



BMJ Open Association between interrupted access to sex work community services during the COVID-19 pandemic and changes in sex workers' occupational conditions: findings from a community-based cohort study in Vancouver, Canada

Bronwyn McBride,^{1,2} Kate Shannon,^{1,3} Jennie Pearson,^{1,3} Melissa Braschel,¹ Andrea Krüsi ,^{1,3} Jennifer McDermid,¹ Shira M Goldenberg ^{1,4}

To cite: McBride B, Shannon K, Pearson J, *et al.* Association between interrupted access to sex work community services during the COVID-19 pandemic and changes in sex workers' occupational conditions: findings from a community-based cohort study in Vancouver, Canada. *BMJ Open* 2023;**13**:e065956. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2022-065956

► Prepublication history for this paper is available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (<http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2022-065956>).

Received 11 July 2022

Accepted 09 December 2022



© Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2023. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ.

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

Dr Shira M Goldenberg;
dr.goldenberg@cgshe.ubc.ca

ABSTRACT

Objectives Globally, criminalisation has shaped sex workers' structural exclusion from occupational protections, and this exclusion has been exacerbated during the COVID-19 pandemic. While community organisations aim to bridge this gap through providing health and safety resources for sex workers, many were forced to scale back services when Canadian provinces declared a state of emergency at the pandemic onset. As little empirical research has examined the impacts of sex work community services interruptions amid COVID-19, our objectives were to (1) examine the correlates of interrupted access to community services and (2) model the independent association between interrupted access to community services and changes in working conditions (ie, self-reported increases in workplace violence or fear of violence), among sex workers during the COVID-19 pandemic.

Design, setting and participants As part of an ongoing community-based cohort of sex workers in Vancouver, Canada (An Evaluation of Sex Workers Health Access, 2010–present), 183 participants completed COVID-19 questionnaires between April 2020 and April 2021.

Analysis Cross-sectional analysis used bivariate and multivariable logistic regression with explanatory and confounder modelling approaches.

Results 18.6% of participants (n=34) reported interrupted access to community services (closure/reduction in drop-in hours, reduced access to spaces offering sex worker supports and/or reduced access/contact with outreach services). In multivariable analysis, sex workers who had difficulty maintaining social supports during COVID-19 (adjusted OR, AOR 2.29, 95% CI 0.95 to 5.56) and who experienced recent non-fatal overdose (AOR 2.71, 95% CI 0.82 to 8.98) faced marginally increased odds of service interruptions. In multivariable confounder analysis, interrupted access to community services during COVID-19 was independently associated with changes in working conditions (ie, self-reported increases in workplace violence or fear of violence; AOR 4.00, 95% CI 1.01 to 15.90).

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

- ⇒ Our study presents early rigorous epidemiological data on the impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on sex workers' occupational conditions using unique data from an ongoing cohort study of sex workers (An Evaluation of Sex Workers Health Access, 2010–present).
- ⇒ Our study's focus on implications of interrupted access to community services was informed by community reports.
- ⇒ Given the challenges of connecting with sex workers during COVID-19, statistical power was limited due to the small sample size.
- ⇒ Given recruitment and follow-up challenges during COVID-19, our sample may over-represent sex workers who are better-connected to services, which may have biased findings towards the null.
- ⇒ Due to intersecting socioeconomic and legal marginalisation faced by immigrant/migrant workers, our study did not capture the occupational experiences and access to community services of immigrant/migrant sex workers during COVID-19, which is an area identified for future research.

Conclusions Findings highlight concerning implications of community service interruptions for sex workers' labour conditions. Sustainable funding to community organisations is urgently needed to uphold sex workers' occupational safety amid COVID-19 and beyond.

INTRODUCTION

The COVID-19 pandemic resulted in abrupt, severe income losses among informal workers globally and these losses were exacerbated among sex workers due to criminalisation.^{1–3} While many countries implemented social and economic measures to mitigate the worst impacts of COVID-19, emerging evidence



from Thailand,⁴ Hong Kong,⁵ Poland,⁶ Kenya,⁷ Nigeria, Uganda and Botswana,⁸ the USA⁹ and Canada¹⁰ shows that sex workers were largely excluded from or unable to access government supports extended to other workers. Facing existing criminalisation which was intensified by the pandemic crisis, sex workers' labour became even more precarious.^{1 11} In diverse settings, sex workers became unemployed as venues including massage parlours, karaoke bars and exotic dance clubs closed doors under curfews,^{4 6 7} while independent sex workers faced a dearth of clients amid physical distancing restrictions and fears about COVID-19 transmission.¹²⁻¹⁴ These sudden income losses and sex workers' broad exclusion from pandemic supports led to housing precarity, evictions and homelessness, and food insecurity, leaving many sex workers unable to support themselves and their children.^{4 6}

Most countries criminalise some or all aspects of sex work, and criminalisation, policing and exclusion from labour protections have been documented to undermine sex workers' labour conditions and increase workplace violence (physical/sexual assault in the context of work).^{15 16} Due to the absence of labour rights for sex workers under criminalisation, community organisations globally have long worked to bridge this gap through providing health and safety services, including violence prevention resources, HIV/STI prevention and harm reduction resources, and community collectivisation programming. Further, community empowerment-based approaches have been linked to increased sex worker collectivisation, solidarity and condom use, and reduced HIV and STI transmission, highlighting both the community-level and broader public health impacts of the services provided by sex work community organisations.^{17 18} However, many such organisations were forced to close doors and/or scale back services during pandemic lockdowns. While community groups globally swiftly set up emergency hardship funds and informal forms of mutual aid to their members during COVID-19—demonstrating solidarity and resilience amid the public health crisis^{5 6 9 10 13 19-21}—limited empirical research has examined the impacts of interrupted access to sex worker-specific community services among sex workers during COVID-19.

