Genetic Variation and Potential for Resistance Development to the tTA Overexpression Lethal System in Insects

Katherine E. Knudsen,^{*,†} William R. Reid,^{*} Traci M. Barbour,^{*} Laci M. Bowes,^{*} Juliana Duncan,^{*} Elaina Philpott,^{*} Samantha Potter,^{*} and Maxwell J. Scott^{†,‡,1}

*Department of Biological Sciences, Program in Genetics, [†]Molecular Biotechnology Training Program, [‡]Department of Entomology and Plant Pathology, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC 27695 ORCID IDs: 0000-0002-8130-6516 (W.R.R.); 0000-0001-6536-4735 (M.J.S.)

ABSTRACT Release of insect pests carrying the dominant lethal tetracycline transactivator (tTA) overexpression system has been proposed as a means for population suppression. High levels of the tTA transcription factor are thought to be toxic due to either transcriptional squelching or interference with protein ubiquitination. Here we utilized the Drosophila melanogaster Genetic Reference Panel (DGRP) to examine the influence of genetic variation on the efficacy of a female-specific tTA overexpression system. The level of female lethality between DGRP lines varied from 11 to 97% with a broad sense heritability of 0.89. A genome-wide association analysis identified 192 allelic variants associated with high or low lethality ($P < 10^{-5}$), although none were significant when corrected for multiple testing. 151 of the variants fell within 108 genes that were associated with several biological processes including transcription and protein ubiquitination. In four lines with high female lethality, tTA RNA levels were similar or higher than in the parental tTA overexpression strain. In two lines with low lethality, tTA levels were about two fold lower than in the parental strain. However, in two other lines with low lethality, tTA levels were similar or approximately 30% lower. RNAseq analysis identified genes that were up or downregulated in the four low female lethal lines compared to the four high lethal lines. For example, genes associated with RNA processing and rRNA maturation were significantly upregulated in low lethal lines. Our data suggest that standing genetic variation in an insect population could provide multiple mechanisms for resistance to the tTA overexpression system.

KEYWORDS

DGRP fs-RIDL sterile insect technique tetracycline transactivator male-only strain

thought to be safe, cause unexpected environmental consequences, for example the weakening of eggshells of birds exposed to DDT (Pimentel 2009b, 2009a). Widespread use of synthetic insecticides appear to be one of the drivers responsible for the global decline of insects (Sánchez-Bayo and Wyckhuys 2019). Some chemical pesticides have been linked to human disorders such as Alzheimer's Disease, Parkinson's Disease, Attention Deficit Hyperactive Disorder and cancer (Baldi 2003; Betarbet *et al.* 2000; Kuehn 2010; Alavanja *et al.* 2004; Pimentel 2009a). Also of concern is the development of pest resistance to insecticides, causing farmers to use higher concentrations of chemicals or combinations of pesticides (Pimentel 2009a).

An environmentally safe approach to pest management is the Sterile Insect Technique (SIT), a successful method introduced by E.F. Knipling in the 1950s (Knipling 1955). SIT employs the release of insects sterilized by radiation into the area of the crops affected by the pest population. These sterile insects, released in high numbers, breed with the wild population but the sterile matings do not produce offspring. With

Genes | Genomes | Genetics

Each year, around 3 million tons of pesticides are used throughout the

world (Pimentel 2009b). While this method may be effective, it is not

without controversy, as concerns arise surrounding the risks associated with long-term pesticide use and its effects on both the environment and human health (Pimentel 2009a). Certain insecticides, which were once

Copyright © 2020 Knudsen *et al.*

doi: https://doi.org/10.1534/g3.120.400990

Manuscript received October 11, 2019; accepted for publication February 1, 2020; published Early Online February 4, 2020.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Supplemental material available at figshare: https://doi.org/10.25387/g3.11771622. ¹Corresponding author: Department of Entomology and Plant Pathology, North Carolina State University, Campus Box 7613, Raleigh, NC 27695. E-mail: mjscott3@ncsu.edu.

repeated releases over time, the wild population dwindles, resulting in either eradication of the pest population or a drop to more manageable population numbers. This method has proven successful in the eradication of the New World screwworm, *Cochliomyia hominivorax*, from North America (Klassen and Curtis 2005; Scott *et al.* 2017), as well as with management of the Mediterranean fruit fly, *Ceratitis capitata* (Rendón *et al.* 2004), and the Mexican fruit fly, *Anastrepha ludens* (Schetelig *et al.* 2016).

Classic SIT utilizes high doses of ionizing radiation to sterilize insects for release, but this radiation may affect the fitness of treated insects (Klassen and Curtis 2005). SIT is more efficient if only males are released (Rendón et al. 2004). To this end genetic sexing strains (GSSs) were developed for the Mediterranean fruit fly that permit the mass release of sterile males (Rendón et al. 2004). However, development of GSSs took many years, as the strains carry recessive selectable mutations (white pupae, embryo temperature sensitive lethal) and stable Y-linked translocations with the wild type allele. Genetically engineered systems can involve transmission of dominant lethal genes into the pest population that eventually lead to suppression of the population or genes that transform or replace a vector population with insects that cannot transmit disease (Horn and Wimmer 2003; Alphey 2014). Each method requires the release of transgenic insects into the field to breed with the pest population, leading to suppression or replacement of the wild pest species. However, epistatic modifiers in the genetic background of the pest species may cause each developed transgenic strain to differ in fitness and efficiency of the control system. That is, there is a potential for development of resistance to lethal transgenes or genes that disrupt transmission of disease. Innovation in assessing and minimizing the potential risks of genetic pest management systems will ensure that any method employed in the field will use transgenic insects of the highest efficiency and fitness of the candidate transgenic strains, thereby ensuring that the system remains effective and environmentally friendly.

Oxitec's Release of Insects carrying a Dominant Lethal (RIDL) system, a modified version of SIT, has been developed for genetic control of Aedes aegypti and other pests (Fu et al. 2010; Atkinson et al. 2007; Alphey and Andreasen 2002; Alphey et al. 2010; Black et al. 2011; Carvalho et al. 2015). Ae aegypti is the mosquito vector of dengue, yellow fever and Zika viruses (Carvalho and Moreira 2017). The Ae. *aegypti* strain carries a simple genetic system consisting of the tetracycline transactivator gene (tTA) with a core promoter and multiple binding sites for tTA (Phuc et al. 2007). In the absence of tetracycline, tTA is overexpressed leading to lethality at late larval/pupal stages. Death is thought to be due to "transcriptional squelching," which is a general interference in gene expression (Gong et al. 2005). The Ae. aegypti strain developed had incomplete penetrance, with 3-4% of the offspring from crosses with the non-transgenic parental strain developing to adults on diet that lacked tetracycline (Phuc et al. 2007). The incomplete penetrance could explain the observed introgression of some of the transgenic strain genome into a targeted natural population in Brazil (Evans et al. 2019). A variation of this system, fsRIDL, was developed for C. capitata that can produce only males on diet without tetracycline as the tTA gene contains the sex-specific intron from the C. capitata transformer (tra) gene (Fu et al. 2007). Some of the lines showed high female survival (over 50%) with one copy of the transgene but were 100% female lethal when homozygous with two copies. This suggests that small changes in gene expression (e.g., twofold) could significantly influence the level of female lethality.

