1	Oral Nirmatrelvir and Ritonavir in Non-hospitalized Vaccinated Patients with Covid-19
2	
3 4 5	Sarju Ganatra MD ^{1*} , Sourbha S. Dani, MD, MSc, ^{1*} Javaria Ahmad, MD ¹ , Ashish Kumar, MD ² , Jui Shah, MD ¹ , George M. Abraham, MD, MPH ³ , Daniel P. McQuillen, MD ⁵ , Robert M. Wachter, MD ⁵ , Paul E. Sax, MD ⁶
6	*Authors have contributed equally.
7	
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Division of Cardiovascular Medicine, Department of Medicine, Lahey Hospital and Medical Center, Beth Israel Lahey Health, Burlington, MA, USA Department of Medicine, Cleveland Clinic Akron General, Akron, OH, USA Division of Infectious Disease, Department of Medicine, Saint Vincent Hospital, Worcester, MA, USA Division of Infectious Disease, Department of Medicine, Lahey Hospital and Medical Center, Beth Israel Lahey Health, Burlington, MA, USA Department of Medicine, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA Division of Infectious Disease, Department of Medicine, Brigham and Women's Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA
21	Corresponding author:
22 23	Sarju Ganatra, MD
24	Landsman Heart and Vascular Center
25	Division of Cardiovascular Medicine
26	Lahey Hospital and Medical Center
27	Beth Israel Lahey Health
28	41 Mall Road, Burlington, MA 01805, USA
29	Email: <u>Sarju.Ganatra@Lahey.org</u>
30 31	Twitter: SarjuGanatraMD
32	Running title: Paxlovid in Post-Vaccination Covid-19

1 Abstract

2 Background

3 Treatment of coronavirus disease-2019 (Covid-19) with nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir (NMV-r) in

4 high-risk non-hospitalized unvaccinated patients reduced the risk of progression to severe

5 disease. However, the potential benefits of NMV-r among vaccinated patients are unclear.

6 Methods

We conducted a comparative retrospective cohort study using the TriNetX research network.
Patients ≥18 years of age who were vaccinated and subsequently developed Covid-19 between
December 1, 2021, and April 18, 2022, were included. Cohorts were developed based on the use
of NMV-r within five days of diagnosis. The primary composite outcome was all-cause
emergency room (ER) visit, hospitalization, or death at a 30-days follow-up. Secondary
outcomes included individual components of primary outcomes, multisystem symptoms, Covid19 associated complications, and diagnostic test utilization.

14 **Results**

After propensity score matching, 1,130 patients remained in each cohort. A primary composite outcome of all-cause ER visits, hospitalization, or death in 30 days occurred in 89 (7.87%) patients in the NMV-r cohort as compared to 163 (14.4%) patients in the non-NMV-r cohort (OR 0.5, CI 0.39-0.67; p<0.005) consistent with 45% relative risk reduction. A significant reduction in multisystem symptom burden and subsequent complications such as lower respiratory tract infection, cardiac arrhythmia, and diagnostic radiology testing were noted in NMV-r treated patients. There was no apparent increase serious complications between days 10 to 30.

1 Conclusion

- 2 Treatment with NMV-r in non-hospitalized vaccinated patients with Covid-19 was associated
- 3 with a reduced likelihood of emergency room visits, hospitalization, or death. Complications and
- 4 overall resource utilization were also decreased.
- 5
- 6 Keywords
- 7 Nirmatrelvir plus Ritonavir (NMV-r), Paxlovid, Covid-19, Vaccination, Rebound symptoms
- 8
- 9

1 Introduction:

2 As cases of coronavirus disease-2019 (Covid-19) continue to increase globally, antiviral agents 3 may play an increasingly important role in reducing the severity of illness. Currently approved outpatient management options include the antivirals nirmately plus ritonavir (NMV-r),[1] 4 5 molnupiravir, [2] remdesivir, [3] and the monoclonal antibody bebtelovimab. [4] A major advantage of NMV-r and molnupiravir is oral administration. In clinical trials among 6 7 unvaccinated high-risk people with Covid-19, both agents significantly reduced the risk of hospitalization or death compared to placebo. Because NMV-r was associated with a greater 8 9 reduction in the primary endpoint (89% vs. 30%)[1,2] than molnupiravir and lacks molunpiravir's association with teratogenicity and mutagenicity, treatment guidelines list NMV-r 10 as the preferred outpatient therapy for patients at high risk of progressing to severe disease.[5] 11 Importantly, this recommendation to use NMV-r in high-risk people with mild-to-moderate 12 Covid-19 applies to both vaccinated and unvaccinated patients, even though data on the efficacy 13 of the drug in vaccinated patients are incomplete. An interim analysis of a study in standard-risk 14 patients demonstrated a trend toward improved clinical outcomes;[6] however, this study has 15 been subsequently modified to exclude people who are vaccinated, and also stopped early due to 16 failure to meet its primary endpoint.[7,8] 17

To close this data gap, we sought real-world experience with NMV-r in vaccinated people with Covid-19. With approximately 75% of the United States population \geq 12 years of age vaccinated [9] and close to a million courses of NMV-r prescribed to both vaccinated and unvaccinated people,[10] this is an important clinical question. In addition, with increased anecdotal reports of rebound of both symptoms and antigen test positivity after treatment,[11] we wanted to investigate whether follow-up of treated patients would show evidence of reduced benefits. To

address the knowledge gaps about the role of NMV-r in the treatment of vaccinated patients with
 Covid-19, we took advantage of electronic health records (EHR) based, curated real-world data
 of the TriNetX research network.[12]

4

5 Methods

6 Study Oversight

Data were analyzed and interpreted by the authors. All authors reviewed the manuscript and
affirmed the accuracy and completeness of the data. Institutional review board (IRB) approval
was exempted by Lahey Clinic IRB, given aggregate de-identified data was used from a research
network database. These study findings are reported per the Strengthening the Reporting of
Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) guideline for cohort studies.