Emerging evidence suggests that the structural vulnerability engendered by COVID-19 may have created novel and serious concerns regarding sex workers' labour conditions and exposure to workplace violence. The pandemic contributed to a resurgence in harmful, stigmatising stereotypes positing sex workers as vectors for disease transmission,²² with communities in some settings blaming sex workers for the spread of COVID-19, which was linked to increased police and client violence.²³ Under lockdowns, sex workers in Kenya, Uganda, Senegal and Botswana were forced to work in precarious circumstances where they had less control over work environments, which undermined their existing safety strategies and increased exposure to violent aggressors.^{7 23} Since

the pandemic onset, sex workers have reported reduced ability to negotiate working conditions including rates and terms of service, experiencing circumstances where predators pressured sex workers to violate boundaries (ie, condom use), and retaliated against those who refused.^{12 23 24} As the community organisations which typically provide violence prevention and safety resources faced service interruptions during COVID-19, research on how these interruptions may have impacted sex workers' occupational safety is urgently needed.

In Canada under 'end-demand' legislation which frames sex work as victimisation rather than labour, most aspects of sex service exchange are criminalised and sex workers remain excluded from labour protections such as income supports or the ability to safely report workplace violence.^{25 26} For decades, sex worker-led and sex worker support organisations in Canada such as PACE Society, Stella, Maggie's, POWER, Wish Drop-In Centre, and SWAN Vancouver have worked tirelessly to bridge this exclusion through providing drop-in and mobile outreach services for diverse sex workers. Their services include occupational health, legal and safety supports (eg, health and safety workshops, career and legal counselling, mental health resources) violence prevention programming (eg, safety planning, bad date lists), harm reduction resources, and policy advocacy and public education.²⁷⁻³² Since COVID-19, these community groups have reported increases in punitive policing and aggressor violence, particularly among street-based sex workers due to a lack of foot and vehicular traffic under lockdowns.³³ Yet despite reports of unsafe occupational conditions, financial vulnerability, heightened police surveillance and increased workplace violence,^{34 35} little quantitative research has examined the potential occupational implications of interrupted access to community services amid the pandemic.

Given these gaps, this study addressed the following objectives: (1) examine the prevalence and correlates of interrupted access to sex work community services and (2) model the independent association between interrupted access to community services and changes in working conditions (ie, self-reported increases in workplace violence or fear of violence), among sex workers during COVID-19.

METHODS

This study is nested within an ongoing community-based open prospective cohort, An Evaluation of Sex Workers Health Access (AESHA) which initiated recruitment in 2010 and is based on community collaborations since 2005. Eligibility criteria at baseline include identifying as a cisgender or transgender woman, having exchanged sex for money in the last month, being aged 14+, and able to provide written informed consent. Time-location sampling supported recruitment through daytime and late-night outreach to outdoor (ie, streets, alleys), indoor settings (ie, massage parlours, microbrothels, hotels)

and online solicitation spaces across Metro Vancouver. Since inception, current/former sex workers are hired throughout the project, from interviewers/outreach workers and sexual health nurses to coordinators. Further detail on AESHA's origins is available elsewhere.³⁶

After obtaining informed consent, participants completed interviewer-administered questionnaires in English/Cantonese/Mandarin at baseline and semianual follow-up visits. This study drew on cross-sectional data from the main AESHA questionnaire (eliciting responses on sociodemographics, structural factors, and health access and outcomes), and from a COVID-19 supplement developed and implemented in April 2020. The COVID-19 questionnaire explored potential pandemic impacts on housing and economic factors; work environment; safety, violence and policing; and social outcomes. Interviews were largely conducted via phone from April 2020 to April 2021 due to COVID-19, while some were conducted at study offices in Vancouver or a confidential space of participants' choice (eg, home, work). Data are securely collected and managed using REDCap³⁷ electronic data capture tools hosted at the University of British Columbia. Participants receive voluntary HIV/STI/HCV serology testing by a project nurse and are offered treatment onsite for symptomatic STIs and Papanicolaou testing, regardless of enrolment in the study. All participants received \$C40 at each biannual visit, plus an additional \$C20 if they completed the COVID-19 supplement.

Measures

Interrupted access to sex work community services was defined as responding 'yes' to any of the following changes to sex worker-specific services since COVID-19 began: 'closure/reduction in hours of drop-in spaces you normally access', 'reduced access to space where you normally access sex worker supports' and/or 'reduced access/contact with sex worker outreach services'. Our variable was informed by community concerns at the pandemic onset. This was used as the outcome variable in objective 1, and as the primary exposure of interest in objective 2.

Our primary outcome was experiencing changes in working conditions, defined as responding 'yes' to any of the following changes since COVID-19 began: 'increased client coercion related to services (prices; type of services provided)', 'heightened experiences of client violence (physical or sexual assault in the context of work)' and/or 'heightened fear of client violence'.

Drawing on a structural determinants framework,³⁸ independent demographic and structural variables were considered as potential explanatory variables and confounders. Demographic variables included age, self-identified race (Indigenous, Black or woman of colour (eg, Asian, Latina) vs white), and high school completion. Drug use and drug safety variables included non-injection drug use (eg, cocaine, crystal meth; excluding cannabis

and alcohol use), injection drug use and experiencing a recent non-fatal overdose.