We previously developed a sex-specific conditional lethal system in *Drosophila melanogaster* that is similar to the *C. capitata* system

(Li et al. 2014). In our FL3 system, 21 copies of the tetO enhancer site are linked to the Drosophila hsp70 core promoter and the tTA gene sequence is interrupted by the sex-specific intron from the C. hominivorax tra gene (Supplementary Figure 1). In D. melanogaster, the Sex lethal (SXL) protein regulates splicing of tra, but in C. hominivorax, tephritid fruit flies and other flies, tra alternative splicing is autoregulated (Verhulst et al. 2010). The sex-specific tra intron contains multiple predicted TRA/TRA2 binding sites (Li et al. 2013). In C. hominivorax, the shorter female transcript encodes functional TRA protein whereas the longer male transcripts include an additional exon with multiple in-frame translation stop codons (Li et al. 2013). The male transcript encodes a much shorter protein that is likely nonfunctional. In D. melanogaster carrying the FL3 transgene the tTA transcript is correctly sex-specifically spliced, suggesting that the C. hominivorax tra intron TRA/TRA2 sites are functional in Drosophila. Thus, when reared in the absence of tetracycline, homozygous females carrying a FL3 transgene died mostly at the pupal stage(Li et al. 2014). Female lethality was 100% for homozygotes and approximately 90% for heterozygotes. The FL3 transgenic lines were made in an isogenic Canton-S-B strain to minimize variation in the genetic background. The FL3 system was also functional in the Australian sheep blowfly Lucilia cuprina (Li et al. 2014). Similar to what was observed in C. capitata (Fu et al. 2007), females of one line showed high survival with one copy of the transgene but with two copies only males were produced on diet without tetracycline (Li et al. 2014).

D. melanogaster is not an economic pest species but is an extensively studied model organism. The popularity of D. melanogaster as a model organism in genetic research has resulted in a fully sequenced and annotated genome and the development of many genetic tools. One of these genetic tools, the D. melanogaster Genetic Reference Panel (DGRP), consists of 205 highly inbred lines of D. melanogaster each with fully sequenced genomes (Mackay et al. 2012). The DGRP allows for the examination of the sexspecific tTA overexpression system in a multitude of differing genetic backgrounds, which are representative of the genetic diversity of a wild population. Utilizing the available DGRP lines and performing a Genome Wide Association Study (GWAS) resulted in the discovery of single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and linked candidate genes that influence the efficiency of the FL3 system.

Here, we discuss how and why a sex-specific conditional lethal system in *D. melanogaster* varied in efficacy across 201 different genetic backgrounds.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

DGRP crosses/control crosses

FL3#2 was maintained on standard fly diet containing tetracycline at 10 μ g/ml. DGRP fly lines were obtained from the Mackay Lab (NC State University) and reared on standard Drosophila diet. Two replicates for each DGRP line were performed, consisting of 10 virgin DGRP females crossed to 10 males from the FL3#2 female lethal line. The flies were transferred to new vials every 3-4 days such that for each cross a total of 4 vials were set. Control crosses were performed on a selection of DGRP lines (14) showing high, low, or moderate female lethality. Two replicates for each selected DGRP line were performed, consisting of 10 virgin DRGP females crossed to 10 Canton-S-B males, the isogenic background of the FL3#2 strain. All crosses were kept in a 25° incubator with 12h light/dark cycles and were fed fly diet without tetracycline. Offspring sex was scored for 20 days after initial setting of the cross. The % female lethality was calculated using the equation

[1-(number females/number males)]x100. Mean and standard error were calculated for two replicates per line.

GWAS

To estimate the broad-sense heritability (H^2) of sex ratio distortion in the offspring of crosses of FL3#2 with the DGRP lines, we used an ANOVA on line means (the average across the two-three replicates for each line). The ANOVA model of form Y= μ +*L*+ ϵ , where Y is average % female lethality, μ is the overall mean, *L* is the random effect of line, and ϵ is the residual. To identify genetic variants that are associated with sex ratio distortion, the average female lethality per DGRP line served as the phenotypic input for the GWAS using the DGRP2 pipeline developed by the Mackay Lab at North Carolina State University (dgrp2.gnets.ncsu.edu) (Mackay *et al.* 2012; Huang *et al.* 2014). Two significance thresholds were examined ($P < 10^{-6}$, $P < 10^{-5}$). Candidate variants for functional analysis were selected from both significance thresholds.

Functional analysis

Minos gene disruption lines were obtained from the Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center. Two replicates for each validation experiment were performed, consisting of 10 virgin females from the *Minos* line crossed to 10 FL3#2 males. All crosses were kept in a 25° incubator with 12h light/dark cycles and were fed fly diet without tetracycline. Offspring sex was scored for 20 days to obtain the sex ratio, which was used to calculate the average female lethality per validation line. Average female lethality per line was compared to the corresponding background female lethality.

Protein-protein network

The list of flybase IDs of genes with GWAS *p* values of less than 10^{-5} were submitted to network analyst (https://www.networkanalyst.ca). A generic protein protein interaction (PPI) was selected with the IrefIndex interactive protein-protein interaction database. A miminum network was selected, which computes the shortest pair-wise paths between all seed nodes.

RNA isolation and **qRT-PCR**

Three biological replicates of 10 virgin females crossed to 10 males for each experimental treatment were set on diet containing 0.05% Bromophenol Blue to accurately assess the age of larvae (Maroni and Stamey 1983). Third instar larvae were collected, separated by sex using fluorescence or gonadal development, and 30 per sex per replicate were stored in 1 ml of RNAlater stabilizing solution (Cat#AM7020 Thermo Fisher Scientific Waltham, Massachusetts) at -80° until time of extraction. Total RNA was isolated using the QIAGEN RNeasy mini kit (Cat#74104 Qiagen Venlo, Netherlands) according to manufacturer's instructions. cDNA was made from the previously isolated total RNA using the Invitrogen SuperScript III First-Strand Synthesis SuperMix kit (Cat#18080-400 Invitrogen) according to manufacturer's instructions. Random hexamers were used as primers. Reactions containing water instead of enzyme mix were used as negative controls to confirm absence of DNA contamination. To measure relative transcript levels, qRT-PCR was performed with the cDNA template diluted 1:4 with nuclease-free water then pipetted into quadruplicate wells of a 384 well optical plate (Cat#4309849 Applied Biosystems). For each primer set, tTAv and Rpl32 (reference gene), Thermo Maxima SYBR Green/Rox qPCR Master Mix 2X (Cat#K0221 Thermo Fisher Scientific Waltham, Massachusetts) was added to primers to create a master mix, which was then dispensed into wells via a multichannel pipette. The plate was sealed (Cat#4311971 Applied Biosystems), mixed, then centrifuged for 1 min at RT at 1600 g. The qPCR run was performed on a BioRad CFX384 C1000 Touch Thermocycler (BioRad Hercules, California) using the following program: 95° 10 min, [95° 15 s, 60° 60 s, 40x]. Data acquisition was performed on the anneal/extension step. Mean Cq value was found for each set of 4 replicate wells. The reference gene was used to calculate Δ Cq. The tTAv primer pair were tTAv-F (5' - TCTTGCGTAATAATGCCAAATCCTTCCG - 3') and tTAv-R (5' - CCAACACACACGCCCAATGTAAAATGACC - 3') and the Rpl32 primer pair were Rpl32-F (5' – ATGCTAAGCTGTCGCA-CAAATG - 3') and Rpl32-R (5' – GTTCGATCCGTAACCGATGT - 3').

