

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active. Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



International Journal of Infectious Diseases



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ijid

Study of perinatal transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in a Mexican public hospital

Adriana Ramírez-Rosas^{1,\$}, Tizziani Benitez-Guerrero^{2,\$}, Karina Corona-Cervantes², Juan Manuel Vélez-Ixta², Norma Gabriela Zavala-Torres², Jazmin Cuenca-Leija¹, Sarahi Martínez-Pichardo¹, María Esther Landero-Montes-de-Oca¹, Fernando Guadalupe Bastida-González³, Paola Berenice Zárate-Segura⁴, Jaime García-Mena^{2,*}

¹ Departamento de Ginecología y Obstetricia, Hospital Gustavo Baz Prada, ISEM, Estado de México, México

² Departamento de Genética y Biología Molecular, Cinvestav Unidad Zacatenco, Ciudad de México, México

³ Laboratorio Estatal de Salud Pública del Estado de México, ISEM, Toluca de Lerdo, Estado de México, México

⁴ Escuela Superior de Medicina, Instituto Politécnico Nacional, Ciudad de México, México

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 17 August 2021 Revised 29 September 2021 Accepted 2 October 2021

Keywords: Perinatal transmission COVID-19 Human milk SARS-CoV-2 RT-qPCR RT-qdPCR

ABSTRACT

Objectives: COVID-19 is a viral transmissible disease and there is limited evidence on vertical transmission and prevalence of SARS-CoV-2 during pregnancy, birth, and the postnatal period. This descriptive cross-sectional study aimed to evaluate the possible perinatal transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in mothers and neonates in a Mexican population.

Methods: A total of 133 nasopharyngeal swab samples from mothers, 131 swab samples from neonates, and 140 colostrum samples were obtained, and the presence of SARS-CoV-2 was determined by qPCR. *Results:* One in eight asymptomatic 38–39 weeks' pregnant women were positive for the presence of

SARS-CoV-2 in nasopharyngeal swabs taken just before delivery; and one in 12 nasopharyngeal swabs collected from neonates immediately after delivery without breast feeding were also positive. It was also determined that one in 47 colostrum/milk samples were positive for the test. In addition, there was no association between positive results and any collected metadata of mothers or newborns.

Conclusions: Asymptomatic women carried the SARS-CoV-2 virus during delivery, with perinatal transmission of SARS-CoV-2 to newborns. Since neonates were sampled immediately after birth, the detection of positive cases might be due to infection by the virus *in utero*.

© 2021 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of International Society for Infectious Diseases. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license

(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

1. Introduction

COVID-19, a novel coronavirus, was reported in China in December 2019 and spread around the world in a short period of time, reaching the Americas by the beginning of 2020. The severe outbreak was declared a "public-health emergency of international concern" by the World Health Organization (WHO) by 30 January 2020 (Wu et al., 2020). This situation led to healthcare centers facing a critical infection inflicted by this novel coronavirus, which was identified as the causative pathogen and named SARS-CoV-2 (Karimi-Zarchi et al., 2020).

The SARS-CoV-2 virus is transmitted by small liquid droplets produced by an infected person during coughing, sneezing, speaking, or breathing (CDC, 2021; Patel et al., 2020). A person affected by COVID-19 commonly has fever, cough, and dyspnea, and occasionally watery diarrhea, as symptoms. It is estimated that 20–30% of the infected patients require mechanical ventilation, with a 10% probability of dying (Paules et al., 2020). According to Mexican health authorities, the Mexican population has a higher risk of fatal outcomes in older people or persons with medical comorbidities like hypertension, diabetes, and being overweight (Secretaria de Salud, 2020).

^{*} Correspondence to: Jaime García-Mena Dr. en C., Departamento de Genética y Biología Molecular, Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados del Instituto Politécnico Nacional, Unidad Zacatenco, Ciudad de México, 07360 México

E-mail address: jgmena@cinvestav.mx (J. García-Mena).

^{\$} These two authors contributed equally to this work

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2021.10.006

^{1201-9712/© 2021} The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of International Society for Infectious Diseases. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

The first reported COVID-19 case in Mexico was in January 2020 (Suárez et al., 2020) and, according to the Mexican Government, there were 1,011,153 confirmed cases and 99,026 deaths by November 2020. Similar situations have caused the implementation of extraordinary public health measures in several countries to reduce further viral spreading (Wang et al., 2020). Nevertheless, despite the health measures taken by authorities, COVID-19 cases have continued an upward trend (WHO, 2021). There have now been more than 2.5 million confirmed cases and more than 2.4 thousand deaths in Mexico (CONACYT, 2021). According to official reports, there is a higher risk of fatal outcome in older people or persons with medical comorbidities like hypertension, diabetes, and being overweight in the Mexican population (Secretaria de Salud, 2020).

At a population level, one vulnerable group of special interest is pregnant women. Some studies have shown that pregnant women are at greater risk of COVID-19 morbidity and mortality, which increase adverse pregnancy outcomes; however, although the results suggest that vertical transmission is infrequent, there is insufficient information about the factors that influence it (Qiao, 2020; Plüddemann et al., 2021).

Despite reasonable doubts about the safety of breastfeeding in COVID-19 pandemic times, the beneficial impact of human milk (HM) has been widely investigated and demonstrated, especially on cases of extremely low birth weight or newborns in neonatal intensive care units (Mercado et al., 2019). In addition, HM represents the ideal nutrition source, and exclusive breastfeeding is recommended by the WHO at least during the first 6 months after birth (WHO, 2003). HM has been related to positive effects in the successive phases of life, as it provides protection against the development of obesity, diabetes, and cardiovascular disease, improving neurological development in newborns (Bardanzellu et al., 2019). HM also promotes immune system development, by provision of passive immunity via immunoglobulins and other bioactive factors produced in response to viral infections. In women previously affected by COVID-19, it has been reported that HM contains IgA immunoglobulins, reactive to the receptor binding domain (RBD) of SARS-CoV-2 spike protein, conferring protection to the neonate against the virus (Pace et al., 2021). A recent review of 13 studies testing HM for the presence of SARS-CoV-2 (Lackey et al., 2020) reported the presence of virus in one milk sample, and SARS-CoV-2-specific IgG in the milk of another study. In China, HM was analyzed at delivery time in mothers with a SARS-CoV-2-positive diagnosis, finding that all milk samples were negative for the virus (Yang and Liu, 2020). In fact, in 2020, the WHO determined that no evidence of SARS-CoV-2 transmission was detected in mother's milk with suspected or confirmed COVID-19; therefore, it is highly recommended to continue breastfeeding because of the abundant health benefits HM provides to newborn and which are maintained throughout life (Centeno-Tablante et al., 2021).

Additional reports have described newborns with positive nasopharyngeal swabs after birth, which could be the consequence of horizontal transmission by contact with the infected mother or with positive asymptomatic healthcare personnel at the time of delivery (Auriti et al., 2020). Another study found no evidence of virus transmission through milk, and it was shown that transmission might have occurred via respiratory droplets from the mother during breastfeeding (Salvatori et al., 2020).

However, there is limited evidence on vertical transmission, prevalence, and clinical features of COVID-19 during pregnancy, birth, and the postnatal period in the Mexican population; hence, there is a need for evidence of SARS-CoV-2 vertical transmission from mothers to infants. However, continuing with breastfeeding is highly recommended, as there is evidence that the HM of infected mothers carries antibodies against SARS-CoV-2 (Mardani and Pourkaveh, 2020).

There is no conclusive evidence of intrauterine vertical transmission of COVID-19 from infected pregnant mothers to their fetuses (Deniz et al., 2020). Infected mothers are at high risk of severe respiratory complications (Karimi et al., 2020). Nine neonates born to infected mothers were found to be negative for SARS-CoV2 infection in China, suggesting that there is not intrauterine infection (Cao et al., 2020). In another case report, detailed studies conducted to test viral vertical transmission found no vertical transmission caused by intrauterine infection in asymptomatic COVID-19 pregnant women (Lu et al., 2020). However, in the first reported case of a SARS-CoV-2-positive nasopharyngeal swab from a neonate delivered vaginally, both stool and rectal swabs from the mother were positive for the virus, suggesting neonate infection due to fecal contamination during vaginal delivery (Carosso et al., 2020). In the same sense, there is a report of SARS-CoV-2 RNA detection in one umbilical cord blood and in two at-term placentas (Fenizia et al., 2020).

SARS CoV-2 infection has been associated with negative perinatal outcomes like fetal distress, premature labor, respiratory distress, thrombocytopenia, abnormal liver function tests, and even death (Zhu et al., 2020; Hosier et al., 2020; Zheng et al., 2020). In a study in COVID-19 mothers, where the placenta tested positive for SARS CoV-2 and all nasopharyngeal newborn swabs tested negative for the virus, fetal vascular malperfusion was detected in only one of the cases, but the infection was associated with thrombosis in fetal circulation (Baergen and Heller, 2020). Attention has been drawn to the maternal immune response against the COVID-19 virus, which may also negatively affect fetal development due to the symptoms derived from the infection, like high fever, which may damage the fetal neurons, leading to negative neurodegenerative outcomes (Deniz and Tezer, 2020; Forestieri et al., 2020).

There are high numbers of COVID-19 infections in the Mexican population and there is therefore constant concern about whether childbirth is safe, due to the potential risk of vertical transmission or not; therefore, it is a priority to quickly establish preventive measures by healthcare systems. The purpose of this study was to explore the possible vertical transmission of the SARS-CoV-2 virus from an asymptomatic mother with presence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA to her newborn at the time of delivery.

2. Methods

2.1. Study type

This was a transversal, descriptive, cross-sectional study performed in the "Dr. Gustavo Baz Prada" General Hospital located in the municipality of Ciudad Netzahualcoyotl (19°25′19′N 99°00′53′′W) (Figure 1a). The study was approved by the hospital's Bioethics Committee in Research, with registry number 208C0101110500T-3157_2020-08. All participants consented to the collection of data and signed informed consent in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

2.2. Sample

For this study, 150 samples were collected from 17 July to 13 October 2020, during the first epidemiological wave (Figure 1b). Inclusion criteria were apparently healthy, pregnant Mexican women in the third trimester of pregnancy, with no COVID-19 clinical symptoms, no hormonal treatment, and no antibiotic treatment. The exclusion criterion was pregnant women with COVID-19 clinical symptoms. Entries with incomplete or inadequate data were excluded. Sampling was made according to the Standard Guidelines for Epidemiological Surveillance and SARS-CoV-2 Disease Laboratory manual from the Mexican Government Health Ministry (Secretaría de Salud, Gobierno de México, 2020). The SARS-CoV-2



Figure 1. Location of the study in place and time during the COVID-19 pandemic. A: The figure shows the location of the Mexican republic in the American continent, the sampling site General Hospital "Dr. Gustavo Baz Prada", Municipality of Ciudad Netzahualcoyotl (19°25'19''N 99°00'53''W), and the analysis site State of Mexico Public Health Laboratory (Instituto de Salud del Estado México, ISEM) (19°16/19"N 99°39'27"W). The hospital and laboratory are at 2235 m (7333 ft), and 2681 m (8796 ft) above average sea level, respectively. The source of the globe is https://es.wikipedia.org/wiki/M%C3%A9xico#/media/Archivo:MEX_orthographic.svg, and the source for the map is https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Mexico_Map.svg B: The graph shows the sampling period during the COVID-19 pandemic in the Mexican Republic. Samples were taken from July 17th to October 13th, 2020 (vertical shaded area), equivalent to the reported week commencing 21 to 34 (x-axis). The estimated cases are plotted using solid cherry color line (left y-axis), and the confirmed deaths are shown with the dashed black line (right y-axis). Data were retrieved from https://www.gob.mx/salud/ documentos/coronavirus-covid-19-comunicado-tecnico-diario-238449 on June 10th, 2021.