12 Data Source

We utilized the TriNetX Analytics Network database - Research Network. TriNetX is a 13 multicenter federated health research network aggregating anonymized data from electronic 14 health records (EHRs) from participating healthcare organizations, including academic medical 15 centers, specialty physician practices, and community hospitals covering ~250+ million patients 16 from more than 120 health care organizations (HCO). The research network contains data on >88 17 million patients from 59 HCO. While the data are in aggregate de-identified form, the built-in 18 analytics allow for the generation of patient-level data for cohort selection and matching, 19 20 analyzing incidence and prevalence of events in a cohort, and comparing characteristics and 21 outcomes between matched cohorts. More information on the database can be found online.[12]

1 Study Population and Design

2 TriNetX research network was searched, and data curation was performed on May 22, 2022. We 3 conducted a comparative retrospective cohort study, including. non-hospitalized patients ≥ 18 4 years of age who were vaccinated and subsequently developed Covid-19 at least one month after 5 vaccination and between December 1, 2021, and April 18, 2022. Key exclusion criteria were 6 treatment with a monoclonal antibody, convalescent plasma, or molnupiravir for the index case 7 of Covid-19. Patients were further categorized based use of NMV-r within five days of diagnosis. Validated diagnostic, procedure, and laboratory codes were utilized to define the 8 vaccination status and Covid-19 diagnosis. Patients with NMV-r were identified using the 9 National Library of Medicine RxNorm terminology. The Supplementary Appendix provides 10 additional inclusion and exclusion criteria and information. Cohorts were matched using 11 propensity score matching (PSM), a technique that attempts to adjust for confounding by 12 selecting a control sample from the untreated population as similar as possible to the treatment 13 group. Primary and secondary outcomes were analyzed 30-days after the index diagnosis of 14 Covid-19 in the control cohort or after initiation of NMV-r in the treatment cohort. 15

16 Study Endpoints

17 Primary Composite Endpoint

18 The primary composite endpoint of this study was all-cause emergency room (ER) visits,19 hospitalization, or death at a 30-days follow-up.

20 Secondary endpoints

- 21 Secondary endpoints included individual components of composite primary endpoints: all-cause
- 22 ER visits, hospitalization, and death.

Additionally, pre-specified secondary outcomes included the prevalence of various systemic and nonspecific symptoms (constitutional, cardio-respiratory, gastrointestinal, nervous system and musculoskeletal symptoms, smell-taste alteration), systemic complications (cardiovascular, respiratory, gastrointestinal, mood disorders), and diagnostic testing utilization (radiologic diagnostic tests, cardiovascular diagnostic tests [echocardiogram and heart rhythm monitors]) within 30 days of diagnosis of Covid-19. These outcomes were identified based on the ICD-10 codes. (Supplemental Appendix)

8 Finally, to explore rebound or prolonged Covid-19 symptoms or complications, we assessed all
9 outcomes between 10 to 30-days following the diagnosis of Covid-19 or initiation of NMV-r.

10 Statistical Analysis

11 Non-hospitalized vaccinated patients who subsequently developed Covid-19 were divided into two cohorts based on their use of NMV-r within five days of diagnosis: NMV-r and non-NMV-r 12 cohorts. We compared the cohorts using independent-sample t-tests for continuous variables, 13 which are reported as mean (range). Categorical variables are reported as counts (%) and 14 15 compared using the Chi-square (χ^2) test. To control for baseline differences in the patient cohorts, 1:1 PSM was performed for characteristics of clinical relevance leveraging a built-in 16 algorithm that uses the greedy nearest-neighbor algorithm with a caliper of 0.1 pooled standard 17 18 deviations. Any characteristic with a standardized mean difference between cohorts lower than 19 0.1 was considered well-matched. After propensity matching, odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals were calculated for primary and secondary outcomes using the χ^2 for the measures of 20 21 association. Relative risk reduction was calculated as the division of the absolute risk reduction between the treatment (NMV-r) and control (non-NMV-r) cohorts by the absolute risk of the 22 23 control group. The survival analysis was performed by plotting Kaplan-Meier curves with logrank tests and calculating hazard ratio (HR) to compare the two cohorts. Statistical significance
 was set at a two-sided p-value <0.05. Statistical analyses were completed using the TriNetX
 online platform using R for statistical computing.

As a sensitivity analysis, we measured the E-value, a measure to check for robustness against bias from unmeasured confounding or omitted covariates in the observational studies, for both primary and secondary outcomes.[13] A higher E value implies a stronger unmeasured confounder would be needed to negate the effect estimate for the covariate and increases the likelihood of causality.

- 9
- 10 **Results**

11 Study Population

12 A total of 111,588 non-hospitalized vaccinated patients with Covid-19 were identified during the 13 study period. Of the total vaccinated, 1,131 patients received NMV-r within five days of the 14 diagnosis, and 110,457 did not receive NMV-r. After PSM, 1,130 patients remained in each 15 cohort and were included in our study (Figure 1).

16 **Patient characteristics**

Baseline characteristics of patients are as in Table 1. Patients treated with NMV-r were older (mean age 57.6±16.3 vs. 49.3±17.6 years; SD 0.485). Females comprised 63% of the study population. African-Americans were 9.7% in the NMV-r cohort vs. 17.8% in the non-NMV-r group before propensity matching. Patients receiving NMV-r were predominantly White adults (81.9%). Furthermore, patients on NMV-r had a higher prevalence of cardiovascular risk factors, established CVD (and be on medications for CVD), neoplasms, and chronic lower respiratory disease. However, after PSM, baseline characteristics in the two groups were similar, and no
 residual imbalance was found (standard difference <0.1 for included covariates).

