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The slow delayed rectifier potassium current $\left(1_{\mathrm{Ks}}\right)$ is formed by the KCNQ1 ( $K_{v} 7.1$ ) channel, an ion channel of four $\alpha$-subunits that modulates KCNE1 $\beta$-subunits. $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{Ks}}$ is central to the repolarization of the cardiac action potential. Loss of function mutation reducing ventricular cardiac $I_{\mathrm{Ks}}$ cause the long-QT syndrome (LQTS), a disorder that predisposes patients to arrhythmia and sudden death. Current therapy for LQTS is inadequate. Rottlerin, a natural product of the kamala tree, activates $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{Ks}}$ and has the
potential to provide a new strategy for rational drug therapy. In this study, we show that simple modifications such as pentaacetylation or penta-methylation of rottlerin blunts activation activity. Total synthesis was used to prepare side-chainmodified derivatives that slowed down KCNQ1/KCNE1 channel deactivation to different degrees. A binding hypothesis of rottlerin is provided that opens the way to improved $I_{\mathrm{Ks}}$ activators as novel therapeutics for the treatment of LQTS.
constituent rottlerin (1), also known as mallotoxin, has been studied more intensively for its biological potential (Figure 1).

Inhibitory activities have been reported for several kinases such as p38-regulated/activated protein kinase (PRAK), mito-gen-activated protein kinase-activated protein kinase 2 (MAP-KAPK-2), protein kinase B (PKB, Akt), $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+} /$ calmodulin-dependent protein kinase (CaMK), and protein kinase C-delta (PKC8)..$^{[8,9]}$ In 2005 Zakharov and coworkers first described an agonistic activity on a BK potassium channel (SLO-1). ${ }^{[10]}$ Recently, we identified rottlerin as a potent KCNQ1 and KCNQ4 activator. ${ }^{[11]}$ There is a well-recognized need for improved therapies in $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{V}} 7$ based channelopathies. ${ }^{[12]} \mathrm{A}$ drug that activates the respective $\mathrm{KCNQ} 1 \mathrm{~K}^{+}$channel, might be useful for treatment of inherited forms of potentially lethal LQTS, high blood pressure, diabetes and hearing impairment. ${ }^{[12,13]}$ Currently, there is no selective, highly potent published KCNQ1/KCNE1 activator known, which is available for the clinical use of LQTS. In this paper, we describe the first rottlerin derivatives, obtained by derivatization of the natural product and total synthesis. KCNQ1/KCNE1 activities as well as first structure activity relationships are studied and discussed.



Figure 1. Structures of rottlerin (1) and rottlerone (2).

## Results and Discussion

## Syntheses of rottlerin derivatives

Though, the structure of rottlerin is known for decades, the first two total syntheses were published only recently. Both syntheses rely on a convergent, two building-block approach, consisting of a chromene and a phloroglucinol unit which are coupled in the final steps via a methylene bridge. In the Wang ${ }^{[14]}$ synthesis, three different protecting groups are used, which renders this route less straightforward compared to the Kumar ${ }^{[15]}$ route. Since rottlerin is known to be unstable under intermediate acidic and basic conditions, the choice of the protective group strategy and the method for the introduction of the methylene bridge between the


Scheme 1. Total synthesis of rottlerin (1) and derivatives $\mathbf{8} \mathbf{b}-\mathbf{f}$.

Table 1. Yields for chromene derivatives and final coupling steps.

| Yield [\%] |  |  |  |  | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 4 | 5 | $8^{*}$ | $1^{*}$ |  |
| a | 73 | 32 | 79 |  | 23 |
| b | 89 | 27 | 98 | 25 |  |
| c | 62 | 17 | 83 | 17 |  |
| d | 58 | 36 | 53 | 19 |  |
| e | 31 | - |  |  |  |
| f | - |  |  |  |  |
| * low yields due to losses during chromatographic purification. |  |  |  |  |  |

chromene and phloroglucinol building blocks are essential for success. ${ }^{[16,17]}$

After manifold efforts to develop a more efficient rottlerin synthesis, we finally embarked with minor modifications on the Kumar synthesis for the preparation of rottlerin derivatives 5 bd (Scheme 1). Due to our experience, only the MOM-group was compatible with all steps of the synthesis, even if the yields ( $5 \mathbf{a} \mathbf{- d}$ ) of the deprotection reaction were not optimal (Table 1). The acidic conditions ( 3 M HCl ) led to dimerization and decomposition of the chromene units, especially in case of the electron-rich methoxy substituent ( $\mathbf{5 d}$ ). With more diluted HCl the deprotection became inacceptable slow, again with concomitant formation of side products. Remarkably, even the structurally related MEM-protective group turned out to be unsuitable. With TFA or $\mathrm{HCl}(6 \mathrm{M})$ either no reaction or decomposition of the chromene unit was observed. Cleavage with $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ led to the unexpected formation of rottlerone (2, Figure 1) by intermediate formation of an oxonium species, which attacks a second chromene molecule. Both, methyl ether or silyl ether protective groups provided problems with selective cleavage and/or stability in different stages of the synthesis. Anyway, the construction of chromene building blocks 5a-f and phloroglucinol 7 (Scheme 1) is standard chemistry in polyphenol and flavonoid synthesis. ${ }^{[18-22]}$

Though, many polyphenolic natural products with a methylene bridge are known and have been synthesized, the introduction of an appropriate one-carbon linker has developed into a considerable challenge for the synthesis of rottlerin. In our hands, all formaldehyde based standard procedures for coupling symmetric polyphenol building blocks under basic or acidic conditions failed for the unsymmetrical rottlerin. ${ }^{[23,24]}$ We generally observed either decomposition or the preferred formation of symmetrical products, regardless of whether the methylol group was pre-formed on the chromene or phloroglucinol moiety or a mixture of building blocks was used. Trials to introduce a chloromethyl or bromomethyl group into methyl ether-protected acetyl phloroglucinol and subsequent palladium catalyzed crosscoupling reactions to introduce the chromene unit were unsuccessful, too. Already the formation of halogenomethyl derivatives failed due to an unexpected replacement of the acetyl group by a second chloromethyl or bromomethyl group. Also, the use of MOMCl as a one-carbon source under acidic conditions was not successful. ${ }^{[25]}$ Only Eschenmoser's salt, used by Kumar, gave satisfactory yields in the coupling reaction of the phloroglucinol and the chromene moieties. ${ }^{[23,26]}$ Noticeably, the dimethylaminomethyl group has to be introduced into the chromene unit. The corresponding phloroglucinol derivative is accessible only in low yields and does not couple to the chromene unit.

Finally, we were able to synthesize rottlerin in multimilligram amounts and the first derivatives ( $8 \mathbf{b}-\mathbf{d}$ ) with modified side chain arenes. However, rottlerin can be obtained more straightforward in gram quantities by extraction from natural kamala powder, following a literature procedure. ${ }^{[27]}$ Reaction of the natural product with dimethylsulfate yielded pentamethyl rottlerin (9) in $73 \%$ yield. Reaction with acetic anhydride gave penta-acetyl rottlerin (10) in $92 \%$ yield, respectively (Figure 2).


Figure 2. Rottlerin derivatives by modification of the natural product.

## Compounds $8 b-d$ increase steady-state activation of KCNQ1/KCNE1 channels

Compounds $\mathbf{8 b - d}$ were tested at heteromeric KCNQ1/KCNE1 channels, expressed in Xenopus laevis oocytes. Channel sensitivity to tested compounds was determined by using the two-electrode voltage clamp (TEVC) technique. KCNQ1/KCNE1 channels were activated by sequentially applied pulse protocols. Compounds $\mathbf{8 b}$-d were tested at concentrations of $0.1-30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ (see the Experimental Section). Only concentrations of more than $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ $\mathbf{8 b}-\mathrm{d}$ could significantly increase activating currents (Table 2). Therefore, only $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of compounds $\mathbf{8 b}$-d were compared with the activity of rottlerin (1) to study the influence of newly incorporated substituents (Figure 3A-D). All three derivatives and rottlerin are nearly equally effective (Figure 3E-I). Only at lower test pulses of -20 mV and $0 \mathrm{mV}, \mathbf{8 b}$ and $\mathbf{8 c}$ increased activation current significantly more than rottlerin (Figure $3 \mathrm{~F}-\mathrm{G}$ ). Interestingly, only compound 8c shows a significant dose-dependent rise of activation current in the range of -40 mV to +20 mV for the tested concentration levels suggesting a right-shift of the dose-response curve (Figure 3E-3I).

