

# **HHS Public Access**

J Psychiatr Brain Sci. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2019 March 06.

Published in final edited form as:

Author manuscript

J Psychiatr Brain Sci. 2018; 3(4): . doi:10.20900/jpbs.20180007.

# Genetic Evidence for the Association between Schizophrenia and Breast Cancer

Jiajun Shi<sup>1</sup>, Lang Wu<sup>1</sup>, Wei Zheng<sup>1</sup>, Wanqing Wen<sup>1</sup>, Shuyang Wang<sup>1</sup>, Xiang Shu<sup>1</sup>, Jirong Long<sup>1</sup>, Chen-Yang Shen<sup>2</sup>, Pei-Ei Wu<sup>3</sup>, Emmanouil Saloustros<sup>4</sup>, Jenny Chang-Claude<sup>5,6</sup>, Hermann Brenner<sup>7,8,9</sup>, Xiao-Ou Shu<sup>1</sup>, and Qiuyin Cai<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Medicine, Division of Epidemiology, Vanderbilt Epidemiology Center, Vanderbilt-Ingram Cancer Center, Vanderbilt University School of Medicine, Nashville, TN 37203, USA;

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Biomedical Sciences, Academia Sinica, Taipei 11529, Taiwan;

<sup>3</sup>Taiwan Biobank, Academia Sinica, Taipei 11529, Taiwan;

<sup>4</sup>University hospital of Larisa, Larisa, 41110, Greece;

<sup>5</sup>Division of Cancer Epidemiology, German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg 69120, Germany;

<sup>6</sup>University Cancer Center Hamburg (UCCH), University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg 20246, Germany;

<sup>7</sup>Division of Clinical Epidemiology and Aging Research, German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg 69120, Germany;

<sup>8</sup>German Cancer Consortium (DKTK), German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ), Heidelberg 69120, Germany;

<sup>9</sup>Division of Preventive Oncology, German Cancer Research Center (DKFZ) and National Center for Tumor Diseases (NCT), Heidelberg 69120, Germany.

# Abstract

**Objective:** To estimate the potential effect of schizophrenia on breast cancer risk in women, we performed a two-sample Mendelian randomization (MR) study.

**Methods:** The instrumental variables comprised 170 uncorrelated and non-pleiotropic single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) that are significantly associated with schizophrenia risk in genome-wide association studies in 105,000 European descent individuals of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (http://www.med.unc.edu/pgc/) and the United Kingdom Clozapine Clinic.

Licensee Qingres, London, United Kingdom. This is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY 4.0, https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding Author: Qiuyin Cai. qiuyin.cai@vanderbilt.edu.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

J.S., L.W. and Q.C. designed the study. J.S. and L.W. undertook the statistical analyses. J.S. and L.W. wrote the first draft of the manuscript. All authors provided suggestions during the data analyses, participated in data interpretation, and critically reviewed and approved the final manuscript.

CONFLICT OF INTERESTS

None of the authors has conflicts of interests to declare.

The association between these SNPs determined schizophrenia and breast cancer risk was estimated in approximately 229,000 European descent females from the Breast Cancer Association Consortium using the inverse-variance weighted and the weighted median MR methods.

**Results:** We found that the genetically-predicted risk of schizophrenia was associated with increased breast cancer risk (under a random-effects model: odds ratio per 1 unit increase in log odds of schizophrenia = 1.04, 95% confidence interval: 1.02-1.06,  $p = 5.6 \times 10^{-5}$ ). Similar significant associations were observed in analyses using a weighted median model and sensitivity analysis excluding six SNPs with genotype imputation score of less than 0.8, as well as analyses stratified by estrogen receptor status of breast cancer.

**Conclusion:** Our findings implicate a modest increased risk for breast cancer in genetically determined schizophrenic females.

