

# Original Article

Check for updates

# Validation of PROTIA<sup>™</sup> Allergy-Q 64 Atopy<sup>®</sup> as a Specific IgE Measurement Assay for 10 Major Allergen Components

Sung Ryeol Kim <sup>(b)</sup>,<sup>1,2</sup> Kyung Hee Park <sup>(b)</sup>,<sup>1,2</sup> Jae-Hyun Lee <sup>(b)</sup>,<sup>1,2</sup> Bum Joon Kim <sup>(b)</sup>,<sup>3</sup> Jae Hwan Hwang <sup>(b)</sup>,<sup>3</sup> Kook Jin Lim <sup>(b)</sup>,<sup>3</sup> Jung-Won Park <sup>(b)</sup>,<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Allergy and Immunology, Department of Internal Medicine, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea <sup>2</sup>Institute of Allergy, Yonsei University College of Medicine, Seoul, Korea <sup>3</sup>Proteometech Inc., Seoul, Korea

OPEN ACCESS

Received: Nov 22, 2018 Revised: Jan 20, 2019 Accepted: Jan 21, 2019

#### Correspondence to Jung-Won Park, MD, PhD

Division of Allergy and Immunology, Department of Internal Medicine, Yonsei University College of Medicine, 50-1 Yonsei-ro, Seodaemun-gu, Seoul 03722, Korea. Tel: +82-2-2228-1961 Fax: +82-2-393-6884 E-mail: PARKJW@yuhs.ac

**Copyright** © 2019 The Korean Academy of Asthma, Allergy and Clinical Immunology • The Korean Academy of Pediatric Allergy and Respiratory Disease

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

#### **ORCID** iDs

Sung Ryeol Kim D https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7418-0049 Kyung Hee Park D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1862-1003 Jae-Hyun Lee D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0760-0071

# ABSTRACT

Purpose: Component-resolved diagnostics (CRD) is expected to provide additional diagnostic information in allergic patients. PROTIA<sup>™</sup> Allergy-Q 64 Atopy<sup>®</sup>, a recently developed CRD-based multiplex specific immunoglobulin E (sIgE) assay, can quantitatively measure sIgE to major allergen components.

Methods: The sIgE detection by PROTIA<sup>™</sup> Allergy-Q 64 Atopy<sup>®</sup> and ImmunoCAP<sup>®</sup> assays was compared using the sera of 125 Korean allergic patients. Group 1 and 2 allergens of house dust mites (HDMs; *Dermatophagoides farinae* (Der f) 1 and Der f 2 in PROTIA<sup>™</sup> Allergy-Q 64 Atopy<sup>®</sup>, *Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus* (Der p) 1 and Der p 2 in ImmunoCAP<sup>®</sup>), Bet v 1, Fel d 1, Que a 1, ω-5 gliadin, α-lactalbumin, β-lactoglobulin, casein and α-Gal were measured by both assays. **Results:** Comparing the results from the 2 assays, the agreement rate for all the 10 allergens was > 88% (group 1 HDM allergen, 100%; group 2 HDM allergen, 94.6%; Bet v 1, 97.4%; Fel d 1, 90.5%; Que a 1, 89.2%; α-lactalbumin, 96%; β-lactoglobulin, 88%; casein, 88%; ω-5 gliadin, 96%; α-Gal, 100%). Correlation analysis indicated that, all the 10 allergen sIgEs showed more than moderate positive correlation (Pearson correlation coefficients > 0.640). Additionally, intra-class comparison showed more than high correlation for all the 10 allergens (Spearman's rank correlation coefficients > 0.743).

**Conclusions:** PROTIA™ Allergy-Q 64 Atopy<sup>®</sup> is reliable and comparable to the ImmunoCAP<sup>®</sup> assay for component-resolved diagnosis.

Keywords: Immunoassay; immunoglobulin E; allergens

# INTRODUCTION

Proving the presence of allergen-specific immunoglobulin E (sIgE) is essential for identification of culprit allergens.<sup>1</sup> Traditionally, skin prick test (SPT) has been used as a reliable method to identify the presence of sIgE.<sup>2</sup> However, SPT is not a quantitative

#### Multiplex sigE CRD Assay for Allergy Diagnosis



Bum Joon Kim 问

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7051-3528 Jae Hwan Hwang D https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4355-4455 Kook Jin Lim D https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7099-3237 Jung-Won Park D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0249-8749

#### Disclosure

There are no financial or other issues that might lead to conflict of interest.

test and is difficult to compare results among patients. Serologic sIgE measurement has advantages over SPT in these aspects, and it has been considered an effective alternative to SPT as well as radio-allergosorbent test, another recommended method for sIgE detecton.<sup>3,4</sup> However, serologic sIgE measurement has certain disadvantages. The tests are usually based on total allergen extracts for the detection of sIgE, making it difficult to distinguish true sensitization from cross-reactivity of other allergens.<sup>5</sup> For example, cross-reactive carbohydrate determinants that are antigen structures shared by allergenic components from taxonomically distant allergens give false positive results.<sup>6</sup> Total allergen extracts also contain clinically irrelevant allergens such as easily denatured food allergens. To standardize total extracts is also a challenge, and inadequate standardization can cause insufficient concentration of clinically relevant major allergens in total extracts.<sup>7</sup> These disadvantages can lead not only to false positives but also to false negative results. Therefore, labor-intensive oral food challenge (OFC) tests are required for accurate diagnosis of food allergies.<sup>8</sup> However, food challenge test can result in side reactions such as anaphylaxis. Additionally, placebo-control is difficult during food challenge tests.