matrix was obtained from pharyngeal and nasopharyngeal swabs following Laboratory testing of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) in suspected human cases: interim guidance, 17 January 2020 (LVIR-P-05 procedure) https://www.who.int/publications-detail/ laboratory-testing-for-2019-novel-coronavirus-in-suspected-human-cas(2019-2010/MV).in suspected human cases: interim guidance, 17 [an-Mother and infant nasopharyngeal exudate swab samples, in the gynecological area of the hospital, were taken using sterile polyester swabs, and each swab was placed in viral culture medium. For mothers, samples were taken immediately after vaginal delivery or cesarean section; for neonates, before cleaning the upper airways. Human colostrum/milk was collected in the recovery area or gynecological room by specialized medical staff within the 48-hour postpartum recovery period. The nipple area was cleaned using a sterile swab and water, and approximately 500 μ L of colostrum was extracted in a sterile tube. Of all samples

obtained, after applying the exclusion and inclusion criteria, a total of 133 nasopharyngeal swab samples from mothers, 131 swab samples from neonates, and 140 colostrum samples were taken. Instructions from the Laboratory testing of 2019 novel coronavirus uary 2020 (REMU-MA-01 manual guidelines) were followed for shipment delivery to the Molecular Biology laboratory, Laboratorio Estatal de Salud Pública del Estado de México (19°16'19''N 99°39'27''W) (ISEM Secretaría e Instituto de Salud del Estado de México), where they were analyzed (Figure 1a).

2.3. RNA extraction

RNA extraction was made from 140 μ L of viral sample in transport medium (VTM), using the MagNA Pure LC RNA Isolation Kit - High Performance (Cat 03542394001, Roche). A total of 260 μ L of the lysis buffer was added to the sample and the mixture was placed in a cartridge along with other kit reagents in the MagNA Pure 96 Instrument equipment (Roche, Germany). The HP RNA program Blood_external_lysis' DNA and Viral NA Small Volume purification protocols were followed.

2.4. qRT-PCR methodology

SARS-CoV-2 virus detection in samples was made by realtime RT-PCR, using the One-Step Real-Time RT-PCR kit (Cat. MAD-003941M, Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA), in which the primers and probes are designed for detecting the SARS-CoV-2 N gene and the E gene, as described by the CDC. The RT-PCR reaction mixture was in a final volume of 20 μ L, with 12 μ L of SARS CoV-2 MMix and 8 μ L of total extracted RNA. The RT-PCR cycling protocol was: 25°C for 5 min, 50°C for 20 min for reverse transcription, 95°C for 5 min enzyme deactivation, and 45 cycles of (95°C for 30 s denaturation, 60°C for 1 min alignment and extension) in the CFX96 Touch System (BIO-RAD). A negative control, reaction control, and positive control of non-infectious synthetic DNA contained in the kit (PC SARS CoV2) were used (Corman et al., 2020).

2.5. Digital droplet PCR (ddPCR) methodology

The viral load of the SARS-CoV-2 virus was measured in the samples by RT-ddPCR, using the One-Step RT-ddPCR kit (Cat. 1864021 BIO-RAD, USA). The 20 μ L reaction mixture was set up with: 5 μ L of 4X of Supermix; 2 μ L of 25 U/ μ L reverse transcriptase enzyme; 1 μ L of 300 mM DTT; 0.5 μ L of 100 nM primers and probes targeting the N gene of SARS-CoV-2 described by the CDC; 3 μ L of total RNA; and 8.5 μ L of H₂O. Once mixed, the reactions were transferred to the GCR96 cartridge (Cat. 12006858) and sealed using PX1 PCR plate sealer (Cat. 1814000). The RT-ddPCR cycling protocol was: 50°C for 20 min for reverse transcription, followed of enzyme deactivation at 95°C for 5 min, and 45 cycles of (95°C for 30 s denaturation, 60°C for 1 min for alignment and extension) in the QX ONE Droplet Digital PCR (ddPCR) System (BIO-RAD) (Corman et al., 2020).

2.6. Data analysis

Data corresponding to samples were filtered and compiled. To assess whether there were significant differences between the positive and negative groups for SARS-CoV-2 RNA content, the Mann-Whitney U test was used, and p<0.05 was considered statistically significant. Epidemiological and clinical data were represented as percentages and reported as mean \pm standard deviation (SD). Statistical analysis and graphics were evaluated in RStudio v.1.3.1093 program.

3. Results

3.1. Sample collection and processing

The samples were collected in a public hospital (Figure 1a) from asymptomatic mothers during a 14-week period encompassing the first of the two peaks of the COVID-19 pandemic in Mexico (Figure 1b). The nasopharyngeal swabs and human colostrum/milk samples were collected from 150 mother-neonate pairs; however, there was a reduction in the number of samples in each category due to low sample quality or incomplete data. As a result, the study was finally made with 133 nasopharyngeal swab samples from mothers, 131 swab samples from neonates, and 140 colostrum/milk samples.

3.2. Asymptomatic women carried the SARS-CoV-2 virus during delivery

Although the participating women were clinically asymptomatic for COVID-19 on admission to the delivery room, the qPCR results for SARS-CoV-2 RNA virus detection revealed that 16 of the 133 women or approximately 12% of them were positive for the test (Table 1). According to Mann-Whitney U test, no association was observed between gestational age, the number of parities or the anthropometry, which included assessment of being overweight and obesity, with the positive results for SARS-CoV-2. Similar results were observed for the blood tests, including total white cells and platelet counts and fasting glucose. Additional data evaluating risk factors, educational level, marital status, and main activity of the mothers did not appear to be associated with the detection of coronaviral RNA (Table 1).

3.3. There was perinatal vertical transmission of the SARS-CoV-2 virus to newborns and colostrum/milk samples

For the case of the neonates, nasopharyngeal swabs were immediately taken after birth and before cleaning the upper airways. Neonates were not breastfed and did not have contact with their mothers prior to sampling. For these samples, 11 of 131 (\sim 8.40%) were positive for the presence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA, according to the qPCR test (Table 2, Figure 1, Table S1). On the other hand, there was no association between newborn sex, qualification status, somatometry, or complications during delivery and the positive results for SARS-CoV-2 (Table 2). Exploration of the presence of SARS-Cov-2 in 140 human colostrum/milk samples collected from the asymptomatic mothers within the 48 hours immediately after delivery showed three positive samples, giving a percentage of 2.14% (Figure 2A, Table S1).

3.4. The use of ddPCR revealed a higher percentage of SARS-CoV-2 RNA presence

The qPCR test showed the presence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in 16 of the 133 samples of the mothers' nasopharyngeal swabs (12.03%) (Figure 2A, Table S1). Further exploration of the presence of viral RNA by digital droplet PCR (ddPCR) confirmed the positive qPCR results and revealed the existence of 25 more samples, giving a total of 41 (30.83%) (Figure 2B, Table S2). For the case of SARS-CoV-2 RNA presence in the neonate nasopharyngeal swabs determined by qPCR, the percentage was 8.40% (11 samples of 131) (Figure 2A, Table S1). Further retesting of these samples using ddPCR analysis confirmed the 11 positive qPCR results, and revealed the existence of 13 more positive samples, giving a total of 24 positive among the 131 collected neonate nasopharyngeal swabs; this indicated a vertical transmission of approximately 18.32% (Figure 2B. Table S2). A similar situation occurred for positivity in the 140 human colostrum/milk samples collected from asymptomatic mothers within the 48 hours immediately after delivery and before feeding the newborns, where it increased from 2.14% by qPCR (3 of 140) (Figure 2A, Table S1) to 14.29% (20 of 140) by ddPCR (Figure 2B, Table S2).

4. Discussion

The persistence of the global COVID-19 pandemic is demanding continuous study of the nature of this disease from all scientific areas of expertise. One important aspect of this virus is the mode and frequency of transmission, mostly in vulnerable members of the population like pregnant women. The mother-neonate

A. Ramírez-Rosas, T. Benitez-Guerrero, K. Corona-Cervantes et al.

Table 1

Data for the 133 mothers with SARS-CoV-2 diagnosis in the study.

