

ADOPTED: 22 November 2022

doi: 10.2903/j.efsa.2023.7691

## Safety and efficacy of a feed additive consisting of a tincture derived from the fruit of *Anethum graveolens* L. (dill tincture) for use in all animal species (FEFANA asbl)

EFSA Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed (FEEDAP), Vasileios Bampidis, Giovanna Azimonti, Maria de Lourdes Bastos, Henrik Christensen, Mojca Fašmon Durjava, Maryline Kouba, Marta López-Alonso, Secundino López Puente, Francesca Marcon, Baltasar Mayo, Alena Pechová, Mariana Petkova, Fernando Ramos, Yolanda Sanz, Roberto Edoardo Villa, Ruud Woutersen, Paul Brantom, Andrew Chesson, Johannes Westendorf, Paola Manini, Fabiola Pizzo and Birgit Dusemund

### Abstract

Following a request from the European Commission, EFSA was asked to deliver a scientific opinion on the safety and efficacy of a tincture from the fruit of *Anethum graveolens* L. (dill tincture) when used as a sensory additive in feed and water for drinking for all animal species. The product is a [REDACTED] solution, with a dry matter content of approximately 0.9%. The product contained 0.0247% polyphenols (of which 0.0137% were flavonoids) and 0.003% carvone. Estragole was present at concentrations between the limit of detection and the limit of quantification in the five batches examined. The Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed (FEEDAP) concluded that the dill tincture is safe at the maximum proposed use levels of 200 mg/kg complete feed for horses and 50 mg/kg complete feed for all other animal species. The FEEDAP Panel considered that the use in water for drinking is safe provided that the total daily intake of the additive does not exceed the daily amount which is considered safe when consumed via feed. No safety concern would arise for the consumer from the use of dill tincture up to the maximum proposed use levels in feed. Dill tincture should be considered as irritant to skin and eyes, and as a dermal and respiratory sensitiser. When handling the additive, exposure of unprotected users to estragole cannot be excluded. Therefore, to reduce the risk, the exposure of the users should be minimised. The use of dill tincture as a flavour in animal feed was not expected to pose a risk for the environment. Since the fruit of *A. graveolens* and its preparations were recognised to flavour food and their function in feed would be essentially the same as that in food, no further demonstration of efficacy was considered necessary.

© 2023 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA on behalf of the European Food Safety Authority.

**Keywords:** sensory additives, flavouring compounds, *Anethum graveolens* L., dill tincture, carvone, estragole

**Requestor:** European Commission

**Question number:** EFSA-Q-2010-01286 (new EFSA-Q-2022-00567)

**Correspondence:** feedap@efsa.europa.eu

**Panel members:** Vasileios Bampidis, Giovanna Azimonti, Maria de Lourdes Bastos, Henrik Christensen, Birgit Dusemund, Mojca Fašmon Durjava, Maryline Kouba, Marta López-Alonso, Secundino López Puente, Francesca Marcon, Baltasar Mayo, Alena Pechová, Mariana Petkova, Fernando Ramos, Yolanda Sanz, Roberto Edoardo Villa and Ruud Woutersen.

**Legal notice:** Relevant information or parts of this scientific output have been blackened in accordance with the confidentiality requests formulated by the applicant pending a decision thereon by the European Commission. The full output has been shared with the European Commission, EU Member States and the applicant. The blackening will be subject to review once the decision on the confidentiality requests is adopted by the European Commission.

**Declarations of interest:** If you wish to access the declaration of interests of any expert contributing to an EFSA scientific assessment, please contact [interestmanagement@efsa.europa.eu](mailto:interestmanagement@efsa.europa.eu).

**Acknowledgements:** The Panel wishes to thank the following for the support provided to this scientific output (in alphabetical order of the last name): Jaume Galobart, Elisa Pettenati, Daniel Pagés Plaza and Joana Revez.

**Suggested citation:** EFSA FEEDAP Panel (EFSA Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed), Bampidis V, Azimonti G, Bastos ML, Christensen H, Fašmon Durjava M, Kouba M, López-Alonso M, López Puente S, Marcon F, Mayo B, Pechová A, Petkova M, Ramos F, Sanz Y, Villa RE, Woutersen R, Brantom P, Chesson A, Westendorf J, Manini P, Pizzo F and Dusemund B, 2023. Scientific Opinion on the safety and efficacy of a feed additive consisting of a tincture derived from the fruit of *Anethum graveolens* L. (dill tincture) for use in all animal species (FEFANA asbl). EFSA Journal 2023;21(1):7691, 16 pp. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2023.7691>

**ISSN:** 1831-4732

© 2023 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA on behalf of the European Food Safety Authority.

This is an open access article under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution-NoDerivs](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and no modifications or adaptations are made.



The EFSA Journal is a publication of the European Food Safety Authority, a European agency funded by the European Union.



## Table of contents

Abstract.....	1
1. Introduction.....	4
1.1. Background and Terms of Reference.....	4
1.2. Additional information.....	4
2. Data and Methodologies .....	5
2.1. Data.....	5
2.2. Methodologies.....	6
3. Assessment.....	6
3.1. Origin and extraction .....	6
3.2. Characterisation .....	7
3.2.1. Characterisation of the tincture .....	7
3.2.2. Stability .....	8
3.2.3. Conditions of use .....	8
3.3. Safety .....	8
3.3.1. Absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion (ADME) of estragole.....	9
3.3.2. Toxicology of estragole .....	9
3.3.3. Safety for the target species .....	10
3.3.3.1. Carvone .....	10
3.3.3.2. Phenolic compounds including flavonoids.....	10
3.3.3.3. Estragole.....	11
3.3.3.4. Conclusions on safety for the target species .....	11
3.3.4. Safety for the consumer .....	11
3.3.5. Safety for the user .....	11
3.3.6. Safety for the environment .....	12
3.4. Efficacy .....	12
4. Conclusions.....	12
5. Recommendation .....	12
6. Documentation provided to EFSA/Chronology.....	12
References.....	13
Abbreviations.....	15
Appendix A – Estragole: Maximum daily intake and combined margin of exposure for the different target species.....	16

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1. Background and Terms of Reference

Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003<sup>1</sup> establishes the rules governing the Community authorisation of additives for use in animal nutrition. In particular, Article 4(1) of that Regulation lays down that any person seeking authorisation for a feed additive or for a new use of a feed additive shall submit an application in accordance with Article 7. In addition, Article 10(2) of that Regulation specifies that for existing products within the meaning of Article 10(1), an application shall be submitted in accordance with Article 7, within a maximum of seven years after the entry into force of this Regulation.

The European Commission received a request from Feed Flavourings Authorisation Consortium European Economic Interest Grouping (FFAC EEIG)<sup>2,3</sup> for authorisation/re-evaluation of 29 preparations (namely dill herb oil, dill seed extract, dill tincture, dong quai tincture, celery seed oil, celery seed extract (oleoresin), celery tincture, hares ear tincture, caraway seed oil, caraway oleoresin/extract, coriander oil, cumin oil, taiga root extract (solvent-based, sb), taiga root tincture, fennel oil, fennel tincture, common ivy extract (sb), opoponax oil, ginseng tincture, parsley oil, parsley tincture, anise oil, anise tincture, ajowan oil, *Ferula assa-foetida* oil, anise star oil, anise star tincture, anise star terpenes and omicha tincture) belonging to botanically defined group (BDG) 02 – *Apiales/Austrobaileyales* when used as feed additives for all animal species (category: sensory additives; functional group: flavourings). During the assessment, the applicant withdrew the application for nine preparations (namely dill seed extract, celery seed extract (oleoresin), caraway oleoresin/extract, opoponax oil,<sup>4</sup> parsley oil, hares ear tincture, taiga root extract (sb), ajowan oil<sup>5</sup> and celery tincture<sup>6</sup>). These preparations were deleted from the register of feed additives.<sup>7</sup> During the course of the assessment, this application was split and the present opinion covers only one out of the 20 remaining preparations under application: dill tincture (*Anethum graveolens* L.) for all animal species.

