

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Open Access

Time-dependent changes in gene expression induced by secreted amyloid precursor protein-alpha in the rat hippocampus

Margaret M Ryan^{1,2,3*}, Gary P Morris^{1,3,5}, Bruce G Mockett^{1,4}, Katie Bourne^{1,3}, Wickliffe C Abraham^{1,4}, Warren P Tate^{1,3} and Joanna M Williams^{1,2}

Abstract

Background: Differential processing of the amyloid precursor protein liberates either amyloid- β , a causative agent of Alzheimer's disease, or secreted amyloid precursor protein-alpha (sAPP α), which promotes neuroprotection, neurotrophism, neurogenesis and synaptic plasticity. The underlying molecular mechanisms recruited by sAPP α that underpin these considerable cellular effects are not well elucidated. As these effects are enduring, we hypothesised that regulation of gene expression may be of importance and examined temporally specific gene networks and pathways induced by sAPP α in rat hippocampal organotypic slice cultures. Slices were exposed to 1 nM sAPP α or phosphate buffered saline for 15 min, 2 h or 24 h and sAPP α -associated gene expression profiles were produced for each time-point using Affymetrix Rat Gene 1.0 ST arrays (moderated *t*-test using Limma: $p < 0.05$, and fold change ± 1.15).

Results: Treatment of organotypic hippocampal slice cultures with 1 nM sAPP α induced temporally distinct gene expression profiles, including mRNA and microRNA associated with Alzheimer's disease. Having demonstrated that treatment with human recombinant sAPP α was protective against *N*-methyl D-aspartate-induced toxicity, we next explored the sAPP α -induced gene expression profiles. Ingenuity Pathway Analysis predicted that short-term exposure to sAPP α elicited a multi-level transcriptional response, including upregulation of immediate early gene transcription factors (AP-1, *Egr1*), modulation of the chromatin environment, and apparent activation of the constitutive transcription factors CREB and NF- κ B. Importantly, dynamic regulation of NF- κ B appears to be integral to the transcriptional response across all time-points. In contrast, medium and long exposure to sAPP α resulted in an overall downregulation of gene expression. While these results suggest commonality between sAPP α and our previously reported analysis of plasticity-related gene expression, we found little crossover between these datasets. The gene networks formed following medium and long exposure to sAPP α were associated with inflammatory response, apoptosis, neurogenesis and cell survival; functions likely to be the basis of the neuroprotective effects of sAPP α .

Conclusions: Our results demonstrate that sAPP α rapidly and persistently regulates gene expression in rat hippocampus. This regulation is multi-level, temporally specific and is likely to underpin the neuroprotective effects of sAPP α .

Keywords: Secreted amyloid precursor protein alpha, Hippocampus, Organotypic slice cultures, Microarray, Ingenuity pathway analysis, Neuroprotection, Immediate early genes, MicroRNA, NF- κ B

* Correspondence: margaret.ryan@otago.ac.nz

¹Brain Health Research Centre, University of Otago, PO Box 56, Dunedin
New Zealand

²Department of Anatomy, Otago School of Medical Sciences, PO Box 913,
Dunedin, New Zealand

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

Background

Amyloid precursor protein (APP) is the parent molecule of the neurotoxic amyloid- β , implicated in the aetiology of Alzheimer's disease. Amyloid- β is generated by sequential cleavage of APP by β and γ -secretases. However, the likely predominant route of APP processing is via α -secretase [1,2], which not only precludes amyloid- β production but also generates secreted amyloid precursor protein alpha (sAPP α) [3,4]. This molecule interacts with the β -secretase, BACE1, and directly inhibits amyloid- β production [5]. Furthermore, mounting evidence implicates sAPP α in a wide variety of neuronal processes. It has been shown to both protect against glutamate toxicity in rat hippocampal neurons *in vitro* and in rat models of traumatic brain injury *in vivo* [6,7] and promote neurite outgrowth *in vitro* [8,9]. Furthermore, sAPP α has recently been shown to not only direct human embryonic stem cells into neuronal precursor cells [10,11], but to increase proliferation of neural precursor cells from the rat hippocampus *in vitro*, and promote their proliferation in the mouse hippocampus *in vivo* [12]. Additionally, sAPP α has been shown to enhance synaptic plasticity and restore memory deficits in rats and mice *in vivo* [13-16]. While a definitive cognate receptor for sAPP α has not yet been identified, sAPP α is known to activate intracellular signaling cascades in both neurons and glia and enhance synaptic protein synthesis *in vitro* [17-21]. As the reported physiological effects of sAPP α , are dependent on rapid and persistent alterations in gene expression, it is also likely that regulation of transcription is integral to the function of sAPP α .

Recently, expression of the transcription factor *Egr1* was shown to mediate sAPP α stimulated axonal outgrowth of primary neurons from mice *in vitro* [22], and sAPP α has previously been shown to induce the expression of several neuroprotective genes in mouse organotypic hippocampal slices [23]. This observation is particularly important as the hippocampus is a region vulnerable to the early neurodegenerative changes observed in Alzheimer's disease. Interestingly, however, sAPP α knock-in mice show significantly lower expression of the plasticity related genes *Arc*, *Egr2* and *Fos*. Furthermore, in general, the gene expression profiles of APP knockout and sAPP α knock-in mice do not differ substantially [24], questioning the role of the N-terminal region of APP in regulation of gene expression. In light of these somewhat conflicting data we sought to determine whether the application of sAPP α to organotypic hippocampal slice cultures for up to 24 h alters gene expression, and if so, whether the gene networks or biological pathways identified reflected the known physiological roles of sAPP α . We found that sAPP α rapidly enhances the transcription environment and alters subsequent gene expression in a manner that is

likely to underpin the reported neuroprotective effects of sAPP α .

Results


sAPP α protects against N-methyl D-aspartate toxicity

We have previously shown that purified human recombinant sAPP α produced in our laboratory [25] regulates protein synthesis [17], synaptic plasticity and memory [16], as well as neurogenesis [10]. However, prior to determining the effects of sAPP α on gene expression, we sought to extend our assessment of the functionality of recombinant sAPP α . Accordingly, we tested whether sAPP α could protect against N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA)-induced excitotoxicity in hippocampal organotypic slice cultures.

Hippocampal organotypic slice cultures (representative slices, Figure 1A, B) were challenged with NMDA (30 μ M, 30 min) and cell death was assessed by measurement of propidium iodide (PI) fluorescence 48 h later. In phosphate buffered saline (PBS)-treated control cultures, the tissue had low PI fluorescence across all hippocampal regions, indicating little cell death (Figure 1C). In contrast, NMDA treatment caused significantly higher levels of PI fluorescence in Cornu Ammonis area 1 and 3 (CA1, CA3) and the inner and outer blades of the dentate gyrus (DG) relative to the PBS-treated control cultures ($p = 0.000001$, 1-way ANOVA followed by a Bonferroni post-hoc test; Figure 1D, F), indicating induction of extensive cell death. Slices were incubated with 0.03, 0.1, 1, 10 nM sAPP α or PBS-control for 24 h prior to, and for 48 h following NMDA insult. Incubation with 1 nM sAPP α resulted in a significant reduction in PI fluorescence in CA1 ($p = 0.033$) and the inner ($p = 0.034$) and outer blades ($p = 0.0001$) of the DG compared with NMDA treatment alone (Figure 1E, F), indicating a neuroprotective effect. No significant effects were detected following incubation with the other sAPP α + NMDA concentrations but sAPP α exposure trended towards a U-shaped dose-response curve (see Additional file 1: Figure S1). Together, these findings indicate that 1 nM sAPP α increases cell survival following NMDA exposure. Although sAPP α has previously been shown to protect against glutamate toxicity [6], glutamate and NMDA may induce excitotoxicity by non-overlapping signaling pathways [26], and so this study extends the known neuroprotective effects of sAPP α and validates the activity of sAPP α in our model system.


