Prevalence of resistance genes and antibiotic resistance profile among Stenotrophomonas maltophilia isolates from hospitalized patients in Iran

Z. Baseri¹, A. Dehghan², S. Yaghoubi³ and Sh. Razavi^{1,4}

1) Department of Microbiology, School of Medicine, Iran University of Medical Sciences, 2) Department of Pathobiology, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, 3) Department of Microbiology, Iranshahr University of Medical Sciences, Iranshahr and 4) Microbial Biotechnology Research Center, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Abstract

Stenotrophomonas maltophilia has emerged as an important nosocomial pathogen. Treatment of S. maltophilia infections is difficult due to increasing resistance to multiple antibacterial agents. In this 12-month cross-sectional study, from 2017 to 2018, 117 isolates were obtained from different clinical sources and identified by conventional biochemical methods. Antibiotic susceptibility tests were performed according to CLSI 2018. Minocycline disk (30 μ g) and E-test strips for ceftazidime, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole and chloramphenicol were used. PCR confirmed isolates. The frequency of different classes of integrons (I, II) and resistance gene cassettes (*sul1, sul2, dfrA1, dfrA5* and *aadB*) were determined by PCR. The results showed the highest frequency of resistance to chloramphenicol and ceftazidime with 32 cases (27.11%). Among strains, 12 cases (10.25%) were resistant to trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (the lowest frequency of resistance), while 19 (16.1%) isolates were resistant to minocycline. Frequency of *sul1, int1, aadB, sul2, dfrA5* genes were 64 (55.08%), 26 (22.3 %), 18 (15.25%) and 17 (14.4%), 14 (11.86%), respectively. *int2* and *dfrA1* were not detected. Although we have not yet reached a high level of resistance to effective antibiotics such as trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, as these resistances can be carried by a plasmid, greater precision should be given to the administration of these antibiotics.

Keywords: Antibiotic resistance genes, gene cassette, integrons, Stenotrophomonas maltophilia, trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole Original Submission: 4 May 2021; Revised Submission: 25 July 2021; Accepted: 29 August 2021 Article published online: 9 September 2021

Corresponding author: Sh. Razavi, Department of Microbiology, School of Medicine, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. E-mail: razavi.sh@iums.ac.ir

Introduction

Stenotrophomonas maltophilia is the third cause of nosocomial infections caused by nonfermented gram-negative bacilli. S. maltophilia is the most important genus of Stenotrophomonas that belongs to group V of the Pseudomonas family (16S rRNA based) [1-3]. This bacterium is colonized in toilets, water coolers, medical equipment, respiratory tract patients, intravascular catheters and ulcers [3,4]. This organism has emerged as an important opportunistic pathogen in humans worldwide.

Although it has inadequate pathogenicity, S. maltophilia causes various types of hospital-acquired and community-acquired infections [1]. These bacterium's diseases include endocarditis, wound infections, cellulitis, bacteremia, urinary tract infections, pneumonia and rarely meningitis [5,6]. Chronic pulmonary disease, immunodeficiency, history of overuse or inadequate antibiotic use, long hospital or ICU history, renal failure, prolonged diarrhoea, transplant rejection and catheterization are the most critical risk factors for infections [6]. Treatment of S. maltophilia infections is difficult due to increasing resistance to multiple antibacterial agents [7]. During the past years, S. maltophilia has been known as one of the principal multidrug-resistant (MDR) organisms in hospital settings due to displaying high levels of acquired resistance and intrinsic to a broad array of antibacterial agents, including most common of β -lactam antibiotics, fluoroquinolones and aminoglycosides [8-11]. One of the important mechanisms in

New Microbe and New Infect 2021; 44: 100943

transferring resistance genes is an element called integron [12]. Integrons are conserved DNA sequences, which can efficiently acquire and transfer the resistant genes among bacteria and are usually located on mobile genetics elements [12]. It is important to identify these resistance genes [12,13]. Class I and subsequently, class 2 integrons are the most common classes of clinical isolates. Class I integrons carry more than 40 resistance genes associated with resistance to aminoglycosides, beta-lactams, chloramphenicol, macrolides, sulfonamides and disinfectants [13,14]. The low resistance of S. maltophilia to trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (SXT) has led to its use in therapy. Unfortunately, with a rise in acquired resistance to this antibiotic, the management of S. maltophilia infections has become increasingly difficult. The sul genes have been found to relate to SXT resistance and interact with class I integron elements. In addition, dfrA genes in the class I integron gene cassettes have been shown to cause significant resistance to SXT [15]. The aadB gene cassette promotes resistance to aminoglycosides and is associated with class I integrons [16]. Given the importance of S. maltophilia as a multidrug-resistant opportunistic pathogen, investigating the role of various intrinsic and acquired factors in developing drug resistance is important [14].