According to Article 7(1) of Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003, the Commission forwarded the application to the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) as an application under Article 4(1) (authorisation of a feed additive or new use of a feed additive) and under Article 10(2) (re-evaluation of an authorised feed additive). EFSA received directly from the applicant the technical dossier in support of this application. The particulars and documents in support of the application were considered valid by EFSA as of 24 June 2019.

According to Article 8 of Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003, EFSA, after verifying the particulars and documents submitted by the applicant, shall undertake an assessment in order to determine whether the feed additive complies with the conditions laid down in Article 5. EFSA shall deliver an opinion on the safety for the target animals, consumer, user and the environment and on the efficacy of the product dill tincture (*A. graveolens*), when used under the proposed conditions of use (see Section 3.2.2).

The remaining 19 preparations belonging to botanically defined group (BDG) 02 – *Apiales/Austrobaileyales* under application are assessed in separate opinions.

### 1.2. Additional information

A tincture from *A. graveolens* L. (dill tincture) is currently authorised as a feed additive according to the entry in the European Union Register of Feed Additives pursuant to Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003 (2b natural products – botanically defined). It has not been assessed as a feed additive in the EU.

<sup>1</sup> Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 September 2003 on additives for use in animal nutrition. OJ L 268, 18.10.2003, p. 29.

<sup>2</sup> On 13/03/2013, EFSA was informed by the applicant that the applicant company changed to FEFANA asbl, Avenue Louise 130 A, Box 1, 1,050 Brussels, Belgium.

<sup>3</sup> On 27 February 2019, EFSA was informed by the applicant about the transfer of contact point for this application to Manghebati SAS, zone de la Basse Haye – BP 42133–35,221 Chateaubourg Cedex.

<sup>4</sup> On 27 February 2019, EFSA was informed by the applicant about the withdrawal of the applications on dill seed extract, celery seed extract (oleoresin), caraway oleoresin/extract, and opoponax oil.

<sup>5</sup> On 2 April 2020, EFSA was informed by the applicant about the withdrawal of the applications on parsley oil, hares ear tincture, taiga root extract (sb), ajowan oil.

<sup>6</sup> On 9 December 2020, the applicant informed EFSA about the withdrawal of the application on celery tincture.

<sup>7</sup> Register of feed additives, Annex II, withdrawn by OJ L162, 10.05.2021, p. 5.

There is no specific EU authorisation for any *A. graveolens* preparation when used to provide flavour in food. However, according to Regulation (EC) No 1334/2008<sup>8</sup> flavouring preparations produced from food, may be used without an evaluation and approval as long as 'they do not, on the basis of the scientific evidence available, pose a safety risk to the health of the consumer, and their use does not mislead the consumer'.

*A. graveolens* is mentioned in the public statement on the use of herbal medicinal products containing estragole (EMA, 2005) among the plants for which the occurrence of estragole has been reported but the content has not been mentioned. In the revised statement, *A. graveolens* is no longer mentioned (EMA, 2021).

The main identified individual component of dill tincture is carvone, a compound identified with the EU Flavour Information System (FLAVIS) number [07.012], which has been assessed by the EFSA Committee considering all sources of exposure. The EFSA reviewed the available information and established an acceptable daily intake (ADI) of 0.6 mg/kg body weight (bw) per day for d-carvone, whereas an ADI for l-carvone could not be established (EFSA SC, 2014). Carvone and its isomers d-carvone [07.146] and l-carvone [07.147] were considered safe for use as food flavour and are currently authorised for use in food<sup>9</sup> without limitations. The FEEDAP Panel assessed d-carvone [07.146] and l-carvone [07.147] for use in feed in chemical group 8 (secondary alicyclic saturated and unsaturated alcohols, ketones, ketals and esters with ketals containing alicyclic alcohols or ketones and esters) (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2016). The FEEDAP Panel concluded d-carvone [07.146] was safe at the maximum proposed use level of 25 mg/kg complete feed for all animal species, whereas l-carvone [07.147] was considered safe only at concentrations below the proposed use levels (0.5 mg/kg for cattle, salmonids and non-food producing animals, and 0.3 mg/kg for pigs and poultry). Both d-carvone [07.146] and l-carvone [07.147] were also considered safe for the consumer and the environment, whereas hazards for skin and eye contact and respiratory exposure were recognised for the compounds belonging to chemical group 8. d-Carvone [07.146] and l-carvone [07.147] are authorised for use in feed.<sup>10</sup>

## 2. Data and Methodologies

### 2.1. Data

The present assessment is based on data submitted by the applicant in the form of a technical dossier<sup>11</sup> in support of the authorisation request for the use of dill tincture from *A. graveolens* as a feed additive.

The FEEDAP Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed (FEEDAP) used the data provided by the applicant together with data from other sources, such as previous risk assessments by EFSA or other expert bodies, peer-reviewed scientific papers, other scientific reports and experts' knowledge, to deliver the present output.

Some of the components of the tincture under assessment have been already evaluated by the FEEDAP Panel as chemically defined flavourings (CDGs). The applicant submitted a written agreement to reuse the data submitted for the assessment of chemically defined flavourings (dossiers, publications and unpublished reports) for the risk assessment of preparations belonging to BDG 02, including the current one under assessment.<sup>12</sup>

EFSA has verified the European Union Reference Laboratory (EURL) report as it relates to the methods used for the control of the active substance/agent in animal feed. The evaluation report is related to the methods of analysis for each feed additive included the group BDG 02 (Apiales and Austrobaileyales). In particular, for the characterisation of dill tincture the EURL recommended methods based on spectrophotometry (for the determination of *total polyphenols* in the feed additive)

<sup>8</sup> Regulation (EC) No 1334/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2008 on flavourings and certain food ingredients with flavouring properties for use in and on foods and amending Regulation (EC) No 1601/91 of the Council, Regulations (EC) No 2232/96 and (EC) No 110/2008 and Directive 2000/13/EC. OJ L 354, 31.12.2008, p. 34.

<sup>9</sup> Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) No 872/2012 of 1 October 2012 adopting the list of flavouring substances provided for by Regulation (EC) No 2232/96 of the European Parliament and of the Council, introducing it in Annex I to Regulation (EC) No 1334/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council and repealing Commission Regulation (EC) No 1565/2000 and Commission Decision 1999/217/EC. OJ L 267, 2.10.2012, p. 1.

<sup>10</sup> European Union Register of Feed Additives pursuant to Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003. Available online: [https://ec.europa.eu/food/sites/food/files/safety/docs/animal-feed-eu-reg-comm\\_register\\_feed\\_additives\\_1831-03.pdf](https://ec.europa.eu/food/sites/food/files/safety/docs/animal-feed-eu-reg-comm_register_feed_additives_1831-03.pdf)

<sup>11</sup> FEED dossier reference: FAD-2010-0221.

