

A Saccharomyces cerevisiae model and screen to define the functional consequences of oncogenic histone missense mutations

Laramie D. Lemon (),¹ Sneha Kannan,^{1,†} Kim Wai Mo,^{1,†} Miranda Adams (),^{1,2,3} Haley G. Choi,^{1,2} Alexander O. D. Gulka (),^{1,4} Elise S. Withers,¹ Hasset T. Nurelegne,¹ Valeria Gomez,¹ Reina E. Ambrocio,¹ Rhea Tumminkatti,¹ Richard S. Lee,^{1,2} Morris Wan,¹ Milo B. Fasken (),¹ Jennifer M. Spangle (),² and Anita H. Corbett ()¹

¹Department of Biology, Emory University, Atlanta, GA 30322, USA,

²Department of Radiation Oncology, Emory University, Atlanta, GA 30322, USA,

³Graduate Program in Cancer Biology, Emory University, Atlanta, GA 30322, USA,

⁴Graduate Program in Genetics and Molecular Biology, Emory University, Atlanta, GA 30322, USA

*Corresponding author: Department of Biology, Emory University, Atlanta, GA 30322, USA. Email: acorbe2@emory.edu; *Corresponding author: Department of Radiation Oncology, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA 30322, USA. Email: jennifer.spangle@emory.edu †These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract

Somatic missense mutations in histone genes tum these essential proteins into oncohistones, which can drive oncogenesis. Understanding how missense mutations alter histone function is challenging in mammals as mutations occur in a single histone gene. For example, described oncohistone mutations predominantly occur in the histone *H3.3* gene, despite the human genome encoding 15 H3 genes. To understand how oncogenic histone missense mutations alter histone function, we leveraged the budding yeast model, which contains only 2 H3 genes, to explore the functional consequences of oncohistones H3K36M, H3G34W, H3G34L, H3G34R, and H3G34V. Analysis of cells that express each of these variants as the sole copy of H3 reveals that H3K36 mutants show different drug sensitivities compared to H3G34 mutants. This finding suggests that changes to proximal amino acids in the H3 N-terminal tail alter distinct biological pathways. We exploited the caffeine-sensitive growth of H3K36-mutant cells to perform a high copy suppressor screen. This screen identified genes linked to histone function and transcriptional regulation, including Esa1, a histone H4/H2A acetyltransferase; Tos4, a forkhead-associated domain-containing gene expression regulator; Pho92, an N6-methyladenosine RNA-binding protein; and Sgv1/Bur1, a cyclin-dependent kinase. We show that the Esa1 lysine acetyltransferase activity is critical for suppression of the caffeine-sensitive growth of H3K36R-mutant cells while the previously characterized binding interactions of Tos4 and Pho92 are not required for suppression. This screen identifies pathways that could be altered by oncohistone mutations and highlights the value of yeast genetics to identify pathways altered by such mutations.

Keywords: histone; oncohistone; Saccharomyces cerevisiae; gene expression; high copy suppressor

Introduction

Virtually every somatic cell within a eukaryotic organism contains identical genetic information; however, this identical information produces a plethora of cells with different morphologies and functions. Precise regulation of gene expression enables cells to have specific functions, structures, and biological responses. To enable dynamic responses via gene expression, DNA is packaged with histone proteins to form the basic chromatin unit of the nucleosome, which is a complex containing 147 bp of DNA wrapped around a histone octamer containing 2 copies each of the histones H2A, H2B, H3, and H4. DNA and histone proteins are modified by post-translational modifications (PTMs), which modulate DNA accessibility and regulate gene expression (Strahl and Allis 2000). Histones themselves are key regulators of nucleosome accessibility as a result of their dynamic acetylation, phosphorylation, and methylation. The exact combination, genome-wide localization, and dynamic addition or removal of histone PTMs contribute to the plasticity of gene expression, which is often deregulated in disease.

While the mechanisms by which characterized mutations in histone genes impact gene expression vary, expression of several disease-associated histone missense protein variants leads to chromatin remodeling and aberrant gene expression. To date, mutations in the genes that encode histone H3 have been most extensively characterized (Wan *et al.* 2018; Nacev *et al.* 2019). In particular, data suggest that the H3K27M mutation decreases genomewide repressive H3K27 methylation via impaired function of the polycomb repressive complex 2 (PRC2) (Lewis *et al.* 2013; Justin *et al.* 2016; Harutyunyan *et al.* 2019). Loss of H3K27 methylation is visible across all genomic elements examined, including promoters, introns, intergenic regions, and 5'- and 3'-untranslated regions

Received: March 31, 2022. Accepted: May 06, 2022

[©] The Author(s) 2022. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Genetics Society of America.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

(UTRs) (Harutyunyan et al. 2019). Tissue characterized by H3K27M mutation also exhibits global DNA hypomethylation, leading to reduced promoter H3K27 methylation. Similarly, the H3K36M mutation is associated with a global reduction in H3K36 di- and tri-methylation, modulating gene expression, and ultimately deregulating biological processes including cellular differentiation (Lu et al. 2016). Purified H3K36M-containing nucleosomes inhibit the activity of the H3K36-directed methyltransferases SETD2 and NSD2, and knockdown of these H3K36 methyltransferases phenocopies the effect H3K36M has on the epigenome and gene expression (Yang et al. 2016). These data suggest that while known histone mutations deregulate the epigenome and have drastic effects on gene expression, mechanisms by which histone mutations alter cell/biological function likely vary widely and these mutations have the potential to provide insight into specific histone functions.