Structural variables from the main AESHA questionnaire, capturing events in the last 6 months, included housing variables such as experiencing recent homelessness or staying in supportive housing. All remaining structural variables were from the COVID-19 supplement, which captured changes experienced since the pandemic onset in March 2020. Economic and accessibility factors included negative changes to food security (being afraid to get food/avoiding getting food due to fear of getting sick, reduced/no supply at place you buy food, food price increases, food store closures/limited hours/lines too long, difficulty meeting new registration requirements at the Greater Vancouver Food Bank and/or food service/centre closures/limited hours/lines too long). Safety, violence and policing variables included concerns regarding safety or violence in community (with any intimate partner/roommate/neighbour/stranger); and noticing increased police/security presence in one's neighbourhood. Social factors included social isolation (feeling that people are not friendly/rejected by others/lonely or socially isolated, fear of being sent away) and difficulty maintaining a social support network (difficulty maintaining a support network, not being able to support friends/family, not being able to give/receive physical touch with people). Finally, as participants were asked about changes since COVID-19 began in March 2020, interview month was included as an adjustment variable in all analyses.

Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics for demographic and structural characteristics were calculated as frequencies and proportions for categorical variables and measures of central tendencies (ie, median and IQR) for continuous variables. These were stratified by facing interrupted access to sex work community services and compared using Pearson's χ^2 test for categorical variables (or Fisher's exact test in the case of small cell counts) and the Wilcoxon rank-sum test for continuous variables.

Bivariate and multivariable analyses used logistic regression to examine associations with interrupted access to sex work community services since COVID-19. Factors significantly associated at $p < 0.10$ and a priori hypothesised correlates were considered for inclusion in the multivariable explanatory model. The model with the best overall fit, indicated by the lowest Akaike information criterion, was determined using a manual backward elimination process. Lastly, a multivariable confounder model was developed to examine the independent association between interrupted access to community services and changes in working conditions since COVID-19. All variables from the full explanatory model for interrupted access to community services were considered potential confounders. To determine the most parsimonious model, potential confounders were removed in a stepwise manner, and variables that altered the association

of interest by <5% were systematically removed from the model.³⁹ A complete case analysis was used, where intervals with missing data were excluded. Statistical analyses were performed in SAS V.9.4 (SAS), and all p values are two sided.

Patient and public involvement

None.

RESULTS

Analyses included 183 sex workers in Metro Vancouver interviewed between April 2020 and April 2021. Over

this study covering the first year of COVID-19, 18.6% of participants (n=34) reported experiencing interruptions in access to sex work community services, while 81.4% did not experience service interruptions. Among participants who reported their most recent work environment, approximately 71.4% worked in an indoor space (eg, apartment, hotel, client's place) and 28.5% worked in an outdoor/public space. 16.9% reported concerns regarding safety or violence in the community. 52.5% of participants faced negative changes to food security and 10.9% faced recent homelessness since COVID-19, highlighting severe pandemic impacts

Table 1 Demographic and structural factors, stratified by experiencing interrupted access to sex work community services during COVID-19, among sex workers in Metro Vancouver (n=183), AESHA 2020–2021

Characteristic	Total (N=183) n (%)	Faced interrupted access to sex work community services*		P value
		Yes (N=34) n (%)	No (N=149) n (%)	
Demographic factors				
Age, median (IQR)	44 (36–52)	45 (34–51)	44 (36–52)	0.579
Self-identified race				
Indigenous	96 (52.5)	19 (55.9)	77 (51.7)	
Black or other person of colour	14 (7.7)	3 (8.8)	11 (7.4)	
White	73 (39.9)	12 (35.3)	61 (40.9)	0.823
Completed high school	88 (48.1)	18 (52.9)	70 (47.0)	0.530
Drug use and drug safety				
Non-injection drug use*	130 (71.0)	22 (64.7)	108 (72.5)	0.686
Injection drug use*	74 (40.4)	16 (47.1)	58 (38.9)	0.247
Experienced a non-fatal overdose*	15 (8.2)	5 (14.7)	10 (6.7)	0.148
Structural determinants				
Housing				
Homeless/living on street*	20 (10.9)	5 (14.7)	15 (10.1)	0.365
Stayed in any supportive housing*	98 (53.6)	20 (58.8)	78 (52.4)	0.370
Economic and accessibility				
Negative changes to food security since COVID-19	96 (52.5)	18 (52.9)	78 (52.4)	0.950
Safety, violence and policing				
Concerns regarding safety or violence in community since COVID-19	31 (16.9)	4 (11.8)	27 (18.1)	0.365
Changes in working conditions (ie, self-reported increases in workplace violence/fear of violence) since COVID-19	14 (7.7)	5 (14.7)	9 (6.0)	0.144
Noticed increased police/security presence since COVID-19	4 (2.2)	4 (11.8)	0 (0.0)	0.001
Social				
Feelings of social isolation since COVID-19	52 (28.4)	12 (35.3)	40 (26.9)	0.324
Difficulty maintaining a social support network since COVID-19	105 (57.4)	25 (73.5)	80 (53.7)	0.035

All data refer to n (%) of participants unless otherwise specified.
*In the 6 months.
AESHA, An Evaluation of Sex Workers Health Access.