#### Keywords

breast cancer; schizophrenia; Mendelian randomization; instrumental variables; genome-wide association study

#### **1** INTRODUCTION

Observational studies have suggested an increased breast cancer risk in female subjects with schizophrenia (SCZ) <sup>[1–3]</sup>. Antipsychotic-induced hyperprolactinemia has been suggested as a risk factor for increased breast cancer risk in SCZ women, while other known breast cancer risk factors, including nulliparity, obesity, type-2 diabetes, alcohol dependence, smoking, and low physical activity, are more likely to be the cause of comorbidity <sup>[4]</sup>. However, it is unknown whether there is a genetic effect of SCZ on breast cancer risk.

Mendelian randomization (MR), a design that utilizes genetic variants as instrumental variables (IVs), could potentially be used to estimate the unconfounded effect of an exposure/risk factor on an outcome <sup>[5]</sup>. Compared to traditional epidemiologic methods, MR is less prone to confounding effects due to the random assortment of alleles at conception. Recent large-scale genome-wide association studies (GWAS) have identified multiple genetic variants associated with complex human traits or diseases including SCZ <sup>[6,7]</sup> and breast cancer <sup>[8–10]</sup>, which enable MR analysis by using such genetic variants as IVs with an increased statistical power to detect potential causal associations of exposure with an outcome <sup>[11–13]</sup>. Two-sample MR has become popular, as it exploits publicly available summary data of genetic instrument-exposure association and genetic instrument-outcome association in GWAS consortia from different samples of participants <sup>[14,15]</sup>.

To address potentially biased association between SCZ and breast cancer risk due to unmeasured confounders, we conducted a two-sample MR study by analyzing publicly accessible summary meta-analysis results of two SCZ GWAS data sets, the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (PGC2) <sup>[6]</sup> and the United Kingdom Clozapine Clinic (CLOZUK) <sup>[7]</sup>, and one breast cancer GWAS data set from the Breast Cancer Association Consortium(BCAC,http://apps.ccge.medschl.cam.ac.uk/consortia/bcac/) <sup>[10]</sup>. These studies represent the largest sample size GWAS to date for each of the diseases in European

descendants. The genetic effect of SCZ on breast cancer was further evaluated by estrogen receptor (ER) status of the cancer tissues.

#### 2 MATERIALS AND METHODS

Fig. 1 shows the overall design of our study regarding the process of selecting genetic instruments in the two-sample MR, the sources of summary genetic association data and the statistical models used.

#### 2.1 GWAS datasets for MR

Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) significantly associated with SCZ risk were identified from the most recent largest-scale GWAS combing association results of PGC2 and CLOZUK <sup>[6,7]</sup>. Summary associations of these SNPs with breast cancer risk were obtained from the latest GWAS by the BCAC <sup>[10]</sup>.

In the SCZ GWAS comprising 40,675 cases and 64,643 controls of European descendants, 179 common SNPs (minor allele frequency (MAF) > 0.01) at 145 distinct genomic loci were identified to be significantly associated with disease risk ( $p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ ) <sup>[7]</sup>. The summary association data for each of the 179 SNPs were downloaded from the Data Repository website (http://walters.psycm.cf.acuk/) of the Walters Group at the Cardiff University MRC Centre for Neuropsychiatric Genetics and Genomics. The sex-combined association summary statistics was selected as few sex-specific associated genetic variants have been reported <sup>[16]</sup>, and there are no suggested sex differences in SCZ prevalence <sup>[17]</sup>. To reduce potential violation of MR assumptions due to linkage disequilibrium (LD) of SNPs at a single locus <sup>[18]</sup>, independent SNPs with LD  $r^2$  of less than 0.1 were selected based on the genotypic data of 503 individuals of European ancestry from the 1000 Genomes Project phase 3 dataset. A total of 176 SNPs remained after removing three SNPs (rs66791238, rs199687649, and rs67439964) with  $r^2 > 0.1$  with another more significant index SNP located nearby (Supplementary Table S1).