<sup>12</sup> Technical dossier/Supplementary information/Letter dated 29/4/2021.

and high-performance thin-layer chromatography (HPTLC) (for the determination of the content of *total flavonoids* and of the phytochemical marker *carvone* in the feed additive).<sup>13</sup>

## 2.2. Methodologies

The approach followed by the FEEDAP Panel to assess the safety and the efficacy of dill tincture from *A. graveolens* is in line with the principles laid down in Regulation (EC) No 429/2008<sup>14</sup> and the relevant guidance documents: Opinion of the Scientific Committee on harmonised approach for risk assessment of substances which are both genotoxic and carcinogenic (EFSA, 2005), Statement on the applicability of the Margin of Exposure approach for the safety assessment of impurities which are both genotoxic and carcinogenic in substances added to food/feed (EFSA SC, 2012), Guidance on safety assessment of botanicals and botanical preparations intended for use as ingredients in food supplements (EFSA SC, 2009), Compendium of botanicals that have been reported to contain toxic, addictive, psychotropic or other substances of concern (EFSA, 2012), Guidance for the preparation of dossiers for sensory additives (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2012a), Guidance on studies concerning the safety of use of the additive for users/workers (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2012b), Guidance on the identity, characterisation and conditions of use of feed additives (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2017a), Guidance on the safety of feed additives for the target species (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2017b), Guidance on the assessment of the safety of feed additives for the consumer (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2017c), Guidance on the assessment of the safety of feed additives for the environment (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2019), Guidance on the assessment of the efficacy of feed additives (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2018), Guidance document on harmonised methodologies for human health, animal health and ecological risk assessment of combined exposure to multiple chemicals (EFSA SC, 2019a), Statement on the genotoxicity assessment of chemical mixtures (EFSA SC, 2019b), Guidance on the use of the Threshold of Toxicological Concern approach in food safety assessment (EFSA SC, 2019c) and General approach to assess the safety for the target species of botanical preparations which contain compounds that are genotoxic and/or carcinogenic (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2021).<sup>15</sup>

## 3. Assessment

The additive under assessment, dill tincture, is derived from the fruit (seeds) of *A. graveolens* L. and is intended to be used as a sensory additive (functional group: flavouring compounds) in feed and water for drinking for all animal species.

### 3.1. Origin and extraction

*A. graveolens* L. is an annual herbaceous plant belonging to the family Apiaceae. Commonly referred to as Dill, the plant is characterised by finely divided leaves and small white to yellow flowers produced in umbels. Native to Asia minor and the Mediterranean region, it is now found growing throughout the world. The apical parts of the plant may be dried and used as an herb and the fruit (misleadingly called seeds) used as a spice. The plant also has a long history of use in ayurvedic and other traditional medicines, predominately in the treatment of digestive disorders. *A. graveolens* is a source of essential oils produced either from the fruit alone (Dill seed oil) or from the leaves and stems and sometimes including the fruit (Dill weed oil). 'Indian dill', widely grown in the Indian subcontinent, is now considered a variety of *A. graveolens* but may still be found in the literature described as another species of *Anethum* (*Anethum sowa* Roxb. ex Fleming).

The tincture is produced from the dried fruit of *A. graveolens* by extended extraction with a [REDACTED] [REDACTED] for 3 weeks under ambient conditions. After this period, the tincture is recovered by pressing to separate solid and liquid phases and the extracted solution is then clarified by filtration.

<sup>13</sup> The full report is available on the EURL website: [https://joint-research-centre.ec.europa.eu/publications/fad-2010-0221\\_en](https://joint-research-centre.ec.europa.eu/publications/fad-2010-0221_en)

<sup>14</sup> Commission Regulation (EC) No 429/2008 of 25 April 2008 on detailed rules for the implementation of Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003 of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards the preparation and the presentation of applications and the assessment and the authorisation of feed additives. OJ L 133, 22.5.2008, p. 1.

<sup>15</sup> <https://www.efsa.europa.eu/sites/default/files/2021-05/general-approach-assessment-botanical-preparations-containing-genotoxic-carcinogenic-compounds.pdf>

## 3.2. Characterisation

### 3.2.1. Characterisation of the tincture

Dill tincture is a brown liquid, with a characteristic sweet anise odour. It has an average density of [REDACTED] and a pH of 6.26 (6.14–6.33).<sup>16</sup> It is soluble in water.

Table 1 summarises the results of proximate analysis of five batches of the additive.<sup>17</sup> The solvent represents about 99.1% of the additive leaving a dry matter (DM) content of about 0.9%. The dry matter consists of inorganic material measured as ash (35.1%) and a plant-derived organic fraction of 64.9%, which includes protein, lipids and 'carbohydrates'.

**Table 1:** Proximate analysis of a tincture derived from the fruit of *Anethum graveolens* L. based on the analysis of five batches (mean and range in %, w/w)

Constituent	Mean	Range
	% (w/w)	% (w/w)
Dry matter	0.90	0.80–1.04
Ash	0.32	0.28–0.35
Organic fraction	0.59	0.51–0.69
Proteins	0.07	0.06–0.08
Lipids	0.002	0.001–0.003
'Carbohydrates'	0.52	0.45–0.60
Solvent	99.10	98.96–99.20

(1): 'Carbohydrates' (by difference) include secondary plant metabolites, such as phenolic compounds.

The constituent defined as 'carbohydrates' in Table 1 describes the fraction of organic matter remaining after subtraction of the values for protein and lipids. It contains a variety of plant-derived compounds including phenolic compounds, in addition to any carbohydrate present.

The fraction of secondary metabolites was characterised in the same batches of the tincture and the results are summarised in Table 2. The tincture was shown to contain polyphenols (0.025%) determined by spectrophotometry (at 760 nm) and expressed as gallic acid equivalents, and at least eight unidentified flavonoids (0.014%) separately determined by HPTLC and expressed as rutin equivalents,<sup>18</sup> and carvone (0.003%) determined by HPTLC at 254 nm using a reference standard.<sup>19</sup>

From published literature, it is known that, apart from the components specified in Table 2, phenolic acids, such as caffeic acid, coumaric acid, ferulic acid and rosmarinic acid, have been identified in the fruit of *A. graveolens* (e.g. Paven et al., 2018). Moreover, according to literature, in the flavonoid fraction of the fruit, quercetin and kaempferol are predominant (e.g. Paven et al., 2018).

The applicant performed a literature search to identify substances of concern in *A. graveolens* and its botanical preparations, essential oils and aqueous and hydroalcoholic extracts.<sup>20</sup> Among the compounds identified, the occurrence of estragole in an essential oil from the 'live' plant has been reported (but not quantified) in the EFSA Compendium of botanicals as substance of concern for *A. graveolens* based on one reference (EFSA, 2012).<sup>21</sup> However, the literature search did not confirm the presence of estragole in essential oils from *A. graveolens* (Embong et al., 1977; Kaur et al., 2019). Unlike Indian dill seed oil (*Anethum sowa* Roxb. ex Flem.), the European type of dill seed oil contains no dill apiole (Tisserand and Young, 2014). No information on substances of concern in aqueous hydroalcoholic preparations was retrieved.

The applicant provided analytical data by gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC–MS) which showed that the concentration of estragole in five batches of the additive was between the limit of

<sup>16</sup> Technical dossier/Supplementary information October 2020/Annex\_II\_3\_Results of analysis.

<sup>17</sup> Technical dossier/Supplementary information October 2020.

<sup>18</sup> Technical dossier/Supplementary information October 2020/Section\_II\_Identity and Annex II\_3.

<sup>19</sup> Technical dossier/Supplementary information October 2020/Annex II\_6\_Detailed report of carvone quantification.

<sup>20</sup> Technical dossier/Supplementary information October 2020/Annex II\_4\_Bibliographic data concerning the chemical composition of dill and dill extracts.

<sup>21</sup> Online version: <https://www.efsa.europa.eu/en/data-report/compendium-botanicals>.

detection (LOD, 0.4 mg/kg) and the limit of quantification (LOQ, 1.2 mg/kg).<sup>22</sup> There is no specification defining limit values for undesirable compounds in the tincture.