Table 2 Correlates of experiencing interrupted access to sex work community services during COVID-19 among sex workers in Metro Vancouver (n=183), AESHA 2020–2021

Characteristic	OR (95% CI)	Adjusted OR (95% CI)
Demographic factors		
Age (per year older)	0.99 (0.96 to 1.03)	
Self-identified race		
Indigenous	1.25 (0.57 to 2.78)	
Black or other person of colour	1.39 (0.34 to 5.73)	
White	ref	
Completed high school	1.27 (0.60 to 2.68)	
Drug use and drug safety		
Non-injection drug use*	0.84 (0.35 to 1.98)	
Injection drug use*	1.58 (0.73 to 3.45)	
Experienced a non-fatal overdose*	2.58 (0.81 to 8.16)	2.71 (0.82 to 8.98)†
Month of COVID interview (per month)	0.87 (0.76 to 0.99)‡	0.88 (0.77 to 1.00)‡
Structural determinants		
Housing		
Homeless/living on street*	1.62 (0.54 to 4.83)	
Stayed in any supportive housing*	1.43 (0.65 to 3.14)	
Economic and accessibility		
Any negative changes to food security since COVID-19	1.02 (0.49 to 2.16)	
Safety, violence and policing		
Concerns about safety or violence in community since COVID-19	0.60 (0.19 to 1.84)	
Social		
Feelings of social isolation since COVID-19	1.49 (0.67 to 3.28)	
Difficulty maintaining a social support network since COVID-19	2.40 (1.05 to 5.48)‡	2.29 (0.95 to 5.56)†
Variable was included in multivariable analysis but was not retained in the best fitting model.		
*In the last 6 months.		
†Significantly associated at $p \leq 0.10$.		
‡Significantly associated at $p \leq 0.05$.		
AESHA, An Evaluation of Sex Workers Health Access.		

on sex workers' ability to meet basic food and shelter needs (table 1).

Objective 1: correlates of interrupted access to sex work community services during COVID-19

In multivariable GEE analysis, participants who experienced a recent non-fatal overdose and who had difficulty maintaining a social support network faced increased odds of reporting interrupted access to community services during COVID-19 (table 2).

Objective 2: independent association between interrupted access to sex work community services and changes in working conditions during COVID-19

In a multivariable confounder model, facing interrupted access to community services was significantly associated with changes in working conditions (ie, self-reported increases in workplace violence or fear of violence) after adjusting for key confounders (table 3).

Model adjusted for key confounders retained in the model fitting process, including month of COVID-19 interview and difficulty maintaining a social support network during COVID-19. Racialised identity and recent non-fatal overdose were included a priori in the model fitting process, but were not retained in the most parsimonious confounder model.

DISCUSSION

In this study conducted over the first year of the COVID-19 pandemic, almost one-fifth of sex workers reported experiencing interrupted access to sex work community services (defined as closure/reduction in hours of drop-in spaces, reduced access to spaces offering sex worker supports and/or reduced access/contact with sex worker outreach services). However, the fact that a majority of participants did not experience such interruptions is a testament to the resilience and commitment of sex work

Table 3 Independent association between interrupted access to sex work community services and experiencing changes in working conditions (ie, self-reported increases in workplace violence/fear of violence) during COVID-19 among sex workers in Metro Vancouver (n=183), AESHA 2020–2021

Exposure	Outcome: Changes in working conditions (ie, self-reported increases in workplace violence/fear of violence) during COVID-19	
	OR (95% CI)	Adjusted OR (95% CI)
Faced interrupted access to sex work community services during COVID-19	2.66 (0.83 to 8.53)*	4.00 (1.01 to 15.9)†

*Significantly associated at $p \leq 0.10$.
†Significantly associated at $p \leq 0.05$.
AESHA, An Evaluation of Sex Workers Health Access.

community organisations, highlighting the efforts of organisations in responding, adapting and generating new service offerings (despite COVID-19 restrictions) to the best of their ability throughout the pandemic crisis. Our study presents some of the first epidemiological research on sex workers' occupational conditions during COVID-19 in North America and identifies important associations between interrupted access to community services and self-reported increases in workplace violence or fear of violence in the first year of the pandemic (April 2020–2021). These findings highlight the essential role of community organisations in promoting safer labour conditions among this group of precarious workers, and the serious potential implications for sex workers' occupational safety when these supports are forcibly interrupted as during the pandemic.

Interrupted access to community services was associated with significantly increased odds of facing changes in working conditions (defined as increased client coercion related to services (prices; type of services provided), heightened experiences of workplace violence and/or heightened fear of workplace violence) during COVID-19. This finding echoes reports from community organisations who highlighted how pandemic lockdowns, barren streets and heightened police surveillance increased sex workers' physical and economic vulnerability, and how this vulnerability was exploited by perpetrators during the pandemic.³³ Our results underscore the role of community organisations in promoting workplace safety among marginalised sex workers—many of whom who are otherwise completely excluded from formal workplace violence prevention structures and avenues to accessing safety, recourse and justice after encountering occupational violence. Research by our team prior to the COVID-19 pandemic identified severe gaps in sex workers' ability to report workplace violence, with only one third of participants reporting any physical/sexual assaults to police over a 7.5-year period.²⁵ Importantly, research has consistently shown that sex workers' exclusion from police protection enables perpetrators to abuse sex workers with impunity.^{40–42}