The human genome encodes more than a dozen of each histone gene, H1, H2A, H2B, H3, and H4, which have arisen evolutionarily at least in part via gene duplication (Braastad et al. 2004). All known and characterized disease-associated histone mutations (e.g. H3K27M, H3G34R, H3G34V, and H3K36M) act as dominant mutations, as a mutation in a single copy of one of the 15 encoded human H3 genes is sufficient to impart biological changes that impact cell growth (Nacev et al. 2019). Oncohistone mutations that occur within N-terminal histone tails typically function through the deregulation of chromatin modification; in cases such as H3K27M or H3K36M histone variants, these mutations directly impact the ability of H3K27 or H3K36 to support methylation. As described above for H3K27M, the impact on histone PTM occurs both in cis and trans (Yang et al. 2016); similar results are reported for H3K36M-driven cancers (Taylor and Westendorf 2021). These global changes to chromatin have the potential to deregulate transcriptional competence and subsequent gene expression on a large scale, which presents a new obstacle toward therapeutic development-how does one identify a deregulated transcriptional target(s) that is of consequence to cancer development? While recent studies have defined the dopamine receptor DRD2 as a therapeutically actionable gene target deregulated via H3K27M oncohistone expression (Zhang et al. 2021), the identification of biologically meaningful cellular pathways and transcriptional changes that oncohistone-driven tumors are acutely dependent upon remains a pressing unmet clinical need.

While genomic alterations that support oncogenic growth have been identified in histone H1 (Yusufova et al. 2021) and histone H2B genes (Kang et al. 2021; Wan and Chan 2021), most studies have focused on the histone H3 genes (Nacev et al. 2019), in which typically 1 mutation is identified amongst the 15 genes, or 30 alleles, encoding H3 in the human genome. Oncogenic H3 mutations usually cluster in either of 2 genes encoding the H3 variant H3.3, which is the most evolutionarily conserved histone H3 variant (Nacev et al. 2019). Studies on histones in model organisms including the budding yeast S. cerevisiae (Duina et al. 2014; Bagert et al. 2021) and fission yeast Schizosaccharomyces pombe (Yadav et al. 2017; Lowe et al. 2021) can circumvent the complexity of studying the large number of histone gene copies in the human genome and provide insight into how histone mutations impart biologically relevant functional consequences. Such studies are informative as histones are highly conserved across species. Importantly, human and yeast H3 proteins have >97% identity (Hyland et al. 2005). While the fission yeast S. pombe genome harbors 3 histone H3 genes, the budding yeast S. cerevisiae genome contains only 2 histone H3 genes, HHT1 and HHT2,

rendering either yeast model system amenable to the study of how the oncohistone changes in histone H3 alter histone function.

Previous studies in S. pombe have demonstrated that the oncogenic H3G34 mutations—H3G34V, H3G34W, and H3G34R—function through divergent mechanisms. The H3G34V mutation reduces H3K36 trimethylation (H3K36me3) (Yadav et al. 2017), whereas the H3G34R mutation impairs Gcn5-mediated H3K36 acetylation (Lowe et al. 2021). Experiments in S. pombe also highlight the different mechanisms of action of oncohistone mutations, as H3G34V-mutant yeast are sensitive to DNA-damaging agents, despite having intact homologous recombination-based DNA repair but are not sensitive to replicative stress (Lowe et al. 2021). In contrast, H3G34R-mutant yeast are vulnerable to replicative stress but are not competent for homologous recombination DNA repair (Yadav et al. 2017). These mutant oncohistones drive differential gene expression changes in yeast, which likely contribute to the contrasting sensitivities of the cells to stress. Such yeast studies highlight the concept that cancers that arise as a result of a specific oncohistone mutation are likely to benefit from different therapeutic modalities.

Similar results in yeast have been observed for various H3K36 amino acid substitutions. Expression of an H3K36R variant, which although structurally similar to the lysine normally present in this position, cannot be post-translationally modified (Meers et al. 2017), in S. cerevisiae renders yeast acutely sensitive to caffeine (McDaniel et al. 2017). Notably, H3K36R-expressing yeast cells exhibit a loss of H3K36me3 and compromised growth in response to caffeine and rapamycin, which both inhibit growth factor and nutrient sensing pathways (McDaniel et al. 2017). The H3K36R mutation also alters alternative polyadenylation and pre-mRNA splicing in budding yeast (Sorenson et al. 2016; Kaczmarek Michaels et al. 2020). The H3K36R missense mutation is not linked to cancer, but it does alter the cellular transcriptional program and impair post-transcriptional processing in a Drosophila melanogaster model (Meers et al. 2017). In addition, an H3K36A mutation increases antisense transcription in budding yeast (Venkatesh et al. 2016). All of these studies demonstrate the utility of employing budding yeast to explore the functional consequences of changing key amino acids within the histone H3 Nterminal tail.