Regulation of gene expression by sAPP α


To investigate the temporal effects of sAPP α on the hippocampal transcriptome, hippocampal organotypic slice cultures were treated with 1 nM sAPP α (the optimally neuroprotective concentration) or PBS for either 15 min, 2 h or 24 h and expression profiling was performed with



Affymetrix Rat Gene 1.0 ST arrays (*n* = 5 per condition). Data analysis, using dual selection criteria (moderated *t*-test, *p* < 0.05 (Limma) and fold change ± 1.15), identified temporally distinct sAPPα-induced patterns of expression (Figure 2A), a subset of which was confirmed by quantitative PCR (qPCR; Figure 3 and see Additional file 2:

Tables S1-S4). The majority of genes were modestly changed (fold change: -2.9 to 3.2), an effect particular to neurobiological tissue [27] and consistent with previous studies [24,28-30]. Interestingly, two thirds (66%) of differentially expressed genes were upregulated in the 15 min dataset, while the majority of differentially





expressed genes were downregulated at 2 h (59%) and 24 h (79%) (Figure 2A), suggesting that there is a relatively rapid onset homeostatic control of gene expression. Overall, these data confirm that sAPP α , the N-terminal region of APP, regulates gene expression in a time-dependent manner.

To explore further how the effects of sAPP α on gene expression changed with time, the expression profiles were examined using a Venn diagram. This analysis showed that the three datasets were largely distinct (Figure 2B; See Additional file 3: Table S5). Only two genes were in common across all three datasets and only one was annotated. Interestingly, this gene, acyl-protein thioesterase 2, (*Apt2*)

showed a distinct temporal response to sAPP α treatment, decreasing in expression at 15 min (-1.25 fold), increasing at 2 h ($+1.4$ fold) and decreased by 24 h (-1.8 fold). Activation of APT2 results in depalmitoylation of substrate proteins, a process important in regulating subcellular localisation of plasticity related ion channels and GAP43 [31,32]. Thus, these data predict that regulation of *Apt2* contributes to the plasticity-related effects of sAPP α .

As sAPP α enhances synaptic plasticity and restores memory deficits [13-16], we next investigated whether there was a significant crossover between our sAPP α -regulated datasets and those regulated by synaptic plasticity. Previously, we identified distinct patterns of gene expression elicited by hippocampal long-term potentiation (LTP), a widely accepted model of memory mechanisms and synaptic plasticity [29,30]. Here, we show that there was little intersection between the genes within the datasets (Table 1). For example, there were only five rapidly responding genes in common across the early time-points analysed (Table 1) and the fold change in these overlapping genes was relatively curtailed in the sAPP α dataset (Table 1). Despite this, when we compared biological functions and pathways between the two data sets, we found that they contained overlapping functions related to *Gene Expression* (20 min LTP and 15 min sAPP α), *Development* (20 min LTP and 24 h sAPP α) and *Neurogenesis* (5 h LTP and 24 h sAPP α). This suggests that while there was little overlap in the actual genes regulated, there is overlap in some of the biological functions controlled by sAPP α and LTP.

As we found that sAPP α -induced a rapid upregulation followed by a generalised downregulation of gene expression, we hypothesised that this temporal pattern may be regulated by microRNA. A subset of probes on the Affymetrix Rat Gene 1.0 ST arrays represent stem loop microRNA sequences and therefore allow analysis of primary and precursor microRNA. We found evidence for regulation of 14 microRNA in response to sAPP α (Table 2), the majority of which were upregulated at 15 min, suggesting that the mature microRNA may contribute to the later observed downregulation of gene expression. In accordance with this, we found no evidence for regulation by microRNA within the 15 min dataset using the web application miRvestigator Framework [33]. However, analysis of the 2 h dataset identified miR-154 ($p = 4.7e-04$) as a candidate microRNA controlling the expression of a subset of mRNA (Table 3). As the miR-154 primary transcript was increased at 15 min (Table 2) this suggests a role for miR-154 in sAPP α -mediated gene expression, via mRNA degradation. Furthermore, miRvestigator predicted regulation by miR-201* ($p = 2.4e-04$) within the 24 h dataset (Table 3), although we found no evidence for altered levels of the primary microRNA transcript in our analyses.

Table 1 Intersection of sAPPα and plasticity-regulated datasets

Gene symbol	Gene title	Fold change	
		15 min sAPPα	20 min LTP
<i>Dusp1</i>	Dual specificity phosphatase 1	1.2	3.9
<i>Egr1</i>	Early growth response 1	1.2	4.2
<i>JunB</i>	Jun B proto-oncogene	1.2	6.6
<i>Rbp3</i>	retinol binding protein 3, interstitial	1.2	1.2
<i>Fos</i>	FBJ osteosarcoma oncogene	1.2	9.7
		2 h sAPPα	5 h LTP
<i>Grasp</i>	GRP1 (general receptor for phosphoinositides 1)-associated scaffold protein	1.2	1.3
		24 h sAPPα	24 h LTP
<i>Aox1</i>	Aldehyde oxidase 1	1.8	1.3
<i>Bhmt2</i>	Betaine-homocysteine methyltransferase 2	-1.4	-1.7
<i>Elov6</i>	ELOVL family member 6, elongation of long chain fatty acids	1.3	-1.3

As production of the Alzheimer's disease-related amyloid-β and sAPPα are mutually exclusive, we analysed how Alzheimer's disease-associated genes were affected in our three data sets. To achieve this we compared our datasets with the Alzheimer gene database, AlzGene [34] and carried out an in-depth literature search. We identified a group of mRNA and microRNA previously

Table 2 Temporally specific regulation of microRNA by sAPPα

MicroRNA	Fold change		
	15 min	2 h	24 h
mir-9	1.3	-1.2	-
mir-27	1.2	-	-
mir-29	1.3	-	-
mir-30	1.2	-	-
mir-130	1.2	-	-
mir-135	1.3	-	-
mir-154	1.2	-	-
mir-204	-	-	-1.7
mir-218	1.2	-	-
mir-219	-	1.2	-
mir-299	1.2	-	-
mir-505	-	1.2	-
mir-544	1.2	-	-
mir-592	1.2	-	-

associated with amyloid-β induced toxicity (e.g. *Frp2* and *Ppif*), or implicated in Alzheimer's disease processes, (miR-29 and miR-9) [35-47], (Table 4). As the expression of these genes was opposite to that observed in Alzheimer's disease this analysis suggests that sAPPα may act, in part, through the same pathways as amyloid-β.

sAPPα rapidly regulates transcriptional processes

To systematically investigate the functional significance of the genes rapidly regulated by sAPPα, we used the Ingenuity Pathway Analysis (IPA) software to identify gene networks from the 15 min dataset. *Gene expression* was identified as the most significant biological function of the highest scoring network, with the majority of the genes in this network upregulated (Figure 4A; score 34). Analysis of this network showed multiple mechanisms engaged by sAPPα to upregulate gene expression. This includes inducible transcription factors of the AP-1 complex [48] (FOS, JUNB and JUND) and the early growth response transcription factor, EGR1. Enhanced expression of *Fos* and *Egr1* was confirmed by qPCR (Figure 3; Additional file 2: Table S4.); furthermore, the upstream regulatory analysis tool within IPA predicted downregulation of a negative regulator of AP-1 activity *Fosl1/Fra1* (FOS-like antigen 1) [49,50], an effect likely to further amplify the sAPPα-induced transcriptional response (Figure 5A).

This network also predicts that sAPPα mediates transcription via activation of the plasticity-related transcription factors, cAMP response element binding protein (CREB) and nuclear factor kappa B (NF-κB), that form central hubs within the network. While their expression levels remain unchanged, this is highly consistent with their roles as constitutive transcription factors regulated by post-transcriptional modification. Indeed, this network includes *Dusp1* (+1.2 fold), a plasticity-related phosphatase and *Map3k14* (+1.2 fold), a kinase known to phosphorylate and activate NF-κB, as well as the NF-κB regulatory molecule, *Nf-κbid* (+1.2 fold) [51,52]. Together these data suggest that sAPPα treatment not only enhances the levels of transcription factors but also contributes to a dynamic interplay between a subset of protein kinases and phosphatases likely to maintain transcriptional activity.

Regulation of the chromatin environment is a third predicted mechanism by which sAPPα regulates expression of genes in this network. The network contains mediators of chromatin condensation (*Kat2b*: K(lysine) acetyltransferase; +1.2 fold) and histone biotinylation (*Hlcs*: holocarboxylase synthetase (biotin-(propionyl)-CoA-carboxylase (ATP-hydrolysing)) ligase; +1.2 fold) [53,54]. Upregulation of KAT2B is likely to result in reduced condensation of chromatin, occurring via acetylation of TNP2 (nuclear transition protein 2) a molecule also regulated within this network.

