RESEARCH ARTICLE



Open Access

Epigenetic modulation of the drug resistance genes MGMT, ABCB1 and ABCG2 in glioblastoma multiforme

Moritz C Oberstadt¹, Sandra Bien-Möller¹, Kerstin Weitmann², Susann Herzog¹, Katharina Hentschel¹, Christian Rimmbach¹, Silke Vogelgesang³, Ellen Balz¹, Matthias Fink¹, Heike Michael⁴, Jan-Philip Zeden⁴, Henrike Bruckmüller⁵, Anneke N Werk⁵, Ingolf Cascorbi⁵, Wolfgang Hoffmann², Dieter Rosskopf¹, Henry WS Schroeder⁴ and Heyo K Kroemer^{1*}

Abstract

Background: Resistance of the highly aggressive glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) to drug therapy is a major clinical problem resulting in a poor patient's prognosis. Beside promoter methylation of the O^6 -methylguanine-DNA-methyltransferase (MGMT) gene the efflux transporters ABCB1 and ABCG2 have been suggested as pivotal factors contributing to drug resistance, but the methylation of ABCB1 and ABCG2 has not been assessed before in GBM.

Methods: Therefore, we evaluated the proportion and prognostic significance of promoter methylation of *MGMT*, *ABCB1* and *ABCG2* in 64 GBM patient samples using pyrosequencing technology. Further, the single nucleotide polymorphisms MGMT C-56 T (rs16906252), ABCB1 C3435T (rs1045642) and ABCG2 C421A (rs2231142) were determined using the restriction fragment length polymorphism method (RFLP). To study a correlation between promoter methylation and gene expression, we analyzed MGMT, ABCB1 and ABCG2 expression in 20 glioblastoma and 7 non-neoplastic brain samples.

Results: Despite a significantly increased *MGMT* and *ABCB1* promoter methylation in GBM tissue, multivariate regression analysis revealed no significant association between overall survival of glioblastoma patients and *MGMT* or *ABCB1* promoter methylation. However, a significant negative correlation between promoter methylation and expression could be identified for MGMT but not for ABCB1 and ABCG2. Furthermore, *MGMT* promoter methylation was significantly associated with the genotypes of the MGMT C-56 T polymorphism showing a higher methylation level in the T allele bearing GBM.

Conclusions: In summary, the data of this study confirm the previous published relation of *MGMT* promoter methylation and gene expression, but argue for no pivotal role of *MGMT*, *ABCB1* and *ABCG2* promoter methylation in GBM patients' survival.

Keywords: Glioblastoma multiforme, MGMT, Drug resistance, DNA methylation

* Correspondence: heyo.kroemer@med.uni-goettingen.de

¹Department of Pharmacology, Ernst-Moritz-Arndt-University, Greifswald, Germany

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



© 2013 Oberstadt et al.; licensee BioMed Central Ltd. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Background

Glioblastoma multiforme (GBM) is still the most frequent primary brain tumor in adults and is characterized by a highly aggressive phenotype [1]. Despite advances in therapy, glioblastoma remains associated with poor prognosis and an overall survival time of about 1 year [2]. A major underlying factor is resistance to different chemotherapeutics. Several chromosomal, genetic and epigenetic alterations were identified in GBM [3], but the clinical value of the most glioma-associated molecular aberrations remained unclear [4]. However, a significant prognostic impact could be shown for the O⁶-methylguanine-DNA-methyltransferase (MGMT). The MGMT functions as a DNA repair enzyme, which repairs alkylating lesions of the DNA by removing mutagenic adducts from the O6 position of guanine, e.g. caused by the chemotherapeutic agent temozolomide [5]. Hence, it confers drug resistance and the therapeutic response to alkylating agents is improved in tumor cells expressing low levels of MGMT [5]. Furthermore, MGMT promoter methylation was demonstrated to result in decreased MGMT expression and correlates with a survival benefit in glioblastoma patients treated with alkylating chemotherapeutics such as temozolomide [6].

Expression and activity of the efflux transporters ABCB1 and ABCG2 have also been suggested as pivotal factors contributing to drug resistance by increasing the efflux of chemotherapeutic compounds in the setting termed "multidrug resistance". These ATP-binding cassette transporters (ABC transporters) belong to a superfamily of membrane pumps that use ATP hydrolysis to efflux various endogenous compounds and drugs outside the cell. ABCB1 was shown to be expressed both in low-grade glioma and high-grade glioma such as glioblastoma [7] and ABCG2 was found to be expressed in glioma stem cells as well as in endothelial cells of the large vessels of glioma tissue [5]. For both ABCB1 and ABCG2 an inverse correlation between the methylation status of Cytidine phosphate Guanosine (CpG) sites at the promoter region and the transporter expression was demonstrated [8,9]. Furthermore, ABCB1 promoter methylation is associated with the ABCB1 C3435T polymorphism which again influences the ABCB1 expression [10]. Similarly, for ABCG2 an association of the ABCG2 C421A polymorphism with both the transport function and expression of the efflux transporter was shown [11,12].

ABCB1 and *ABCG2* promoter methylation have not been assessed in glioblastoma patients before. We therefore investigated promoter methylation of *ABCB1* and *ABCG2* in 64 glioblastoma patients using the pyrosequencing technology, which allows unequivocal quantification of the methylation status, and used *MGMT* promoter methylation as positive control.

In our study we found a significantly increased *MGMT* and *ABCB1* promoter methylation in GBM tissue but

couldn't demonstrate any association of *MGMT*, *ABCB1* or *ABCG2* promoter methylation with overall survival of glioblastoma patients in multivariate Cox models adjusted for potential risk factors (gender and age) and stratified on the variable therapy (temozolomide vs. no temozolomide). However, we found a significant negative correlation between *MGMT* promoter methylation and MGMT expression and a significant association between *MGMT* methylation and the *MGMT C-56 T* polymorphism.

Methods

Patient samples

Malignant glioblastoma samples (n = 64) were obtained from patients who had undergone tumor resection at the Clinic of Neurosurgery of the University of Greifswald, Germany. Tumor samples were collected between 2003 and 2009 from patients with newly diagnosed glioblastoma who had received no antitumoral therapy before sample collection. Additionally, relapses of 17 of these patients were collected. For investigation of methylation status, fresh frozen human glioblastoma tissue samples (n = 4) and paraffin-embedded glioblastoma sections (n = 60) were analyzed by pyrosequencing, which is described as a highly reproducible method for quantification of MGMT methylation in both formalin-fixed paraffinembedded and fresh frozen samples [13,14]. Samples from 11 of the 64 GBM patients have been available for mRNA expression analysis and 9 further GBMs have been added to investigate the mRNA expression in a total of 20 GBM patients.

All tumor samples were histologically classified by a neuropathologist at the Department of Pathology of the University of Greifswald according to the WHO criteria of tumors of the nervous system using formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded specimens. Clinico-pathological features of the analyzed patients are summarized in Table 1. All investigations described in this study were approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of Greifswald, Germany.

DNA Isolation

Genomic DNA (gDNA) was isolated from fresh frozen tumor samples or formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded glioblastoma sections using the NucleoSpin[®] Tissue Kit (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. 2–5 slices à 5 μ m of the formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded glioma tissue sections were used per sample. Concentrations of the isolated genomic DNA were determined using a NanoDrop 1000 Spectrophotometer (PEQLAB, Erlangen, Germany).