Our finding of changes in working conditions amid COVID-19 reflects emerging global evidence. In Kenya, venue closures resulted in sex workers working in clients'

homes, where they had less control over the work environment, less support from other sex workers and third parties (ie, managers, venue owners), and heightened vulnerability to violence and theft.⁷ Dawn-to-dusk curfews in many countries also exposed sex workers to client and police harassment at night.^{7 8 33} In Canada, sex workers reported heightened police presence under the guise of public safety, suggesting that pandemic-related public health enforcement promoted hyper-surveillance of marginalised sex workers.^{33 35} This highlights how COVID-19 exacerbated sex workers' pre-existing precarity, including their invisibility as workers and concurrent over-visibility and exposure to policing and violent predators.⁶ Our findings reflect emerging research highlighting how severe income losses during the pandemic undermined sex workers' ability to negotiate with clients and maintain their established safety strategies, and promoted underpayment and boundary violations by predators.^{8 23 24} Our study highlights community organisations' essential role in mitigating the structural exclusion engendered by criminalisation by administering bad date reporting mechanisms and warning sex workers about perpetrators, and provides empirical evidence that interruptions in access to these important supports was linked to increased exposure to workplace violence and fear of violence during the pandemic.

Participants who experienced a recent non-fatal overdose and who had difficulty maintaining a social support network had marginally increased odds of facing interrupted access to community services during COVID-19. In the absence of urgently needed supports such as a safe, accessible, regulated drug supply, this finding highlights community organisations' vital roles in promoting safer drug use among marginalised groups including sex workers, through supplying harm reduction supplies, drug checking facilities and overdose response supports.^{43 44} This dedicated daily labour of community organisations has been life-saving for many people who use drugs, particularly in British Columbia which has faced a highly fatal drug poisoning crisis over the past decade.⁴⁵ Our findings also underscore the broader role of community organisations in promoting empowerment and collectivisation.¹⁸ For decades, sex worker groups have engaged in mutual aid and advocacy to promote community safety, and

since COVID-19, these organisations have implemented emergency hardship and mutual aid funds to support their most marginalised members.^{6 9 19 46} Beyond weaving a financial safety net, organisations provided resources, meal delivery programmes, vaccination sites and guidance on working safely during COVID-19.^{13 47 48} As community groups are best positioned to help sex workers navigate the occupational precarity presented by the pandemic, their programming must be well-funded and expanded to ensure ongoing services. However, it's imperative to highlight that such supports are only necessary because sex workers are largely excluded from the occupational protections extended to other workers (ie, employment insurance benefits; government financial supports) to mitigate COVID-19's impacts. There is an urgent need for government policies to be revised to ensure that all precarious and informal workers, including sex workers, have access to essential occupational supports over the pandemic crisis and beyond.

In our study, 52.5% of participants faced negative changes to food security and 10.9% faced homelessness since COVID-19. These devastating pandemic impacts reflect emerging evidence from diverse settings where sex workers, like all workers, faced sudden income losses, but were excluded from state supports due to criminalisation.^{1 5} In Poland, sex workers who became homeless were ineligible for housing supports because they were unable to prove their income source, as sex work is not recognised as legitimate labour.⁶ In Thailand, 66% of sex workers reported being unable to afford food and housing and 72% reported being ineligible for government assistance due to criminalisation.⁴ An April 2020, survey on COVID-19 impacts on sex workers in 55 countries found that many pandemic protections implemented for the general population (ie, income supplements, emergency funds, food packages, rent/mortgage relief) were not always accessible to sex workers.⁵ Our findings illustrate how the 'conditionality of institutionalised support'⁶—resulting in the broad exclusion of sex workers from government pandemic relief—undermined participants' ability to meet their basic needs in ways which likely undermined their occupational autonomy and labour conditions.

Policy and practice implications

Our findings underscore the invaluable role of community organisations in providing occupational health and violence prevention resources to a group of workers that is otherwise structurally excluded. The complete decriminalisation of all aspects of sex work, as recommended by global policy institutions,^{49–51} is necessary to enabling sex workers to access the social protections extended to other workers amid an evolving global health crisis. However, affirming community organisations' essential role in promoting occupational safety among informal and precarious workers including sex workers and ensuring their ongoing funding is also critical. While COVID-19 represented an unprecedented public health emergency,

community organisations serving sex workers have long struggled with limited budgets, funding precarity and funding sustainability, which undermine the impact and reach of their services.^{33 52} Further, government funding for sex worker supports in Canada has been historically restricted to services which promote 'exiting' the sex industries^{53 54}—a focus which obscures institutional and policy failure to provide any tangible, human rights-based supports for sex workers who do not wish to 'exit'.⁵⁴ In collaboration with sex worker communities, federal and provincial government bodies must transition towards secure, long-term funding streams for community organisations who apply a rights-based approach in their programming. Such a shift would ensure that the critical occupational health and safety supports community organisations provide to sex workers may be strengthened, expanded and adequately prepared to swiftly adapt to public health emergencies which threaten their operations.