Table 3 Predicted microRNA-regulated genes following miRvestigator Framework Analysis

Predicted miR-154 regulated genes	Gene title	Transcript cluster ID	Fold change	P. Value
<i>Cks2</i>	CDC28 protein kinase regulatory subunit 2	10797559	-1.18	2.11E-02
<i>Crygd</i>	Crystallin, gamma D	10928549	-1.17	4.33E-02
<i>Dapp1</i>	Dual adaptor of phosphotyrosine and 3-phosphoinositides	10826985	-1.18	5.54E-03
<i>Hspa8</i>	Heat shock 70kDa protein 8	10826604	-1.18	6.75E-03
<i>Luzp1</i>	Leucine zipper protein 1	10880685	1.15	3.83E-02
<i>Olr1014</i>	Olfactory receptor 1014	10893397	-1.17	5.47E-03
<i>Olr1106</i>	Olfactory receptor 1106	10906853	-1.17	4.04E-02
<i>Olr1560</i>	Olfactory receptor 1560	10750649	-1.20	3.44E-02
<i>Olr1679</i>	Olfactory receptor 1679	10830769	-1.15	3.47E-03
<i>Olr75</i>	Olfactory receptor 75	10709401	-1.19	2.45E-02
<i>Olr862</i>	Olfactory receptor 862	10879329	1.22	1.45E-02
<i>Polr3G</i>	Polymerase (RNA) III (DNA directed) polypeptide G (32kD)	10718152	1.18	1.46E-02
<i>Rgd1309139</i>	Similar to CG5435-PA	10818370	-1.15	4.43E-02
<i>Loc303448</i>	Similar to glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase	10715252	-1.15	3.41E-02
<i>Slc5A7</i>	Solute carrier family 5 (choline transporter), member 7	10921208	-1.19	2.23E-02
<i>St18</i>	Suppression of tumorigenicity 18	10875154	-1.21	1.98E-02
Predicted miR-201* regulated genes	Gene title	Transcript cluster ID	Fold change	P. value
<i>Olr139</i>	Olfactory receptor 139	10709469	-1.43	2.61E-02
<i>Olr1532</i>	Olfactory receptor 1532	10750614	-1.72	4.48E-02
<i>Rpl36A1</i>	Ribosomal protein L36a-like	10829826	-1.36	4.34E-02
<i>Rplp2</i>	Ribosomal protein, large, P2	10762590	-1.43	3.70E-02
<i>Rgd1307947</i>	Similar to RIKEN cDNA C430008C19	10822064	-1.46	2.97E-02
<i>Wwc2</i>	WW and C2 domain containing 2	10788101	1.42	4.01E-02

To further explore the 15 min dataset we used the functional annotation tool, DAVID. This highlighted *Cognition* and *Sensory perception* (Enrichment Score 2.2) as well as *Response to corticosterone* (Enrichment Scores 1.77, 1.34) as significantly enriched functions (Additional file 4: Table S6). Interestingly, there is an increase in basal glucocorticoid levels in Alzheimer's disease, [55,56]. Thus sAPP α may regulate the expression of genes associated with this pathway.

sAPP α -induced regulation of apoptosis and the inflammatory response

We next explored the gene networks affected by exposure to sAPP α for extended times. Following 2 h exposure to sAPP α , *Inflammatory Response* was the most significant biological function of the highest scoring network formed used IPA (Figure 4B; score 26). This network contains the proinflammatory cytokine *Il1a*, previously associated with Alzheimer's disease, development and plasticity [57-61], immune associated genes (*Cd80*, *Cr2*, *Cd27*, *Dapp1*) and chemokines (*Ccl3*, *Ccl4*, *Ccl21*). These chemokines are involved in chemoattraction of immune cells to sites of tissue damage but have widespread non-immunological

effects in the central nervous system, including regulation of neural cell proliferation, migration, survival and synaptic transmission [62,63]. That sAPP α treatment promotes regulation of chemokine responses is also supported from analysis of the 2 h data set with the functional annotation tool, DAVID (Additional file 4: Table S6).

Like the 15 min network, NF- κ B forms a central hub in this network, however, many of the genes contributing to the hub, including *Ehf* (ets homologous factor), which promotes apoptosis [64], are downregulated. Interestingly, using the upstream regulatory element analysis tool to analyse the genes upregulated within the 2 h dataset, it is predicted that sAPP α induces inhibition of the transcription factor, tumour protein 53 (p53), which is also an important regulator of apoptosis, and is increased in Alzheimer's disease [65,66] (Figure 5B). In addition, upstream regulatory element analysis using the entire 2 h dataset, predicts activation of the transcription factors AP-1, HMGB1 (high mobility group box protein) and STAT3 (signal transducer and activator of transcription 3) (Figure 5C) suggesting other mechanisms by which sAPP α coordinates this gene response.

Table 4 sAPP α regulates genes linked to amyloid- β toxicity and Alzheimer's disease

Gene symbol	Gene title	Fold change			Functional effects	References
		15 min	2 h	24 h		
<i>Fish</i>	Adapter protein TKS5	1.2	-	-	Mediates the neurotoxic effect of amyloid- β	[35]
<i>Frp2</i>	Formyl peptide receptor 2	-	-	-1.4	Activated by amyloid- β , may mediate inflammation seen in Alzheimer's disease	[36]
<i>Gapdh</i>	Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase	1.2	-	-1.5	May interact with neurodegenerative disease-associated proteins	[37]
<i>Ppif</i>	Peptidylprolyl isomerase F	-	-	-1.4	An absence of this gene is neuroprotective against amyloid- β induced cell death	[38]
<i>Tnf</i>	Tumour necrosis factor	1.4	-	-	Protects neurons against amyloid- β induced toxicity	[39]
<i>Ttr</i>	Transthyretin	-	-2.8	-	Binds amyloid- β and prevents fibril formation <i>in vitro</i>	[40]
<i>Ccl-3</i>	Chemokine (C-C motif) ligand 3	-	1.3	-	Protein levels are increased in Alzheimer's disease	[41]
<i>Fos</i>	FBJ murine osteosarcoma viral oncogene homolog	1.3	-	-	Gene expression is increased in Alzheimer's disease	[42]
<i>Il1b</i>	Interleulin 1-beta	-	1.3	-	Protein levels are increased in Alzheimer's disease	[43]
<i>MiR-9</i>	MicroRNA- 9	1.2	-1.2	-	Expression is reduced in Alzheimer's disease	[44]
<i>MiR-29</i>	MicroRNA-29	1.3	-	-	Regulator of BACE1 and decreased in Alzheimer's disease	[45]
<i>Otc</i>	Ornithine transcarbamylase	-1.2	-	-	Gene expression is increased in Alzheimer's disease	[46]
<i>Rgs12</i>	Regulator of G-protein signaling like 2	-	1.2	-	Gene associated with late onset Alzheimer's disease	[47]

sAPP α -induced long-term regulation of neurogenic, inflammatory response and cell survival pathways

As the reported biological functions of sAPP α , such as neuroprotection and regulation of memory, are enduring physiological changes, we explored the gene expression response induced by a 24 h exposure to sAPP α . To identify the functional relationships within the 24 h differentially expressed gene list, we used the DAVID functional annotation tool. This analysis identified *Neurogenesis*, *Morphogenesis* and *Development* as important biological functions affected 24 h post-sAPP α treatment (Enrichment Scores 2.26-1.48) (Additional file 4: Table S6, See Additional file 5: Figure S2).

We extended analysis of this dataset by interpretation of the highest scoring network derived using IPA, (Figure 4C). This network was composed of genes with overlapping biological functions, including upregulated genes related to cell proliferation (*Cd80*, *Ubn1*, *Ptpr*; validated by qPCR; Figure 3; Additional file 2: Table S4), regulation of genes likely to promote cell survival (*Inkb1*, *Cd80*, *Sgk1*, *Fpr2*, *Cxcr4*) and inhibit apoptosis (*Gzmb*; validated by qPCR; Figure 3; *Cxcr4*, *Ifnb1*, *Sgk1*). As sAPP α has previously been shown to promote growth, survival and proliferation in neurons and stem cells [10,67,68] these findings supports a role for sAPP α -induced gene expression in mediating these events.


Discussion

In order to better understand the role that regulation of gene expression may play in mediating the wide-ranging

cellular effects of sAPP α , we performed a global transcriptome analysis in organotypic hippocampal slice cultures treated with 1 nM sAPP α for 15 min, 2 h or 24 h. We focused on the hippocampus as this region is essential to learning and memory and is especially vulnerable to degeneration in Alzheimer's disease [69]. Our analysis demonstrated that sAPP α rapidly regulates gene expression by engaging multiple transcriptional regulatory mechanisms. This is evidenced by rapid and transient upregulation of inducible transcription factors (AP-1 complex, EGR1), temporally specific regulation of constitutively expressed transcription factors (NF- κ B, CREB) and microRNA, as well as, modulation of the chromatin environment.


