



REVIEW

Recent Advances in Understanding and Engineering Polyketide Synthesis [version 1; referees: 4 approved]

Wenjun Zhang¹, Joyce Liu²¹Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, CA, 94720, USA²Department of Bioengineering, University of California, Berkeley, CA, 94720, USA**v1** First published: 23 Feb 2016, 5(F1000 Faculty Rev):208 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.7326.1)

Latest published: 23 Feb 2016, 5(F1000 Faculty Rev):208 (doi: 10.12688/f1000research.7326.1)

Abstract

Polyketides are a diverse group of natural products that form the basis of many important drugs. The engineering of the polyketide synthase (PKS) enzymes responsible for the formation of these compounds has long been considered to have great potential for producing new bioactive molecules. Recent advances in this field have contributed to the understanding of this powerful and complex enzymatic machinery, particularly with regard to domain activity and engineering, unique building block formation and incorporation, and programming rules and limitations. New developments in tools for *in vitro* biochemical analysis, full-length megasynthase structural studies, and *in vivo* heterologous expression will continue to improve our fundamental understanding of polyketide synthesis as well as our ability to engineer the production of polyketides.



This article is included in the **F1000 Faculty Reviews** channel.

Open Peer Review

Referee Status:

| | Invited Referees | | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------|---|---|---|
| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| version 1 published 23 Feb 2016 | | | | |

F1000 Faculty Reviews are commissioned from members of the prestigious F1000 Faculty. In order to make these reviews as comprehensive and accessible as possible, peer review takes place before publication; the referees are listed below, but their reports are not formally published.

- 1 **Gavin Williams**, North Carolina State University USA
- 2 **Janet Smith**, University of Michigan USA
- 3 **Ikuro Abe**, University of Tokyo Japan
- 4 **Gong-Li Tang**, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences China

Discuss this article

Comments (0)

Corresponding author: Wenjun Zhang (wjzhang@berkeley.edu)

How to cite this article: Zhang W and Liu J. **Recent Advances in Understanding and Engineering Polyketide Synthesis [version 1; referees: 4 approved]** *F1000Research* 2016, 5(F1000 Faculty Rev):208 (doi: [10.12688/f1000research.7326.1](https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.7326.1))

Copyright: © 2016 Zhang W and Liu J. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution Licence](#), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Grant information: The authors are supported by the Pew Scholars Program, the National Institutes of Health (DP2AT009148), and the University of California Cancer Research Coordinating Committee.

Competing interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

First published: 23 Feb 2016, 5(F1000 Faculty Rev):208 (doi: [10.12688/f1000research.7326.1](https://doi.org/10.12688/f1000research.7326.1))

Introduction

Polyketide compounds are a large family of natural products with great structural diversity and complexity. Many of these compounds are valued for their potent biological activities, and particularly well-known examples include erythromycin, tetracycline, rifamycin, and lovastatin. Polyketides are formed by a family of enzymes known as polyketide synthases (PKSs), which often operate in an assembly line-like fashion to join together acyl coenzyme A (CoA) building blocks¹. The core catalytic domains of type I and II PKSs include the ketosynthase (KS) domain, which is responsible for catalyzing decarboxylative Claisen condensations for chain extension; the acyltransferase (AT) domain, which is responsible for building block selection and loading; and the acyl carrier protein (ACP) domain, on which the polyketide chain is elongated (Figure 1A). Additional enzymes that may be either part of the PKS megasynthase or standalone can modify the nascent polyketide chain during or post assembly, and these enzymes further

contribute to the diversity and complexity of polyketides that can be produced.

Because of the inherent modularity of many PKSs and their vast potential for producing pharmaceutically relevant compounds, there has been longstanding interest in engineering these enzymes to produce novel polyketides in a predictable manner. Decades of work ranging from the characterization of PKSs with unique attributes to the fundamental understanding of how these enzymes function to the manipulation of catalytic domains and modules to generate unnatural products have brought us closer to this goal (Figure 1B)^{2,3}. In this short commentary, we discuss recent advances in these areas, focusing on both the new knowledge about PKSs that has been garnered and the tools that have been developed to facilitate efforts in PKS engineering. While the body of work on PKSs is vast, we have restricted our discussion here to a few selected themes found in PKS research from the past couple of years.