The latest BCAC GWAS included 122,977 breast cancer cases and 105,974 controls of European ancestry from three datasets with different study designs and genotyping platforms (the OncoArray (http://bcac.ccge.medschl.cam.ac.uk/bcacdata/oncoarray/): 61,282 cases and 45,494 controls; the Collaborative Oncological Gene-Environment Study (iCOGS, http:// ccge.medschlcam.ac.uk/research/consortia/icogs/ ): 46,785 cases and 42,892 controls; and 11 other breast cancer GWAS: 14,910 cases and 17,588 controls) <sup>[10]</sup>. For the 176 uncorrelated SCZ associated SNPs, summary breast cancer association data were retrieved from combined samples from the BCAC database (http://bcac.ccge.medschl.cam.ac.uk/ bcacdata/oncoarray/gwas-icogs-and-oncoarray-summary-results/ ). To reduce distorted effects of genetic IVs, six horizontally pleiotropic SNPs (rs7632921, rs16902086, rs3130820, rs10650434, rs2905432, and rs17514846) identified from the Mendelian randomization pleiotropy residual sum and outlier (MR-PRESSO, https://githubcom/ rondolab/MR-PRESSO ) test <sup>[19]</sup> were further removed. Finally, a total of 170 SNPs were selected to estimate the effect of genetically determined SCZ on breast cancer risk. MR was also performed after excluding six SNPs with imputation quality score  $r^2$  of less than 0.8 in

the BACA controls. Characteristics and the summary association statistics of each of the SNPs are provided in Supplementary Table S1.

#### 2.2 Statistical analysis

Summary statistical data of SNP-SCZ association were first standardized with the effect allele of each SNP to be associated with increased SCZ risk. The corresponding dataset of SNP-breast cancer association were then harmonized through matching the effect alleles to be consistent with those in the exposure dataset. The SNP-exposure and SNP-outcome association datasets were then combined using the inverse-variance weighted (IVW) method <sup>[20]</sup>. This approach is based on the assumption that SNP-outcome associations are entirely mediated through the exposure factor, with the intercept of pleiotropic effect constrained at zero <sup>[12]</sup>. The resulting estimate effect of the exposure on the outcome is equal to the coefficient from a weighted regression of SNP-outcome on SNP-exposure association estimate, i.e., a random-effects meta-analysis of the ratio estimates from each SNP.

As previously described for the IVW method <sup>[20]</sup>, let *x* and *y* denote the exposure and outcome, respectively. The parameter *a* was used to quantify the causal effect of *x* on *y*. Let  $\gamma_i$  and  $\beta_i$  denote effect-size estimates of the *i*th SNP on *x* and *y*, respectively, and let *se* ( $\beta_i$ ) denote the standard error (*s.e*) of  $\beta_i$ . Then the MR estimate associated with the ith SNP is  $a_i = \beta_i \gamma_i$ , and the corresponding variance of this estimate is  $1 v_i = (s \cdot e \cdot (\beta_i)/\gamma_i)^2$ . The weight of the *i*th MR estimate of *a* is defined as  $w_i = 1/v_i$ . The IVW random-effects estimate is

 $\alpha_{random} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i w_i / \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i$  and the *s.e.* of the estimate is given by *s.e.* =  $\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i\right)^{-1/2}$ . A random-effects model was used in this study because multiple SNPs were included and the heterogeneity of effect size among these SNPs is most likely to exist. Cochran's *Q* statistical analysis was used to test heterogeneity and the  $I^2$  statistic was used to estimate the amount of heterogeneity <sup>[21]</sup>.

SCZ-breast cancer effect was also estimated using a weighted median method which allows up to 50% of genetic instruments to be invalid <sup>[22]</sup>. Finally, further MR was conducted to test the effect of SCZ on risk of ER-positive and ER-negative breast cancer.