Recently, with biomolecular technological advances, identification of sIgEs to the major clinically relevant allergen components has become possible.<sup>9,10</sup> Component-resolved diagnostics (CRD) is a better approach to the treatment of allergic patients as it facilitates the discrimination of clinically significant sIgE from irrelevant sIgE. CRD is also very helpful in selecting appropriate allergens when performing immunotherapy to polysensitize patients.<sup>11</sup> Furthermore, it can help predict the prognosis of allergic patients.<sup>5</sup>

ImmunoCAP<sup>®</sup> (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Uppsala, Sweden), a gold standard method for quantitative sIgE measurement, is widely used to detect sIgE for allergenic components.<sup>12</sup> However, because ImmunoCAP<sup>®</sup> is a singleplex assay, it is difficult to detect multiple allergens simultaneously and the cost per allergen is higher than that when using the multiplex assay. To solve this problem, the PROTIA<sup>TM</sup> Allergy-Q 64 Atopy<sup>®</sup> (Proteometech, Seoul, Korea) was developed by upgrading the PROTIA<sup>TM</sup> Allergy-Q, which is a multiplex sIgE measurement assay for total crude allergens.<sup>13</sup> The PROTIA<sup>TM</sup> Allergy-Q 64 Atopy<sup>®</sup> is based on the enzyme immunoassay technique that uses nitrocellulose membrane as the solid-phase for allergen immobilization. It can detect sIgEs for 10 major allergen components (group 1 house dust mite [HDM], group 2 HDM allergens, Bet v 1, Fel d 1, Que a 1,  $\alpha$ -lactalbumin,  $\beta$ -lactoglobulin, casein,  $\omega$ -5 gliadin and  $\alpha$ -Gal) in addition to 49 total crude allergen extracts.

This study aimed to validate the CRD concept of PROTIA<sup>™</sup> Allergy-Q 64 Atopy<sup>®</sup> in comparison with ImmunoCAP<sup>®</sup>. This was the first study to validate the sIgE measurement ability of PROTIA<sup>™</sup> Allergy-Q 64 Atopy<sup>®</sup>.

# **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### **Study participants**

One hundred twenty-five Korean allergic patients who visited the Allergy and Asthma Center of Severance Hospital for the diagnosis and treatment of their allergic diseases were enrolled in this study. The enrolled patients were clinically diagnosed with allergic rhinitis or food allergy and did not have other chronic diseases such as autoimmune disease, cancer and chronic infections, or other immune-related disease. Blood samples were collected from all patients to perform diagnostic tests using the PROTIA<sup>TM</sup> Allergy-Q 64 Atopy<sup>®</sup> and the



ImmunoCAP<sup>®</sup>. Severance Hospital Ethical Review Board approved this study (No. 4-2017-1258) and informed consent was waived.

#### Serum preparation and allergen selection

Five milliliters of whole blood was collected in a vacuum tube (Vacuette<sup>®</sup>; Greiner Bio-One GmbH, Kremsmünster, Austria) for serum separation. Serum was separated by centrifugation at 3,000 rpm for 5 minutes, then supernatant was aliquoted into several round bottom tubes (5-mL BD Falcon<sup>™</sup> tubes; BD Bioscience Discovery Labware, Bedford, MA, USA), and stored at -76°C until use.

For detection of sIgE, *Dermatophagoides farinae* (Der f) 1 and Der f 2 were measured using the PROTIA<sup>TM</sup> Allergy-Q 64 atopy<sup>®</sup>, while *Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus* (Der p) 1 and Der p 2 were measured using the ImmunoCAP<sup>®</sup>.Other allergen components (Bet v 1, Fel d 1, Que a 1,  $\alpha$ -lactalbumin,  $\beta$ -lactoglobulin, casein,  $\omega$ -5 gliadin and  $\alpha$ -Gal) were measured by both assays.

#### In vitro allergen sigE measurements

Both PROTIA<sup>™</sup> Allergy-Q 64 Atopy<sup>®</sup> and the ImmunoCAP<sup>®</sup> were operated according to the manufacturers' instructions. The sIgEs for allergenic components that were adequate for clinical diagnosis were measured in each serum. Demographic data according to the allergenic components is shown in **Table 1**. The results of ImmunoCAP<sup>®</sup> were not provided to the person measuring sIgE using the PROTIA<sup>™</sup> Allergy-Q 64 Atopy<sup>®</sup>. The sIgE detection limit of PROTIA<sup>™</sup> Allergy-Q 64 Atopy<sup>®</sup> was 0.15 kUA/L, and that of ImmunoCAP<sup>®</sup> was 0.10 kUA/L. However, the sIgE classification of the 2 assays was identical (class 0, negative: below 0.35 kUA/L; class 1, 0.35–0.7 kUA/L; class 2, 0.7–3.5 kUA/L; class 3, 3.5–17.5 kUA/L; class 4, 17.5–50 kUA/L; class 5, 50–100 kUA/L; and class 6, above 100 kUA/L). The sIgE values ≥ 0.35 kUA/L were regarded as positive.