Strengths and limitations

Our study presents some of the first epidemiological data on sex workers' occupational conditions amid COVID-19, leveraging our unique existing AESHA cohort which has been ongoing since 2010. As the original AESHA study was not powered to assess the pandemic's impacts, it may have resulted in imprecise effect sizes and confidence intervals. Given the challenges of connecting with sex workers during COVID-19, statistical power was limited due to small sample size (n=183). Importantly, given challenges in recruitment and follow-up due to sex workers being disconnected from supports during COVID-19, our sample may over-represent sex workers who are better-connected to services. Our results are thus likely conservative and biased findings towards the null. However, these findings reflect the observations of local community groups and highlight important associations. This study relies on observational data which cannot be used to infer causality, and on self-reported data which may be subject to recall, social desirability or misclassification biases. However, our front-line staff includes experiential (current/former sex workers) and community-based interviewers with experience in building rapport with participants across interview and outreach activities, which is likely to mitigate social desirability bias. While we drew on cross-sectional data from both the AESHA questionnaire and COVID-19 supplement, the questionnaires have different reference times (ie, in the last 6 months vs since COVID-19 began in March 2020) and a small number of questionnaires were completed up to 3 months apart, which may result in some temporal variation. A strength of this study is its focus on the potential implications of forced service disruptions, which was informed by community reports³³ and the first author's volunteer work at a drop-in centre for sex workers throughout COVID-19.

Directions for future research

Due to intersecting socioeconomic and legal marginalisation faced by precarious immigrant/migrant workers, our

study did not capture the occupational experiences and access to community services of immigrant/migrant sex workers during COVID-19. Given community reports of intensified racism and anti-Asian xenophobia during the pandemic,⁵⁵ this represents an area for future research. Our study did not explore the ways in which sex workers applied preventive measures to protect their occupational health (ie, personal preventive measures such as wearing a face mask, hand hygiene; workplace measures such as good ventilation, social distancing at work, COVID-19 testing).⁵⁶ However, given emerging evidence that sex workers were early adopters of COVID-19 vaccines and that many adjusted their personal and workplace labour practices to promote COVID-19 safety,^{13 47 48} this is an important consideration and an area for future research.

CONCLUSIONS

Our study provides empirical evidence on the occupational safety impacts of COVID-19 among sex workers in Metro Vancouver and identified concerning associations between interrupted access to sex work community services and increased experiences and/or fear of workplace violence. During the COVID-19 pandemic, the confluence of criminalisation, lack of legal recognition and exclusion from social protections further undermined sex workers' control over their labour conditions. Our findings demonstrate the potential implications for sex workers' safety when community organisations—representing the only accessible avenue for occupational protections for the most marginalised sex workers—faced forced closures or limitations as during COVID-19. They also highlight the strength and resilience of sex work organisations and their ability to pivot services to support their members even throughout a public health emergency. The complete decriminalisation of all aspects of sex work is necessary to ensure that sex workers may access the same social protections extended to other workers during a global pandemic. However, supporting the essential role of community organisations through increased, sustained and secure funding for programming is urgently needed to promote occupational safety and human rights among sex workers through the evolving pandemic crisis and beyond.

Author affiliations

¹Centre for Gender and Sexual Health Equity, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada

²Faculty of Health Sciences, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, British Columbia, Canada

³Department of Medicine, The University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada

⁴Division of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, San Diego State University, San Diego, California, USA

Acknowledgements We thank all those who contributed their time and expertise to this project, particularly participants, AESHA community advisory board members and partner agencies, and the AESHA team, including: Kate Lumsdon, Emma Ettinger, Jennifer McDermid, Christie Ngozi Gabriel, Natasha Feuchuk, Jennifer Morris, Shannon Bundock, Alka Murphy, Sylvia Machat, Tina Beaulieu, Lois Luo, Minshu Mo, Sherry Wu, Zoe Hassall and Emma Kuntz. We also thank

Peter Vann, Megan Bobetsis, Arveen Kaur, and Rayka Kumru for their research and administrative support.

Contributors BM and SMG conceptualised and designed the study. BM, SMG and MB contributed to the interpretation and analysis of data. BM prepared the first draft of the article. KS, JP, JM, AK, MB and SMG contributed critical feedback and edits to article drafts. All authors approved the final article. Guarantor: SMG.

Funding This research was supported by grants from the US National Institutes of Health (R01DA028648) and Canadian Institutes of Health Research (165855). KS is partially supported by a Canada Research Chair in Global Sexual Health and NIH. SMG is partially supported by a CIHR New Investigator Award and NIH.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, or conduct, or reporting, or dissemination plans of this research.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Ethics approval The study holds ethical approval through Providence Health Care/University of British Columbia and Simon Fraser University Research Ethics Boards (H09-02803). All participants provided written informed consent.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Data availability statement Data are available on reasonable request.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>.

ORCID iDs

Andrea Krüsi <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2531-8192>

Shira M Goldenberg <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-1633-9749>

