



Data Article

Global high-resolution gridded dataset of N₂O Emission and mitigation potential from maize and wheat fields

Kindie Tesfaye^a, Robel Takele^a, Tek B. Sapkota^{b,*},
Arun Khatri-Chhetri^c, Dawit Solomon^d, Clare Stirling^e,
Fabrizio Albanito^f

^a International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center (CIMMYT), Addis Ababa, Ethiopia

^b International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center (CIMMYT), El Batan, Mexico

^c CGIAR Research Program on Climate Change, Agriculture and Food Security (CCAFS), CIAT-Bioversity alliance, Cali, Colombia

^d Climate Change, Agriculture and Food Security (CCAFS), East Africa Program, ILRI, Ethiopia

^e Cocoa Life Crop Science Technology Platform Mondelez UK R&D Limited, Birmingham, UK

^f Institute of Biological & Environmental Sciences, School of Biological Sciences, University of Aberdeen, Cruickshank Building, St. Machar Drive, Aberdeen AB24 3UU, UK

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 6 May 2021

Revised 5 June 2021

Accepted 17 June 2021

Available online 21 June 2021

Keywords:

High-resolution

Climate change

N₂O emission

Global

Gridded

Maize

Wheat

Mitigation

ABSTRACT

This data article provides a high-resolution raw data on Nitrous Oxide (N₂O) emission and its mitigation potential from global maize and wheat fields. The analytical results, discussion and conclusion thereof is presented in the related manuscript "Model Comparison and Quantification of Nitrous Oxide Emission and Mitigation Potential from Maize and Wheat Fields at a Global Scale" [1]. This raw dataset has a spatial resolution of 0.0833° × 0.0833°, and comprises pixel level baseline emissions estimated using four empirical N₂O emission models (CCAFS-MOT, IPCC Tier-I, IPCC Tier-II and Tropical-N2O) and the model results were validated using experimental data extracted from the literature. Spatially explicit soil, climate and crop management data were obtained from various sources detailed in "Experimental Design, Materials and Methods" section below. N₂O mitigation potential were then quantified under four scenarios of excess nitrogen

DOI of original article: [10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.146696](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.146696)

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: t.sapkota@cgiar.org (T.B. Sapkota).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2021.107239>

2352-3409/© 2021 International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center (CIMMYT). Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>)

reduction (i.e. 25%, 50%, 75% and 100% reduction of excess nitrogen). We believe that the dataset is a valuable source of information to assess N₂O emissions and mitigation measures from maize and wheat fields and to make informed decision. Countries can use this dataset to determine emissions reduction targets in their nationally determined contributions (NDCs) from agricultural sector.

© 2021 International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center (CIMMYT). Published by Elsevier Inc.

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>)

Specifications Table

Subject	Agriculture, Environmental Sciences
Specific subject area	Landscape ecology, Climate change, Nitrous oxide emissions.
Type of data	Data Cube (Raster X Level) in NetCDF
How data were acquired	Estimated using four N ₂ O models from Maize and Wheat fields. In addition, different levels of excess N reduction (25%, 50%, 75% and 100%) were applied for each model and crop for estimation of possible mitigation potentials.
Data format	Raw (soil, climate and management data), estimated (emission and mitigation potential data) NetCDF (Network Common Data Form): is a set of software libraries and machine-independent data formats that support the creation, access, and sharing of array-oriented scientific data. It is also a community standard for sharing scientific data.
Parameters for data collection	Global annual estimation from maize and wheat fields for the year 2013, 0.0833 × 0.0833 spatial resolution, raster data.
Description of data collection	Global input datasets were collected from a number of major sources, as recommended by the global N ₂ O model inter-comparison project (NMIP). The description and sources of these datasets were given in Table 1 of related article [1].
Data source location	Global scale: from maize and wheat fields
Data accessibility	With the article and a public repository Repository name: Mendeley Data DOI: 10.17632/hcvm3zrb95.1 Direct URL to data: https://data.mendeley.com/datasets/hcvm3zrb95
Related research article	[1] K. Tesfaye, R. Takele, T.B. Sapkota, A. Khatri-chhetri, D. Solomon, C. Stirling, F. Albanito, Model comparison and quantification of nitrous oxide emission and mitigation potential from maize and wheat fields at a global scale, <i>Sci. Total Environ.</i> 782 (2021) 146,696. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.146696 .