3 Study Outcomes

4 **Primary Outcome**

A primary composite outcome of all-cause ER visits, hospitalization, or death in 30 days
occurred in 89 (7.87%) patients in the NMV-r cohort and 163 (14.4%) patients in the non-NMVr cohort (OR 0.5, CI 0.39-0.67; p<0.005), consistent with 45% relative risk reduction (Table 2,
Figure 3). Furthermore, patients on NMV-r had a higher probability of event-free survival at 30days (88.15% vs. 84.16%, HR 0.67 (CI 0.52, 0.87); p=0.002) (Figure 2).

The E value of the Odds ratio of the primary outcome was 3.36 and the lower confidence interval
was 2.37, both of which supported stronger association of NMV-r treatment leading to these
observed differences in outcomes.

13 Secondary Outcomes

All-cause ER visits (82 vs. 142, OR 0.55, CI 0.41-0.73, p<0.05) and hospitalization (10 vs. 23), 14 OR 0.43, CI 0.2-0.9; p=0.02) were significantly lower in Covid-19 patients who received NMV-15 r. Ten deaths were noted, all in the non-NMV-r cohort, whereas no deaths occurred (p<0.05) in 16 the group receiving NMV-r (Table 2). Patients on NMV-r had fewer constitutional, cardio-17 respiratory, gastrointestinal, nervous, and musculoskeletal symptoms. No significant difference 18 was noted in reported smell-taste alteration between the two cohorts. Overall, systemic 19 20 complications, such as lower respiratory tract infections, arrhythmias, and anxiety/mood disorders, were seen less frequently in the NMV-r cohort than in the non-NMV-r cohort. No 21 difference was noted in the occurrence of gastroenteritis, colitis, or diarrhea. Further, patients 22

receiving NMV-r had lower utilization of radiologic diagnostic testing than those who did not
 receive NMV-r. Cardiovascular diagnostic testing was similar in both cohorts (Table 2, Figure
 3).

Sensitivity analysis with E-values is reported in Table 2, suggesting stronger association of
NMV-r on observed outcomes and a low likelihood that differences in the outcomes are due to
unmeasured confounders.

An exploratory secondary analysis of outcomes between 10 to 30-days following the diagnosis 7 of Covid-19 or NMV-r initiation showed that patients in the NMV-r cohort continued to have 8 9 overall fewer symptoms and complications (Table 3). Overall symptom burden was reduced in both the cohorts over time and became similar for nervous, musculoskeletal, and constitutional 10 symptoms. However, cardio-respiratory and gastrointestinal symptoms, anxiety/mood disorder, 11 and all-cause ER visits, hospitalization, or death remained lower in the NMV-r cohort at 30 days 12 (Table 3). In addition, the occurrence of smell-taste alteration, which was similar in both the 13 cohorts for the entire 30-days follow-up, was significantly less frequent in the NMV-r cohort 14 between 10 to 30-days follow-up. 15

16

17 Discussion

In vaccinated, non-hospitalized patients with Covid-19, our real-world data demonstrate a strong
association between treatment with nirmatrelvir with ritonavir (NMV-r) and improved outcomes.
The study shows that when NMV-r was administered within five days of Covid-19 diagnosis,
there was a 45% relative risk reduction in the occurrence of subsequent emergency room (ER)
visits, hospitalizations, or deaths compared to a group receiving no treatment. We also report

1 reduced symptom burden (constitutional, cardio-respiratory, gastrointestinal, nervous system, 2 and musculoskeletal symptoms) and complications such as lower respiratory tract infection or 3 cardiac arrhythmia. While a virologic rebound is known to occur in some treated patients,[11] 4 our findings demonstrate that, even if a rebound did occur in some, it did not negate the benefit of NMV-r treatment. Indeed, we found no late increase in complications among those with 5 treatment compared to no treatment, although our study likely would have missed cases of 6 7 transient or mild rebound occurring between 10 and 30 days after diagnosis. As we await further prospective data on NMV-r, our data strongly support the clinical effectiveness of NMV-r in 8 vaccinated patients and the current NIH guidelines [5] listing this as the preferred therapy for 9 mild-moderate Covid-19 in those at high risk of severe disease. 10

EPIC-HR (Evaluation of Protease Inhibition for Covid-19 in High-Risk Patients) compared 11 12 NMV-r to placebo in unvaccinated, non-hospitalized adults with mild-moderate Covid-19 at high risk for progression to severe disease.[1] This randomized controlled trial also excluded people 13 with a known prior history of Covid-19. The study demonstrated an 89% reduction in the risk of 14 15 hospitalization or death with NMV-r compared to placebo, with 0 vs. 7 deaths, respectively. Given this high efficacy, NMV-r was granted Emergency Use Authorization (EUA) in the 16 United States in December 2021 for treatment of mild-moderate Covid-19 in people at high risk 17 of severe disease.[14] 18

Since the EUA, clinicians have prescribed NMR-r for millions of individuals, many of whom are vaccinated, or have a prior history of Covid-19, or both. Since this group with pre-existing immunity to Covid-19 typically experiences milder disease than those who are immunologically naïve,[15,16] whether NMV-r would lead to comparable benefits in this population with other risk factors for severe disease remains unknown and motivated this analysis. An interim

evaluation of NMV-r in lower-risk individuals (including some who were vaccinated) failed to
demonstrate a benefit in the primary outcome of time to symptom resolution, prompting the
cessation of this study.[6,8] An ongoing randomized study of NMV-r in the United Kingdom is
also evaluating efficacy in both vaccinated and unvaccinated people with Covid-19.[17] These
two studies will provide more precise estimates of the benefits of this treatment in various patient
populations.