RNAseq

RNAseq was performed with the same RNA samples used for qRT-PCR with two runs on an Illumina NextSeq 500, which gave 20 million reads per sample. The RNAseq analysis pipeline began with quality checking the reads using FASTQC check (Andrews 2010). Reads were trimmed for adapter and low quality reads were discarded using Trimmomatic (Bolger et al. 2014) and the surviving reads were remapped to the D. melanogaster genome (version r6.15) (Gramates et al. 2017) using Tophat2 (Langmead and Salzberg 2012; Kim et al. 2013). Alignments were converted to counts using HTSeq (Anders et al. 2015) for differential gene analysis in EdgeR (Robinson et al. 2010). The common dispersion for the model was calculated as 0.073424, which was suitable for the negative binomial transformation for the model. Linear contrasts of line means were evaluated to compare the all high lethality DGRP lines vs. all low lethality DGRP lines, all high lethality DGRP lines vs. low lethality DGRP lines with lower tTA expression, and all high lethality DGRP lines vs. low lethality DGRP lines with higher tTA expression for the EdgeR analysis for the DGRP lines. Genes identified as differentially expressed were then grouped using Venn diagram analysis and the intersections were assessed for biological process GO term functional enrichment using the web tool Gorilla (Eden et al. 2009), using the entire set of expressed genes as the static reference background.

Larval fluorescence

Ten virgin DGRP females were crossed to 10 FL3#2 males on standard diet without tetracycline. Female third instar larvae were collected from each cross, rinsed with sterile water, dried, and adhered to white filter paper using double sided tape. Larvae were imaged using a Leica microscope and software. FIJI (ImageJ) imaging software was used to quantify fluorescence for each larva imaged. Fluorescence = Integrated Density – (Area of selected larva * Mean fluorescence of background). Average fluorescence for n larvae (911H n = 8, 153H n = 8, 195H n = 9, 399H n = 16, 492L n = 13, 350L n = 5, 646L n = 8, 317L n = 5) was calculated for each DGRP line.

Statistical analysis

For the crosses between selected DGRP lines and Canton-S-B (data shown in Figure 2), a one-way random effects model was used. The model may be written $Y_{ij} = \mu + L_i + \epsilon_{ij}$, where L_i and ϵ_{ij} denote independent random samples modeling line effects and experimental errors, respectively. If the variance component of line effects is denoted Var(Li)= σ_L^2 , then a test of H0: $\sigma_L^2 = 0$ is not rejected (*i.e.*, the 14 observed line proportions do not differ significantly) and a 95% confidence interval for the overall mean proportion male is (46.4–51.2%). That is, the overall observed proportion male does not differ significantly from 50%. The heritability of sex ratio may be estimated by the intraline correlation coefficient, or ratio of the estimated line variance component to the sum of line and error variance components, 2.25/(2.25+39.88) = 0.0534.



Figure 1 Genetic background strongly influences female lethality. For each cross of a DGRP line with FL3#2, the average percentage of female lethality is represented. The order of DGRP line numbers as presented in this figure can be seen in Supplementary Table 1. Mean and standard error are shown for 2 replicate experiments per DGRP line.

For functional analysis of candidate genes with *Minos* disruption lines, two separate single-factor models were fit with effects for Line. One model was fit for each cross. All pairwise comparisons with the control were carried out using Dunnett's procedure to control the experiment-wise error rate. The p values shown were adjusted for multiplicity.

Associations between measures of tTA RNA and ZsGreen levels were quantified using sample correlation coefficients of residuals from linear models to account for cross effects. Crosses were placed into three groups according to female lethality and tTA RNA levels and pairwise comparisons among these groups were carried out using Tukey's Honestly Significant Difference.

The most recent version of SAS was used for all statistical analyses unless otherwise noted.

Data availability

The raw reads were submitted to NCBI-SRA as accessions SAMN08570109-SAMN08570180. All additional information is available as Supplemental material at figshare: https://doi.org/10.25387/g3.11771622.

RESULTS

Variation in female lethality across different genetic backgrounds

To assess the efficacy of the tTA overexpression conditional lethal system in different genetic backgrounds, we crossed homozygous males from the FL3#2 line to virgin females from 201 of the DGRP lines on standard diet. In the absence of tetracycline, the lethal system is active and most female offspring are expected to die in the late larval/pupal stages due to the overexpression of tTA. The male and female offspring were counted from replicate crosses for each DGRP line to obtain the offspring sex ratio, which was used to calculate the average female lethality per DGRP line. Across the 201 different genetic backgrounds, we saw wide variation (97–11%) in female lethality (Figure 1, Supplementary Table 1). The average female lethality across all DGRP lines was 61%. The DGRP lines showing the highest efficacy of the system (left side of Figure 1) reached 97% female lethality, which is greater than that of FL3#2 heterozygotes in the parental Canton-S-B background (85% female lethality). The DGRP line showing the lowest efficacy (right side of Figure 1) only reached 11% female lethality or 47% female offspring, which approaches the 50/50% sex ratio expected if the lethal system was not present and genetic background did not distort the sex ratio (see below).

It is possible that the observed sex ratio could be skewed by variants present in the DGRP parent. If so, the variant would have a maternaleffect or a dominant effect in the zygote as we are measuring the % female lethality in the offspring of crosses between DGRP females and FL3#2 males. To evaluate if background effects might influence the offspring sex ratio, we chose 6 of the DGRP lines showing the highest female lethality (911, 153, 399, 884, 882, 88), 5 of the DGRP lines showing the lowest female lethality (350, 352, 365, 136, 41), and 3 of the DGRP lines showing intermediate female lethality (374, 373, 375). From these lines, we crossed virgin females to males from Canton-S-B, the isogenic parental line for FL3#2. In all the control crosses, the offspring sex ratio returned to \sim 50% for each sex (Figure 2). The observed variability in sex ratio between lines was not significant (P = 0.398, F value = 1.15, DF of 14, one-factor random effects model). The heritability of sex ratio was 0.0534. The overall observed proportion male does not differ significantly from 50% (95% confidence interval 46.4-51.2%). Thus, female lethality was due to the tTA overexpression system and not an inherent sex bias among the offspring of any of the DGRP lines (Figure 2).

Identification of candidate allelic variants

To determine genetic background components that influence the tTA overexpression system, we ran a Genome Wide Association Study (GWAS) using the average percentage of female lethality per DGRP line as the phenotypic input. We saw wide genetic variation in lethality among the DGRP lines with a broad sense heritability of $H^2 = 0.89$. The ANOVA results (F = 8.4044, *P* < 0.0001) are shown in Supplementary



Figure 2 Variation in the observed sex ratios is not due to any inherent sex ratio bias in the DGRP lines. The red bars denote the percentage of offspring that were male in the experimental FL3#2 crosses. The blue bars denote the percentage of offspring that were male in the control crosses of a selected DGRP line with Canton S-B. Mean and standard error are shown for 2 replicate experiments.