Bisulfite Treatment and PCR Amplification

For evaluation of the promoter methylation status of *MGMT*, *ABCB1* and *ABCG2* 1800 ng of the isolated

Table 1 Clinico-pathological features of the analyzed patients

Characteristic	Age [Years]	
Median age at diagnosis	61.6	
Range [MinMax.]	40.2 - 79.9	
Patients with temozolomide therapy		
Median age at diagnosis	59.2	
Patients without temozolomide therapy		
Median age at diagnosis	64.0	
Characteristic	Number of patients	% of patients
Age classes		
<50 years	11	17.2
50 - 60 years	18	28.1
60 - 70 years	20	31.3
>70 years	15	23.4
Sex		
Male	39	60.9
Female	25	39.1
Pathohistology		
Glioblastoma multiforme	64	
Relapses of primary glioblastoma multiforme	17	
Therapy		
Only Radiotherapy	11	17.2
Radiotherapy and temozolomide	45	70.3
No adjuvant therapy	6	9.4
No therapy data applicable	2	3.1
Overall survival (OS)		
Median [Days]	459	
Range [MinMax.]	34 - 1954	
1-year survival	38	59.4
2-year survival	9	14.1
OS of patients with temozolomide therapy		
Median [Days]	515	
Range [MinMax.]	95 - 1954	
OS of patients without temozolomide therapy		
Median [Days]	87	
Range [MinMax.]	34 - 701	
Vital status at study end (30.06.2009)		
Dead	47	73.4
Alive	17	26.6

gDNA per sample were bisulfite treated using the EpiTect^{*} Bisulfite Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) following the manufacturer's instructions. The bisulfite treated DNA was subjected to PCR amplification of the specific promoter regions of *MGMT*, *ABCB1* and *ABCG2* gene by the use of primer sets designed to amplify sequences containing CpG sites to be investigated (Table 2). The

detailed conditions for the PCR amplification of the promoter region of interest are summarized in the Additional file 1 with the Figures S1-S3.

Pyrosequencing for promoter methylation analysis

Pyrosequencing analysis was performed on the PSQ[™] 96MA System (Biotage, Uppsala, Sweden). Methylation

Gene symbol	GenBank accession	Forward primer 5'- > 3'	Reverse primer 5'- > 3'	Sequencing primer 5'- > 3'	Amplicon size (bp)
MGMT	X61657.1	YGYGTTTYGGATATGTT GGGATAG	Biotin -AACRAAA CRACC CAAACACTCA	GGATAGTTYGYGTTTTTAGA	115
ABCB1	AH002875.1	GTGGGTGGGAGGAAGTAT	Biotin -AAATCTC CAACATC TCCAC	GGGTAAAGTTTAGAA	125
ABCG2	AH011213.2	TGATTGGGTAATTTGTGTG TTAGTG	Biotin -AAATAAA CCAAAAT AATTA ACTAC	TTGTGATTGGGTAATTTGTG	147

Table 2 Primer sequences used for methylation analysis

of target CpGs was assessed by determining the ratio of cytosine to thymine incorporated during pyrosequencing. Cytosine incorporation indicated a methylated CpG and thymine incorporation an unmethylated CpG. Quantification of the methylation status was performed using the provided software from PSQ[™] 96MA System (Biotage, Uppsala, Sweden).

Five CpG methylation sites were investigated for MGMT promoter methylation, two for ABCB1 promoter methylation and three for ABCG2 promoter methylation. The average percentage methylation of the different CpG sites of each gene promoter was calculated and used in all analyses. During the establishing process of the methylation assays, the analytical sensitivity and quantitative accuracy of the three methylation assays have been assessed. We correlated the methylation results for the first CpG site of ABCB1 (Additional file 1: Table S1A), ABCG2 (Additional file 1: Table S1B) and MGMT (Additional file 1: Table S1C) methylation assays of three independent measurements. These same 19 samples measured in triplicates determined a high quantitative accuracy of the assays with high significant (*** p < 0.001) Spearman correlation coefficients between 0.88 and 0.99 (Additional file 1: Tables S1A-C).

Methylation-specific PCR (MSP)

1.8 µg DNA has been bisulfite-converted using the EpiTect[®] Bisulfite Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). 2 µl of the bisulfite-converted DNA was amplified in a PCR consisting of 20 pmol of primers (Eurofins MWG Operon, Ebersberg, Germany), 1.25 mM MgCl₂, 10x Reaction buffer, 1.5 units Taq-Polymerase and 200 µM dNTPs (all Invitrogen, Karlsruhe, Germany). The thermal cycling conditions used were as follows: 95°C for 10 min, and 40 cycles of 95°C for 45 sec, 52°C for 50 sec, 72°C for 1 min with a final extension of 72°C for 10 min. Two µl of the amplified first-round product was used for second round of amplification with 20 pmol of primers (Eurofins MWG Operon, Ebersberg, Germany), 1.25 mM MgCl₂, 10x Reaction buffer, 1.5 units Taq-Polymerase and 200 µM dNTPs (all Invitrogen, Karlsruhe, Germany). The following thermal cycling conditions were followed: 95°C for 10 min, and 20 cycles of 95°C for 45 sec, 65°C for 25 sec, 72°C for 30 sec with a final extension of 72°C for 10 min. The amplified products were run on a 2% agarose gel with an expected size of 81 bp for methylated product and 93 bp for an unmethylated product.

We analyzed the agarose gel bands using the KODAK Gel Logic 200 Imaging System (Eastman Kodak Company, Rochester, NY, USA) (Additional file 1: Figure S8). Our corresponding pyrosequencing results for MGMT are included in Additional file 1: Table S2. To validate the performance of the MSP conditions chosen, methylated and unmethylated standard samples provided from the *EpiTect PCR Control Set* (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) have been used as controls which showed the expected bands only in either the methylated or unmethylated PCR (Additional file 1: Figure S8). However, beside U87MG glioblastoma cells as a methylated reference [15] and LN18 glioblastoma cells, we chose a spectrum of differently methylated GBM samples of the pyrosequencing analysis: two strong, two middle and two unmethylated GBM samples for assay comparison. Even though it is difficult to directly compare the qualitative method of MSP with the quantitative method of pyrosequencing, it is still visible, that those three glioblastoma samples (GBM1, GBM3, and GBM6) with the most intensive methylated bands in MSP show in addition to U87MG cells the three highest methylation percentages in the pyrosequencing analysis (28.2%, 61.21%, and 74.74%), indicating more or less comparable results of both methylation detection methods.

Quantitative Real-Time PCR

Total RNA was isolated from 20 human fresh frozen glioblastoma samples and 7 normal brain tissue samples (frontal/temporal lobes) using the PeqGold RNAPure[™] reagent protocol (Peqlab Biotechnologie, Erlangen, Germany), which allows (based on the guanidinisothio-cyanat) the dissociation of cells and inactivation of RNases and other enzymes at the same time. The provider of RNAPure guarantees optimal purity and high rates of yields of non-degraded RNA. Subsequently, RNA was measured photometrically at the wavelength of 260 nm using the Nano Drop[™] 1000 Spectrophotometer from PEQLAB (Erlangen) to get information about the purity. 1 μ l of each sample was applied. Beside the

concentration of the RNA, indicated in $\mu g/\mu l$, the purity ratios 260/280 and 260/230 were determined. It was proven, that the purity ratio (260/280) of our samples accounts for 1.8 to 2.0 (2.2 for the ratio 260/230). RNA was further always placed on ice to avoid degradation and long-time storing of the RNA was performed at -80° C.