The identified secondary metabolites account only on average for 4.6% of the dry matter content of the tincture (range: 3.6–5.1%).

**Table 2:** Characterisation of the fraction of secondary metabolites of a tincture derived from the fruit of *Anethum graveolens* L. based on the analysis of five batches (mean and range, results are expressed as % of the tincture, w/w)

Constituent	Method	Mean	Range
		% (w/w)	% (w/w)
Total polyphenols	Folin–Ciocalteu	0.0247	0.0199–0.0313
Flavonoids	HPTLC	0.0137	0.0100–0.0164
Carvone	HPTLC	0.0030	0.0019–0.0051
Estragole <sup>(1)</sup>	GC–MS	–	–

HPTLC: high-performance thin-layer chromatography; GC–MS: gas chromatography–mass spectrometry.

(1): Between the limit of detection (LOD, 0.4 mg/kg) and the limit of quantification (LOQ, 1.2 mg/kg).

The applicant controls contamination at the level of the raw material, including knowledge of the cultivation conditions and pesticides applied. Specifications for microbial contamination are set with the supplier.<sup>23</sup> Two certificates of analysis of the raw material (dill fruit) showing compliance were provided.<sup>24</sup> In the annual monitoring plan of the product, arsenic, cadmium, lead and mercury, pesticides and dioxins have never been detected. Analysis of impurities in the tincture is made on an irregular basis and does not form part of the Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Points (HACCP) Plan.

### 3.2.2. Stability

The shelf-life of the tincture is declared by the applicant to be at least 36 months when stored in tightly closed containers under standard conditions. No evidence was provided to support this claim.

### 3.2.3. Conditions of use

The additive is intended for use in feed and in water for drinking for all animal species. The applicant proposes a maximum concentration of 50 mg dill tincture/kg complete feed for all animal species, except for horses, for which the proposed use is 200 mg/kg complete feed. No use level has been proposed by the applicant for the use in water for drinking.

## 3.3. Safety

The safety assessment is based on the highest proposed use levels in feed, which are 200 mg/kg complete feed for horses and 50 mg/kg complete feed for all other species.

No studies to support the safety for target animals, consumers or users were performed with the additive under assessment.

The additive under assessment, dill tincture, is a mixture consisting of 99.1% (w/w) of a water/ethanol mixture. The concentration of plant derived compounds is about 0.9% (w/w) of the tincture. The dry matter included ash, protein, lipids and carbohydrates, which are not of concern, and are not further considered.

Among the secondary plant metabolites, total phenolic compounds including flavonoids were quantified but not identified. They will be assessed based on considerations at the level of the assessment group (see Section 3.3.3.2). These compounds are readily metabolised and excreted and are not expected to accumulate in animal tissues and products.

The additive contains carvone [07.012], a compound which has been evaluated by the EFSA Scientific Committee for use as a flavour in food and feed (EFSA SC, 2014) and is currently authorised for use in food<sup>9</sup> without limitations and for use in feed<sup>10</sup> at individual use level higher than those resulting from the intended use of the tincture in feed.

<sup>22</sup> Technical dossier/Supplementary information March 2021.

<sup>23</sup> Technical dossier/Supplementary information October 2020/Annex II\_9\_Technical data sheet\_Dill (raw material).

<sup>24</sup> Technical dossier/Supplementary information October 2020/Annex II\_10\_Certificate of analysis Dill (raw material).



In its review of the toxicokinetics of d- and l-carvone, 'the EFSA SC concluded that *in vivo* studies in humans and *in vitro* studies in rat resulted in different profiles of metabolites. Particularly, the main metabolites of d- and l-carvone identified in human volunteers were carvonic acid, dihydrocarvonic acid and uroterpenolone, with carveol and dihydrocarveol as minor products. However, this study did not assess the stereospecificity of the metabolism of carvone in humans (Engel, 2001). The evidence from *in vitro* studies in rat liver microsomes suggests that carveol is likely to be the main metabolite and that metabolic conversion of carvone to carveol in female rat liver is likely to be very slow compared to male rat. *In vitro* studies in rat liver microsomes indicate a stereoselective reduction of carvone to carveol and stereospecific conjugation (only l-carveol is glucuronidated and with a fourfold higher rate in the rat compared with humans). Glucuronidation of d- and l-carvone and their other metabolites (carvonic acid, dihydrocarvonic acid and uroterpenolone, dihydrocarveol) has not been studied' (EFSA SC, 2014, as summarised in EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2016). The EFSA SC established an acceptable daily intake (ADI) of 0.6 mg/kg body weight (bw) per day for d-carvone, based on a 95% lower confidence limit for the benchmark dose response of 10% (BMDL<sub>10</sub>) of 60 mg/kg bw per day for an increase in relative liver weight in the rat 90-day studies and an uncertainty factor (UF) of 100. The EFSA SC could not establish an ADI for l-carvone because of a lack of toxicological data for this enantiomer (EFSA SC, 2014).

Trace concentrations (0.4–1.2 mg/kg) of estragole, a compound with experimentally proven genotoxicity and carcinogenicity in rodents (as reviewed in EMA, 2021) were detected in all batches of the additive. Information on the absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion and on the toxicology of estragole is summarised in the next sections.

### 3.3.1. Absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion (ADME) of estragole

Estragole is a lipophilic compound and, as such, readily and completely absorbed from the gastrointestinal tract. Phase I metabolism is catalysed by cytochromes P450 (CYP450) enzymes mainly in the liver. Demethylation of the 4-methoxygroup with formation of 4-allylphenol is followed by conjugation with glucuronic acid or sulfate and renal excretion. Oxidation of the allyl-side chain leads to estragole-2',3'-epoxide, which is hydrolysed to the corresponding diol with subsequent glucuronidation and excretion. Both metabolic pathways result in the detoxification of estragole. The formation of genotoxic metabolites is initiated by oxidation of the side chain with formation of 1'-hydroxyestragole. Sulfate conjugation of the hydroxyl group leads to 1'-sulfooxyestragole, which is unstable and breaks down to form a highly reactive carbonium ion, which can react covalently with DNA (as reviewed in EMA, 2021).

The metabolism of estragole was evaluated in experimental animals with special focus on the formation of its proximate metabolite, 1'-hydroxyestragole, and the influence of the dose administered on the quantity excreted in urine (Zangouras et al., 1981; Anthony et al., 1987, as referenced in EMA, 2021). When <sup>14</sup>C-estragole (4-[<sup>14</sup>C-methoxy]-allylbenzene) was given in low doses to rodents, the radioactivity was mainly excreted as <sup>14</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> in exhaled air as a result of demethylation and only a minor portion in urine in the form of several metabolites resulting from hydroxylation in 1'-C and epoxidation at 2',3'-C followed by ring hydrolysis. In a single study conducted in two volunteers orally given 100 µg of methoxy-<sup>14</sup>C-estragole, 1'-hydroxyestragole quantified in urine of both individuals was 0.2% and 0.4% of the given dose; the majority of the radioactivity was excreted in expired air as <sup>14</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> in the first 8 h (Sangster et al., 1987, as referenced in EMA, 2021). Metabolites identified in urine indicate that estragole follows a similar biotransformation profile in rats, mice and humans. There are no studies in human volunteers with high doses of estragole, but in rats and in mice (Zangouras et al., 1981; Anthony et al., 1987, as referenced in EMA, 2021) it is consistently shown that as doses increase the urinary levels of 1'-hydroxyestragole as glucuronide significantly increases.