Differences between the study population of EPIC-HR and the present analysis include older age
(57 vs. 46 years) and a higher proportion of females (62-63% vs. 48-49%) in this study. Our data
captured a higher proportion of White adults, possibly reflecting differences in access to
healthcare in the United States. Our study also had a higher burden of comorbid conditions,
which is likely due to the specifics of the EUA for NMV-r, which specify inclusion of only
people at high-risk of progression to severe disease.[1]

While the 45% relative risk reduction in an all-cause ER visit, hospitalization, or death in those 13 who received NMV-r is lower than the 89% reported in EPIC-HR, this result still implies 14 substantial clinical benefits over and above those provided by vaccination. These are further 15 reflected in our secondary outcomes, with a 72% relative risk reduction in the subsequent 16 17 development of pneumonia and 50% reduction in arrhythmia in patients treated with NMV-r. Furthermore, treatment was associated with fewer clinical complaints at 30-days, specifically 18 cardio-respiratory, gastrointestinal, nervous system, and musculoskeletal and constitutional 19 symptoms. With lower rates of these complications, not surprisingly, we also observed additional 20 evidence of reduced resource utilization, with a significant relative reduction in diagnostic 21 22 radiology testing (45%) in NMV-r treated patients.

While reports of rebound were unusual in the controlled trial, in real-life use, there have been many reports of Covid-19 symptoms rebounds several days after completing the 5-day therapy with NMV-r.[11] Our analysis does not have sufficiently detailed patient-level data to describe the frequency of such relapses, especially if mild in clinical severity. However, follow-up between 10 to 30 days in our study continues to show the benefits of treatment, implying that such relapses, when they occur, rarely precipitate emergency room visits, hospitalization, or death.

Patients with Covid-19 commonly report alterations in smell and taste. A different phenomenon
is the taste disturbance associated with NMV-r treatment, reported in 6% of study participants in
the EPIC-HR trial (and, anecdotally, more commonly in real-life use). Our study found EHR
documentation of smell-taste alteration in < 1% of patients, with similar fractions in the two
cohorts. Notably, reports of gastrointestinal symptoms were significantly lower within the NMVr cohort.

Our study has several limitations. Most importantly, despite our efforts to carefully control for 14 baseline differences in the treated versus non-treated populations using propensity matching, 15 unmeasured confounding could influence the outcomes. Hence, we performed a sensitivity 16 17 analysis, the results of which indicate that the findings are highly unlikely to be due to an unmeasured confounder. Retrospective data curated from an EHR are not always accurate, 18 although we did have access to more-objective laboratory testing results. It is possible that 19 clinical data, including receipt of vaccines or clinical outcomes, could have occurred in some 20 patients outside of participating healthcare organizations in this research network. If so, such 21 22 patients may have been misclassified. However, this limitation presumably would apply to both the treated and untreated groups. Unlike the EPIC-HR study, which addressed hospitalizations 23

1 directly related to Covid-19, here we assessed all-cause hospitalization, ER visits, and mortality 2 rather than a cause-specific outcome. It is possible that these outcomes may have occurred in 3 some patients due to non-Covid-related illnesses, though even in clinical practice it can be 4 difficult to assess whether Covid-19 contributes to hospitalization or is an incidental finding, especially in patients with medical comorbidities in whom viral infections are known to 5 precipitate medical instability. Since ER visits may be influenced by primary care access, and in 6 7 some cases may have been where patients received prescriptions for NMV-r, our sensitivity analysis looking at only hospitalization or death showed a comparable benefit of NMV-r. 8

In summary, this evaluation of NMV-r in vaccinated patients at high risk for Covid-19
complications shows a strong association between treatment and a reduced risk of emergency
room visits, hospitalizations, and death. With cases of Covid-19 continuing to occur despite
widespread vaccination, these data support administering antiviral therapy to this vulnerable
group, vaccination status notwithstanding. Ongoing prospective clinical trials of NMV-r in a
variety of patient populations will more precisely define the benefits and risks of treatment.

15

16 NOTES

17 Acknowledgments: None

18 Funding: None

Disclosures: None. GMA reports payment for expert testimony (10000/year) and is the
immediate Past-President of American College of Physicians. RMW reports that he is a member
of the Lucian Leape Institute of the Institute for Healthcare Improvement (no compensation
except travel expenses); receives a yearly stipend for serving on the board of directors of The

1 Doctors Company; serves on board of directors of Second Wave Delivery Solution (for which he receives stock options) and the scientific advisory boards for Teladoc a large telemedicine 2 provider (ended 2021), Amino.com, Curai Health, and EarlySense (stock options); consults with 3 Commure (stipend and stock options), Forward (stock options), and Notable (stock options); 4 received honoraria as a speaker at conferences for many (>150) healthcare organizations, 5 6 medical societies, hospitals (vast majority non-profit; for-profit entities since 2017 include Nuance, GE, Health Catalyst, AvaCare, Siemens, and Voalte)); has given >200 talks (a few to 7 for-profit entities including Nuance, GE, Health Catalyst, Siemens, AvaCare and the Governance 8 Institute) for which he has received honoraria; and holds the Benioff Endowed Chair in Hospital 9 Medicine from Marc and Lynne Benioff and the Holly Smith Distinguished Professorship in 10 Science and Medicine at UCSF. 11