#### **Statistical analysis**

Positive sIgE detection by the 2 assays was compared by  $\chi^2$  test, and the degree of correlation was expressed as Cohen's kappa value ( $\kappa$ ). Cohen's kappa values were categorized as almost perfect (0.8–1.0), substantial (0.6–0.8), moderate (0.4–0.6), fair (0.2–0.4) or poor correlations (below 0.2).<sup>14</sup> Pearson correlation coefficient was used to make quantitative comparison, and the degree of correlation was expressed as a Pearson correlation coefficient value (r). Correlation coefficient values were categorized as very high positive (0.9–1.0), high positive (0.7–0.9), moderate positive (0.5–0.7), low positive (0.3–0.5), or negligible correlations (0.0–0.3).<sup>15</sup> To evaluate the class consistency between the 2 assays (intra-class comparison), measured sIgE values were classified as mentioned above. Classes of each

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of the study subjects according to allergen

0 1		, , ,	0	
Allergen	No. of subjects (%)	Clinical diagnosis	Men:women	Mean age ± SD
Group 1 mite	56 (44.8)	Allergic rhinitis	21:35	39.0 ± 14.3
Group 2 mite	56 (44.8)	Allergic rhinitis	21:35	39.0 ± 14.3
Bet v 1	39 (31.2)	Allergic rhinitis	15:24	$38.6 \pm 14.6$
Fel d 1	42 (33.6)	Allergic rhinitis	16:26	39.0 ± 14.5
Que a 1	37 (29.6)	Allergic rhinitis	14:23	39.0 ± 15.0
<mark>α-lactalbumin</mark>	25 (20.0)	Milk allergy	19:6	$4.6 \pm 4.3$
β-lactoglobulin	25 (20.0)	Milk allergy	19:6	$4.6 \pm 4.3$
Casein	25 (20.0)	Milk allergy	19:6	$4.6 \pm 4.3$
ω-5 gliadin	25 (20.0)	Wheat allergy, WDEIA	15:10	$27.6 \pm 19.6$
α-Gal	6 (4.8)	Red meat allergy	2:4	55.0 ± 18.0
Total	125 (100.0)		64:61	31.7 ± 21.1

SD, standard deviation; WDEIA, wheat dependent exercise induced anaphylaxis.



sIgE were compared by Spearman's rank correlation coefficient, and degree of correlation was expressed as Spearman's rho value ( $\rho$ ). Spearman's rho values were categorized as very high positive correlation (0.9–1.0), high positive (0.7–0.9), moderate positive (0.5–0.7), low positive (0.3-0.5) or negligible (below 0.3).15 SPSS 23.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used to analyze the results.

# RESULTS

#### **Qualitative comparison between the 2 assays**

Comparing the positive results obtained using the PROTIA<sup>™</sup> Allergy-O 64 Atopy<sup>®</sup> and the ImmunoCAP<sup>®</sup>, at least 88% agreement rates (for  $\beta$ -lactoglobulin and casein) were seen between the 2 assays (**Table 2**). Group 1 HDM,  $\alpha$ -Gal showed 100% agreement. In Cohen's kappa analysis, group 1 HDM allergens ( $\kappa = 1$ ), group 2 HDM allergens ( $\kappa = 0.890$ ), Bet v 1  $(\kappa = 0.949)$ ,  $\alpha$ -lactalbumin ( $\kappa = 0.915$ ),  $\omega$ -5 gliadin ( $\kappa = 0.865$ ) and  $\alpha$ -Gal ( $\kappa = 1$ ) showed almost perfect correlation between the 2 assay results. Fel d 1 ( $\kappa = 0.795$ ), Oue a 1 ( $\kappa = 0.682$ ), casein ( $\kappa = 0.694$ ) and  $\beta$ -lactoglobulin ( $\kappa = 0.719$ ) showed substantial correlation.

#### **Quantitative comparison between the 2 assays**

Ouantitative comparison between the results obtained from the 2 assays showed significant correlation (Table 3). All 10 allergens showed more than moderate positive correlation (r > 0.640). Very high correlations were observed in cases of  $\alpha$ -lactal burnin  $(r = 0.962), \omega$ -5 gliadin (r = 0.926) and  $\alpha$ -Gal (r = 0.926). High positive correlations were seen with group 1 HDM allergens (r = 0.899), group 2 HDM allergens (r = 0.817), Bet v 1 (r = 0.855), Que a 1 (r = 0.870) and case in (r = 0.808). Moderate positive correlation was observed with Feld 1 (r=0.686) and  $\beta$ -lactoglobulin (r=0.640).