Figure 1. Novel polyketides generated by the incorporation of atypical building blocks. (A) Scheme showing crotonyl-CoA carboxylase/reductase (CCR)-catalyzed biosynthesis of unusual extender units and their subsequent incorporation into polyketide scaffolds. Possible R' groups include aliphatics, alkyl halides, and aromatics; R represents the donor polyketide intermediate. (B) Structures of novel polyketides with the modifications from atypical building blocks shown in red.

Recent advances in understanding polyketide synthesis

As the “gatekeeping” domain, the AT domain has been a key target for polyketide engineering, and a number of recent studies continue to focus on understanding and engineering building block selection by this domain, particularly ATs that recognize atypical substrates, i.e. substrates other than malonyl-CoA or methylmalonyl-CoA. For example, an AT domain from the monensin PKS⁴ and the loading AT from the avermectin PKS⁵, both with relaxed substrate specificities, were subjected to computational modeling and structural analysis, respectively, to enable the identification of residues responsible for substrate binding and specificity. Similarly, the AT domain of ZmaA, which specifically recognizes the precursor hydroxymalonyl-ACP, was investigated through structural and biochemical analysis, and this study showed that the donor carrier protein itself biased extender unit selection⁶. Based on the promiscuity of the loading AT and a mutation in one of the extending ATs of 6-deoxyerythronolide B synthase (DEBS), short-chain alkyne building blocks have also been incorporated into the backbone of erythromycin (Figure 1B)^{7,8}. In addition, several other recent studies have centered on *trans*-ATs, which may be easier to engineer than ATs acting in *cis*. Through *in vitro* kinetic studies, it was shown that although *trans*-ATs can complement inactivated *cis*-ATs, which can be a useful approach for introducing alternative extender units into polyketides, the efficiency of *trans*-AT complementation is greatly affected by the identity of both the carboxyacyl-CoA and the ACP substrates⁹. Promisingly, several key electrostatic interactions that define the interaction epitope between a *trans*-AT promiscuous to carboxyacyl-CoA substrates and its cognate ACP were identified, and this knowledge was further leveraged to engineer a noncognate ACP into a detectable substrate for this *trans*-AT via the introduction of a single amino acid substitution¹⁰. In addition, a *trans*-AT has also been used to site-selectively incorporate fluorine into a polyketide backbone¹¹.

Aside from these AT domain studies, there have also been studies focused on the biosynthetic aspect of unique PKS building blocks. In particular, promiscuous malonyl-CoA synthetase variants have been used to synthesize a broad range of malonyl-CoA extender units substituted at the C2 position^{12,13}. In addition, a family of crotonyl-CoA carboxylase/reductase (CCR) enzymes that transform α,β -unsaturated acyl-CoA substrates to the corresponding carboxyacyl-CoA extender units have also received great attention. CCR enzymes are typically quite flexible and have already been demonstrated to generate both aliphatic CoA- and aromatic CoA-linked extender units (Figure 1A)^{14–17}. The recent structure-based engineering of a CCR enzyme from antimycin biosynthesis afforded the production of several new polyketide extender units¹⁸. This work also demonstrated the first use of heterocyclic and substituted arene extender units by PKS machinery (Figure 1B), though it is notable that not all of the generated α -substituted malonyl-CoAs could be accepted by the PKS. In addition to CoA building blocks, dedicated ACP-dependent pathways are often found to generate atypical building blocks for PKSs as well. One example is our recently elucidated ACP-dependent terminal alkyne biosynthetic machinery, which was further exploited for the *in situ* generation and incorporation of terminal alkynes into polyketide scaffolds as alkyne starter or extender units (Figure 1B)¹⁹. This work demonstrates

the feasibility of *de novo* biosynthesis of terminal alkyne-tagged polyketides that can be subjected to *in situ* biorthogonal chemistry for further modification. Another recent example is the DH*-KR* (dehydratase-ketoreductase) bifunctional proteins that were characterized to convert glyceryl-S-ACP into the unusual lactyl-S-ACP starter unit in FR901464 and lankacidin biosynthesis²⁰.

