DATABASE ANALYSIS

e-ISSN 1643-3750 © Med Sci Monit, 2020; 26: e924054 DOI: 10.12659/MSM.924054

ei,

Received: 2020.03.0 Accepted: 2020.05.0 Available online: 2020.06.24 Published: 2020.08.20	5 4		ing of Prognosis-Related Glioma Microenvironment
Authors' Contribution: Study Design A Data Collection B Statistical Analysis C Data Interpretation D Manuscript Preparation E Literature Search F Funds Collection G	ABCDE ABCDE BCD BCD BCD BCD BCD ABCDE ABCDE	Yong Li* Gang Deng* Yangzhi Qi Huikai Zhang Lun Gao Hongxiang Jiang Zhang Ye Baohui Liu Qianxue Chen	Department of Neurosurgery, Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University, Wuhan, Hubei, P.R. China
Corresponding Authors: Source of support:		* Yong Li and Gang Deng contributed equally Qianxue Chen, e-mail: chenqx666@whu.edu.cn, Baohui Liu, e-mail: bliu666@whu.edu.cn The present study was supported by grants from the National Science Foundation of China (nos. 81502175 and 81572489)	
Background: Material/Methods:		Gliomas are the most common primary tumors of the brain and spinal cord. The tumor microenvironment (TME) is the cellular environment in which tumors exist. This study aimed to identify the role of the TME and the effects of genes involved in the TME of malignant glioma. The ESTIMATE algorithms in the R package were used to calculate the immune and stromal scores of samples in the TCGA and GSE4290 datasets. The associations of stromal and immune scores with clinicopathological characteristics and overall survival of malignant glioma patients were assessed by analysis of variance and Kaplan-Meier analysis. Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) were obtained through the median immune and stromal score using the R package "limma". Functional enrichment analysis and the PPI network MCODE were	
Results:		used to analyze DEGs. Increased immune and stromal scores were closely related with advanced glioma grade and poor prognosis (all P<0.01). In total, 558 DEGs were found and most were related to tumor prognosis. Functional enrichment anal- ysis showed that DEGs were associated with cell-matrix regulation and immune response. Four hub modules related to tumor angiogenesis, collagen formation, and immune response were found and analyzed. Previously overlooked microenvironment-related genes such as <i>LAMB1, FN1, ACTN1, TRIM, SERPINH1, CYBA, LAIR</i> 1, and <i>LILRB2</i> showed prognostic values in malignant glioma patients.	
Conclusions:		The glioma stromal/immune scores are closely related to glioma grade, histology, and survival time. Some glio- ma microenvironment-related genes including <i>LAMB1</i> , <i>FN1</i> , <i>ACTN1</i> , <i>TRIM6</i> , <i>SERPINH1</i> , <i>CYBA</i> , <i>LAIR1</i> , and <i>LILRB2</i> show prognostic values in malignant gliomas and serve as potential biomarkers.	
MeSH Keywords:		Glioma • Stromal Cells • Tumor Microenvironment	
Full-text PDF:		https://www.medscimonit.com/abstract/index/idArt/924054	
		🖻 3294 🏛 💷 🂵 9	■■ 50



MEDICAL SCIENCE

MONITOR

e924054-1

Background

Gliomas which originate from astrocyte or oligodendrocyte precursor cells are the most common primary tumors in the brain and spinal cord [1]. Gliomas comprise about 30% of all brain tumors and 80% of malignant brain tumors [2]. Gliomas are divided into 4 grades (World Health Organization [WHO] grades I to IV) according to the biological behavior and malignancy of the tumor [3]. WHO grade II-IV gliomas, which are referred to as malignant gliomas, show an invasive growth mode and are difficult to cure by surgical treatment, radiotherapy, chemotherapy, or other means [4]. Although great strides have been made in the current comprehensive treatment of malignant gliomas, the overall prognosis of patients with malignant glioma, especially grade IV glioblastoma multifore (GBM), has not significantly improved. The overall survival of patients with GBM is only about 14.2 months [5]. At present, surgery cannot achieve total tumor resection, and the combination of surgery with postoperative radiotherapy and chemotherapy is still the preferred option [6]. Therefore, there is an urgent need to explore and find new treatment methods for malignant gliomas to improve the prognosis and quality of life of patients [7].