## Compounds $8 b-d$ deactivate KCNQ1/KCNE1 channels

KCNQ1 channels coexpressed with the KCNE1 subunit deactivate slowly in a voltage dependent manner and deactivation was reported to be slowed by native rottlerin. ${ }^{[11,28]}$ Therefore, we tested how rottlerin analogs $\mathbf{8 b} \mathbf{d}$ impacted deactivation of KCNQ1/KCNE1 channels. Time dependent decay, indicative of channel deactivation was analyzed by single-exponential fitting in absence and presence of compounds $\mathbf{8 b} \mathbf{b}$ and compared to deactivation in presence of rottlerin (1; see the Experimental Section). At concentrations of $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$, the ratio of deactivation constants of analogs $\mathbf{8 b}$-d shows no significant differences compared to 1 , indicating similar efficiency at KCNQ1/KCNE1 channels (Figure 4). However, at concentrations of $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ time constant ratio of compound $\mathbf{8 c}$ was significantly higher than the ratio for $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ rottlerin (1) indicating an increased slowdown of channel deactivation.

To evaluate the influence of pentamethylation and pentaacetylation, compounds 9 and 10 were also tested at KCNQ1/ KCNE1 expressing oocytes. Interestingly, rottlerin derivatives 9 and 10 decreased the normalized current amplitudes suggesting an antagonistic activity instead of agonism (Figure 5). However, these compounds are not suited as LQT-drug candidates since antagonistic activity at KCNQ1/KCNE1 would amplify the pathology of LQT syndrome.

## Molecular modeling studies

A cryo-EM structure of a KCNQ1/calmodulin (CaM) closed pore complex of Xenopus laevis was published by MacKinnon in 2017 (PDB ID: 5VMS). ${ }^{[28]}$ This construct shared $78 \%$ sequence homology with the human KCNQ1 channel and was used by

Table 2. normalized currents of KCNQ1/KCNE1 expressing oocytes in absence and presence of compounds $\mathbf{8 b}$-d or rottlerin (1).

| Conc. <br> [ $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ] | Comp. (n) | Voltage $-40 \mathrm{mV}$ | $-20 \mathrm{mV}$ | 0 mV | 20 mV | 40 mV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ctr | -(127) | $0.00 \pm 0.00$; | $0.03 \pm 0.00$; | $0.16 \pm 0.01$; - | $0.50 \pm 0.01$; - | $1.00 \pm 0.02$; |
| 0.1 | 8b (5) | $0.00 \pm 0.00 ; \mathrm{ns}$ | $0.03 \pm 0.01 ; \mathrm{ns}$ | $0.20 \pm 0.04 ; \mathrm{ns}$ | $0.56 \pm 0.07 ; \mathrm{ns}$ | $1.02 \pm 0.10 ; \mathrm{ns}$ |
|  | 8 c (5) | $0.00 \pm 0.00 ; \mathrm{ns}$ | $0.04 \pm 0.01 ; \mathrm{ns}$ | $0.20 \pm 0.04 ; \mathrm{ns}$ | $0.54 \pm 0.07$; ns | $1.00 \pm 0.10 ; \mathrm{ns}$ |
|  | 8d (4) | $0.00 \pm 0.00 ; \mathrm{ns}$ | $0.05 \pm 0.01 ; \mathrm{ns}$ | $0.24 \pm 0.02 ; \mathrm{ns}$ | $0.65 \pm 0.04 ; \mathrm{ns}$ | $1.17 \pm 0.05 ; \mathrm{ns}$ |
| 0.3 | 8 b (5) | $0.00 \pm 0.00 ; \mathrm{ns}$ | $0.03 \pm 0.01 ; \mathrm{ns}$ | $0.19 \pm 0.04 ; \mathrm{ns}$ | $0.53 \pm 0.07$; ns | $0.98 \pm 0.10 ; \mathrm{ns}$ |
|  | 8c (5) | $0.00 \pm 0.00 ; \mathrm{ns}$ | $0.03 \pm 0.01 ; \mathrm{ns}$ | $0.15 \pm 0.04 ; \mathrm{ns}$ | $0.49 \pm 0.05 ; \mathrm{ns}$ | $1.04 \pm 0.05 ; \mathrm{ns}$ |
|  | 8d (3) | $0.00 \pm 0.00 ; \mathrm{ns}$ | $0.03 \pm 0.01 ; \mathrm{ns}$ | $0.18 \pm 0.04 ; \mathrm{ns}$ | $0.55 \pm 0.06 ; \mathrm{ns}$ | $1.06 \pm 0.07$; ns |
| 1.0 | 8 b (5) | $0.00 \pm 0.00 ; \mathrm{ns}$ | $0.04 \pm 0.01 ; \mathrm{ns}$ | $0.22 \pm 0.04 ; \mathrm{ns}$ | $0.58 \pm 0.07$; ns | $1.05 \pm 0.09 ; \mathrm{ns}$ |
|  | 8c (5) | $0.00 \pm 0.00 ; \mathrm{ns}$ | $0.03 \pm 0.00 ; \mathrm{ns}$ | $0.19 \pm 0.02$; ns | $0.59 \pm 0.06 ; \mathrm{ns}$ | $1.16 \pm 0.12 ; \mathrm{ns}$ |
|  | 8d (5) | $0.00 \pm 0.00 ; \mathrm{ns}$ | $0.03 \pm 0.01 ; \mathrm{ns}$ | $0.19 \pm 0.02$; ns | $0.55 \pm 0.04 ; \mathrm{ns}$ | $1.04 \pm 0.07 ; \mathrm{ns}$ |
| 3.0 | 8 b (5) | $-0.01 \pm 0.01 ; \mathrm{ns}$ | $0.03 \pm 0.02 ; \mathrm{ns}$ | $0.18 \pm 0.05 ; \mathrm{ns}$ | $0.49 \pm 0.10 ; \mathrm{ns}$ | $0.92 \pm 0.13$; ns |
|  | 8 c (5) | $-0.01 \pm 0.00 ; \mathrm{ns}$ | $0.03 \pm 0.01 ; \mathrm{ns}$ | $0.18 \pm 0.02 ; \mathrm{ns}$ | $0.55 \pm 0.04 ; \mathrm{ns}$ | $1.06 \pm 0.06 ; \mathrm{ns}$ |
|  | 8d (5) | $0.00 \pm 0.00 ; \mathrm{ns}$ | $0.03 \pm 0.01 ; \mathrm{ns}$ | $0.18 \pm 0.04 ; \mathrm{ns}$ | $0.56 \pm 0.07 ; \mathrm{ns}$ | $1.08 \pm 0.11 ; \mathrm{ns}$ |
| 10.0 | 1 (5) | $0.00 \pm 0.00 ; \mathrm{ns}$ | $0.06 \pm 0.01$; | $0.26 \pm 0.00$; | $0.73 \pm 0.08 ; * *$ | $1.28 \pm 0.11 ;^{* *}$ |
|  | 8 b (5) | $0.01 \pm 0.00 ; \mathrm{ns}$ | $0.10 \pm 0.03 ; * * *$ | $0.44 \pm 0.04 ; * * *$ | $0.90 \pm 0.06 ; * * *$ | $1.41 \pm 0.07 ;^{* * *}$ |
|  | 8 c (5) | $0.00 \pm 0.00 ; \mathrm{ns}$ | $0.07 \pm 0.01$; *** |  | $0.67 \pm 0.05 ; \mathrm{ns}$ | $1.16 \pm 0.08 ; \mathrm{ns}$ |
|  | 8d (4) | $0.00 \pm 0.01 ; \mathrm{ns}$ | $0.10 \pm 0.02 ; * * *$ | $0.41 \pm 0.05 ;{ }^{* * *}$ | $0.89 \pm 0.08 ; * * *$ | $1.48 \pm 0.09 ;^{* * *}$ |
| 30.0 | 1 (18) | $0.01 \pm 0.00 ;{ }^{* * *}$ | $0.11 \pm 0.01 ;{ }^{* * *}$ |  | $0.82 \pm 0.04 ; * * *$ | $1.35 \pm 0.05 ;^{* * *}$ |
|  | 8 b (5) | $0.02 \pm 0.01 ;{ }^{* * *}$ | $0.15 \pm 0.02 ; * * *$ | $0.46 \pm 0.05 ; * * *$ | $0.89 \pm 0.07 ;^{* * *}$ | $1.37 \pm 0.08 ; * * *$ |
|  | 8 c (5) | $0.02 \pm 0.01$; *** | $0.16 \pm 0.03 ; * * *$ | $0.50 \pm 0.04 ; * * *$ | $0.96 \pm 0.05 ; * * *$ | $1.51 \pm 0.05 ; * * *$ |
|  | 8d (4) | $0.01 \pm 0.00 ; \mathrm{ns}$ | $0.11 \pm 0.02 ; * * *$ | $0.42 \pm 0.05 ; * * *$ | $0.88 \pm 0.08 ; * * *$ | $1.40 \pm 0.11$; *** |