The effect-sizes for each meta-analysis are reported as the odds ratios (ORs) describing the effect of SCZ on breast cancer risk (per genetically predicted 1-unit-higher log-odds of SCZ). A p < 0.05 was used to define statistical significance. All the MR analyses were conducted using the MR-PRESSO and MR-Base (http://www.mrbase.org/) "TwoSampleMR" packages <sup>[23]</sup> in R version 3.4.3 (http://www.r-project.org/).

### 3 RESULTS

Using the 170 SCZ-associated SNPs as instrumental variables, a significant association between genetically-predicted SCZ risk and risk of breast cancer was observed in women of European ancestry through the random-effects IVW MR (OR per 1 unit increase in log odds of SCZ: 1.04; 95% CI: 1.02–1.06;  $p = 5.6 \times 10^{-5}$ ) (Table 1). Similar overall breast cancer risk association estimates were obtained using the weighted median model (Table 1), as well as the sensitivity analysis excluding six SNPs with an imputation  $r^2 < 0.8$  (Table 2).

When breast cancer was stratified by ER status, significant associations of genetically predicted SCZ risk were detected with both ER-positive breast cancer and ER-negative breast cancer risk using the random-effects IVW or the weighted median models, with ORs ranging from 1.03 to 1.05 (Table 1). When six SNPs with an imputation  $r^2$  of < 0.8 were excluded, the identified associations remained for both ER-positive (IVW: OR = 1.04; 95% CI: 1.02–1.07) and ER-negative breast cancer (IVW: OR = 1.04; 95% CI: 1.01–1.07) (Table 2).

### 4 DISCUSSION

In this large-scale MR study in European female descendants, we estimated genetic influence of 170 independent non-pleiotropic SCZ-associated SNPs on breast cancer risk. Results from both the standard IVW random-effects and the weighted median models suggest a positive association between genetically determined SCZ and breast cancer risk. The association was also detected in both ER-positive and ER-negative breast cancer.

A meta-analysis of 16 observational studies in 427,843 patients with SCZ showed a 25% increased co-occurrence of breast cancer <sup>[2]</sup>. The most recent meta-analysis of 12 cohort studies with 125,760 female SCZ patients revealed a 31% increased breast cancer risk, although significant heterogeneity between studies existed <sup>[3]</sup>. Antipsychotic-induced hyperprolactinemia, nulliparity, obesity, type-2 diabetes and unhealthy lifestyle behaviors (e.g., smoking, alcohol dependence, and low physical activity) have been proposed for breast cancer risk factors in female patients with SCZ<sup>[4]</sup>; however, it is unknown what proportion of breast cancer risk variation is explained by these non-genetic factors. On the other hand, two recent studies suggested a nominally significant positive genetic correlation between SCZ and breast cancer (r = 0.14-0.16) <sup>[2425]</sup>. The horizontal pleiotropy of some genetic variants may account for this genetic association <sup>[20]</sup>. In this study, we excluded six pleiotropic SNPs through MR-PRESSO outlier tests and still detected a significant weak genetic association. The modest effect from our analyses may be true, due to the low incidence of breast cancer in schizophrenic females <sup>[1]</sup>, the low genetic correlation between these two diseases <sup>[24,25]</sup>, and the low proportion (29%) of genetic component of SCZ influencing breast cancer risk [25].

Our study has several strengths. First, this two-sample MR study, using publicly accessible summary statistics from the largest-scale SCZ GWAS <sup>[7]</sup> and breast cancer GWAS <sup>[10]</sup>, found a significant genetic influence of SCZ on breast cancer risk. Second, the influence of SCZ on breast cancer risk was observed for both ER-positive and ER-negative diseases (Tables 1 and 2), showing their possible common etiology link to genetically predicted SCZ. Third, results from the IVW random-effects and the weighted median models support a reliable estimate. Fourth, we excluded pleiotropic SNPs which potentially distorted the estimate in MR analyses.