#### Intra-class comparison between the 2 assays

While examining the class consistency in intra-class comparison, the 2 assays showed more than high intra-class correlation for all the 10 allergens (Table 4, Figure). Very high positive intra-class correlations were observed with group 1 HDM allergens ( $\rho = 0.976$ ), group 2 HDM major allergens ( $\rho = 0.932$ ), Bet v 1 ( $\rho = 0.953$ ),  $\alpha$ -lactalbumin ( $\rho = 0.926$ ),  $\omega$ -5 gliadin ( $\rho = 0.904$ )

Allergen	Immur	IOCAP <sup>®</sup>	PROTIA <sup>™</sup> Allergy-Q 64 Atopy®		Agreement rate	κ value
	Positive	Negative	Positive	Negative	_	
Group 1 mite	28	28	28	28	100.0 <sup>†</sup>	1†
Group 2 mite	32	24	33	23	94.6 <sup>†</sup>	0.890 <sup>†</sup>
Bet v 1	19	20	18	21	97.4 <sup>†</sup>	0.949 <sup>†</sup>
Fel d 1	17	25	13	29	90.5 <sup>†</sup>	0.795 <sup>†</sup>
Que a 1	9	28	7	30	89.2 <sup>†</sup>	0.682 <sup>†</sup>
α-lactalbumin	16	9	15	10	96.0 <sup>†</sup>	0.915 <sup>†</sup>
β-lactoglobulin	16	9	19	6	88.0 <sup>†</sup>	0.719 <sup>†</sup>
Casein	20	5	17	8	88.0 <sup>†</sup>	0.694 <sup>†</sup>
ω-5 gliadin	20	5	21	4	96.0 <sup>†</sup>	0.865 <sup>†</sup>
α-Gal	3	3	3	3	100.0*	1*

#### Table 2. Qualitative comparison of ImmunoCAP<sup>®</sup> and PROTIA<sup>™</sup> Allergy-Q 64 Atopy<sup>®</sup>

Values are presented as number (%). For detection of sigE to group 1, 2 mite allergens, Der p 1 and Der p 2 were measured by ImmunoCAP®, Der f 1 and Der f 2 by PROTIA<sup>™</sup> Allergy-Q 64 atopy. The κ = Cohen's kappa coefficient, Cohen's kappa values were categorized as almost perfect (0.8–1.0), substantial (0.6–0.8), moderate (0.4-0.6), fair (0.2-0.4) or poor correlations (below 0.2).

Der p, Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus; Der f, Dermatophagoides farina; sIgE, specific immunoglobulin E. \*P < 0.05, †P < 0.001.

• 1		0, 1, 1,	
Allergen	Correlation coefficient value	Degree of correlation	P value
Group 1 mite	0.899	High	< 0.001
Group 2 mite	0.817	High	< 0.001
Bet v 1	0.855	High	< 0.001
Fel d 1	0.686	Moderate	< 0.001
Que a 1	0.870	High	< 0.001
α-lactalbumin	0.963	Very high	< 0.001
β-lactoglobulin	0.640	Moderate	0.001
Casein	0.808	high	< 0.001
ω-5 gliadin	0.931	Very high	< 0.001
α-Gal	0.926	Very high	0.008

Pearson correlation coefficient was used to compare detection of sIgE between the PROTIA<sup>M</sup> Allergy-Q 64 Atopy<sup>®</sup> with the ImmunoCAP<sup>®</sup>. The *r* = Pearson correlation coefficient value. Pearson correlation coefficient values were categorized as very high positive (0.9–1.0), high positive (0.7–0.9), moderate positive (0.5–0.7), low positive (0.3–0.5) or negligible correlations (0.0–0.3).

Table 4. Intra-class con	parison of ImmunoCAF	* and PROTIA	' Allergy-Q 64 Atop	γ®
--------------------------	----------------------	--------------	---------------------	----

Allergen	Spearman's rho value	Degree of correlation	P value		
Group 1 mite	0.976	Very high	< 0.001		
Group 2 mite	0.932	Very high	< 0.001		
Bet v 1	0.953	Very high	< 0.001		
Fel d 1	0.897	High	< 0.001		
Que a 1	0.743	High	< 0.001		
α-lactalbumin	0.926	Very high	< 0.001		
$\beta$ -lactoglobulin	0.821	High	< 0.001		
Casein	0.879	High	< 0.001		
ω-5 gliadin	0.904	Very high	< 0.001		
α-Gal	0.935	Very high	0.006		

Spearman's correlation coefficient was used to evaluate the class consistency between the PROTIA<sup>™</sup> Allergy-Q 64 Atopy<sup>®</sup> and the ImmunoCAP<sup>®</sup>. Spearman's rho values were categorized as very high positive (0.9–1.0), high positive (0.7–0.9), moderate positive (0.5–0.7), positive (0.3–0.5) or negligible correlations (below 0.3).

and  $\alpha$ -Gal ( $\rho$  = 0.935). High intra-class correlations were seen with Fel d 1 ( $\rho$  = 0.897), Que a 1 ( $\rho$  = 0.743),  $\beta$ -lactoglobulin ( $\rho$  = 0.821) and casein ( $\rho$  = 0.879).