Here, we leverage the budding yeast model system to further elucidate the fundamental biological differences and vulnerabilities amongst established H3G34 and H3K36 oncohistones. Using a high copy suppressor screen approach to identify suppressors of oncohistone-mutant growth phenotypes, we identify several genes linked to histone function, including the histone acetyltransferase, Esa1, the cyclin-dependent kinase, Sgv1/Bur1, a histone deacetylase (HDAC) complex-interacting protein, Tos4, and, finally, a protein that regulates mRNA stability and binds m⁶A RNA, Pho92. Notably, we find that the histone acetyltransferase activity of Esa1 is required for suppression of the caffeine-sensitive growth of H3K36R-mutant cells. Such approaches have the potential to guide novel mechanistic studies of human oncogenic histone mutations and suggest opportunities for therapeutic intervention for patients with tumors characterized by these oncohistones.

Materials and methods Chemicals and media

All chemicals were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St Louis, MO, USA), United States Biological (Swampscott, MA, USA), or Fisher

Scientific (Pittsburgh, PA, USA) unless otherwise noted. All media were prepared by standard procedures (Adams et al. 1997).

Saccharomyces cerevisiae strains and plasmids

All DNA manipulations were performed according to standard procedures (Sambrook et al. 1989). Saccharomyces cerevisiae strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table 1. The PCR- and homologous recombination-based system for generating targeted mutations in histone genes in budding yeast cells has been described (Duina and Turkal 2017). Strains to model oncohistones—hht2-K36R (ACY2816), hht2-K36M (ACY2830), hht2-G34W (ACY2823), hht2-G34L (ACY2831), hht2-G34R (ACY2838), and hht2-G34V (ACY2841), which harbor mutations at the codons encoding the 36th or 34th histone H3 residue at the endogenous HHT2 gene-were generated using the parental hht2A::URA3 strain (yAAD165) and the strategy detailed previously (Johnson et al. 2015; Duina and Turkal 2017). The endogenous HHT1 gene in these oncohistone model strains was subsequently deleted and replaced via homologous recombination with a kanMX marker cassette to generate hht2-K36R/M hht1 Δ (ACY2821, ACY2822) and hht2-G34W/L/R/V hht1 Δ (ACY2825, ACY2833, ACY2840, ACY2846) strains. The $hht1\Delta$ (ACY2818) and set2 Δ strains (ACY2851) were generated by deletion and replacement of the HHT1 and SET2 gene, respectively, via homologous recombination with a kanMX marker cassette. The YEp352 plasmids containing cloned S. cerevisiae genes identified in the high copy suppressor screen—HHF2 (pAC4199), HHT2 (pAC4201), ESA1 (pAC4190), TOS4 (pAC4196), PHO92 (pAC4193), SGV1 (pAC4187), and HHT1 (pAC4200)-were generated by PCR amplification of each gene (5' sequence/promoter, CDS, 3' UTR) from wildtype (WT) BY4741 genomic DNA with gene-specific oligonucleotides (Integrated DNA Technologies) and conventional cloning via SalI/ SacI or XhoI/SphI (TOS4) into YEp352. All enzymes for PCR and cloning were obtained from New England BioLabs. The YEp352 plasmids containing esa1/tos4/pho92/sqv1 variants with missense mutations in the Esa1 catalytic domain—esa1-C304S (pAC4191) and esa1-E338Q (pAC4192), the Tos4 Forkhead-associated (FHA) domain-tos4-N122A-N161A (pAC4205), the Pho92 YTH domainpho92-W177A (pAC4194) and pho92-W231A (pAC4195), and the Sgv1 catalytic domain—sqv1-E107Q (pAC4188), sqv1-D213A (pAC4189), SUP3-E107Q (pAC4212), and SUP3-D213A (pAC4213) were created using oligonucleotides containing the desired mutations (Integrated DNA Technologies), plasmid template-ESA1 (pAC4190), TOS4 (pAC4196), PHO92 (pAC4193), SGV1 (pAC4187), or SUP3 (pAC4132), and the QuikChange II Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit (Agilent). The YEp352 plasmids containing $sgv1\Delta 2 + 8aa$ (pAC4208), SGV1-Myc (pAC4209), sgv1-E107Q-Myc (pAC4210), and sgv1-D213A-Myc (pAC4211) were generated by PCR amplification of SGV1 5' sequence/CDS and 3' UTR products from SGV1 plasmid template—SGV1 (pAC4187), sgv1-E107Q (pAC4188), or sgv1-D213A (pAC4189) using oligonucleotides containing desired changes ($\Delta 2 + 8aa$; C-terminal Myc tag) and overlapping ends (Integrated DNA Technologies), and cloning into YEp352 linearized with EcoRI/HindIII using the NEBuilder Hifi DNA assembly cloning kit (New England BioLabs). All clones generated were sequenced to ensure that all cloned WT S. cerevisiae genes contained no mutations and all gene variants contained only the desired mutations.