In recent years, the relationship between a tumor and its surrounding tissue has been widely discussed. Tumor surrounding tissue which is called the "tumor microenvironment" (TME) is made up of extracellular components including cytokines, growth factors, hormones, and extracellular matrix, and different types of cells including endothelial cells, fibroblasts, and immune cells [8]. The extracellular matrix exists in all tissues and constitutes the non-cellular component of the microenvironment and functions as a physical scaffold and a source of biochemical signals. It maintains a close relationship with intracellular biochemical and biomechanical processes and strongly influences the biochemistry and biomechanics processes of the tissue. Cooperation between cellular and extracellular matrix factors regulates cellular fate, tissue morphology, and organogenesis [9]. The extracellular matrix constitutes about 20% of brain volume, varying in composition among different brain regions [10]. Like that of most solid tumors, the glioma TME contains other cell types in addition to neoplastic tumor cells, in particular vascular cells and immune cells including CD4+ and CD8+ T lymphocytes, T regulatory lymphocytes, glioma associated microglia/macrophages, dendritic cells, myeloid-derived suppressor cells, and natural killer cells [11]. These cells, which are attracted by soluble factors secreted by neurocytes, normally regulate tissue homeostasis, immune surveillance, and wound healing. But immune cells in glioma patients are often disabled, failing to activate effector T cells and durable anti-tumor immune responses [12]. In view of the defect of immune response in malignant gliomas, novel therapies for immune responses are at present being developed,

and the glioma TME has received increasing attention as a potential therapeutic target [13–15].

Previously, pathologists estimated the composition of tumors using visual assessments, while with the development of bioinformation, a variety of algorithms have been developed to investigate the interactions between tumor cells and the TME in tumor tissues. Yoshihara et al. developed an ESTIMATE algorithm that can calculate the molecular markers of immune cells and stromal cells in tumor tissues to get the immune and stromal ESTIMATE score of the tumor to predict the TME [16]. A high immune score indicates there are more immune cell components, while a high stromal score indicates there are more stromal components. The ESTIMATE score is the sum of the immune and stromal score. The higher the ESTIMATE score, the more immune and stromal components the tumor has, and the lower the purity of tumor. Based on ESTIMATE algorithms, researchers have explored prognostic assessment and genetic changes in various tumors [17-19], and it has been experimentally confirmed that the algorithms are consistent with the actual situation of the tissue surrounding the tumor. This allows us to calculate the immune and stromal scores to predict and assess the TME in gliomas.

In this study, we performed datamining in the Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA), Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO), and Chinese Glioma Genome Atlas (CGGA) to download and investigate the expression profile and clinical information of malignant gliomas (low grade gliomas and GBM) to determine the prognosis-related genes in malignant glioma TME.

Material and Methods

Data processing

The gene expression profiles of GSE4290 based on the GPL570 platform ([HG-U133_Plus_2] Affymetrix Human Genome U133 Plus 2.0 Array) were downloaded from the GEO database (https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/) [20]. The dataset included 180 samples, 23 controls, and 157 grade II-IV gliomas. A total of 153 glioma samples with histological data were selected to calculate immune and stromal ESTIMATE scores using the R package "estimate". The TCGA Level 3 data of low grade glioma and GBM were downloaded from the TCGA Data Coordinating Center (https://portal.gdc.cancer.gov/) [21]. The R package "limma" was used to normalize the raw data, and the package "estimate" was used to calculate the immune, stromal, and ESTIMATE scores. For validation, 325 malignant glioma samples including RNA sequencing and clinical data was downloaded from the CGGA part C data (http://www.cgga. org.cn/) [22,23].



Figure 1. Association between immune, stromal, and Estimate score and survival in TCGA. (A) Survival curves indicate that elevated immune score significantly correlated with poor overall survival (P<0.001). (B) Elevated stromal score significantly associated with poor overall survival (P<0.001). (C) Elevated ESTIMATE score significantly associated with poor overall survival (P<0.001).

Identification of differentially expressed genes

The differentially expressed genes (DEGs) of GSE4290 and TCGA malignant glioma data were calculated using the R package "limma" by the median immune and stromal score separately. The cut-off criterion was set as |log2FC| >1.0 and FDR <0.05 to determine the DEGs in the limma package. We used the intersection of the highly expressed immune and stromal genes in GSE4290 and TCGA to get the up-regulated genes in malignant glioma. Then same was done in the low expression group.

Kaplan-Meier analysis and functional enrichment of DEGs

Kaplan-Meier (K-M) curves were generated to illustrate the relationship between patients' overall survival and gene expression levels of the DEGs by the R package "survival" in the TCGA and CGGA datasets. We performed Gene Ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway analysis of the DEGs with the R package "enrichplot". We used FDR <0.05 as the cut-off criteria to screen out the enriched GO terms and KEGG pathways.