Number of subjects Age (years) Cestational age (weeks) 16 117 - Age (years) Cestational age (weeks) 38.07 (±1.59) 39.07 (±1.54) γ 0.621 Anthropometry Height (m) Weight (kg) 1.56 (±0.06) 1.56 (±0.06) 5 0.796 Mithropometry Height (m) Weight (kg) 70.31 (±14.20) 29.96 (±4.48) 5 0.338 Normal (BMI 18.5–24.9) 4 (25.00%) 18 (16.22%) 4 - Obesity (BMI 25.0–29.9) 6 (37.50%) 38 (34.23%) 4 - Obesity (BMI 35.0–39.9) 1 (6.25%) 16 (14.41%) 4 - Grade I (BMI 35.0–39.9) 1 (6.25%) 10 (9.91%) 4 - Blood test Reference range 4.5–10 9.51 (±2.65) 6 10.39 (±7.52) c 0.747 Neutrophilis (X10 ⁹ /L) 1.8–8.0 6.79 (±2.54) 6 7.17 (±2.88) 5 0.961 Neutrophilis (X10 ⁹ /L) 1.3–2.2 1.69 (±0.80) 5 1.64 (±0.2) c 0.596 Paring glucose (mg/dL) 74–166 85.27 (±9.51) 6 0.746 0.866 Fasting glucose (mg/dL) 74–106	Variable		Positive	Negative	<i>p</i> -value*
Age (years) Gestational age (weeks)23.00 (\pm 4.93) 38.76 (\pm 1.89)24.11 (\pm 6.37) j° 0.621 0.469AnthropometryHeight (m) Weight (kg) BMI Normal (BMI 18.5–24.9)1.56 (\pm 0.06)1.56 (\pm 0.06) j° 0.796 0.309Normal (BMI 18.5–24.9) Obesity (BMI 25.0–29.9)6 (37.503) 6 (37.503)18 (16.223) j° - 0.0008 (32.33) j° -Obesity (BMI 30.0–34.9) Grade II (BMI 30.0–34.9)4 (25.003) 1 (6.253)16 (14.413) j° - 0.013 (24.230) j° 	Number of subjects		16	117	-
Gestational age (weeks) 38.76 (±1.89) 39.07 (±1.54) $^{\circ}$ 0.469 Anthropometry Height (m) 1.56 (±0.06) 1.56 (±0.06) $^{\circ}$ 0.796 Mathropometry Weight (kg) 70.31 (±14.20) 73.03 (±12.29) $^{\circ}$ 0.309 BMI 29.00 (±5.47) 29.96 (±4.48) $^{\circ}$ 0.339 Overweight (BMI 25.0-29.9) 6 (37.503) 38 (3423) $^{\circ}$ - Ordard II (BMI 35.0-29.9) 6 (37.503) 38 (3423) $^{\circ}$ - Grade II (BMI 35.0-29.9) 1 (5253) 16 (14.413) $^{\circ}$ - Grade II (BMI 35.0-39.9) 1 (5253) 10 (14.413) $^{\circ}$ - Crade II (BMI 35.0-39.9) 1 (52.53) 10 (14.413) $^{\circ}$ - Leukocytes (x10 ⁹ /L) 1.8-8.0 6.79 (±2.54) $^{\circ}$ 7.17 (±2.88) $^{<}$ 0.961 Neutrophils (X10 ⁶ /L) 1.8-8.0 6.79 (±2.54) $^{\circ}$ 7.17 (±2.88) $^{<}$ 0.961 Lymphocytes (x10 ⁹ /L) 1.1-3.2 1.69 (±0.80) $^{\circ}$ 1.76 (±0.62) $^{<}$ 0.596 Lymphocytes (X10 ⁹ /L) 1.1-3.2 1.59 (±0.80) $^{\circ}$ 1.76 (±0.62) $^{<}$ 0.840 Lymphocytes (X10 ⁹ /L) 1.3-6.50 2.73 (Age (years)		23.00 (±4.93)	24.11 (±6.37) ^γ	0.621
AnthropometryHeight (m) Weight (kg)1.56 (±0.06)1.56 (±0.06) i 0.796 0.309BM29.00 (±5.47)29.96 (±4.48) i 0.338Normal (BM1 125.0-29.9)6 (37.508)18 (16.228) i -Overweight (BM1 >3.00)6 (45.158)55 (47.008) i -Obesity (BM1 >3.00)6 (45.158)55 (47.008) i -Grade I (BM1 30.0-34.9)4 (25.008)18 (14.418) i -Grade I (BM1 30.0-34.9)1 (6.258)16 (14.418) i -Grade I (BM1 5.0-39.9)1 (6.258)16 (14.418) i -Blood testReference rangeLeukocytes (x10 ⁹ /L)4.5-109.51 (±2.65) i^{0} 7.17 (±2.88) i 0.747Neutrophils (X)43.0-65.074 (±8.18) i^{0} 72.53 (±10.19) i 0.840Lymphocytes (x10 ⁹ /L)1.1-3.21.69 (±0.80) i^{0} 7.75 (±0.62) i^{0} 0.596Lymphocytes (x10 ⁹ /L)1.50-450227.33 (±47.64) i^{0} 7.77 (±2.88) i^{0} 0.596Lymphocytes (x)21-481.83 5 (±8.31) i^{0} 19.15 (±7.59) i^{0} 0.846Platelet count (x10 ⁹ /L)150-450227.33 (±47.64) i^{0} 227.70 (±6.663) i^{0} 0.866Creatinine (mg/dL)74-1068.57 (±9.51) i^{0} 8.56 (±32.42) i^{0} -Orga addiction0 (0.008)0 (0.008)0 (0.008) i^{0} -ParityTotal parities29271Average0.75 (±1.00)1.13 (±1.16)0.191-Total vaginal (pre	Gestational age (weeks)		38.76 (±1.89)	39.07 (±1.54) ^γ	0.469
Normal (BM) 18.5-24.9) $70.31(\pm 14.20)$ $73.03(\pm 12.29)^{\pm}$ 0.309 BMI29.00(\pm 5.47)29.96(\pm 4.48)^{\pm} 0.338 Normal (BM) 18.5-24.9) $4(25.008)$ 18 (16.228)^{\pm} $-$ Overweight (BM) 25.0-29.9) $6(37.508)$ 38 (34.238)^{\pm} $-$ Grade II (BMI 30.0) $6(46.158)$ 55 (47.008)^{\pm} $-$ Grade II (BMI 35.0-39.9) $1(62.578)$ 16 (14.418)^{\pm} $-$ Grade II (BMI 5.0-39.9) $1(62.578)$ 16 (14.418)^{\pm} $-$ Leukocytes (x10 ⁹ /L)4.5-109.51 (±2.65)^{\#} $0.399 (\pm 7.52)^{\pm}$ 0.747 Neutrophilis (X10 ⁹ /L)1.8-8.0 $6.79 (\pm 2.54)^{\pm}$ $7.17 (\pm 2.88)^{\pm}$ 0.961 Lymphocytes (x10 ⁹ /L)1.1-3.2 $1.69 (\pm 0.80)^{\pm}$ $1.76 (\pm 0.62)^{\pm}$ 0.596 Lymphocytes (x10 ⁹ /L)1.1-3.2 $1.69 (\pm 0.80)^{\pm}$ $1.76 (\pm 0.62)^{\pm}$ 0.596 Lymphocytes (x10 ⁹ /L)1.50-450 $22.73 (\pm 47.64)^{\pm}$ $22.770 (\pm 6.63)^{\pm}$ 0.846 Lymphocytes (%)21-48 $18.35 (\pm 8.31)^{\pm}$ $0.68 (\pm 0.13)^{\mp}$ 0.283 Creatinine (mg/dL) $74-106$ $85.27 (\pm 9.51)^{\pm}$ $87.56 (\pm 32.42)^{\pm}$ 0.283 Creatinine (mg/dL) $74-106$ $85.27 (\pm 9.51)^{\pm}$ $0.68 (\pm 0.13)^{\mp}$ $-$ ParityTotal parities 29 271 $-$ NormalAlcoholism $1 (6.258)$ $4 (3.548)^{\pm}$ $-$ Drug addiction $0 (0.008)$ $5 (34.283)^{\pm}$ $-$ Drug addiction $0 (0.008)$ $5 (34.283)^{\pm$	Anthropometry	Height (m)	1.56 (±0.06)	1.56 (±0.06) ⁸	0.796
BMT20.00 (± 5.47)29.96 (± 4.48) β 0.338Normal (BMI 18.5–24.9)4 (25.00%)18 (16.22%)-Overweight (BMI 25.0–29.9)6 (37.50%)38 (34.23%)-Obesity (BMI >30.0)6 (46.15%)55 (47.00%)-Grade II (BMI 35.0–39.9)1 (625%)16 (14.41%)-Crade II (BMI 35.0–39.9)1 (625%)16 (14.41%)-Crade II (BMI 35.0–39.9)1 (625%)10.39 (± 7.52) ε Leukocytes (x10 ⁹ /L)4.5–109.51 (± 2.65) β 10.39 (± 7.52) ε Neutrophils (x10 ³ /L)1.8–8.06.79 (± 2.54) β 7.17 (± 2.88) ε 0.961Neutrophils (x10 ⁹ /L)1.1–3.21.69 (± 0.80) β 7.17 (± 2.62) ε 0.747Neutrophils (x10 ⁹ /L)1.1–3.21.69 (± 0.80) β 7.17 (± 2.62) ε 0.747Umphocytes (x10 ⁹ /L)1.1–3.21.69 (± 0.80) β 7.17 (± 2.63)0.840Platelet count (x10 ⁹ /L)150–450227.33 (± 47.64) β 227.70 (± 66.63)0.846Platelet count (x10 ⁹ /L)74–1068.5.27 (± 9.51) β 8.756 (± 3.24) ε 0.283Creatinine (mg/dL)0.5–0.90.68 (± 0.13) β 0.68 (± 0.13) γ $-$ Risk factorsAlcoholism1 (6.25%)4 (3.54%) $ -$ Nutriparous8 (50%)77 ((8.75%) $ -$ Nutriparous8 (50%)77 (6.25%) $ -$ <td>1 5</td> <td>Weight (kg)</td> <td>70.31 (±14.20)</td> <td>73.03 (±12.29) ⁸</td> <td>0.309</td>	1 5	Weight (kg)	70.31 (±14.20)	73.03 (±12.29) ⁸	0.309
$ \begin{array}{c cccc} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & $		BMI	29.00 (±5.47)	29.96 $(\pm 4.48)^{\delta}$	0.338
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Normal (BMI 18.5–24.9)	4 (25.00%)	18 (16.22%) 8	_
$ \begin{array}{c cccc} Obesity (BMI > 30.0) & 6 (46.15\%) & 55 (47.00\%)^{6} & - \\ Grade I (BMI 30.0-34.9) & 4 (25.00\%) & 38 (34.23\%)^{6} & - \\ Grade II (BMI 30.0-34.9) & 1 (6.25\%) & 16 (14.41\%)^{6} & - \\ Grade III (BMI 3-30.0) & 1 (6.25\%) & 10.39 (\pm 7.52)^{6} & 0.747 \\ Netrophils (x109/L) & 4.5-10 & 9.51 (\pm 2.65)^{6} & 10.39 (\pm 7.52)^{6} & 0.747 \\ Neutrophils (x109/L) & 1.8-8.0 & 6.79 (\pm 2.54)^{6} & 7.17 (\pm 2.88)^{5} & 0.961 \\ Neutrophils (x109/L) & 1.8-8.0 & 6.79 (\pm 2.54)^{6} & 7.17 (\pm 2.88)^{5} & 0.961 \\ Neutrophils (x109/L) & 1.3-3.2 & 1.69 (\pm 0.80)^{6} & 1.76 (\pm 0.62)^{5} & 0.846 \\ Platelet count (x109/L) & 150-450 & 227.33 (\pm 47.64)^{6} & 227.70 (\pm 66.63)^{6} & 0.866 \\ Platelet count (x109/L) & 150-450 & 227.33 (\pm 47.64)^{6} & 227.70 (\pm 66.63)^{6} & 0.866 \\ Platelet count (x109/L) & 150-450 & 227.33 (\pm 47.64)^{6} & 0.68 (\pm 0.13)^{7} & 0.752 \\ \hline Risk factors & Alcoholism & 1 (6.25\%) & 4 (3.54\%)^{5} & - \\ Smoking & 2 (12.50\%) & 5 (4.42\%)^{5} & - \\ Drug addiction & 0 (0.00\%) & 0 (0.00\%)^{5} & - \\ \hline Parity & Total parities & 29 & 271 \\ Average & 1.81 (\pm 0.98) & 2.42 (\pm 1.34) & 0.083 \\ Uniparous & 8 (50\%) & 77 (68.75\%) & - \\ Multiparous & 8 (50\%) & 77 (68.75\%) & - \\ Multiparous & 8 (50\%) & 77 (68.75\%) & - \\ Total previous parities " 12 & 127 \\ Average & 0.75 (\pm 1.00) & 1.13 (\pm 1.16) & 0.191 \\ Total vaginal (previous) & 8 (66.66\%) & 89 (70.08\%) & - \\ Total cesarean (previous) & 4 (33.33\%) & 38 (29.92\%) & - \\ Multiparous & 1 & 32 & - \\ \hline Socioeconomic data \\ Educational level & Primary school (6 years) & 2 (12.5\%) & 21 (18.75\%)^{7} & - \\ High school (3 years) & 7 (43.75\%) & 21 (18.75\%)^{7} & - \\ High school (3 years) & 7 (43.75\%) & 21 (18.75\%)^{7} & - \\ High school (3 years) & 7 (43.75\%) & 21 (18.75\%)^{7} & - \\ High school (3 years) & 7 (43.75\%) & 21 (18.75\%)^{7} & - \\ High school (3 years) & 7 (43.75\%) & 21 (18.75\%)^{7} & - \\ High school (3 years) & 7 (43.75\%) & 21 (18.75\%)^{7} & - \\ High school (3 years) & 7 (43.75\%) & 21 (18.75\%)^{7} & - \\ High school (3 years) & 7 (43.75\%) & 21 (18.75\%)^{7} & - \\ High scho$		Overweight (BMI 25.0–29.9)	6 (37.50%)	38 (34.23%) ⁸	_