Another area of focus in recent PKS understanding and engineering lies in iterative type I PKSs, which are typically found in fungi, though more examples are now emerging from bacteria. Although numerous bioactive polyketides are synthesized by iterative type I PKSs, unlike the well-known linear assembly line of modular type I PKSs, these fungal PKSs have only one module that is used iteratively, and the related programming rules regarding substrate selection, catalytic domain utilization in each elongation cycle, regiospecific modification, polyketide chain length control, chain release and transfer, *etc.* are only just beginning to be understood^{21,22}. For the relatively simple class of non-reducing iterative type I PKSs (NR-PKSs) that are involved in aromatic polyketide synthesis, the starter unit ACP transacylase (SAT) domain has been the focus of several recent studies including domain swapping to generate new aromatic polyketides²³ and structural analysis to identify the basis for acyl unit selection²⁴, since unnatural starter units are often properly processed by the rest of the catalytic domains of NR-PKSs (Figure 1B)²⁵. In addition, systematic *in vitro* domain swapping of NR-PKSs followed by examination of the resulting on-target and shunt products highlighted the important effects of chain length control by KS domains, editing by thioesterase (TE) domains, and inter-domain interactions on combinatorial biosynthesis²⁶. While some additional work has also been done on highly and partially reducing PKSs^{27,28}, particularly with regards to combinatorialization with NR-PKSs²⁹ or nonribosomal peptide synthetases (NRPSs)³⁰, the relative lack of understanding about the programming of these types of PKSs makes them more difficult to engineer effectively.

Recent developments in tools for understanding and engineering PKSs

As polyketide engineering continues to progress, the development of tools and strategies to understand the underlying PKS programming rules and exploit them for the diversification and overproduction of new polyketide compounds is of paramount importance. In this vein, *in vitro* biochemical analysis using purified enzymes continues to serve as the most important method for studying PKS enzymology, particularly in understanding the precise function and substrate specificity of catalytic domains, reaction mechanisms, and the internal kinetics of the catalytic program. Despite recent significant improvements in the sensitivity and accuracy of mass spectrometry³¹, it remains challenging to directly detect and quantify the majority of ACP-bound biosynthetic intermediates of polyketides. Alternatively, total enzymatic reconstitution of polyketide synthesis *in vitro* serves as one of the most common assay methods for biochemical analysis^{16,32,33}. Additionally, several unique quantitative and facile assay strategies have been developed recently; of notable interest are those coupling PKS-catalyzed reactions and fluorescent click chemistry^{10,34}. In addition to biochemical assays, structural analysis continues to play a vital role in studying PKSs, paving the way for a more detailed understanding of the

mechanism and dynamics of PKSs, particularly with the advent of structural knowledge of full-length megasynthases. New strategies have recently been developed to address the technical challenges in obtaining structural information for large PKS protein complexes. For example, small-angle X-ray scattering (SAXS) analyses of megasynthases combined with the rigid-body refinement of the high-resolution domain structures have been used to model modular structures of DEBS, leading to the proposal of a disc-shaped module that can cage the ACP at the center of a ring formed by the other PKS domains³⁵. This method can be used to probe the solution state and obtain structural information for catalytically active megasynthases, and it is a powerful approach for modeling large, dynamic macromolecular complexes. In parallel, electron cryo-microscopy has also recently been used to determine the reconstruction of a full-length PKS module and showed that a single reaction chamber provides the intramodular ACP with access to all of the catalytic sites while the ACP from the upstream module uses a separate entrance to deliver the polyketide intermediate³⁶. An accompanying study further examined this PKS in different catalytic states, providing new insight into the structural rearrangements involved in chain elongation³⁷. Thus, electron cryo-microscopy is emerging as a powerful tool for studying complex PKSs by enabling high-resolution information about the overall structure, organization, and dynamics of complete PKS modules to be obtained directly.

In addition to *in vitro* analysis, *in vivo* study of PKSs, particularly heterologous expression of PKSs and their auxiliary enzymes, has proven to be invaluable for the understanding and production of polyketides, as reflected by the recent developments in new heterologous expression tools and the successes in polyketide production by a wide range of heterologous hosts. While phage-mediated homologous recombination such as λ Red/ET recombineering has long been used for the direct capture of gene clusters from bacterial artificial chromosomes and genomic DNA³⁸, transformation-associated recombination (TAR)-based techniques that typically rely on homologous recombination in yeast and allow for the capture of much larger clusters are quickly gaining traction^{3,39-42}. The development of a *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*-*Escherichia coli* shuttle-actinobacterial chromosome integrative capture vector for use with TAR further demonstrates the relative ease and speed with which gene clusters can now be heterologously expressed⁴³. The selection of the heterologous host also remains an important consideration for polyketide production, and popular choices of

hosts currently include *E. coli*, *S. cerevisiae*, *Streptomyces*, and *Aspergillus*, which are all continually being engineered to promote higher compound titers^{3,39,44}. In general, it is best to use a host similar to the native one, as differences in regulation, codon usage, and biosynthetic precursors may result in difficulties with heterologous expression. Nonetheless, the complete refactoring of gene clusters may be used to achieve heterologous expression in hosts that differ significantly from the native producers, and efforts to refactor gene clusters by adding promoters or deleting regulatory elements as well as to engineer the metabolism of heterologous hosts for increased product titers are now easier than ever with tools like CRISPR/Cas, TAR, and λ Red/ET recombineering^{3,39,43,45-48}.