1	References:
1	References :

2	1. Hammond J, Leister-Tebbe H, Gardner A, et al. Oral Nirmatrelvir for High-Risk,
3	Nonhospitalized Adults with Covid-19. N Engl J Med 2022; 386:1397–1408.
4	2. Jayk Bernal A, Gomes da Silva MM, Musungaie DB, et al. Molnupiravir for Oral
5	Treatment of Covid-19 in Nonhospitalized Patients. N Engl J Med 2022; 386:509–520.
6	3. Gottlieb RL, Vaca CE, Paredes R, et al. Early Remdesivir to Prevent Progression to Severe
7	Covid-19 in Outpatients. N Engl J Med 2022; 386:305–315.
8	4. Commissioner O of the. Coronavirus (COVID-19) Update: FDA Authorizes New
9	Monoclonal Antibody for Treatment of COVID-19 that Retains Activity Against Omicron
10	Variant. FDA, 2022. [Press Release] Available at: https://www.fda.gov/news-events/press-
11	announcements/coronavirus-covid-19-update-fda-authorizes-new-monoclonal-antibody-
12	treatment-covid-19-retains. Accessed 30 May 2022.
13	5. Nonhospitalized Adults: Therapeutic Management. Available at:
14	https://www.covid19treatmentguidelines.nih.gov/management/clinical-
15	management/nonhospitalized-adultstherapeutic-management/. Accessed 30 May 2022.
16	6. Pfizer Announces Additional Phase 2/3 Study Results Confirming Robust Efficacy of
17	Novel COVID-19 Oral Antiviral Treatment Candidate in Reducing Risk of Hospitalization or
18	Death Pfizer. [Press Release] Available at: https://www.pfizer.com/news/press-release/press-
19	release-detail/pfizer-announces-additional-phase-23-study-results. Accessed 30 May 2022.
20	7. Pfizer. An Interventional Efficacy And Safety, Phase 2/3, Double-Blind, 2 Arm Study To
21	Investigate Orally Administered Pf 07321332/Ritonavir Compared With Placebo In

1	Nonhospitalized S	ymptomatic	Adult Participan	ts With Covid-19	Who Are At Lo	w Risk Of

2 Progressing To Severe Illness. clinicaltrials.gov, 2022. Available at:

3 https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT05011513. Accessed 26 May 2022.

- 4 8. Pfizer Reports Additional Data on PAXLOVIDTM Supporting Upcoming New Drug
- 5 Application Submission to U.S. FDA | Pfizer. [Press Release] Available at:
- 6 https://www.pfizer.com/news/press-release/press-release-detail/pfizer-reports-additional-data-
- 7 paxlovidtm-supporting. Accessed 6 August 2022.

8 9. CDC. COVID Data Tracker. 2020. Available at: https://covid.cdc.gov/covid-data-tracker.

- 9 Accessed 22 May 2022.
- 10 10. COVID-19 Therapeutics Thresholds, Orders, and Replenishment by Jurisdiction |
- 11 HHS/ASPR. Available at: https://aspr.hhs.gov:443/COVID-
- 12 19/Therapeutics/Orders/Pages/default.aspx. Accessed 31 May 2022.
- 13 11. HAN Archive 00467 | Health Alert Network (HAN). 2022. Available at:
- 14 https://emergency.cdc.gov/han/2022/han00467.asp. Accessed 6 August 2022.

15 12. admin. Company Overview. Available at: https://trinetx.com/about-trinetx/community/.
16 Accessed 6 August 2022.

17 13. VanderWeele TJ, Ding P. Sensitivity Analysis in Observational Research: Introducing the
18 E-Value. Ann Intern Med 2017; 167:268–274.

1	14.	Paxlovid EUA	HHS/ASPR.	Available at:	https://aspr.l	hhs.gov:443/COVID-
---	-----	--------------	-----------	---------------	----------------	--------------------

2 19/Therapeutics/Products/Paxlovid/Pages/emergency-use-authorization.aspx. Accessed 30 May
3 2022.

- 4 15. Tenforde MW, Self WH, Adams K, et al. Association Between mRNA Vaccination and
- 5 COVID-19 Hospitalization and Disease Severity. JAMA **2021**; 326:2043–2054.
- 6 16. Mensah AA, Lacy J, Stowe J, et al. Disease severity during SARS-COV-2 reinfection: a
- 7 nationwide study. Journal of Infection **2022**; 84:542–550.
- 8 17. New antiviral, Paxlovid, added to PANORAMIC study PANORAMIC. Available at:
- 9 https://www.panoramictrial.org/news/new-antiviral-paxlovid-added-to-panoramic-study.

10 Accessed 30 May 2022.

11

-
•
~

	Before Propensity Matching After Propensity Matching					
Baseline Characteristics	Nirmatrelvir-Ritonavir	No Nirmatrelvir-Ritonavir	SD Nirmatrelvir-Ritonavi		No Nirmatrelvir- Ritonavir	SD
	N=1131 (%)	N=110457 (%)		N=1130 (%)	N=1130 (%)	
		Demograp	hics		•	
Mean Age	57.6 +/- 16.3	49.3 +/- 17.6	0.485	57.5 +/- 16.3	57.7 +/- 16.3	0.012
Female	713 (63.0%)	71,017 (64.3%)	0.026	712 (63.0%)	724 (64.1%)	0.022
White	926 (81.9%)	71,081 (64.4%)	0.403	925 (81.9%)	941 (83.3%)	0.037
African American	110 (9.7%)	19,646 (17.8%)	0.236	110 (9.7%)	103 (9.1%)	0.021
Non-Hispanic/Latino	878 (77.6%)	70,377(63.7%)	0.309	877 (77.6%)	857 (75.8%)	0.042
BMI ≥ 30	237 (21%)	27629 (25%)	0.09	237 (21%)	208 (18%)	0.06
Z		Comorbidi	ties			
Hypertension	591 (52.3%)	45,616 (41.3%)	0.221	590 (52.2%)	578 (51.2%)	0.021
Hyperlipidemia	651 (57.6%)	42,811 (38.8%)	0.383	650 (57.5%)	661 (58.5%)	0.020
Diabetes Mellitus	250 (22.1%)	21,640 (19.6%)	0.062	250 (22.1%)	249 (22.0%)	0.002
Chronic Lower Respiratory Disease	342 (30.2%)	28,159 (25.5%)	0.106	342 (30.3%)	339 (30%)	0.006