$ \begin{array}{ccccc} Grade I (BMI 30.0-34.9) & 4 (25.00\%) & 38 (34.23\%)^{6} & - \\ Grade II (BMI 35.0-39.9) & 1 (6.25\%) & 16 (14.41\%)^{6} & - \\ Grade III (BMI 35.0-39.9) & 1 (6.25\%) & 16 (14.41\%)^{6} & - \\ Grade III (BMI 35.0-39.9) & 1 (6.25\%) & 1 (0.91\%)^{6} & - \\ \end{array} $		Obesity (BML > 30.0)	6 (46 15%)	$55(47.00\%)^{\delta}$	-
$ \begin{array}{c ccccc} Grade II (BM1 35.0-39.9) & 1 (6.25\%) & 16 (14.41\%) {}^{6} & - \\ Grade II (BM1 35.0-39.9) & 1 (6.25\%) & 10 (14.41\%) {}^{6} & - \\ \\ \hline Grade II (BM1 35.0-39.9) & 1 (6.25\%) & 1 (0.91\%) {}^{6} & - \\ \\ \hline Heutcophits (x10^9/L) & 4.5-10 & 9.51 (\pm 2.65) {}^{6} & 10.39 (\pm 7.52) {}^{e} & 0.747 \\ \\ \hline Neutrophits (x10^9/L) & 1.8-8.0 & 6.79 (\pm 2.54) {}^{6} & 7.17 (\pm 2.88) {}^{c} & 0.961 \\ \\ \hline Neutrophits (x10^9/L) & 1.1-3.2 & 1.69 (\pm 0.80) {}^{6} & 1.76 (\pm 0.62) {}^{c} & 0.596 \\ \\ \\ \ Lymphocytes (x10^9/L) & 1.1-3.2 & 1.69 (\pm 0.80) {}^{6} & 1.76 (\pm 0.62) {}^{c} & 0.596 \\ \\ \\ \ Lymphocytes (x10^9/L) & 1.5-450 & 227.33 (\pm 47.64) {}^{\beta} & 227.70 (\pm 66.63) {}^{c} & 0.866 \\ \\ \\ \ Fasting glucose (mg/dL) & 74-106 & 85.27 (\pm 9.51) {}^{\beta} & 87.56 (\pm 32.42) {}^{e} & 0.283 \\ \\ \ Creatinine (mg/dL) & 0.5-0.9 & 0.68 (\pm 0.13) {}^{\beta} & 0.68 (\pm 0.13) {}^{\gamma} & 0.752 \\ \\ \ Risk factors & Alcoholism & 1 (6.25\%) & 4 (3.54\%) {}^{c} & - \\ \\ \ Drug addiction & 0 (0.00\%) & 0 (0.00\%) {}^{c} & - \\ \\ \ Parity & Total parities & 29 & 271 \\ \\ \ Average & 0.75 (\pm 1.00) & 1.13 (\pm 1.40) & 0.191 \\ \\ \ Total previous parities {}^{\mu} & 12 & 127 \\ \\ \ Average & 0.75 (\pm 1.00) & 1.13 (\pm 1.16) & 0.191 \\ \\ \ Total vaginal (previous) & 8 (66.6\%) & 89 (70.08\%) & - \\ \\ \ Socioeconomic data \\ Educational level & Primary school (6 years) & 2 (12.5\%) & 21 (18.75\%) {}^{\gamma} & - \\ \\ \ Average & 0.75 (\pm 1.00) & 1.13 (\pm 1.16) & 0.191 \\ \\ \ Total cesarean (previous) & 4 (33.33\%) & 38 (29.92\%) & - \\ \\ \ Abortions & 1 & 32 & - \\ \end{array}$		Grade I (BMI 30.0–34.9)	4 (25.00%)	38 (34.23%) 8	_
$ \begin{array}{c cccc} \mbox{Grade III (BM > 40.0)} & 1 (6.25\%) & 1 (0.91\%)^{-5} & - \\ \hline \mbox{Grade III (BM > 40.0)} & 1 (6.25\%) & 1 (0.91\%)^{-5} & - \\ \hline \mbox{Bold rest} & Reference range \\ \mbox{Leukocytes } (x10^9/L) & 4.5-10 & 9.51 (\pm 2.65)^{-\beta} & 10.39 (\pm 7.52)^{-\epsilon} & 0.747 \\ \mbox{Neutrophils } (x10^9/L) & 1.8-8.0 & 6.79 (\pm 2.54)^{-\beta} & 7.17 (\pm 2.88)^{-\varsigma} & 0.961 \\ \mbox{Neutrophils } (x) & 43.0-65.0 & 74 (\pm 8.18)^{-\beta} & 72.53 (\pm 10.19)^{-\varsigma} & 0.840 \\ \mbox{Lymphocytes } (x10^9/L) & 1.1-3.2 & 1.69 (\pm 0.80)^{-\beta} & 1.76 (\pm 0.62)^{-\varsigma} & 0.596 \\ \mbox{Lymphocytes } (x) & 21-48 & 18.35 (\pm 8.31)^{-\beta} & 19.15 (\pm 7.59)^{-\varsigma} & 0.846 \\ \mbox{Platelet count } (x10^9/L) & 150-450 & 227.33 (\pm 47.64)^{-\beta} & 227.70 (\pm 66.63)^{-\varsigma} & 0.846 \\ \mbox{Platelet count } (x10^9/L) & 150-450 & 227.33 (\pm 47.64)^{-\beta} & 0.68 (\pm 0.13)^{-\eta} & 0.752 \\ \mbox{Risk factors} & Alcoholism & 1 (6.25\%) & 4 (3.54\%)^{-\varsigma} & - \\ \mbox{Drug addiction} & 0 (0.00\%) & 0 (0.00\%)^{-\varsigma} & - \\ \mbox{Drug addiction} & 0 (0.00\%) & 0 (0.00\%)^{-\varsigma} & - \\ \mbox{Drug addiction} & 0 (0.00\%) & 0 (0.00\%)^{-\varsigma} & - \\ \mbox{Drug addiction} & 8 (50\%) & 35 (31.25\%) & - \\ \mbox{Multiparous} & 8 (50\%) & 35 (31.25\%) & - \\ \mbox{Multiparous} & 8 (50\%) & 89 (70.08\%) & - \\ \mbox{Total parities} & 12 & 127 \\ \mbox{Average} & 0.75 (\pm 1.00) & 1.13 (\pm 1.16) & 0.191 \\ \mbox{Total vaginal (previous)} & 8 (66.66\%) & 89 (70.08\%) & - \\ \mbox{Abortions} & 1 & 22 & 2 \\ \mbox{Socioeconomic data} \\ \mbox{Educational level} & \box{Primary school (6 years)} & 2 (12.5\%) & 21 (18.75\%)^{-\gamma} & - \\ \mbox{High school} (3 years) & 7 (43.75\%) & 21 (18.75\%)^{-\gamma} & - \\ \mbox{High school} (3 years) & 7 (43.75\%) & 21 (18.75\%)^{-\gamma} & - \\ \mbox{High school} (3 years) & 7 (43.75\%) & 21 (18.75\%)^{-\gamma} & - \\ \mbox{High school} (3 years) & 0 (0.00\%) & 7 (66.25\%)^{-\gamma} & - \\ \mbox{High school} (3 years) & 0 (4.15\%) & 7 & (6.27\%)^{-\gamma} & - \\ \mbox{High school} (3 years) & 0 (4.15\%)^{-\gamma} & - \\ \mbox{High school} (3 years) & 0 (4.15\%)^{-\gamma} & - \\ \mbox{High school} (3 years) & 0 (4.15\%)^{-\gamma} & - \\ \mbox{High school} (3 years$		Grade II (BMI 35.0–39.9)	1 (6 25%)	$16(1441\%)^{\delta}$	-
Blood test Leukocytes (x10 ⁹ /L)Reference range 4.5-10 $0.51 (\pm 2.65)^{\beta}$ $10.39 (\pm 7.52)^{\epsilon}$ 0.747 Neutrophils (x10 ⁹ /L)1.8-8.0 $6.79 (\pm 2.64)^{\beta}$ $7.17 (\pm 2.88)^{\zeta}$ 0.961 Neutrophils (x10 ⁹ /L)1.8-8.0 $74 (\pm 8.18)^{\beta}$ $72.53 (\pm 10.19)^{\zeta}$ 0.840 Lymphocytes (x10 ⁹ /L) $1.1-3.2$ $1.69 (\pm 0.80)^{\beta}$ $1.76 (\pm 0.62)^{\zeta}$ 0.596 Lymphocytes (x10 ⁹ /L) $1.1-3.2$ $1.69 (\pm 0.80)^{\beta}$ $1.76 (\pm 0.62)^{\zeta}$ 0.596 Lymphocytes (x10 ⁹ /L) $150-450$ $227.33 (\pm 47.64)^{\beta}$ $227.70 (\pm 66.63)^{\epsilon}$ 0.866 Fasting glucose (mg/dL) $74-106$ $85.27 (\pm 9.51)^{\beta}$ $0.68 (\pm 0.13)^{\eta}$ 0.752 Risk factorsAlcoholism $1 (6.25\%)$ $4 (3.54\%)^{\epsilon}$ $-$ Drug addiction $0 (0.00\%)$ $0 (0.00\%)^{\epsilon}$ $-$ ParityTotal parities 29 271 Average $1.81 (\pm 0.98)$ $2.42 (\pm 1.34)$ 0.083 Uniparous $8 (50\%)$ $77 (68.75\%)$ $-$ Total previous parities " 12 127 $-$ Average $0.75 (\pm 1.00)$ $1.13 (\pm 1.16)$ 0.191 Total vaginal (previous) $4 (33.33\%)$ $38 (29.92\%)$ $-$ Socioeconomic dataEducational levelPrimary school (3 years) $7 (43.75\%)$ $21 (18.75\%)^{\gamma}$ $-$ High school (3 years) $7 (43.75\%)$ $21 (18.75\%)^{\gamma}$ $ -$ Norticl schareUniversity (4-5 years) $0 (0.00\%)$ $7 (66.70\%)^{\gamma}$ $-$ <td></td> <td>Grade III (BMI >40.0)</td> <td>1 (6.25%)</td> <td>1 (0.91%) ⁸</td> <td>-</td>		Grade III (BMI >40.0)	1 (6.25%)	1 (0.91%) ⁸	-
Note that the fingleActive ingleDecision of the final state of the final sta	Blood test	Reference range			
Neutrophils (x)1.8 × 06.0 × (21.25%)7.17 (±2.88) ×0.961Neutrophils (x)43.0-65.074 (±8.18) $\hat{\rho}$ 7.2.53 (±10.19) ×0.840Lymphocytes (x10 ⁹ /L)1.1-3.21.69 (±0.80) $\hat{\rho}$ 1.76 (±0.62) ×0.596Lymphocytes (x)21-4818.35 (±8.31) $\hat{\rho}$ 19.15 (±7.59) ×0.846Platelet count (x10 ⁹ /L)150-450227.33 (±47.64) $\hat{\rho}$ 227.70 (±66.63) ϵ 0.866Fasting glucose (mg/dL)74-10685.27 (±9.51) $\hat{\rho}$ 0.68 (±0.13) η 0.752Risk factorsAlcoholism1 (6.25%)4 (3.54%) ϵ -Drug addiction0 (0.00%)0 (0.00%) ϵ -ParityTotal parities29271Average1.81 (±0.98)2.42 (±1.34)0.083Uniparous8 (50%)77 (68.75%)-Multiparous8 (50%)77 (68.75%)-Total parities //12127-Average0.75 (±1.00)1.13 (±1.16)0.191Total vaginal (previous)8 (66.66%)89 (70.08%)-Abortions132-Socioeconomic dataPrimary school (6 years)2 (12.5%)21 (18.75%) Y-Educational levelPrimary school (6 years)7 (43.75%)63 (56.25%) Y-High school (3 years)7 (43.75%)21 (18.75%) YMurital ctatureFree upino13 (\$1.25%)7 (42.607%) Y-Educational levelPrimary school (6 years)7 (43.75%)21 (18.75%) Y<	Leukocytes $(x10^9/I)$	4 5–10	9 51 (+2 65) ^β	10 39 (+7 52) 8	0 747