### 3.3.2. Toxicology of estragole

Estragole was included in the diet of female CD-1 mice at 0, 2.3 and 4.6 g/kg diet for 12 months. At least 50% of the animals in the exposed groups developed hepatic tumours by 18 months,<sup>25</sup> which were diagnosed as hepatomas types A (hepatocellular adenomas) or B (hepatocellular adenocarcinomas) or mixed types A and B. The animals fed the control diet did not show any hepatic tumour (Miller et al., 1983).

<sup>25</sup> Incidence of hepatomas in female mice (0/50, 25/50, 35/50).

The FEEDAP Panel notes that there is high uncertainty in derivation of a benchmark dose (BMD) lower confidence limit for a benchmark response of 10% (BMDL<sub>10</sub>) for estragole from a carcinogenicity study in CD-1 mice.<sup>26</sup>

Since estragole shares the same mode of action as methyleugenol, both being representatives of the group of *p*-allylalkoxybenzenes, the FEEDAP Panel applies to estragole a BMDL<sub>10</sub> of 22.2 mg/kg bw per day, derived from a carcinogenicity study in rat with methyleugenol (NTP, 2000) by applying model averaging (Suparmi et al., 2019) (for details, see EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2022).

### 3.3.3. Safety for the target species

In the absence of tolerance studies and/or toxicity data from repeated dose studies in laboratory animals performed with the additive under assessment, the approach to the safety assessment of the mixture is based on its individual components or groups of components. For carvone, subchronic studies are available from which a no observed adverse effect level (NOAEL) or a BMDL<sub>10</sub> can be derived. For *p*-allylalkoxybenzenes, rodent carcinogenicity studies with methyleugenol are available from which a BMDL<sub>10</sub> can be derived. For the group assessment of phenolic compounds and flavonoids, in the absence of data, the threshold of toxicological concern (TTC) is applied to derive maximum safe feed concentrations for the whole groups in the tincture (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2017b).

#### 3.3.3.1. Carvone

At the maximum proposed use level of 50 mg dill tincture/kg complete feed, the highest concentration of carvone ( $\leq 0.005\%$  of the tincture) would be 0.003 mg/kg feed, resulting in an intake of less than 1  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$  bw per day for the target species (ranging from 0.013 in ornamental fish to 0.23  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$  bw per day in chickens for fattening). For horses, at the maximum proposed use level of 200 mg/kg complete feed, the highest concentration in feed would be 0.010 mg carvone/kg and the highest intake would be 0.23  $\mu\text{g}$  carvone/kg bw per day. For carvone, the applicant made reference to the BMDL<sub>10</sub> of 60 mg/kg bw per day based on an increase in relative liver weight in the rat 90-day studies and an UF of 100 (EFSA SC, 2014). When the exposure of the target species is compared with the BMDL<sub>10</sub>, the margin of exposure (MOE) is  $> 250,000$ .

#### 3.3.3.2. Phenolic compounds including flavonoids

Among the secondary metabolites, 0.025% are polyphenols including 0.014% flavonoids.

At the maximum proposed use level of 50 mg dill tincture/kg complete feed, the highest concentration of the fraction of polyphenols after subtraction of values for flavonoids ( $\leq 0.015\%$  of the tincture, measured by the Folin–Ciocalteu method) would be 0.007 mg/kg feed. Although the individual compounds were not identified, the occurrence of phenolic acids, such as caffeic acid, coumaric acid, ferulic acid and rosmarinic acid, have been described in literature for fruit of *A. graveolens* (see Section 3.2.1). These compounds are assigned to Cramer Class I and the available data indicate that their concentration would be 2 orders of magnitude below the maximum acceptable concentration in feed for Cramer Class I (ranging from 0.3 mg/kg feed for poultry to 1.5 mg/kg feed for salmonids and dogs). For horses, at the maximum proposed use level of 200 mg/kg complete feed, the highest concentration of polyphenols would be 0.030 mg/kg feed, which is well below the maximum acceptable concentration of 1.3 mg/kg for Cramer Class I compounds in feed for horses.

At least eight unidentified flavonoids were detected and quantified (as rutin equivalents) accounting together for  $\leq 0.016\%$  of the tincture. At the maximum proposed use level of 50 mg dill tincture/kg complete feed this would correspond to 0.008 mg/kg feed. Although the individual compounds were not identified, flavonoids are assigned to Cramer Class III. The available data indicate that flavonoids would be below the maximum acceptable concentrations in feed for Cramer Class III (ranging from 0.02 mg/kg feed for poultry to 0.08 mg/kg feed for salmonids and dogs). For horses, at the maximum proposed use level of 200 mg/kg complete feed the highest concentrations of flavonoids would be 0.033 mg/kg feed, which is below the maximum acceptable concentration of 0.07 mg/kg for Cramer Class III compounds in feed for horses.

Overall, no concern for the target species arises from the phenolic fraction and the presence of flavonoids.

<sup>26</sup> This strain of mice spontaneously develops a high incidence of hepatocellular adenomas and carcinomas, and the relevance of these tumours for human risk assessment is questionable. In addition, BMD modelling with only two dose levels is adding extra uncertainty in the derivation of the BMDL<sub>10</sub> value.

### 3.3.3.3. Estragole

Trace concentrations of estragole (between the LOD of 0.4 mg/kg and the LOQ of 1.2 mg/kg) were detected in all batches of the additive.

At the maximum proposed use level of 50 mg tincture/kg complete feed, a concentration of estragole in the additive corresponding to the LOQ would lead to a concentration of 0.060 µg/kg complete feed (0.240 µg/kg for horses at the use level of 200 mg tincture/kg complete feed). The intake of the target animals at the maximum proposed use level of 50 mg/kg complete feed would range between 0.0003 and 0.005 µg estragole/kg bw per day (0.005 µg estragole/kg bw per day for horses).

When the estimated exposures for the different animal categories are compared to the corresponding BMDL<sub>10</sub> of 22.2 mg/kg bw per day (Suparmi et al., 2019) calculated from rodent carcinogenicity studies with methyleugenol (NTP, 2000, see Section 3.3.2), a MOE of at least 4,000,000 is calculated (Appendix A). The magnitude of this MOE is indicative of a low concern for the target species.

### 3.3.3.4. Conclusions on safety for the target species

The additive under assessment, dill tincture, is safe up to maximum proposed use levels of 200 mg/kg complete feed for horses and 50 mg/kg complete feed for all other animal species.

The FEEDAP Panel considers that the use of the additive in water for drinking is safe provided that the total daily intake of the additive does not exceed the daily amount which is considered safe when consumed via feed.

### 3.3.4. Safety for the consumer

Dill herb and fruit and their preparations including their oils are added to a wide range of food categories as spice or for flavouring purposes. Although individual consumption figures for the EU are not available, the Fenaroli's handbook of flavour ingredients (Burdock, 2009) cites values of 0.17 mg/kg bw per day for dill and 0.18 mg/kg bw per day for dill oil.

No data on residues in products of animal origin were made available for any of the constituents of the tincture. When considering the ADME of the individual components, the phenolic compounds, including flavonoids, present in the additive at concentrations below the thresholds for Cramer Class I compounds or Cramer Class III compounds, respectively, will be readily metabolised and excreted and are not expected to accumulate in animal tissues and products. Similarly, for carvone, the available data indicate that it is absorbed, metabolised and rapidly excreted (EFSA SC, 2014) and is not expected to accumulate in animal tissues and products (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2016). For estragole, not quantified but possibly occurring at a concentration between the LOD and the LOQ, the available data indicate that it is absorbed, metabolised and rapidly excreted and is not expected to accumulate in animal tissues and products (see Section 3.3.1).

Considering the above and the reported human exposure due to direct use of dill and its preparations in food (Burdock, 2009), it is unlikely that consumption of products from animals given dill tincture at the proposed maximum use level would increase human background exposure.