Table 2. The GWAS output provides both the magnitude of the allelic variant's effect, through expected correlation with p-value, as well as if the variant was associated with lines showing high or low female lethality. The DGRP only has the power to detect associations with large effects (Mackay and Huang 2018). With \sim 2.5 million individual tests, the Bonferroni-corrected *p*-value for association is $\sim P < 2 \times 10^{-8}$ (Mackay and Huang 2018). None of the variants identified in this study were significant at a Bonferroni significance level, which assumes all tests are independent. Further the Quintile-Quintile (Q-Q) plot did not show any variants with observed p values lower than random expectation (Fig. S2). However, previous studies using this resource were able to use the DGRP as a screening tool to identify genes for targeted functional analysis using mutations or RNAi knock down of gene expression (Mackay and Huang 2018). Therefore, we proceeded to evaluate the variants with the lowest *p* values. At $P < 10^{-5}$ we found 192 candidate allelic variants (FDR =13%) and at a $P < 10^{-6}$ we found 16 variants (FDR = 15.6%) associated with either high or low female lethality in the crosses (Supplementary Table 3). Forty-one of these 192 SNPs, insertions, and deletions fell outside of named or annotated genes. However, for those within named genes, 108 different genes were represented with functions ranging from apoptosis to heterochromatin assembly to transcription factor activity (Supplementary Table 3).

Functional analyses of candidate genes

To test whether mutations in genes identified in the GWAS would affect female lethality due to tTA overexpression, we crossed FL3#2 with *Minos* gene disruption lines (Metaxakis 2005) for the genes listed in Table 1. The genes were selected on the basis of *P* values and availability of disruption lines made in an isogenic w^{1118} strain, to minimize any differences in genetic background between crosses. If the chosen candidate genes influence the tTA overexpression system in some way and the *Minos* insertion disrupts gene function, we expect a change the ratio of female offspring when compared to controls. In the six of the 21 selected lines, the *Minos* element has inserted within an exon (Supplementary Table 4). In the other lines the *Minos* element is within an intron. Virgin females from 21 *Minos* gene disruption lines (2 independent lines were tested for *bru3* and *app*) were crossed to males that carry the FL3 transgene. Female lethality was measured for each of the crosses (Figure 3). To get a baseline for female lethality in the context of the genetic background of each of the knockdown lines, control crosses were performed with the parental isogenic w^{1118} strain used to make the *Minos* gene disruption lines (Figure 3). The results from the mutant line crosses were compared to the background control cross results through a Dunnett's Test for statistical significance (Supplementary Table 4)(Dunnett 1955). Nine of the 21 *Minos* lines tested showed a significant (P < 0.05) increase in female lethality compared with the background control. The two independent lines for *bru3* gave similar results with both showing significantly increased female lethality (Figure 3). The two lines for *app* also produced similar results with neither line significantly different than control.

Protein network: We next asked if any of the 108 genes associated with variation in female viability are part of a predicted protein-protein interaction network. Using the IrefIndex interactive protein-protein interaction database we identified a network comprised of 26 interacting candidate genes and 28 computationally recruited genes (Figure 4). Gene ontology analysis showed significant enrichment of several terms associated with cell cycle, nervous system development, regulation of the immune system response and apoptosis (Supplementary Table 5). Six of the 26 candidate genes in the network were functionally evaluated using the *Minos* disruption lines (Figure 3). Three of these genes, *zormin, src64B* and *Camta* showed a significant difference in the level of female lethality compared to the parental strain.

tTA expression levels in different genetic backgrounds

The simplest explanation for high female survival in the low lethality lines is that tTA expression is lower. Observations of the green fluorescence of female larvae collected from the crosses provided the initial indication that tTA was expressed at different levels in some of the lines. This is because larvae that overexpress tTA also show overexpression of the linked fluorescent protein marker gene, ZsGreen (Li et al. 2014). This is presumably because tTA bound to tetO enhances both the downstream hsp70 core promoter and upstream hsp83 promoter that is driving ZsGreen expression (Supplementary Figure 1). Upon closer examination of the larvae collected from the crosses, we found that fluorescence levels were higher in female larvae that showed high lethality compared to low lethal lines (Supplementary Figure 3). To measure tTA RNA levels, we next performed qRT-PCR on RNA from sexed wandering third instar larval offspring from the FL3#2 line crossed with selected DGRP lines. We chose 4 of the DGRP crosses showing high female lethality (911H, 153H, 195H, 399H) and 4 of the DGRP crosses showing low female lethality (492L, 350L, 646L, 317L). For each line, three independent replicates were set on diet without added tetracycline. A blue dye was added to the diet to facilitate accurate staging (Maroni and Stamey 1983). tTA RNA levels were normalized to expression of Rpl32. Female larvae from the crosses that showed high female lethality (911H, 153H, 195H, 399H) all expressed high levels of tTA (Figure 5). The tTA levels were similar or higher than seen in FL3#2. Females from two of the crosses that had low female lethality (492L and 350L) had 1.6 to 3 times lower tTA levels than females from the high lethal crosses (Figure 5). Interestingly, two other crosses that had low female lethality showed higher levels of tTA transcript (646L, 317L), with 646L at the same level seen in FL3#2. Pairwise comparisons among the three groups (high lethal/high tTA [911H, 153H, 195H, 399H], low lethal/high tTA [646L, 317L] and low lethal/low tTA [492L and 350L]) found that tTA RNA levels were significantly different between all groups (Supplementary Table 6). We then returned to larval fluorescence intensity as enhanced ZsGreen expression is an indicator of transcription activation due to tTA bound to the transgene in vivo. Third instar larvae of similar size were collected from crosses of Table 1 The candidate genes chosen for functional analysis. *Crosses that were significantly different than their control counterpart using a standard Dunnett's Test for statistical significance (P < 0.05). The genes are listed by p value from the GWAS</p>

| Gene | GWAS <i>p</i> -value | Function |
|---------|----------------------|--|
| mas* | 5.65E ⁻⁰⁶ | serine protease, muscle attachment |
| CG32982 | 8.29E ⁻⁰⁶ | Unknown function. Predicted role in actin cytoskeleton reorganization |
| Src64B* | 1.01E ⁻⁰⁵ | tyrosine-protein kinase, |
| bru1* | 1.02E ⁻⁰⁵ | RNA binding, alternative splicing, regulation of translation |
| Camta* | 1.04E ⁻⁰⁵ | DNA-binding transcription factor |
| dpr6 | 1.29E ⁻⁰⁵ | Synapse organization, sensory perception |
| Hs6st | 1.46E ⁻⁰⁵ | Heparin sulfate 6-O-sulfotransferase, imaginal disc growth |
| CG32333 | 1.55E ⁻⁰⁵ | Unknown function, predicted role in lipid metabolism |
| bab1 | 2.30E ⁻⁰⁵ | DNA-binding transcription factor, pigmentation, sex differentiation |
| tey* | 2.32E ⁻⁰⁵ | E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase, negative regulation of transcription |
| mtgo* | 2.32E ⁻⁰⁵ | Unknown function, essential. |
| Asph | 2.78E ⁻⁰⁵ | Aspartyl β -hydroxylase that may have a role in neurogenesis |
| Cpr66D | 3.0E ⁻⁰⁵ | Structural constituent of cuticle |
| ĊG15096 | 3.78E ⁻⁰⁵ | Transmembrane transport |
| арр | 4.49E ⁻⁰⁵ | Palmitoyltransferase involved in planar cell polarity |
| zormin* | 5.52E ⁻⁰⁵ | Protein found in the Z-disc and the M-line of muscles. Likely structural function |
| fru | 6.82E ⁻⁰⁵ | zinc finger transcription factor important for sexual differentiation |
| Lmpt | 7.28E ⁻⁰⁵ | Zinc ion binding, defense response |
| bru3* | 7.84E ⁻⁰⁵ | RNA binding, negative regulation of translation, regulation of alternative mRNA splicing |

FL3#2 with the same DGRP lines used for tTA measurements and were photographed using a green fluorescent filter. FIJI imaging software was used to quantify fluorescence in each larva and average fluorescence per line was determined. There was more variation between replicates than with the qPCR measurements, which reflects the difficulty in quantifying whole-body fluorescence from live larvae. Nevertheless, the highest fluorescence was seen in the larvae from the high lethal/high tTA and low lethal/high tTA groups (Supplementary Figure 3). Further, the high lethal lines had the highest levels of ZsGreen RNA as measured by RNAseq (see below) (Supplementary Figure 3). In pairwise comparisons, the fluorescence intensity and ZsGreen RNA levels of larvae in the low lethal/low tTA group [492L and 350L], were significantly lower than the other two groups (Supplementary Table S6).