Neutrophils (%)13.0-65.060.5 (1.2.53)7.2.53 (±10.19) (*0.8340Lymphocytes (x10 ⁹ /L)1.1-3.21.69 (±0.80) β 1.76 (±0.62) (*0.596Lymphocytes (%)21-4818.35 (±8.31) β 19.15 (±7.59) (*0.846Platelet count (x10 ⁹ /L)150-450227.33 (±47.64) β 227.70 (±66.63) (*0.866Fasting glucose (mg/dL)0.5-0.90.68 (±0.13) β 0.68 (±0.13) η 0.752Risk factorsAlcoholism1 (6.25%)4 (3.54%) (*-Drug addiction0 (0.00%)0 (0.00%) (*-ParityTotal parities29271Average1.81 (±0.98)2.42 (±1.34)0.083Uniparous8 (50%)35 (31.25%)-Average0.75 (±1.00)1.13 (±1.16)0.191Total parities (#12127Average0.75 (±1.00)1.13 (±1.16)0.191Total vaginal (previous)4 (3.33%)38 (29.92%)-Abortions132-Socioeconomic dataPrimary school (6 years)2 (12.5%)21 (18.75%) Y-Educational levelPrimary school (6 years)7 (43.75%)21 (18.75%) Y-Murital ctatureFree union13 (81.25%)7 (43.75%)21 (18.75%) Y-High school (3 years)7 (43.75%)21 (18.75%) YHigh school (3 years)7 (43.75%)21 (18.75%) YHigh school (3 years)7 (43.75%)21 (18.75%) YHi	Neutrophils $(x10^9/I)$	18-80	$679(\pm 2.03)^{\beta}$	$7 17 (+2.88)^{5}$	0.961
Natioplins (x10 ⁹ /L)1.1-3.21.69 (±0.80) β 1.76 (±0.62) ξ 0.596Lymphocytes (x)21-481.835 (±8.31) β 19.15 (±7.59) ξ 0.846Platelet count (x10 ⁹ /L)150-450227.33 (±47.64) β 227.70 (±66.63) ε 0.866Fasting glucose (mg/dL)74-10685.27 (±9.51) β 87.56 (±32.42) ε 0.283Creatinine (mg/dL)0.5-0.90.68 (±0.13) β 0.68 (±0.13) η 0.752Risk factorsAlcoholism1 (6.25%)4 (3.54%) ε -Drug addiction0 (0.00%)5 (4.42%) ε -ParityTotal parities29271Average1.81 (±0.98)2.42 (±1.34)0.083Uniparous8 (50%)77 (68.75%)-Multiparous8 (50%)77 (68.75%)-Total vaginal (previous)8 (66.66%)89 (70.08%)-Total cesarean (previous)4 (33.33%)38 (29.92%)-Abortions13.23%)21 (18.75%) γ -Socioeconomic dataEducational levelPrimary school (6 years)2 (12.5%)21 (18.75%) γ -High school (3 years)7 (43.75%)63 (56.25%) γ Hurital staturFree muino13 (\$1.25%)74 (66.07%) γ -Linversity (4-5 years)0 (000%)76 (6.25%) γ -High school (3 years)7 (43.75%)21 (18.75%) γ -High school (3 years)7 (43.75%)21 (18.75%) γ -High school (3 years)7 (43.75%)21	Neutrophils (%)	43 0-65 0	$74 (+8 18)^{\beta}$	$7253(\pm 1019)$	0.840
Sympholytes (%)21-4818.35 (28.31) β 19.5 (20.32)0.836Platelet count (x10 ⁹ /L)150-450227.33 (± 47.64) β 227.70 (± 66.63)0.846Fasting glucose (mg/dL)74-10685.27 (± 9.51) β 87.56 (± 32.42) ϵ 0.283Creatinine (mg/dL)0.5-0.90.68 (± 0.13) β 0.68 (± 0.13) η 0.752Risk factorsAlcoholism1 (6.25%)4 (3.54%) ϵ -Drug addiction0 (0.00%)0 (0.00%) 0 0.000%) ϵ ParityTotal parities29271Average1.81 (± 0.98)2.42 (± 1.34)0.083Uniparous8 (50%)35 (31.25%)-Multiparous8 (50%)77 (68.75%)-Total previous parities "12127Average0.75 (± 1.00)1.13 (± 1.16)0.191Total vaginal (previous)8 (66.66%)89 (70.08%)-Abortions132-Socioeconomic dataE-Educational levelPrimary school (6 years)2 (12.5%)21 (18.75%)-High school (3 years)7 (43.75%)21 (18.75%)-Hurital staturFree union13 (81.25%)Natital staturPrimary school (6 years)7 (43.75%)21 (18.75%)-Outomic dataEEducational levelPrimary school (3 years)7 (43.75%)21	Lymphocytes $(x10^9/L)$	1 1-3 2	$1.69(\pm 0.80)^{\beta}$	$1.76 (\pm 0.62)$	0.596
Jumper (201 (x10))L1 15TotalTotalTotalCast ofPlatelet count (x10)/L)150-450227.33 (± 47.54)227.70 (± 66.63) ε 0.866Fasting glucose (mg/dL)74-10685.27 (± 9.51)87.56 (± 32.42) ε 0.283Creatinine (mg/dL)0.5-0.90.68 (± 0.13)0.68 (± 0.13)0.752Risk factorsAlcoholism1 (6.25%)4 (3.54%) κ -Drug addiction0 (0.00%)5 (4.42%) κ -ParityTotal parities29271Average1.81 (± 0.98)2.42 (± 1.34)0.083Uniparous8 (50%)35 (31.25%)-Multiparous8 (50%)77 (68.75%)-Total previous parities μ 12127Average0.75 (± 1.00)1.13 (± 1.16)0.191Total vaginal (previous)8 (66.66%)89 (70.08\%)-Total cesarean (previous)4 (33.33%)38 (29.92%)-Abortions132-Socioeconomic dataEducational levelPrimary school (6 years)2 (12.5%)21 (18.75%) γ -High school (3 years)7 (43.75%)63 (56.25%) γ -High school (3 years)7 (43.75%)21 (18.75%) γ -High school (3 years)7 (43.75%)21 (18.75%) γ -High school (3 years)7 (43.75%)21 (18.75%) γ -High school (3 years)7 (43.75%)21 (18.75%) γ -High sc	Lymphocytes (%)	21-48	$18.35(\pm 8.31)^{\beta}$	$1915(\pm759)$	0.846
Number of the formTaking glucose (mg/dL)Taking glucose (mg	Platelet count $(x10^9/I)$	150-450	$227 33 (\pm 47 64)^{\beta}$	$227.70(\pm 66.63)^{\circ}$	0.866
Alternating grateset (higher) γ from $0.5-0.9$ $0.68 (\pm 0.13)^{\beta}$ $0.68 (\pm 0.13)^{\eta}$ 0.752 Risk factorsAlcoholism Smoking $1 (6.25\%)$ $4 (3.54\%)^{\kappa}$ $-$ Drug addiction $0 (0.00\%)$ $5 (4.42\%)^{\kappa}$ $-$ ParityTotal parities Average 29 271 Average $1.81 (\pm 0.98)$ $2.42 (\pm 1.34)$ 0.083 Uniparous Multiparous Total previous parities " $8 (50\%)$ $35 (31.25\%)$ $-$ Average $0.75 (\pm 1.00)$ $1.13 (\pm 1.16)$ 0.191 Total vaginal (previous) Abortions $8 (66.66\%)$ $89 (70.08\%)$ $-$ Socioeconomic data Educational levelPrimary school (6 years) Secondary school (3 years) High school (3 years) $2 (12.5\%)$ $21 (18.75\%)^{\gamma}$ $-$ Marital statusPrimary school (3 years) University (4-5 years) $0 (0.00\%)$ $7 (66.75\%)^{\gamma}$ $-$ Marital statusFree union $13 (81.35\%)$ $21 (18.75\%)^{\gamma}$ $-$	Fasting glucose (mg/dL)	74-106	$85.27(+9.51)^{\beta}$	87 56 (+32 42) 8	0.283
Risk factors Alcoholism 1 (6.25%) 4 (3.54%) * - Risk factors Alcoholism 1 (6.25%) 4 (3.54%) * - Parity Total parities 29 271 Parity Total parities 29 271 Average 1.81 (± 0.98) 2.42 (± 1.34) 0.083 Uniparous 8 (50%) 35 (31.25%) - Multiparous 8 (50%) 77 (68.75%) - Total previous parities # 12 127 - Average 0.75 (± 1.00) 1.13 (± 1.16) 0.191 Total vaginal (previous) 8 (66.66%) 89 (70.08\%) - Total cesarean (previous) 4 (33.33%) 38 (29.92%) - Abortions 1 32 - Socioeconomic data Educational level Primary school (6 years) 2 (12.5%) 21 (18.75%) Υ - Murital schur High school (3 years) 7 (43.75%) 63 (56.25%) Υ - Marital statur Erea wino 13 (12.5%) 21 (18.75%) Υ - Duriversity ($4-5$ years)	Creatinine (mg/dL)	05-09	$0.68 (+0.13)^{\beta}$	$0.68 (+0.13)^{\eta}$	0.205
Risk factors Alcoholism 1 (6.25%) 4 (3.54%) $^{\kappa}$ - Smoking 2 (12.50%) 5 (4.42%) $^{\kappa}$ - Drug addiction 0 (0.00%) 0 (0.00%) $^{\kappa}$ - Parity Total parities 29 271 Average 1.81 (± 0.98) 2.42 (± 1.34) 0.083 Uniparous 8 (50%) 35 (31.25%) - Multiparous 8 (50%) 77 (68.75%) - Total previous parities $^{\mu}$ 12 127 - Average 0.75 (± 1.00) 1.13 (± 1.16) 0.191 Total vaginal (previous) 8 (66.66%) 89 (70.08%) - Total cesarean (previous) 4 (33.33%) 38 (29.92%) - Abortions 1 32 - Socioeconomic data - - - Educational level Primary school (6 years) 2 (12.5%) 21 (18.75%) $^{\gamma}$ - High school (3 years) 7 (43.75%) 21 (18.75%) $^{\gamma}$ - University ($4-5$ years) 0 (0.00%) 7 (66.25% $^{\gamma}$ -	creatinine (ing/ab)	0.5 0.5	0.00 (±0.13)	0.00 (±0.13)	0.752