The purpose of this cross-sectional study was to determine the antibiotic resistance profile and the frequency of integron types and *aadB*, *dfrA1*, *dfrA5*, *sul1*, *sul2* genes in *S*. *maltophilia* isolated from clinical specimens of patients admitted to several teaching hospitals in Tehran.

Materials and methods

Bacterial isolates

This study was performed from 2017 to 2018 as a crosssectional study in three major teaching hospitals (Dr Ali Shariati, Imam Khomeini and Naft hospitals) in Tehran, Iran. This project was approved by the Iran University of Medical Sciences Ethics committee (Ethical code: IR.IUMS.FMD.REC 1396.7973).

Various clinical specimens of hospitalized patients with suspected infections, such as urine, blood, respiratory secretions, etc., were collected based on the physician's diagnosis and sent to the laboratory. According to standard instructions, the specimens were cultured on blood agar, MacConkey agar and chocolate agar and incubated for 24-72 hours at 37 ° C. Nonfermenter gram-negative rods suspected of S. *maltophilia* were identified by phenotypic methods such as fermentation reaction in TSI medium, oxidative consumption of sugars in OF medium, oxidase test, esculin hydrolysis, citrate consumption, lysine consumption and nitrate reduction.

Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 25923, Escherichia coli ATCC 25922 and Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC 27853 were used to control mediums and other procedures.

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing

The fresh culture was used to prepare the bacterial suspension for antimicrobial susceptibility on Mueller-Hinton agar (MHA) (all media from Merck, Germany). 0.5-McFarland standard was used to compare the bacterial suspension's turbidity to achieve appropriate density to evaluate the effect of antimicrobial agents. Minocycline disk (30µg) was used to determine antibiotic susceptibility by disk diffusion method. < 14 mm zone diameter for minocycline disk (30µg) considered resistant by the CLSI [7]. Minimum inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, ceftazidime and chloramphenicol for each isolate were determined by the E-test (Liofilchem, Italy) according to the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) guideline [7]. Isolates with MIC for trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole \leq 2/38 μ g/mL, ceftazidime <8 μ g/mL, chloramphenicol <8 μ g/ mL were characterized as susceptible isolates and isolates with MIC for trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole $> 4/76 \ \mu g/mL$, ceftazidime >32 µg/mL, chloramphenicol >32 µg/mL considered resistant. The isolates were stored at -80 °C for further tests.

DNA extraction and polymerase chain reaction (PCR)

The method used for genomic DNA extraction was boiling. For evaluating the extracted DNA's quality, the concentration and relative uptake of A260/A280 in each isolate were determined by the Biophotometer apparatus (Thermo Scientific, USA). Then, DNA was electrophoresed on 0.7% agarose gel stained with Gel Red TM (Biotium, Landing Pkwy, Fremont, CA, USA) in TBE buffer (0.5 ×) (Tris/Boric acid/EDTA) and the presence of DNA bands in the U.V. transilluminator were investigated.

Specific primers for the *chitinase* A gene were used to confirm the isolates. The presence of class 1, 2 integrons, *sul1*, *sul2*, *dfrA1*, *dfrA5* and *aadB* genes in each isolate were evaluated using the primers presented in Table 1.

Conditions of PCR cycling were set up as follows: 5 min at 95 °C as initial denaturation, 30 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 60 sec, annealing (the temperature depended on the primer sequence), extension at 72 °C for 50 sec and a final extension at 72 °C for 5 min on a T100[™] thermal cycler (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA). PCR products were separated by electrophoresis in 1% agarose gels within TBE buffer (0.5x) (Tris/Boric acid/EDTA). DNA bands were detected by staining with Gel Red [™] (Biotium, Landing Pkwy, Fremont, CA, USA) and imaged under U.V. illumination. Some PCR products were purified and

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

^{© 2021} The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd, NMNI, 44, 100943