Protein-protein network construction

We then used the Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes (STRING; *http://string-db.org*) (version 10.0) online database to predict the protein-protein interaction (PPI) network of DEGs and analyze the degree of interactions between proteins with a combined score >0.9 [24]. The network was then visualized by using Cytoscape software (version 3.7.1).

Identification of hub modules

The hub modules were identified by Molecular Complex Detection (MCODE) (version1.5.1), a plug-in of Cytoscape. MCODE could identify the most significant module in the PPI networks by clustering the given network based on topology to find densely connected regions [25]. We used degree cut-off=2, k-score=2, node score cutoff=0.2, and max depth=100

as the criteria to seek the hub modules. Then GO analysis was performed in every module.

Statistical analyses

All statistical analyses were performed in R version 3.3.6 (*http// www.r-project.org/*). The associations of stromal and immune scores with clinicopathological characteristics and overall survival of malignant glioma patients were assessed by analysis of variance and K-M analysis. Results were considered statistically significant for a 2-tailed P value <0.05.

Results

Increased immune and stromal scores closely correlated with higher glioma grade and poor prognosis

In the study, we obtained the expression profile of 665 malignant gliomas in TCGA which had complete clinical data. We first converted the probe ID to gene name, and then averaged the repeated gene expression. Then, we processed the ESTIMATE algorithm and got the stromal score, immune score, and ESTIMATE score (Supplementary Table 1). K-M analysis was done to reveal the correlation between the scores and survival by dividing 665 patients into high and low score groups with the median. Survival curves indicated that high immune score significantly correlated with poor overall survival (P<0.001; Figure 1A). The same trend occurred in the high stromal (P<0.001) and ESTIMATE (P<0.001) score groups (Figure 1B, 1C).

Then, we evaluated the correlation between the scores and clinicopathological indicators. Immune and stromal scores gradually increased with the increase of glioma grade (both P<0.001), and grade IV gliomas had the highest score and poorest overall survival (Figure 2A, 2B). Based on the histology, there were significant differences among different histologic gliomas (both P<0.001), among which GBM had the highest score (Figure 2C, 2D). Patients with IDH/1-mutated gliomas



Figure 2. Association between immune and stromal scores and clinicopathological characteristics. (A, C) With the increase of glioma grade, the immune and stroma score increased in TCGA. (B, D) Glioblastoma has the highest immune and stroma score in TCGA. (E, G) With the increase of glioma grade, the immune and stroma scores increased in GSE4290. (F, H) Glioblastoma has the highest immune and stroma scores in GSE4290. (I, J) IDH/1mutant patients have higher stromal scores but not higher immune scores.

had a lower stromal score, while immune score had no significant relationship with IDH/1 status (Figure 2I, 2J).

Using the same algorithm, we scored 153 grade II–IV gliomas in GSE4290 (Supplementary Table 2). Due to the lack of survival data, we used it only to verify the relationship between scores and clinicopathological indicators. The results in GSE4290 were very similar to those in TCGA whereby immune and stromal scores increased with the advanced glioma grade, and GBM had the highest score of all histological types (all P<0.001; Figure 2E–2H).

Identification of DEGs with immune and stromal scores in malignant glioma

To explore DEG profiles, we compared 665 cases obtained in the TCGA and 153 cases in the GSE4290 dataset by immune and stromal score. In the TCGA dataset, based on the cutoff criteria (P<0.05 and |log2FC| >1.0), a total of 4033 DEGs, including 2829 up-regulated and 1204 down-regulated genes, were identified between high and low immune scores; 4629 DEGs, including 3392 up-regulated and 1237 down-regulated genes, were identified between high and low stromal scores. In GSE4290, 491 up-regulated and 375 down-regulated genes were identified by immune scores, and 593 up-regulated and 357 down-regulated genes were identified by stromal scores.



Figure 3. Venn algorithm shows differentially expressed genes by immune and stromal scores. (A) A total of 362 DEGs were commonly up-regulated by the immune and stroma scores in TCGA and GSE4290. (B) A total of 196 genes were commonly down-regulated by the immune and stroma scores in TCGA and GSE4290.



Figure 4. Functional enrichment of DEGs. (A) Gene ontology analysis. (B) KEGG pathway analysis.

Using the Venn diagram to take the intersection of the significantly high expressed immune and stromal genes in GSE4290 and TCGA, we obtained 362 up-regulated and 196 down-regulated genes both in immune and stromal score in the TCGA and GSE4290 datasets (Figure 3 and Supplementary Table 3). These 558 genes were considered DEGs and extracted for further analysis.