ImmunoCAP<sup>®</sup> (kUA/L)

Figure. Intra-class comparison of ImmunoCAP<sup>®</sup> with PROTIA<sup>™</sup> Allergy-Q 64 Atopy<sup>®</sup>. Measured sIgEs were classified as class 0: below 0.35 kUA/L, class 1: 0.35-0.7 kUA/L, class 2: 0.7-3.5 kUA/L, class 3: 3.5-17.5 kUA/L, class 4: 17.5-50 kUA/L, class 5: 50-100 kUA/L and class 6: above 100 kUA/L. Statistical values for intra-class comparison are shown in **Table 4**. Der p, *Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus*; Der f, *Dermatophagoides farina*. (continued to the next page)





ImmunoCAP<sup>®</sup> (kUA/L)

Figure. (Continued) Intra-class comparison of ImmunoCAP<sup>®</sup> with PROTIA<sup>™</sup> Allergy-Q 64 Atopy<sup>®</sup>. Measured sIgEs were classified as class 0: below 0.35 kUA/L, class 1: 0.35-0.7 kUA/L, class 2: 0.7-3.5 kUA/L, class 3: 3.5-17.5 kUA/L, class 4: 17.5-50 kUA/L, class 5: 50-100 kUA/L and class 6: above 100 kUA/L. Statistical values for intra-class comparison are shown in **Table 4**. Der p, *Dermatophagoides pteronyssinus*; Der f, *Dermatophagoides farina*.

### DISCUSSION

PROTIA<sup>™</sup> Allergy-Q 64 Atopy<sup>®</sup> is a newly developed first CRD-based multiplex sIgE detection assay in Korea. The current study aimed to validate the IgE detection ability of the PROTIA<sup>™</sup>



Allergy-Q 64 Atopy<sup>®</sup> for 10 important inhalant and food allergen components in comparison with the commonly used singleplex ImmunoCAP<sup>®</sup> assay. Our observations indicated that the PROTIA<sup>TM</sup> Allergy-Q 64 Atopy<sup>®</sup> is effective in detection of sIgE to 10 allergen components (Der f 1, Der f 2, Bet v 1, Fel d 1, Que a 1,  $\alpha$ -lactalbumin,  $\beta$ -lactoglobulin, casein,  $\omega$ -5 gliadin and  $\alpha$ -Gal).

Ten allergen components thought to be most important were selected to be measured in PROTIA<sup>™</sup> Allergy-Q 64 Atopy<sup>®</sup>. HDMs are the one of the most common causes of respiratory allergy,<sup>16</sup> Among them. Der f was reported as the dominant species in Korea.<sup>17,18</sup> Therefore, Der f1 and Der f2 were selected in PROTIA<sup>™</sup> Allergy-O 64 Atopy<sup>®</sup>. Birch and oak are the most common cause of pollinosis in Korea.<sup>19,20</sup> Because Bet v 1 is the major allergen of birch pollen, and Oue a 1 is the major allergen of oak pollen, these are important for diagnosing seasonal respiratory allergy. In addition to this, Bet v 1 and Oue a 1 belong to the pathogenesis-related protein class 10 (PR-10) family. The PR-10 family is common cause of oral allergy syndrome.<sup>21</sup> Due to the instability of the causative allergen of oral allergy syndrome, it can be destroyed during the production of commercial food extracts. Thus, SPT using these extracts may show false negative results.<sup>22</sup> Therefore, sIgEs for Bet v1 and Que a 1 can help clinicians diagnose oral allergy syndrome.<sup>23</sup> Unlike the allergen components of dog, Fel d 1, the major allergen of cat, is known to be a useful allergen component for diagnosis.<sup>24</sup> In addition, considering that pet allergy has been increasing worldwide, Fel d 1 is one of the important allergen components.<sup>25</sup> The  $\alpha$ -gal syndrome, allergy to red meat, is generally characterized by urticaria, angioedema, anaphylaxis, and gastrointestinal symptoms occurring 3–6 hours after ingesting red meat including beef, pork or lamb.<sup>26</sup> SPT and sIgE for crude meat extracts such as beef, pork or lamb are known to be not valuable for the differential diagnosis of  $\alpha$ -gal syndrome.<sup>27</sup> Contrarily, sIgE for  $\alpha$ -gal is known to have diagnostic value in α -gal syndrome.<sup>28</sup> PROTIA<sup>TM</sup> Allergy-O 64 Atopy<sup>®</sup> detects IgE for α-gal using bovine thyroglobulin (bTG). In a recent study, the specificity of IgE for  $\alpha$ -gal (bTG) to diagnose red meat allergy was 92.3%.<sup>29</sup> Cow's milk allergy is one of the most common food allergies in children.<sup>30,31</sup> There are 2 main proteins in cow's milk that can cause food allergy. Casein fraction accounts for 80% of milk protein and whey fraction accounts for 20%. Casein fraction (Bos d 8) consists of αS1-casein, αS2-casein, β-casein and κ-casein. PROTIA<sup>TM</sup> Allergy-Q 64 Atopy<sup>®</sup> can detect sIgE for Bos d 8. Whey fraction consists of  $\alpha$ -lactalbumin, β-lactoglobulin, immunoglobulins, bovine serum albumin and traces of lactoferrin.<sup>31</sup> Of these,  $\alpha$ -lactalbumin and  $\beta$ -lactoglobulin are the most important allergens of the whey fraction. CRD-based diagnosis of milk allergy showed similar positive predictive value (PPV) and better negative predictive value (NPV) compared with total crude allergen-based diagnosis.<sup>32</sup> Furthermore, according to the casein sIgE level, persistent milk allergy or milk tolerance, and allergic reaction to baked milk might be predicted.<sup>33-35</sup> Nevertheless, casein sIgE level cannot replace OFC test completely.<sup>34,36</sup> However, it might reduce unnecessary OFC in many cases.