Primer	Sequence	Target	References
int I - R	AAGCAGACTTGACCTGA	Class I	[20]
int I -F	GGCATCCAAGCAGCAAG	Integron	
hep51	GATGCCATCGCAAGTACGAG	Class 2	[23]
hep74	CGGGATCCCGGACGGCATGCAC	Integron	
chiA - R	ACGCCGGTCCAGCCGCGCCCGTA	Chitinase A	[24]
chiA - F	CATCGACATCGACTGGGAATACCC		
dfrA1-R	TTGTGAAACTATCACTAATGGTAG	dfrA I	[20]
dfrAI-F	CTTGTTAACCCTTTTGCCAGA		
dfrA5- R	TCCACACATACCCTGGTCCG	dfrA5	[20]
dfrA5 - F	ATCGTCGATATATGGAGCGTA		
Sull - R	ATTCAGAATGCCGAACACCG	sul I	[20]
Sull - F	TAGCGAGGGCTTTACTAAGC		
Sul2- R	GAAGCGCAGCCGCAATTCAT	sul2	[20]
Sul2 - F	CCTGTTTCGTCCGACACAGA		
aadB - R	AGGTTGAGGTCTTGCGT	aadB	[25]
aadB - F	CCGCAGCTAGAATTTTG		
chiA - F dfrA I - R dfrA I - F dfrA5 - R dfrA5 - F Sul1 - R Sul1 - F Sul2 - R Sul2 - F aadB - R aadB - F	CATCGACATCGACTGGGAATACCC TTGTGAAACTATCACTAATGGTAG CTTGTTAACCCTTTTGCCAGA TCCACACATACCCTGGTCCG ATCGTCGATATATGGAGCGTA ATTCAGAATGCCGAACACCG TAGCGAGGGCTTTACTAAGC GAAGCGCAGCCGCAATTCAT CCTGTTTCGTCCGACACAGA AGGTTGAGGTCTTGCGT CCGCAGCTAGAATTTTG	dfrA I dfrA5 sul I sul2 aadB	[20] [20] [20] [20] [25]

TABLE I. PCR primers

submitted for sequencing (Bioneer Co., South Korea), The sequences were checked by Chromas software, and the fastA sequences were compared using online BLAST software (https://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/BLAST/).

Statistical analyses

Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS software, version 21.0 (IBM Corp., USA). Chi-square or Fisher's exact tests were used to analyze the results wherever needed.

Results

In this study, the highest S. *maltophilia* was observed in blood in 108 cases (92.3%) and the least in the wound (0.85%). The highest frequency was in the emergency ward and the least in the operating room ward and the general surgery and surgical ward (Chart 1 and 2).

From 117 S. *maltophilia*, 58 (49.57%) isolates were obtained from males, and 59 (50.42%) isolates from females.

The antibiotic susceptibility test results showed the highest and the lowest frequency of resistance to chloramphenicol and ceftazidime were 32 cases (27.11%) and trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole 12 cases (10.25%), respectively (Chart 3).

In this study, all strains were examined for the presence of *sul1*, *sul2*, *aadB*, *dfrA1*, *dfrA5*, *int1* and *int2* genes. The most common resistance genes were *sul1* and *int1*, respectively. The gene for class 1 integrons was detected in 26 (22.03%) of the 117 S. *maltophilia* isolates, and no class 2 integron gene was found. 55.08% (64 cases) carrying the *sul1* gene. Frequency of *aadB* gene in S.*maltophilia* isolates was 18 (15.25%), while *sul2* gene frequency was 17 (14.40%) and *dfrA5* gene frequency 14 (11.86%). Interestingly, no *dfrA1* gene was found in any of the isolates(Chart 4).



CHART I. The frequency of S. *maltophilia* strains collected from different specimens.

Discussion

The extensive use of antibiotics that are widely used has contributed to resistance [17]. Transfer of class I integronmediated antibiotic resistance genes has been widely stated in bacterial isolates from specific geographic regions. S. maltophilia is a species intrinsically obtaining an array of resistance elements; therefore, the influence of class I integron on the antimicrobial agent resistance should be more problematic than we can imagine [18]. It has been well-known that S. maltophilia acquires many chromosomal aminoglycoside resistance genes such as multidrug efflux pumps and aminoglycoside modifying enzymes (AMEs) genes [2,5,8,18]. The chromosome of S. maltophilia acquires many antimicrobial resistance genes, but few are involved in the SXT resistance reported so far [1,5,8]. In our study, class I integrase (intl) was detected in 26 (22.03%) of the 117 S. maltophilia isolates, and no class 2 integron was found. Inconsistent with our study, Huang et al. demonstrated a high prevalence of class I integron in the SXT-resistant isolates, which agrees with the previous studies. Also, Huang et al. reported that the most important significance of class 1 integron's horizontal gaining should be related to SXT resistance in S.maltophilia [18]. In our study, most strains of S. maltophilia were susceptible to trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole. Therefore, this antibiotic is still the best drug with a favourable antimicrobial effect in treating nosocomial infections caused by S. maltophilia strains. In another research in Iran, Nikpour et al. in Jahrom demonstrate that isolate had a high level of susceptibility to chloramphenicol (90.7%) and co-trimoxazole [19]. A similar study in China by Li-Fen Hu et al. showed that the rate of resistance to co-trimoxazole was 30.4%, and 64.7% of the isolates had class I integron. None of the co-trimoxazole susceptible strains had sul2 and dfrA genes. However, the sul1 gene was found in 27 co-trimoxazole-sensitive strains and 25 co-



CHART 2. The frequency of S. *maltophilia* strains collected from different wards of the hospitals.