Value of the Data

- The dataset is global, has a spatial resolution of 0.0833° × 0.0833°, which can increase knowledge and improve understanding of the spatial variations of N₂O emissions from maize and wheat fields to prioritize mitigation work.
- The dataset can better inform and guide countries in assessing N₂O emissions and mitigation measures as well as reporting their NDC targets under the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC).
- The dataset is functional and can be utilized by experts outside of environmental science, e.g. insurance companies, policy makers, fertilizer industries, or researchers who wish to integrate this type of data into multidisciplinary studies such as disaster risk management, fertilizer production and distribution, food security.
- This dataset also contains N₂O emissions under four scenarios of excess N reduction (25%, 50%, 75% and 100%), demonstrating mitigation potentials that countries can aim to.

- The dataset can also be used to compare with the outputs of process-based N₂O emission models to better understand the sources of N₂O model uncertainty and the knowledge gaps in fully accounting for the N₂O processes.

1. Data Description

The high-resolution N₂O emission and mitigation potential dataset covers the globe (−179.9581 to 179.9812 degrees east and 83.59216 to −89.92174 degrees north) at 0.0833° × 0.0833° spatial resolution from maize and wheat fields at annual temporal resolution for the year 2013. The unit of emission data is kg-N₂O-N ha^{−1}. It comprises estimation from four empirical N₂O emission models; (a) CCAFS-MOT [2], (b) IPCC Tier-I [3–6], (c) IPCC Tier-II [3–6] and (d) Tropical-N₂O [7]. The files are in NetCDF4 format and were generated by using library version 4.7.3. They are available at N2Oemission_2013_Maize.nc, where XXXX indicates the type of the crop. The dataset is freely available at mendeley data (<https://data.mendeley.com/drafts/hcvm3zrb95>).

1.1. Usage notes

The N₂O emission dataset is a valuable source of information on N₂O emission and mitigation potential. However, caution is necessary, due to inherent uncertainties, to make user findings derived by analyzing the dataset. The estimated emissions are model estimates and not free from error due to imperfect modeling, inaccurate inputs and misreporting in agricultural census statistics. Users must noted when resampling the data to different spatial resolutions, as this could lead to different conclusions.

1.2. Description of the NetCDF data structure

Two data files present estimated values from Maize and Wheat fields. The metadata in the individual netCDF files describes individual variables. This data has three dimensions, namely *latitude*, *longitude* and *Nreduction* in a global latitude-longitude coordinate. The *Nreduction* dimension variable has 5 levels (0%, 25%, 50%, 75%, 100%), describing the percentage amount of reduced excess nitrogen to each variable/model. The *no data value* is decoded by the value “−9999”. The variable names comprising the estimated values from the four empirical N₂O emission models are described as follows.

Where:

- ♦ “N2O.ccafsMOT”: estimated emission values from CCAFS-MOT
- ♦ “N2O.ipccTR1”: estimated emission values from IPCC Tier-I
- ♦ “N2O.ipccTR2”: estimated emission values from IPCC Tier-II
- ♦ “N2O.tropicalN2O”: estimated emission values from Tropical-N₂O

2. Experimental Design, Materials and Methods

Fig. 1 shows the various input data required for estimating N₂O emissions, types of models used for estimating emission, model validation, mitigation scenarios and development of N₂O emission mitigation datasets. Briefly, we estimated annual N₂O emissions from maize and wheat fields using four empirical models i.e. CCAFS-MOT [2], Tropical-N₂O [3] and IPCC Tier-I & Tier-II [4,5] at a horizontal resolution of 5-arcminutes (~0.0833 × 0.0833 degrees). Pixel level data on crop management and inputs, soil, and climate were obtained from various secondary




Fig. 1. Methodological framework for developing global dataset of N₂O Emission and mitigation potential from Maize and Wheat fields.

sources explained in detail and cited in the related paper [1]. Briefly, crop area, production and duration were obtained from IFPRI [6] and IIASA/FAO [7]. Inorganic nitrogen application in agricultural land was obtained from Lu and Tian [8] whereas nitrogen from manure application was estimated from the dataset of Zhang et al. [9]. Location specific soil data were obtained from International Soil Reference and Information Centre [10]. Climatic information were obtained from Joint Research Centre of the European Commission [11]. Spatially explicit excess N from maize and wheat fields were estimated using mass balance approach considering all sources of N input and output following the approach of West et al. [12].

Raw data on estimated N₂O emission from all four models using the input data mentioned above are presented for the crop year 2013. Model outputs were validated using N₂O emissions data extracted from previous publications made available through the global N₂O database (<https://samples.ccafs.cgiar.org/n2o-dashboard/>). We then prepared four scenarios keeping all other information same but changing N input assuming four scenarios of excess N reduction i.e. 25, 50, 75 and 100% reduction of excess N and estimated N₂O emissions from all four models under each of four scenarios. We estimated N₂O mitigation potential under four scenarios as difference in emissions with actual N input and N inputs estimated under four scenarios of excess nitrogen reduction. While we presented summary of the result and analysis in the related paper [1], here we present grid-level raw data of current N₂O emission and that under the four mitigation scenarios.