Consequently, no safety concern would be expected for the consumer from the use of dill tincture up to the highest proposed use level in feed.

### 3.3.5. Safety for the user

No specific data were provided by the applicant regarding the safety of the additive for users.

The applicant provided information according to Classification, Labelling and Packaging (CLP) Regulation (EC) 1272/2008<sup>27</sup> concerning the presence of ethanol in the tincture.<sup>28</sup>

The additive contains carvone, a compound for which hazards for skin and eye contact and respiratory exposure were recognised (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2016).

The additive under assessment should be considered as irritant to skin and eyes, and as a dermal and respiratory sensitiser.

<sup>27</sup> Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2008 on classification, labelling and packaging of substances and mixtures, amending and repealing Directives 67/548/EEC and 1999/45/EC, and amending Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006. *OJ L 353, 31.12.2008, p. 1–1,355.*

<sup>28</sup> H319: causes serious eye irritation (relevant for dermal exposure).

When handling the additive, exposure of unprotected users to estragole cannot be excluded. Therefore, to reduce the risk, the exposure of the users should be minimised.

### 3.3.6. Safety for the environment

*A. graveolens* is a native species to Europe where it is widely grown both for commercial and decorative purposes. Therefore, the use of the tincture under the proposed conditions of use in animal feed is not expected to pose a risk for the environment.

## 3.4. Efficacy

Dill (*A. graveolens*) and its preparations are listed in Fenaroli's Handbook of Flavour Ingredients (Burdock, 2009), by the Flavour and Extract Manufacturers Association (FEMA) with the reference numbers 2382 (dill) and 2,383 (dill oil).

Since dill fruit and its preparations are recognised to flavour food and their function in feed would be essentially the same as that in food, no further demonstration of efficacy is considered necessary.

## 4. Conclusions

Dill tincture from *A. graveolens* L. may be produced from plants of different geographical origins and by various processes resulting in preparations with different composition and toxicological profiles. Thus, the following conclusions apply only to dill tincture which contains  $\leq 1.2$  mg/kg estragole and is produced from the fruit of *A. graveolens*.

The additive is safe at the maximum proposed use levels of 200 mg/kg complete feed for horses and 50 mg/kg complete feed for all other animal species. The FEEDAP Panel considers that the use in water for drinking is safe provided that the total daily intake of the additive does not exceed the daily amount which is considered safe when consumed via feed.

No safety concern would arise for the consumer from the use of dill tincture up to the maximum proposed use levels in feed.

The additive under assessment should be considered as irritant to skin and eyes, and as a skin and respiratory sensitiser. When handling the additive, exposure of unprotected users to estragole cannot be excluded. Therefore, to reduce the risk, the exposure of the users should be minimised.

The use of dill tincture as a flavour in animal feed is not expected to pose a risk for the environment.

Since the fruit of *A. graveolens* and its preparations are recognised to flavour food and their function in feed would be essentially the same as that in food, no further demonstration of efficacy is considered necessary for the tincture under assessment.

## 5. Recommendation

The specification should ensure that the estragole concentration should be as low as possible and should not exceed 1.2 mg/kg dill tincture.

## 6. Documentation provided to EFSA/Chronology

Date	Event
<b>28/10/2010</b>	Dossier received by EFSA. Botanically defined flavourings from Botanical Group 02 - Apiales and Austrobaileyales for all animal species and categories. Submitted by Feed Flavourings Authorisation Consortium European Economic Interest Grouping (FFAC EEIG)
<b>09/11/2010</b>	Reception mandate from the European Commission
<b>26/02/2013</b>	EFSA informed the applicant (EFSA ref. 7,150,727) that, in view of the workload, the evaluation of applications on feed flavourings would be re-organised by giving priority to the assessment of the chemically defined feed flavourings, as agreed with the European Commission
<b>24/06/2015</b>	Technical hearing during risk assessment with the applicant according to the "EFSA's Catalogue of support initiatives during the life-cycle of applications for regulated products": data requirement for the risk assessment of botanicals
<b>27/02/2019</b>	Partial withdrawal by applicant (EC was informed) for the following additives: dill seed extract, celery seed extract (oleoresin), caraway oleoresin/extract, and opoponax oil
<b>24/06/2019</b>	Application validated by EFSA – Start of the scientific assessment

Date	Event
<b>03/07/2019</b>	Request of supplementary information to the applicant in line with Article 8(1)(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003 – Scientific assessment suspended. <i>Issues: characterization, safety for the target species, safety for the consumer, safety for the user, safety for the environment</i>
<b>30/09/2019</b>	Comments received from Member States
<b>28/10/2020</b>	Reception of supplementary information from the applicant (partial submission) - Scientific assessment remains suspended
<b>22/06/2022</b>	Request of supplementary information to the applicant in line with Article 8(1)(2) of Regulation (EC) No 1831/2003 – Scientific assessment suspended. <i>Issues: characterization and safety for target species</i>
<b>29/08/2022</b>	Reception of supplementary information from the applicant (partial submission) - Scientific assessment remains suspended
<b>16/09/2022</b>	The application was split and a new EFSA-Q-2022-00567 was assigned to the preparation included in the present assessment
<b>31/10/2022</b>	Reception of the Evaluation report of the European Union Reference Laboratory for Feed Additives - Scientific assessment re-started
<b>22/11/2022</b>	Opinion adopted by the FEEDAP Panel. End of the Scientific assessment for the preparation included in the present assessment. The assessment of other preparations is still ongoing

## References

- Anthony A, Caldwell J, Hutt AJ and Smith RL, 1987. Metabolism of estragole in rat and mouse and influence of dose size on excretion of the proximate carcinogen 1'-hydroxyestragole. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 25, 799–806. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0278-6915\(87\)90257-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0278-6915(87)90257-2)
- Burdock GA, 2009. *Fenaroli's handbook of flavor ingredients*. 6th edn. CRC press. Taylor & Francis Group. Boca Raton, FL, 444–445 pp. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781439847503>
- EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2005. Opinion of the Scientific Committee on a request from EFSA related to a harmonised approach for risk assessment of substances which are both genotoxic and carcinogenic. *EFSA Journal* 2005;3(10):282, 31 pp. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2005.282>
- EFSA (European Food Safety Authority), 2012. Compendium of botanicals reported to contain naturally occurring substances of possible concern for human health when used in food and food supplements. *EFSA Journal* 2012;10(5):2663, 60 pp. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2012.2663>
- EFSA FEEDAP Panel (EFSA Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed), 2012a. Guidance for the preparation of dossiers for sensory additives. *EFSA Journal* 2012;10(1):2534, 26 pp. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2012.2534>
- EFSA FEEDAP Panel (EFSA Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed), 2012b. Guidance on studies concerning the safety of use of the additive for users/workers. *EFSA Journal* 2012;10(1):2539, 5 pp. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2012.2539>
- EFSA FEEDAP Panel (EFSA Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed), 2016. Scientific opinion on the safety and efficacy of secondary alicyclic saturated and unsaturated alcohols, ketones, ketals and esters with ketals containing alicyclic alcohols or ketones and esters containing secondary alicyclic alcohols from chemical group 8 when used as flavourings for all animal species. *EFSA Journal* 2016;14(6):4475, 26 pp. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2016.4475>
- EFSA FEEDAP Panel (EFSA Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed), 2021. General approach to assess the safety for the target species of botanical preparations which contain compounds that are genotoxic and/or carcinogenic. Available online: <https://www.efsa.europa.eu/sites/default/files/2021-05/general-approach-assessment-botanical-preparations-containing-genotoxic-carcinogenic-compounds.pdf>
- EFSA FEEDAP Panel (EFSA Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed), Rychen G, Aquilina G, Azimonti G, Bampidis V, Bastos ML, Bories G, Chesson A, Cocconcelli PS, Flachowsky G, Gropp J, Kolar B, Kouba M, López-Alonso M, López Puente S, Mantovani A, Mayo B, Ramos F, Saarela M, Villa RE, Wallace RJ, Wester P, Anguita M, Galobart J and Innocenti ML, 2017a. Guidance on the identity, characterisation and conditions of use of feed additives. *EFSA Journal* 2017;15(10):5023, 12 pp. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2017.5023>
- EFSA FEEDAP Panel (EFSA Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed), Rychen G, Aquilina G, Azimonti G, Bampidis V, Bastos ML, Bories G, Chesson A, Cocconcelli PS, Flachowsky G, Gropp J, Kolar B, Kouba M, López-Alonso M, López Puente S, Mantovani A, Mayo B, Ramos F, Saarela M, Villa RE, Wallace RJ, Wester P, Anguita M, Galobart J, Innocenti ML and Martino L, 2017b. Guidance on the assessment of the safety of feed additives for the target species. *EFSA Journal* 2017;15(10):5021, 19 pp. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2017.5021>