Network analysis showed that regulation of NF- κ B is a consistent theme across all three time-points investigated. This supports previous work proposing that the neuroprotective effects of sAPP α are mediated through activation of NF- κ B and subsequent enhanced activation of gene expression [70,71]. sAPP α -induced NF- κ B activation is implicated in protecting neural cells from apoptosis, therefore our results suggest a role for specifically regulated genes in this process [72]. Interestingly, NF- κ B has recently been intimately linked with amyloid- β production [73,74] and sAPP α modulates APP processing [5]. Therefore, the control of amyloid- β production may be crucially dependent on the activation status of NF- κ B, over which sAPP α has influence [70-72].

Increasing evidence points to the involvement of dysregulated microRNA in Alzheimer's disease [75] and



indeed an NF- κ B-sensitive microRNA has been implicated in modulating the inflammatory circuit in Alzheimer's disease [76]. Our results highlight a role for microRNA in sAPP α -induced regulation of gene expression. We found that a short-term exposure to sAPP α was associated with upregulation of a subset of microRNA. As medium to long-term sAPP α exposure resulted in a general decrease in gene expression, we propose that this may be mediated by microRNA. Interestingly, we

reported a similar general effect on gene expression following the induction of LTP, which we have shown to be associated with altered levels of mature microRNA [30]. Our results also demonstrate that sAPP α induces a subset of plasticity-associated immediate early genes, however, we found little evidence that the sAPP α -induced gene response paralleled that of the LTP gene response. Therefore, post-translational modifications, and altered synaptic protein synthesis,



are likely to mediate many of the effects of sAPP α on LTP [17,77,78].

It is of particular note that prolonged exposure to sAPP α resulted in persistent effects on gene expression that correlate closely with the documented neuroprotective and neurogenic roles of sAPP α . This interpretation is consistent with the only other microarray analysis of sAPP α -regulated gene expression in the hippocampus [23]. This study reported that treatment of mouse organotypic slices with 1 nM sAPP α for 24 h also resulted in regulation of several neuroprotective genes. Interestingly, there was little coherence between the genes identified in this study and our own. This may result from differences in the array type used (Affymetrix MG-U74Av2 vs. Rat Gene 1.0 ST), the number of annotated genes investigated (~9,000 vs ~28,000), the statistical analyses performed (Wilcoxon signed rank test vs. moderate paired *t*-test) or species (mouse vs. rat).

While there is a paucity of data estimating the physiological levels of sAPP α , it has been estimated to fall within the pM range within plasma and brain homogenates [79-81], however, it is difficult to extrapolate these concentrations to the *ex vivo* model used in this study. Our studies highlight that 1 nM sAPP α is sufficient to modulate gene expression in *ex vivo* models and emphasizes that both medium and longer-term exposure to sAPP α elicits an inflammatory and immune gene response, which likely provides a neuroprotective environment. This neuroprotective setting appears to be strengthened by a parallel downregulation of apoptotic pathways and increases in cell proliferation and survival. Furthermore, we found evidence for the regulation of genes associated with neurogenesis. Recent evidence has also linked sAPP α with the induction of neurogenesis in the mammalian brain [10-12,82]. As new brain cells have the capacity to integrate into previously established neural networks and contribute to hippocampal functioning [83], neurogenesis may also contribute to the sAPP α -induced neuroprotective and memory enhancing effects over the long-term.

Conclusion

In summary, our analyses consolidate the concept that sAPP α regulates gene expression. We provide evidence that this occurs in a temporally specific manner, and occurs through providing an environment conducive for transcription that results in activation of immediate early gene transcription factors, known to mediate neuroprotection and proliferation [84,85], and regulation of microRNA. Furthermore, we demonstrate that gene networks constructed following medium and prolonged exposure to sAPP α reveal novel mechanisms likely to underpin and consolidate the neuroprotective stimulus induced by sAPP α in the hippocampus.

Methods

Organotypic hippocampal slice cultures

Preparation and maintenance

Organotypic hippocampal slice cultures were prepared from 7–10 day old Sprague Dawley rat pups of either sex according to the method of Stoppini *et al.*, [86]. The animals were deeply anaesthetized with ketamine (100 mg/kg, i.p.), using a protocol approved by the University of Otago Animal Ethics Committee to ensure minimal animal suffering. Brains were removed and placed in ice-cold filter-sterilized dissection media consisting of Minimum Essential Medium (MEM) containing Hank's salts (95.5%, Gibco), penicillin-streptomycin solution (1%, Gibco), HEPES buffer solution (2.5%, Gibco) and 1M Tris-HCl (1%, Invitrogen, CA, USA). Hippocampi were then dissected free on an ice-cold glass plate and transverse slices cut at 400 μ m on a Mcllwain tissue chopper (Mickle Laboratory Engineering, Surry, England). Separated slices were individually placed onto cell culture inserts (Millicell[®], Millipore, MA, USA) held in 35 mm disposable petri dishes (Nunc, Denmark). To control for any gender differences, individual slices were randomised across all inserts. Slices were subsequently incubated in media containing MEM with Hank's salts (50%), Hank's balanced salt solution (25%, Gibco) and heat-inactivated horse serum (25%, Gibco) with 100 units of penicillin-streptomycin/ml and buffered to pH 7.2 with 1 M HEPES solution for three days at 37°C in a humidified incubator containing 5% CO₂, and subsequently at 34°C. Culture medium was changed after the initial 24 h, and then every 3 days.

Experimental manipulation

Slices were allocated to experimental groups after 10–11 days *in vitro* (DIV). Neuroprotection assays and gene expression experiments contained slices from 4 animals, with 4–5 slices on each insert. All treatments were conducted in culture medium in which the horse serum was replaced with the same volume of MEM. Recombinant human sAPP α was produced using human embryonic kidney-293 cells in which the sAPP α gene fragment was stably integrated [23].

Neuroprotection assay

Organotypic hippocampal slices were pre-treated with sAPP α (0.03–10 nM) or PBS for 24 h before treatment with NMDA (30 μ M, 30 min) to induce partial, hippocampal-wide neuronal cell death. sAPP α was removed during the NMDA challenge, but subsequently reintroduced at the same concentration for a further 48 h.

Fluorescence imaging

Levels of cell death were determined 48 h after NMDA treatment using fluorescence imaging. Dead cells were

labelled using the fluorescent dye propidium iodide (PI, ex. 536 nm, em. 617 nm). Emitted fluorescence was imaged with a Zeiss Axio Scope A1 microscope fitted with an LED illumination system and fluorescence filter cube (LED module ex. 540–580 nm; Zeiss filter set 43, ex. 545 nm- excitation, 605 nm-emission). Images were acquired with a Scion Corporation (Frederick, MD, USA) 12-bit colour camera (model CFW-1612C) and Scion Corporation VisiCapture image acquisition software. This software enabled us to reduce electronic and background image noise by collecting images that were the average of the previous five frames. For the purposes of analysis, each cultured slice was divided up into four principal areas CA1, CA3, inner blade of the dentate gyrus (DG/ib), and outer blade of the dentate gyrus (DG/ob).

Image analysis

Cell death was quantified by measuring the average pixel intensity within a manually-selected region-of-interest (ROI) using ImageJ software (<http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij/download.html>). For each image, the cell body layer was identified and manually selected as a ROI from which the average pixel intensity was calculated. The positioning of each ROI was dependent on the area to be analysed; CA1: identified as the cell body layer immediately above the DG; CA3: identified as the layer continuous with, and extending beyond, CA1 and ending before it entered the hilus of the DG. DG: divided into inner and outer blades with an approximate mid-point selected to demarcate the segments (Figure 1). The ROI size for each of the four areas was kept consistent between images.

Data analysis

For assessment of regional sAPP α effects, raw PI intensity values in the sAPP α groups were compared across the control and NMDA group values using a 1-way ANOVA with a post-hoc Bonferroni correction. Significance was set at $p < 0.05$.