Smoking Drug addiction $2 (12.50\%)$ $0 (0.00\%)$ $5 (4.42\%)^{\kappa}$ $ -$ ParityTotal parities Average Uniparous Multiparous Total previous parities $^{\mu}$ 12 29 $181 (\pm 0.98)$ $35 (31.25\%)$ $ -$ ParityTotal parities Average Uniparous Total previous parities $^{\mu}$ 12 29 127 133% $-$ ParityTotal previous parities $^{\mu}$ Average Total previous parities $^{\mu}$ 12 127 127 133% $-$ Socioeconomic data $ -$ Educational levelPrimary school (6 years) Secondary school (3 years) High school (3 years) $2 (12.5\%)$ $7 (43.75\%)$ $21 (18.75\%)^{\gamma}$ $-$ High school (3 years) High school (3 years) $-$ Marital stature Harital stature $ -$ Marital stature Free union $ -$ Marital stature $ -$ <td>Risk factors</td> <td>Alcoholism</td> <td>1 (6.25%)</td> <td>4 (3.54%) ^k</td> <td>-</td>	Risk factors	Alcoholism	1 (6.25%)	4 (3.54%) ^k	-
ParityTotal parities Average 29 271 ParityTotal parities Average 29 271 Average $1.81 (\pm 0.98)$ $2.42 (\pm 1.34)$ 0.083 Uniparous Multiparous $8 (50\%)$ $35 (31.25\%)$ $-$ Total previous parities $^{\mu}$ 12 127 Average $0.75 (\pm 1.00)$ $1.13 (\pm 1.16)$ 0.191 Total vaginal (previous) $8 (66.66\%)$ $89 (70.08\%)$ $-$ Total cesarean (previous) $4 (33.33\%)$ $38 (29.92\%)$ $-$ Abortions 1 32 $-$ Socioeconomic data $ -$ Educational levelPrimary school (6 years) $2 (12.5\%)$ $21 (18.75\%)^{\gamma}$ $-$ High school (3 years) $7 (43.75\%)$ $63 (56.25\%)^{\gamma}$ $-$ High school (3 years) $7 (43.75\%)$ $21 (18.75\%)^{\gamma}$ $-$ Marital status $Free union$ $13 (81.25\%)$ $7 (6.25\%)^{\gamma}$ $-$		Smoking	2 (12.50%)	5 (4.42%) ^κ	-
ParityTotal parities29271Average $1.81 (\pm 0.98)$ $2.42 (\pm 1.34)$ 0.083 Uniparous $8 (50\%)$ $35 (31.25\%)$ $-$ Multiparous $8 (50\%)$ $77 (68.75\%)$ $-$ Total previous parities μ 12 127 Average $0.75 (\pm 1.00)$ $1.13 (\pm 1.16)$ 0.191 Total vaginal (previous) $8 (66.66\%)$ $89 (70.08\%)$ $-$ Total cesarean (previous) $4 (33.33\%)$ $38 (29.92\%)$ $-$ Abortions 1 32 $-$ Socioeconomic data $ -$ Educational levelPrimary school (6 years) $2 (12.5\%)$ $21 (18.75\%) \gamma$ $-$ High school (3 years) $7 (43.75\%)$ $63 (56.25\%) \gamma$ $-$ High school (3 years) $7 (43.75\%)$ $21 (18.75\%) \gamma$ $-$ Marital status $ 13 (81.25\%)$ $ -$ Marital status $ -$ Marital status $ -$		Drug addiction	0 (0.00%)	0 (0.00%) ^k	-
Average1.81 (± 0.98)2.42 (± 1.34)0.083Uniparous8 (50%)35 (31.25%)-Multiparous8 (50%)77 (68.75%)-Total previous parities μ 12127Average0.75 (± 1.00)1.13 (± 1.16)0.191Total vaginal (previous)8 (66.66%)89 (70.08%)-Total cesarean (previous)4 (33.33%)38 (29.92%)-Abortions132-Socioeconomic dataEducational levelPrimary school (6 years)2 (12.5%)21 (18.75%) γ -High school (3 years)7 (43.75%)63 (56.25%) γ -University (4-5 years)0 (0.00%)7 (6.25%) γ -Marital statusFree union13 (13.15%)24 (13.75%)-	Parity	Total parities	29	271	
Uniparous Multiparous8 (50%)35 (31.25%)-Multiparous8 (50%)77 (68.75%)-Total previous parities μ 12127Average0.75 (±1.00)1.13 (±1.16)0.191Total vaginal (previous)8 (66.66%)89 (70.08%)-Total cesarean (previous)4 (33.33%)38 (29.92%)-Abortions132-Socioeconomic dataEducational levelPrimary school (6 years)2 (12.5%)21 (18.75%) γ -Secondary school (3 years)7 (43.75%)63 (56.25%) γ -High school (3 years)7 (43.75%)21 (18.75%) γ -University (4–5 years)0 (0.00%)7 (6.25%) γ -Marital statusFrae union13 (81.25%)24 (12.5%) γ -		Average	1.81 (±0.98)	2.42 (±1.34)	0.083
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Uniparous	8 (50%)	35 (31.25%)	-
Total previous parities μ 12127Average0.75 (±1.00)1.13 (±1.16)0.191Total vaginal (previous)8 (66.66%)89 (70.08%)-Total cesarean (previous)4 (33.33%)38 (29.92%)-Abortions132-Socioeconomic dataEducational levelPrimary school (6 years)2 (12.5%)21 (18.75%) γ -Secondary school (3 years)7 (43.75%)63 (56.25%) γ -High school (3 years)7 (43.75%)21 (18.75%) γ -University (4-5 years)0 (0.00%)7 (6.25%) γ -Marital statusFree union13 (81.25%)74 (60.77%) γ -		Multiparous	8 (50%)	77 (68.75%)	-
Average 0.75 (±1.00) 1.13 (±1.16) 0.191 Total vaginal (previous) 8 (66.66%) 89 (70.08%) - Total cesarean (previous) 4 (33.33%) 38 (29.92%) - Abortions 1 32 - Socioeconomic data - - - Educational level Primary school (6 years) 2 (12.5%) 21 (18.75%) Y - Secondary school (3 years) 7 (43.75%) 63 (56.25%) Y - - High school (3 years) 0 (0.00%) 7 (6.25%) Y - - University (4–5 years) 0 (0.00%) 7 (6.25%) Y - -		Total previous parities μ	12	127	
Total vaginal (previous) 8 (66.66%) 89 (70.08%) - Total cesarean (previous) 4 (33.33%) 38 (29.92%) - Abortions 1 32 - Socioeconomic data - - - Educational level Primary school (6 years) 2 (12.5%) 21 (18.75%) Y - Secondary school (3 years) 7 (43.75%) 63 (56.25%) Y - High school (3 years) 7 (43.75%) 21 (18.75%) Y - University (4–5 years) 0 (0.00%) 7 (6.25%) Y - Marital stature Frequencies 13 (81.25%) 74 (66.07%) Y -		Average	0.75 (±1.00)	1.13 (±1.16)	0.191
$ \begin{array}{cccc} Total cesarean (previous) & 4 (33.33\%) & 38 (29.92\%) & - \\ Abortions & 1 & 32 & - \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{cccc} Socioeconomic data \\ Educational level & Primary school (6 years) & 2 (12.5\%) & 21 (18.75\%) & - \\ Secondary school (3 years) & 7 (43.75\%) & 63 (56.25\%) & - \\ High school (3 years) & 7 (43.75\%) & 21 (18.75\%) & - \\ University (4-5 years) & 0 (0.00\%) & 7 (6.25\%) & - \\ University (4-5 years) & 13 (81.25\%) & 74 (60.75\%) & - \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		Total vaginal (previous)	8 (66.66%)	89 (70.08%)	-
Abortions 1 32 - Socioeconomic data Educational level Primary school (6 years) 2 (12.5%) 21 (18.75%) Y - Educational level Primary school (3 years) 7 (43.75%) 63 (56.25%) Y - High school (3 years) 7 (43.75%) 21 (18.75%) Y - University (4-5 years) 0 (0.00%) 7 (6.25%) Y - Marital status Free union 13 (81.25%) 74 (66.07%) Y -		Total cesarean (previous)	4 (33.33%)	38 (29.92%)	-
Socioeconomic data Primary school (6 years) 2 (12.5%) 21 (18.75%) // - Educational level Primary school (3 years) 7 (43.75%) 63 (56.25%) // - Secondary school (3 years) 7 (43.75%) 21 (18.75%) // - High school (3 years) 7 (43.75%) 21 (18.75%) // - University (4-5 years) 0 (0.00%) 7 (6.25%) // - Marital status Free union 13 (81.25%) 74 (66.07%) // -		Abortions	1	32	-
Educational level Primary school (6 years) 2 (12.5%) 21 (18.75%) - Secondary school (3 years) 7 (43.75%) 63 (56.25%) - High school (3 years) 7 (43.75%) 21 (18.75%) - University (4-5 years) 0 (0.00%) 7 (62.5%) - Marital status Free union 13 (81.25%) 74 (66.07%) -	Socioeconomic data				
Secondary school (3 years) 7 (43.75%) 63 (56.25%) Y - High school (3 years) 7 (43.75%) 21 (18.75%) Y - University (4-5 years) 0 (0.00%) 7 (6.25%) Y - Marital status Free union 13 (81.25%) 74 (66.07%) Y -	Educational level	Primary school (6 years)	2 (12.5%)	21 (18.75%) ^y	-
High school (3 years) 7 (43.75%) 21 (18.75%) Y - University (4-5 years) 0 (0.00%) 7 (6.25%) Y - Marital status Free union 13 (81.25%) 74 (66.07%) Y -		Secondary school (3 years)	7 (43.75%)	63 (56.25%) ^y	-
University (4-5 years) 0 (0.00%) 7 (6.25%) Y - Marital status Free union 13 (81.25%) 74 (66.07%) Y -		High school (3 years)	7 (43.75%)	21 (18.75%) ^γ	-
Marital status Eree union $13(8125\%)$ $74(6607\%)$ /		University (4–5 years)	0 (0.00%)	7 (6.25%) Y	-
	Marital status	Free union	13 (81.25%)	74 (66.07%) ^γ	_
Married 1 (6.25%) 14 (12.50%) ^y –		Married	1 (6.25%)	14 (12.50%) ^y	-
Single 2 (12.50%) 23 (20.54%) ^y –		Single	2 (12.50%)	23 (20.54%) ^y	-
Widow 0 (0.00%) 1 (0.89%) Y		Widow	0 (0.00%)	1 (0.89%) Y	
Main activity Housewife 15 (93.75%) 87 (77.68%) ^V –	Main activity	Housewife	15 (93.75%)	87 (77.68%) γ	-
General employee 1 (6.25%) 18 (16.07%) ^y –	-	General employee	1 (6.25%)	18 (16.07%) ^y	-
Student 0 (0.00%) 7 (6.25%) ^y –		Student	0 (0.00%)	7 (6.25%) ^y	-

