COMMENTARY



## An overestimation of the prevalence of ASD among psychiatric patients

Susanne Bejerot<sup>1</sup> · Lena Nylander<sup>2</sup>

Accepted: 4 April 2022 / Published online: 28 April 2022 © The Author(s) 2022

To our surprise a study by Nyrenius et al. (2022) suggests that ASD is prevalent among psychiatric non-psychotic outpatients based on a two-hour interview, including the M.I.N.I., with 48 individuals of whom 26 were deemed to have ASD. To begin with, the study suffers from a very low participation rate at all sampling phases, from both study subjects and their parents.

Nyrenius et al. based the ASD diagnoses on a 14 item self-rated screening instrument (RAADS-14 Screen) (Eriksson et al., 2013), the short 20 item Asperger Syndrome (and high-functioning autism) Diagnostic Interview (ASDI) performed with the patient (Gillberg et al., 2001), and a parent questionnaire on neurodevelopmental symptoms (5–15) (Kadesjö et al., 2004). There are no reliability (test–retest) data for the screening tool and the other instruments. The specificity of the RAADS, as quoted out of a different study, is low (67%) and suggests that false positives are likely a pervasive issue in that study.

The ASDI is intended for use with informants who know the individual very well–and who knew them well when they were children—this procedure was not applied in the Nyrenius study. Neither is the 5–15 reliable for retrospective use in adults because parents tend to forget how their child behaved years before (Lugnegård & Bejerot, 2019). Moreover, in the Nyrenius' study the 20 parents who did fill out the 5–15 were asked, incomprehensibly, to report their child's neurodevelopmental symptoms at age 17, when the DSM-5 is stating that symptoms should be present in "early childhood". It would have been more adequate and possible

 Susanne Bejerot susanne.bejerot@oru.se
Lena Nylander
Lena.nylander@skane.se

<sup>1</sup> School of Medical Sciences, Örebro University, Örebro, Sweden

<sup>2</sup> Department of Clinical Sciences, Lund University, Lund, Sweden to ask about symptoms at age 5. There is no reason to not add other sources of information such as review of medical records and/or obtaining data on the developmental history in the first 5 years.

Differential diagnostic considerations are not accounted for, although important in this setting since the instruments used have low specificity, especially in adults. Co-occurring psychiatric diagnoses are not described, which is also a limitation.

The study arrives at a conclusion that the minimum prevalence of ASD in the studied group was 18.9%, assuming a similar prevalence of ASD in the non-responder group as in the responders. However, if the minimum prevalence is calculated in the same way as was done in a previous Swedish study (Nylander & Gillberg 2001), namely the number of confirmed cases (n = 26) out of the entire screened group (n = 304) the resulting minimum prevalence would be no more than 8.6% which is still considerably larger than the population prevalence estimations. It is also larger than the 1.4% that was found by Nylander & Gillberg, which is not unexpected since the diagnostic criteria have changed since 2001. In the Nylander et al. study the patients (95%—n = 1323—of all out-patients in a certain area), were screened by psychiatric staff for suspected autistic behaviors. It is true, as Nyrenius et al. point out, that the psychiatric staff in 2001 were not familiar with the concept of autism, but it is debatable if unselected psychiatric patients, filling out the self-report RAADS-14, are better judges of autistic symptoms than psychiatric personnel.

Other problems with this study are that the "validation" study is poorly described, performed in an unexplained manner, on a very small sample (n = 5), and little confidence can be attached to this exercise, and that there is no 95% CI anywhere. Another limitation is presumably a selection bias, i.e., patients who chose to participate in the study may represent individuals with a high load of autistic traits, and subsequently wanting to be assessed for ASD in order to be diagnosed, whereas others may have lacked interest in this

extra diagnostic procedure. Finally, Nyrenius et al. support their findings of a high prevalence of ASD by comparing them to the prevalence rates reported among depressive out-patients in Japan (Takara & Kondo, 2014). However, the Japanese study suffers from methodological issues, as reflected by unexpected findings, e.g. 63% of the participants who were diagnosed with ASD had never experienced any interpersonal frictions or been bullied in school, their educational level did not differ from non-autistic participants and 36% were married.

It is certainly important to keep in mind that a few of the individuals who seek psychiatric treatment may have undiagnosed autism or other information processing difficulties, and to adjust psychiatric services to accommodate these patients in a way that ensures good treatment for everyone. However, people with autism are not helped by inflated numbers based on assumptions rather than facts. Research on autism should favor production of knowledge (Mottron, 2021).

Acknowledgements The authors want to acknowledge the useful comments of Dr. Eric Fombonne who reviewed a prior version of the letter for JADD.

Funding Open access funding provided by Örebro University.

## **Declarations**

Conflict of interest None of the authors have conflict of interest.

**Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not

permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.

## References

- Eriksson, J. M., Andersen, L. M., & Bejerot, S. (2013). RAADS-14 Screen: Validity of a screening tool for autism spectrum disorder in an adult psychiatric population. *Molecular Autism*, 4(1), 49. https://doi.org/10.1186/2040-2392-4-49
- Gillberg, C., Gillberg, C., Råstam, M., & Wentz, E. (2001). The Asperger Syndrome (and high-functioning autism) Diagnostic Interview (ASDI): A preliminary study of a new structured clinical interview. Autism, 5(1), 57–66. https://doi.org/10.1177/1362361301 005001006
- Kadesjö, B., Janols, L. O., Korkman, M., Mickelsson, K., Strand, G., Trillingsgaard, A., & Gillberg, C. (2004). The FTF (Five to Fifteen): The development of a parent questionnaire for the assessment of ADHD and comorbid conditions. *European Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*, *13*(Suppl 3), 3–13. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s00787-004-3002-2
- Lugnegård, T., & Bejerot, S. (2019). Retrospective parental assessment of childhood neurodevelopmental problems: The use of the Five to Fifteen questionnaire in adults. *BJPsych Open*, 5(3), e42. https:// doi.org/10.1192/bjo.2019.30
- Mottron, L. (2021). A radical change in our autism research strategy is needed: Back to prototypes. *Autism Research*, 14(10), 2213–2220. https://doi.org/10.1002/aur.2494
- Nylander, L., & Gillberg, C. (2001). Screening for autism spectrum disorders in adult psychiatric out-patients: a preliminary report. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, 103, 428–434.
- Nyrenius, J., Eberhard, J., Ghaziuddin, M., Gillberg, C., & Billstedt, E. (2022). Prevalence of Autism Spectrum Disorders in Adult Outpatient Psychiatry. *Journal of Autism and Developmental Disorders*. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10803-021-05411-z
- Takara, K., & Kondo, T. (2014). Autism spectrum disorder among firstvisit depressed adult patients: diagnostic clues from backgrounds and past history. *General Hospital Psychiatry*, 36(6), 737–42. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.genhosppsych.2014.08.004

**Publisher's Note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.