Functional enrichment analysis of DEGs

To explore the function of DEGs, we performed GO enrichment analysis. The DEGs were categorized into 3 functional groups in GO terms: biological process, cellular component, and molecular function (Figure 4A and Supplementary Table 4). DEGs in the biological process group were enriched mainly in neutrophil activation (GO: 0042119, P=4.19E-26), neutrophil degranulation (GO: 0043312, P=1.06E-23), neutrophil activation involved in



Figure 5. Overall survival of 9 selected DEGs in TCGA based on Kaplan-Meier plotter. The patients were stratified into a high-level group and a low-level group according to median expression. (A) ACTN1. (B) CYBA. (C) FN1. (D) LAIR1. (E) LAMB1. (F) LILRB2. (G) SERPINH1. (H) SP100. (I) TRIM6.

immune response (GO: 0002283, P=1.48E-23), neutrophil mediated immunity (GO: 0002446, P=4.88601825321153E-23), and extracellular matrix organization (GO: 0030198, P=2.214E-18). The genes in the cellular component group were significantly enriched in extracellular matrix (GO: 0031012, P=8.18E-32), collagen-containing extracellular matrix (GO: 0062023, P=8.92E-30), cytoplasmic vesicle lumen (GO: 0060205, P=3.54E-16), vesicle lumen (GO: 0031983, P=3.94E-16), and collagen trimer (GO: 0005581, P=1.55E-15). The molecular function group were enriched in extracellular matrix structural constituent (GO: 0005201, P=1.23E-16), IgG binding (GO: 0019864, P=7.55E-11), extracellular matrix structural constituent conferring tensile strength (GO: GO: 0030020, P=5.00E-09), tolllike receptor binding (GO: 0035325, P=5.12E-09), and immunoglobulin binding (GO: 0019865, P=8.05E-09). KEGG pathway analysis showed that the top enriched terms were phagosome (hsa04145, P=2.54E-18), staphylococcus aureus infection (hsa05150, P=4.11E-16), leishmaniasis (hsa05140, P=2.81E-14),

complement and coagulation cascades (hsa04610, 6.57E-14), and tuberculosis (hsa05152, P=6.09E-12) based on P value (Figure 4B and Supplementary Table 5). The results suggest that these genes were closely related to the cell matrix regulation and immune response.

Survival analysis of DEGs in TCGA and validation dataset

K-M analysis was used to assess the potential roles of DEGs in overall survival in the TCGA dataset malignant glioma patients (Supplementary Table 6). A total of 543 DEGs were shown to significantly predict overall survival in log-rank tests among the 558 DEGs (P<0.05, selected genes are shown in Figure 5). More convincingly, when we verified the result in the CGGA dataset, 541 of the 558 genes were found in CGGA, 539 of which were confirmed to be significantly associated with prognosis prediction (P<0.05, Supplementary Table 7, and selected genes are shown in Figure 6). The above results show that



Figure 6. Overall survival of 9 selected DEGs in CGGA based on Kaplan-Meier plotter. The patients were stratified into a high-level group and a low-level group according to median expression. (A) ACTN1. (B) CYBA. (C) FN1. (D) LAIR1. (E) LAMB1. (F) LILRB2. (G) SERPINH1. (H) SP100. (I) TRIM6.

most of the DEGs we obtained are related to tumor prognosis, which warrants further study.

PPI network construction and identification of hub modules

To better understand the interactions among the DEGs, a PPI network was obtained from the STRING database (Supplementary Figure 1). This network was made up of 278 nodes and 1017 edges. A total of 16 modules were screened out by MCODE. The 4 most significant modules were selected for further analysis (Figure 7 and Supplementary Table 8). The GO analysis results of each module are shown in Figure 8.

Discussion

TME was first proposed by Lord in 1979, and a relatively complete theoretical system has since been established [26]. Current researches focus primarily on microenvironment-mediated therapeutic resistance. Soluble factor, cell adhesion, and immune response-mediated drug resistance were observed in tumor treatment failure [27]. Therefore, therapies targeting stroma-derived paracrine factors, tumor cell–extracellular matrix interactions, and immune cell therapy have been developed and clinically tested.

In recent years, due to slow progress in the traditional treatment of gliomas, researchers turned their attention to molecular therapy and immunotherapy. However, due to the obstruction of the blood-brain barrier and the resistance to the TME of the central system, immunotherapy has not made significant progress [28]. In recognition of the important role of TME



Figure 7. (A–D) Top 4 PPI network modules. Red represents the up-regulation of gene expression, while blue represents the downregulation. Node size indicates the number of interacting proteins with the other DEGs in the original PPI network, and the degree of thickness reflects the combined_score in the STRING dataset.

in treatment resistance, it is believed that blocking TME pathways would prevent or delay the acquisition of tumor progress. Therefore, increasing attention has been given to the glioma microenvironment as a potential therapeutic target.