Ethics Statement

Data compiled in this database were gathered from various sources and model output of four empirical models. The input data were available publicly and these sources are properly acknowledged. See “specification table” and “Experimental Design, Material & Methods” section for details of data sources and source citation.

CRedit Author Statement

Tek B. Sapkota: Conceptualization, Design, Coordination, Writing, reviewing & editing; **Kindie Tesfaye:** Conceptualization, Data extraction & analysis, Writing, reviewing & editing; **Robel Takele:** Data extraction & analysis, Writing, reviewing & editing; **Arun Khatri-Chhetri:** Writing, review and editing; **Dawit Solomon:** Review and editing; **Clare Stirling:** Conceptualization, Review and editing; **Fabrizio Albanito:** Review and editing.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests.

Acknowledgments

International Maize and Wheat Improvement Center (CIMMYT) carried out this work with the support of CCAFS' funded by CGIAR Fund Donors and through bilateral funding agreements. For details, please visit <https://ccafs.cgiar.org/donors>.

Supplementary Materials

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found in the online version at doi:[10.1016/j.dib.2021.107239](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2021.107239).

References

- [1] K. Tesfaye, R. Takele, T.B. Sapkota, A. Khatri-chhetri, D. Solomon, C. Stirling, F. Albanito, Model comparison and quantification of nitrous oxide emission and mitigation potential from maize and wheat fields at a global scale, *Sci. Total Environ.* 782 (2021) 146696, doi:[10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.146696](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.146696).
- [2] D. Feliciano, D.R. Nayak, S.H. Vetter, J. Hillier, CCAFS-MOT - A tool for farmers, extension services and policy-advisors to identify mitigation options for agriculture, *Agric. Syst.* 154 (2017) 100–111, doi:[10.1016/j.agsy.2017.03.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2017.03.006).
- [3] F. Albanito, U. Lebender, T. Cornulier, T.B. Sapkota, F. Brentrup, C. Stirling, J. Hillier, Direct nitrous oxide emissions from tropical and sub-tropical agricultural systems - A review and modelling of emission factors, *Sci. Rep.* 7 (2017) 1–12, doi:[10.1038/srep44235](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep44235).
- [4] IPCC, *IPCC guidelines for national greenhouse gas inventories* IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, S. Eggleston, L. Buendia, K. Miwa, T. Ngara, K. Tanabe (Eds.), Institute for Global Environmental Strategies, Japan, 2006.
- [5] IPCC2019 Refinement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, 2019.
- [6] IFPRI/Global Spatially-Disaggregated Crop Production Statistics Data for 2010 Version 1.1, 2019, doi:[10.7910/DVN/PRFF8V](https://doi.org/10.7910/DVN/PRFF8V).
- [7] IIASA/FAO/Global Agro-ecological Zones (GAEZ v3.0), IIASA, Laxenburg, Austria and FAO, Rome, Italy, 2012.
- [8] C. Lu, H. Tian, Global nitrogen and phosphorus fertilizer use for agriculture production in the past half century: shifted hot spots and nutrient imbalance, *Earth Syst. Sci. Data* 9 (2017) 181–192, doi:[10.5194/essd-9-181-2017](https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-9-181-2017).
- [9] B. Zhang, H. Tian, C. Lu, S.R.S. Dangal, J. Yang, S. Pan, Global manure nitrogen production and application in cropland during 1860-2014: a 5 arcmin gridded global dataset for Earth system modeling, *Earth Syst. Sci. Data* 9 (2017) 667–678, doi:[10.5194/essd-9-667-2017](https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-9-667-2017).
- [10] T. Hengl, J.M. De Jesus, G.B.M. Heuvelink, M.R. Gonzalez, M. Kilibarda, A. Blagotić, W. Shangquan, M.N. Wright, X. Geng, B. Bauer-Marschallinger, M.A. Guevara, R. Vargas, R.A. MacMillan, N.H. Batjes, J.G.B. Leenaars, E. Ribeiro, I. Wheeler, S. Mantel, B. Kempen, SoilGrids250m: Global Gridded Soil Information Based On Machine Learning, 2017, doi:[10.1371/journal.pone.0169748](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0169748).
- [11] JRC-EC, *Climatic zone*, Eur. Soil Data Cent. Portal (2010).
- [12] P.C. West, J.S. Gerber, P.M. Engstrom, K.A. Mueller, N.D. Brauman, E.S. Cassidy, P.M. Engstrom, M. Johnston, G.K. MacDonald, D.K. Ray, S. Siebert, Leverage points for improving global food security and the environment, *Science* (80-) 345 (2014) 325–328.