Comparing the Effect of Incentive Spirometry with Acapella on Blood Gases in Physiotherapy After Coronary Artery Bypass Graft

Masood Alam 1 , Shafqat Hussain 2 , Muhammad Imran Shehzad 1 , Azam Mushtaq 3 , Abdul Rauf 4 , Sohaib Ishaq 5

1. Pulmonology, Chaudhary Pervaiz Elahi Institute of Cardiology, Multan, PAK 2. Cardiac Surgery, Chaudhary Pervaiz Elahi Institute of Cardiology, Multan, PAK 3. Pulmonology, Quaid E Azam Medical College, Bahawalpur, PAK 4. Pulmonology, Dera Ghazi Khan Teaching Hospital, Dera Ghazi Khan, PAK 5. Intensive Care Unit, Services Hospital, Lahore, PAK

Corresponding author: Masood Alam, dr.masood174@gmail.com

Abstract

Objective

To compare the effect of incentive spirometry with Acapella (Smiths Medical Inc, Carlsbad, California) in physiotherapy after coronary artery bypass surgery.

Methods

A randomized controlled trial comparing incentive spirometry with Acapella was conducted in the intensive care unit of Chaudhary Pervaiz Elahi Institute of Cardiology (CPEIC) Multan. The study began from December 2017 to August 2019 after getting approval from the ethical committee of the hospital. Informed written consent was taken from all 270 patients who were included in the study. Patients who underwent coronary artery bypass graft (CABG) were divided into two groups by the lottery method. The primary end-point of the study was to check the blood gases on Day 3 after the procedure at room air and compare it with the baseline and with blood gases immediately after the procedure. SPSS 23 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY) was used to analyze the data of this study. For qualitative variables in data such as gender, place of living, patients with any comorbidities, and education status were statistically analyzed in percentage and frequencies. For numerical variables, such as age, body mass index, blood gases values, distance covered in a six-minute walk test, and spirometry values were analyzed and statistically measured as mean and standard deviation. A P-value of less than .05 was considered significant.

Results

The mean partial pressure of oxygen (PaO2) of incentive spirometry was 58.1 ± 2.31 and 67.2 ± 3.24 after extubation and after three days, respectively. While the PaO2 of Acapella was 56.3 ± 3.43 and 66.4 ± 3.54 after extubation and after three days, respectively. The mean PCO2 of incentive spirometry was 41.4 ± 3.26 and 36.1 ± 2.11 after extubation and after three days, respectively. While the partial pressure of carbon dioxide (PCO2) of Acapella was 39.4 ± 2.55 and 37.5 ± 3.58 after extubation and after three days, respectively. The differences were statistically significant at p-value ≤ 0.05 .

Conclusion

How to cite this article

Alam M, Hussain S, Shehzad M, et al. (February 03, 2020) Comparing the Effect of Incentive Spirometry with Acapella on Blood Gases in Physiotherapy After Coronary Artery Bypass Graft. Cureus 12(2): e6851. DOI 10.7759/cureus.6851

Received 01/10/2020 Review began 01/18/2020 Review ended 01/20/2020 Published 02/03/2020

© Copyright 2020

Alam et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY 3.0., which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited. It was concluded that both Acapella and incentive spirometry treatment after coronary artery bypass graft improved blood gases.

Categories: Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation, Pulmonology **Keywords:** incentive spirometry, acapella, physiotherapy, coronary artery bypass graft

Introduction

The removal of respiratory secretions is an important concern during the rehabilitation phase after cardiac surgery and postoperative pain can interfere with the elimination of respiratory tract secretions. The secretions of the respiratory tract cannot be eliminated, producing atelectasis, pneumonia, and increased mortality [1]. Hand stroke with chest wall vibration is a widely accepted method of physiotherapy, which helps cleanse the respiratory system. However, this requires a lot of manpower, depends on the operator, and consumes a lot of time. Moreover, it may be painful for patients immediately after the operation. Respiratory problems are still the main cause of morbidity in postoperative heart surgery and long-term hospitalization [2]. However, patients with mitral valve disease have a higher risk of respiratory complications after cardiac surgery than patients with coronary artery disease [3]. Postoperative pulmonary complications (PPCs) were defined as "Any pulmonary abnormality that occurs in the postoperative period and produces identifiable diseases or dysfunctions that are clinically significant and negatively affect the clinical course" [4]. The increase in the hospitalization period is also an indirect measure of postoperative pulmonary complications [5].