The development of bioinformatics has greatly changed biomedicine research through the development of microarray sequencing and the establishment of the open database. Researchers increasingly explore new targets for malignant gliomas and use statistical algorithms for external validation [29].

Currently, by extracting the expression profile from TCGA and GSE4290, we calculated stromal, immune, and ESTIMATE scores using the ESTIMATE algorithm. K-M analysis indicated that high immune and stromal scores significantly correlated with poor overall survival. Immune and stromal scores gradually increased with an increase in WHO grade, and grade IV GBM had the highest score and poorest overall survival. Then, we obtained 558 DEGs by high and low immune and stromal scores in TCGA and GSE4290 and most of the DEGs were related to tumor prognosis in TCGA and CGGA. GO and KEGG analysis

showed that DEGs were closely related to cell matrix regulation and immune response. A total of 16 significant modules were identified using MCODE, which was based on topology to find densely connected regions in Cytoscape. These modules are composed of genes with significant functions, and each module represents 1 or more physiological processes correlated with the glioma TME. From these modules, we explored the mode of TME to identify the most critical gene components. The 4 most significant modules are highlighted below.

In module 1, it can be seen that *C3* and *VEGFA* are in the center of the module. *VEGFA* is a member of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), which is often considered to be the prototypical angiogenic molecule in malignancy. Although tumor cells are major sources of *VEGF*, stromal cells within the TME are additional contributors [30]. Most of the left side of the module is composed of C-C motif chemokine-related genes. Through GO analysis, we found that these genes are located primarily in the external side of the plasma membrane, participating in chemokine activity and G-protein-combined receptor binding. Their functions are mainly leukocyte chemotaxis

e924054-8

Indexed in: [Current Contents/Clinical Medicine] [SCI Expanded] [ISI Alerting System] [ISI Journals Master List] [Index Medicus/MEDLINE] [EMBASE/Excerpta Medica] [Chemical Abstracts/CAS]



Figure 8. GO analysis of genes in the 4 significant modules. (A) Module 1. (B) Module 2. (C) Module 3. (D) Module 4.

and regulation. In human colon cancer, CXCR4 is overexpressed in the chemoresistant tumor cells. Stromal cells from lymph nodes promote resistance to 5-fluorouracil and oxaliplatin through a SDF1/CXCR4 dependent mechanism [31]. Many other genes are reported to be related to gliomas, for example FPR1, SSTR1, and ANXA1 [32-34]. The gene right sides are composed of vesicle structures, such as platelet alpha granule, endoplasmic reticulum, and secret granule, which participate in the functions of platelet expansion and post translational protein modification. We are particularly interested in LAMB1, FN1, and ACTN1, which have been reported to play important roles in other tumors, but whose roles are still unclear in gliomas [35-37]. LAMB1, one of extracellular matrix glycoproteins, is the major non-collagenous constituent of basement membranes and has been implicated in a wide variety of biological processes including cell adhesion, differentiation, migration, signaling, neurite outgrowth, and metastasis. Survival analysis in TCGA and CGGA showed that high expression of LAMB1 had significantly lower survival time in malignant gliomas, which

may be related to its role in promoting tumor cell migration. *FN1* encodes fibronectin, a glycoprotein present in a soluble dimeric form in plasma, and in a dimeric or multimeric form at the cell surface and extracellular matrix. Relevant studies have shown that its function may be to gather around neovascularization, surround cancer cells, support tumor growth, and promote invasion [38,39]. *ACTN1* belong to the spectrin gene superfamily, which represents a diverse group of cytoskeletal proteins [40]. In general, module 1 is mainly related to tumor angiogenesis.

Module 2 includes primarily the 2 Fc fragment of IgG receptor genes, 3 guanylate-binding proteins, and some HLA-related genes. They are mainly involved in the formation of clathrincoated endocytic vesicles and the MHC protein complex in cells. They have the function of binding amine, peptide, and antigen. They participate in response to interference gamma and antigen processing and presentation in the body, which are important parts of the immune response process. Among the remaining genes, CD44 is thought to facilitate glioma interaction with the TME to promote malignancy; clinical trials of CD44 targeted therapy in CD44 positive solid tumors are under way [41]. GILT is a lysosomal thiol reductase. Elevated GILT is positively associated with glioma progression, which is consistent with our analysis [42]. However, it is strange that previous studies reported that SP100 expression can reduce malignancy of brain tumors. But in this analysis, we found that SP100 high expression group has a significantly low survival rate in the TCGA and CGGA cohort [43]. Further study is needed to explore the specific role of SP100 in glioma. TRIM6 belongs to a large family of E3-Ub ligases, which are characterized by the presence of RING, B-box, and coiled-coil domains and have been implicated in innate immunity [44]. No studies to date have exposed its role in gliomas; however our study shows that this molecule may also play an important role in the glioma TME.

