

http://dx.doi.org/10.3346/jkms.2015.30.3.278 • J Korean Med Sci 2015; 30: 278-282

# Percutaneous Papillary Large Balloon Dilation during Percutaneous Cholangioscopic Lithotripsy for the Treatment of Large Bile-Duct Stones: A Feasibility Study

## Jee Young Han,<sup>1</sup> Seok Jeong,<sup>1,2</sup> and Don Haeng Lee<sup>1,2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Digestive Disease Center, Department of Internal Medicine, Inha University School of Medicine, Incheon; <sup>2</sup>National Center of Efficacy Evaluation for the Development of Health Products Targeting Digestive Disorders (NCEED), Incheon; <sup>3</sup>Utah–Inha DDS & Advanced Therapeutics Research Center, Incheon, Korea

Received: 2 July 2014 Accepted: 29 October 2014

Address for Correspondence: Seok Jeong, MD Digestive Disease Center, Department of Internal Medicine, Inha University Hospital, 27 Inhang-ro, Jung-gu, Incheon 400-711, Korea Tel: +82.32-890-2548, Fax: +82.32-890-2549 E-mail: inos@inha.ac.kr When access to a major duodenal papilla or endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography has failed, percutaneous transhepatic cholangioscopic lithotripsy (PTCS-L) may be useful for removing common bile duct (CBD) stones. However, the feasibility and usefulness of percutaneous transhepatic papillary large-balloon dilation (PPLBD) during PTCS-L for the removal of large CBD stones has not been established. We aimed to determine the safety and efficacy of PPLBD for the treatment of large CBD stones. Eleven patients with large CBD stones in whom the access to the major papilla or bile duct had failed were enrolled prospectively. Papillary dilation was performed using a large (12-20 mm) dilation balloon catheter via the percutaneous transhepatic route. Post-procedure adverse events and efficacy of the stone retrieval were measured. The initial success rate of PPLBD was 100%. No patient required a basket to remove a stone after PPLBD. Electrohydraulic lithotripsy was required in 2 (18.2%) patients. The median time to complete stone removal after PPLBD was 17.8 min and no adverse events occurred after PPLBD. Asymptomatic hyperamylasemia was not encountered in any patients. This study indicates that PPLBD is safe and effective for removal of large CBD stones.

Keywords: Choledocholithiasis; Dilatation; Balloon; Ampulla of Vater

# **INTRODUCTION**

It is difficult to remove common bile duct (CBD) stones sized 1 cm or more endoscopically by conventional endoscopic sphincterotomy (EST) alone. In such cases, mechanical lithotripsy, EST plus endoscopic papillary large balloon dilation (EPLBD), or other endoscopic options have been used. However, the peroral transpapillary endoscopic approach is unsuitable in some patients with CBD stones because of an altered gastrointestinal anatomy after Billroth II gastrectomy, a strictured upper gastrointestinal tract, or failed cannulation of the bile duct. Therefore, a percutaneous endoscopic approach would be considered rather than a surgical option, especially in high-risk elderly patients, despite the additional time required to perform endoscopy after percutaneous biliary drainage (1-4).

Recently percutaneous transhepatic papillary balloon dilation using a small-sized balloon catheter was reported as one of effective method in percutaneous transhepatic cholangioscopic lithotomy (PTCS-L) (5). However, the feasibility and usefulness of percutaneous transhepatic papillary large-balloon dilation (PPLBD) for the removal of large CBD stones has not been established.

In the current study, we evaluated the safety and efficacy of

percutaneous transhepatic papillary balloon dilation (PPLBD) for the treatment of large CBD stones in cases of failed endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) or inaccessible major duodenal papilla.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### Study design

Initially, we attempted to access the major duodenal papilla with a duodenoscope to perform therapeutic ERCP in all patients with large CBD stones and naïve papillae. These procedures were performed between September 2011 and August 2012 at a single tertiary referral center. Among patients with large CBD stones in whom the attempt to access the major duodenal papilla or bile duct had failed, poor surgical candidates or patients who refused to undergo a surgical operation were enrolled prospectively. Poor surgical candidates were defined as class IV or more in American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) classification.

