eur Heart J* 2016;37:466-72.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
4. Erbsøll M, Valeur N, Mogensen UM, et al. Prediction of all-cause mortality and heart failure admissions from global left ventricular longitudinal strain in patients with acute myocardial infarction and preserved left ventricular ejection fraction. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2013;61:2365-73.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
5. Erbsøll M, Valeur N, Mogensen UM, et al. Relationship between left ventricular longitudinal deformation and clinical heart failure during admission for acute myocardial infarction: a two-dimensional speckle-tracking study. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2012;25:1280-9.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
6. Bière L, Donal E, Terrien G, et al. Longitudinal strain is a marker of microvascular obstruction and infarct size in patients with acute ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. *PLoS One* 2014;9:e86959.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
7. Lee SH, Lee SR, Rhee KS, Chae JK, Kim WH. Usefulness of myocardial longitudinal strain in prediction of heart failure in patients with successfully reperfused anterior wall ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. *Korean Circ J* 2019;49:960-72.
[CROSSREF](#)
8. Møller JE, Hillis GS, Oh JK, Reeder GS, Gersh BJ, Pellikka PA. Wall motion score index and ejection fraction for risk stratification after acute myocardial infarction. *Am Heart J* 2006;151:419-25.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
9. Carluccio E, Tommasi S, Bentivoglio M, Buccolieri M, Prosciutti L, Corea L. Usefulness of the severity and extent of wall motion abnormalities as prognostic markers of an adverse outcome after a first myocardial infarction treated with thrombolytic therapy. *Am J Cardiol* 2000;85:411-5.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)
10. Park YH, Kang SJ, Song JK, et al. Prognostic value of longitudinal strain after primary reperfusion therapy in patients with anterior-wall acute myocardial infarction. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2008;21:262-7.
[PUBMED](#) | [CROSSREF](#)