REFERENCES

- 1 Platt L, Elmes J, Stevenson L, *et al*. Sex workers must not be forgotten in the COVID-19 response. *Lancet* 2020;396:9–11.
- 2 NSWP. COVID-19 responses must Uphold and protect the human rights of sex workers, 2020. Global network of sex work projects. Available: <https://www.nswp.org/news/covid-19-responses-must-uphold-and-protect-the-human-rights-sex-workers> [Accessed 22 Sep 2021].
- 3 UNAIDS. Sex workers must not be left behind in the response to COVID-19, 2020. UNAIDS. Available: https://www.unaids.org/en/resources/presscentre/pressreleaseandstatementarchive/2020/april/20200408_sex-workers-covid-19 [Accessed 13 Sep 2020].
- 4 Janyam S, Phuengsamran D, Pangnongyang J, *et al*. Protecting sex workers in Thailand during the COVID-19 pandemic: opportunities to build back better. *WHO South East Asia J Public Health* 2020;9:100–3.
- 5 NSWP. Impact of COVID-19 on sex workers in Asia and the Pacific, 2020. Global network of sex work projects. Available: <https://www.nswp.org/news/impact-covid-19-sex-workers-asia-and-the-pacific> [Accessed 23 Sep 2021].
- 6 Dziuban A, Możdrzeń M, Ratecka A. 'Very little but a lot.' Solidarity within the sex workers' community in Poland during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Gen Work Organ* 2021.
- 7 Kimani J, Adhiambo J, Kasiba R, *et al*. The effects of COVID-19 on the health and socio-economic security of sex workers in Nairobi, Kenya: emerging intersections with HIV. *Glob Public Health* 2020;15:1073–82.
- 8 Adebisi YA, Alaran AJ, Akinokun RT, *et al*. Sex workers should not be forgotten in Africa's COVID-19 response. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 2020;103:1780–2.
- 9 Bromfield NF, Panichelli M, Capous-Desyllas M. At the intersection of COVID-19 and sex work in the United States: a call for social work action. *Affilia* 2021;36:140–8.
- 10 Lam E. Pandemic sex workers' resilience: COVID-19 crisis met with rapid responses by sex worker communities. *Int Soc Work* 2020.
- 11 McBride B, Pearson J. Opinion: If sex workers are as 'vulnerable' as the law suggests, where's their pandemic support? 2021. The Globe and Mail, Vancouver. Available: <https://www.theglobeandmail.com/opinion/article-if-sex-workers-are-as-vulnerable-as-the-law-suggests-where-s-their/> [Accessed 22 Sep 2021].