500 ng of total RNA were used for cDNA synthesis with the High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) in a 20 µl reaction volume. Real-time PCR was performed with 10 ng final concentration of cDNA using the ABI Prism 7900 Sequence Detection System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). cDNA was amplified using Assays on Demand for MGMT (Hs01037698_m1), ABCB1 (Hs00184491_m1), and ABCG2 (Hs01053790_m1), all conjugated with fluorochrome 5-carboxyfluorescein (FAM), and 18S rRNA (Predeveloped TaqMan Assay Reagent, catalog no.: 4319413E, Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) conjugated with fluorochrome VIC (Applied Biosystems). Applied Biosystems guarantee maximum and equivalent amplification efficiency as well as specificity of all TaqMan[®] Assayson-Demand Gene Expression Products (Application Note, Applied Biosystems: Amplification Efficiency of TaqMan[®] Assays-On-Demand[™] Gene Expression Products). Further, only assays with exon junction spanning probes were selected in order to avoid amplification of contaminating genomic DNA. The analysis of the amplification efficiencies of our used PCR assays by measuring a serial dilution of selected cDNA showed a PCR efficiency of about 90% for all assays (Additional file 1: Figure S4A-F) allowing us to analyze the expression of our target genes by the $\Delta\Delta C_{T}$ -method. Thus, quantification was performed with the comparative $\Delta\Delta C_{T}$ -method. For the analysis of the quantitative RT-PCRs using the delta Ct-method we set the expression value of each GBM sample against the mean expression value of all analyzed control brain samples. Thus, the target gene expression in the GBM samples represents a multiple of the target expression in the control brain.

In addition to 18S rRNA we further analyzed the gene expression of TBP and GAPDH to validate their suitability as housekeeping genes in our samples. Using commercially available GAPDH and TBP assays (Applied Biosystems), we determined a similar distribution of values in 10 non-malignant brains, 97 GBM samples and 21 astrocytomas validating the expression measurements of MGMT, ABCB1 and ABCG2 based on normalization to the 18S rRNA content of our samples, as seen in the Additional file 1: Figure S7.

Analysis of genetic variants

All patients were screened for *MGMT C-56 T* (rs16906252), *ABCB1 C3435T* (rs1045642) and *ABCG2 C421A* (rs2231142) gene polymorphisms using the

polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR-RFLP) method using the primers listed in Table 3. The detailed conditions for PCR-RFLP are described in the Additional file 1.

mRNA expression of the markers CD133, GFAP and PECAM in glioblastoma samples

To assess the content of tumor cells and endothelial cells we decided to measure GFAP as a marker of astrocytic cells, CD133 as marker for glioblastoma stem-like cells and PECAM (CD31) as endothelial marker in the glioblastoma and non-malignant brain tissue. The CD133, GFAP and PECAM expression in non-malignant brain, glioblastomas (GBM) and the glioblastoma cell line LN18 is shown in Additional file 1: Figure S6.1. The expression of CD133 is significantly elevated in GBMs compared to non-malignant brain samples, showing that glioma stem-like cells are probably more common in the tumors than in healthy brain. These findings support that most of the cells analysed in our GBM samples represent tumor cells [16]. Besides, GFAP and PECAM expression greatly vary between the glioblastoma samples, but are not significantly different to the non-malignant brain, indicating a similar number of astrocytes and especially endothelial cells in the tumor tissue. Thus, our findings of an altered methylation status in GBM compared to non-malignant brain are mostly based on tumor cells instead of endothelial cells.

Furthermore, we correlated the expression data of GFAP, CD133 and PECAM with MGMT, ABCB1 or ABCG2 expression. MGMT, ABCB1 and ABCG2 did not significantly correlate with either GFAP, CD133 or PECAM gene expression (Additional file 1: Figures S6.2, S6.3 and S6.4) except the slight, but significant correlation of ABCG2 and PECAM (Spearman's r = 0.494, p = 0.037, Additional file 1: Figure S6.4C), which may be due to the known localization of ABCG2 in endothelial cells of the blood–brain and the blood-tumor barrier.

Nevertheless, an exact comparison to or quantification of the tumor cell content in relation to other cell types in the glioblastoma tissue does not seem possible since each individual tumor cell can hold a different pattern of gene expression and thus our expression analysis gives an insight into the tumor in its entirety but not into the individual cells that form the whole tumor mass.

Statistical analysis

Methylation data were analyzed using the statistical programs SAS V 9.1 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) and STATA (Intercooled Stata/SE 10.1). Frequencies were calculated for categorical data. Metric data were described using median and interquartile range as well as minimum and maximum values. Spearman correlation, Mann Whitney U test (comparison of two

Gene symbol	GenBank accession	Forward primer 5'- > 3'	Reverse primer 5'- > 3'	Amplicon size (bp)
MGMT	X61657.1	CTAGAACGCTTTGCGTCCCGAC	CAACACCTGGGAGGCACTTG	231
ABCB1	AH002875.1	TGTTTTCAGCTGCTTGATGG	AAGGCATGTATGTTGGCCTC	197
ABCG2	AH011213.2	TGTTGTGATGGGCACTCTGATG	ATCAGAGTCATTTTATCCACAC	222

Table 3 Primer sequences used for genotyping

groups), Kruskal Wallis test (comparison of > 2 groups) and Fisher's exact test were used for bivariate comparisons. A p-value of <0.05 was considered to indicate statistical significance. The multivariate Cox proportional hazard regression analysis was used to examine the association between the patient's overall survival and mean methylation of *ABCB1*, *ABCG2* and *MGMT*, respectively, adjusted for potential risk factors including gender and age at diagnosis.

The duration of a patient's overall survival (OS) was defined as the time from the first tumor detection until death or the end of the study (30.6.2009). Patients who were alive at the end of the study were included as censored data into the model. The variable "therapy" (with temozolomide vs. without temozolomide) did not fulfil the assumptions of proportionality and was excluded from the *a priori* defined model. This variable was used as strata variable instead. All predictors were dummy coded. Hazard ratios and 95% confidence intervals were estimated. In a sensitivity analysis we included (1.) mean percentage of methylation over the respective methylation sites as continuous variable and (2.) every single methylation site separately as continuous variable into the model. Furthermore two different Cox models were analyzed for patients treated with or without temozolomide, respectively.

Results

Clinico-pathological features of the analyzed patients

The study population comprised 64 patients with glioblastoma multiforme WHO°IV (GBM). For the correlation of the methylation degrees between primary tumors and relapses, 17 relapses of primary glioblastoma multiforme WHO °IV tumors were analyzed in comparison to the respective primary tumor.

Clinico-pathological features of all analyzed patients are summarized in Table 1. The therapy regime was in accordance to the current recommendations for the respective tumor entity. 17.2% (11 GBM) of patients were treated with only radiotherapy, 70.3% (45 GBM) were treated with radiotherapy and temozolomide, 9.4% (6 GBM) got no adjuvant treatment and for 3.1% (2 GBM) data of therapy modalities are missing. The median OS for all patients was 459 days (Min. 34 days, Max. 1954 days). The median OS for patients treated with temozolomide as part of their therapy was 515 days (Min. 95 days, Max. 1954 days), while the median age for patients not treated with temozolomide was 87 days (Min. 34 days, Max. 701 days). This difference in median OS between patients treated with (515 days) versus without temozolomide (87 days) was statistically highly significant in a bivariate analysis (p < 0.01).