Values are given as mean of 3-127 independent oocytes $\pm$ SEM. Number of independent oocytes ( $n$ ) is given for each compound and concentration. Significance of mean differences compared to absence of compound (ctr) was determined by one-way ANOVA and post hoc mean comparison Tukey test ( $p>0.05 \mathrm{~ns} ; \mathrm{p}<0.05^{*} ; p<0.01^{* *} ; p<0.001^{* * *}$ ).


Figure 3. A)-D) Voltage dependent activation of KCNQ1/KCNE1 channels in absence (control, ctr) and presence of rottlerin (1) and analogs $\mathbf{8 b} \mathbf{b}$ d. Compounds were applied at 10 and $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$, and the effect on steady-state activated current amplitude was assessed at different voltages. Current amplitudes were normalized to the mean of amplitudes at +40 mV in absence of test compounds (control) E)-I) Mean of normalized current amplitudes $\pm$ SEM of different voltage steps in absence (ctr) and presence of rottlerin (1) and $\mathbf{8 b} \mathbf{b}$ d. The number of independent experimental data points are given in Table 2. Significance of mean differences was determined by one-way ANOVA and post-hoc mean comparison Tukey test (ns: $p>0.05,{ }^{*} p<0.05,{ }^{* *} p<0.01,{ }^{* * *} p<0.001$ ).

DeSilva to develop a binding-model for rottlerin by in silico binding-site prediction. ${ }^{[29]}$ These simulations of a single KCNQ1 subunit suggested, that rottlerin binds to the S4/S4-S5 region, stabilizing the channel by hydrogen bond formation to Arg243.

This hypothesis is well supported by the fact that mutation of Arg243 to alanine made KCNQ1 completely insensitive to rottlerin. ${ }^{[29]}$ In a seminal paper, MacKinnon provided the structural basis of human KCNQ1 modulation and gating. ${ }^{[30]}$ In particular, the exact binding-site of $\mathrm{PIP}_{2}$ was elucidated in a KCNQ1-KCNE3-CaM complex. Essentially, this complex functions as a $\mathrm{PIP}_{2}$ ligand-gated ion channel, which on binding of $\mathrm{PIP}_{2}$ undergoes large conformational changes and pore-opening.

In our own, foregoing work based on a homology model of the open tetrameric channel, we suggested that rottlerin binds to the same or an overlapping binding site around the amino
acid lle337 as the benzodiazepinone R-L3 (14). A flexible in silico docking of rottlerin gave the highest docking scores for a crevice, located between VSD and PD (S5-S6 outer face). This region overlaps with the R-L3 activator 14 binding-site. Mutation of Ile337 in the center of the R-L3 binding site to valine, rendered the KCNQ1 channel insensitive to rottlerin.

We first performed an unbiased virtual binding site mapping (Schrödinger modeling package 11.5) on a single subunit of the closed channel structure (Xenopus laevis, PDB ID: 5VMS), which has also been used by DeSilva. The mapping revealed ten potential binding sites for small molecules, seven of which however were located at the interfaces between the channel subunit and CaM. The three remaining binding sites were identified in the $\mathrm{S} 1 / \mathrm{S} 4$ domain, between the S4 helix and S4S/S5 linker, including Arg243, and in the S6/HC region (Figure 6). Remarkably, no binding-site


Figure 4. Relation of KCNQ1/KCNE1 deactivation time constants in the presence of rottlerin (1) and compounds $\mathbf{8 b} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{d}$ ( $\tau_{\text {compound }}$ ) compared to absence of compounds ( $\tau_{\text {control }}$ ). Time constants of deactivation were determined as described in the Experimental Section. Values are given as mean $\pm$ SEM. The significance of mean differences was determined by oneway ANOVA and post-hoc mean comparison Tukey test (ns: $p>0.05$, ${ }^{*} p<0.05,{ }^{* *} p<0.01,{ }^{* * *} p<0.001$ ).


Figure 5. Normalized current amplitudes of KCNQ1/KCNE1-expressing oocytes in the presence of $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of compounds 9 and 10 . The effect of derivatives on KCNQ1/KCNE1 currents was determined as described above and are shown as mean $\pm$ SEM ( $n=9-12$, unpaired $t$-test ${ }^{* *} p<0.01$, ${ }^{* * *} p<0.001$ ).
was identified around lle337. Similar results were obtained with the single units of the closed (PDB ID: 6UZZ) and opened (PDB ID: 6V01) channel structure of $h K_{v} 7.1$.

Our KCNQ1 in silico ligand library consisted of rottlerin (1), derivatives $\mathbf{8 b}$-d, and known ligands such as the agonistic benzodiazepinone R-L3 (14), the antagonistic benzodiazepinone L7 (15), the natural product tanshinone (13), the thiazole ML277 (16) and others (Figure 7). All ligands were prepared with the ligprep routine of the Schrödinger modeling suite, to
generate different tautomers and protonation states between pH 5-9. All docking experiments were performed with Glide in extra precision mode.

Dockings into the three putative binding sites were performed with a single KCNQ1 subunit obtained from the PDB structure 5VMS (Table 3). The Arg243 site turned out to be specific for rottlerin and derivatives $\mathbf{8 b}$-d, demonstrated by the significantly higher docking scores compared to all non-rottlerin compounds. The preferred poses of rottlerin and derivatives $\mathbf{8 b} \mathbf{b}$ form tight clusters, which underlines the relevance of the Arg243 binding site and thus confirms the results of DeSilva. ${ }^{[28]}$ The S6/HA/HB site shows only minor affinity and in particular, the $\mathrm{S} 1 / \mathrm{S} 4$ site appears not relevant for rottlerin binding at all. Cluster formation of rottlerin and derivatives $\mathbf{8 b} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{d}$ poses in the S1/S4 and the S6/HA/HB site is less pronounced which again favors the Arg243 site of the KCNQ1 subunit.

The recently published structure of a human KCNQ1-KCNE3-CaM-PIP 2 (PDB ID: 6V01) complex allows a more thorough insight into the binding mode of rottlerin as an activator of this specific potassium channel. ${ }^{[30]}$ Important to note, the $\mathrm{PIP}_{2}$ bound structure 6 V 01 has an open pore while the KCNQ1 structures 5 VMS and 6 UZZ represent the potassium channels in the closed state. Our modeling studies were performed with the complete tetrameric channel, which is relevant in particular for 6 V 01 , since the binding pocket of $\mathrm{PIP}_{2}$ is formed by two neighboring subunits.