Module 3 is primarily composed of collagen genes, which make up the extracellular matrix structure and participate in tissue regulation and collagen fabric organization. In solid tumors, collagen provides mechanical strength and promotes the binding properties of cytokines. A well-organized and interconnected collagen network reduces the amount of drug penetration. In addition, drugs are isolated by binding with extracellular matrix components, thus inhibiting drug penetration into deeper tumor areas [45]. *SERPINH1*, also named *HSP47*, is a collagenspecific molecular chaperone that localizes in the endoplasmic reticulum. *SERPINH1* is indispensable for molecular maturation of collagen and is reported to enhance glioma tumor growth and invasion [46]. The genes in module 4 form a granular membrane and participate in neutrophil degradation, activation, and immune response. Among them, *PLAU, CLEC5A, CD36*, and *CD93* have been reported to affect the generation and development of gliomas [47–49]. *VAMP8* is thought to promote the proliferation of glioma cells and lead to temozolomide resistance in human glioma cells [50]. Other molecules, such as *BST1, CYBA, LAIR1,* and *LILRB2*, play an important role in the process of TME, but have not been reported in malignant glioma.

Conclusions

In conclusion, through the ESTIMATE algorithm, differentially expressed analysis, and PPI network analysis, we obtained 4 hub modules related to the glioma TME. By analyzing the modules in detail, we identified previously neglected genes in the glioma microenvironment, namely *LAMB1*, *FN1*, *ACTN1*, *TRIM*, *SERPINH1*, *CYBA*, *LAIR1*, and *LILRB2*. Further study on these genes and their roles in gliomas is needed.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used during the present study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the TCGA and CGGA project organizers as well as all study participants for making the data and results available.

Conflict of interest

None.

Supplementary Data

Supplementary Table 1. immune/stromal/ESTIMATE score in TCGA.

Supplementary Table 2. immune/stromal/ESTIMATE score in GSE4290.

Supplementary Table 3. up-regulated and down-regulated genes both in immune/stromal score in TCGA and GSE4290 dataset.

Supplementary Table 4. GO analysis of DEGs.

Supplementary Table 5. KEGG analysis of DEGs.

Supplementary Table 6. K-M analysis of DEGs in TCGA.

Supplementary Table 7. K-M analysis of DEGs in CGGA.

Supplementary Table 8. Protein-protein network of commonly up-regulated and down-regulated DEGs in both stromal and immune score groups, generated with the STRING database.

Supplementary Tables available from the corresponding author on request.

This work is licensed under Creative Common Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0)

e924054-10

Indexed in: [Current Contents/Clinical Medicine] [SCI Expanded] [ISI Alerting System] [ISI Journals Master List] [Index Medicus/MEDLINE] [EMBASE/Excerpta Medica] [Chemical Abstracts/CAS]



Supplementary Figure 1. Protein-protein network of commonly up-regulated and down-regulated DEGs in both stromal and immune score groups, generated with the STRING database.

References:

- 1. Modrek AS, Bayin NS, Placantonakis DG: Brain stem cells as the cell of origin in glioma. World J Stem Cells, 2014; 6: 43–52
- 2. Song X, Zhang N, Ping H et al: Circular RNA profile in gliomas revealed by identification tool UROBORUS, Nucleic Acids Res, 2016; 44(9): e87
- Louis DN, Perry A, Reifenberger G et al: The 2016 World Health Organization Classification of Tumors of the Central Nervous System: A summary. Acta Neuropathol, 2016; 131(6): 803–20
- Stupp R, Mason WP, van den Bent MJ et al: Radiotherapy plus concomitant and adjuvant temozolomide for glioblastoma. N Engl J Med, 2005; 352: 987–96
- 5. Jiang T, Mao Y, Ma W et al: CGCG clinical practice guidelines for the management of adult diffuse gliomas. Cancer Lett 2016;375: 263–73
- Malouff TD, Peterson JL, Mahajan A, Trifiletti DM: Carbon ion radiotherapy in the treatment of gliomas: A review. J Neurooncol, 2019; 145(2): 191–99