Methylation status, expression level and overall survival of glioblastoma patients

Several studies predict MGMT promoter methylation as an important prognostic factor for clinical outcome of glioblastoma patients treated with temozolomide [6,17]. Therefore, we analyzed five CpG sites in the MGMT promoter, of which four CpG sites have been already investigated in a previous cutting-edge publication in the field [18]. Because MGMT methylation was suggested as a pivotal prognostic factor for OS of glioma patients who were treated with temozolomide [6,17], we established Cox models for all glioblastoma patients, patients treated with temozolomide as well as patients without temozolomide application, respectively. Continuous Cox models for the entire glioblastoma patient cohort (with and without temozolomide treated patients together), for the patients treated with temozolomide and for the patients treated without temozolomide did not show any significant overall survival difference dependent on the MGMT methylation level (Table 4). Also Dunn and colleagues used for their studies the method of pyrosequencing, but showed MGMT methylation as an independent prognostic factor associated with prolonged OS [19]. Thus, we analyzed the association of MGMT methylation and OS by dividing the MGMT methylation levels in the subgroups according to Dunn and colleagues by using our cut-off of 5.72% (mean normal brain ± 2 s.d.; first group: methylation level >5.72% - <20%; second group: methylation level >20% - <35%; third group: methylation level >35%, Additional file 1: Figure S5) [19]. However, this analysis displayed no significant difference in OS between the subgroups as well (Kruskal Wallis test, p = 0.9948). Because it is known, that *MGMT* methylation and expression are tightly linked [20] in the way that MGMT methylation leads to loss of MGMT expression [21], we analyzed this association in a subgroup of 20 GBM patients for which MGMT expression levels have been available. A significant negative correlation between MGMT methylation and expression could be identified (Spearman's rank correlation coefficient: -0.474; p = 0.035; Figure 1A), indicating the downregulation of MGMT

Table 4 Multivariate analysis of MGMT promoter methylation and its association with the overall survival of GBM patients

Variable	Haz. ratio	p-value	[95% Conf. Interval	
Sex				
male	1.488	0.238	0.769	2.876
(ref. female)	1.259	0.602	0.530	2.992
	1.724	0.393	0.494	6.024
Age				
50- < 60 years	1.734	0.299	0.613	4.903
(ref. <50 years)	1.648	0.394	0.523	5.192
	1.183	0.916	0.053	26.577
Age				
60- < 70 years	2.567	0.057	0.972	6.780
(ref. <50 years)	3.242	0.039	1.061	9.901
	1.417	0.757	0.156	12.826
Age				
≥70 years	6.427	0.001	2.194	18.824
(ref. <50 years)	10.700	0.000	2.998	38.191
	2.442	0.445	0.247	24.152
Mean methylation	0.988	0.315	0.964	1.012
level (continuous)	0.975	0.121	0.945	1.007
	1.023	0.403	0.970	1.078

Continuous multivariate Cox model regression analysis of MGMT promoter methylation and its association with the overall survival (OS) of the analyzed patients with glioblastoma multiforme, adjusted for potential risk factors including sex and age at diagnosis and stratified on the variable therapy. **Normal typed data:** the entire glioblastoma cohort; **Italic data:** Temozolomide treated glioblastoma patients; **Bold face data:** Glioblastoma patients without temozolomide treatment (Haz. Ratio, Hazard Ratio; Conf. Interval, Confidence Interval).

expression by methylation [21]. Furthermore, a highly significant elevated *MGMT* methylation has been detected for 64 GBM patient samples compared to 7 healthy brain samples (Mann Whitney test p < 0.001; Figure 1B).

Since ABCB1 represents a multidrug resistance factor in several malignancies, including glioma [7], we additionally investigated the influence of ABCB1 promoter methylation on patients' outcome by using a new established pyrosequencing assay to detect the methylation degree in the ABCB1 promoter. The analysis of the methylation status involved two CpG sites located in the CpG island of the *ABCB1* promoter and showed a broad interindividual range in the methylation level in our patient cohort with a median of 27.3% (minimum 1.3%, maximum 85.4%). To investigate whether both CpG sites of the ABCB1 promoter for each person are methylated in the same extent, correlation analysis was performed demonstrating a high correlation of methylation of the two investigated CpG sites (Spearman's rank correlation coefficient: 0.782, p-value <0.001).

In relation to the OS of all glioblastoma patients and patients treated with temozolomide no significant association of the *ABCB1* methylation status could be detected in a continuous, multivariate Cox model (Table 5). In a cohort of 20 GBM patients, for which ABCB1 expression levels have been available, also no significant correlation between *ABCB1* methylation and expression has been detected (Spearman's rank correlation coefficient: 0.242, p = 0.304; Figure 1C). However, the *ABCB1* methylation measured in 64 GBM patients was significantly higher than in the controls (Mann Whitney test p = 0.007; Figure 1D), suggesting a different epigenetic regulation in glioblastomas than in healthy brain.

A further resistance factor suggested to be relevant in glioma is the efflux transporter ABCG2 [5]. For determination of the ABCG2 promoter methylation a novel pyrosequencing assay was established by our group to analyze three CpG sites that have been previously determined in other tumor entities using methylation specific quantitative PCR and bisulfite genomic sequencing [22,23]. The median ABCG2 promoter methylation status was 30.28% with a broad interindividual range (Min. 3.63%, Max. 83.57%). But for each patient the three investigated ABCG2 CpG sites show a very high correlation in their methylation degree: CpG site 1 and site 2 with a Spearman's rank correlation coefficient of 0.972 (p-value <0.0001), CpG site 1 and site 3 with a Spearman's rank correlation coefficient of 0.953 (p-value <0.0001) and CpG site 2 and site 3 with a Spearman's rank correlation coefficient of 0.970 (p-value <0.0001).

In continuous multivariate Cox models for all glioblastoma patients (patients treated with and without temozolomide) no trend for a survival benefit has been detected (Table 6). Furthermore, no correlation of *ABCG2* methylation and expression could be identified in a group of 20 GBM patients (Spearman's rank correlation coefficient: -0.170, p = 0.474; Figure 1E) and no significant difference in *ABCG2* methylation of GBMs and normal brain has been measured (Mann Whitney test p = 0.051; Figure 1F).

As expected, through all multivariate analyses for both the entire glioblastoma cohort and patients treated with temozolomide a significant worse OS for older patients could be identified.

Association of the promoter methylation degree with the analyzed Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNPs)

Because of a strong association with the MGMT methylation in glioblastoma [24] and further tumors like colorectal carcinoma [25,26], pleural mesothelioma [27], and lung cancer [28], the MGMT C-56 T polymorphism was included in our study. The frequency of the MGMT -56C and -56 T allele was 87.5% and 12.5% in our cohort,



respectively, and its distribution was in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (p = 0.521). As hypothesized the *MGMT* promoter methylation degree of the analyzed glioblastoma samples was significantly correlated with the genotypes of the *MGMT C-56 T* polymorphism (Figure 2A; Wilcoxon test, p-value = 0.02), showing a higher methylation level in patients with the T allele.