CHART 3. Antibiotic resistance profile of S. maltophilia isolates (%).

trimoxazole-resistant strains [20]. Contrary to this study, Sandra Abril Herrera-Heredia et al. conducted a study to evaluate the risk factors and mechanisms associated with resistance to SXT in S. *maltophilia* infections in Mexico in 2017. Resistance to ciprofloxacin (26%), co-trimoxazole (26%), chloramphenicol (14.3%) and levofloxacin (2.6%) were reported. There is no relationship between SXT resistances with *sul* genes. Due to the high resistance to SXT, it is not an effective treatment for patients; instead, levofloxacin can be used as an appropriate treatment option against S. *maltophilia* infection [21]. Our study's finding demonstrates that 55.08% (64 cases) carry the *sul1* gene. Koichi Tanimoto examined in





Japan, reported that most strains were resistant to ciprofloxacin (84.8%), tetracycline (97%) and chloramphenicol (78.8%), although levofloxacin was effective against (77.3%) of the strains. SXT resistance is mediated by *sul* and *dfrA* genes, particularly class I integrons [22]. Some evidence has shown cross-transmission. It indicates the cross-transmission of antibiotic-resistant strains to the hospital and shows the need for effective control and prevention measures in hospitals.

Despite co-trimoxazole resistance genes in many of the strains (55.08%), some isolates did not demonstrate resistance to SXT *in vitro*.

According to the results, the finding of co-trimoxazole resistance genes in hospital centres, and the high level of intrinsic resistance, it is necessary to discuss the control and prevention of transmission of these strains and handwashing programs. In discussing the use of antibiotics effectively on this strain, although we have not yet reached a high level of resistance to effective antibiotics such as co-trimoxazole, as the plasmid carries this resistance, greater precision should be given to the administration of these antibiotics.

In conclusion, our results demonstrate a high prevalence of MDR among *S.maltophilia* isolates in Tehran hospital centres. A high prevalence of class I integron and the associated determinants, particularly *sul1* and *aadB*, present in MDR strains isolated from hospitalized patients. Statistical analysis shows the relation of MDR isolates and integron class I, which needs continuous surveillance in health care centres, in this opportunistic pathogen. The main question is why the resistant genes are not express in some isolates. Many isolates carry cotrimoxazole resistance genes, which means that there is a potential risk of increased antibiotic resistance in ambush, which is of concern.

Credit author statement

Zohre Baseri and Shabnam Razavi conceived and designed the study. Zohre Baseri, Amin Dehghan, Sajad Yaghoubi, and Shabnam Razavi contributed to comprehensive research. Sajad Yaghoubi and Amin Dehghan wrote the paper. Amin Dehghan, Zohre Baseri, participated in manuscript editing. Zohre Baseri and Shabnam Razavi have done practical work.

Author contribution

All authors made substantial contributions to the conception and design, acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data. They played an active role in drafting the article or revising it critically to achieve important intellectual content, gave the final approval of the version to be published, and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

Transparency declaration

All of the authors declare that there are no commercial, personal, political any other potentially conflicting interests related to the submitted manuscript. This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Acknowledgement

We want to thank the 'Department of Microbiology, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran' for their kind cooperation.