High-copy suppressor screen

High-copy suppressors of the caffeine-sensitive growth of hht2-K36R hht1 Δ cells (ACY2821) or hht2-K36M hht1 Δ cells (ACY2822) were identified by transforming these cells with a YEp352-based

high-copy S. cerevisiae genomic library (Zhang et al. 1998) which was created by performing a Sau3AI partial digest of genomic S. cerevisiae DNA and cloning into the BamHI site of the 2 μ m plasmid YEp352 (Hill et al. 1986). Transformants were grown on synthetic medium lacking uracil in the presence of 15 mM caffeine at 30°C for 5–10 days to select for those plasmids able to complement the caffeine-sensitive growth phenotype. Suppression by each plasmid (plasmid linkage) was confirmed by rescuing each suppressor plasmid and then retransforming them into the original H3K36 mutant to test for suppression of the slow growth on plates containing 15 mM caffeine.

Saccharomyces cerevisiae growth assays

To examine the growth of oncohistone model strains, WT (yAAD1253), hht2-K36R/M Δhht1 (ACY2821; ACY2822), hht2-G34W/ L/R/V hht1 Δ (ACY2825; ACY2833; ACY2840; ACY2846), and hht1 Δ (ACY2818) strains were grown overnight at 30°C to saturation in 2 ml YEPD (yeast extract, peptone, dextrose) media. Cells were normalized to $OD_{600} = 5$, serially diluted in 10-fold dilutions, spotted on control YEPD media plates or YEPD media plates containing 15 mM caffeine or 3% formamide, and grown at 30°C and 18°C for 2-5 days. To test the effect of the genomic suppressor plasmids on the growth of oncohistone model strains and set2 Δ cells in the presence of caffeine, WT (yAAD1253) cells transformed with YEp352 plasmid and hht2-K36M hht1 Δ (ACY2822), hht2-K36R hht1 Δ (ACY2821), and set2 Δ (ACY2851) cells transformed with Vector (YEp352), SUP3 (pAC4132), SUP54 (pAC4145), SUP67 (pAC4149), SUP68 (pAC4150), or SUP99 (pAC4160) plasmid were grown overnight at 30°C to saturation in 2 ml Ura- media containing 2% glucose. Cells were normalized by OD₆₀₀ and serially diluted as described previously, spotted onto control YEPD media plates or YEPD media plates containing 15 mM caffeine, and grown at 30°C for 2–5 days. For some experiments, cells were also spotted onto control Ura- plates or Ura- plates containing 15 mM caffeine. To test the effect of cloned suppressor genes and gene variants on the growth of hht2-K36R hht1 Δ cells in the presence of caffeine, WT (yAAD1253) cells containing Vector (YEp352) and hht2-K36R hht1A (ACY2821) cells containing HHF2 (pAC4199), HHT2 (pAC4201), HHT1 (pAC4200), ESA1 (pAC4190), esa1-C304S (pAC4191), esa1-E338Q (pAC4192), TOS4 (pAC4196), tos4-R122A-N161A (pAC4205), PHO92 (pAC4193), pho92-W177A (pAC4194), pho92-W231A (pAC4195), SGV1 (pAC4187), sgv1- Δ 2 + 8aa (pAC4208), SGV1-Myc (pAC4209), sgu1-E107Q-Myc (pAC4210), sgu1-D213A-Myc (pAC4211), SUP3-E107Q (pAC4212), or SUP3-D213A (pAC4213) plasmid were grown overnight at 30°C to saturation in 2 ml Ura- media containing 2% glucose. As controls and for comparison, hht2-K36R hht1 Δ (ACY2821) cells containing genomic suppressor plasmids were similarly grown. Cells were normalized by OD₆₀₀ and serially diluted as described previously, spotted onto control YEPD plates or YEPD media plates containing 15 mM caffeine, and grown at 30°C for 2-5 days. For some experiments, cells were also spotted onto control Ura- plates or Ura- plates containing 15 mM caffeine. For liquid growth assays, the indicated genotypes cells were grown in YEPD to mid-log phase and diluted into fresh media. The OD₆₀₀ was recorded every 20 min in an Epoch2 microplate reader (BioTek) to determine doubling time. For the results shown, each sample was performed in 3 independent biological replicates with 3 technical replicates for each biological sample.

Histone immunoblotting

To analyze histone H3K36me3 levels in oncohistone model strains, WT (yAAD1253), hht2-K36R/M Δhht1 (ACY2821; ACY2822),