In this study, all allergens showed good agreement rates for detection by both the assays. However, slight differences were observed in agreement rates according to the allergenic components. This may be due to some differences in the 3-dimensional (3D) structure of the allergens used to detect IgE in the PROTIA<sup>™</sup> Allergy-Q 64 Atopy<sup>®</sup> and ImmunoCAP<sup>®</sup>.<sup>37</sup> Many recombinant proteins having a sequence identical to its natural form have structures that differ from the native ones,<sup>38</sup> and sIgE reactivity is influenced by the differences in the 3D structure of the molecule.<sup>39</sup>



In recent years, the diagnostic approach to detect allergic disease using CRD is being applied in clinical practice. Although ImmunoCAP® can detect sIgE to allergenic components, it provides only singleplex sIgE measurements. However, ImmunoCAP ISAC®, the currently available CRD-based multiplex sIgE measurement,<sup>40</sup> can detect 112 molecular allergen components from 51 allergen sources. Although it has the advantage of high number of sIgE measurements, it requires considerable expertise in interpretation of the results obtained. In contrast, PROTIA<sup>™</sup> Allergy-Q 64 Atopy<sup>®</sup> can detect 10 important major inhalant and food allergen components. Therefore, it is relatively easy to interpret the result and more feasible compared with ImmunoCAP ISAC<sup>®</sup>. Moreover, while the ImmunoCAP ISAC<sup>®</sup> measures sIgE only semi-quantitatively, the PROTIA<sup>™</sup> Allergy-Q 64 Atopy<sup>®</sup> allows quantitative measurements of sIgE.

In Korea, multiplex sIgE measurement system is popular instead of singleplex, likely due to the reimbursement policy of the Korean Health Insurance Program, which only permits 6 singleplex sIgE measurements. Only in exceptional cases, for examples, children under 6 years old, 12 measurements have been permitted. Therefore, the multiplex sIgE system that can detect dozens of traditional sIgEs has been prescribed approximately 5 times more than the ImmunoCAP® for the last 5 years (2013–2017).<sup>41</sup> Considering this real situation in Korea, PROTIA<sup>™</sup> Allergy-Q 64 Atopy<sup>®</sup>, could be a useful CRD assay for Korean allergic patients.

The current study has some limitations. First, the HDM allergenic components were different between the 2 assays (PROTIA<sup>TM</sup> Allergy-Q 64 Atopy<sup>®</sup>, Der f1 and Der f2; ImmunoCAP<sup>®</sup>, Der p 1 and Der p 2). This is because ImmunoCAP<sup>®</sup> cannot detect allergen components of (Der f 1 and Der f 2). However, Der f 1 and Der p 1 share 81% of sequence identity, and Der f 2 and Der p 2 share 87% sequence identity,<sup>42,43</sup> Therefore, there is considerable cross reactivity within the group. Because of this, the comparison between Der f 1 with Der p 1 or Der f 2 with Der p 2 has clinical implications. Second, both assays have different detection limits (PROTIA™ Allergy-Q 64 Atopy<sup>®</sup>, 0.15 kUA/L; ImmunoCAP<sup>®</sup>, 0.10 kUA/L). However, in a clinical setting, sIgE levels below 0.35 kUA/L is not considered to be meaningful. Therefore, these differences in the detection limits have little clinical significance. Third, PROTIA<sup>™</sup> Allergy-Q 64 Atopy® does not detect other important major allergenic components, such as components of peanut, hazelnut, wheat, soy and fruits. Therefore, there is a need for further improvement to increase the numbers of detectable allergenic components.<sup>44</sup> Fourth, diagnosis of enrolled patients was not confirmed by provocation test. Therefore, sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPV could not be calculated. However, comparison with ImmunoCAP®, the gold standard for quantitative sIgE measurement can provide sufficient clinical meaning. Therefore, despite the limitations, the results of this study are still valid.