In general, the tendencies resemble the docking studies with 5VMS. The Arg243 site of both channel structures shows a considerably higher affinity for rottlerin and its phenyl-substituted derivatives than for other known KCNQ1 ligands. Again, pentamethoxy-rottlerin 9 and penta-acetoxy rottlerin 10 had either no or only a modest affinity in silico. Interestingly, rottlerin and derivatives $\mathbf{8 b} \mathbf{b}$ d, express a slightly higher affinity to the closed KCNQ1 potassium channel (Table 4). All poses collected for rottlerin form a single cluster, which is oriented to the inositol headgroup of PIP $_{2}$. The chromene unit of rottlerin serves as a kind of pincer, which directs the styrene side chain into a cavity occupied by the inositol-4-phosphate group (Figure 8). The

Table 3. Docking scores of ligand library for single KCNQ1 subunit derived from PDB structure 5VMS.

| Compound | Arg243 site | S1/S4 site | S6/HA/HB site |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| rottlerin (1) | -6.6 | -1.8 | -4.5 |
| thiophene rottlerin (8d) | -6.4 | -2.3 | -4.9 |
| CF $_{3}$ Ophenyl rottlerin (8b) | -6.2 | -2.4 | -5.1 |
| fluorophenyl rottlerin (8c) | -6.1 | -6.2 | -3.4 |
| BMS204352 (11) |  |  |  |
| hexachlorophene (12) ${ }^{[33]}$ | -3.5 | -2.6 | -2.2 |
| pentamethoxy rottlerin (9) | -3.2 | -4.5 | -2.3 |
| tanshinone (13) | -2.9 | -1.9 | -0.7 |
| penta-acetoxy rottlerin (10) | -2.8 | -3.4 | -1.9 |
| benzodiazepinine R-L3 (14) | -2.3 | n.f. | n.f. |
| benzodiazepinone L7 (15) ${ }^{[35]}$ | -1.6 | -2.2 | -2.2 |
| ML277 (16) ${ }^{[36]}$ | -1.6 | -2.1 | -1.5 |
|  | -1.4 | -3.0 | -2.9 |

Numbers represent the calculated docking-scores. Docking scores are in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ : the difference in binding for two compounds is $\Delta \Delta G=\Delta G 2-$ $\Delta G 1=R T \times \ln \left(K_{\mathrm{D} 2} / K_{\mathrm{D} 1}\right)$; at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, a difference in binding score of $1.36 \mathrm{kcal} /$ mol corresponds to a tenfold difference in $K_{\mathrm{D}}$; more negative values indicate a higher predicted affinity. Docking scores in the range of 4 or below are usually not significant. n.f.: not found.


Figure 6. a) Structure of a KCNQ1 subunit and potential binding sites for small molecules. b) open KCNQ1 channel ( $6 \mathrm{~V} 01, \mathrm{PIP} 2$ bound, human), c) closed KCNQ1 channel (6UZZ, human).


ML277 (16)

Figure 7. Structures of published KCNQ1 ligands.

Table 4. Docking scores of ligand library for Arg243 and lle337 sites performed with PDB structures 6UZZ and 6V01 (complete channels).

| Compound | 6UZZ <br> Arg243 | 6V01 <br> Arg243 | 6UZZ <br> Ile337 | 6V01 <br> Ile337 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| thiophene rottlerin (8d) | -9.6 | -8.8 | -5.2 | -8.7 |
| rottlerin (1) | -9.0 | -8.2 | -4.5 | -6.3 |
| CF $_{3}$ Ophenyl rottlerin (8b) | -9.0 | -8.3 | -5.1 | -9.4 |
| fluorophenyl rottlerin (8 c) | -8.9 | -7.5 | -4.3 | -6.4 |
| tanshinone (13) | -5.6 | -4.5 | -6.7 | -5.8 |
| hexachlorophene (12) | -5.5 | -4.9 | -5.9 | -4.6 |
| benzodiazepinone L7 (15) | -4.9 | -5.5 | -8.0 | -5.8 |
| BMS204352 (11) | -4.6 | -3.6 | -6.3 | -7.0 |
| ML277 (16) | -4.4 | -4.3 | -6.4 | -6.9 |
| benzodiazepinone R-L3 (14) | -3.8 | -3.8 | -6.0 | -6.6 |
| pentamethoxy rottlerin (9) | -1.7 | -1.8 | -4.8 | n.f. |
| penta-acetoxy rottlerin (10) | n.f. | -3.5 | -3.8 | n.f. |

electron-rich trihydroxyphenyl side chain of rottlerin is placed into a cationic binding-pocket in direct neighborhood of the inositol residue with one phenolic hydroxy group oriented to the inositol


Figure 8. a) Superposition of thiophene rottlerin $\mathbf{8 d}$ and $\mathrm{PIP}_{2}$. b) Superposition of rottlerin and $\mathrm{PIP}_{2}$. c) Orientation of rottlerin (orange) and $\mathrm{PIP}_{2}$ (green) in the Arg243 site. According to the cryo-EM structure 6V01, $\mathrm{PIP}_{2}$ contains heptanoic acid in sn 1 position and ( $5 E, 8 E, 11 E, 14 Z$ )-hexadecatetraenoic acid in position sn2.

3-hydroxy group. The chromene unit itself imitates the branching of the two $\mathrm{PIP}_{2}$ alkyl side chains.

Rottlerin is fixed in the Arg243 site by hydrogen bonds to Arg192, Lys 196 and a cation- $\pi$ interaction between the styrene phenyl ring and Lys196. This binding mode offers an obvious explanation for the low affinities of pentamethoxy rottlerin 9 and the penta-acetoxy derivative 10. Both rottlerin derivatives lost an important hydrogen bond and additionally in derivative 10, the electronic situation of the phenolic oxygens has been altered by acetylation. Remarkably, derivatives 8 b and 8 d orient differently in the binding pocket compared to rottlerin. Since the styrene pocket is too small to accommodate a substituted benzene ring, the preferred orientation of both compounds is now an optimal match of the more lipophilic arene side chains with the unsaturated side chain of $\mathrm{PIP}_{2}$. In this arrangement, the chromene unit serves as a mimic for the inositol-ring with the pyrane oxygen situated close to or in the pocket of the inositol-4-phosphate group, while the partially negatively charged trihydroxyphenyl ring imitates the 5phosphate residue.

Contrary to the single subunits, the tetrameric KCNQ1 channels from PDB structures 6UZZ and 6V01 form well-defined binding pockets around lle337 by contribution of two neighboring subunits in the open and the closed state. The pocket in the open state (PDB ID: 6V01) overlaps with the binding site of the $\mathrm{PIP}_{2}$ unsaturated chain and has a high affinity for rottlerin and derivatives $\mathbf{8 b} \mathbf{b}$. It consists of two interconnected crevices, which accommodate the two aromatic side chains of rottlerin (Figure 9). In the closed state (PDB ID: 6UZZ), the two sites are smaller and more separated by Phe335, which protrudes into the channel, connecting the two sites. This reduces the affinity for rottlerin and derivatives $\mathbf{8 b} \mathbf{b} \mathbf{d}$ by a factor of 5-10. Instead, the binding of more compact molecules such as the benzodiazepinones or the natural product tanshinone (13) is favored. The significance of the open-channel pocket is also underlined by pentamethoxy and penta-acetyl rottlerin 9 and 10 , both of which are not found as binders. As we have already demon-


Figure 9. a) Open-channel binding-pocket around lle337 (PDB ID: 6V01) with bound trifluoromethoxyphenyl rottlerin $\mathbf{8 b}$. Colors code protein chains. b) Closed-channel lle337 binding pocket (PDB ID: 6UZZ) with bound benzodiazepinone 14. Colors code protein chains. c) Open-channel structure with residues found important for rottlerin and benzodiazepinone 14 binding (PDB ID: 6V01). d) Closed-channel structure with residues found essential for rottlerin and benzodiazepinone 14 binding (PDB ID: 6UZZ).
strated, mutation of Ile337 to a sterically more demanding Val changes the shape of the binding-pocket in a way, that neither the styrene phenyl ring of rottlerin nor the benzodiazepinone 14 can be accommodated anymore.