hht2-G34W/L/R/V hht1A (ACY2825; ACY2833; ACY2840; ACY2846), and set2 Δ (ACY2851) strains were grown overnight at 30°C to saturation in 5 ml YEPD (yeast extract, peptone, dextrose) media. Cells were diluted in 50 ml YEPD to a starting $OD_{600} = 0.1$ and grown at 30°C to a final $OD_{600} = 1.0$. Cells were pelleted by centrifugation at $1,962 \times q$ in 50 ml tubes and transferred to 2 ml screwcap tubes and pelleted by centrifugation at $16,200 \times q$. Pelleted cells were resuspended in 1 ml Lysis Buffer (10 mM Tris HCl, pH 8.0; 300 mM NaCl; 10% Glycerol; 0.1% IGEPAL CA-630) supplemented with protease inhibitors [0.5 mM PMSF; Pierce Protease Inhibitors (Thermo Fisher Scientific)]. After addition of 500 µl acid washed glass beads, cells were disrupted in a Mini Bead Beater 16 Cell Disrupter (Biospec) for 3 × 30 s at 25°C with 1 min on ice between repetitions. Cell debris was pelleted by centrifugation at 2,400 \times g for 2 min at 4°C and protein lysate supernatant was transferred to fresh microfuge tube and clarified by centrifugation at 16,200 \times q for 15 min at 4°C. The protein lysate was then transferred to a fresh tube. Protein lysate concentration was determined by Pierce BCA Protein Assay Kit (Life Technologies). Protein lysate samples (60 µg) in reducing sample buffer (50 mM Tris HCl, pH 6.8; 100 mM DTT; 2% SDS; 0.1% bromophenol blue; 10% glycerol) were resolved on 4-20% Criterion TGX Stain-Free precast polyacrylamide gels (Bio-Rad). Protein lysate samples were transferred to nitrocellulose membranes (Bio-Rad) in Dunn carbonate buffer (10 mM NaHCO₃, 3 mM Na₂CO₃, pH 9.9, 20% methanol) at 22V for 90min at room temperature. Histone H3K36me3 was detected with anti-H3K36me3 rabbit polyclonal antibody (ab9050; 1:1000; Abcam) and total histone H3 was detected with anti-H3 rabbit polyclonal antibody (ab1791; 1:5000; Abcam). Primary H3 rabbit antibodies were detected with secondary peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (111-035-003; 1:3000; Jackson ImmunoResearch Labs, Inc.), ECL reagent, and ChemiDoc MP Imaging System (Bio-Rad).

Quantitation of histone immunoblotting

The protein band intensities from immunoblots were quantitated using Image Lab software (Bio-Rad) and mean fold changes in protein levels were calculated in Microsoft Excel (Microsoft Corporation). The mean fold changes in histone H3K36me3 levels in oncohistone-mutant cells relative to the WT control was calculated from 2 immunoblots. H3K36me3 band intensity was first normalized to total histone H3 band intensity and then normalized to H3K36me3 intensity in WT cells. The mean fold changes in H3K36me3 levels in oncohistone-mutant cells relative to the WT control were graphed in GraphPad Prism 8 (GraphPad Software, LLC) with standard error of the mean error bars.

Results

Missense mutations that model changes present in histone H3 confer different growth phenotypes

The N-terminal tails of the histone H3 protein are evolutionarily conserved with only a single conservative amino acid substitution (T->S) within the first 38 amino acids of the budding yeast compared to the human protein (Fig. 1a). For this reason, the budding yeast system is valuable to model missense mutations that convert histone proteins into oncohistones. We focused on a set of missense mutations that alter K36 (K36M) or neighboring G34 (G34W, G34L, G34R, G34V), which are altered in various types of cancers, and an additional missense mutation that alters K36 and is associated with post-transcriptional regulation (McDaniel et al. 2017) (K36R).

We created S. cerevisiae H3-mutant models where each histone variant is expressed as the sole copy of histone H3 and then assessed cell growth using a serial dilution assay. As shown in Fig. 1b, in an end point solid media growth assay, all histone mutants show growth comparable to either control WT cells or control cells lacking the HHT1 gene (HHT2 $hht1\Delta$), which is absent in the oncohistone-mutant models that contain HHT2 as the sole histone H3 gene. At cold temperature (18°C), both the H3K36R and H3K36M-mutant cells show a modest growth defect (Fig. 1b) with no change in growth detected for any of the H3G34 variants. To extend this analysis, we performed a liquid growth assay, which captures changes in the rate of growth. At the permissive temperature of 30°C, differences in the growth rate for each of the oncohistone mutants relative to WT control cells are illustrated by increased doubling time (Fig. 1c) and slower growth rate (Supplementary Fig. 1). These data demonstrate that these oncohistone mutants exhibit changes in cell growth.

To explore whether the oncohistone models exhibit other changes, we then tested for growth defects when cells are grown on media containing chemicals that disrupt different cellular pathways (Fig. 1d). Caffeine impairs cellular stress response/TOR signaling (Kuranda et al. 2006); formamide alters RNA metabolism (Hoyos-Manchado et al. 2017), and hydroxyurea (HU) impairs DNA synthesis (Slater 1973). Results of this analysis reveal that amino acid substitutions at H3K36 cause sensitivity to growth on media containing caffeine, which is consistent with previous results showing that loss of the H3K36 methyltransferase Set2 (Strahl et al. 2002) and expression of the H3K36R variant confer sensitivity to caffeine (McDaniel et al. 2017). All of the histone H3 mutants are sensitive to HU than the H3K36 mutants.

Analysis of H3K36me3 in the oncohistone-mutant cells reveals that H3K36R and H3K36M mutants show no detectable H3K36me3, as expected and similar to control $set2\Delta$ cells, whereas H3G34 mutants show decreased H3K36me3 relative to WT cells (Fig. 1, e and f). Notably, amongst the H3G34 mutants, H3G34V shows the greatest decrease in H3K36me3. These results suggest that the drug-sensitive growth of the oncohistone mutants is linked to an altered epigenome. In addition, these data reveal that different changes to residues within the N-terminal tail of histone H3 confer distinct growth defects.