Differential gene expression in genetic backgrounds with low or high female lethality

Differential gene expression was analyzed for the four high female lethal DGRP vs. the four low female lethal DGRP used above for the analysis of tTA RNA levels. We used Gorilla (Eden *et al.* 2009) to identify enriched gene ontology (GO) terms in the lists of upregulated and downregulated genes to determine biological processes that are affected by overexpression of tTA. The genes downregulated in the crosses with low female lethality held the strongest enrichment for regulation of Rho mediated signal transduction (FDR < 0.15), embryonic developmental dorsal closure process (FDR < 0.2) and positive regulation of signal transduction (FDR < 0.2) (Supplementary Figure 4). A larger number of biological process GO terms were functionally enriched (P < 0.01; FDR < 0.1) among the genes upregulated in the crosses with low female lethality (Supplementary Figure 5). At a FDR of less than 0.05 these included RNA splicing, rRNA processing including modification and protein targeting to the endoplasmic reticulum.

As lower tTA transcript levels can only partially explain the high female survival observed with some of the crosses, we next compared all of the four high female lethal lines with the two lines that had low lethality and low tTA RNA levels (492L and 350L) using contrast statements in EdgeR (Robinson *et al.* 2010). In addition, all of the high female lethal lines were compared with the two lines that had low lethality but relatively high tTA RNA levels (317L and 646L). One hundred and forty-one genes and 96 genes were downregulated in the low tTA lines and high tTA lines, respectively (P < 0.01) (Figure 6 and Supplementary Table 7). Twenty-six of the genes were in common (Figure 6). Sensory perception genes were downregulated in the 317L and 646L crosses. We found no GO term enrichment for the genes in common or downregulated in the low tTA lines. Two hundred and forty genes and 89 genes were upregulated in the low tTA lines and high tTA lines, respectively (P < 0.01) (Figure 6 and Supplementary Table 8). Twenty-four genes in common were enriched for the GO terms of RNA processing and rRNA maturation. Genes involved in a number of biological processes, including protein transport and vesicle-mediated transport, were upregulated in the lines with low tTA levels. In the 317L and 646L crosses, genes involved in cell and neuron recognition were upregulated.



Figure 3 Functional evaluation of candidate genes using gene disruption mutants. All bars show the average percentage of female lethality in offspring of crosses of FL3#2 with the *Minos* disruption mutants for the genes shown. Crosses that showed statistical significance (P < 0.05) in the Dunnett's Test are denoted by blue bars. Cross that were not significant are shown in gray and the control value is represented by a black bar. Mean and standard error are shown for 2 replicate experiments. The genes are shown in the same order as Table 1.



Figure 4 A protein-protein interaction network for variation in female lethality. Candidate genes from GWAS are in blue, other genes are in pink.

We next examined the expression levels of the individual genes that were differentially expressed in the lines that had relatively high tTA RNA levels (317L and 646L). We focused on the genes that were associated with the functionally enriched GO terms at the P < 0.01, FDR < 0.10 levels of significance. The average RPKM values for each of the 8 crosses were used to plot a heat map (Figure 7 and Supplementary Table 9). Although the RNA splicing and rRNA maturation genes were upregulated in all low lethal lines (Figure 6), it is apparent that expression levels are higher in the low lethal lines with high tTA levels (Figure 7). The genes that were identified as downregulated in the high tTA lines also show somewhat higher levels of expression in the low tTA lines compared to the high lethal lines.

DISCUSSION

We have shown that the differences in genetic background have a significant effect on the lethality of the tTA overexpression system in *D. melanogaster*. Some backgrounds increased the efficacy of the system in comparison to the developed lethal line (FL3#2) whereas other genetic backgrounds provided almost complete protection from the lethality. These findings are concerning for genetic pest management

systems due to the potential that target pest populations may have genetic background components which provide resistance to lethal systems. Similarly, natural variation could influence the efficacy of homing gene drives for population suppression. In crosses of a homing drive with 128 lines from the DGRP collection, it was found that there was significant variation among lines in germline drive conversion and formation of resistance alleles in the embryo (Champer *et al.* 2019).

Through the GWAS, we identified allelic variants in 108 candidate genes and determined their association with increased or decreased efficacy of the tTA overexpression system. However, none of the variants were significant following correction for multiple tests. Further, the Q-Q plot showed the observed *P* value distribution was similar to the expected *P* value distribution under the null hypothesis of no association. This suggests that none of the candidate genes identified influence the tTA overexpression system. However, for most studies using the DGRP resource the *P* values for the majority of variants fall below the Bonferroni-corrected significance threshold (Mackay and Huang 2018). Nevertheless, functional testing of genes associated with variants that did not meet the statistically rigorous threshold (*e.g.*, *P* value <10⁻⁶) has been a successful strategy for identifying genes that influence a trait



Figure 5 tTA expression in staged female larvae from high and low female lethal backgrounds. tTA expression levels determined by relative transcript abundance for tTA measured by qRT-PCR.

(Mackay and Huang 2018). Consequently, we performed crosses of the tTA overexpression line with Minos disruption lines and found that 8 of the 19 candidate genes tested had significantly higher female lethality than control. tTA overexpression is thought to cause lethality due to "transcriptional squelching," that is a general interference in gene expression (Gong et al. 2005). Consistent with this hypothesis, some genes identified by the GWAS were involved in gene silencing (Su(var)2-HP2) (Shaffer et al. 2002; Shaffer et al. 2006), chromatin binding (mamo) (Hira et al. 2013), chromatin remodeling (Hira) (Loppin et al. 2000) and alternative splicing (bru1)(Spletter et al. 2015), which could all influence the level of tTA expression. Other candidate genes were involved in defense response (PGRP-LC, Lmpt)(Jin et al. 2008), the septate junction (cora) (Tepass et al. 2001) and apoptosis (out) (Coffman 2003); all of which are systems that could potentially impact survival. Four genes; eff, tey, CG32085 and CG13085, encode proteins that are predicted to participate in protein ubiquitination and degradation (Thurmond et al. 2018; Gramates et al. 2017). For example, the Eff protein is a E2 ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme (Chen et al. 2009). It has been suggested that overexpression of the tTA protein could cause lethality due to interference with ubiquitindependent proteolysis (Gong et al. 2005) as ubiquitination of VP16 is required for activity and also signals destruction (Salghetti *et al.* 2001).