Based on these findings, it is reasonable to assume, that rottlerin mimics in a way $\mathrm{PIP}_{2}$. Rottlerin binds to the open and/ or closed KCNQ1 potassium channel, which results in channel opening or keeping the channel open. Rottlerin and even more the derivatives 8 b and 8 d show a considerable affinity and distinct selectivity for the lle337 site of the open channel. Presumably, binding to that site exerts a similar effect as the agonistic benzodiazepinone 14, which stabilizes the open state of the KCNQ1 channel. Overall, modifications of the phenyl residue of the styrene side chain appear to be of limited benefit for more powerful rottlerin derivatives, since the binding-pocket for rottlerin can accommodate only an unsubstituted benzene ring. Larger phenyl substituents cause a reorientation of the rottlerin derivative in the Arg243 binding site, now mimicking the unsaturated $\mathrm{PIP}_{2}$ side chain, which contributes only via weak lipophilic interactions to the overall binding energy.

## Conclusion

The functional effect, the increment of deactivation time and activation current for compounds 8 b and 8 d showed similar activity at 10 and $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ compared to rottlerin (1), while compound 8c showed slightly, but not significant reduced activity at $10 \mu \mathrm{M}$ and slightly increased activity at $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$. This
might indicate a right-shifted dose-response curve for 8 c and a preference for aromatic systems with high electron density at this position. However, at high concentration of $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$ compound 8c was more potent in slowing down KCNQ1/KCNE1 channel deactivation.

In the context of therapy of the long QT syndrome, activation of KCNQ1/KCNE1 channels was discussed as a therapy option. Due to the lack of drugs on the market that activate the native KCNQ1/KCNE1 channel, novel compounds would be desired. Rottlerin is such an activator of $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{Ks}}{ }^{[11,28]}$ However, further scaffold optimization is needed to gain higher selectivity, improved kinetic profile and agonistic activity at KCNQ1/KCNE1 channels. Synthetic rottlerin and its derivatives are all active as KCNQ1/KCNE1 channel activators. Interestingly, derivatives $\mathbf{8 b} \mathbf{- 8 d}$ preserve channel activating efficiency, but show kinetic alterations, suggesting that future chemical modifications may provide fine-tuned pharmacological effects.

## Experimental Section

Isolation of rottlerin (1): Rottlerin was isolated from Mallotus phillippinensis Kamala powder, purchased from Kremer Pigmente GmbH , Germany according to a literature procedure. ${ }^{[27]}$ Kamala powder ( 15 g ) was refluxed in boiling benzene for 7 h . After filtration of the insoluble material rottlerin was obtained from the solution by precipitation. Recrystallization yielded rottlerin ( 680 mg ) of the same purity as a commercial reference sample.

## Syntheses

Abbreviations: Cyh, cyclohexane; EDDA, ethylenediamine diacetate; MOM, methoxymethyl; sat., saturated; TMS, tetramethylsilane
General: IR spectra were recorded on a Bruker ALPHA FTIR spectrometer. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker Avance III 600 and Bruker Avance 400 spectrometers operating at 600 and $400 \mathrm{MHz}\left({ }^{( } \mathrm{H}\right)$ respectively and 150 and $100 \mathrm{MHz}\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$ respectively. Accurate mass determinations were achieved with a Bruker micrOTOF mass spectrometer. The reactions were monitored by TLC carried out on Macherey Nagel silica gel plates (60F-254) or Merk silica gel 60 RP-18 F254 plates using UV light and aqueous solution of $\mathrm{KMnO}_{4}, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}, \mathrm{NaOH}$ and heat as the visualizing agents. HPLC analysis was conducted on a PerfectSil Target ODS-3 HD $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ $100 \times 4.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ column using an Agilent 1100 instrument. Reagents and solvents were purchased from commercial sources and used without further purification, unless otherwise stated. $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was dried with a MB-SPS-800 solvent purification system. MeOH was redistilled from magnesium turnings. Reactions were stirred magnetically under an argon atmosphere unless otherwise stated.

General procedure for preparation of chalcones 4: To a solution of 1-[7-Hydroxy-5-(methoxymethoxy)-2,2-dimethyl-chromen-8-yl] ethenone (3) ( 1 equiv) in ethanol ( 15 mL ) were added potassium hydroxide ( 5 equiv) and the aryl aldehyde ( 1.3 equiv). The reaction mixture was stirred for 3 days at room temperature. At completion, a saturated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$-solution was added and the mixture war partitioned between water ( 50 mL ) and ethyl acetate ( 50 mL ). The aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate $(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic extracts were washed with brine ( 15 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude products were purified by flash chromatography over silica gel to provide the desired compound (4).
(E)-1-[7-Hydroxy-5-(methoxymethoxy)-2,2-dimethyl-chromen-8-yl]-3-phenyl-prop-2-en-1-on (4a): Compound 4a was obtained from 1-[7-hydroxy-5-(methoxymethoxy)-2,2-dimethyl-chromen-8-yl] ethenone (3) and benzaldehyde. 288 mg , yield: $73 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta(\mathrm{ppm})=13.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.80(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65-7.62(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.65$ (d, $J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.25(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.52(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.58(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{31} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})=193.0$, 166.9, 158.7, 155.9, 142.3, 135.6, 130.1, 129.0, 128.3, 127.5, 124.9, 116.8, 107.0, 103.6, 95.3, 94.3, 78.0, 56.5, 28.0. HPLC-MS (ESI): m/z (\%): $365.2\left[\mathrm{M}^{-}-\mathrm{H}\right](100), 366.2\left[\mathrm{M}^{-}\right]$(18). HR-MS (ESI): $m / z$ found $=$ 365.1396, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{121}, \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{O}_{5}=365.1394$. IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3068, 2972, 2958, 2907, 2827, 1642, 1582, 1546. Rf: 0.52 (CH/EE 19:1).
(E)-1-[7-Hydroxy-5-(methoxymethoxy)-2,2-dimethyl-chromen-8-yl]-3-[4-(trifluoromethoxy) phenyl]prop-2-en-1-one (4b): Product 4b was obtained from 1-[7-hydroxy-5-(methoxymethoxy)-2,2-dimethyl-chromen-8-yllethenone (3) and 4-(trifluoromethoxy)-benzaldehyde. 293 mg , yield: $89 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCI}_{3}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})=$ $13.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.75(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64$ (d, J=8.7 Hz, 2H), $7.28(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6,64(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 6.28 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 5.51 (d, $\mathrm{J}=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $5.25(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, $1.57(\mathrm{~s}$, $6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})=192.6,166.9,158.9,155.9$, 150.2, 140.3, 134.2, 129.5, 128.3, 124.9, 121.2, 120.4 (q, J=258.7 Hz), 116.8, 106.9, 103.6, 95.3, 94.3, 78.1, 56.5, 28.1. HPLC-MS (ESI): m/z (\%): $449.2\left[\mathrm{M}^{-}-\mathrm{H}\right](100), 450.2\left[\mathrm{M}^{-}\right]$(20). HR-MS (ESI): $m / z$ found $=$ 449.1219, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{6}=449.1217$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3115,3079$, 2974, 2958, 2915, 2836, 1637, 1587, 1545. Rf: $0.36\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{CH} 1: 1\right)$.