Transcriptome analysis

Organotypic hippocampal slices were incubated with media containing 1 nM sAPP α or PBS for 15 min, 2 h or 24 h ($n = 5$ samples per treatment group, with each sample consisting of 5 randomly selected slices from 4 animals), rinsed with PBS, fixed in 70%(v/v) ETOH and snap frozen. Total RNA was prepared from organotypic hippocampal slices following tissue grinding on dry ice and shearing through a 22G \times 1" Ultra Thin Wall Needle (Terumo[®], Japan), using the NORGEN Total RNA Purification Kit (Norgen Biotek Corporation, Canada), plus DNase I treatment step (Qiagen, Germany). RNA sample concentrations and integrity were determined using spectrophotometry (Nanodrop 1000; Thermo Scientific, USA) and a Bioanalyzer, using an RNA 6000 Nano

Labchip (Bioanalyzer 2100; Agilent Technologies, USA). Only samples with an average RNA integrity number >8 were used [87,88]. Array hybridizations were carried out at the Otago Genomics Facility (University of Otago), where RNA samples were biotin-labeled and hybridized to Affymetrix Rat Gene 1.0 ST arrays. These arrays cover 27342 annotated genes represented by ~26 probes spread across the full-length of each gene. A subset of microRNA are represented on the Rat GeneChip. The microRNA specific probes align to the stem loop sequences with the potential to identify both the primary and precursor forms of the transcripts.

Microarray data analysis

The Robust Multichip Average (RMA) package was used to normalize the data derived from treated and control samples (Sketch expression consul, Affymetrix, USA). In order to produce an inclusive list of sAPP α related genes and microRNA suitable for network analysis, differentially expressed genes were identified using two selection criteria: a threshold fold change cutoff (± 1.15) and a moderated *t*-test with a significance criterion of $p < 0.05$ [29]. The *t*-statistic was generated using the Limma package, which utilizes a standard error moderated across all genes using a simple Bayesian model and produces *p*-values with greater degrees of freedom and hence greater reliability [89]. More stringent selection criteria were not used in this study to avoid the risk of Type 2 error and unnecessarily limit the datasets for network analysis [90,91].

To investigate potential microRNA likely to regulate the observed datasets, we used the web application miR-vestigator Framework (<http://mirvestigator.systemsbio.net/>) that identifies microRNA responsible for co-regulated gene expression patterns [33,92].

Identification of biologically relevant networks and biological functions

Ingenuity pathway analysis, version 9 (IPA) (Ingenuity[®] Systems, www.ingenuity.com) was used to investigate interaction-based relationships between the genes and proteins encoded by the sAPP α -regulated gene expression sets. The gene sets, containing Affymetrix identifiers and corresponding expression values ($p < 0.05$), were submitted for analysis and gene networks were produced. Each network contains up to 35 genes and has an associated score derived from a *p*-value, indicating the expected likelihood of the genes being present in a network compared to that expected by chance. Scores of two or above have at least a 99% likelihood of not being generated by chance.

As the use of multiple tools is highly recommended for functional analysis of microarray data [93], we also applied an alternative analysis approach, Functional

Annotation Clustering (DAVID [93]) to analyse the differentially expressed genes. In contrast to IPA, which is an interaction-based analysis, DAVID categorises the genes into groups based on Gene Ontology terms, and then displays similar annotations together. The total set of genes on the appropriate microarray was used as the background. The annotation terms are clustered based on the share of common genes and an EASE score, a modified Fisher exact *p*-value, is produced for each annotation term. Usually a *p*-value equal or smaller than 0.05 is considered strongly enriched in the annotation categories [93]. Next, the annotation terms were clustered based on similar annotation terms and genes. The overall enrichment score of each cluster was based on the EASE scores of each annotation term. Clusters with a minimum *enrichment score* of 1.3 (equivalent to a *p*-value of 0.05) were deemed significant.

Real-time quantitative PCR

Selected biologically relevant differentially expressed genes were analysed by quantitative PCR (qPCR). Extracted RNA was reverse-transcribed to first strand cDNA using Superscript III (Invitrogen, CA, USA). Sixteen primer pairs were designed using Primer 3 (<http://primer3.wi.mit.edu/>) and obtained from Integrated DNA Technologies, (USA). Primer sequences are described in Additional file 6: Table S7. qPCR was performed using SYBR green mastermix (Roche, Switzerland) on a Roche Lightcycler 480. The qPCR validation included animals from the same RNA used in the microarray analysis. Results were normalised to the housekeeping genes Hypoxanthine phosphoribosyltransferase 1 (*Hprt*) and Peptidylprolyl isomerase A (*Ppia*) using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method [94]. These genes have consistently remained stable across numerous studies in the hippocampus eg [95,96]. Significance was assessed using Student's *t*-tests with the criterion set at $p < 0.05$ and 1.2 fold change.

Availability of supporting data

The data sets supporting the results of this article will be available in the ArrayExpress repository, accession number pending.

Additional files

Additional file 1: Figure S1. Neuroprotective effects of sAPP α are concentration dependent. Change in cell death in DG/ob following treatment of sAPP α (0.03-10 nM) relative to control/NMDA treatment. * $p < 0.05$, $n = 4$ animals, 19 slices/group. sAPP α neuroprotection followed a U-shaped dose-response curve, with increasing concentrations of sAPP α (to a maximum of 1 nM) leading to a significant neuroprotective effect, which then decreased with increasing concentrations of sAPP α . This agrees with our previous study [16], where we observed a dose dependent facilitation of *in vivo* LTP by sAPP α , with little effects on LTP at low concentrations, facilitation at mid-range concentrations and

significant inhibition at high concentrations. This may reflect the occupancy/binding properties of the receptor of sAPPa, as yet unidentified [12,97]. No significant effects were detected following incubation with the other sAPPa + NMDA concentrations.

Additional file 2: Tables S1-S4. Significantly expressed transcripts clusters following 15 min (Table S1), 2 h (Table S2) and 24 h (Table S3) sAPPa exposure. **Table S4:** Validation of selected differentially expressed genes by qPCR including associated *p*-values. Entries without gene symbols and titles have no annotations associated with these probe ids.

Additional file 3: Table S5. Expression of sAPPa related genes across time. Overlapping temporal gene expression lists from Venn diagram (Figure 2B). NC: no change in gene expression at this time.

Additional file 4: Table S6. DAVID functional annotation clustering of sAPPa-regulated genes.

Additional file 5: Figure S2. Genes with annotations related to neurogenesis and development. Associations between the 24 h gene list and their annotations following DAVID functional analysis. The region in green illustrates that all annotations are common across the cluster. Enrichment score 2.35.

Additional file 6: Table S7. List of primers used for real-time qPCR.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

MMR and GPM were the major contributors to the experimental aspects of the study. MMR conceived and designed the study, carried out two of the experimental array studies and validations, the statistical and data analysis and interpretation, and drafted the manuscript. GPM helped design the study, carried out one array study and validation, the corresponding data analysis and interpretation, and drafted the manuscript. BGM prepared the hippocampal organotypic slice cultures, and validated the neuroprotective function of sAPPa against excitotoxicity. KB developed the laboratory-based production and purification of sAPPa in human cells. WCA contributed to the design of the study and critically revised the manuscript. WPT and JMW were equal, complementary contributors to the overall leadership of the project. WPT conceived, participated in the design and co-ordinated the experimental aspects of the study, and helped draft the manuscript. JMW conceived and participated in the design and co-ordination of the study, undertook critical data analysis and interpretation, and drafted the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the New Zealand Health Research Council, the Royal Society of New Zealand Marsden Fund and the Neurological Foundation of New Zealand. We thank Dr. Shane Ohline and Ryan Abraham for technical assistance in producing the hippocampal organotypic slices and the neuroprotection assay, respectively. We also thank Les McNoe from the Otago Genomics Facility for assisting with the microarrays and Diane Guévremont for proofreading of the manuscript.

Author details

¹Brain Health Research Centre, University of Otago, PO Box 56, Dunedin New Zealand. ²Department of Anatomy, Otago School of Medical Sciences, PO Box 913, Dunedin, New Zealand. ³Department of Biochemistry, Otago School of Medical Sciences, PO Box 56, Dunedin, New Zealand. ⁴Department of Psychology, University of Otago, PO Box 56, Dunedin, New Zealand. ⁵Neuroscience Department, Garvan Institute of Medical Research, Sydney, Australia.