- EFSA FEEDAP Panel (EFSA Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed), Rycken G, Aquilina G, Azimonti G, Bampidis V, Bastos ML, Bories G, Chesson A, Cocconcelli PS, Flachowsky G, Gropp J, Kolar B, Kouba M, López-Alonso M, López Puente S, Mantovani A, Mayo B, Ramos F, Saarela M, Villa RE, Wallace RJ, Wester P, Anguita M, Dujardin B, Galobart J and Innocenti ML, 2017c. Guidance on the assessment of the safety of feed additives for the consumer. *EFSA Journal* 2017;15(10):5022, 17 pp. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2017.5022>
- EFSA FEEDAP Panel (EFSA Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed), Rycken G, Aquilina G, Azimonti G, Bampidis V, Bastos ML, Bories G, Chesson A, Cocconcelli PS, Flachowsky G, Gropp J, Kolar B, Kouba M, López-Alonso M, López Puente S, Mantovani A, Mayo B, Ramos F, Saarela M, Villa RE, Wallace RJ, Wester P, Anguita M, Galobart J, Innocenti ML and Martino L, 2018. Guidance on the assessment of the efficacy of feed additives. *EFSA Journal* 2018;16(5):5274, 25 pp. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2018.5274>
- EFSA FEEDAP Panel (EFSA Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed), Bampidis V, Bastos ML, Christensen H, Dusemund B, Kouba M, Kos Durjava M, López-Alonso M, López Puente S, Marcon F, Mayo B, Pechová A, Petkova M, Ramos F, Sanz Y, Villa RE, Woutersen R, Brock T, Knecht J, Kolar B, Beelen P, Padovani L, Tarrés-Call J, Vettori MV and Azimonti G, 2019. Guidance on the assessment of the safety of feed additives for the environment. *EFSA Journal* 2019;17(4):5648, 78 pp. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2019.5648>
- EFSA FEEDAP Panel (EFSA Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed), Bampidis V, Azimonti G, Bastos ML, Christensen H, Fašmon Durjava M, Kouba M, López-Alonso M, López Puente S, Marcon F, Mayo B, Pechová A, Petkova M, Ramos F, Sanz Y, Edoardo, Villa R, Woutersen R, Brantom P, Chesson A, Westendorf J, Manini P, Pizzo F and Dusemund B, 2022. Scientific Opinion on the safety and efficacy of a feed additive consisting of an extract of olibanum from *Boswellia serrata* Roxb. ex Colebr. for use in dogs and horses (FEFANA asbl). *EFSA Journal* 2022;20(3):7158, 24 pp. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2022.7158>
- EFSA SC (EFSA Scientific Committee), 2009. Guidance on safety assessment of botanicals and botanical preparations intended for use as ingredients in food supplements, on request of EFSA. *EFSA Journal* 2009;7(9):1249, 19 pp. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2009.1249>
- EFSA SC (EFSA Scientific Committee), 2012. Scientific Opinion on the applicability of the Margin of Exposure approach for the safety assessment of impurities which are both genotoxic and carcinogenic in substances added to food/feed. *EFSA Journal* 2012;10(3):2578, 5 pp. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2012.2578>
- EFSA SC (EFSA Scientific Committee), 2014. Scientific Opinion on the safety assessment of carvone, considering all sources of exposure. *EFSA Journal* 2014;12(7):3806, 74 pp. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2014.3806>
- EFSA SC (EFSA Scientific Committee), More SJ, Hardy A, Bampidis V, Benford D, Bennekou SH, Bragard C, Boesten J, Halldorsson TI, Hernandez-Jerez AF, Jeger MJ, Knutsen HK, Koutsoumanis KP, Naegeli H, Noteborn H, Ockleford C, Ricci A, Rycken G, Schlatter JR, Silano V, Nielsen SS, Schrenk D, Solecki R, Turck D, Younes M, Benfenati E, Castle L, Cedergreen N, Laskowski R, Leblanc JC, Kortenkamp A, Ragas A, Posthuma L, Svendsen C, Testai E, Dujardin B, Kass GEN, Manini P, Zare Jeddi M, Dorne J-LCM and Hogstrand C, 2019a. Guidance on harmonised methodologies for human health, animal health and ecological risk assessment of combined exposure to multiple chemicals. *EFSA Journal* 2019;17(3):5634, 77 pp. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2019.5634>
- EFSA SC (EFSA Scientific Committee), More S, Bampidis V, Benford D, Boesten J, Bragard C, Halldorsson T, Hernandez-Jerez A, Hougaard-Bennekou S, Koutsoumanis K, Naegeli H, Nielsen SS, Schrenk D, Silano V, Turck D, Younes M, Aquilina G, Crebelli R, Gürtler R, Hirsch-Ernst KI, Mosesso P, Nielsen E, Solecki R, Carfi M, Martino C, Maurici D, Parra Morte J and Schlatter J, 2019b. Statement on the genotoxicity assessment of chemical mixtures. *EFSA Journal* 2019;17(1):5519, 11 pp. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2019.5519>
- EFSA SC (EFSA Scientific Committee), More SJ, Bampidis V, Benford D, Bragard C, Halldorsson TI, Hernandez-Jerez AF, Hougaard BS, Koutsoumanis KP, Machera K, Naegeli H, Nielsen SS, Schlatter JR, Schrenk D, Silano V, Turck D, Younes M, Gundert-Remy U, Kass GEN, Kleiner J, Rossi AM, Serafimova R, Reilly L and Wallace HM, 2019c. Guidance on the use of the Threshold of Toxicological Concern approach in food safety assessment. *EFSA Journal* 2019;17(6):5708, 17 pp. <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2019.5708>
- EMA (European Medicines Agency), 2005. Committee on Herbal Medicinal Products (HMPC). Public statement on the use of herbal medicinal products containing estragole. EMEA/HMPC/137212/2005. Available online: [https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/scientific-guideline/public-statement-use-herbal-medicinal-products-containing-estragole\\_en.pdf](https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/scientific-guideline/public-statement-use-herbal-medicinal-products-containing-estragole_en.pdf)
- EMA (European Medicines Agency), 2021. Committee on Herbal Medicinal Products (HMPC). Public statement on the use of herbal medicinal products containing estragole. EMA/HMPC/137212/2005 Rev 1. Available online: [https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/other/second-draft-revision-1-public-statement-use-herbal-medicinal-products-containing-estragole\\_en.pdf](https://www.ema.europa.eu/en/documents/other/second-draft-revision-1-public-statement-use-herbal-medicinal-products-containing-estragole_en.pdf)
- Embong MB, Hadziyev D and Molnar S, 1977. Essential Oils From Spices Grown in Alberta Dill seed oil, *Anethum graveolens*, L. (Umbelliferae). *Canadian Institute of Food Science and Technology Journal*, 10, 208–214. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0315-5463\(77\)73504-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0315-5463(77)73504-7)
- Engel W, 2001. In vivo studies on the metabolism of the monoterpenes S-(+)- and R-(–)-carvone in humans using the metabolism of ingestion-correlated amounts (MICA) approach. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 49, 4069–4075. <https://doi.org/10.1021/jf010157q>
- Kaur N, Chahal KK, Kumar A, Singh R and Bhadwaj U, 2019. Antioxidant activity of *Anethum graveolens* L. essential oil constituents and their chemical analogues. *Journal of Food Biochemistry*, 43, e12782. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jfbc.12782>