- 7. Cantrell JN, Waddle MR, Rotman M et al: Progress toward long-term survivors of glioblastoma. Mayo Clin Proc, 2019; 94(7): 1278–86
- 8. Hui L, Chen Y: Tumor microenvironment: Sanctuary of the devil. Cancer Lett, 2015; 368(1): 7–13
- 9. Perrin SL, Samuel MS, Koszyca B et al: Glioblastoma heterogeneity and the tumour microenvironment: Implications for preclinical research and development of new treatments. Biochem Soc Trans, 2019; 47(2): 625–38
- de Gooijer MC, Guillén Navarro M, Bernards R et al: An experimenter's guide to glioblastoma invasion pathways. Trends Mol Med, 2018; 24: 763–80
- 11. Piperi C, Papavassiliou KA, Papavassiliou AG: Pivotal role of STAT3 in shaping glioblastoma immune microenvironment. Cells, 2019; 8(11): 1398
- Matias D, Balça-Silva J, da Graça GC et al: Microglia/astrocytes-glioblastoma crosstalk: Crucial molecular mechanisms and microenvironmental factors. Front Cell Neurosci, 2018; 12: 235

e924054-11

- 13. Johung T, Monje M: Neuronal activity in the glioma microenvironment. Curr Opin Neurobiol, 2017; 47: 156–61
- Manini I, Caponnetto F, Bartolini A et al: Role of microenvironment in glioma invasion: What we learned from *in vitro* models. Int J Mol Sci, 2018; 19(1): pii: E147
- 15. Gieryng A, Pszczolkowska D, Walentynowicz KA et al: Immune microenvironment of gliomas. Lab Invest, 2017; 97(5): 498–518
- Yoshihara K, Shahmoradgoli M, Martínez E et al: Inferring tumour purity and stromal and immune cell admixture from expression data. Nat Commun, 2013; 4: 2612
- 17. Bai F, Jin Y, Zhang P et al: Bioinformatic profiling of prognosis-related genes in the breast cancer immune microenvironment. Aging, 2019; 11(21): 9328–47
- Xu WH, Xu Y, Wang J et al: Prognostic value and immune infiltration of novel signatures in clear cell renal cell carcinoma microenvironment. Aging, 2019; 11(17): 6999–7020
- Pan XB, Lu Y, Huang JL et al: Prognostic genes in the tumor microenvironment in cervical squamous cell carcinoma. Aging, 2019; 11(22): 10154–66
- Sun L, Hui AM, Su Q et al: Neuronal and glioma-derived stem cell factor induces angiogenesis within the brain. Cancer Cell, 2006; 9(4): 287–300
- Ceccarelli M, Barthel FP, Malta TM et al: Molecular profiling reveals biologically discrete subsets and pathways of progression in diffuse glioma. Cell, 2016; 164(3): 550–63
- 22. Bao Z, Chen H, Yang M et al: RNA-seq of 272 gliomas revealed a novel, recurrent PTPRZ1-MET fusion transcript in secondary glioblastomas. Genome Res, 2014; 24: 1765–73
- 23. Hao Z, Meng F, Wang W et al: Comprehensive RNA-seq transcriptomic profiling in the malignant progression of gliomas. Sci Data, 2017; 4: 170024
- 24. Franceschini A, Szklarczyk D, Frankild S et al: STRING v9.1: Protein–protein interaction networks, with increased coverage and integration. Nucleic Acids Res, 2013; 41: D808–15
- 25. Bandettini WP, Kellman P, Mancini C et al: Multi Contrast Delayed Enhancement (MCODE) improves detection of subendocardial myocardial infarction by late gadolinium enhancement cardiovascular magnetic resonance: A clinical validation study. J Cardiovasc Magn Reson, 2012; 14: 83
- 26. Friedl P, Alexander S: Cancer invasion and the microenvironment: Plasticity and reciprocity. Cell, 2011; 147(5): 992–1009
- 27. Wu T, Dai Y: Tumor microenvironment and therapeutic response. Cancer Lett, 2017; 387: 61–68
- 28. Lim M, Xia Y, Bettegowda C, Weller M: Current state of immunotherapy for glioblastoma. Nat Rev Clin Oncol, 2018; 15(7): 422–42
- 29. Jia D, Li S, Li D et al: Mining TCGA database for genes of prognostic value in glioblastoma microenvironment. Aging, 2018; 10(4): 592–605
- 30. Boer JC, van Marion DM, Joseph JV et al: Microenvironment involved in FPR1 expression by human glioblastomas. J Neurooncol, 2015; 123(1): 53–63
- Margolin DA, Silinsky J, Grimes C et al: Lymph node stromal cells enhance drug-resistant colon cancer cell tumor formation through SDF-1alpha/CXCR4 paracrine signaling. Neoplasia, 2011; 13: 874–86
- 32. Barbieri F, Pattarozzi A, Gatti M et al: Differential efficacy of SSTR1, -2, and -5 agonists in the inhibition of C6 glioma growth in nude mice. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab, 2009; 297(5): E1078–88