## (E)-3-(4-Fluorophenyl)-1-[7-hydroxy-5-(methoxymethoxy)-2,2-

dimethyl-chromen-8-yl] prop-2-en-1-one (4c): Product 4c was obtained from 1-[7-hydroxy-5-(methoxymethoxy)-2,2-dimethyl-chromen8 -yllethenone (3) and 4-fluorobenzaldehyde. 194 mg . yield: $62 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})=13.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.04(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.75(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59-7.63(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.10-7.16(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.34(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=10.0,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.25(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.52(\mathrm{~s}, 3$ H), $1.57(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})=192.8,166.8$, 163.4 (d, $J=251.3 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 158.7, 155.8, 141.0, 131.8 (d, $J=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 130.0 (d, $J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 127.2, 124.8, 116.8, 116.1116 .1 (d, $J=21.9 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 106.9, 103.6, 95.3, 94.3, 78.1, 56.5, 28.0. HPLC-MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ (\%): 385.1 [M $\left.{ }^{-}-\mathrm{H}\right]$ (100), $386.1\left[M^{-}\right]$(19). HR-MS (ESI): $m / z$ found $=407.1264$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \mathrm{Na}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{4}=407.1265$ IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 2974,2958,2906,1634,1582$, 1544, 1507. Rf: 0.24 (CH/EE 19:1).
(E)-1-[7-Hydroxy-5-(methoxymethoxy)-2,2-dimethyl-chromen-8-yl]-3-(2-thienyl)prop-2-en-1-one (4d): Product 4d was obtained from 1-[7-hydroxy-5-(methoxymethoxy)-2,2-dimethyl-chromen-8-yl] ethanon (3) and thiophen-2-carbaldehyde. $148 \mathrm{mg}, 58 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})=14.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.00(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.94 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.44 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.10$ ( $\mathrm{dd}, J=5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ) $, 6.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.50$ (d, $J=10.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 5.24(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.61(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\left(100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta(\mathrm{ppm})=192.4,166.9,158.6,155.8,141.4,135.1$, 131.7, 128.3, 128.2, 126.5, 124.5, 116.6, 106.8, 103.6, 95.2, 94.3, 78.1, 56.5, 27.9. HPLC-MS (ESI): m/z (\%): 371.2 [ $\left.\mathrm{M}^{-}-\mathrm{H}\right]$ (100), 372.2 [ $\left.\mathrm{M}^{-}\right]$ (17). HR-MS (ESI): $m / z$ found $=395.0926$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{Na}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{1}=$ 395.0924. IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): $3326,3032,2957,1709,1585,1542$. Rf: 0.47 (CH/EE 4:1).
(E)-1-[7-Hydroxy-5-(methoxymethoxy)-2,2-dimethyl-chromen-8-yl]-3-(4-methoxyphenyl)prop-2-en-1-one (4e): Compound 4 e was obtained from 1-[7-hydroxy-5-(methoxymethoxy)-2,2-dimethyl-chromen-8-yllethenone (3) and 4-methoxybenzaldehyde. 11 mg , $31 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})=14.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03$ (d, $J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 6.96 (d, $J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.50(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.24(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.88(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.58(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})=192.9,166.9,161.4,158.5,155.8$,
142.5, 130.0, 128.4, 125.1, 124.8, 116.8, 114.5, 107.0, 103.6, 95.3, 94.3, 77.9, 56.5, 55.4, 28.0. HPLC-MS (ESI): m/z (\%): $395.2\left[\mathrm{M}^{-}-\mathrm{H}\right]$ (100), $396.2\left[\mathrm{M}^{-}\right]$(26). HR-MS: $m / z$ found $=395.1502$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{O}_{6}=395.1500$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3108,3065,2992,2968,2937,1683$, 1593, 1546, 1508. Rf: 0.38 (CH/EE 5:1).

General procedure for the deprotection of the MOM-group: Chalcones 4 were dissolved in methanol ( 30 mL ) and a 3 M HCl solution ( 3 mL ) was added. The reaction mixture was refluxed for 2 h . The reaction was quenched with a saturated $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$-solution ( 15 mL ). The reaction mixture was partitioned between water ( 50 mL ) and ethyl acetate ( 50 mL ). The aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate $(2 \times 30 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude products were purified by flash chromatography over silica gel to give the deprotected compounds 5.
(E)-1-(5,7-Dihydroxy-2,2-dimethyl-chromen-8-yl)-3-phenyl-prop-2-en-1-one ( 5 a): Compound 5 a was obtained from ( $E$ )-1-[7-hydroxy-5-(methoxymethoxy)-2,2-dimethyl-chromen-8-yl]-3-phenyl-prop-2-en-1-one (4a). 78 mg , yield: $32 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ $(\mathrm{ppm})=14.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65-7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.47-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $6.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.58(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta(\mathrm{ppm})=193.0,166.5,158.0,156.7,142.4,135.6,130.1$, 129.0, 128.3, 127.4, 124.9, 116.4, 106.6, 102.4, 96.4, 78.2, 28.0. HPLCMS (ESI): m/z (\%): 321.2 [ $\left.\mathrm{M}^{-}-\mathrm{H}\right]$ (100), 322.2 [ ${ }^{-}$] (20). HR-MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ found $=321.1130$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{O}_{4}=321.1132$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : 3233, 2973, 1593, 1546. Rf: 0.27 (CH/EE 8:2).
(E)-1-(5,7-Dihydroxy-2,2-dimethyl-chromen-8-yl)-3-[4-(trifluoromethoxy)phenyl]prop-2-en-1-one (5b): Product 5b was obtained from (E)-1-[7-hydroxy-5-(methoxymethoxy)-2,2-dimethyl-chromen-8-yl]-3-[4-(trifluoromethoxy)phenyl]prop-2-en-1-one (4b). 69 mg , yield: $27 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})=14.02(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 8.08(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.28$ (d, J=8.1 Hz, 2H), 6.60 (d, J=9.9 Hz, 1H), 6.21 (bs, 1H), $6.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.57(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta(\mathrm{ppm})=192.6,166.5,158.3,156.7,150.2,140.4,134.2$, 129.5, 128.3, 124.8, 121.2, 120.4 ( $q, J=250.2 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), 116.4, 106.6, 102.4, 96.4, 78.3, 28.1. HPLC-MS (ESI): m/z (\%): 405.2 [M $\left.{ }^{-}-\mathrm{H}\right]$ (100), 406.2 [ ${ }^{-}$] (22). HR-MS (ESI): $m / z$ found $=405.0953$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{5}=$ 405.0955. IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3231, 3129, 2971, 2926, 2854, 1628, 1586, 1505. Rf: 0.24 (CH/EE 6:1).
(E)-1-(5,7-Dihydroxy-2,2-dimethyl-chromen-8-yl)-3-(4-fluorophenyl) prop-2-en-1-one (5c): Product 5c was obtained from (E)-3-(4-fluorophenyl)-1-[7-hydroxy-5-(methoxymethoxy)-2,2-dimethyl-chro-men-8-yl]prop-2-en-1-one (4c). 14 mg , yield: $17 \% .^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta(\mathrm{ppm})=14.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.03(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.4$, 1H), 7.75-7.72 (m, 2H), 7.15-7.10 (m, 2H), $6.60(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.01$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.57(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ $(p p m)=192.8,166.4,163.8(d, J=251.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 158.4,156.7,141.1,131.8$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 130.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 127.2,124.7,116.5,116.1(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ 21.9 Hz ), 106.5, 102.5, 96.4, 78.2, 28.0. HPLC-MS (ESI): m/z (\%): 339.2 $\left[\mathrm{M}^{-}-\mathrm{H}\right]$ (100), $340.2\left[\mathrm{M}^{-}\right]$(19). HR-MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ found $=339.1035$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{4}=339.1038$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3524,3245,2970,2925$, 1628, 1595, 1506. Rf: 0.17 (CH:EE 8:2).
(E)-1-(5,7-Dihydroxy-2,2-dimethyl-chromen-8-yl)-3-(2-thienyl) prop-2-en-1-one ( 5 d ): Product 5 d was obtained from ( $E$ )-1-[7-hydroxy-5-(methoxymethoxy)-2,2-dimethyl-chromen-8-yl]-3-(2-thienyl)prop-2-en-1-one (4d). 43 mg , yield: $36 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta(\mathrm{ppm})=14.19(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.94(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.40(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.32$ (d, $J=3.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.10$ (dd, $J=$ $5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 3.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.60(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.43(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.00(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 5.51 (d, $J=10.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.62(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H},) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ $(p p m)=191.2,166.6,158.2,156.6,141.5,135.2,131.2,128.3,128.2$,
126.5, 124.9, 116.4, 106.4, 102.4, 96.4, 78.3, 28.0. HPLC-MS (ESI): m/z (\%): $327.1\left[\mathrm{M}^{-}-\mathrm{H}\right](100), 328.1\left[\mathrm{M}^{-}\right]$(18). HR-MS (ESI): $m / z$ found $=$ 326.0695, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{1}=326.0697$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ : 3244, 3105, 3089, 2964, 2922, 1642, 1593, 1547, 1503. Rf: 0.30 (CH/EE 9:1).