- Miller EC, Swanson AB, Phillips DH, Fletcher TL, Liem A and Miller JA, 1983. Structure-Activity Studies of the Carcinogenicities in the Mouse and Rat of Some Naturally Occurring and Synthetic Alkenylbenzene Derivatives Related to Safrole and Estragole. *Cancer Research*, 43, 1124–1134.
- NTP (National Toxicology Program), 2000. NTP Technical Report on the Toxicology and carcinogenesis studies of methyleugenol (CAS NO. 93-15-2) in F344/N rats and B6C3F1 mice (gavage study). NTP, Technical Report Series, 491, 1–420. Available online: [https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/ntp/htdocs/lt\\_rpts/tr491.pdf](https://ntp.niehs.nih.gov/ntp/htdocs/lt_rpts/tr491.pdf)
- Paven CSJ, Radu D, Alexa E, Pintilie S and Ravis A, 2018. *Anethum Graveolens* - An important source of antioxidant compounds for food industry. *Advances in Biotechnology*, 18th International Multidisciplinary Scientific GeoConference SGEM 2018. <https://doi.org/10.5593/sgem2018/6.2/S25.002>
- Sangster SA, Caldwell AJ, Hutt A, Anthony A and Smith RL, 1987. The metabolic disposition of [methoxy-<sup>14</sup>C]-labelled trans-anethole, estragole and p-propylanisole in human volunteers. *Xenobiotica*, 17, 1223–1232. <https://doi.org/10.3109/00498258709167414>
- Suparmi S, Ginting AJ, Mariyam S, Wesseling S and Rietjens IMCM, 2019. Levels of methyleugenol and eugenol in instant herbal beverages available on the Indonesian market and related risk assessment. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 125, 467–478. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2019.02.001>
- Tisserand and Young, 2014, Essential oil profiles. pp. 268–269. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-443-06241-4.00013-8>
- Zangouras A, Caldwell J, Hutt AJ and Smith RL, 1981. Dose-dependent conversion of estragole in the rat and mouse to the carcinogenic metabolite 1'-hydroxyestragole. *Biochemical Pharmacology*, 30, 1383–1386. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-2952\(81\)90329-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-2952(81)90329-4)

## Abbreviations

ADI	acceptable daily intake
ADME	absorption, distribution, metabolism and excretion
BDG	botanically defined group
BMD	benchmark dose
BMDL <sub>10</sub>	benchmark dose (BMD) lower confidence limit for a benchmark response of 10%
bw	body weight
CDG	chemically defined group
CLP	Classification, Labelling and Packaging
CYP450	cytochrome P450
DM	dry matter
EEIG	European economic interest grouping
EMA	European Medicines Agency
EURL	European Union Reference Laboratory
FEEDAP	EFSA Scientific Panel on Additives and Products or Substances used in Animal Feed
FEMA	Flavour and Extract Manufactures Association
FFAC	Feed Flavourings authorisation Consortium of FEFANA (EU Association of Specialty Feed Ingredients and their Mixtures)
FLAVIS	The EU Flavour Information System
GC–MS	gas chromatography–mass spectrometry
HACCP	Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Points
HPTLC	high-performance thin-layer chromatography
LOD	limit of detection
LOQ	limit of quantification
MOE	margin of exposure
MOET	combined margin of exposure (total)
NOAEL	no observed adverse effect level
NTP	national toxicology program
sb	solvent-based
SC	EFSA Scientific Committee
TTC	threshold of toxicological concern
UF	uncertainty factor

## Appendix A – Estragole: Maximum daily intake and combined margin of exposure for the different target species

The maximum daily intake of estragole for the different target species and categories was calculated based on

- the default values for body weight and feed intake (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2017b);
- the maximum proposed use level of the additive in feed for the different target animal categories (50 mg/kg complete feed and 200 mg/kg for horses) and;
- assuming that estragole is present at a concentration equal to the corresponding limit of quantification (1.2 mg estragole/kg tincture).

According to the General approach to assess the safety for the target species of botanical preparations which contain compounds that are genotoxic and/or carcinogenic (EFSA FEEDAP Panel, 2021),<sup>15</sup> 'for substances for which carcinogenicity studies in rodents are available, from which a BMDL<sub>10</sub> can be derived, the MOE approach (EFSA, 2005; EFSA SC, 2012) can be applied. Similarly to human risk assessment, a combined (total) margin of exposure (MOET) with a magnitude of  $\geq 10,000$ , when comparing estimated exposure to genotoxic and/or carcinogenic substances with a BMDL<sub>10</sub> from a rodent carcinogenicity study, would be indicative of a low concern for the target species (EFSA SC, 2019a)'.

The margin of exposure (MOE) for each animal category is calculated as the ratio of the reference point (the BMDL<sub>10</sub> of 22.2 mg/kg bw per day, see Section 3.3.1) to the intake.

The highest daily intake of estragole for the different target animal categories and the corresponding MOE are reported in Table A.1.

**Table A.1:** Target animal intake of estragole (as  $\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$  bw per day) and margin of exposure (MOE) calculated at the maximum proposed use level of the additive in feed for each target animal category

Target species	Daily feed intake	Body weight	Use level	Estragole intake <sup>(a)</sup>	MOE <sup>(b)</sup>
	kg DM/day	kg	mg/kg	$\mu\text{g}/\text{kg}$ bw per day	
Chickens for fattening	0.158	2	50	0.0054	4,121,519
Laying hens	0.106	2	50	0.0036	6,143,396
Turkey for fattening	0.176	3	50	0.0040	5,518,644
Piglet	0.88	20	50	0.0030	7,400,000
Pig for fattening	2.2	60	50	0.0025	8,800,000
Sow lactating	5.28	175	50	0.0021	10,853,333
Veal calf (milk replacer)	1.89	100	50	0.0012	17,136,842
Cattle for fattening	8	400	50	0.0014	16,280,000
Dairy cows	20	650	50	0.0021	10,503,226
Sheep/goat	1.2	60	50	0.0014	16,280,000
Horse	8	400	200	0.0055	4,070,000
Rabbit	0.1	2	50	0.0034	6,512,000
Salmon	0.0021	0.12	50	0.0012	18,088,889
Dog	0.25	15	50	0.0011	19,152,941
Cat	0.06	3	50	0.0014	16,280,000
Ornamental fish	0.00054	0.012	50	0.0003	65,120,000

DM: dry matter; bw: body weight.

(a): The values of estragole in feed is calculated considering that estragole is present at a concentration corresponding to the limit of quantification ( $< 1.2$  mg/kg corresponding to 0.00012%).

(b): The MOE for estragole is calculated as the ratio of the reference point (BMDL<sub>10</sub>) to the intake.