*Mann-Whitney U test, significant *p*-value <0.05.

Standard deviation is shown as \pm values between parentheses

-, no value was calculated for categorical data

 $\beta = 15$ subjects

 $\gamma = 112$ subjects

 $\delta = 111$ subjects

 $\varepsilon = 106$ subjects

 $\zeta = 103$ subjects

 $\eta = 107$ subjects

 $\kappa = 113$ subjects

 μ = data for the current pregnancy were unavailable, and only the previous pregnancies reported

pair represents an excellent opportunity to assess the frequency of the SARS-CoV-2 virus vertical transmission, since the binomial link usually involves intimate contact and the baby feeding with HM.

The current results from the 133 mothers in this study suggest that one in eight asymptomatic pregnant Mexican women (12.03%), aged 23–24 years, with 38–39 weeks' gestational age, were positive for the presence of the SARS-CoV-2 genome in the nasopharyngeal swabs taken just before delivery. The frequency shown in this population seems to be greater compared with other countries sampled at the same period in the first COVID-19 wave.

The results obtained in a UK cohort estimated the incidence of positivity for SARS-CoV-2 in asymptomatic pregnant women to be 1.2/1000 maternities (\sim 0.12%) from March to September 2020 (Vousden et al., 2021). One study during April 2020, by the Mass General Brigham Health system in Boston, found that nine of 618 (1.5%) asymptomatic mothers tested positive (Goldfarb et al., 2020). However, the current results are comparable with another study from 4–15 April 2020, in the Mount Sinai Health system in New York City, where 24 of 155 (15.5%) COVID-19 asymptomatic mothers tested positive for SARS-CoV-2 RNA (Bianco et al., 2020).

A. Ramírez-Rosas, T. Benitez-Guerrero, K. Corona-Cervantes et al.

Table 2

Data for 131 neonates with a SARS-CoV-2 diagnosis in the study.

Variable		Positive	Negative	<i>p</i> -value*
Number of subjects		11	120	-
Sex	Male	6 (54.55%)	55 (47.83%) ^β	-
	Female	5 (45.45%)	60 (52.17%) ^β	-
Qualification status				
Apgar (1 to 10)	6/8	0 (0.00%)	1 (0.95%) ^o	-
	6/9	0 (0.00%)	1 (0.95%) ^o	-
	7/8	1 (9.09%)	5 (4.50%) °	-
	7/9	0 (0.00%)	15 (13.25%) °	-
	8/8	0 (0.00%)	1 (0.95%) ^o	-
	8/9	9 (81.82%)	80 (69.72%) ^o	-
	9/9	1 (9.09%)	11 (9.68%) ^o	-
Silverman Andersen ^K	0	7 (63.64%)	80 (69.72%) ^o	-
	1	0 (0.0%)	2 (1.8%) ^σ	-
	0/1	3 (27.27%)	30 (26.58%) °	-
	0/3	1 (9.09%)	0 (0.00%) ^o	-
	3	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.95%) ^o	-
	9	0 (0.0%)	1 (0.95%) ^o	-
Capurro λ (weeks)		39.55 (±0.82)	38.96 (±1.38) ^µ	0.259
Somatometry	Reference range			
Macrosomia (g)	>4000	0 (0.0%)	2 (1.8%)	-
Proper weight (g)	2500 <4000	11 (100%)	103 (87.83%)	-
Low weight (g)	≤2500	0 (0.0%)	10 (7.83%)	-
IUGR		0 (0.0%)	1 (0.95%)	-
Weight (kg)		3.19 (±0.33)	$2.98 (\pm 0.41)^{\pi}$	0.086
Size (cm)		50.82 (±2.27)	49.59 (± 2.03) π	0.129
Cephalic perimeter (cm)		34.23 (±1.29)	33.65 (±1.45) ^ε	0.178
Abdominal perimeter (cm)		31.64 (±1.96)	30.17 (±2.57) ^ε	0.055
Perinatal asphyxiation		0 (0.0%)	2 (1.80%)	-
Breathing difficulty		0 (0.0%)	1 (0.95%)	-
Infection		0 (0.0%)	1 (0.95%)	-
Sepsis		0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	-
Death		0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	-

IUGR, intrauterine growth restriction

*Mann-Whitney U test, significant *p*-value <0.05

Standard deviation is shown as \pm values between parentheses

-, no value was calculated for categorical data

 $^{\lambda}$ = estimated gestational age in weeks (Engle WA, 2004)

 $\kappa = respiratory severity score (Hedstrom et al., 2018)$

 $^{\beta}$ = of 115 subjects $^{\pi}$ = of 115 subjects

 $\sigma = \text{ of } 113 \text{ subjects}$

 $\mu = \text{of } 114 \text{ subjects}$

 ε = of 113 subjects

The same test performed in the nasopharyngeal swabs collected from the 131 neonates immediately after delivery showed that approximately one in ~12 (~8.40%) were positive for the presence of the SARS-CoV-2 genome. Likewise, in a study in an Indian population, 12 of 155 neonates (7.74%) tested positive for SARS CoV-2 infection (Kalamdani et al., 2020). It is important to note in the current study that none of the neonates had been breastfed by their mothers before the samples were taken. This supports the idea that the possibility of vertical transfer of the virus through breast feeding or a mother's handling is not possible. However, as mentioned above, a frequency of 11 of 131 (~8.40%) positive nasopharyngeal swabs was observed.

The source of SARS-Cov-2 RNA in neonates might be transmission from the placenta. There is some published evidence regarding this: a report that the SARS-CoV-2 virus spread in the body of an Italian pregnant woman through the bloodstream, reaching the placenta and finally infecting the fetus (Fenizia et al., 2020); the birth of Chinese neonates of mothers with COVID-19 pneumonia (Zhu et al., 2020); evidence of vertical transition of SARS-CoV-2 to one neonate in an Iranian mother (Zamaniyan et al., 2020); and the report of SARS-CoV-2 RNA presence in the placenta of two Italian mothers and neonates at birth (Patanè et al., 2020). In addition, the current study provides evidence of SARS-CoV-2 virus vertical transmission from mothers to neonates, which might help to answer valid questioning about the absence of evidence (Auriti et al., 2020).

Contrary to the results in other reports, there was no association between the neonate's data collected at birth by the pediatrician (somatometry, sex and newborn qualification status) and a positive result by qPCR, and any collected metadata of the mothers, as reported in other populations like British healthy pregnant women, where positivity of SARS-CoV-2 was associated to preterm neonates and cesarean section delivery (Vousden et al., 2021), or Turkish healthy pregnant women where positivity was associated with cesarean section, prematurity and low birth weight (Oncel et al., 2021).

All of the 140 studied mothers expressed a sample of colostrum or milk to be studied. It was observed that most samples were negative for the presence of the SARS-CoV-2 genome by qPCR test (one positive of 47 samples or 2.14%). These findings are comparable with other work: for example, in a recent evaluation of 37 peer-reviewed studies where the presence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in human milk was assessed, nine of 68 samples in all the reported studies had detectable levels of SARS-CoV-2 RNA (Centeno-Tablante et al., 2021). In addition, another mini-review summarizing 19 case-report studies in different populations tested the presence of SARS-CoV-2 in HM. These studies were sub-grouped into four categories, according to the infected member in the binomials,



Figure 2. Graphic representation of RNA SARS-CoV-2 detection. A: Quantitative PCR detection, positive results for M are 12.03%; C, 2.14%, and N, 8.40%. B: Digital PCR detection, positive results for M are 30.83%; C, 14.29%, and N, 18.32%. Horizontal bars represent the relative percentage for M, mother nasopharyngeal

swab (n=133); C, colostrum/human milk samples (n=140), and N, neonate nasopharyngeal swab (n=131). For N, results contain data for three additional samples with incomplete data for qualification status, somatometry, and complications during delivery. Numbers in the x-axis show the percentage scale. Dark grey color indicates positive results, light grey color indicates negative results.

finding that in SARS-CoV-2–positive lactating mother with a negative neonate, two (5.2%) of 38 samples were positive for SARS-CoV-2 (Vassilopoulou et al., 2021). The low frequency of virus in HM samples in the current work agrees with these findings, supporting the idea of low risk of vertical transmission and highlighting the protective role of passive antibodies provided by HM to the neonate (Bardanzellu et al., 2021). For these reasons, breastfeeding is encouraged following appropriate sanitary procedures, like using a facemask, during the pandemic.

The results obtained in this study strongly suggest that asymptomatic Mexican women carried the SARS-CoV-2 virus during delivery, with perinatal transmission of SARS-CoV-2 virus to the newborns. However, since the presence of SARS-CoV-2 RNA in colostrum/milk was comparatively small and sampling was immediately after birth, the detection of positive neonates might have been due to infection by the virus *in utero*.

This study re-assayed most of the nasopharyngeal swabs and colostrum/milk samples by droplet digital PCR. It was found that this more sensitive technique increased the percentage of positive samples by approximately 2.6-fold for nasopharyngeal swabs of mothers, 6.7-fold for colostrum/milk, and 2.2-fold for nasopharyngeal neonate swabs (Figures 2A, 2B, Tables S1, S2).

In terms of the scope of this work, there were limitations like the sample size and the need to expand the studies, sampling not only nasopharyngeal swabs in mothers-neonates as well as colostrum/human milk, but also collecting other important samples like amniotic fluid, umbilical cord blood, and peripheral blood from the binomials, which are informative in evaluating the presence of the SARS-CoV-2 genome during delivery. In addition, the conclusions may not be generalized to other populations.

Funding

This work was financed by Secretaría de Relaciones Exteriores México (SRE), No. SRE/027/2021; and Agencia Mexicana de Cooperación Internacional para el Desarrollo (AMEXCID), No. AMEXCID 2020-5.

Ethical approval

The study was approved by the Bioethics Committee in Research of the General Hospital "Dr. Gustavo Baz Prada" with registry 208C0101110500T-3157_2020-08. All participants signed informed consent in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Contributions

Conceptualization: FB-G, PZ-S, JG-M; Data curation: TB-G, KC-C, FB-G, PZ-S, JG-M; Formal analysis: AR-R, TB-G, KC-C, JMV-I, NGZ-T, FB-G, PZ-S, JG-M; Funding acquisition: FB-G, PZ-S, JG-M; Investigation: AR-R, FB-G, PZ-S; Methodology: KC-C, JMV-I, NGZ-T; Project administration: FB-G, PZ-S, JG-M; Resources: AR-R, JC-L, SM-P, MEL-M, FB-G, PZ-S; Software: TB-G, KC-C, JMV-I, NGZ-T; Supervision: FB-G, PZ-S, JG-M; Validation: FB-G, PZ-S, JG-M; Visualization: TB-G, KC-C, JMV-I, NGZ-T; Writing – original draft: TB-G, KC-C, JMV-I, NGZ-T, G-M; Writing – review & editing: AR-R, TB-G, KC-C, JMV-I, NGZ-T, JC-L, SM-P, MEL-M, FB-G, PZ-S, JG-M.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to all mothers who participated in the study, to Carolina Miranda-Brito for technical support in data curation, and Viridiana Rosas Ocegueda for administrative assistance. PZ-S (43142), FB-G (225525), and JG-M (19815) are Fellows from the Sistema Nacional de Investigadores, Mexico. PZ-S is also a EDI-IPN and COFAA-IPN fellow.

Supplementary materials

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2021.10.006.

References

Auriti C, De Rose DU, Tzialla C, Caforio L, Ciccia M, Manzoni P, et al. Vertical Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19): Are Hypotheses More than Evidences? Am J Perinatol 2020;37(S 02):S31–8. doi:10.1055/s-0040-1714346.

- Baergen RN, Heller DS. Placental Pathology in Covid-19 Positive Mothers: Preliminary Findings. Pediatr Dev Pathol 2020;23(3):177–80. doi:10.1177/1093526620925569.
- Bardanzellu F, Fanos V, Reali A. Human Breast Milk-acquired Cytomegalovirus Infection: Certainties, Doubts and Perspectives. Curr Pediatr Rev 2019;15(1):30–41. doi:10.2174/1573396315666181126105812.