A high copy suppressor screen to identify functional links to missense mutations present in oncohistones

To explore the molecular basis of the growth defects conferred by missense mutations in the histone H3 gene, we performed a high copy suppressor screen. As described in Materials and methods, either H3K36M- or H3K36R-mutant cells were transformed with a high copy genomic library (Zhang *et al.* 1998) and plated on media containing 15 mM caffeine. Suppressors that enhanced growth of these mutants in the presence of caffeine were isolated and selected for further analysis. Figure 2a shows results for a set of suppressor clones (*SUP*) identified in the screen that suppress the caffeine-sensitive growth of the H3K36M oncohistone model. While the screen was not saturated, we did identify several clones multiple times. We validated each suppressor by plasmid rescue and retransformation.

As expected in a suppressor screen, we identified a suppressor that contains one of the 2 budding yeast H3 genes, HHT2 (Fig. 2a). Beyond HHT2, which validates the screen, we focused our analysis on 4 suppressor clones that illustrate the power of this approach to define the biological pathways altered in oncohistone



Fig. 1. H3K36 and H3G34 oncohistone missense mutations within the conserved N-terminal tail of histone H3 cause diverse growth phenotypes in budding yeast. a) The N-terminal tails of histone proteins are highly conserved as illustrated in the alignment shown for human (H. sapiens, H.s.) histone H3.3 and S. cerevisiae (S.c.) Hht1/Hht2. The positions of K36 and G34, which are the residues altered in the oncohistones modeled here, are shown in bold on right in red and the single conservative amino acid change from threonine (T) in human to serine (S) in budding yeast at position 22 is shown in bold towards center in blue. b) The H3K36 histone-mutant cells, but not H3G34-mutant cells, show cold-sensitive growth. The H3K36R/Mmutant cells (hht2-K36R/M hht1Δ) show impaired growth at 18°C compared to WT cells. The H3K36 and H3G34-mutant cells express each histone variant as the sole copy of histone H3. WT, H3K36-mutant cells (hht2-K36R/M hht1A), H3G34-mutant cells (hht2-G34W/L/R/V hht1A), and HHT2 hht1A cells were serially diluted and spotted on YEPD media plates and grown at the permissive temperature of 30°C and cold temperature of 18°C. c) A growth curve was employed to analyze growth rate (Supplementary Fig. 1). Doubling times were obtained for control WT and HHT2 hht1A cells (black), H3K36-mutant cells (hht2-K36R/M hht1A) (gray), and H3G34-mutant cells (hht2-G34W/L/R/V hht1A) (light gray). The doubling time was increased for each of the H3 mutants analyzed compared to either control. Statistical significance (**P < 0.001) was determined by using a 1-tailed Student's t-test. d) The H3K36 histone-mutant cells show caffeine-sensitive growth, whereas H3G34-mutant cells show HU-sensitive growth. The H3K36R/M-mutant cells (hht2-K36R/M hht1A) show impaired growth on caffeine plates, whereas the H3G34-mutant cells (hht2-G34W/L/R/V hht1A) show impaired growth on HU plates compared to WT cells. All H3K36- and H3G34-mutant cells analyzed show severely impaired growth on formamide plates. WT, H3K36-mutant cells (hht2-K36R/M hht1A), H3G34-mutant cells (hht2-G34W/L/R/V hht1A), and HHT2 hht1A cells were serially diluted and spotted on a control YEPD media plate and YEPD plates containing 15 mM caffeine, 3% formamide, or 150 mM HU. and grown at 30°C. e and f) Immunoblotting was performed to analyze levels of H3K36 trimethylation (H3K36me3) in budding yeast oncohistone models. WT, control set2A, H3K36-mutant cells (hht2-K36R/M hht1A), and H3G34-mutant cells (hht2-G34W/L/R/V hht1A) were grown and analyzed as described in Materials and methods to detect H3K36me3 and total H3. Stain-free signal is included as a total loading control. e) A representative immunoblot demonstrating loss of H3K36me3 in the control set2A and H3K36-mutant cells (hht2-K36R/M hht1A) with a decrease in H3K36me3 in the H3G34-mutant cells. A nonspecific band detected by the antibody is indicated by the asterisk. Molecular weight markers are indicated to the left. f) A bar graph presents the results from 2 independent immunoblot experiments as shown in (e). The level of H3K36me3 was normalized to stain-free signal and total H3 levels. The level of H3K36me3 detected in control WT cells was set to 100% and all other samples were calculated relative to this level of H3K36me3.