A large CBD stone was defined as one with a maximum transverse-diameter in the CBD of 1 cm or more. The exclusion criteria applied were; pancreatic or biliary malignancy, benign biliary stricture, intrahepatic duct stone, previous papillary procedure, an age younger than 19-yr, a pregnant status, the use of a protease inhibitor or somatostatin within 2 days prior to the procedure, and pancreatitis.

### Interventions

Percutaneous transhepatic biliary drainage was performed with a 21G Chiba needle (Cook Medical, Bloomington, IN, USA). The right hepatic duct B5 or B6 branch was punctured under ultrasonographic guidance and then an 8.5 Fr pigtail catheter (Cook Medical) was located in the common bile duct. A guidewire was inserted percutaneously into the duodenum and one week later the tract was dilated with a Thal-Quick drainage catheter (Cook Medical). The final diameter of PTBD tract after dilation was about 6 mm. PTCS-L was performed under 5 mg midazolam and 25 mg meperidine hydrochloride (intravenous) sedation using a choledochoscope (CHF-P20Q; Olympus Optical Co Ltd, Tokyo, Japan) 1 week after tract dilation. A 0.035-inch of guidewire (Boston Scientific Corp., Natick, MA, USA) was advanced through the papilla and into the duodenum under the cholangioscopic guidance and a dilation balloon catheter (Boston Scientific Corp.) was inserted over the guidewire passed into the duodenal papilla. The papilla was dilated by inflating the balloon gradually up to 12 mm or more using an inflation device (Indeflator; Abbott, Santa Clara, CA, USA) for 60 sec (Fig. 1A, B); balloons ranged in diameter from 12 to 20 mm. A cholangiogram was obtained after dilation of papilla in order to determine whether or not the bile duct perforation had occurred (Fig. 1C).

The scope-pushing and/or saline-flushing methods were used

to facilitate stone removal through the dilated papilla. When the stone could not be retrieved using these methods after dilation of papilla, electrohydraulic lithotripsy (EHL), a stone basket, or some other options were used.

## Measurement of outcomes

The primary endpoint for the study was overall adverse event rate which included pancreatitis, perforation, bleeding, and cholangitis. Secondary endpoints included total procedure time, initial procedural success rate, number of sessions required to clear the CBD completely, use of EHL, and use of a basket. Total procedure time was defined as the time from scope insertion to complete stone removal after PPLBD. Procedure-related adverse events were evaluated by cholangioscopic examination or cholangiography to rule out the possibility of distal CBD or papilla perforation. Patients were evaluated by physical examination, plain abdominal X-ray, and blood chemistry 12 hr after the procedure.

### **Ethics statement**

This study was approved by the institutional review board of Inha University Hospital (11-48). Informed consent was obtained from all study subjects.

## RESULTS

Among patients with large CBD stones in whom access to major duodenal papilla or bile duct had failed, poor surgical can-



Fig. 1. Cholangiograms of CBD stone removal by percutaneous transhepatic papillary large-balloon dilation. (A) A large stone is visualized in the dilated common bile duct (arrow). (B) The papilla was percutaneously dilated by inflating a large-bored balloon catheter. (C) Image obtained after dilation showing spontaneously discharged stone through the dilated papilla.