In conclusion, this study showed that PROTIA<sup>™</sup> Allergy-Q 64 Atopy<sup>®</sup> shows high agreement with the ImmunoCAP<sup>®</sup> assay in detecting molecular allergen components. PROTIA<sup>™</sup> Allergy-Q 64 Atopy<sup>®</sup>, a multiplex assay for detecting sIgE based on molecular allergens, may be a reliable and cost-effective alternative to component resolved diagnosis of allergic patients.

# ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was supported by a grant of the Korean Health Technology R&D Project, Ministry of Health and Welfare, Republic of Korea (HII3C0010).



### REFERENCES

- Hamilton RG, Adkinson NF Jr, Golden DB. Serological methods in the diagnosis and management of human allergic disease. Crit Rev Clin Lab Sci 1984;21:1-18.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Heinzerling L, Mari A, Bergmann KC, Bresciani M, Burbach G, Darsow U, et al. The skin prick test European standards. Clin Transl Allergy 2013;3:3.
- 3. Park KH, Lee J, Lee SC, Son YW, Sim DW, Lee JH, et al. Comparison of the ImmunoCAP assay and AdvanSure<sup>™</sup> AlloScreen advanced multiplex specific IgE detection assay. Yonsei Med J 2017;58:786-92. PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 4. Wide L, Bennich H, Johansson SG. Diagnosis of allergy by an *in-vitro* test for allergen antibodies. Lancet 1967;2:1105-7.

PUBMED | CROSSREF

 Treudler R, Simon JC. Overview of component resolved diagnostics. Curr Allergy Asthma Rep 2013;13:110-7.

PUBMED | CROSSREF

- Mari A, Iacovacci P, Afferni C, Barletta B, Tinghino R, Di Felice G, et al. Specific IgE to cross-reactive carbohydrate determinants strongly affect the *in vitro* diagnosis of allergic diseases. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1999;103:1005-11.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 7. Platts-Mills TA, Chapman MD. Allergen standardization. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1991;87:621-5. PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Constantin C, Quirce S, Poorafshar M, Touraev A, Niggemann B, Mari A, et al. Micro-arrayed wheat seed and grass pollen allergens for component-resolved diagnosis. Allergy 2009;64:1030-7.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 9. Treudler R. Update on *in vitro* allergy diagnostics. J Dtsch Dermatol Ges 2012;10:89-97. PUBMED
- Ferreira F, Wolf M, Wallner M. Molecular approach to allergy diagnosis and therapy. Yonsei Med J 2014;55:839-52.

PUBMED | CROSSREF

- Stringari G, Tripodi S, Caffarelli C, Dondi A, Asero R, Di Rienzo Businco A, et al. The effect of component-resolved diagnosis on specific immunotherapy prescription in children with hay fever. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2014;134:75-81.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 12. Crameri R. The crux with a reliable *in vitro* and *in vivo* diagnosis of allergy. Allergy 2013;68:693-4. PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Lee JH, Park HJ, Park KH, Jeong KY, Park JW. Performance of the PROTIA<sup>™</sup> Allergy-Q<sup>®</sup> system in the detection of allergen-specific IgE: a comparison with the ImmunoCAP<sup>®</sup> system. Allergy Asthma Immunol Res 2015;7:565-72.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 14. Landis JR, Koch GG. The measurement of observer agreement for categorical data. Biometrics 1977;33:159-74.

PUBMED | CROSSREF

- Mukaka MM. Statistics corner: a guide to appropriate use of correlation coefficient in medical research. Malawi Med J 2012;24:69-71.
   PUBMED
- 16. Calderón MA, Kleine-Tebbe J, Linneberg A, De Blay F, Hernandez Fernandez de Rojas D, Virchow JC, et al. House dust mite respiratory allergy: an overview of current therapeutic strategies. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract 2015;3:843-55.

PUBMED | CROSSREF

- Ree HI, Jeon SH, Lee IY, Hong CS, Lee DK. Fauna and geographical distribution of house dust mites in Korea. Korean J Parasitol 1997;35:9-17.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Jeong KY, Park JW, Hong CS. House dust mite allergy in Korea: the most important inhalant allergen in current and future. Allergy Asthma Immunol Res 2012;4:313-25.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF



- Jeong KY, Son M, Park JH, Park KH, Park HJ, Lee JH, et al. Cross-reactivity between oak and birch pollens in Korean tree pollinosis. J Korean Med Sci 2016;31:1202-7.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Park HJ, Lee JH, Park KH, Kim KR, Han MJ, Choe H, et al. A six-year study on the changes in airborne pollen counts and skin positivity rates in Korea: 2008–2013. Yonsei Med J 2016;57:714-20.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Asam C, Hofer H, Wolf M, Aglas L, Wallner M. Tree pollen allergens-an update from a molecular perspective. Allergy 2015;70:1201-11.
   PUBMED I CROSSREF
- Vieths S, Hoffmann A, Holzhauser T, Müller U, Reindl J, Haustein D. Factors influencing the quality of food extracts for *in vitro* and *in vivo* diagnosis. Allergy 1998;53:65-71.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Rashid RS, Smith KA, Nambiar KZ, Frew AJ, Tarzi MD. Pollen-food syndrome is related to Bet v 1/PR-10 protein sensitisation, but not all patients have spring rhinitis. Allergy 2011;66:1391-2.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Chan SK, Leung DYM. Dog and cat allergies: current state of diagnostic approaches and challenges. Allergy Asthma Immunol Res 2018;10:97-105.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 25. Ownby D, Johnson CC. Recent understandings of pet allergies. F1000Res 2016;5:F1000 Faculty Rev-108. PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Commins SP, Satinover SM, Hosen J, Mozena J, Borish L, Lewis BD, et al. Delayed anaphylaxis, angioedema, or urticaria after consumption of red meat in patients with IgE antibodies specific for galactose-α-1, 3-galactose. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2009;123:426-33.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Michel S, Scherer K, Heijnen IA, Bircher AJ. Skin prick test and basophil reactivity to cetuximab in patients with IgE to alpha-gal and allergy to red meat. Allergy 2014;69:403-5.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Sim DW, Lee JS, Park KH, Jeong KY, Ye YM, Lee JH, et al. Accurate assessment of alpha-gal syndrome using cetuximab and bovine thyroglobulin-specific IgE. Mol Nutr Food Res 2017;61:1601046.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Brestoff JR, Zaydman MA, Scott MG, Gronowski AM. Diagnosis of red meat allergy with antigen-specific IgE tests in serum. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2017;140:608-610.e5.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Sicherer SH. Epidemiology of food allergy. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2011;127:594-602.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Hochwallner H, Schulmeister U, Swoboda I, Spitzauer S, Valenta R. Cow's milk allergy: from allergens to new forms of diagnosis, therapy and prevention. Methods 2014;66:22-33.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- D'Urbano LE, Pellegrino K, Artesani MC, Donnanno S, Luciano R, Riccardi C, et al. Performance of a component-based allergen-microarray in the diagnosis of cow's milk and hen's egg allergy. Clin Exp Allergy 2010;40:1561-70.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Ito K, Futamura M, Movérare R, Tanaka A, Kawabe T, Sakamoto T, et al. The usefulness of casein-specific IgE and IgG4 antibodies in cow's milk allergic children. Clin Mol Allergy 2012;10:1.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 34. Martorell A, García Ara MC, Plaza AM, Boné J, Nevot S, Echeverria L, et al. The predictive value of specific immunoglobulin E levels in serum for the outcome of the development of tolerance in cow's milk allergy. Allergol Immunopathol (Madr) 2008;36:325-30.
  PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Tosca MA, Pistorio A, Rossi GA, Ciprandi G. Cow's milk allergy: the relevance of IgE. Allergy Asthma Immunol Res 2016;8:86-7.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Caubet JC, Nowak-Wegrzyn A, Moshier E, Godbold J, Wang J, Sampson HA. Utility of casein-specific IgE levels in predicting reactivity to baked milk. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2013;131:222-224.e1-4.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Matsui E, Kagey-Sobotka A, Chichester K, Eggleston PA. Allergic potency of recombinant Fel d 1 is reduced by low concentrations of chlorine bleach. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2003;111:396-401.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF



 Kosloff M, Kolodny R. Sequence-similar, structure-dissimilar protein pairs in the PDB. Proteins 2008;71:891-902.

PUBMED | CROSSREF

- Rogers BL, Morgenstern JP, Garman RD, Bond JF, Kuo MC. Recombinant Fel d.I: expression, purification, IgE binding and reaction with cat-allergic human T cells. Mol Immunol 1993;30:559-68.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Melioli G, Bonifazi F, Bonini S, Maggi E, Mussap M, Passalacqua G, et al. The ImmunoCAP ISAC molecular allergology approach in adult multi-sensitized Italian patients with respiratory symptoms. Clin Biochem 2011;44:1005-11.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 41. Health Insurance review & Assessment Service (HIRA). Healthcare bigdata hub [Internet]. Wonju: Health Insurance review & Assessment Service; 2018 [cited 2018 Sep 8]. Available from: http://opendata.hira. or.kr/op/opc/olap4thDsInfo.do.
- Chruszcz M, Pomés A, Glesner J, Vailes LD, Osinski T, Porebski PJ, et al. Molecular determinants for antibody binding on group 1 house dust mite allergens. J Biol Chem 2012;287:7388-98.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- Johannessen BR, Skov LK, Kastrup JS, Kristensen O, Bolwig C, Larsen JN, et al. Structure of the house dust mite allergen Der f 2: implications for function and molecular basis of IgE cross-reactivity. FEBS Lett 2005;579:1208-12.
   PUBMED | CROSSREF
- 44. Jeong KY, Son M, Choi SY, Park KH, Park HJ, Hong CS, et al. Standardization of weed pollen extracts, Japanese hop and mugwort, in Korea. Yonsei Med J 2016;57:399-406.
  PUBMED | CROSSREF