There are also some potential limitations in the present study. First, as SCZ is a binary exposure, the estimated effect on breast cancer risk from the random-effects IVW MR may still be biased <sup>[26]</sup>, although significant pleiotropic SNPs have been excluded. A recently developed robust method, named as "MR G-Estimation under No Interaction with

Unmeasured Selection", can provide valid inferences for the average causal effect of binary exposure on binary outcome. However, this method requires individual level genotype data and externally estimated parameters for the underlying population in the context of casecontrol studies <sup>[27]</sup>. Second, the SNP-SCZ associations were based on analyses of combined sex and not for women only, and thus, potential population stratification may exist. However, population stratification (including that caused by sex difference) in each of the GWAS was controlled using principal components during SNP-SCZ association analyses <sup>[6,7]</sup>. Third, the underlying biological mechanisms of increased breast cancer risk in female SCZ patients remain unclear. A previously proposed hypothesis of antipsychotic-induced hyperprolactinemia as the cause for breast cancer has been shown to be inconclusive since hyperprolactinemia has also been observed in antipsychotic-naïve first-episode patients and even in prodromal stages, and several prolactin-elevating antipsychotics have been shown to have cancer-protection mechanisms <sup>[4]</sup>. On the other hand, enrichment of cell and tissue type-specific enhancers of SCZ-associated SNPs support a role for immune dysregulation <sup>[6]</sup>, while a similar dysfunctional immune system hypothesis has been proposed for the pathogenesis of breast cancer <sup>[28]</sup>. Further studies are warranted to clarify whether the SCZrelated immune system <sup>[6]</sup> or other biological mechanism(s) contribute to the development of breast cancer. Fourth, invalid or weak genetic instrumental variables may introduce biased effect in MR analyses since many of the SCZ GWAS-identified SNPs with association p < 5 $\times 10^{-8}$  from GWAS need to be further replicated in independent samples to avoid the winner's curse bias or inflated effect sizes. For example, among 108 SCZ-associated loci from PGC2, 15 have not reached genome-wide significance in the combined PGC2 and CLOZUK samples <sup>[7]</sup>. Fifth, results from the heterogeneity tests suggest a possible horizontal/ pleiotropic effect of the SCZ-associated SNPs, which could influence the effect size estimate. However, we excluded SNPs that are pleiotropic outlier SNPs using MR-PRESSO. In addition, LD score regression <sup>[29]</sup> with approximately 1,700 uncorrelated SNPs  $(r^2 < 0.1)$  across the genome that were associated with SCZ at  $p < 1.0 \times 10^{-4}$  in the PGC2 European participants ruled out a global pleiotropism between SCZ and breast cancer (posterior probability < 1%). Sixth, clinically observed increased incidence of breast cancer in female SCZ patients may be a result of surveillance bias. In other words, a closer clinical care of SCZ patients than other patients would possibly lead to an earlier diagnosis of breast cancer. MR approaches may not be able to deal with such bias. Seventh, it is unknown whether the MR detected SCZ-breast cancer association in European descendants could be generalizable to population of other ancestry. Lastly, MR analyses using genetic risk score method with individual genotype data and detailed breast cancer risk factors, such as nulliparity, obesity, type-2 diabetes, smoking, alcohol dependence, and low physical activity, are needed to clarify the genetic effect of SCZ on breast cancer.

#### **5 CONCLUSION**

Genetically determined schizophrenic females may have a modest increased risk for breast cancer.

#### **Supplementary Material**

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the PGC, CLOZUK and BCAC investigators for making the summary GWAS results publicly accessible, and BCAC investigators for providing the numbers of ER-positive and ER-negative breast cancer cases. We are grateful to Peter Kraft at the Department of Epidemiology in Harvard T. H. Chan School of Public Health and Paul Pharoah at the Department of Public Health and Primary Care in University of Cambridge for helpful suggestions and discussions. We thank Nancy Kennedy and Mary Shannon Byers, Division of Epidemiology, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, for their assistance in preparing the manuscript.