We found that 26 of the candidate genes and 28 computationally predicted genes formed a protein-protein interaction network. Crosses with Minos disruption strains for three of the candidate genes in the network produced a significantly higher percentage female lethality than control. Gene ontology terms associated with the network included cell cycle, nervous system development, regulation of the immune system response and apoptosis. It would thus be of interest to determine if any of these biological processes are disrupted or enhanced in female larvae that overexpress tTA. However, it should be noted that only a single tTA overexpression strain, FL3#2, has been used in this study. The tTA system is sensitive to position of chromosome integration (Heinrich and Scott 2000; Horn and Wimmer 2003) and thus it is possible that some of the candidate genes may be specific for this strain and not generally involved in the tTA lethal mechanism. For example, a gene product that influences the local chromatin environment of the tTA transgene in the FL3#2 strain may not influence gene expression at other locations in the genome. Further, the set of genes that are over or underexpressed when raised on diet with or without tetracycline appear to be unique for each tTA overexpression strain (Bryk et al. 2017).

The simplest explanation for differences in female survival in the different backgrounds is that tTA transcript levels are higher in females from the crosses showing high female lethality. We showed that each of the four selected DGRP crosses that showed high female lethality also expressed high levels of tTA transcript and two of the low female lethality lines showed tTA levels that were significantly lower. This would be consistent with the GWAS analysis that identified variants linked with genes that influence transcription. The high female survival in two lines that had relatively high levels of tTA (317L and 646L), suggests that there may be other mechanisms that provide resistance to the otherwise lethal consequences of tTA overexpression. To gain an insight into how differences in gene expression could influence female survival, we performed RNAseq on sexed late stage 3rd instar larvae. We searched for enrichment of GO terms in the differentially expressed genes. We found genes important for protein transport, vesicle transport, hemostasis and RNA processes were overexpressed in the low lethal lines. Similarly, genes important for embryo development and signal transduction were downregulated. Genes involved in RNA metabolic processes included transcription, mRNA splicing and rRNA



Figure 6 Genes with associated GO terms that are differentially expressed between the high and low female lethality backgrounds. Venn diagram showing the number genes differentially expressed (P < 0.01) in all high female lethal DGRP crosses compared with all low female lethal DGRP crosses, all high lethality compared with the low lethality DGRP lines that had either high tTA, or low tTA expression levels.



Figure 7 Relative RPKM expression of eight DGRP crosses for selected genes. The genes were associated with functionally enriched biological process GO terms and were identified as differentially expressed between the high female lethality lines and the low female lethality lines that had high tTA expression. RNA was isolated from staged female larvae on diet without tetracycline. RPKM values for a gene (rows) were normalized to the highest RPKM expression level to determine the relative normalized RPKM expression levels across all eight lines.

maturation were overexpressed in all of the low lethal lines but particularly in the 317L and 646L lines. It is possible that higher expression of these genes could counteract the general interference with gene expression due to high levels of tTA. Three of the genes encode proteins important for transcription initiation (TfIIS, Taf10 and caz) and a fourth protein, Rpb5, is a RNA polymerase II subunit (Aoyagi and Wassarman 2000). The proteins encoded by the mRNA processing genes associated with low female lethality include spliceosomal snRNP proteins (SmD1, SmD3), smn, which interacts with snRNPs (Kroiss et al. 2008), tsu, a component of the exon-junction complex and components of other spliceosomal complexes (caz, hoi, Bin1) (Herold et al. 2009). The rRNA processing genes are involved in pseudouridylation (CG4038 and NHP2). RNA modifications generally stabilize the ribosome but may also contribute to translational control of gene expression (Sloan et al. 2017). Genes important for essential developmental processes were also overexpressed in the 317L and 646L lines. These include genes important for axon guidance (robo2, robo3, Dscam4, Nrt) and signal transduction (abl kinase, pbl and *shf*). The downregulated genes in the 317L and 646L lines were enriched for GO terms associated with sensory perception and nervous system processes. It is not readily apparent how decreased expression of these genes would increase female survival. These experiments show that resistance to the tTA overexpression lethal mechanism could arise in a target pest population due to preexisting genetic variation that either provides protection by directly impacting the level of tTA transcript produced or by mitigating the otherwise lethal consequences of high tTA levels. Further, there is a need for more fundamental studies on the mechanism of lethality due to tTA overexpression and the potential role(s) of the candidate genes identified in this study.

Resistance in a target pest population could potentially be avoided by releasing strains that combine dual redundant lethality systems that do not share components (Eckermann et al. 2014; Handler 2016). For example, engineer a strain that has a tetracycline-repressible female lethal system and an independent temperature-sensitive lethal system (Handler 2016). Combining multiple systems decreases the likelihood that a pest population would become resistant to all components. Another method for reducing the risk of resistance is to use a single transgene that shows 100% dominant lethality when tested in multiple different genetic backgrounds (Concha et al. 2016). The fully penetrant C. capitata fsRIDL strains combined two tTA overexpression systems into a single transgene construct (Leftwich et al. 2014), which could also reduce the risk of resistance arising in the target population. The spread of resistance in the target population could be limited by introgression of susceptible alleles from the continued release of homozygous males carrying a dominant female lethal system (Alphey et al. 2011). However, subsequent analysis using a spatial model found that interactions between the target population and insects in a non-target area can produce a range of outcomes from enhancing genetic suppression to accelerating the proliferation of resistance (Watkinson-Powell and Alphey 2017).

We have shown that variation in genetic background components can lead to both strengthening and weakening a lethal system. While *D. melanogaster* is not itself an economic pest species, many of the genes identified in this study have orthologs in species that are pests. We advise evaluation of any insect strain that relies solely on tTA overexpression as the lethal mechanism by crossing with strains collected from the location of the target pest population.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the GAANN Fellowship Program in Biotechnology at North Carolina State University and an NIH for a training grant for funding that supported K.K. over the course of this project. W.R. and K.K. were supported by a grant from National Institute for Food and Agriculture (NIFA) Specialty Crops Research Initiative (SCRI) Award number 2015-51181-24252. We thank Dr. Trudy Mackay for her work with the DGRP, her helpful comments and guidance throughout the project, and for reviewing the manuscript. We thank Dr. Jason Osborne for statistical analysis. We thank Dr. Rebecca Davis for reviewing the manuscript. We thank Dr. Patricia Estes and Dr. Hannah Burrack for their comments on the project.