General procedure for reacting Eschenmoser's salt with chromene building blocks 5 :

To a solution of the deprotected chalcone (1 equiv) in chloroform $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added Eschenmoser's salt (3 equiv). The reaction mixture was stirred for 3 h . The reaction mixture was then diluted with chloroform and a 1 M HCl solution ( 10 mL ) was added. The aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate $(2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$. The combined organic phases were washed with brine ( 10 mL ), dried over $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude products 6 were used directly without further purification.
[5,7-Dihydroxy-2,2-dimethyl-8-[(E)-3-phenylprop-2-enoyl]chro-men-6-yl]methyl-dimethyl-ammoniumiodide (6a): Compound 6a was obtained from (E)-1-(5,7-dihydroxy-2,2-dimethyl-chromen-8-yl)-3-phenyl-prop-2-en-1-one (5a) and Eschenmoser's salt. 86 mg , yield: $79 \%$. HPLC-MS (ESI): m/z (\%): $380.2\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}+\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{HI}\right]$ (34), 381.2 $\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}-\mathrm{HI}\right]$ (7), $335.1\left[\mathrm{M}^{+}+\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{HI}-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}\right]$ (100). HR-MS (ESI): m/z found $=380.1856$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{4}=380.1856$.
[5,7-Dihydroxy-2,2-dimethyl-8-[(E)-3-[4-(trifluoromethoxy)phenyl] prop-2-enoyl]chromen-6-yl]methyl-dimethyl-ammoniumiodide (6b): Compound 6b was obtained from (E)-1-(5,7-dihydroxy-2,2-dimethyl-chromen-8-yl)-3-[4-(trifluoromethoxy)phenyl]prop-2-en-1one (5b) and Eschenmoser's salt. 64 mg , yield: $98 \%$. HPLC-MS (ESI): m/z (\%): $462.2\left[\mathrm{M}^{-}-2 \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{HI}\right]$ (100), $463.2\left[\mathrm{M}^{-}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{HI}\right]$ (23), 464.2 [ $\left.M^{-}-\mathrm{HI}\right]$ (3). HR-MS (ESI): $m / z$ found $=464.1677$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{24} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{5}=464.1679$.
[8-[(E)-3-(4-Fluorophenyl)prop-2-enoyl]-5,7-dihydroxy-2,2-dimeth-yl-chromen-6-yl]methyl-dimethyl-ammoniumiodide (6c): Compound 6 c was obtained from (E)-1-(5,7-dihydroxy-2,2-dimethyl-chromen-8-yl)-3-(4-fluorophenyl)prop-2-en-1-one ( 5 c ) and Eschenmoser's salt. 32 mg , yield $83 \%$. HPLC-MS (ESI): m/z (\%): 339.2 $\left[\mathrm{M}^{-}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{HI}-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{~N}\right]$ (100), 340.2 [M $\left.{ }^{-}-\mathrm{HI}\right]$ (19). HR-MS (ESI): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ found $=339.1035$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{4}=339.1038$.
[5,7-Dihydroxy-2,2-dimethyl-8-[(E)-3-(2-thienyl)prop-2-enoyl]chro-men-6-yl]methyl-dimethyl-ammoniumiodide ( 6 d ): Compound 6d was obtained from (E)-1-(5,7-dihydroxy-2,2-dimethyl-chromen-8-yl)-3-(2-thienyl)prop-2-en-1-one (5d) and Eschenmoser's salt. 36 mg , yield: $53 \%$. HPLC-MS (ESI): m/z (\%): 384.2 [ $\left.{ }^{-}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{HI}\right]$ (100), 385.2 [M ${ }^{-}$-HI] (26.6). HR-MS (ESI): $m / z$ found $=386.1420$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{1}=386.1421$.