91 (8.0%)	10,512 (9.5%)	0.052	91 (8.1%)	80 (7.1%)	0.037
59 (5.2%)	7,010 (6.3%)	0.048	59 (5.2%)	82 (7.3%)	0.084
172 (15.2%)	14,810 (13.4%)	0.051	172 (15.2%)	155 (13.7%)	0.043
52 (4.6%)	7,831 (7.1%)	0.106	52 (4.6%)	54 (4.8%)	0.008
51 (4.5%)	4,502 (4.1%)	0.021	51 (4.5%)	53 (4.7%)	0.008
512 (45.3%)	36,169 (32.7%)	0.259	512 (45.3%)	524 (46.4%)	0.021
21 (1.9%)	988 (0.9%)	0.083	21 (1.9%)	21 (1.9%)	< 0.001
90 (8.0%)	4,975 (4.5%)	0.143	90 (8.0%)	67 (5.9%)	0.080
RY	Medicatio	ons			
410 (36.3%)	32,702 (29.6%)	0.142	409 (36.2%)	399 (35.3%)	0.018
346 (30.6%)	29,860 (27.0%)	0.079	346 (30.6%)	334 (29.6%)	0.023
208 (18.4%)	19,032 (17.2%)	0.030	208 (18.4%)	208 (18.4%)	< 0.001
230 (20.3%)	15,783 (14.3%)	0.160	229 (20.3%)	228 (20.2%)	0.002
308 (27.2%)	25,020 (22.7%)	0.106	308 (27.3%)	318 (28.1%)	0.020
305 (27.0%)	29,278 (26.5%)	0.010	305 (27.0%)	272 (24.1%)	0.067
465 (41.1%)	34,326 (31.1%)	0.210	465 (41.2%)	476 (42.1%)	0.020
	59 (5.2%) 172 (15.2%) 52 (4.6%) 51 (4.5%) 512 (45.3%) 21 (1.9%) 90 (8.0%) 90 (8.0%) 410 (36.3%) 410 (36.3%) 346 (30.6%) 208 (18.4%) 230 (20.3%) 308 (27.2%) 305 (27.0%)	59 (5.2%) 7,010 (6.3%) 172 (15.2%) 14,810 (13,4%) 52 (4.6%) 7,831 (7.1%) 51 (4.5%) 4,502 (4.1%) 512 (45.3%) 36,169 (32.7%) 21 (1.9%) 988 (0.9%) 90 (8.0%) 4,975 (4.5%) Medication 410 (36.3%) 32,702 (29.6%) 346 (30.6%) 29,860 (27.0%) 208 (18.4%) 19,032 (17.2%) 230 (20.3%) 15,783 (14.3%) 308 (27.2%) 25,020 (22.7%) 305 (27.0%) 29,278 (26.5%)	59 (5.2%) 7,010 (6.3%) 0.048 172 (15.2%) 14,810 (13,4%) 0.051 52 (4.6%) 7,831 (7.1%) 0.106 51 (4.5%) 4,502 (4.1%) 0.021 512 (45.3%) 36,169 (32.7%) 0.259 21 (1.9%) 988 (0.9%) 0.083 90 (8.0%) 4,975 (4.5%) 0.143 Medications 410 (36.3%) 32,702 (29.6%) 0.142 346 (30.6%) 29,860 (27.0%) 0.079 208 (18.4%) 19,032 (17.2%) 0.030 230 (20.3%) 15,783 (14.3%) 0.160 308 (27.2%) 25,020 (22.7%) 0.106	59 (5.2%) 7,010 (6.3%) 0.048 59 (5.2%) 172 (15.2%) 14,810 (13,4%) 0.051 172 (15.2%) 52 (4.6%) 7,831 (7.1%) 0.106 52 (4.6%) 51 (4.5%) 4,502 (4.1%) 0.021 51 (4.5%) 512 (45.3%) 36,169 (32.7%) 0.259 512 (45.3%) 21 (1.9%) 988 (0.9%) 0.083 21 (1.9%) 90 (%0%) 4,975 (4.5%) 0.143 90 (8.0%) 410 (36.3%) 32,702 (29.6%) 0.142 409 (36.2%) 346 (30.6%) 29,860 (27.0%) 0.079 346 (30.6%) 208 (18.4%) 19,032 (17.2%) 0.030 208 (18.4%) 230 (20.3%) 15,783 (14.3%) 0.160 229 (20.3%) 308 (27.2%) 25,020 (22.7%) 0.106 308 (27.3%)	59 (5.2%) 7,010 (6.3%) 0.048 59 (5.2%) 82 (7.3%) 172 (15.2%) 14,810 (13,4%) 0.051 172 (15.2%) 155 (13.7%) 52 (4.6%) 7,831 (7.1%) 0.106 52 (4.6%) 54 (4.8%) 51 (4.5%) 4,502 (4.1%) 0.021 51 (4.5%) 53 (4.7%) 51 (4.5%) 36,169 (32.7%) 0.259 512 (45.3%) 524 (46.4%) 21 (1.9%) 988 (0.9%) 0.083 21 (1.9%) 21 (1.9%) 90 (80.9%) 4,975 (4.5%) 0.143 90 (8.0%) 67 (5.9%) 410 (36.3%) 32,702 (29.6%) 0.142 409 (36.2%) 399 (35.3%) 346 (30.6%) 29,860 (27.0%) 0.079 346 (30.6%) 334 (29.6%) 208 (18.4%) 19.032 (17.2%) 0.030 208 (18.4%) 208 (18.4%) 203 (20.3%) 15,783 (14.3%) 0.160 229 (20.3%) 228 (20.2%) 308 (27.2%) 25,020 (22.7%) 0.106 308 (27.3%) 318 (28.1%) 305 (27.0%) 29,278 (26.5%) 0.010 305 (27.0%) 272 (24.1%)