#### Table 1. Baseline characteristics of patients

Patient number	Age	Sex	Disease entity	Stomach status	Maximum stone size (mm)	Stone number	Stone character	CBD diameter (mm)	Reason for percutaneous approach	Balloon diameter (mm)
1	60	Μ	CBD stone	Subtotal gastrectomy + B-II	14.3	1	Brown pigment	20	Failure of papilla access in peroral approach	15
2	76	Μ	CBD stone	Total gastrectomy	13.7	2	Brown pigment	21.3	Failure of papilla access in peroral approach	12
3	63	Μ	CBD stone	Total gastrectomy	14.2	1	Brown pigment	22.3	Failure of papilla access in peroral approach	16.5
4	74	F	CBD stone	Normal stomach	10.9	1	Brown pigment	23.1	Failure of selective BD cannulation	12
5	52	F	CBD stone	Normal stomach	15	1	Brown pigment	26.5	Failure of selective BD cannulation	15
6	54	Μ	CBD stone	Subtotal gastrectomy + B-II	10.6	1	Brown pigment	13.2	Failure of papilla access in peroral approach	12
7	74	Μ	CBD stone	Normal stomach	17.2	2	Black pigment	25.9	Failure of papilla access in peroral approach (duodenal stricture)	18
8	61	Μ	CBD stone	Total gastrectomy	12.7	2	Brown pigment	21.8	Failure of papilla access in peroral approach	13.5
9	82	Μ	CBD stone	Total gastrectomy	11	1	Brown pigment	20	Failure of papilla access in peroral approach	13.5
10	64	F	CBD stone	Subtotal gastrectomy + B-II	24.5	1	Brown pigment	26	Failure of papilla access in peroral approach	20
11	82	F	CBD stone	Subtotal gastrectomy + B-II	13.7	1	Brown pigment	17	Failure of papilla access in peroral approach	13.5
Median (ra	ange)				13.7 (10.6-24.5)			21.8 (13.2-26.5)		13.5 (12-20)

CBD, common bile duct; B-II, Billroth-II.

#### Table 2. Outcome parameters of PPLBD

Patient number	Number of session	Total procedure time after PPLBD (min)	Use of EHL	Use of basket	Method of stone removal after PPLBD
1	1	17.8	No	No	Direct scope-pushing
2	1	42.8	Yes	No	Saline-flushing & direct scope-pushing
3	1	18.5	No	No	Direct scope-pushing
4	1	18	No	No	Saline-flushing & direct scope-pushing
5	1	9	No	No	Direct scope-pushing
6	1	4	No	No	Nothing (naturally passing)
7	1	18.7	No	No	Saline-flushing & direct scope-pushing
8	1	14.2	No	No	Saline-flushing & direct scope-pushing
9	1	4.6	No	No	Saline-flushing & direct scope-pushing
10	1	9.5	No	No	Saline-flushing & direct scope-pushing
11	1	21.5	Yes	No	Saline-flushing & scope-pushing
Median (range)		17.8 min (4-42.8)			

PPLBD, percutaneous papillary large balloon dilation; EHL, electrohydraulic lithotripsy.

didates or patients who refused to undergo surgical operation were enrolled prospectively. The clinical characteristics of study subjects and stones are shown in Table 1. Median maximum stone diameter was 13.7 mm (10.6-24.5), median maximum CBD diameter was 21.8 mm (13.2-26.5), and median balloon diameter was 13.5 mm (12-20). No adverse event occurred after PPLBD in any of the patients.

Median total procedure time after PPLBD was 17.8 min (4-42). Complete stone clearance in a single session of PTCS-L was achieved in all patients (100%). In no patient was a basket needed to remove a stone after PPLBD. Two patients (18.2%) required electrohydraulic lithotripsy (EHL). Direct scope-pushing and saline-flushing methods were used for the stone removal after PPLBD (Table 2).