There are several reports that alternative types of vibration and oscillation can facilitate air removal and improve lung function in various clinical settings [6-10]. So far, no clinical studies have evaluated the effect of positive vasodilator pressure with Acapella (Smiths Medical Inc, Carlsbad, California) in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting. Acapella consists of an anti-weight cover and a metal bar connected to a handle and magnet. The oscillation of the airflow is generated by breaking and repairing the magnetic gravity through the plug where the air passing through the unit is interrupted periodically. Patients in the control cluster were treated with incentive spirometry, which is routinely utilized after a cardiac procedure in our hospital. Incentive spirometry is intended to encourage the patient to take a full breath, impersonating unconstrained yawning or moaning, expanding or keeping up breathed-in lung volume, and enhancing sputum expectoration [11]. Patients were told to take 10 profound inward breaths with their lips fixed around the mouthpiece - this was propelled by the visual motivation furnished by the balls ascending with the dynamic inward breath. They were urged to utilize incentive spirometry every two hours, in a mediocre sitting position while conscious.

The purpose of this study is to investigate and compare the two methods of physiotherapy and rehabilitation and their effects on pulmonary function and blood gases. This research will provide a base for further investigation and will also establish some facts.

Materials And Methods

A randomized controlled trial comparing incentive spirometry with Acapella was conducted in the intensive care unit of CPEIC Multan. The study began after getting approval from the ethical committee of the hospital and the period of the study was from December 2017 to August 2019. Informed written consent was taken from all 270 patients who were included in the study. Patients who underwent coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) were divided into two groups by the lottery method. The primary end-point of the study was to check the blood gases on Day 3 after the procedure at room air and compare it with the baseline and with blood gases immediately after the procedure. The secondary end-point of the study was to check the functional status of patients in both groups on Day 3 after surgery by a six-minute walk test.

Group 1 will include 135 of those patients who received standard physiotherapy, that is, breathing exercise, huffing, coughing, and manual percussion along with incentive spirometry and other 135 Group 2 patients received the same standard physiotherapy and Acapella instead of incentive spirometry. The exclusion criteria of this study was patients with a body mass index (BMI) of less than 16kg/m² and greater than 35kg/m²; patients who had a baseline partial pressure of oxygen (PaO2) less than 75 mm of Hg and partial pressure of carbon dioxide (PCO2) greater than 45mm of Hg; patients who required more than 48 hours of intubation after surgery; patients who needed re-intubation; patients with any neuromuscular disease. The study done by Cho et al. was taken as a reference study to calculate the sample size for which a 95% confidence interval and a study strength of 80 will be taken [12].

All patients of age more than 30 years old admitted to the hospital for CABG were registered for the study. Their history was taken to fully evaluate them, a clinical examination was carried out to assess any comorbidities, and the vitals of patients were recorded. One day before surgery, an arterial blood sample of all patients was obtained from the radial artery to document the baseline blood gas situation and, on the same day, spirometry of all the patients was carried out and their baseline forced vital capacity (FVC) and forced expiratory volume (FEV1) were documented. The patient's functional status was checked at the time of admission by the sixminute walk test. After the procedure and extubation, an arterial blood gas analysis was performed. And on Day 3, arterial blood gas analysis was performed again and functional status was checked by the six-minute walk test.

SPSS 23 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY) was used to analyze the data of this study. For qualitative variables in data such as gender, place of living, patients with any comorbidities, and education status were statistically analyzed in percentage and frequencies. For numerical variables, such as age, BMI, blood gases values, distance covered in the six-minute walk test, and spirometry values were analyzed and statistically measured as mean and standard deviation. The chi-square and t-test were applied to check the significance. And a p-value of equal or less than .05 was considered significant.