General procedure for couplings via Eschenmoser's salts 6: A solution of the salt 6 (1 equiv) and 1-(2,4,6-trihydroxy-3-methylphenyl)ethenone ( 1 equiv) in toluene was stirred for 1 h at $110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. At completion, the reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude products were purified by flash chromatography over silica gel to obtain the final compound $(1,8)$.
(E)-1-[6-[(3-acetyl-2,4,6-trihydroxy-5-methyl-phenyl)methyl]-5,7-
dihydroxy-2,2-dimethyl-chromen-8-yl]-3-phenyl-prop-2-en-1-one, rottlerin (1): Rottlerin (1) was obtained from 1-(2,4,6-trihydroxy-3-methyl-phenyl)ethenone (7) and [5,7-dihydroxy-2,2-dimethyl-8-[(E)-3-phenylprop-2-enoyl]chromen-6-yl]methyl-dimethyl-ammoniumiodid (6a). 25 mg , yield: $29 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})=$ $8.20(\mathrm{~d}, 15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.65-7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.47-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 6.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=9,8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.10(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.56(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})=204.1,192.9,162.8,160.6,159.6,158.8,156.6,155.4$, 143.2, 135.5, 130.3, 129.0, 128.4, 126.8, 125.1, 117.2, 106.5, 106.0, 105.3, 104.2, 103.7, 101.9, 78.2, 32.5, 28.0, 15.8, 7.5. HPLC-MS (ESI): m/z (\%): $515.3\left[\mathrm{M}^{-}-\mathrm{H}\right]$ (100), $516.3\left[\mathrm{M}^{-}\right]$(30). HR-MS (ESI): m/z
found $=515.1713$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{28} \mathrm{O}_{8}=515.1711$. IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3234, 2954, 2922, 2851, 1710, 1603, 1555. Rf: 0.24 (CH/EE 2:1).
(E)-1-[6-[(3-Acetyl-2,4,6-trihydroxy-5-methyl-phenyl)methyl]-5,7-dihydroxy-2,2-dimethyl-chromen-8-yl]-3-[4-(trifluoromethoxy)-phenyl]prop-2-en-1-one (8b): Compound 8b was obtained from [5,7-dihydroxy-2,2-dimethyl-8-[(E)-3-[4-(trifluoromethoxy)phenyl] prop-2-enoyl]chromen-6-yl]methyl-dimethyl-ammoniumiodid (6b) and 1-(2,4,6-trihydroxy-3-methyl-phenyl)ethenone (7). $6 \mathrm{mg}, 25 \%$ yield. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta(\mathrm{ppm})=8.16(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.81 (d, J=15.7 Hz, 2H), 7.65 (m, 2H), 7.29 (m, 2H), 6.69 (d, J= $9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.51(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.74(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(\mathrm{~s}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 1.55(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})=204.1,192.5$, 162.8, 160.6, 159.6, 159.0, 155.4, 150.4, 141.3, 134.1, 129.7, 127.7, 125.1, 121.2, 120.4 ( $q, J=255.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) 117.2, 106.5, 105.9, 105.3, 104.2, 103.8, 101.9, 78.2, 32.5, 28.1, 15.8, 7.5. HPLC-MS (ESI): m/z (\%): 599.3 [ $\left.{ }^{-}-\mathrm{H}\right]$ (100), $600.3\left[\mathrm{M}^{-}\right]$(30). HR-MS (ESI): $m / z$ found $=599.1534$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{31} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~F}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{9}=599.134$. IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3246, 2961, 2925, 2855, 1630, 1598, 1507. Rf: 0.10 (CH/EE 2:1).
(E)-1-[6-[(3-Acetyl-2,4,6-trihydroxy-5-methyl-phenyl)methyl]-5,7-dihydroxy-2,2-dimethyl-chromen-8-yl]-3-(4-fluorophenyl)prop-2-en-1-one $(8 \mathrm{c})$ : Compound 8 c was obtained from [8-[(E)-3-(4-fluorophenyl)prop-2-enoyl]-5,7-dihydroxy-2,2-dimethyl-chromen-6-yl]methyl-dimethylammonium-iodide (6c) and 1-(2,4,6-trihydroxy-3-methyl-phenyl)ethenone (7). 7 mg , yield: $21 \% .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta(\mathrm{ppm})=8.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.81(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.64-7.59 (m, 2H), 7.16-7.11 (m, 2H), $6.79(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 5.50(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=9.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.11(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 1.55(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta \quad(\mathrm{ppm})=204.1,192.7,164.0 \quad(\mathrm{~d}, \quad J=$ 251.3 Hz ), 162.8, 160.6, 159.6, 158.8, 155.4, 142.0, 131.7 (d, J= $3.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 130.2(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 126.6,125.0,117.2,116.2(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ 22.1 Hz ), 106.5, 106.0, 105.2, 104.2, 103.8, 101.9, 78.2, 32.5, 28.0, 15.8, 7.4. HPLC-MS (ESI): m/z (\%): 533.3 [M $\left.{ }^{-}-\mathrm{H}\right]$ (100), 534.3 [ $\left.{ }^{-}\right]$ (30). HR-MS (ESI): $m / z$ found $=533,1615$ calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{30} \mathrm{H}_{26} \mathrm{~F}_{1} \mathrm{O}_{8}=$ 533.1617. IR ( $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ): 3247, 2954, 2923, 2852, 1599, 1507. 1507. Rf: 0.16 (CH/EE 2:1).
(E)-1-[6-[(3-Acetyl-2,4,6-trihydroxy-5-methyl-phenyl)methyl]-5,7-dihydroxy-2,2-dimethyl-chromen-8-yl]-3-(2-thienyl)prop-2-en-1one ( 8 d ): Compound 8d was obtained from [5,7-dihydroxy-2,2-dimethyl-8-[(E)-3-(2-thienyl)prop-2-enoyl]chromen-6-yl]methyl-dimethyl-ammoniumiodide (6d) and 1-(2,4,6-trihydroxy-3-methylphenyl)ethenone (7). 13 mg , yield: $35 \%$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})=8.07(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.98(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.43(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{dd}, J=5.3,3.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 6.67$ (d, J=9.7 Hz, 1H), $5.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.73(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H})$, 2.10, (s, 3H), $1.58(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})=204.1$, 192.0, 162.9, 160.6, 159.6, 158.7, 155.3, 141.4, 136.1, 132.0, 128.7, 128.4, 125.8, 125.2, 117.1, 106.5, 106.0, 105.1, 104.3, 103.7, 101.9, 78.3, 32.5, 27.9, 15.8, 7.5. HPLC-MS (ESI): m/z (\%): $521.2\left[\mathrm{M}^{-}-\mathrm{H}\right]$ (100), $522.2\left[\mathrm{M}^{-}\right]$(30). HR-MS (ESI): $m / z$ found $=521.1275$, calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{28} \mathrm{H}_{25} \mathrm{O}_{8} \mathrm{~S}_{1}=521.1276$. IR $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right): 3235,2972,2927,1736,1709,1600$, 1547. Rf: 0.27 (CH/EE 2:1).

## Molecular biology

Materials and methods: The KCNQ-clones resembles the clone published by NCBI Annotation Project Accession numbers XM_ 052604.2 (hKCNQ1) and NM_001127670.3 (hKCNE1). Molecular biological procedures were those described previously. ${ }^{[37]}$ In brief, cRNAs were generated by in vitro transcription with the Ambion T7 mMessage mMachine kit (Life Technologies. Darmstadt. Germany) from linearized cDNA templates.

Two-electrode voltage-clamp (TEVC) in Xenopus laevis oocytes: The standard TEVC procedures were similar as previously described. Defolliculated oocytes were obtained from EcoCyte Bioscience
(Dortmund. Germany). Oocytes were injected with 8 ng KCNQ1-WT and 4 ng KCNE1-WT and stored for 3-4 days in Bath's solution containing (mmol L-1): $88 \mathrm{NaCl}, 1 \mathrm{KCl}, 0.4 \mathrm{CaCl}_{2}, 0.33 \mathrm{Ca}(\mathrm{NO} 3)_{2}, 0.6$ $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}, 5 \mathrm{TRIS}-\mathrm{HCl}, 2.4 \mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and supplemented with $80 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{L}-1$ theophylline, $63 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{L}-1$ benzylpenicillin, $40 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{L}-1$ streptomycin and 100 mg L-1 gentamycin. Standard TEVC recordings were performed at $22^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with a Turbo Tec 10CX (NPI) amplifier combined with GePulse software for data acquisition. Macroscopic currents were recorded 3-4 days after injection in recording solution ND96 ( $\mathrm{NaCl} 96 \mathrm{mM}, \mathrm{KCl} 2 \mathrm{mM}, \mathrm{CaCl}_{2} 1.8 \mathrm{mM}, \mathrm{MgCl}_{2} 1 \mathrm{mM}$, HEPES 5 mM , pH 7.4 ). Compound solutions were freshly prepared from 10 mM DMSO stock solutions. For maximal compatibility, all recording solutions including the control solution contained a final DMSO concentration of $0.3 \%$. Pipettes were filled with 3 M KCl and had resistances of $0.5-1.5 \mathrm{M} \Omega$. Channel functions were analysed using the following pulse protocols:

## protocol IV protocol deactivation



Pulse protocol IV was used to assess the voltage dependent activation of channel currents, while pulse protocol deactivation was used to assess the voltage dependent deactivation of channel currents. Both pulse protocols were sequential applied at the same oocyte to record reference currents (control). After completion, compound solutions were washed in and pulse protocols were applied again under presence of compound solution.
Data analysis: Electrophysiological data were recorded with GePulse and analyzed with accompanying software Ana (Dr. Michael Pusch. Genova. Italy). Data analysis was done using OriginPro 2018 (OriginLab Corporation. Northampton. MA. USA).

Steady-state activation: Currents were normalized to the average current of the +40 mV pulses in absence of compounds (control) at the end of the 9 s for recordings of the same experiment (see representative current traces beneath).

$I_{\text {norm }}$ was calculated for every voltage step:

$$
I_{\text {norm }}=\frac{I_{-40 \mathrm{mV}-+40 \mathrm{mV}}}{\overline{I_{+40 \mathrm{mV}}}}
$$

The resulting normalized currents were fitted to following equitation:

$$
y=a x^{2}+b x+c
$$

## Deactivation


$Y=A_{0}+A_{1}{ }^{*} e^{\frac{-t}{\tau}}$

Deactivation was fitted for current traces with voltage changes from +40 mV to -120 mV for all oocytes in absence (control) and presence of compounds. After determination of $\tau_{\mathrm{D}}$ for all current traces, $\tau_{\text {compound }}$ was normalized to $\tau_{\text {control }}$ :
$\frac{\tau_{\text {compound }}}{\tau_{\text {control }}}$
Statistics: Statistical significance of results was evaluated by oneway ANOVA with post-hoc mean comparison Tukey test or Student's t test and is indicated by asterisks (ns: $p>0.05,{ }^{*} p<0.05$, ${ }^{* *} p<0.01$, *** $p<0.001$ ).
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