LITERATURE CITED

- Alavanja, M. C., J. A. Hoppin, and F. Kamel, 2004 Health effects of chronic pesticide exposure: cancer and neurotoxicity. Annu. Rev. Public Health 25: 155–197. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.publhealth.25.101802.123020
- Alphey, L., 2014 Genetic control of mosquitoes. Annu. Rev. Entomol. 59: 205–224. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ento-011613-162002
- Alphey, L., and M. Andreasen, 2002 Dominant lethality and insect population control. Mol. Biochem. Parasitol. 121: 173–178. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/S0166-6851(02)00040-3
- Alphey, L., M. Benedict, R. Bellini, G. G. Clark, D. A. Dame *et al.*, 2010 Sterile-insect methods for control of mosquito-borne diseases: an analysis. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis. 10: 295–311. https://doi.org/ 10.1089/vbz.2009.0014
- Alphey, N., M. B. Bonsall, and L. Alphey, 2011 Modeling resistance to genetic control of insects. J. Theor. Biol. 270: 42–55. https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jtbi.2010.11.016
- Anders, S., P. T. Pyl, and W. Huber, 2015 HTSeq—a Python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing data. Bioinformatics 31: 166–169. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu638
- Andrews, S., 2010 FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data.
- Aoyagi, N., and D. A. Wassarman, 2000 Genes encoding Drosophila melanogaster RNA polymerase II general transcription factors: diversity in TFIIA and TFIID components contributes to gene-specific transcriptional regulation. J. Cell Biol. 150: F45–F50. https://doi.org/10.1083/ jcb.150.2.F45
- Atkinson, M. P., Z. Su, N. Alphey, L. S. Alphey, P. G. Coleman et al., 2007 Analyzing the control of mosquito-borne diseases by a dominant lethal genetic system. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 104: 9540–9545. https:// doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0610685104
- Baldi, I., 2003 Neurodegenerative Diseases and Exposure to Pesticides in the Elderly. Am. J. Epidemiol. 157: 409–414. https://doi.org/10.1093/aje/ kwf216
- Betarbet, R., T. B. Sherer, G. MacKenzie, M. Garcia-Osuna, A. V. Panov et al., 2000 Chronic systemic pesticide exposure reproduces features of Parkinson's disease. Nat. Neurosci. 3: 1301–1306. https://doi.org/10.1038/81834
- Black, W. C., L. Alphey, and A. A. James, 2011 Why RIDL is not SIT. Trends Parasitol. 27: 362–370. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pt.2011.04.004
- Bolger, A. M., M. Lohse, and B. Usadel, 2014 Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. Bioinformatics 30: 2114–2120. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170
- Bryk, J., R. G. Reeves, F. A. Reed, and J. A. Denton, 2017 Transcriptional effects of a positive feedback circuit in Drosophila melanogaster. BMC Genomics 18: 990. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12864-017-4385-z
- Carvalho, D. O., A. R. McKemey, L. Garziera, R. Lacroix, C. A. Donnelly et al., 2015 Suppression of a field population of *Aedes aegypti* in Brazil by sustained release of transgenic male mosquitoes. PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis. 9: e0003864. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0003864
- Carvalho, F. D., and L. A. Moreira, 2017 Why is Aedes aegypti Linnaeus so Successful as a Species? Neotrop. Entomol. 46: 243–255. https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s13744-017-0520-4
- Champer, J., Z. Wen, A. Luthra, R. Reeves, J. Chung *et al.*, 2019 CRISPR Gene Drive Efficiency and Resistance Rate Is Highly Heritable with No

Common Genetic Loci of Large Effect. Genetics 212: 333–341. https:// doi.org/10.1534/genetics.119.302037

- Chen, D., Q. Wang, H. Huang, L. Xia, X. Jiang *et al.*, 2009 Effete-mediated degradation of Cyclin A is essential for the maintenance of germline stem cells in Drosophila. Development 136: 4133–4142. https://doi.org/ 10.1242/dev.039032
- Coffman, C. R., 2003 Cell migration and programmed cell death of Drosophila germ cells. Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. 995: 117–126. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/j.1749-6632.2003.tb03215.x
- Concha, C., A. Palavesam, F. D. Guerrero, A. Sagel, F. Li et al., 2016 A transgenic male-only strain of the New World screwworm for an improved control program using the sterile insect technique. BMC Biol. 14: 72. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12915-016-0296-8
- Dunnett, C. W., 1955 A Multiple Comparison Procedure for Comparing Several Treatments with a Control. J. Am. Stat. Assoc. 50: 1096–1121. https://doi.org/10.1080/01621459.1955.10501294
- Eckermann, K. N., S. Dippel, M. KaramiNejadRanjbar, H. M. Ahmed, I. M. Curril *et al.*, 2014 Perspective on the combined use of an independent transgenic sexing and a multifactorial reproductive sterility system to avoid resistance development against transgenic Sterile Insect Technique approaches. BMC Genet. 15: S17. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2156-15-S2-S17
- Eden, E., R. Navon, I. Steinfeld, D. Lipson, and Z. Yakhini, 2009 GOrilla: a tool for discovery and visualization of enriched GO terms in ranked gene lists. BMC Bioinformatics 10: 48. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2105-10-48
- Evans, B. R., P. Kotsakiozi, A. L. Costa-da-Silva, R. S. Ioshino, L. Garziera et al., 2019 Transgenic Aedes aegypti Mosquitoes Transfer Genes into a Natural Population. Sci. Rep. 9: 13047. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-49660-6
- Fu, G., R. S. Lees, D. Nimmo, D. Aw, L. Jin et al., 2010 Female-specific flightless phenotype for mosquito control. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 107: 4550–4554. Erratum: 18741. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1000251107
- Fu, G. L., K. C. Condon, M. J. Epton, P. Gong, L. Jin et al., 2007 Femalespecific insect lethality engineered using alternative splicing. Nat. Biotechnol. 25: 353–357. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt1283
- Gong, P., M. J. Epton, G. Fu, S. Scaife, A. Hiscox et al., 2005 A dominant lethal genetic system for autocidal control of the Mediterranean fruitfly. Nat. Biotechnol. 23: 453–456. https://doi.org/10.1038/ nbt1071
- Gramates, L. S., S. J. Marygold, G. dos Santos, J. Urbano, G. Antonazzo et al.,
 2017 FlyBase at 25: looking to the future. Nucleic Acids Res. 45: D663– D671. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw1016
- Handler, A. M., 2016 Enhancing the stability and ecological safety of mass-reared transgenic strains for field release by redundant conditional lethality systems. Insect Sci. 23: 225–234. https://doi.org/10.1111/ 1744-7917.12245
- Heinrich, J. C., and M. J. Scott, 2000 A repressible female-specific lethal genetic system for making transgenic insect strains suitable for a sterilerelease program. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 97: 8229–8232. https:// doi.org/10.1073/pnas.140142697
- Herold, N., C. L. Will, E. Wolf, B. Kastner, H. Urlaub *et al.*, 2009 Conservation of the protein composition and electron microscopy structure of Drosophila melanogaster and human spliceosomal complexes. Mol. Cell. Biol. 29: 281–301. https://doi.org/10.1128/ MCB.01415-08
- Hira, S., T. Okamoto, M. Fujiwara, H. Kita, S. Kobayashi *et al.*, 2013 Binding of Drosophila maternal Mamo protein to chromatin and specific DNA sequences. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 438: 156–160. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2013.07.045
- Horn, C., and E. A. Wimmer, 2003 A transgene-based, embryo-specific lethality system for insect pest management. Nat. Biotechnol. 21: 64–70. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt769
- Huang, W., A. Massouras, Y. Inoue, J. Peiffer, M. Ramia *et al.*, 2014 Natural variation in genome architecture among 205 Drosophila melanogaster Genetic Reference Panel lines. Genome Res. 24: 1193–1208. https:// doi.org/10.1101/gr.171546.113

Jin, L. H., J. Shim, J. S. Yoon, B. Kim, J. Kim et al., 2008 Identification and functional analysis of antifungal immune response genes in Drosophila. PLoS Pathog. 4: e1000168. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.ppat.1000168

Kim, D., G. Pertea, C. Trapnell, H. Pimentel, R. Kelley et al., 2013 TopHat2: accurate alignment of transcriptomes in the presence of insertions, deletions and gene fusions. Genome Biol. 14: R36. https://doi.org/10.1186/ gb-2013-14-4-r36

Klassen, W., and C. F. Curtis, 2005 History of the sterile insect technique, pp. 3–36 in Sterile Insect Technique. Principles and Practice in Area-Wide Integrated Pest Management, edited by Dyck, V. A., J. Hendrichs, and A. S. Robinson. Springer, Dordrecht.