- 12 Hu C. Sex workers are struggling amid coronavirus shutdowns in the US, 2020. CNN. Available: <https://edition.cnn.com/2020/05/24/us/sex-workers-coronavirus-intl/index.html> [Accessed 23 Sep 2021].
- 13 Nelson AJ, Yu YJ, McBride B. Sex work during the COVID-19 pandemic. *SAW* 2020.
- 14 Wyton M. How the COVID-19 crisis is Hurting sex workers, 2020. The Tyee. Available: <https://thetyee.ca/News/2020/03/27/How-COVID-19-Hurting-Sex-Workers/> [Accessed 27 Sep 2021].
- 15 Platt L, Grenfell P, Meiksin R, et al. Associations between sex work laws and sex workers' health: a systematic review and meta-analysis of quantitative and qualitative studies. *PLoS Med* 2018;15:e1002680.
- 16 Deering KN, Amin A, Shoveller J, et al. A systematic review of the correlates of violence against sex workers. *Am J Public Health* 2014;104:e42–54.
- 17 Pearson J, Shannon K, McBride B, et al. Sex work community participation in criminalized environments: a community-based cohort study of occupational health impacts in Vancouver, Canada: 2010–2019. *Int J Equity Health* 2022;21:1–9.
- 18 Kerrigan D, Kennedy CE, Morgan-Thomas R, et al. A community empowerment approach to the HIV response among sex workers: effectiveness, challenges, and considerations for implementation and scale-up. *Lancet* 2015;385:172–85.
- 19 Donato A. Toronto sex workers supported by COVID-19 relief fund. Toronto Huffington Post; 2020. https://www.huffpost.com/archive/ca/entry/toronto-sex-workers-covid19-fundraisers_ca_5e7b9841c5b62a1870d69a05 [Accessed 22 Sep 2021].
- 20 Reza-Paul S, Lazarus L, Haldar P, et al. Community action for people with HIV and sex workers during the COVID-19 pandemic in India. *WHO South East Asia J Public Health* 2020;9:104.
- 21 PACE Society. Pace Society sex worker relief fund, 2022. Available: <https://www.pace-society.org/relief/>
- 22 Nataraj S. Shutting down India's red-light districts won't contain coronavirus, 2020. Open Democracy. Available: <https://www.opendemocracy.net/en/beyond-trafficking-and-slavery/shutting-down-indias-red-light-districts-wont-contain-coronavirus/> [Accessed 23 Sep 2021].
- 23 Bhalla N. Hunger or murder*: Lockdown poverty exposes African sex workers to more violence, 2020. Reuters. Available: <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-health-coronavirus-women-sexworkers/hunger-or-murder-lockdown-poverty-exposes-african-sex-workers-to-more-violence-idUSKBN23B0CS> [Accessed 21 Sep 2021].
- 24 Manuel S-L. The Multiplying Threats Facing Sex Workers Today - Open Society Foundations, 2020. Open Society foundations. Available: <https://www.opensocietyfoundations.org/voices/the-multiplying-threats-facing-sex-workers-today> [Accessed 21 Sep 2021].
- 25 McBride B, Shannon K, Bingham B, et al. Underreporting of violence to police among women sex workers in Canada: amplified inequities for Im/migrant and In-Call workers prior to and following End-Demand legislation. *Health Hum Rights* 2020;22:257–70.
- 26 Machat S, Shannon K, Braschel M, et al. Sex workers' experiences and occupational conditions post-implementation of end-demand criminalization in Metro Vancouver, Canada. *Can J Public Health* 2019;110:575–83.
- 27 PACE Society. Support services | Harm reduction | Sex work, 2022. Available: <https://www.pace-society.org/services/> [Accessed 22 Feb 2022].
- 28 Wish Vancouver. Our Programs - WISH Drop-In Centre Society, 2022. Available: <https://wish-vancouver.net/programs/> [Accessed 22 Feb 2022].
- 29 SWAN Vancouver Society. SWAN Vancouver - Advocacy, 2018. Available: <http://swanvancouver.ca/advocacy/> [Accessed 03 Sep 2018].
- 30 POWER Ottawa. Power, 2022. Available: <https://www.powerottawa.ca/>
- 31 Stella Montreal. Stella Montreal, 2022. Available: <https://chezstella.org/en/home/>
- 32 Maggie's Toronto. Maggie's - Our Work, 2022. Available: <https://www.maggiesto.org/our-work>
- 33 Wish Vancouver. Wish 2020 Virtual Annual General Meeting. In: *Impacts of COVID on sex workers*, 2020.
- 34 Taylor J. COVID-19 : Needs and Risk Assessment of Sex Workers in Metro Vancouver, 2020. Vancouver. Available: <https://wish-vancouver.net/content/wp-content/uploads/2020/04/COVID-19-Needs-and-Risk-Assessment-of-Sex-Workers-in-Metro-Vancouver.pdf>
- 35 Lam E, Wong V, MacDonald S. Covid-19, policing, and the exacerbation of pre-existing inequalities, 2020. Toronto. Available: https://globalization.mcmaster.ca/research/publications/working-papers/2020/ighc-working-paper-covid-19_urgentresponses-1.pdf
- 36 Shannon K, Bright V, Allinott S, et al. Community-based HIV prevention research among substance-using women in survival sex work: the Maka project partnership. *Harm Reduct J* 2007;4:20.
- 37 Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, et al. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)—a metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. *J Biomed Inform* 2009;42:377–81.
- 38 Shannon K, Strathdee SA, Goldenberg SM, et al. Global epidemiology of HIV among female sex workers: influence of structural determinants. *Lancet* 2015;385:55–71.
- 39 Maldonado G, Greenland S. Simulation study of confounder-selection strategies. *Am J Epidemiol* 1993;138:923–36.
- 40 Dewey S, St. Germain T. "It Depends on the Cop:" Street-based sex workers' perspectives on police patrol officers. *Sex Res Soc Policy* 2014;11:256–70.
- 41 Lim S, Peitzmeier S, Cange C, et al. Violence against female sex workers in Cameroon. *JAIDS* 2015;68:S241–7.
- 42 NSWP. The Impact of Criminalisation on Sex Workers' Vulnerability to HIV and Violence, 2017. Available: http://www.nswp.org/sites/nswp.org/files/impact_of_criminalisation_pb_prf01.pdf
- 43 McNeil R, Small W, Lampkin H, et al. People knew they could come here to get help*: an ethnographic study of assisted injection practices at a peer-run 'unsanctioned' supervised drug consumption room in a Canadian setting. *AIDS Behav* 2014;18:473–85.
- 44 Olding M, Ivsins A, Mayer S, et al. A low-barrier and comprehensive community-based Harm-Reduction site in Vancouver, Canada. *Am J Public Health* 2020;110:833–5.
- 45 Belzak L, Halverson J. The opioid crisis in Canada: a national perspective TT - La crise des opioïdes au Canada : une perspective nationale. *Health Promot Chronic Dis Prev Can* 2018;38:224–33.
- 46 Peyton N. With mutual aid fund, NY sex workers take on crisis relief, 2020. Reuters. Available: <https://news.trust.org/item/20200518143515-4guzf/> [Accessed 04 Oct 2021].
- 47 COYOTE RI. COVID sex worker harm reduction resource guide – coyote RI, 2020. Call off your old Tired ethics. Available: <https://coyoteri.org/wp/coyote-ri-covid-sex-worker-harm-reduction-resource-guide/> [Accessed 04 Oct 2021].
- 48 Dickson E. Who needs CVS? How strip clubs are bringing Covid-19 boosters to the masses. Toronto Rolling Stone; 2022. <https://www.rollingstone.com/culture/culture-news/strip-club-covid-vaccine-booster-drives-maggies-toronto-1278290/>
- 49 UNAIDS. The Gap Report 2014 - Sex workers. Geneva; 2014. http://www.unaids.org/sites/default/files/media_asset/06_Sexworkers.pdf [Accessed 03 Apr 2017].
- 50 Amnesty International. Decision on state obligations to respect, protect, and fulfil the human rights of sex workers The International Council decision; 2016: 9–10. <https://www.amnesty.org/en/policy-on-state-obligations-to-respect-protect-and-fulfil-the-human-rights-of-sex-workers/>
- 51 WHO. Consolidated Guidelines on HIV Prevention, Diagnosis, Treatment and Care for Key Populations - 2016 Update. Geneva; 2016. <http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/246200/1/9789241511124-eng.pdf?ua=1> [Accessed 03 Apr 2017].
- 52 Zanger C, Bungay V, Pearson W, et al. Recommendations from the Off-Street sex industry in Vancouver. *Vancouver* 2016.
- 53 Government of Canada. Measures to Address Prostitution Initiative Call for Proposals - NGOs & Governmental Organizations. Ottawa Public Safety and Emergency Preparedness; 2021. <https://www.justice.gc.ca/eng/fund-fina/cj-jp/fund-fond/ngo.html#:~:text=The federal government announced %2420>
- 54 Bruckert C. Protection of communities and exploited persons act: Misogynistic law making in action. *Can J Law Soc* 2015;30:1–3.
- 55 Lam E. Migrant sex workers left behind during COVID-19 pandemic. *Can J Public Health* 2020;111:482–3.
- 56 Tan W, Hao F, McIntyre RS, et al. Is returning to work during the COVID-19 pandemic stressful? A study on immediate mental health status and psychoneuroimmunity prevention measures of Chinese workforce. *Brain Behav Immun* 2020;87:84–92.