Regarding the analyzed *ABCG2* SNP the frequency of the *ABCG2* 421C and 421A allele was 89% and 11%,

respectively, which is in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (p = 0.957) and the frequencies of the *ABCB1* alleles 3435*C* and 3435 *T* were 38% and 62% in our patient population, respectively, being in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium with a borderline p-value (p = 0.0503), too. Though the transport function and expression of ABCG2 is known to be influenced by the *ABCG2 C421A* polymorphism [11,12] and the *C3435T* polymorphism in exon 26 seems to modulate the expression of ABCB1

of GBM patients	i			
Variable	Haz. ratio	p-value	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Sex				
male	1.457	0.276	0.740	2.866
(ref. female)	1.130	0.793	0.454	2.813
	4.222	0.043	1.045	17.060
Age				
50- < 60 years	1.793	0.282	0.619	5.191
(ref. <50 years)	1.500	0.490	0.474	4.742
	5.358	0.234	0.338	84.863
Age				
60- < 70 years	2.474	0.066	0.942	6.499
(ref. <50 years)	2.235	0.140	0.768	6.507
	3.596	0.290	0.336	38.441
Age				
≥70 years	6.069	0.001	2.107	17.479
(ref. <50 years)	9.872	0.000	2.786	34.988
	5.112	0.167	0.505	51.721
Mean methylation				
level (continuous)	0.995	0.461	0.981	1.009
	1.002	0.864	0.984	1.020
	0.973	0.032	0.950	0.998

Table 5 Multivariate analysis of ABCB1 promoter methylation and its association with the overall survival of GBM patients

Continuous multivariate Cox model regression analysis of ABCB1 promoter methylation and its association with the overall survival (OS) of the analyzed patients with glioblastoma multiforme, adjusted for potential risk factors including sex and age at diagnosis and stratified on the variable therapy. **Normal typed data**: the entire glioblastoma cohort; **Italic data**: Temozolomide treated glioblastoma patients; **Bold face data**: Glioblastoma patients without temozolomide treatment (Haz. Ratio, Hazard Ratio; Conf. Interval, Confidence Interval).

[29], we could not determine an association between the different genotypes of the *ABCG2 C421A* polymorphism and the *ABCG2* promoter methylation (Figure 2C) or for the *ABCB1* methylation status and the *ABCB1 C3435T* polymorphism (Figure 2B).

Correlation of the methylation degrees between primary tumor and relapse

To compare the consistency of the methylation degrees before and after treatment, the promoter methylation has been analyzed in 17 primary tumors and relapses of the same patients. The mean *ABCG2* methylation degree of the primary tumors was significantly correlated to the relapses of the respective patients (Spearman's rank correlation coefficient: 0.804, p-value <0.001; Figure 3C) indicating a stable *ABCG2* promoter methylation level before and after treatment. While the mean *MGMT* methylation degree of the primary tumors showed at least a trend to be correlated to the relapses of the same patients (Spearman's rank correlation coefficient: 0.42,

Table 6 Multivariate analysis of ABCG2 promotermethylation and its association with the overall survivalof GBM patients

Variable	Haz. ratio	p-value	[95% Conf. Interval]	
Sex				
male	1.463	0.271	0.743	2.879
(ref. female)	1.092	0.842	0.459	2.600
	2.489	0.211	0.596	10.389
Age				
50- < 60 years	1.745	0.317	0.586	5.195
(ref. <50 years)	1.454	0.545	0.433	4.882
	1.552	0.760	0.092	26.033
Age				
60- < 70 years	2.270	0.085	0.892	5.778
(ref. <50 years)	2.271	0.118	0.811	6.358
	1.068	0.957	0.102	11.210
Age				
≥70 years	6.112	0.001	2.087	17.903
(ref. <50 years)	9.923	0.000	2.808	35.062
	2.774	0.376	0.290	26.572
Mean methylation				
level (Continuous)	1.003	0.736	0.986	1.021
	0.998	0.836	0.977	1.019
	1.018	0.430	0.974	1.065

Continuous multivariate Cox model regression analysis of ABCG2 promoter methylation and its association with the overall survival (OS) of the analyzed patients with glioblastoma multiforme, adjusted for potential risk factors including sex and age at diagnosis and stratified on the variable therapy. **Normal typed data**: the entire glioblastoma cohort; **Italic data**: Temozolomide treated glioblastoma patients; **Bold face data**: Glioblastoma patients without temozolomide treatment (Haz. Ratio, Hazard Ratio; Conf. Interval, Confidence Interval).

p-value = 0.09; Figure 3A), for the *ABCB1* methylation status a correlation between primary tumors and relapses was not evident (Figure 3B).

Relationship of the promoter methylation degree with the age at diagnosis and the gender

Using bivariate analyses, no significant association with the age at diagnosis or the gender has been detected for *MGMT* methylation, *ABCG2* methylation or *ABCB1* methylation (data not shown).

Discussion

Understanding molecular factors relevant for drug resistance of glioblastoma multiforme is pivotal for the development of personalized therapeutic approaches to this highly aggressive tumor. In several studies the role of *MGMT* methylation as molecular marker for overall survival of glioma patients treated with alkylating agents is discussed [6,17,30,31]. Beside MGMT, the drug efflux transporters ABCB1 and ABCG2 are thought to affect



survival of glioma patients due to their role in drug resistance [32,33]. In particular, temozolomide-mediated cytotoxicity is modulated by ABCB1 expression [34]. However, in contrast to *MGMT* methylation no data have existed for *ABCB1* and *ABCG2* promoter methylation in glioblastoma tissue until now. Thus, we focused on establishing new pyrosequencing assays for the analysis of the methylation status of the *ABCB1* and the *ABCG2* promoter in a collective of 64 glioblastoma patients using *MGMT* promoter methylation as reference.

Methylation status was analyzed using pyrosequencing because it allows a highly reproducible quantification of the methylation degree at each individual CpG site and enables rapid parallel processing of a large number of samples [13]. A pivotal role plays the design of the sequencing primer and the pyrosequencing program to minimize the risk of assaying DNA that was not fully converted during bisulfite treatment [13]. However, because pyrosequencing is based on a PCR, which amplifies the bisulfite treated DNA across different epialleles, and the pyrosequencing displays DNA methylation as an average methylation level at each individual CpG position, it is not possible to provide methylation information on an epiallelic level. Thus, results of pyrosequencing should always be interpreted with caution regarding an epiallelic influence.

Compared to pyrosequencing MSP is susceptible to false-positive and false-negative results because of mosaic methylation patterns with variable grade of methylation at the primer positions [13], especially when nested primers are used for clinical samples with small amounts of poor quality DNA like FFPE samples [13,35], which represented the largest proportion of analyzed GBM samples in this study. In addition, Dunn and colleagues described pyrosequencing as suitable method for FFPE samples [19] as well as our fourth tested CpG site of MGMT promoter has been shown as prognostic relevant, while MSP and SQ-MSP for MGMT methylation detection have not been in a Cox model of a recent study [14] and authors recommended pyrosequencing for MGMT methylation analyses in high-throughput settings [36].

In general, in previous studies the role of *MGMT* methylation as molecular marker for overall survival of glioblastoma patients is highly discussed between authors who detected [6,17] or did not find an impact on overall survival [30,31]. We also investigated the previously by Esteller and colleagues published predicting CpG sites [18] but we could not determine a significantly different overall survival of GBM patients (with or without temozolomide treatment) in dependence on their MGMT promoter methylation status. Because this result is contradictory to prior publications about *MGMT* methylation as an independent prognostic factor



Figure 3 Correlation analyses of ABCG2, MGMT and ABCB1
promoter methylation in primary tumors and relapses of 17
GBM patients. (A) Correlation analysis for the median ABCG2
promoter methylation (Spearman's rank correlation coefficient: 0.804,
p < 0.001). (B) Correlation analysis for the median MGMT promoter
methylation (Spearman's rank correlation coefficient: 0.42, $p = 0.09$).
(C) Correlation analysis for the median ABCB1 promoter methylation
(Spearman's rank correlation coefficient: 0.140, $p = 0.59$).