Funding for BCAC and iCOGS came from: Cancer Research UK (grant numbers C1287/A16563, C1287/A10118, C1287/A10710, C12292/ A11174, C1281/A12014, C5047/A8384, C5047/ A15007, C5047/A10692, C8197/ A16565), the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme (grant numbers 634935 and 633784 for BRIDGES and B-CAST respectively), the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme under grant agreement number 223175 (HEALTHF2-2009-223175) (COGS), The European Union (HEALTH-F2-2009-223175 and H2020 633784 and 634935). All BCAC studies and of Health (CA128978) and Post-Cancer GWAS initiative (1U19 CA148537, 1U19 CA148065-01 (DRIVE) and 1U19 CA148112- the GAME-ON initiative), the Department of Defence (W81XWH-10-1-0341), and the Canadian Institutes of Health Research CIHR) for the CIHR Team in Familial Risks of Breast Cancer (grant PSR-SIIRI-701). The breast cancer genomewide association analyses were supported by the Government of Canada through Genome Canada and the Canadian Institutes of Health Research, the 'Ministère de l'Économie, de la Science et de l'Innovation du Québec' through Genome Québec and grant PSR-SIIRI-701, The National Institutes of Health (U19 CA148065, X01HG007492), Cancer Research UK (C1287/A10118, C1287/A16563, C1287/A10710) and The European Union (HEALTH--2009-223175 and H2020 633784 and 634935). All BCAC studies and funders are listed in Michailidou et al [8-10]. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

## REFERENCES

- 1. Bushe CJ, Bradley AJ, Wildgust HJ, Hodgson RE. Schizophrenia and breast cancer incidence: a systematic review of clinical studies. Schizophr Res. 2009; 114: 6–16. [PubMed: 19695837]
- Catalá-LÓpez F, Suárez-Pinilla M, Suárez-Pinilla P, Valderas JM, GÓmez-Beneyto M, et al. Inverse and direct cancer comorbidity in people with central nervous system disorders: a meta-analysis of cancer incidence in 577,013 participants of 50 observational studies. Psychother Psychosom. 2014; 83: 89–105. [PubMed: 24458030]
- Zhuo C, Triplett PT. Association of Schizophrenia With the Risk of Breast Cancer Incidence: A Meta-analysis. JAMA Psychiatry. 2018; 75(4): 363–369. [PubMed: 29516094]
- De Hert M, Peuskens J, Sabbe T, Mitchell AJ, Stubbs B, et al. Relationship between prolactin, breast cancer risk, and antipsychotics in patients with schizophrenia: a critical review. Acta Psychiatr Scand. 2016; 133: 5–22. [PubMed: 26114737]
- Guo Y, Warren Andersen S, Shu XO, Michailidou K, Bolla MK et al. Genetically Predicted Body Mass Index and Breast Cancer Risk: Mendelian Randomization Analyses of Data from 145,000 Women of European Descent. PLoS Med. 2016; 13: e1002105. [PubMed: 27551723]
- Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium. Biological insights from 108 schizophrenia-associated genetic loci. Nature. 2014; 511: 421–427. [PubMed: 25056061]
- Pardiñas AF, Holmans P, Pocklington AJ, Escott-Price V, Ripke S, et al. Common schizophrenia alleles are enriched in mutation-intolerant genes and in regions under strong background selection. Nat Genet. 2018; 50: 381–389. [PubMed: 29483656]
- Michailidou K, Hall P, Gonzalez-Neira A, Ghoussaini M, Dennis J, et al. Large-scale genotyping identifies 41 new loci associated with breast cancer risk. Nat Genet. 2013; 45: 353–361, [PubMed: 23535729]
- Michailidou K, Beesley J, Lindstrom S, Canisius S, Dennis J, et al. Genome-wide association analysis of more than 120,000 individuals identifies 15 new susceptibility loci for breast cancer. Nat Genet. 2015; 47: 373–380. [PubMed: 25751625]
- Michailidou K, Lindström S, Dennis J, Beesley J, Hui S, et al. Association analysis identifies 65 new breast cancer risk loci. Nature. 2017; 551: 92–94. [PubMed: 29059683]
- Palmer TM, Lawlor DA, Harbord RM, Sheehan NA, Tobias JH, et al. Using multiple genetic variants as instrumental variables for modifiable risk factors. Stat Methods Med Res. 2012; 21: 223–242. [PubMed: 21216802]