Results

Two hundred and seventy patients were included in this study. The patients were randomized into two groups: incentive spirometry and Acapella. The mean age and BMI of the incentive spirometry were 44.63 ± 3.38 years and 26.37 ± 2.31 kg/m², respectively; n=54 (40%) lived in rural areas' and n=81 (60%) lived in urban areas. There were n=47 (34.8%) smokers. Diabetes mellitus (DM) and hypertension (HTN) were observed as n=63 (46.7%) and n=52 (38.5%), respectively. While the mean age and BMI of the Acapella were 49.14 ± 3.27 years and 25.48 ± 1.74 kg/m², respectively. N=51 (37.8%) lived in rural areas and n=84 (62.2%) lived in urban areas. There were n=64 (47.4%) smokers. DM and HTN were observed as n=58 (43%) and n=46 (34.1%), respectively. The difference was statistically significant for smoking status (p=0.035) (Table 1).

Variable	Incentive Spirometry n=135	Acapella n=135	P-value
Age (years)	44.63±3.38	49.14±3.27	0.712
BMI (kg/m ²)	26.37±2.31	25.48±1.74	0.000
DM	n=63 (46.7%)	n=58 (43%)	0.541
HTN	n=52 (38.5%)	n=46 (34.1%)	0.448
Smokers	n=47 (34.8%)	n=64 (47.4%)	0.035
Residential status			
Rural	n=54 (40%)	n=51 (37.8%)	0.708
Urban	n=81 (60%)	n=84 (62.2%)	0.700

TABLE 1: Demographic characteristics among the groups

BMI: body mass index; DM: diabetes mellitus; HTN: hypertension

The mean PaO2 of incentive spirometry and Acapella was 70.95 ± 2.13 mmHg and 68.62 ± 2.81 mmHg, respectively, before surgery. The difference was statistically significant (p=0.000). The mean PCO2 of incentive spirometry and Acapella was 38.17 ± 1.72 mmHg and 37.73 ± 2.59 mmHg, respectively. The difference was statistically insignificant (p=0.099). The mean six-minute-walk test of incentive spirometry and Acapella was 280.47 ± 6.12 meters and 295.89 ± 4.83 meters, respectively. The difference was statistically significant (p=0.000). FVC (%) was found to be n=92 (68.1%) and n=94 (69.6%) for the incentive spirometry and Acapella groups, respectively. FEV1 was found to be n=92 (68.1%) and n=80 (59.3%) for the incentive spirometry and Acapella groups, respectively. But, these differences were not statistically significant: (p=0.793) and (p=0.129), respectively (Table 2).

Variable	Incentive Spirometry n=135	Acapella n=135	P-value
FVC (%)	n=92 (68.1%)	n=94 (69.6%)	0.793
FEV _{1 (%)}	n=92 (68.1%)	n=80 (59.3%)	0.129
PaO _{2 (mmHg)}	70.95±2.13	68.62±2.81	0.000
PcO _{2 (mmHg)}	38.17±1.72	37.73±2.59	0.099
Six-minute walk test (meters)	280.47±6.12	295.89±4.83	0.000

TABLE 2: Parameters before surgery

forced vital capacity (FVC); forced expiratory volume (FEV1); partial pressure of oxygen (PaO2); partial pressure of carbon dioxide (PCO2)

The mean PaO2 of incentive spirometry was 58.1 ± 2.31 mmHg and 67.2 ± 3.24 mmHg after extubation and after three days, respectively. While the PaO2 of Acapella was 56.3 ± 3.43 mmHg and 66.4 ± 3.54 mmHg after extubation and after three days, respectively. The mean PCO2 of incentive spirometry was 41.4 ± 3.26 mmHg and 36.1 ± 2.11 mmHg, after extubation and after three days, respectively. While, the PCO2 of Acapella was 39.4 ± 2.55 mmHg and 37.5 ± 3.58 mmHg, after extubation and after three days, respectively. The differences were statistically significant (Table 3). P-value ≤ 0.05 was considered significant.