## **DISCUSSION**

PTCS-L is a useful method for treating CBD stones in patients with anatomical alterations or in whom selective bile duct cannulation fails, particularly in high-risk elderly patients. Since Ersoz et al. (6) first used a large diameter balloon catheter to dilate a papilla to remove difficult bile duct stones, several studies have demonstrated the efficacy and safety of EST plus EPLBD (7-12). However, little data is available on EPLBD without preceding sphincterotomy for the removal of large CBD stones (13, 14). In these previous series, endoscopic retrograde dilation of the major duodenal papilla using a large diameter of balloon catheter was suggested to be a safe and effective treatment for large CBD stones in terms of immediate and long-term clinical

#### outcomes.

When performing conventional PTCS-L for large CBD stones, stone-fragmentation procedures such as EHL or laser lithotripsy should be performed, and thus a basket may be required to retrieve fragmented stones. However, these procedures are laborious, take a long procedure time, and require accessory equipment. Recently, a report was issued on the use of a small balloon (maximum inflated diameter, 8 mm) for dilation of duodenal papillae through the percutaneous route to remove remnant stone fragments after mechanical lithotripsy (5), but, this still needs lithotripsy procedure. Accordingly, if PPLBD is found to be safe, its use could avoid the need for endoscopic accessories, shorten the procedure time, and be more convenient to endoscopists and patients.

Since non-surgical antegrade percutaneous removal of bile duct stones was first demonstrated (15), several studies have addressed antegrade papillary balloon dilation for the CBD stones (16, 17). However, in these studies, stones were relatively small and extraction balloon catheters were used to push out the stones into the duodenum. In the current study, only a cholangioscope was used to retrieve stones after PPLBD in most patients even though all stones were larger than 1 cm.

The mechanism or reason why the incidence of post-ERCP pancreatitis is low in PPLBD has not definitely been identified yet. However, anterograde approach could lower the post-ER-CP pancreatitis compared to retrograde approach because there is no risk of pancreatic duct insult caused by selective bile duct cannulation. Moreover, papillary balloon dilatation itself has known to be not associated with pancreatitis (18, 19).

Of the eleven patients enrolled in this study, two patients (18.2%) needed EHL after PPLBD because the balloon diameter selected for papillary dilation was smaller than the maximum stone diameter. The precise measurement of stone diameter is often difficult on the cholangiograms during PTCS-L. In order to overcome this limitation, endoscopists should select the largest possible balloon catheter (13).

Despite the single-arm nature and small sample size of the present study, it was conducted on a prospective basis. No major adverse event occurred even after percutaneous antegrade large-balloon dilation of the papilla. In addition, the rate of complete stone removal in the index PTCS-L was 100% in the present study.

The present study suggests that PPLBD may be a safe and effective treatment for large CBD stones more than 1 cm in patients with anatomical alterations or in whom selective bile duct cannulation fails, particularly in high-risk elderly patients who is a high operation risk candidates or who refused surgery. We suggest that a large scale of prospective, randomized, and multicenter study be taken to validate this technique as an option for removing large CBD stones. The authors have neither relevant financial relationships to disclose nor conflicts of interest to report.

# ORCID

Jee Young Han *http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5625-8444* Seok Jeong *http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6178-8338* 