Received: 14 November 2012 Accepted: 24 May 2013

Published: 6 June 2013

References

1. Villemagne VL, Perez KA, Pike KE, Kok WM, Rowe CC, White AR, Bourgeat P, Salvado O, Bedo J, Hutton CA, et al: **Blood-borne amyloid-beta dimer correlates with clinical markers of Alzheimer's disease.** *J Neurosci* 2010, 30(18):6315–6322.

2. Moghekar A, Rao S, Li M, Ruben D, Mammen A, Tang X, O'Brien RJ: **Large quantities of Abeta peptide are constitutively released during amyloid precursor protein metabolism in vivo and in vitro.** *J Biol Chem* 2011, 286(18):15989–15997.
3. Turner PR, O'Connor K, Tate WP, Abraham WC: **Roles of amyloid precursor protein and its fragments in regulating neural activity, plasticity and memory.** *Prog Neurobiol* 2003, 70(1):1–32.
4. Masters CL, Simms G, Weinman NA, Multhaup G, McDonald BL, Beyreuther K: **Amyloid plaque core protein in Alzheimer disease and Down syndrome.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1985, 82(12):4245–4249.
5. Obregon D, Hou H, Deng J, Giunta B, Tian J, Darlington D, Shahaduzzaman M, Zhu Y, Mori T, Mattson MP, et al: **Soluble amyloid precursor protein-alpha modulates beta-secretase activity and amyloid-beta generation.** *Nat Commun* 2012, 3:777.
6. Mattson MP, Cheng B, Culwell AR, Esch FS, Lieberburg I, Rydel RE: **Evidence for excitoprotective and intraneuronal calcium-regulating roles for secreted forms of the beta-amyloid precursor protein.** *Neuron* 1993, 10(2):243–254.
7. Thornton E, Vink R, Blumbergs PC, Van Den Heuvel C: **Soluble amyloid precursor protein alpha reduces neuronal injury and improves functional outcome following diffuse traumatic brain injury in rats.** *Brain Res* 2006, 1094(1):38–46.
8. Milward EA, Papadopoulos R, Fuller SJ, Moir RD, Small D, Beyreuther K, Masters CL: **The amyloid protein precursor of Alzheimer's disease is a mediator of the effects of nerve growth factor on neurite outgrowth.** *Neuron* 1992, 9(1):129–137.
9. Ninomiya H, Roch JM, Jin LW, Saitoh T: **Secreted form of amyloid beta/A4 protein precursor (APP) binds to two distinct APP binding sites on rat B103 neuron-like cells through two different domains, but only one site is involved in neuritotropic activity.** *J Neurochem* 1994, 63(2):495–500.
10. Baratchi S, Evans J, Tate WP, Abraham WC, Connor B: **Secreted amyloid precursor proteins promote proliferation and glial differentiation of adult hippocampal neural progenitor cells.** *Hippocampus* 2012, 22(7):1517–1527.
11. Porayette P, Gallego MJ, Kaltcheva MM, Bowen RL, Vadakkadath Meethal S, Atwood CS: **Differential processing of amyloid-beta precursor protein directs human embryonic stem cell proliferation and differentiation into neuronal precursor cells.** *J Biol Chem* 2009, 284(35):23806–23817.
12. Caille I, Allinquant B, Dupont E, Bouillot C, Langer A, Muller U, Prochiantz A: **Soluble form of amyloid precursor protein regulates proliferation of progenitors in the adult subventricular zone.** *Development* 2004, 131(9):2173–2181.
13. Bour A, Little S, Dodart JC, Kelche C, Mathis C: **A secreted form of the beta-amyloid precursor protein (sAPP695) improves spatial recognition memory in OF1 mice.** *Neurobiol Learn Mem* 2004, 81(1):27–38.
14. Ishida A, Furukawa K, Keller JN, Mattson MP: **Secreted form of beta-amyloid precursor protein shifts the frequency dependency for induction of LTD, and enhances LTP in hippocampal slices.** *NeuroReport* 1997, 8(9–10):2133–2137.
15. Meziane H, Dodart JC, Mathis C, Little S, Clemens J, Paul SM, Ungerer A: **Memory-enhancing effects of secreted forms of the beta-amyloid precursor protein in normal and amnesic mice.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1998, 95(21):12683–12688.
16. Taylor CJ, Ireland DR, Ballagh I, Bourne K, Marechal NM, Turner PR, Bilkey DK, Tate WP, Abraham WC: **Endogenous secreted amyloid precursor protein-alpha regulates hippocampal NMDA receptor function, long-term potentiation and spatial memory.** *Neurobiol Dis* 2008, 31(2):250–260.
17. Claassen AM, Guevremont D, Mason-Parker SE, Bourne K, Tate WP, Abraham WC, Williams JM: **Secreted amyloid precursor protein-alpha upregulates synaptic protein synthesis by a protein kinase G-dependent mechanism.** *Neurosci Lett* 2009, 460(1):92–96.
18. Barger SW, Mattson MP: **The secreted form of the Alzheimer's beta-amyloid precursor protein stimulates a membrane-associated guanylate cyclase.** *Biochem J* 1995, 311(1):45–47.
19. Barger SW, Fiscus RR, Ruth P, Hofmann F, Mattson MP: **Role of cyclic GMP in the regulation of neuronal calcium and survival by secreted forms of beta-amyloid precursor.** *J Neurochem* 1995, 64(5):2087–2096.
20. Cheng G, Yu Z, Zhou D, Mattson MP: **Phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase-Akt kinase and p42/p44 mitogen-activated protein kinases mediate neurotrophic and excitoprotective actions of a secreted form of amyloid precursor protein.** *Exp Neurol* 2002, 175(2):407–414.
21. Gakhar-Koppole N, Hundeshagen P, Mandl C, Weyer SW, Allinquant B, Muller U, Ciccolini F: **Activity requires soluble amyloid precursor protein**