- Burgess S, Butterworth A, Thompson SG. Mendelian randomization analysis with multiple genetic variants using summarized data. Genet Epidemiol. 2013; 37: 658–665. [PubMed: 24114802]
- Burgess S, Dudbridge F, Thompson SG. Combining information on multiple instrumental variables in Mendelian randomization: comparison of allele score and summarized data methods. Stat Med. 2016; 35: 1880–1906. [PubMed: 26661904]
- 14. Burgess S Using published data in Mendelian randomization: a blueprint for efficient identification of causal risk factors. Eur J Epidemiol. 2015; 30: 543–552. [PubMed: 25773750]
- Lawlor DA. Commentary: Two-sample Mendelian randomization: opportunities and challenges. Int J Epidemiol. 2016; 45: 908–915. [PubMed: 27427429]
- Goldstein JM, Cherkerzian S, Tsuang MT, Petryshen TL. Sex differences in the genetic risk for schizophrenia: history of the evidence for sex-specific and sex-dependent effects. Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet. 2013; 162B: 698–710. [PubMed: 24132902]
- McGrath J, Saha S, Chant D, Welham J. Schizophrenia: a concise overview of incidence, prevalence, and mortality. Epidemiol Rev. 2008; 30: 67–76. [PubMed: 18480098]
- Swerdlow DI, Kuchenbaecker KB, Shah S, Sofat R, Holmes MV, et al. Selecting instruments for Mendelian randomization in the wake of genome-wide association studies. Int J Epidemiol. 2016; 45: 1600–1616. [PubMed: 27342221]
- Verbanck M, Chen CY, Neale B, Do R. Detection of widespread horizontal pleiotropy in causal relationships inferred from Mendelian randomization between complex traits and diseases. Nat Genet. 2018; 50: 693–698. [PubMed: 29686387]
- Ahmad OS, Morris JA, Mujammami M, Forgetta V, Leong A, et al. A Mendelian randomization study of the effect of type-2 diabetes on coronary heart disease. Nat Commun. 2015; 6: 7060. [PubMed: 26017687]
- 21. Bowden J, Del Greco MF, Minelli C, Davey Smith G, Sheehan NA, et al. Assessing the suitability of summary data for two-sample Mendelian randomization analyses using MR-Egger regression: the role of the I2 statistic. Int J Epidemiol. 2016; 45: 1961–1974. [PubMed: 27616674]
- 22. Bowden J, Davey Smith G, Haycock PC, Burgess S. Consistent Estimation in Mendelian Randomization with Some Invalid Instruments Using a Weighted Median Estimator. Genet Epidemiol. 2016; 40: 304–314. [PubMed: 27061298]
- 23. Hemani G, Zheng J, Elsworth B, Wade KH, Haberland V, et al. The MR-Base platform supports systematic causal inference across the human phenome. eLife. 2018; 7.
- Lindström S, Finucane H, Bulik-Sullivan B, Schumacher FR, Amos CI, et al. Quantifying the Genetic Correlation between Multiple Cancer Types. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. 2017; 26: 1427–1435. [PubMed: 28637796]
- O'Connor LJ, Price AL. Distinguishing genetic correlation from causation across 52 diseases and complex traits. BioRxiv. 2017 Available from: http://biorxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/205435 doi: 10.1101/205435
- Bowden J, Vansteelandt S. Mendelian randomization analysis of case-control data using structural mean models. Stat Med. 2011; 30: 678–694. [PubMed: 21337362]
- Tchetgen Tchetgen E, Sun B, Walter S. The GENIUS Approach to Robust Mendelian Randomization Inference. arXiv: 170907779. 2017 Available from: https://arxiv.org/abs/ 1709.07779
- 28. Standish LJ, Sweet ES, Novack J, Wenner CA, Bridge C, et al. Breast cancer and the immune system. J Soc Integr Oncol. 2008; 6: 158–168. [PubMed: 19134448]
- Bulik-Sullivan BK, Loh PR, Finucane HK, Ripke S, Yang J, et al. LD Score regression distinguishes confounding from polygenicity in genome-wide association studies. Nat Genet. 2015; 47: 291–295. [PubMed: 25642630]