Knipling, E. F., 1955 Possibilities of Insect Control or Eradication Through the Use of Sexually Sterile Males. J. Econ. Entomol. 48: 459–462. https:// doi.org/10.1093/jee/48.4.459

Kroiss, M., J. Schultz, J. Wiesner, A. Chari, A. Sickmann *et al.*, 2008 Evolution of an RNP assembly system: a minimal SMN complex facilitates formation of UsnRNPs in Drosophila melanogaster. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 105: 10045–10050. https://doi.org/10.1073/ pnas.0802287105

Kuehn, B. M., 2010 Increased risk of ADHD associated with early exposure to pesticides, PCBs. JAMA 304: 27–28. https://doi.org/10.1001/ jama.2010.860

Langmead, B., and S. L. Salzberg, 2012 Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat. Methods 9: 357–359. https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1923

Leftwich, P. T., M. Koukidou, P. Rempoulakis, H. F. Gong, A. Zacharopoulou et al., 2014 Genetic elimination of field-cage populations of Mediterranean fruit flies. Proc. Biol. Sci. 281: 20141372. https://doi.org/10.1098/ rspb.2014.1372

Li, F., S. P. Vensko, 2nd, E. J. Belikoff, and M. J. Scott, 2013 Conservation and sex-specific splicing of the *transformer* gene in the Calliphorids *Cochliomyia hominivorax, Cochliomyia macellaria* and *Lucilia sericata.* PLoS One 8: e56303. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0056303

Li, F., H. A. Wantuch, R. J. Linger, E. J. Belikoff, and M. J. Scott, 2014 Transgenic sexing system for genetic control of the Australian sheep blow fly *Lucilia cuprina*. Insect Biochem. Mol. Biol. 51: 80–88. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibmb.2014.06.001

Loppin, B., M. Docquier, F. Bonneton, and P. Couble, 2000 The maternal effect mutation sesame affects the formation of the male pronucleus in Drosophila melanogaster. Dev. Biol. 222: 392–404. https://doi.org/ 10.1006/dbio.2000.9718

Mackay, T. F., S. Richards, E. A. Stone, A. Barbadilla, J. F. Ayroles *et al.*,
2012 The Drosophila melanogaster Genetic Reference Panel. Nature 482: 173–178. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10811

Mackay, T. F. C., and W. Huang, 2018 Charting the genotype-phenotype map: lessons from the *Drosophila melanogaster* Genetic Reference Panel. Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Dev. Biol. 7: e289. https://doi.org/10.1002/ wdev.289

Maroni, G., and S. C. Stamey, 1983 Use of blue food to select synchronous, late third-instar larvae. Drosoph. Inf. Serv. 59: 142–143.

Metaxakis, A., 2005 Minos as a Genetic and Genomic Tool in Drosophila melanogaster. Genetics 171: 571–581. https://doi.org/10.1534/ genetics.105.041848

Phuc, H. K., M. H. Andreasen, R. S. Burton, C. Vass, M. J. Epton et al., 2007 Late-acting dominant lethal genetic systems and mosquito control. BMC Biol. 5: 11. https://doi.org/10.1186/1741-7007-5-11

Pimentel, D., 2009a Environmental and Economic Costs of the Application of Pesticides Primarily in the United States, pp. 89–111 in *Integrated Pest Management: Innovation-Development Process*, edited by Peshin, P., and A. K. Dhawan. Springer, NY. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-8992-3_4

Pimentel, D., 2009b Pesticide and Pest Control, pp. 83–87 in Integrated Pest Management: Innovation-Development Process, edited by Peshin, P., and A. K. Dhawan. Springer, Dordrecht, Netherlands. https://doi.org/10.1007/ 978-1-4020-8992-3_3

Rendón, P., D. McInnis, D. Lance, and J. Stewart, 2004 Medfly (Diptera: Tephritidae) genetic sexing: large-scale field comparison of males-only and bisexual sterile fly releases in Guatemala. J. Econ. Entomol. 97: 1547– 1553. https://doi.org/10.1603/0022-0493-97.5.1547

Robinson, M. D., D. J. McCarthy, and G. K. Smyth, 2010 edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. Bioinformatics 26: 139–140. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp616

Salghetti, S. E., A. A. Caudy, J. G. Chenoweth, and W. P. Tansey, 2001 Regulation of transcriptional activation domain function by ubiquitin. Science 293: 1651–1653. https://doi.org/10.1126/ science.1062079

Sánchez-Bayo, F., and K. A. G. Wyckhuys, 2019 Worldwide decline of the entomofauna: A review of its drivers. Biol. Conserv. 232: 8–27. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2019.01.020

Schetelig, M. F., A. Targovska, J. S. Meza, K. Bourtzis, and A. M. Handler, 2016 Tetracycline-suppressible female lethality and sterility in the Mexican fruit fly, *Anastrepha ludens*. Insect Mol. Biol. 25: 500–508. https://doi.org/10.1111/imb.12238

Scott, M. J., F. Gould, M. D. Lorenzen, N. Grubbs, O. R. Edwards *et al.*, 2017 Agricultural Production: Assessment of the Potential use of Cas9mediated Gene Drive Systems for Agricultural Pest Control. J. Responsib. Innov. (in press).

Shaffer, C. D., G. Cenci, B. Thompson, G. E. Stephens, E. E. Slawson et al., 2006 The large isoform of Drosophila melanogaster heterochromatin protein 2 plays a critical role in gene silencing and chromosome structure. Genetics 174: 1189–1204. https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.106.057604

Shaffer, C. D., G. E. Stephens, B. A. Thompson, L. Funches, J. A. Bernat et al., 2002 Heterochromatin protein 2 (HP2), a partner of HP1 in Drosophila heterochromatin. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 99: 14332–14337. https:// doi.org/10.1073/pnas.212458899

Sloan, K. E., A. S. Warda, S. Sharma, K. D. Entian, D. L. J. Lafontaine *et al.*, 2017 Tuning the ribosome: The influence of rRNA modification on eukaryotic ribosome biogenesis and function. RNA Biol. 14: 1138–1152. https://doi.org/10.1080/15476286.2016.1259781

Spletter, M. L., C. Barz, A. Yeroslaviz, C. Schonbauer, I. R. Ferreira *et al.*, 2015 The RNA-binding protein Arrest (Bruno) regulates alternative splicing to enable myofibril maturation in Drosophila flight muscle. EMBO Rep. 16: 178–191. https://doi.org/10.15252/embr.201439791

Tepass, U., G. Tanentzapf, R. Ward, and R. Fehon, 2001 Epithelial cell polarity and cell junctions in Drosophila. Annu. Rev. Genet. 35: 747–784. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.genet.35.102401.091415

Thurmond, J., J. L. Goodman, V. B. Strelets, H. Attrill, L. S. Gramates et al., 2018 FlyBase 2.0: the next generation. Nucleic Acids Res. 47: D759– D765. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky1003

Verhulst, E. C., L. van de Zande, and L. W. Beukeboom, 2010 Insect sex determination: it all evolves around transformer. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. 20: 376–383. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gde.2010.05.001

Watkinson-Powell, B., and N. Alphey, 2017 Resistance to genetic insect control: Modelling the effects of space. J. Theor. Biol. 413: 72–85. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jtbi.2016.10.014

Communicating editor: R. Anholt