A. Ramírez-Rosas, T. Benitez-Guerrero, K. Corona-Cervantes et al.

- Bardanzellu F, Puddu M, Fanos V. Breast Milk and COVID-19: From Conventional Data to "Omics" Technologies to Investigate Changes Occurring in SARS-CoV-2 Positive Mothers. International journal of environmental research and public health 2021;18(11):5668. doi:10.3390/ijerph18115668.
- Bianco A, Buckley AB, Overbey J, Smilen S, Wagner B, Dinglas C, et al. Testing of Patients and Support Persons for Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Infection Before Scheduled Deliveries. Obstet Gynecol 2020;136(2):283–7. doi:10.1097/AOG.000000000003985.
- Cao Q, Chen YC, Chen CL, Chiu CH. SARS-CoV-2 infection in children: Transmission dynamics and clinical characteristics. J Formos Med Assoc 2020;119(3):670–3. doi:10.1016/j.jfma.2020.02.009.
- Carosso A, Cosma S, Borella F, Marozio L, Ghisetti Coscia A, et al. Prelabor anorectal swab for SARS-CoV-2 in COVID-19 pregnant patients: is it time to think about it? Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol 2020;249:98–9. doi:10.1016/j.ejogrb.2020.04.023.
- Centeno-Tablante E, Medina-Rivera M, Finkelstein JL, Rayco-Solon P, Garcia-Casal MN, Rogers L, et al. Transmission of SARS-CoV-2 through breast milk and breastfeeding: a living systematic review. Ann NY Acad Sci 2021;1484(1):32–54. doi:10.1111/nyas.14477.
- CDC Center for Disease Control and Prevention [Internet]. How COVID-19 Spreads c 2021 [cited 2021 Jun 2]. Available from: https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/ 2019-ncov/prevent-getting-sick/how-covid-spreads.html
- CONACYT Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología [Inernet]. COVID-19 Tablero México - CONACYT - CentroGeo - GeoInt - DataLab c 2021. [cited 2021 Jun 2]. Available from: https://datos.covid-19.conacyt.mx/Spanish.
- Corman VM, Landt O, Kaiser M, Molenkamp R, Meijer A, Chu DKW, et al. Detection of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) by real-time RT-PCR [published correction appears in Euro Surveill. 2020 Apr;25(14):] [published correction appears in Euro Surveill. 2020 Jul;25(30):] [published correction appears in Euro Surveill. 2021 Feb;26(5):]. Euro Surveill 2020;25(3). doi:10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2020.25.3.2000045.
- Deniz M, Tezer H. Vertical transmission of SARS CoV-2: a systematic review. [published online ahead of print, 2020 Jul 21]. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2020:1– 8. doi:10.1080/14767058.2020.1793322.
- Fenizia C, Biasin M, Cetin I, Vergani P, Mileto D, Spinillo A, et al. Analysis of SARS-CoV-2 vertical transmission during pregnancy. Nat Commun 2020;11(1):5128 Published 2020 Oct 12. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-18933-4.
- Forestieri S, Marcialis MA, Migliore L, Panisi C, Fanos V. Relationship between pregnancy and coronavirus: what we know [published online ahead of print, 2020 Jun 4]. J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med 2020:1–12. doi:10.1080/14767058.2020.1771692.
- Goldfarb IT, Diouf K, Barth WH, et al. Universal SARS-CoV-2 testing on admission to the labor and delivery unit: Low prevalence among asymptomatic obstetric patients. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2020;41(9):1095–6. doi:10.1017/ice.2020.255.
- Hedstrom AB, Gove NE, Mayock DE, Batra M. Performance of the Silverman Andersen Respiratory Severity Score in predicting PCO2 and respiratory support in newborns: a prospective cohort study. J Perinatol 2018;38(5):505–11. doi:10.1038/s41372-018-0049-3.
- Hosier H, Farhadian SF, Morotti RA, Deshmuhk U, Lu-Cullingan A, Campbell KH, et al. SARS-CoV-2 infection of the placenta. J Clin Invest 2020;130(9):4947–53. doi:10.1172/JCl139569.
- Kalamdani P, Kalathingal T, Manerkar S, Mondkar J. Clinical Profile of SARS-CoV-2 Infected Neonates From a Tertiary Government Hospital in Mumbai. India. Indian Pediatr. 2020;57(12):1143–6. doi:10.1007/s13312-020-2070-9.
- Karimi-Zarchi M, Neamatzadeh H, Dastgheib SA, Abbasi H, Mirjalili SR, Behforouz A, et al. Vertical Transmission of Coronavirus Disease 19 (COVID-19) from Infected Pregnant Mothers to Neonates: A Review. Fetal Pediatr Pathol 2020;39(3):246– 50. doi:10.1080/15513815.2020.1747120.
- Lackey KA, Pace RM, Williams JE, Bode L, Donovan SM, Järvinen KM, et al. SARS-CoV-2 and human milk: What is the evidence? Matern Child Nutr 2020;16(4):e13032. doi:10.1111/mcn.13032.
- Lu D, Sang L, Du S, Li T, Chang Y, Yang XA. Asymptomatic COVID-19 infection in late pregnancy indicated no vertical transmission. J Med Virol 2020;92(9):1660–4. doi:10.1002/jmv.25927.
- Mardani M, Pourkaveh B. A controversial debate: vertical transmission of COVID-19 in pregnancy. Arch Clin Infect Dis 2020;15(1) Feb 29. doi:10.5812/archcid.102286.
- Mercado K, Vittner D, Drabant B, McGrath J. Neonatal Intensive Care Unit-Specific Lactation Support and Mother's Own Breast Milk Availability

for Very Low Birth-Weight Infants. Adv Neonatal Care 2019;19(6):474-81. doi:10.1097/ANC.0000000000684.

- Oncel MY, Akın IM, Kanburoglu MK, Tayman C, Coskun S, Narter F, et al. A multicenter study on epidemiological and clinical characteristics of 125 newborns born to women infected with COVID-19 by Turkish Neonatal Society. European journal of pediatrics 2021;180(3):733–42. doi:10.1007/s00431-020-03767-5.
- Pace RM, Williams JE, Järvinen KM, Belfort M, Pace CDW, Lackey KA, et al. Characterization of SARS-CoV-2 RNA, Antibodies, and Neutralizing Capacity in Milk Produced by Women with COVID-19. mBio. 2021;12(1):e03192-20. Published 2021 Feb 9. doi: https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.03192-20
- Patanè L, Morotti D, Giunta MR, Sigismomdi C, Piccoli MG, Frigerio L, et al. Vertical transmission of coronavirus disease 2019: severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 RNA on the fetal side of the placenta in pregnancies with coronavirus disease 2019-positive mothers and neonates at birth. Am J Obstet Gynecol MFM 2020;2(3). doi:10.1016/j.ajogmf.2020.100145.
- Patel KP, Vunnam SR, Patel PA, Krill KL, Korbitz PM, Callagher JP, et al. Transmission of SARS-CoV-2: an update of current literature. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2020;39(11):2005–11. doi:10.1007/s10096-020-03961-1.
- Paules CI, Marston HD, Fauci AS. Coronavirus Infections-More Than Just the Common Cold. JAMA 2020;323(8):707–8. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.0757.
- Plüddemann A, Spencer EA, Heneghan C, Brassey J., Onakpoya IJ, Rosca EC, et al. SARS-CoV-2 and the role of vertical transmission from infected pregnant women to their fetuses: systematic review. medRxiv. 2021. doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.06.30.21259750
- Qiao J. What are the risks of COVID-19 infection in pregnant women? Lancet 2020;395(10226):760–2. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30365-2.
- Salvatori G, De Rose DU, Concato C, Alario D, Olivini N, Dotta A, Campana A. Managing COVID-19-Positive Maternal-Infant Dyads: An Italian Experience. Breastfeed Med 2020;15(5):347–8. doi:10.1089/bfm.2020.0095.
- Secretaría de Salud, Gobierno de México [Internet] Lineamiento Estadarizado Para la Vigilancia Epidemiológica y por Laboratorio de Covid-19 [Standarized Lineament for Epidemiological Surveillance and by Covid-19 Laboratory] 2020 [Cited 2021 June 2] Available from: http://cvoed.imss.gob.mx/wpcontent/uploads/2020/01/LinVigEpiLab_COVID19.pdf.pdf.pdf Spanish.
- Suárez V, Suarez Quezada M, Oros Ruiz S, Ronquillo De Jesús E. Epidemiología de COVID-19 en México: del 27 de febrero al 30 de abril de 2020 [Epidemiology of COVID-19 in Mexico: from the 27th of February to the 30th of April 2020]. Rev Clin Esp 2020;220(8):463–71 http://doi.org/10.1016/j.rce.2020.05.007. Spanish.
- Vassilopoulou E, Feketea G, Koumbi L, Mesiari C, Berghea EC, Konstantinou GN. Breastfeeding and COVID-19: From Nutrition to Immunity. Frontiers in immunology 2021;12. doi:10.3389/fimmu.2021.661806.
- Vousden N, Bunch K, Morris E, Simpson N, Gale C, Patrick O'Brien, et al. The incidence, characteristics and outcomes of pregnant women hospitalized with symptomatic and asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection in the UK from March to September 2020: A national cohort study using the UK Obstetric Surveillance System (UKOSS). PLoS One 2021;16(5). Published 2021 May 5 http: //doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0251123.
- Wang C, Horby PW, Hayden FG, Gao GF. A novel coronavirus outbreak of global health concern [published correction appears in Lancet. 2020 Jan 29;:]. Lancet. 2020;395(10223):470–3 http://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30185-9.
- WHO World Health Organization [Internet]. Global Strategy for Infant and Young Child Feeding 2003 [cited 2021 Jul 16]. Available from: https://apps.who.int/iris/ bitstream/handle/10665/42590/9241562218.pdf;sequence=1
- WHO World Health Organization [Internet] WHO Coronavirus (COVID-19) c2021 [cited 2021 July 16] Dashboard. Available from: https://covid19.who.int/
- Wu D, Wu T, Liu Q, Yang Z. The SARS-CoV-2 outbreak: What we know. Int J Infect Dis 2020;94:44–8. doi:10.1016/j.ijid.2020.03.004.
- Yang Z, Liu Y. Vertical Transmission of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2: A Systematic Review. Am J Perinatol 2020;37(10):1055–60. doi:10.1055/s-0040-1712161.
- Zamaniyan M, Ebadi A, Aghajanpoor S, Rahmani Z, Haghshenas M, Azizi S. Preterm delivery, maternal death, and vertical transmission in a pregnant woman with COVID-19 infection. Prenat Diagn 2020;40(13):1759–61. doi:10.1002/pd.5713.
- Zheng QL, Duan T, Jin LP. Single-cell RNA expression profiling of ACE2 and AXL in the human maternal–Fetal interface. Reproductive and Developmental Medicine 2020;4(1):7 Jan 1. doi:10.4103/2096-2924.278679.
- Zhu H, Wang L, Fang C, Peng S, Zhang L, Chang G, Xia S, Zhou W. Clinical analysis of 10 neonates born to mothers with 2019-nCoV pneumonia. Translational pediatrics 2020;9(1):51 Feb. doi:10.21037/tp.2020.02.06.