Fig. 2. A high copy suppressor screen for suppression of the caffeine-sensitive growth of H3K36 histone-mutant cells identifies 5 suppressors. As described in the Materials and methods, a high copy suppressor screen was performed to identify genes that suppress the caffeine-sensitive growth of H3K36M- and/or H3K36R-mutant cells. The 5 genomic suppressor (SUP) plasmids identified from the screen suppress the caffeine-sensitive growth of a) H3K36M and b) H3K36R histone-mutant cells. The H3K36M/R-mutant cells (hht2-K36M/R hht1 Δ) containing SUP3/54/67/68/99 suppressor plasmids show improved growth on caffeine plates compared to cells containing vector alone. The H3K36M/R cells containing suppressor plasmids do not show any effect on growth at 18°C and little effect on formamide plates; however, cells containing the SUP3 suppressor show slightly improved growth compared to cells containing vector alone on formamide plates. Sequencing of the genomic suppressor plasmids revealed the identity of the gene(s) encoded on the clones: SUP3 (SGV1), SUP54 (HHT2, HHF2), SUP67 (ESA1), SUP68 (TOS4, YLR184W), and SUP99 (PHO92, WIP1, BCS1)-indicated to right. WT cells transformed with vector and H3K36M/R-mutant cells (hht2-K36M/R hht2A) transformed with vector, SUP3, SUP54, SUP67, SUP68, or SUP99 plasmid were serially diluted and spotted onto YEPD plates and YEPD plates containing 15 mM caffeine or 3% formamide and grown at indicated temperatures. c) The HHT2 histone H3 gene, but not the HHF2 histone H4 gene, suppresses the caffeine-sensitive growth of H3K36R histone-mutant cells. The H3K36R-mutant cells (hht2-K36R hht1A) containing an HHT2 plasmid show improved growth compared to cells with vector alone on a caffeine plate, similar to cells containing the SUP54 suppressor, which harbors both HHT2 and HHF2. The H3K36R cells containing an HHF2 plasmid do not show improved growth on a caffeine plate. The H3K36R cells with an HHT1 plasmid, containing the other S. cerevisiae histone H3 gene, show improved growth on a caffeine plate. WT cells transformed with vector and H3K36R-mutant cells (hht2-K36R hht2A) transformed with vector, SUP54, HHF2, HHT2, or HHT1 plasmid were serially diluted and spotted onto a control YEPD plate and YEPD plate containing 15 mM caffeine and grown at 30°C.

model cells. These suppressors encode the cyclin-dependent protein kinase, Sgv1/Bur1 (Irie *et al.* 1991; Prelich and Winston 1993), the catalytic subunit of the NuA4 histone acetyltransferase complex, Esa1 (Clarke *et al.* 1999), the gene expression regulator, Tos4 (Horak *et al.* 2002; Cooke *et al.* 2021), which contains a FHA domain that interacts with Rpd3L and Set3 HDAC complexes (Bastos de Oliveira *et al.* 2012), and a post-transcriptional regulator of phosphate and glucose metabolism, Pho92 (Kang *et al.* 2014) (Fig. 2a).

To begin to assess whether the suppressors identified are linked to PTM of H3K36, we tested whether the suppressors identified can also suppress a yeast mutant that expresses the conservative H3K36R variant as the sole copy of histone H3. As shown in Fig. 2b, each of the suppressors identified also suppresses the caffeine-sensitive growth of H3K36R cells. We also confirmed that each of these suppressors can suppress the H3K36R caffeine-sensitive growth on plates lacking uracil (Supplementary Fig. 2), a condition which has been used in previous studies that analyzed H3K36R cells (McDaniel *et al.* 2017). Thus, the suppressors identified for further analysis suppress the growth phenotypes of both H3K36M- and H3K36R-mutant cells.

As a first step to validate the screen and the suppressors identified, we focused on the suppressor clone containing the histone H3 gene HHT2 (SUP54). This genomic clone contains one of the 2 budding yeast histone H3 genes (HHT2) and one of the 2 budding yeast histone H4 genes (HHF2). We subcloned the HHT2 and HHF2 genes and tested them independently for suppression of the caffeine-sensitive growth of the H3K36R cells (Fig. 2c and Supplementary Fig. 2a). This analysis reveals that HHT2 rescues the growth defect of these cells, while the HHF2 clone confers no rescue as cells show growth comparable to the Vector alone control. We also confirmed that, as expected, the other budding yeast histone H3 gene, HHT1, rescues the caffeine-sensitive growth of H3K36R-mutant cells (Fig. 2c).

The S. cerevisiae ESA1 gene encodes an essential, evolutionarily conserved lysine acetyltransferase that acetylates lysine residues within the N-terminal tail of histone H4 as well as histone H2A (Smith *et al.* 1998; Clarke *et al.* 1999). The human orthologue of Esa1 is the KAT5/TIP60 protein (Doyon *et al.* 2004). As illustrated in the domain structure shown in Fig. 3a, Esa1 is a member of the MYST (MOZ[KAT6A], YBF2/Sas3, Sas2, TIP60[KAT5]) family of lysine acetyl transferases (Yan *et al.* 2000; Lafon *et al.* 2007). Esa1 also contains an N-terminal chromo domain (Eissenberg 2012). These same functional domains are conserved within the human KAT5/TIP60 protein (Doyon *et al.* 2004).

We confirmed that overexpression of ESA1, the only intact gene present in the SUP67 suppressor, can suppress the caffeinesensitive growth of H3K36R-mutant cells (Fig. 3b and Supplementary Fig. 2b). To assess whether the lysine acetyltransferase function of Esa1 is critical for this growth suppression, we took advantage of 2 previously characterized catalytic mutants (Fig. 3a), esa1-C304S and esa1-E338Q (Yan et al. 2000; Decker et al. 2008). Each of these amino acid substitutions eliminates the acetyltransferase activity of Esa1 without a significant impact on steady-state protein level (Decker et al. 2008). As shown in Fig. 3b and Supplementary Fig. 2b, neither of these catalytic mutants of Esa1 can suppress the growth of H3K36R-mutant cells. Thus, the acetyltransferase activity of Esa1 is required to suppress the growth defects associated with H3K36 mutants.