Concluding remarks

Looking to the future, an improved understanding of the intriguing PKS machinery remains critical for the successful engineering of polyketide synthesis, and biochemical, in particular quantitative and mechanistic, analyses as well as structural studies of these megasynthases will continue to play important roles in revealing the underlying PKS programming rules. Meanwhile, this research field will continue to benefit from advances in research toolkits, especially new bioinformatics, synthetic biology, and analytical tools, all of which can help lead to the production of new polyketide compounds for drug discovery and development.

Abbreviations

PKS, polyketide synthase; CoA, coenzyme A; KS, ketosynthase; AT, acyltransferase; ACP, acyl carrier protein; DEBS, 6-deoxyerythronolide synthase; CCR, crotonyl-CoA carboxylase/reductase; DH, dehydratase; KR, ketoreductase, NR-PKS, non-reducing polyketide synthase; SAT, starter unit ACP transacylase; TE, thioesterase; NRPS, nonribosomal peptide synthetase; SAXS, small angle X-ray scattering; TAR, transformation-associated recombination.




Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Grant information

The authors are supported by the Pew Scholars Program, the National Institutes of Health (DP2AT009148), and the University of California Cancer Research Coordinating Committee.

References

1. Khosla C, Herschlag D, Cane DE, *et al.*: **Assembly line polyketide synthases: mechanistic insights and unsolved problems.** *Biochemistry*. 2014; **53**(18): 2875–83.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
2.  Hertweck C: **Decoding and reprogramming complex polyketide assembly lines: prospects for synthetic biology.** *Trends Biochem Sci*. 2015; **40**(4): 189–99.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
3.  Kim E, Moore BS, Yoon YJ: **Reinvigorating natural product combinatorial biosynthesis with synthetic biology.** *Nat Chem Biol*. 2015; **11**(9): 649–59.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
4. Bravo-Rodriguez K, Ismail-Ali AF, Klopriess S, *et al.*: **Predicted incorporation of non-native substrates by a polyketide synthase yields bioactive natural product derivatives.** *ChemBiochem*. 2014; **15**(13): 1991–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
5. Wang F, Wang Y, Ji J, *et al.*: **Structural and functional analysis of the loading acyltransferase from avermectin modular polyketide synthase.** *ACS Chem Biol*. 2015; **10**(4): 1017–25.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
6.  Park H, Kevany BM, Dyer DH, *et al.*: **A polyketide synthase acyltransferase domain structure suggests a recognition mechanism for its hydroxymalonyl-acyl**