References

- Adegoke AA, Stenström TA, Okoh AI. Stenotrophomonas maltophilia as an emerging ubiquitous pathogen: looking beyond contemporary antibiotic therapy. Front Microbiol 2017;8:2276.
- [2] Alqahtani JM. Emergence of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia nosocomial isolates in a Saudi children's hospital: risk factors and clinical characteristics. Saudi Med J 2017;38(5):521-7.
- [3] Brooke JS. Stenotrophomonas maltophilia: an emerging global opportunistic pathogen. Clin Microbiol Rev 2012;25(1):2–41.
- [4] Guyot A, Turton JF, Garner D. Outbreak of Stenotrophomonas maltophilia on an intensive care unit. J Hosp Infect 2013;85(4):303-7.
- [5] Crum NF, Utz GC, Wallace MR. Stenotrophomonas maltophilia endocarditis. Scand J Infect Dis 2002;34(12):925-7.
- [6] Senol E. Stenotrophomonas maltophilia: the significance and role as a nosocomial pathogen. J Hosp Infect 2004;57(1):1-7.
- [7] Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute: Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing: 28th ed.. CLSI supplement M100.
- [8] Gajdács M, Urbán E. Epidemiological trends and resistance associated with Stenotrophomonas maltophilia bacteremia: a 10-year retrospective cohort study in a tertiary-care hospital in Hungary. Diseases 2019;7(2):41.
- [9] Watson L, Esterly J, Jensen AO, Postelnick M, Aguirre A, McLaughlin M. Sulfamethoxazole/trimethoprim versus fluoroquinolones for the treatment of *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* bloodstream infections. J Glob Antimicrob Resist 2018;12:104–6.
- [10] Nys C, Cherabuddi K, Venugopalan V, Klinker KP. Clinical and microbiologic outcomes in patients with monomicrobial *Steno-trophomonas maltophilia* infections. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2019;63(11):e00788–819.
- [11] Ko JH, Kang CI, Cornejo-Juárez P, Yeh KM, Wang CH, Cho SY, et al. Fluoroquinolones versus trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole for the treatment of *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* infections: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin Microbiol Infect 2019;25(5):546–54.
- [12] Akrami F, Rajabnia M, Pournajaf A. Resistance integrons; A mini review. Caspian J Intern Med 2019;10(4):370-6.
- [13] Lorestani RC, Akya A, Elahi A, Hamzavi Y. Gene cassettes of class I integron-associated with antimicrobial resistance in isolates of *Cit-robacter* spp. with multidrug resistance. Iran J Microbiol 2018;10(1): 22-9.

© 2021 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd, NMNI, 44, 100943

- [14] Bostanghadiri N, Ghalavand Z, Fallah F, Yadegar A, Ardebili A, Tarashi S, et al. Characterization of phenotypic and genotypic diversity of *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* strains isolated from selected hospitals in Iran. Front Microbiol 2019;10:1191.
- [15] Chung HS, Kim K, Hong SS, Hong SG, Lee K, Chong Y. The sul1 gene in Stenotrophomonas maltophilia with high-level resistance to trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole. Ann Lab Med 2015;35(2):246–9.
- [16] Jones LA, McIver CJ, Kim MJ, Rawlinson WD, White PA. The aadB gene cassette is associated with bla SHV genes in Klebsiella species producing extended-spectrum β-lactamases. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2005;49(2):794–7.
- [17] Mehrabi M, Salehi B, Rassi H, Dehghan A. Evaluating the antibiotic resistance and frequency of adhesion markers among *Escherichia coli* isolated from type 2 diabetes patients with urinary tract infection and its association with common polymorphism of mannose-binding lectin gene. New Microbe. New Infect 2020;38:100827.
- [18] Huang YW, Hu RM, Lin YT, Huang HH, Yang TC. The contribution of class I integron to antimicrobial resistance in Stenotrophomonas maltophilia. Microb Drug Resist 2015;21(1):90–6.
- [19] Nikpour A, Shabani M, Kazemi A, Mohandesi M, Ershadpour R, Rezaei Yazdi H. Identification and determination of antibiotic resistance pattern of *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* isolated form medical devices and clinical samples in jahrom hospitals by phenotype and molecular methods. Jmj 2016;14(2):43–50.

- [20] Hu LF, Chang X, Ye Y, Wang ZX, Shao YB, Shi W, et al. Stenotrophomonas maltophilia resistance to trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole mediated by acquisition of sul and dfrA genes in a plasmidmediated class I integron. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2011;37(3): 230-4.
- [21] Rodríguez-Noriega E, Paláu-Davila L, Maldonado-Garza HJ, Flores-Treviño S. Risk factors and molecular mechanisms associated with trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole resistance in Stenotrophomonas maltophilia in Mexico. J Med Microbiol 2017;66(8): 1102–9.
- [22] Tanimoto K. Stenotrophomonas maltophilia strains isolated from a university hospital in Japan: genomic variability and antibiotic resistance. J Med Microbiol 2013;62(4):565-70.
- [23] Hu LF, Chen GS, Kong QX, Gao LP, Chen X, Ye Y, et al. Increase in the prevalence of resistance determinants to trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole in clinical *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia* isolates in China. PLoS One 2016;11(6):e0157693.
- [24] Jankiewicz U, Brzezinska MS, Saks E. Identification and characterization of a chitinase of *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*, a bacterium that is antagonistic towards fungal phytopathogens. J Biosci Bioeng 2012;113(1):30–5.
- [25] Plante I, Centrón D, Roy PH. An integron cassette encoding erythromycin esterase, ere (A), from *Providencia stuartii*. J Antimicrob Chemother 2003;51(4):787–90.