Immune Suppressants	53 (4.7%)	5,650 (5.1%)	0.020	53 (4.7%)	60 (5.3%)	0.028
Antineoplastics	137 (12.1%)	11,796 (10.7%)	0.045	137 (12.1%)	133 (11.8%)	0.011
Antidepressants	458 (40.5%)	42,023 (38.0%)	0.050	458 (40.5%)	515 (45.6%)	0.102
Anticonvulsants	282 (24.9%)	26,693 (24.2%)	0.018	282 (25.0%)	327 (28.9%)	0.090
	-	Laboratory	Fests		-	
Creatinine (mg/dL)	0.88 +/- 0.2 (n= 1,068)	0.98 +/- 1.9 (n= 75,270)	0.071	0.88 +/- 0.2 (n= 1,068)	0.92 +/- 0.5 (n= 1018)	0.093
Hemoglobin (g/dl)	13.6 +/- 1.5 (n= 1023)	13.3 +/- 1.8 (n= 85,080)	0.148	13.6 +/- 1.5 (n= 1022)	13.6 +/- 1.7 (n= 1005)	0.013
Lymphocytes (percentage leukocytes)	28.0 +/- 10.4 (n= 938)	27.3 +/- 10.6 (n= 74,697)	0.068	28.0 +/- 10.4 (n= 937)	27.1 +/- 10.4 (n= 897)	0.085
Platelets (per microliter blood)	253.8 +/- 76.2 (n= 1,023)	257.8 +/- 77.3 (n= 84,769)	0.053	253.8 +/- 76.2 (n= 1022)	250.6 +/- 70.7 (n= 1005)	0.043
C reactive protein >10 mg/L	92 (8.1%)	6324 (5.7%)	0.094	92 (8.1%)	72 (6.3%)	0.068
Ferritin (micrograms/L)	181.5 +/- 427.8 (n= 283)	218.4 +/- 676.6 (n= 21,899)	0.065	181.5 +/- 427.8 (n=283)	172.3 +/- 274.9 (n=273)	0.025
Total Cholesterol (mg/dL)	183.4 +/- 40.9 (n=902)	178.9 +/- 42.6 (n= 65,344)	0.107	183.4 +/- 40.9 (n= 901)	181.3 +/- 43.4 (n= 908)	0.050
LDL (mg/dL)	103.5 +/- 34.1 (n= 941)	102.7 +/- 35.6 (n= 67,443)	0.023	103.5 +/- 34.1 (n=940)	103.6 +/- 36.2 (n= 939)	0.004
Hemoglobin A1c	6.1 +/- 1.7 (n= 799)	6.1 +/- 1.6 (n= 51,351)	0.007	6.1 +/- 1.7 (n= 798)	6.0 +/- 1.4 (n= 779)	0.080

Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction (LVEF) (%)	61.3 +/- 10.7 (n= 167)	58.9 +/- 11.9 (n= 7,178)	0.212	61.3 +/- 10.8 (n= 166)	60.5 +/- 9.5 (n= 143)	0.082
1						

2 Abbreviations: SD: standard difference, LDL: low-density lipoprotein

1 Table 2. Outcomes comparison at 30-days

Outcomes	Nirmatrelvir- Ritonavir N=1130 (%)	No Nirmatrelvir- Ritonavir N=1130 (%)	Risk difference	Relative Risk Reduction	Odds ratio	E value of Odds ratio	E value for lower confidence interval of Odds ratio	P-value	
		Primar	y Composite Outco	mes					
All-cause ER visit, hospitalization or death	89 (7.87%)	163 (14.4%)	-0.065 (-0.091, - 0.040)	45%	0.507 (0.386, 0.666)	3.36	2.37	<0.001	
		Se	condary Outcomes						
		Individual co	mponents of primary	outcomes					
All-cause ER visit	83 (7.34%)	142 (12.5%)	-0.052 (-0.077, - 0.028)	41%	0.552 (0.415, 0.733)	3.02	2.07	<0.001	
All-cause hospitalization	10 (0.8%)	23 (2%)	-0.012 (-0.021, - 0.002)	60%	0.430 (0.204, 0.907)	4.08	1.44	0.023	
30-day mortality	0	10 (0.8%)	-0.009 (-0.014, - 0.003)	100%	-	-	-	0.002	
Symptoms									
Constitutional symptoms	72 (6.3%)	146 (12.9%)	-0.065 (-0.090, - 0.041)	50%	0.459 (0.341, 0.616)	3.78	2.63	<0.001	
Cardio-respiratory symptoms	153 (13.5%)	309 (27.3%)	-0.138 (-0.171, - 0.105)	51%	0.416 (0.336, 0.516)	4.24	3.29	<0.001	

					/				
Gastrointestinal symptoms	38 (3.3%)	89 (7.87%)	-0.045 (-0.064, - 0.026)	57%	0.407 (0.276, 0.601)	4.35	2.71	<0.001	
Nervous system and musculoskeletal symptoms	10 (0.8%)	25 (2.2%)	-0.013 (-0.023, - 0.003)	59%	0.395 (0.189, 0.826)	4.5	1.72	0.011	
Smell-taste alteration	10 (0.8%)	10 (0.8%)	0 (-0.008, 0.008)	0	1 (0.415, 2.412)	1	1	1	
			Complications						
Lower respiratory tract infection	27 (2.38%)	92 (8.14%)	-0.058 (-0.076, - 0.039)	72%	0.276 (0.178, 0.428)	6.71	4.1	0.000	
Arrhythmia	22 (1.9%)	43 (3.8%)	-0.019 (-0.032, - 0.005)	50%	0.502 (0.298, 0.845)	3.4	1.65	0.008	
Gastroenteritis/Colitis/Diarrhea	12 (1%)	13 (1.1%)	-0.001 (-0.010, 0.008)	8%	0.922 (0.419, 2.030)	1.39	1	0.841	
Anxiety/mood disorder	64 (5.6%)	114 (10%)	-0.044 (-0.066, - 0.022)	44%	0.535 (0.389, 0.735)	3.14	2.06	0.000	
Diagnostic Testing Utilization									
Radiology diagnostic tests	90 (7.9%)	164 (14.5%)	-0.065 (-0.091, - 0.040)	45%	0.510 (0.388, 0.669)	3.33	2.35	<0.001	
CV tests (Echocardiogram and heart monitors)	10 (0.88%)	13 (1.1%)	-0.003 (-0.011, 0.006)	25%	0.767 (0.335, 1.757)	1.93	1	0.530	