- carrier protein substrate. *PLoS One*. 2014; 9(10): e110965.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
7. **F** Harvey CJ, Puglisi JD, Pande VS, *et al.*: Precursor directed biosynthesis of an orthogonally functional erythromycin analogue: selectivity in the ribosome macrolide binding pocket. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2012; 134(29): 12259–65.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 8. **F** Sundermann U, Bravo-Rodríguez K, Klopries S, *et al.*: Enzyme-directed mutasynthesis: a combined experimental and theoretical approach to substrate recognition of a polyketide synthase. *ACS Chem Biol*. 2013; 8(2): 443–50.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 9. **F** Dunn BJ, Watts KR, Robbins T, *et al.*: Comparative analysis of the substrate specificity of *trans*- versus *cis*-acyltransferases of assembly line polyketide synthases. *Biochemistry*. 2014; 53(23): 3796–806.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 10. **F** Ye Z, Musiol EM, Weber T, *et al.*: Reprogramming acyl carrier protein interactions of an Acyl-CoA promiscuous *trans*-acyltransferase. *Chem Biol*. 2014; 21(5): 636–46.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 11. **F** Walker MC, Thuronyi BW, Charkoudian LK, *et al.*: Expanding the fluorine chemistry of living systems using engineered polyketide synthase pathways. *Science*. 2013; 341(6150): 1089–94.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 12. **F** Koryakina I, Williams GJ: Mutant malonyl-CoA synthetases with altered specificity for polyketide synthase extender unit generation. *Chembiochem*. 2011; 12(15): 2289–93.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 13. **F** Koryakina I, McArthur J, Randall S, *et al.*: Poly specific *trans*-acyltransferase machinery revealed via engineered acyl-CoA synthetases. *ACS Chem Biol*. 2013; 8(1): 200–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 14. **F** Quade N, Huo L, Rachid S, *et al.*: Unusual carbon fixation gives rise to diverse polyketide extender units. *Nat Chem Biol*. 2012; 8(1): 117–24.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 15. Wilson MC, Moore BS: Beyond ethylmalonyl-CoA: the functional role of crotonyl-CoA carboxylase/reductase homologs in expanding polyketide diversity. *Nat Prod Rep*. 2012; 29(1): 72–86.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 16. Sandy M, Rui Z, Gallagher J, *et al.*: Enzymatic synthesis of dilactone scaffold of antimycins. *ACS Chem Biol*. 2012; 7(12): 1956–61.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 17. **F** Chang C, Huang R, Yan Y, *et al.*: Uncovering the formation and selection of benzylmalonyl-CoA from the biosynthesis of splenocin and enterocin reveals a versatile way to introduce amino acids into polyketide carbon scaffolds. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2015; 137(12): 4183–90.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 18. **F** Zhang L, Mori T, Zheng Q, *et al.*: Rational Control of Polyketide Extender Units by Structure-Based Engineering of a Crotonyl-CoA Carboxylase/Reductase in Antimycin Biosynthesis. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl*. 2015; 54(45): 13462–5.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 19. **F** Zhu X, Liu J, Zhang W: *De novo* biosynthesis of terminal alkyne-labeled natural products. *Nat Chem Biol*. 2015; 11(2): 115–20.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 20. **F** He HY, Yuan H, Tang MC, *et al.*: An unusual dehydratase acting on glycerate and a ketoreductase stereoselectively reducing α -ketone in polyketide starter unit biosynthesis. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl*. 2014; 53(42): 11315–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 21. Townsend CA: Aflatoxin and deconstruction of type I, iterative polyketide synthase function. *Nat Prod Rep*. 2014; 31(10): 1260–5.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 22. Simpson TJ: Fungal polyketide biosynthesis - a personal perspective. *Nat Prod Rep*. 2014; 31(10): 1247–52.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 23. Liu T, Sanchez JF, Chiang YM, *et al.*: Rational domain swaps reveal insights about chain length control by ketosynthase domains in fungal nonreducing polyketide synthases. *Org Lett*. 2014; 16(6): 1676–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 24. Winter JM, Cascio D, Dietrich D, *et al.*: Biochemical and Structural Basis for Controlling Chemical Modularity in Fungal Polyketide Biosynthesis. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2015; 137(31): 9885–93.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 25. Huitt-Roehl CR, Hill EA, Adams MM, *et al.*: Starter unit flexibility for engineered product synthesis by the nonreducing polyketide synthase PksA. *ACS Chem Biol*. 2015; 10(6): 1443–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 26. **F** Newman AG, Vagstad AL, Storm PA, *et al.*: Systematic domain swaps of iterative, nonreducing polyketide synthases provide a mechanistic understanding and rationale for catalytic reprogramming. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2014; 136(20): 7348–62.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 27. Ma SM, Li JW, Choi JW, *et al.*: Complete reconstitution of a highly reducing iterative polyketide synthase. *Science*. 2009; 326(5952): 589–92.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 28. Zhou H, Gao Z, Qiao K, *et al.*: A fungal ketoreductase domain that displays substrate-dependent stereospecificity. *Nat Chem Biol*. 2012; 8(4): 331–3.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 29. Xu Y, Zhou T, Zhang S, *et al.*: Diversity-oriented combinatorial biosynthesis of benzenediol lactone scaffolds by subunit shuffling of fungal polyketide synthases. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2014; 111(34): 12354–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 30. Kakule TB, Lin Z, Schmidt EW: Combinatorialization of fungal polyketide synthase-peptide synthetase hybrid proteins. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2014; 136(51): 17882–90.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 31. Luzzatto-Knaan T, Melnik AV, Dorrestein PC: Mass spectrometry tools and workflows for revealing microbial chemistry. *Analyst*. 2015; 140(15): 4949–66.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 32. Sundaram S, Heine D, Hertweck C: Polyketide synthase chimeras reveal key role of ketosynthase domain in chain branching. *Nat Chem Biol*. 2015; 11(12): 949–51.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 33. Lowry B, Robbins T, Weng CH, *et al.*: *In vitro* reconstitution and analysis of the 6-deoxyerythronolide B synthase. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2013; 135(45): 16809–12.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 34. **F** Hughes AJ, Tibby MR, Wagner DT, *et al.*: Investigating the reactivities of a polyketide synthase module through fluorescent click chemistry. *Chem Commun (Camb)*. 2014; 50(40): 5276–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 35. **F** Edwards AL, Matsui T, Weiss TM, *et al.*: Architectures of whole-module and bimodular proteins from the 6-deoxyerythronolide B synthase. *J Mol Biol*. 2014; 426(11): 2229–45.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 36. **F** Dutta S, Whicher JR, Hansen DA, *et al.*: Structure of a modular polyketide synthase. *Nature*. 2014; 510(7506): 512–7.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 37. **F** Whicher JR, Dutta S, Hansen DA, *et al.*: Structural rearrangements of a polyketide synthase module during its catalytic cycle. *Nature*. 2014; 510(7506): 560–4.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 38. Bian X, Huang F, Stewart FA, *et al.*: Direct cloning, genetic engineering, and heterologous expression of the syringolins biosynthetic gene cluster in *E. coli* through Red/ET recombinering. *Chembiochem*. 2012; 13(13): 1946–52.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 39. Luo Y, Li BZ, Liu D, *et al.*: Engineered biosynthesis of natural products in heterologous hosts. *Chem Soc Rev*. 2015; 44(15): 5265–90.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 40. **F** Feng Z, Kim JH, Brady SF: Fluostatin produced by the heterologous expression of a TAR reassembled environmental DNA derived type II PKS gene cluster. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2010; 132(34): 11902–3.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#) | [F1000 Recommendation](#)
 41. Ross AC, Gulland LE, Dorrestein PC, *et al.*: Targeted capture and heterologous expression of the *Pseudoalteromonas* alterochromide gene cluster in *Escherichia coli* represents a promising natural product exploratory platform. *ACS Synth Biol*. 2015; 4(4): 414–20.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 42. Li Y, Li Z, Yamanaka K, *et al.*: Directed natural product biosynthesis gene cluster capture and expression in the model bacterium *Bacillus subtilis*. *Sci Rep*. 2015; 5: 9383.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 43. Yamanaka K, Reynolds KA, Kersten RD, *et al.*: Direct cloning and refactoring of a silent lipopeptide biosynthetic gene cluster yields the antibiotic taromycin A. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2014; 111(5): 1957–62.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 44. Zhang H, Boghigian BA, Armando J, *et al.*: Methods and options for the heterologous production of complex natural products. *Nat Prod Rep*. 2011; 28(1): 125–51.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 45. Shao Z, Rao G, Li C, *et al.*: Refactoring the silent spectinabilin gene cluster using a plug-and-play scaffold. *ACS Synth Biol*. 2013; 2(11): 662–9.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 46. Osswald C, Zipf G, Schmidt G, *et al.*: Modular construction of a functional artificial epothilone polyketide pathway. *ACS Synth Biol*. 2014; 3(10): 759–72.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#)
 47. Cobb RE, Wang Y, Zhao H: High-efficiency multiplex genome editing of *Streptomyces* species using an engineered CRISPR/Cas system. *ACS Synth Biol*. 2015; 4(6): 723–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)
 48. Montiel D, Kang HS, Chang FY, *et al.*: Yeast homologous recombination-based promoter engineering for the activation of silent natural product biosynthetic gene clusters. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2015; 112(29): 8953–8.
[PubMed Abstract](#) | [Publisher Full Text](#) | [Free Full Text](#)

Open Peer Review

Current Referee Status:



Editorial Note on the Review Process

F1000 Faculty Reviews are commissioned from members of the prestigious F1000 Faculty and are edited as a service to readers. In order to make these reviews as comprehensive and accessible as possible, the referees provide input before publication and only the final, revised version is published. The referees who approved the final version are listed with their names and affiliations but without their reports on earlier versions (any comments will already have been addressed in the published version).

The referees who approved this article are:

Version 1

- Gong-Li Tang**, State Key Laboratory of Bio-organic and Natural Products Chemistry, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai, China
Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.
- Ikuro Abe**, Graduate School of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan
Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.
- Janet Smith**, Department of Biological Chemistry and Life Sciences Institute, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA
Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.
- Gavin Williams**, Department of Chemistry, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC, USA
Competing Interests: No competing interests were disclosed.