[6,17,19], we additionally investigated different aspects of the MGMT promoter methylation to prove the reliability of our methylation data. Thus, we performed a correlation analysis of MGMT promoter methylation and MGMT expression in a subgroup of 20 GBM patients for which MGMT mRNA expression data have been available. A significant negative correlation between MGMT promoter methylation and MGMT expression was seen as already predicted by previous studies [20,21]. Furthermore, we found a highly significant elevation of MGMT promoter methylation in GBMs compared to normal brain. In agreement with a previous study [19] we also detected only a marginal MGMT promoter methylation in non-neoplastic brain samples and a significantly increased MGMT promoter methylation in our GBM.

Moreover, we investigated the MGMT C-56 T SNP, because it is located in the enhancer region of the MGMT gene only 18 bp downstream from the analyzed MGMT CpG site. A significantly higher MGMT promoter methylation in carriers of the T allele has been described recently in glioblastoma [24], diffuse large B-cell lymphoma [37], colorectal carcinoma [25,26], pleural mesothelioma [27], and lung cancer [28]. In our patient cohort we could confirm a significant higher MGMT methylation level in patients with the T allele than in C-56C wildtype patients underlining a precise measurement of the MGMT promoter methylation level in our study. Further, this would also imply that patients with the T allele show a minor MGMT expression and thus should have a better response to temozolomide. Contrary to this, we could not find any relation of the C-56 T MGMT polymorphism to overall survival of our patient cohort, again arguing against a fundamental role of MGMT in the prognosis of glioblastoma patients as seen by our MGMT promoter methylation analysis.

In addition to MGMT, we studied *ABCB1* promoter methylation because ABCB1 is significantly expressed in glioma and discussed as a potential resistance factor [7]. Additionally, for acute lymphocytic leukaemia the methylation of *ABCB1* was associated with a trend toward a better OS [38], while in patients with bronchioloalveolar carcinoma no correlation between *ABCB1* methylation status and patients' OS was observed [39]. To date, no study analyzing *ABCB1* promoter methylation and its relation to ABCB1 expression and OS of glioblastoma patients is reported. Our new established pyrosequencing assay showed a high correlation of the methylation degree of both analyzed CpG sites with each other similar to the ABCG2 methylation assay. Despite a significantly higher ABCB1 methylation in GBM samples of our cohort, the ABCB1 methylation level was not associated with the OS of GBM patients and was not significantly related to the ABCB1 expression. Similarly, an ABCB1 promoter hypermethylation was shown in MCF-7 human breast cancer cells [40] and in human prostate cancer compared with benign prostate hypertrophy [41]. Moreover, a significantly higher methylation ratio for the ABCB1 promoter in gastric cancer samples than for non-neoplastic mucosa has been reported [42]. The prostate cancer study detected a significant correlation of ABCB1 promoter hypermethylation with worse clinicopathological features [41]. However, both published ABCB1 methylation studies did not analyze any association with patient's overall survival.

A further drug resistance gene we decided to analyze was ABCG2, because this efflux transporter was found to be expressed in glioma stem cells as well as in endothelial cells of the large vessels of glioma tissue. Similarly to ABCB1, ABCG2 could mediate chemotherapeutic resistance by the efflux of cytostatics [5]. In addition, an inverse correlation between promoter methylation of ABCG2 and its expression in lung cancer and multiple myeloma has been determined [9,22]. To establish a pyrosequencing assay for ABCG2 we used a study of Turner and colleagues [22] as reference in order to analyze the same CpG sites in the ABCG2 promoter, because methylation of these CpG sites was shown to be associated with ABCG2 expression in multiple myeloma. Moreover, a recent study investigated the same CpG sites of the ABCG2 promoter showing differences in methylation levels between three renal carcinoma cell lines [23].

In our study, a positive correlation of the *ABCG2* methylation level in primary tumor and relapse of the same patient was observed, showing a consistent *ABCG2* methylation status before and after treatment with radio- and chemotherapy. Interestingly, no association between *ABCG2* promoter methylation and ABCG2 expression or overall survival was seen. The missing effect of *ABCG2* methylation on GBM patients' survival could be explained by the fact that temozolomide, which is the most applied cytostatic for patients with GBM, is not a substrate of ABCG2 [43], and thus modulation of ABCG2 expression should not affect the therapy and survival of GBM patients. Furthermore, for each pyrose-quencing assay we assessed a limited number of CpGs (five CpGs for MGMT; two CpGs for ABCB1; three

CpGs for ABCG2). Thus, there could be the possibility that CpG sites of the methylation assays, which have not been tested in this study, could have a prognostic value for the GBM patients. However, we interrogated CpG sites, which have been tested in parts before in other publications, as the MGMT CpG sites [6,14,18] and the ABCG2 CpG sites [22] or have been specifically described as prognostic relevant such as our investigated CpG site 4 of the MGMT assay [14]. Furthermore, previous authors investigated a comparable number of CpG sites for MGMT [14]. Nevertheless, it may be useful to test also a larger number of CpG sites for the ABCB1 and ABCG2 assays in the future, e.g. using a Human-Methylation450 (HM-450 K) BeadChip [44].

Conclusions

In summary, our study represents a combined investigation of promoter methylation and gene polymorphisms of the pivotal drug resistance genes *MGMT*, *ABCB1* and *ABCG2* in glioblastoma multiforme. Our data argue against any relevant impact of *MGMT*, *ABCB1* or *ABCG2* promoter methylation on overall survival of glioblastoma patients. However, we could detect a significant negative correlation between *MGMT* promoter methylation and *MGMT* expression, a markedly elevated *MGMT* and *ABCB1* promoter methylation in glioblastoma specimens and a significant correlation between *MGMT* methylation and the *MGMT C-56 T* polymorphism.

Additional file

Additional file 1: PCR amplification of promoter regions of interest. Figure S1. Illustration of the MGMT promoter sequence analyzed by pyrosequencing for determination of the methylation status. Figure S2. Illustration of the ABCB1 promoter sequence analyzed by pyrosequencing for determination of the methylation status. Figure S3. Illustration of the ABCG2 promoter sequence analyzed by pyrosequencing for determination of the methylation status. PCR-RFLP amplification details. Figure S4A-F. Real-Time PCR efficiencies. Figure S5. Grading of MGMT methylation levels according to Dunn et al., 2009. Tables S1A-C. Quantitative accuracy of methylation assays Figure S6.1-4: Figure S6.1. mRNA expression of CD133, GFAP and PECAM. Figure S6.2. Correlation analysis of CD133, GFAP and PECAM with MGMT expression. Figure S6.3. Correlation analysis of CD133, GFAP and PECAM with ABCB1 expression. Figure S6.4. Correlation analysis of CD133, GFAP and PECAM with ABCG2 expression. Figure S7. Comparison of housekeeping genes. Figure S8 and Table S2. Data of Methylation-specific PCR (MSP) for MGMT according to Hegi et al., 2005.