Abbreviations: CV: cardiovascular, ER: emergency room

Outcomes	Nirmatrelvir- Ritonavir N=1130 (%)	No Nirmatrelvir- Ritonavir N=1130 (%)	Risk difference	Relative Risk Reduction	Odds ratio	P-value				
		Primary Compo	osite Outcome							
All-cause ER visit, hospitalization, or death	23 (2.03%)	56 (4.95%)	-0.029 (-0.044, -0.014)	58%	0.398 (0.243, 0.652)	<0.001				
	N	Secondary	Outcomes							
		ndividual components	of primary outcomes							
All-cause ER visit	18 (1.59%)	34 (3.01%)	-0.014 (-0.026, -0.002)	47%	0.522 (0.293, 0.930)	0.025				
All-cause hospitalization	10 (0.88%)	24 (2.12%)	-0.012 (-0.022, -0.002)	57%	0.411 (0.196, 0.864)	0.016				
30-day mortality	0	10 (0.88%)	-0.009 (-0.014, -0.003)	100%		0.002				
	•	Sympt	oms							
Constitutional symptoms	23 (2.03%)	35 (3.09%)	-0.011 (-0.024, 0.002)	35%	0.650 (0.382, 1.107)	0.11				
Cardio-respiratory symptoms	49 (4.33%)	83 (7.34%)	-0.030 (-0.049, -0.011)	41%	0.572 (0.398, 0.822)	0.002				
Gastrointestinal symptoms	23 (2.03%)	43 (3.80%)	-0.018 (-0.032, -0.004)	47 %	0.525 (0.314, 0.877)	0.012				

Nervous system and Musculoskeletal symptoms	10 (0.88%)	14 (1.24%)	-0.004 (-0.012, 0.005)	33%	0.712 (0.315, 1.609)	0.412
Smell-taste alteration	0	10 (0.88%)	-0.009 (-0.014, -0.003)	100%	—	0.002
			P			
		Compli	cations			
Lower respiratory tract infection	14 (1.24%)	32 (2.83%)	-0.016 (-0.028, -0.004)	57%	0.430 (0.228, 0.811)	0.007
Arrhythmia	12 (1.06%)	27 (2.39%)	-0.013 (-0.024, -0.003)	54%	0.438 (0.221, 0.870)	0.015
Gastroenteritis/Colitis/Diarrhea	10 (0.88%)	10 (0.88%)	0 (-0.008, 0.008)	0 %	1 (0.415, 2.412)	1
Anxiety/mood disorder	36 (3.18%)	74 (6.54%)	-0.034 (-0.051, -0.016)	52%	0.470 (0.313, 0.706)	<0.001
	Y	Diagnostic Tes	ting Utilization		-	
Radiology diagnostic tests	48 (4.24%)	71 (6.23%)	-0.020 (-0.039, -0.002)	32%	0.662 (0.454, 0.964)	0.03
CV tests (Echocardiogram and heart monitors)	10 (0.88%)	14 (1.24%)	-0.004 (-0.012, 0.005)	33%	0.712 (0.315, 1.609)	0.412

Abbreviations: CV: cardiovascular, ER: emergency room

2 Figure Legends

- 3 Figure 1: Consort diagram.
- 4 This figure illustrates the proportion of vaccinated non-hospitalized patients who tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 infection or were
- 5 diagnosed with Covid-19 stratified by use of nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir (NMV-r).
- 6 Figure 2: Efficacy of Nirmatrelvir plus Ritonavir (NMV-r) in Vaccinated Patients Preventing Covid-19–Related ER visit,
- 7 hospitalization or death.
- 8 This figure illustrates the survival analysis with a cumulative percentage comparison of an all-cause ER visit, hospitalization, or death
- 9 among patients treated with or without nirmatrelvir plus ritonavir for Covid-19 within five days of diagnosis. The cumulative
- 10 percentage was estimated for each treatment group using the Kaplan–Meier method.
- 11 Figure 3: Primary and Secondary Outcomes of Nirmatrelvir plus Ritonavir (NMV-r) in Vaccinated Patients
- This forest plot demonstrates the odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals for primary and secondary outcomes in vaccinated patients
 treated with Nirmatrelvir plus Ritonavir (NMV-r).
- 14
- 15

TriNetX Research Network

(n=88,651,969; HCOs 59)

Patients not meeting inclusion criteria of developing SARS-CoV-2 infection or Covid-19 (at least 1-month after vaccination) between Dec 1, 2021 to April 18, 2022 (n=88,404,729)

≥18 years of age vaccinated patients tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 infection or diagnosed with Covid-19 at least 1-month after vaccination (n=231,098; HCOs 40)

> Patients requiring initial hospitalization or treated with convalescent plasma, monoclonal antibody and/or molnupiravir (n=119,510)

Non-hospitalized vaccinated patients tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 infection or Covid-19 and **not treated with NMV-r within 5days** (n=110,457; HCO 37) Non-hospitalized vaccinated patients tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 infection or Covid-19 and **treated with NMV-r within 5days** (n=1,131; HCOs 12)