Variable	After extubation	After 3 days	P-value
PaO ₂ (mmHg)			
Incentive spirometry	58.1±2.31	67.2±3.24	0.000
Acapella	56.3±3.43	66.4±3.54	0.000
PCO ₂ (mmHg)			
Incentive spirometry	41.4±3.26	36.1±2.11	0.000
Acapella	39.4±2.55	37.5±3.58	0.000

TABLE 3: Parameters after surgery

partial pressure of oxygen (PaO2); partial pressure of carbon dioxide (PCO2)

Discussion

In this study, treatment with incentive spirometry and Acapella improved PaO2 on the third day after extubation. Similarly, both caused a significant change in PaCO2 in both groups.

In some studies, it was recommended to use physiotherapy to decrease the stay in the hospital and decrease complications, especially after thoracic surgery [13]. Different kinds of physiotherapy of the chest have been investigated and many findings have been published. High-frequency oscillation, combined with expiratory positive pressure, has caused increased clearance and sputum expectoration in bronchiectasis and in patients who were on mechanical ventilation [14-17]. In one study, it was published that in patients who underwent lobectomy, the high-frequency oscillation of the chest improved oxygenation and lung function after surgery [18]. The manufacturer of the Acapella device claimed this manoeuver. So, it was assumed that it would cause a similar clearance of airways and would improve lung function after lung surgery.

The use of Acapella was comfortable as compared to incentive spirometry. In this investigation, the effect of Acapella on drains was not studied. As in some previous research, it was noted that oscillation of the chest wall with high-frequency oscillation was associated with more drainage in the chest tube [18]. As Acapella transmits oscillations and vibrations internally, it did not cause harm to the wound and was safer as compared to manual percussion of the chest wall.

In the previous study, oscillation and vibration of the chest wall improved oxygenation and FEV1 in patients who underwent lobectomy as compared to standard physiotherapy [18]. In our study, incentive spirometry and Acapella both improved blood gases. As both groups also received standard physiotherapy in addition to these interventions, it could be due to this that both groups achieved significant improvement.

In this study, patients who required prolonged mechanical ventilation were excluded. The limitation of our study was that after surgery, lung function tests were not done to document the change in lung volumes and capacities. Most of them were lost to follow-up. In this study, on the third day, blood gases values were obtained and statistically analyzed and days between them were not included in the research. Time of anesthesia was also not considered in this investigation, which is also one of the main factors that increase the secretion and atelectasis of lung. Similarly, patients who had limited effort were treated with steam nebulization and more sessions of manual percussion were done on these patients. But this factor was ignored in this research.

Conclusions

The results of our study concluded that both Acapella and incentive spirometry have the tendency to improve oxygenation after cardiac bypass surgery. There was no significant difference between both of these techniques in improving oxygenation. Any of the techniques can be used postoperatively to improve oxygenation.

Additional Information

Disclosures

Human subjects: Consent was obtained by all participants in this study. Chaudhary Pervaiz Elahi Institute of Cardiology (CPEIC), MULTAN issued approval pul/cpeic/2018. Animal subjects: All authors have confirmed that this study did not involve animal subjects or tissue. Conflicts of interest: In compliance with the ICMJE uniform disclosure form, all authors declare the following: Payment/services info: All authors have declared that no financial support was received from any organization for the submitted work. Financial relationships: All authors have declared that they have no financial relationships at present or within the previous three years with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work. Other relationships: All authors have declared that there are no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