Abbreviations

ABC: ATP-binding cassette; MGMT: O⁶-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase; CpG: Cytidine phosphate guanosine; GBM: Glioblastoma multiforme WHO °IV; PCR: Polymerase chain reaction; WHO: World Health Organization.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Authors' contributions

MCO, SBM, CR, DR, HWSS, and HKK participated in research design. MCO, SBM, KH, SH, HM, JPZ, HB and ANW conducted experiments. MCO, SBM, KW,

SV, and WH performed data analysis. MCO, SBM, KW, IC and HKK wrote or contributed to the writing of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

We thank Alexander Kayser and Franziska Janke for the excellent support establishing the pyrosequencing assays. This work was supported by the German Federal Ministry for Education and Research [Grant 03IP612] (Innoprofile). M.C.O. received a scholarship of the Gerhard Domagk Program, funded by the Faculty of Medicine, Greifswald, Germany, and was further supported by the German National Academic Foundation, funded by the German Federal Ministry for Education and Research.

Author details

¹Department of Pharmacology, Ernst-Moritz-Arndt-University, Greifswald, Germany. ²Department of Epidemiology of Health Care and Community Health, Ernst-Moritz-Arndt-University, Greifswald, Germany. ³Department of Neuropathology, Ernst-Moritz-Arndt-University, Greifswald, Germany. ⁴Department of Neurosurgery, Ernst-Moritz-Arndt-University, Greifswald, Germany. ⁵Department of Experimental and Clinical Pharmacology, University Hospital Schleswig-Holstein, Kiel, Germany.

Received: 22 February 2013 Accepted: 20 December 2013 Published: 31 December 2013

References

- Piperi C, Themistocleous MS, Papavassiliou GA, Farmaki E, Levidou G, Korkolopoulou P, Adamopoulos C, Papavassiliou AG: High incidence of MGMT and RARbeta promoter methylation in primary glioblastomas: association with histopathological characteristics, inflammatory mediators and clinical outcome. *Mol Med* 2010, 16(1–2):1–9.
- Louis DN, Ohgaki H, Wiestler OD, Cavenee WK, Burger PC, Jouvet A, Scheithauer BW, Kleihues P: The 2007 WHO classification of tumours of the central nervous system. Acta Neuropathol 2007, 114(2):97–109.
- Reifenberger G, Collins VP: Pathology and molecular genetics of astrocytic gliomas. J Mol Med 2004, 82(10):656–670.
- Rich JN, Hans C, Jones B, Iversen ES, McLendon RE, Rasheed BK, Dobra A, Dressman HK, Bigner DD, Nevins JR, et al: Gene expression profiling and genetic markers in glioblastoma survival. Cancer Res 2005, 65(10):4051–4058.
- Bleau AM, Huse JT, Holland EC: The ABCG2 resistance network of glioblastoma. Cell Cycle 2009, 8(18):2936–2944.
- Hegi ME, Diserens AC, Gorlia T, Hamou MF, de Tribolet N, Weller M, Kros JM, Hainfellner JA, Mason W, Mariani L, *et al*: MGMT gene silencing and benefit from temozolomide in glioblastoma. *N Engl J Med* 2005, 352 (10):997–1003.
- Demeule M, Shedid D, Beaulieu E, del Maestro RF, Moghrabi A, Ghosn PB, Moumdjian R, Berthelet F, Beliveau R: Expression of multidrug-resistance P-glycoprotein (MDR1) in human brain tumors. Int J Cancer 2001, 93(1):62–66.
- Nakayama M, Wada M, Harada T, Nagayama J, Kusaba H, Ohshima K, Kozuru M, Komatsu H, Ueda R, Kuwano M: Hypomethylation status of CpG sites at the promoter region and overexpression of the human MDR1 gene in acute myeloid leukemias. *Blood* 1998, 92(11):4296–4307.
- Nakano H, Nakamura Y, Soda H, Kamikatahira M, Uchida K, Takasu M, Kitazaki T, Yamaguchi H, Nakatomi K, Yanagihara K, et al: Methylation status of breast cancer resistance protein detected by methylation-specific polymerase chain reaction analysis is correlated inversely with its expression in drug-resistant lung cancer cells. *Cancer* 2008, 112(5):1122–1130.
- Jiang ZP, Xu P, Liu RR, Li HD, Wang GP, Zhao XL, Chen FP: Correlation between MDR1 methylation status in the promoter region and MDR1 genetic polymorphism in 194 healthy Chinese Han subjects. *Pharmacogenomics* 2008, 9(12):1801–1808.
- Imai Y, Nakane M, Kage K, Tsukahara S, Ishikawa E, Tsuruo T, Miki Y, Sugimoto Y: C421A polymorphism in the human breast cancer resistance protein gene is associated with low expression of Q141K protein and low-level drug resistance. *Mol Cancer Ther* 2002, 1(8):611–616.
- Morisaki K, Robey RW, Ozvegy-Laczka C, Honjo Y, Polgar O, Steadman K, Sarkadi B, Bates SE: Single nucleotide polymorphisms modify the transporter activity of ABCG2. *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* 2005, 56(2):161–172.

- Mikeska T, Bock C, El-Maarri O, Hubner A, Ehrentraut D, Schramm J, Felsberg J, Kahl P, Buttner R, Pietsch T, et al: Optimization of quantitative MGMT promoter methylation analysis using pyrosequencing and combined bisulfite restriction analysis. J Mol Diagn 2007, 9(3):368–381.
- Karayan-Tapon L, Quillien V, Guilhot J, Wager M, Fromont G, Saikali S, Etcheverry A, Hamlat A, Loussouarn D, Campion L, *et al*: Prognostic value of O6-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase status in glioblastoma patients, assessed by five different methods. *J Neurooncol* 2010, 97(3):311–322.
- Hermes M, Geisler H, Osswald H, Riehle R, Kloor D: Alterations in S-adenosylhomocysteine metabolism decrease O6-methylguanine DNA methyltransferase gene expression without affecting promoter methylation. *Biochem Pharmacol* 2008, 75(11):2100–2111.
- Brescia P, Ortensi B, Fornasari L, Levi D, Broggi G, Pelicci G: CD133 is essential for glioblastoma stem cell maintenance. *Stem Cells* 2013, 31(5):857–869.
- Weller M, Felsberg J, Hartmann C, Berger H, Steinbach JP, Schramm J, Westphal M, Schackert G, Simon M, Tonn JC, *et al*: Molecular predictors of progression-free and overall survival in patients with newly diagnosed glioblastoma: a prospective translational study of the German Glioma Network. J Clin Oncol 2009, 27(34):5743–5750.
- Esteller M, Garcia-Foncillas J, Andion E, Goodman SN, Hidalgo OF, Vanaclocha V, Baylin SB, Herman JG: Inactivation of the DNA-repair gene MGMT and the clinical response of gliomas to alkylating agents. N Engl J Med 2000, 343(19):1350–1354.
- Dunn J, Baborie A, Alam F, Joyce K, Moxham M, Sibson R, Crooks D, Husband D, Shenoy A, Brodbelt A, et al: Extent of MGMT promoter methylation correlates with outcome in glioblastomas given temozolomide and radiotherapy. Br J Cancer 2009, 101(1):124–131.
- Qian XC, Brent TP: Methylation hot spots in the 5' flanking region denote silencing of the O6-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase gene. *Cancer Res* 1997, 57(17):3672–3677.
- Watts GS, Pieper RO, Costello JF, Peng YM, Dalton WS, Futscher BW: Methylation of discrete regions of the O6-methylguanine DNA methyltransferase (MGMT) CpG island is associated with heterochromatinization of the MGMT transcription start site and silencing of the gene. *Mol Cell Biol* 1997, 17(9):5612–5619.
- Turner JG, Gump JL, Zhang C, Cook JM, Marchion D, Hazlehurst L, Munster P, Schell MJ, Dalton WS, Sullivan DM: ABCG2 expression, function, and promoter methylation in human multiple myeloma. *Blood* 2006, 108 (12):3881–3889.
- 23. To KK, Zhan Z, Bates SE: Aberrant promoter methylation of the ABCG2 gene in renal carcinoma. *Mol Cell Biol* 2006, **26**(22):8572–8585.
- McDonald KL, Rapkins RW, Olivier J, Zhao L, Nozue K, Lu D, Tiwari S, Kuroiwa-Trzmielina J, Brewer J, Wheeler HR, et al: The T genotype of the MGMT C>T (rs16906252) enhancer single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) is associated with promoter methylation and longer survival in glioblastoma patients. Eur J Cancer 2013, 49(2):360–368.
- Ogino S, Hazra A, Tranah GJ, Kirkner GJ, Kawasaki T, Nosho K, Ohnishi M, Suemoto Y, Meyerhardt JA, Hunter DJ, et al: MGMT germline polymorphism is associated with somatic MGMT promoter methylation and gene silencing in colorectal cancer. Carcinogenesis 2007, 28(9):1985–1990.
- Hawkins NJ, Lee JH, Wong JJ, Kwok CT, Ward RL, Hitchins MP: MGMT methylation is associated primarily with the germline C > T SNP (rs16906252) in colorectal cancer and normal colonic mucosa. Mod Pathol 2009, 22(12):1588–1599.
- 27. Kristensen LS, Nielsen HM, Hager H, Hansen LL: Methylation of MGMT in malignant pleural mesothelioma occurs in a subset of patients and is associated with the T allele of the rs16906252 MGMT promoter SNP. *Lung Cancer* 2011, **71**(2):130–136.
- Leng S, Bernauer AM, Hong C, Do KC, Yingling CM, Flores KG, Tessema M, Tellez CS, Willink RP, Burki EA, et al: The A/G allele of rs16906252 predicts for MGMT methylation and is selectively silenced in premalignant lesions from smokers and in lung adenocarcinomas. *Clin Cancer Res* 2011, 17(7):2014–2023.
- Hoffmeyer S, Burk O, von Richter O, Arnold HP, Brockmoller J, Johne A, Cascorbi I, Gerloff T, Roots I, Eichelbaum M, et al: Functional polymorphisms of the human multidrug-resistance gene: multiple sequence variations and correlation of one allele with P-glycoprotein expression and activity in vivo. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2000, 97(7):3473–3478.