- Cheng SX, Tu Y, Zhang S: FoxM1 promotes glioma cells progression by upregulating Anxa1 expression. PLoS One, 2013; 8(8): e72376
- 34. Lin Q, Lim HS, Lin HL et al: Analysis of colorectal cancer glyco-secretome identifies laminin β-1 (LAMB1) as a potential serological biomarker for colorectal cancer. Proteomics, 2015; 15(22): 3905–20
- 35. Wang S, Gao B, Yang H et al: MicroRNA-432 is downregulated in cervical cancer and directly targets FN1 to inhibit cell proliferation and invasion. Oncol Lett, 2019; 18(2): 1475–82
- Yang X, Pang Y, Zhang J et al: high expression levels of actn1 and actn3 indicate unfavorable prognosis in acute myeloid leukemia. J Cancer, 2019; 10(18): 4286–92
- Serres E, Debarbieux F, Stanchi F et al: Fibronectin expression in glioblastomas promotes cell cohesion, collective invasion of basement membrane *in vitro* and orthotopic tumor growth in mice. Oncogene, 2014; 33(26): 3451–62
- Ohnishi T, Hiraga S, Izumoto S et al: Role of fibronectin-stimulated tumor cell migration in glioma invasion *in vivo*: Clinical significance of fibronectin and fibronectin receptor expressed in human glioma tissues. Clin Exp Metastasis, 1998; 16(8): 729–41
- Yamaguchi H, Ito Y, Miura N et al: Actinin-1 and actinin-4 play essential but distinct roles in invadopodia formation by carcinoma cells. Eur J Cell Biol, 2017; 96(7): 685–94
- Mooney KL, Choy W, Sidhu S et al: The role of CD44 in glioblastoma multiforme. J Clin Neurosci, 2016; 34: 1–5
- Chen S, Wang Q, Shao X et al: Lentivirus mediated γ-interferon-inducible lysosomal thiol reductase (GILT) knockdown suppresses human glioma U373MG cell proliferation. Biochem Biophys Res Commun, 2019; 509(1): 182–87
- 42. Held-Feindt J, Hattermann K, Knerlich-Lukoschus F et al: SP100 reduces malignancy of human glioma cells. Int J Oncol, 2011; 38(4): 1023–30
- Bharaj P, Atkins C, Luthra P et al: The host E3-ubiquitin ligase TRIM6 ubiquitinates the Ebola virus VP35 protein and promotes virus replication. J Virol, 2017; 91(18): pii: e00833-17
- Zhao D, Jiang X, Yao C et al: Heat shock protein 47 regulated by miR-29a to enhance glioma tumor growth and invasion. J Neurooncol, 2014; 118(1): 39–47
- Berk DA, Yuan F, Leunig M, Jain RK: Direct *in vivo* measurement of targeted binding in a human tumor xenograft. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA, 1997; 94(5): 1785–90
- Rossmeisl JH, Hall-Manning K, Robertson JL et al: Expression and activity of the urokinase plasminogen activator system in canine primary brain tumors. Onco Targets Ther, 2017; 10: 2077–85
- Fan HW, Ni Q, Fan YN et al: C-type lectin domain family 5, member A (CLEC5A, MDL-1) promotes brain glioblastoma tumorigenesis by regulating PI3K/Akt signalling. Cell Prolif, 2019; 52(3): e12584
- Hale JS, Otvos B, Sinyuk M et al: Cancer stem cell-specific scavenger receptor CD36 drives glioblastoma progression. Stem Cells, 2014; 32(7): 1746–58
- 49. Langenkamp E, Zhang L, Lugano R et al: Elevated expression of the C-type lectin CD93 in the glioblastoma vasculature regulates cytoskeletal rearrangements that enhance vessel function and reduce host survival. Cancer Res, 2015; 75(21): 4504–16
- Chen Y, Meng D, Wang H et al: VAMP8 facilitates cellular proliferation and temozolomide resistance in human glioma cells. Neuro Oncol, 2015; 17(3): 407–18