- alpha to promote neurite outgrowth in neural stem cell-derived neurons via activation of the MAPK pathway. *Eur J Neurosci* 2008, **28**(5):871–882.
22. Chasseigneaux S, Dinc L, Rose C, Chabret C, Couplier F, Topilko P, Mauger G, Allinquant B: Secreted amyloid precursor protein beta and secreted amyloid precursor protein alpha induce axon outgrowth *in vitro* through Egr1 signaling pathway. *PLoS One* 2011, **6**(1):e16301.
 23. Stein TD, Anders NJ, DeCarli C, Chan SL, Mattson MP, Johnson JA: Neutralization of transthyretin reverses the neuroprotective effects of secreted amyloid precursor protein (APP) in APPSW mice resulting in tau phosphorylation and loss of hippocampal neurons: support for the amyloid hypothesis. *J Neurosci* 2004, **24**(35):7707–7717.
 24. Aydin D, Filippov MA, Tschape JA, Gretz N, Prinz M, Eils R, Brors B, Muller UC: Comparative transcriptome profiling of amyloid precursor protein family members in the adult cortex. *BMC Genomics* 2011, **12**:160.
 25. Turner PR, Bourne K, Garama D, Carne A, Abraham WC, Tate WP: Production, purification and functional validation of human secreted amyloid precursor proteins for use as neuropharmacological reagents. *J Neurosci Methods* 2007, **164**(1):68–74.
 26. Sinor JD, Du S, Venneti S, Blitzblau RC, Leszkiewicz DN, Rosenberg PA, Aizenman E: NMDA and glutamate evoke excitotoxicity at distinct cellular locations in rat cortical neurons *in vitro*. *J Neurosci* 2000, **20**(23):8831–8837.
 27. Soverchia L, Ubaldi M, Leonardi-Essmann F, Ciccocioppo R, Hardiman G: Microarrays—the challenge of preparing brain tissue samples. *Addict Biol* 2005, **10**(1):5–13.
 28. Prinzen C, Trumbach D, Wurst W, Endres K, Postina R, Fahrenholz F: Differential gene expression in ADAM10 and mutant ADAM10 transgenic mice. *BMC Genomics* 2009, **10**:66.
 29. Ryan MM, Mason-Parker SE, Tate WP, Abraham WC, Williams JM: Rapidly induced gene networks following induction of long-term potentiation at perforant path synapses *in vivo*. *Hippocampus* 2011, **21**(5):541–553.
 30. Ryan MM, Ryan B, Kyrke-Smith M, Logan B, Tate WP, Abraham WC, Williams JM: Temporal profiling of gene networks associated with the late phase of long-term potentiation *in vivo*. *PLoS One* 2012, **7**(7):e40538.
 31. Tian L, McClafferty H, Knaus HG, Ruth P, Shipston MJ: Distinct acyl protein transferases and thioesterases control surface expression of calcium-activated potassium channels. *J Biol Chem* 2012, **287**(18):14718–14725.
 32. Fukata Y, Fukata M: Protein palmitoylation in neuronal development and synaptic plasticity. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2010, **11**(3):161–175.
 33. Bartel DP: MicroRNAs: target recognition and regulatory functions. *Cell* 2009, **136**(2):215–233.
 34. Di Bona D, Candore G, Franceschi C, Licastro F, Colonna-Romano G, Camma C, Lio D, Caruso C: Systematic review by meta-analyses on the possible role of TNF-alpha polymorphisms in association with Alzheimer's disease. *Brain Res Rev* 2009, **61**(2):60–68.
 35. Malinin NL, Wright S, Seubert P, Schenk D, Griswold-Prenner I: Amyloid-beta neurotoxicity is mediated by FISH adapter protein and ADAM12 metalloprotease activity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2005, **102**(8):3058–3063.
 36. Le Y, Gong W, Tiffany HL, Tumanov A, Nedospasov S, Shen W, Dunlop NM, Gao JL, Murphy PM, Oppenheim JJ, et al: Amyloid (beta)42 activates a G-protein-coupled chemoattractant receptor, FPR-like-1. *J Neurosci* 2001, **21**(2):RC123.
 37. Butterfield DA, Hardas SS, Lange ML: Oxidatively modified glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) and Alzheimer's disease: many pathways to neurodegeneration. *J Alzheimers Dis* 2010, **20**(2):369–393.
 38. Du H, Guo L, Fang F, Chen D, Sosunov AA, McKhann GM, Yan Y, Wang C, Zhang H, Molkenin JD, et al: Cyclophilin D deficiency attenuates mitochondrial and neuronal perturbation and ameliorates learning and memory in Alzheimer's disease. *Nat Med* 2008, **14**(10):1097–1105.
 39. Barger SW, Horster D, Furukawa K, Goodman Y, Krieglstein J, Mattson MP: Tumor necrosis factors alpha and beta protect neurons against amyloid beta-peptide toxicity: evidence for involvement of a kappa B-binding factor and attenuation of peroxide and Ca2+ accumulation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1995, **92**(20):9328–9332.
 40. Schwarzman AL, Gregori L, Vitek MP, Lyubski S, Strittmatter WJ, Enghilde JJ, Bhasin R, Silverman J, Weisgraber KH, Coyle PK, et al: Transthyretin sequesters amyloid beta protein and prevents amyloid formation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1994, **91**(18):8368–8372.
 41. Liao Y, Guan ZZ, Ravid R: [Changes of nuclear factor and inflammatory chemotactic factors in brain of patients with Alzheimer's disease]. *Zhonghua Bing Li Xue Za Zhi* 2011, **40**(9):585–589.
 42. Lu W, Mi R, Tang H, Liu S, Fan M, Wang L: Over-expression of c-fos mRNA in the hippocampal neurons in Alzheimer's disease. *Chin Med J (Engl)* 1998, **111**(1):35–37.
 43. Blum-Degen D, Muller T, Kuhn W, Gerlach M, Przuntek H, Riederer P: Interleukin-1 beta and interleukin-6 are elevated in the cerebrospinal fluid of Alzheimer's and de novo Parkinson's disease patients. *Neurosci Lett* 1995, **202**(1–2):17–20.
 44. Lukiw WJ: Micro-RNA speciation in fetal, adult and Alzheimer's disease hippocampus. *NeuroReport* 2007, **18**(3):297–300.
 45. Hebert SS, Horre K, Nicolai L, Papadopoulou AS, Mandemakers W, Silahatoglu AN, Kauppinen S, Delacourte A, De Strooper B: Loss of microRNA cluster miR-29a/b-1 in sporadic Alzheimer's disease correlates with increased BACE1/beta-secretase expression. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2008, **105**(17):6415–6420.
 46. Bensemain F, Hot D, Ferreira S, Dumont J, Bombois S, Maurage CA, Huot L, Hermant X, Levillain E, Hubans C, et al: Evidence for induction of the ornithine transcarbamylase expression in Alzheimer's disease. *Mol Psychiatry* 2009, **14**(1):106–116.
 47. Liu F, Arias-Vasquez A, Slegers K, Aulchenko YS, Kayser M, Sanchez-Juan P, Feng BJ, Bertoli-Avella AM, van Swieten J, Axenovich TI, et al: A genomewide screen for late-onset Alzheimer disease in a genetically isolated Dutch population. *Am J Hum Genet* 2007, **81**(1):17–31.
 48. Pérez-Cadahía B, Drobic B, Davie JR: Activation and function of immediate-early genes in the nervous system. *Biochem Cell Biol* 2011, **89**(1):61–73.
 49. Chinenov Y, Kerppola TK: Close encounters of many kinds: Fos-Jun interactions that mediate transcription regulatory specificity. *Oncogene* 2001, **20**(19):2438–2452.
 50. Tulchinsky E: Fos family members: regulation, structure and role in oncogenic transformation. *Histol Histopathol* 2000, **15**(3):921–928.
 51. Song HY, Regnier CH, Kirschning CJ, Goeddel DV, Rothe M: Tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-mediated kinase cascades: bifurcation of nuclear factor-kappaB and c-jun N-terminal kinase (JNK/SAPK) pathways at TNF receptor-associated factor 2. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1997, **94**(18):9792–9796.
 52. Huang EJ, Reichardt LF: Neurotrophins: roles in neuronal development and function. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 2001, **24**:677–736.
 53. Camporeale G, Oommen AM, Griffin JB, Sarath G, Zemljeni J: K12-biotinylated histone H4 marks heterochromatin in human lymphoblastoma cells. *J Nutr Biochem* 2007, **18**(11):760–768.
 54. Bao B, Pestinger V, Hassan YI, Borgstahl GE, Kolar C, Zemljeni J: Holocarboxylase synthetase is a chromatin protein and interacts directly with histone H3 to mediate biotinylation of K9 and K18. *J Nutr Biochem* 2011, **22**(5):470–475.
 55. Roozendaal B, Phillips RG, Power AE, Brooke SM, Sapolsky RM, McLaugh JL: Memory retrieval impairment induced by hippocampal CA3 lesions is blocked by adrenocortical suppression. *Nat Neurosci* 2001, **4**(12):1169–1171.
 56. Kulstad JJ, McMillan PJ, Leverenz JB, Cook DG, Green PS, Peskind ER, Wilkinson CW, Farris W, Mehta PD, Craft S: Effects of chronic glucocorticoid administration on insulin-degrading enzyme and amyloid-beta peptide in the aged macaque. *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol* 2005, **64**(2):139–146.
 57. Griffin W, Stanley L, Ling C, White L, MacLeod V, Perrot L, White C, Araoz C: Brain interleukin 1 and S-100 immunoreactivity are elevated in Down syndrome and Alzheimer disease. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1989, **86**(19):7611–7615.
 58. Carter C: Convergence of genes implicated in Alzheimer's disease on the cerebral cholesterol shuttle: APP, cholesterol, lipoproteins, and atherosclerosis. *Neurochem Int* 2007, **50**(1):12–38.
 59. Dinarello C: Biologic basis for interleukin-1 in disease. *Blood* 1996, **87**(6):2095–2147.
 60. Politis A, Olgiaiti P, Malitas P, Albani D, Signorini A, Polito L, De Mauro S, Zisaki A, Piperi C, Stamouli E, et al: Vitamin B12 levels in Alzheimer's disease: association with clinical features and cytokine production. *J Alzheimers Dis* 2010, **19**(2):481–488.
 61. Spulber S, Bartfai T, Schultzberg M: IL-1/IL-1ra balance in the brain revisited - evidence from transgenic mouse models. *Brain Behav Immun* 2009, **23**(5):573–579.
 62. Bajetto A, Bonavia R, Barbero S, Schettini G: Characterization of chemokines and their receptors in the central nervous system: physiopathological implications. *J Neurochem* 2002, **82**(6):1311–1329.