## **REFERENCES**

- 1. Chen MF, Jan YY. Percutaneous transhepatic removal of common bile duct and intrahepatic duct stones with a fiberoptic choledochoscope. Gastrointest Endosc 1986; 32: 347-9.
- Jeng KS, Chiang HJ, Shih SC. Limitations of percutaneous transhepatic cholangioscopy in the removal of complicated biliary calculi. World J Surg 1989; 13: 603-10.
- Navarrete CG, Castillo CT, Castillo PY. Choledocholithiasis: percutaneous treatment. World J Surg 1998; 22: 1151-4.
- 4. Jeong EJ, Kang DH, Kim DU, Choi CW, Eum JS, Jung WJ, Kim PJ, Kim YW, Jung KS, Bae YM, et al. Percutaneous transhepatic choledochoscopic lithotomy as a rescue therapy for removal of bile duct stones in Billroth II gastrectomy patients who are difficult to perform ERCP. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2009; 21: 1358-62.
- Moon JH, Cho YD, Ryu CB, Kim JO, Cho JY, Kim YS, Lee JS, Lee MS, Shim CS. The role of percutaneous transhepatic papillary balloon dilation in percutaneous choledochoscopic lithotomy. Gastrointest Endosc 2001; 54: 232-6.
- 6. Ersoz G, Tekesin O, Ozutemiz AO, Gunsar F. Biliary sphincterotomy plus dilation with a large balloon for bile duct stones that are difficult to extract. Gastrointest Endosc 2003; 57: 156-9.
- 7. Bang S, Kim MH, Park JY, Park SW, Song SY, Chung JB. Endoscopic papillary balloon dilation with large balloon after limited sphincterotomy for retrieval of choledocholithiasis. Yonsei Med J 2006; 47: 805-10.
- Espinel J, Pinedo E, Olcoz JL. Large hydrostatic balloon for choledocolithiasis. Rev Esp Enferm Dig 2007; 99: 33-8.
- Heo JH, Kang DH, Jung HJ, Kwon DS, An JK, Kim BS, Suh KD, Lee SY, Lee JH, Kim GH, et al. Endoscopic sphincterotomy plus large-balloon dilation versus endoscopic sphincterotomy for removal of bile-duct stones. Gastrointest Endosc 2007; 66: 720-6; quiz 68, 71.
- Maydeo A, Bhandari S. Balloon sphincteroplasty for removing difficult bile duct stones. Endoscopy 2007; 39: 958-61.
- Minami A, Hirose S, Nomoto T, Hayakawa S. Small sphincterotomy combined with papillary dilation with large balloon permits retrieval of large stones without mechanical lithotripsy. World J Gastroenterol 2007; 13: 2179-82.
- 12. Attasaranya S, Cheon YK, Vittal H, Howell DA, Wakelin DE, Cunningham JT, Ajmere N, Ste Marie RW Jr, Bhattacharya K, Gupta K, et al. *Largediameter biliary orifice balloon dilation to aid in endoscopic bile duct stone removal: a multicenter series. Gastrointest Endosc 2008; 67: 1046-*52.
- Jeong S, Ki SH, Lee DH, Lee JI, Lee JW, Kwon KS, Kim HG, Shin YW, Kim YS. Endoscopic large-balloon sphincteroplasty without preceding sphinc-

terotomy for the removal of large bile duct stones: a preliminary study. Gastrointest Endosc 2009; 70: 915-22.

- 14. Chan HH, Lai KH, Lin CK, Tsai WL, Wang EM, Hsu PI, Chen WC, Yu HC, Wang HM, Tsay FW, et al. *Endoscopic papillary large balloon dilation alone without sphincterotomy for the treatment of large common bile duct stones. BMC Gastroenterol 2011; 11: 69.*
- 15. Mazzariello R. Removal of residual biliary tract calculi without reoperation. Surgery 1970; 67: 566-73.
- 16. Gil S, de la Iglesia P, Verdú JF, de España F, Arenas J, Irurzun J. *Effective*ness and safety of balloon dilation of the papilla and the use of an occlusion balloon for clearance of bile duct calculi. AJR Am J Roentgenol 2000;

174: 1455-60.

- Szulman C, Giménez M, Sierre S. Antegrade papillary balloon dilation for extrahepatic bile duct stone clearance: lessons learned from treating 300 patients. J Vasc Interv Radiol 2011; 22: 346-53.
- 18. Park SJ, Kim JH, Hwang JC, Kim HG, Lee DH, Jeong S, Cha SW, Cho YD, Kim HJ, Kim JH, et al. Factors predictive of adverse events following endoscopic papillary large balloon dilation: results from a multicenter series. Dig Dis Sci 2013; 58: 1100-9.
- 19. Kim JH, Yang MJ, Hwang JC, Yoo BM. *Endoscopic papillary large balloon dilation for the removal of bile duct stones. World J Gastroenterol* 2013; 19: 8580-94.