#### Fig. 1.

Flowchart depicting current Mendelian randomization analyses of effect of schizophrenia on breast cancer. The details of the genome-wide association studies from the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium (PGC2) and the United Kingdom Clozapine Clinic (CLOZUK) for SCZ and the Breast Cancer Association Consortium (BCAC) were previously described [7,10].

Author Manuscript

# Table 1.

Mendelian randomization estimates of the effect of SCZ on breast cancer risk in European descendants.

Breast cancer Method	OR (95% CI) <sup>a</sup>	p a	$p\_ ext{het}^{b}$	$I^{2b}$
Overall (122,977 cases and 105,974	controls)			
IVW-random	1.04 (1.02–1.06)	$5.6  imes 10^{-5}$	$2.3  imes 10^{-10}$	45%
Weighted Median	1.03 (1.01–1.06)	$9.2  imes 10^{-3}$	ı	ı
ER-positive (69,501 cases and 95,04	2 controls)			
IVW-random	1.04 (1.02–1.07)	$2.2  imes 10^{-4}$	$4.2  imes 10^{-7}$	39%
Weighted Median	1.03 (1.01–1.06)	0.019	ı	ı
ER-negative (21,468 cases and 100,	594 controls)			
IVW-random	1.04 (1.01–1.07)	$7.2  imes 10^{-3}$	0.032	17%
Weighted Median	1.05 (1.01–1.09)	0.026		
Abbreviations: SCZ: schizophrenia; O	R: odds ratio; CI: coi	nfidence inter	val; IVW, inve	rse-variance wei
<sup>a</sup> OR estimates of SCZ on breast cance	r based on the rando	m-effects IVV	V or the weigh	ted-median MR.
bHeterogeneity test for causal ratio es	timates of all 170 sele	ected genetic	instrumental v	ariables.

Author Manuscript

Mendelian randomization estimates of the effect of SCZ on breast cancer risk using single nucleotide polymorphisms with imputation quality score  $(r^2) > 0.8$  in breast cancer controls.

Breast cancer Method	OR (95% CI)a	p a	$p_{-}het^{b}$	$q^{zI}$
Overall (122,977 cases and 105,97	<sup>1</sup> 4 controls)			
IVW-random	1.04 (1.02–1.06)	$1.7  imes 10^{-4}$	$2.5  imes 10^{-10}$	46%
Weighted Media	m 1.03 (1.01–1.06)	$9.8  imes 10^{-3}$	ı	
ER-positive (69,501 cases and 95,	042 controls)			
IVW-random	1.04 (1.02–1.07)	$4.4 \times 10^{-4}$	$2.6  imes 10^{-7}$	40%
Weighted Media	n 1.04 (1.01–1.06)	0.021	ı	ı
ER-negative (21,468 cases and 100	0,594 controls)			
IVW-random	1.04 (1.01–1.07)	0.016	0.028	18%
Weighted Media	n 1.04 (0.99–1.08)	0.076		
Abbreviations: SCZ: schizophrenia;	OR: odds ratio; CI: co	nfidence inter	val; IVW, inve	rse-varia
<sup>a</sup> OR estimates of SCZ on breast can	icer based on the rando	m-effects IVV	V or the weigh	ted-medi
$^{b}_{ m Heterogeneity}$ test for causal ratio $^{c}$	estimates of 164 geneti	c instrumenta	l variables wit	h genoty <sub>l</sub>