63. Cartier L, Hartley O, Dubois-Dauphin M, Krause KH: Chemokine receptors in the central nervous system: role in brain inflammation and neurodegenerative diseases. *Brain Res Brain Res Rev* 2005, **48**(1):16–42.
64. Fujikawa M, Katagiri T, Tugores A, Nakamura Y, Ishikawa F: ESE-3, an Ets family transcription factor, is up-regulated in cellular senescence. *Cancer Sci* 2007, **98**(9):1468–1475.
65. Dezor M, Dorszewska J, Florczak J, Kempisty B, Jaroszevska-Kolecka J, Rozycka A, Polrolniczak A, Bugaj R, Jagodzinski PP, Kozubski W: Expression of 8-oxoguanine DNA glycosylase 1 (OGG1) and the level of p53 and TNF-alpha proteins in peripheral lymphocytes of patients with Alzheimer's disease. *Folia Neuropathol* 2011, **49**(2):123–131.
66. de la Monte SM, Wands JR: Molecular indices of oxidative stress and mitochondrial dysfunction occur early and often progress with severity of Alzheimer's disease. *J Alzheimers Dis* 2006, **9**(2):167–181.
67. Smith-Swintosky VL, Pettigrew LC, Craddock SD, Culwell AR, Rydel RE, Mattson MP: Secreted forms of beta-amyloid precursor protein protect against ischemic brain injury. *J Neurochem* 1994, **63**(2):781–784.
68. Araki W, Kitaguchi N, Tokushima Y, Ishii K, Aratake H, Shimohama S, Nakamura S, Kimura J: Trophic effect of beta-amyloid precursor protein on cerebral cortical neurons in culture. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 1991, **181**(1):265–271.
69. Walsh DM, Selkoe DJ: Deciphering the Molecular Basis of Memory Failure in Alzheimer's Disease. *Neuron* 2004, **44**(1):181–193.
70. Barger SW, Mattson MP: Participation of gene expression in the protection against amyloid beta-peptide toxicity by the beta-amyloid precursor protein. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1996, **777**:303–309.
71. Barger SW, Mattson MP: Induction of neuroprotective kappa B-dependent transcription by secreted forms of the Alzheimer's beta-amyloid precursor. *Brain Res Mol Brain Res* 1996, **40**(1):116–126.
72. Guo Q, Robinson N, Mattson MP: Secreted beta-amyloid precursor protein counteracts the proapoptotic action of mutant presenilin-1 by activation of NF-kappaB and stabilization of calcium homeostasis. *J Biol Chem* 1998, **273**(20):12341–12351.
73. Bonizzi G, Karin M: The two NF-kappaB activation pathways and their role in innate and adaptive immunity. *Trends Immunol* 2004, **25**(6):280–288.
74. Chami L, Buggia-Prevot V, Duplan E, Delprete D, Chami M, Peyron JF, Checler F: Nuclear Factor-kappaB Regulates betaAPP and beta- and gamma-Secretases Differently at Physiological and Supraphysiological Abeta Concentrations. *J Biol Chem* 2012, **287**(29):24573–24584.
75. Cogswell JP, Ward J, Taylor IA, Waters M, Shi Y, Cannon B, Kelnar K, Kempainen J, Brown D, Chen C, et al: Identification of miRNA changes in Alzheimer's disease brain and CSF yields putative biomarkers and insights into disease pathways. *J Alzheimers Dis* 2008, **14**(1):27–41.
76. Lukiw WJ, Zhao Y, Cui JG: An NF-kappaB-sensitive micro RNA-146a-mediated inflammatory circuit in Alzheimer disease and in stressed human brain cells. *J Biol Chem* 2008, **283**(46):31315–31322.
77. Routtenberg A, Rekart JL: Post-translational protein modification as the substrate for long-lasting memory. *Trends Neurosci* 2005, **28**(1):12–19.
78. Sacktor TC: How does PKMzeta maintain long-term memory? *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2011, **12**(1):9–15.
79. Maccacchini ML, Chang MY, Pan C, John V, Zetterberg H, Greig NH: Posiphen as a candidate drug to lower CSF amyloid precursor protein, amyloid-beta peptide and tau levels: target engagement, tolerability and pharmacokinetics in humans. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2012, **83**(9):894–902.
80. Olsson A, Hoglund K, Sjogren M, Andreassen N, Minthon L, Lannfelt L, Buerger K, Moller HJ, Hampel H, Davidsson P, et al: Measurement of alpha- and beta-secretase cleaved amyloid precursor protein in cerebrospinal fluid from Alzheimer patients. *Exp Neurol* 2003, **183**(1):74–80.
81. Wu G, Sankaranarayanan S, Hsieh SH, Simon AJ, Savage MJ: Decrease in brain soluble amyloid precursor protein beta (sAPPbeta) in Alzheimer's disease cortex. *J Neurosci Res* 2011, **89**(6):822–832.
82. Freude KK, Penjwini M, Davis JL, Laferla FM, Blurton-Jones M: Soluble amyloid precursor protein induces rapid neural differentiation of human embryonic stem cells. *J Biol Chem* 2011, **286**(27):24264–24274.
83. Laplagne DA, Esposito MS, Piatti VC, Morgenstern NA, Zhao C, van Praag H, Gage FH, Schinder AF: Functional convergence of neurons generated in the developing and adult hippocampus. *PLoS Biol* 2006, **4**(12):e409.
84. Dhandapani KM, Hadman M, De Sevilla L, Wade MF, Mahesh VB, Brann DW: Astrocyte protection of neurons: role of transforming growth factor-beta signaling via a c-Jun-AP-1 protective pathway. *J Biol Chem* 2003, **278**(44):43329–43339.
85. Kamphuis W, Dijk F, van Soest S, Bergen AA: Global gene expression profiling of ischemic preconditioning in the rat retina. *Mol Vis* 2007, **13**:1020–1030.
86. Stoppini L, Buchs PA, Muller D: A simple method for organotypic cultures of nervous tissue. *J Neurosci Methods* 1991, **37**(2):173–182.
87. Schroeder A, Mueller O, Stocker S, Salowsky R, Leibler M, Gassmann M, Lightfoot S, Menzel W, Granzow M, Ragg T: The RIN: an RNA integrity number for assigning integrity values to RNA measurements. *BMC Mol Biol* 2006, **7**:3.
88. Copois V, Bibeau F, Bascoul-Mollevi C, Salvetat N, Chalbos P, Bareil C, Candell L, Fraslon C, Conseiller E, Granci V, et al: Impact of RNA degradation on gene expression profiles: assessment of different methods to reliably determine RNA quality. *J Biotechnol* 2007, **127**(4):549–559.
89. Smyth GK: Linear models and empirical bayes methods for assessing differential expression in microarray experiments. *Stat Appl Genet Mol Biol* 2004, **3**:Article3.
90. Dalman MR, Deeter A, Nimishakavi G, Duan ZH: Fold change and p-value cutoffs significantly alter microarray interpretations. *BMC Bioinforma* 2012, **13**(Suppl 2):S11.
91. van Iterson M, Boer JM, Menezes RX: Filtering. FDR and power. *BMC Bioinforma* 2010, **11**:450.
92. Plaisier CL, Bare JC, Baliga NS: miRvestigator: web application to identify miRNAs responsible for co-regulated gene expression patterns discovered through transcriptome profiling. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2011, **39**(Web Server issue):W125–W131.
93. da Huang W, Sherman BT, Lempicki RA: Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. *Nat Protoc* 2009, **4**(1):44–57.
94. Winer J, Jung CK, Shackel I, Williams PM: Development and validation of real-time quantitative reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction for monitoring gene expression in cardiac myocytes *in vitro*. *Anal Biochem* 1999, **270**(1):41–49.
95. Pernot F, Dorandeu F, Beup C, Peinnequin A: Selection of reference genes for real-time quantitative reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction in hippocampal structure in a murine model of temporal lobe epilepsy with focal seizures. *J Neurosci Res* 2010, **88**(5):1000–1008.
96. Santos AR, Duarte CB: Validation of internal control genes for expression studies: effects of the neurotrophin BDNF on hippocampal neurons. *J Neurosci Res* 2008, **86**(16):3684–3692.
97. Hoffmann J, Pietrzik CU, Kummer MP, Twisselmann C, Bauer C, Herzog V: Binding and selective detection of the secretory N-terminal domain of the alzheimer amyloid precursor protein on cell surfaces. *J Histochem Cytochem* 1999, **47**(3):373–382.

doi:10.1186/1471-2164-14-376

Cite this article as: Ryan et al.: Time-dependent changes in gene expression induced by secreted amyloid precursor protein-alpha in the rat hippocampus. *BMC Genomics* 2013 **14**:376.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

Submit your manuscript at
www.biomedcentral.com/submit