References

- 1. Kelkar KV: Post-operative pulmonary complications after non-cardiothoracic surgery. Indian J Anaesth. 2015, 59:599-605. 10.4103/0019-5049.165857
- Lugg ST, Agostini PJ, Tikka T, et al.: Long-term impact of developing a postoperative pulmonary complication after lung surgery. Thorax. 2016, 71:171-176. 10.1136/thoraxjnl-2015-207697
- Hachenberg T, Tenling A, Hans H, Hans T, Goran H: The ventilation-perfusion relation and gas exchange in mitral valve disease and coronary artery disease implications for anesthesia, extracorporeal circulation, and cardiac surgery. Anaesthesiology. 1997, 86:809-817. 10.1097/00000542-199704000-00011
- Neto AS, Hemmes SN, Barbas CS, et al.: Association between driving pressure and development of postoperative pulmonary complications in patients undergoing mechanical ventilation for general anaesthesia: a meta-analysis of individual patient data. Lancet. 2016, 4:272-280. 10.1016/S2213-2600(16)00057-6
- Fernandez FG, Kosinski AS, Furnary AP, et al.: Differential effects of operative complications on survival after surgery for primary lung cancer. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg. 2018, 155:1254-1264. 10.1016/j.jtcvs.2017.09.149
- 6. Sancho J, Bures E, de La Asunción S, Servera E: Effect of high-frequency oscillations on cough peak flows generated by mechanical in-exsufflation in medically stable subjects with amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. Respir Care. 2016, 61:1051-1058. 10.4187/respcare.04552
- Nicolini A, Mascardi V, Grecchi B, Ferrari-Bravo M, Banfi P, Barlascini C: Comparison of effectiveness of temporary positive expiratory pressure versus oscillatory positive expiratory pressure in severe COPD patients. Clin Respir J. 2018, 12:1274-1282. 10.1111/crj.12661
- Gastaldi AC, Paredi P, Talwar A, Meah S, Barnes PJ, Usmani OS: Oscillating positive expiratory pressure on respiratory resistance in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease with a small amount of secretion: a randomized clinical trial. Medicine. 2015, 94:e1845. 10.1097/MD.00000000001845
- Figueiredo PH, Zin WA, Guimaraes FS: Flutter valve improves respiratory mechanics and sputum production in patients with bronchiectasis. Physiother Res Int. 2012, 17:12-20. 10.1002/pri.507
- Guo YX, Wang ZN, Li YT, et al.: High-frequency oscillatory ventilation is an effective treatment for severe pediatric acute respiratory distress syndrome with refractory hypoxemia. Ther Clin Risk Manag. 2016, 12:1563-1571. 10.2147/TCRM.S115884
- Heydari A, Farzad M, Ahmadi Hosseini SH: Comparing inspiratory resistive muscle training with incentive spirometry on rehabilitation of COPD patients. Rehabil Nurs. 2015, 40:243-248. 10.1002/rnj.136
- 12. Cho YJ, Ryu H, Lee J, et al.: A randomised controlled trial comparing incentive spirometry with the Acapella[®] device for physiotherapy after thoracoscopic lung resection surgery. Anaesthesia. 2014, 69:891-898. 10.1111/anae.12750
- 13. Jones NL, Edmonds L, Ghosh S, Klein AA: A review of enhanced recovery for thoracic anaesthesia and surgery. Anaesthesia. 2013, 68:179-189. 10.1111/anae.12067
- Naraparaju S, Vaishali K, Venkatesan P, Acharya V: A comparison of the Acapella and a threshold inspiratory muscle trainer for sputum clearance in bronchiectasis a pilot study. Physiother Theory Pract. 2010, 26:353-357. 10.3109/09593981003596616
- 15. Patterson JE, Hewitt O, Kent L, Bradbury I, Elborn JS, Bradley JM: Acapella versus 'usual airway clearance' during acute exacerbation in bronchiectasis: a randomized crossover trial. Chron Respir Dis. 2007, 4:67-74. 10.1177/1479972306075483
- Figueiredo PH, Zin WA, Guimaraes FS: Flutter valve improves respiratory mechanics and sputum production in patients with bronchiectasis. Physiother Res Int. 2012, 17:12-20. 10.1002/pri.507
- 17. Chicayban LM, Zin WA, Guimaraes FS: Can the flutter valve improve respiratory mechanics and sputum production in mechanically ventilated patients? A randomized crossover trial. Heart Lung. 2011, 40:545-553. 10.1016/j.hrtlng.2011.05.008
- 18. Park H, Park J, Woo SY, Yi YH, Kim K: Effect of high-frequency chest wall oscillation on pulmonary function after pulmonary lobectomy for non-small cell lung cancer. Crit Care Med.

2012, 40:2583-2589. 10.1097/CCM.0b013e318258fd6d