- Costa BM, Caeiro C, Guimaraes I, Martinho O, Jaraquemada T, Augusto I, Castro L, Osorio L, Linhares P, Honavar M, *et al*: Prognostic value of MGMT promoter methylation in glioblastoma patients treated with temozolomide-based chemoradiation: a Portuguese multicentre study. *Oncol Rep* 2010, 23(6):1655–1662.
- Martinez R, Martin-Subero JI, Rohde V, Kirsch M, Alaminos M, Fernandez AF, Ropero S, Schackert G, Esteller M: A microarray-based DNA methylation study of glioblastoma multiforme. *Epigenetics* 2009, 4(4):255–264.
- Lu C, Shervington A: Chemoresistance in gliomas. Mol Cell Biochem 2008, 312(1–2):71–80.
- Andersson U, Malmer B, Bergenheim AT, Brannstrom T, Henriksson R: Heterogeneity in the expression of markers for drug resistance in brain tumors. *Clin Neuropathol* 2004, 23(1):21–27.
- Schaich M, Kestel L, Pfirrmann M, Robel K, Illmer T, Kramer M, Dill C, Ehninger G, Schackert G, Krex D: A MDR1 (ABCB1) gene single nucleotide polymorphism predicts outcome of temozolomide treatment in glioblastoma patients. Ann Oncol 2009, 20(1):175–181.
- Derks S, Lentjes MH, Hellebrekers DM, de Bruine AP, Herman JG, van Engeland M: Methylation-specific PCR unraveled. *Cell Oncol* 2004, 26(5–6):291–299.
- Christians A, Hartmann C, Benner A, Meyer J, von Deimling A, Weller M, Wick W, Weiler M: Prognostic value of three different methods of MGMT promoter methylation analysis in a prospective trial on newly diagnosed glioblastoma. *PLoS One* 2012, 7(3):e33449.
- Kristensen LS, Treppendahl MB, Asmar F, Girkov MS, Nielsen HM, Kjeldsen TE, Ralfkiaer E, Hansen LL, Gronbaek K: Investigation of MGMT and DAPK1 methylation patterns in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma using allelic MSP-pyrosequencing. Sci Rep 2013, 3:2789.
- Garcia-Manero G, Bueso-Ramos C, Daniel J, Williamson J, Kantarjian HM, Issa JP: DNA methylation patterns at relapse in adult acute lymphocytic leukemia. *Clin Cancer Res* 2002, 8(6):1897–1903.
- Gao P, Yang X, Xue YW, Zhang XF, Wang Y, Liu WJ, Wu XJ: Promoter methylation of glutathione S-transferase pi1 and multidrug resistance gene 1 in bronchioloalveolar carcinoma and its correlation with DNA methyltransferase 1 expression. *Cancer* 2009, 115(14):3222–3232.
- David GL, Yegnasubramanian S, Kumar A, Marchi VL, de Marzo AM, Lin X, Nelson WG: MDR1 promoter hypermethylation in MCF-7 human breast cancer cells: changes in chromatin structure induced by treatment with 5-Aza-cytidine. *Cancer Biol Ther* 2004, 3(6):540–548.
- Enokida H, Shiina H, Igawa M, Ogishima T, Kawakami T, Bassett WW, Anast JW, Li LC, Urakami S, Terashima M, et al: CpG hypermethylation of MDR1 gene contributes to the pathogenesis and progression of human prostate cancer. Cancer Res 2004, 64(17):5956–5962.
- Tahara T, Arisawa T, Shibata T, Yamashita H, Yoshioka D, Hirata I: Effect of promoter methylation of multidrug resistance 1 (MDR1) gene in gastric carcinogenesis. *Anticancer Res* 2009, 29(1):337–341.
- Bleau AM, Hambardzumyan D, Ozawa T, Fomchenko EI, Huse JT, Brennan CW, Holland EC: PTEN/PI3K/Akt pathway regulates the side population phenotype and ABCG2 activity in glioma tumor stem-like cells. *Cell Stem Cell* 2009, 4(3):226–235.
- 44. Bady P, Sciuscio D, Diserens AC, Bloch J, van den Bent MJ, Marosi C, Dietrich PY, Weller M, Mariani L, Heppner FL, et al: MGMT methylation analysis of glioblastoma on the Infinium methylation BeadChip identifies two distinct CpG regions associated with gene silencing and outcome, yielding a prediction model for comparisons across datasets, tumor grades, and CIMP-status. Acta Neuropathol 2012, 124(4):547–560.

doi:10.1186/1471-2407-13-617

Cite this article as: Oberstadt *et al.*: Epigenetic modulation of the drug resistance genes MGMT, ABCB1 and ABCG2 in glioblastoma multiforme. *BMC Cancer* 2013 **13**:617.

Submit your next manuscript to BioMed Central and take full advantage of:

- Convenient online submission
- Thorough peer review
- No space constraints or color figure charges
- Immediate publication on acceptance
- Inclusion in PubMed, CAS, Scopus and Google Scholar
- Research which is freely available for redistribution

) BioMed Central

Submit your manuscript at www.biomedcentral.com/submit