The Tos4 protein is a gene expression regulator that plays a role in gene expression homeostasis (Horak et al. 2002; Cooke et al. 2021). As depicted in Fig. 4a, Tos4 contains a FHA domain, which mediates interactions with HDAC complexes, Rpd3L and Set3 (Bastos de Oliveira et al. 2012). We cloned TOS4, as the SUP68 suppressor clone slightly truncates the Tos4 open reading frame and also contains an uncharacterized gene YLR184W. As shown in Fig. 4b, overexpression of TOS4 suppresses the caffeine-sensitive growth of H3K36R-mutant cells. To test whether a functional FHA domain is required for Tos4-mediated growth suppression, we exploited amino acid substitutions R122A and N161A in the Tos4 FHA domain that disrupt Tos4 interaction with HDAC complexes, Rpd3L and Set3 (Bastos de Oliveira et al. 2012). We created a Tos4 variant that contains both these amino acid substitutions (Fig. 4a); however, this double tos4-R122A-N161A mutant still robustly suppresses growth defects of H3K36R-mutant cells, suggesting that a HDAC independent, alternative function of Tos4 mediates this suppression (Fig. 4b and Supplementary Fig. 2c).

The Pho92 protein is a member of an evolutionarily conserved family of proteins that contains a C-terminal YTH domain (Kang et al. 2014) (Fig. 4c). The YTH domain can recognize and bind m⁶A-containing RNA (Shi et al. 2021), serving as the primary "reader" of this post-transcriptional modification of RNA. The high copy suppressor containing PHO92 (SUP99) also contained 2 other genes, WIP1 and BCS1, so we cloned the PHO92 gene and found that PHO92 is indeed a high copy suppressor of the caffeine-sensitive growth of H3K36R-mutant cells (Fig. 4d and Supplementary Fig. 2d). To determine whether YTH-mediated interaction with m⁶A-containing RNA is required for this suppression, we altered conserved tryptophan residues that are critical to form the binding pocket for m⁶A (Theler et al. 2014; Xu et al.



Fig. 3. The lysine acetyltransferase ESA1 suppresses the caffeinesensitive growth of H3K36R histone-mutant cells and requires the catalytic activity of Esa1 for suppression. SUP67 includes a single intact gene ESA1, which encodes a histone H4/H2A lysine acetyl transferase of the MYST (Moz, YBF2, Sas2, Tip) family. a) The domain structure of Esa1 is shown. The MYST homology domain contains both a Zinc Finger (Zn Finger) domain and a Gcn5-related N-acetyltransferases (GNAT) Homology domain. Esa1 also contains an N-terminal chromo domain. The amino acid changes created to impair the lysine acetyltransferase activity of Esa1, C304S and E338Q, which are based on previous work (Decker et al. 2008) and located in the MYST homology domain are shown below the diagram in bolded text. b) ESA1 suppresses the caffeine-sensitive growth of H3K36R-mutant cells similar to the high copy suppressor, SUP67, but catalytically inactive mutants of Esa1 do not suppress. The H3K36R cells (hht2-K36R hht1Δ) containing an ESA1 plasmid show improved growth compared to cells with vector alone on a caffeine plate, similar to cells containing the SUP67 suppressor, but cells containing the catalytically inactive mutant esa1-C304S or esa1-E338Q plasmid do not show improved growth. WT cells transformed with vector and H3K36R-mutant cells (hht2-K36R hht2 Δ) transformed with vector, SUP67, ESA1, esa1-C304S, or esa1-E338Q plasmid were serially diluted and spotted onto a control YEPD plate and YEPD plate containing 15 mM caffeine and grown at 30°C for indicated days.

2015), creating *pho92-W177A* and *pho92-W231A* (Fig. 4c). As shown in Fig. 4d and Supplementary Fig. 2d, both of these *pho92* mutants still suppress the caffeine-sensitive growth of the H3K36R cells, strongly suggesting that the YTH domain is not required for this suppression.

The Sgv1 protein (also termed Bur1) is an evolutionarily conserved cyclin-dependent kinase (Irie et al. 1991), which is closely related to human CDK9 (Malumbres 2014; Chun et al. 2019), that is required for efficient transcription elongation by RNA polymerase II (RNAPII) (Keogh et al. 2003). Importantly, Sgv1/Bur1 phosphorylates the Rbp1 linker region between the RNAPII body and C-terminal domain (CTD), facilitating recruitment of the elongation factor Spt6 (Chun et al. 2019), and phosphorylates the C-terminal region of elongation factor Spt5 (Zhou et al. 2009). Consistent with this function, the Sgv1 protein contains a protein kinase domain (Fig. 5a). Although SGV1 was the sole intact gene present on the SUP3 suppressor, the C-terminal end of the Sgv1 open reading frame is truncated by 2 amino acids (loss of LY) with a predicted addition of 8 amino acids (PRVPSSNS) due to translation into the multiple cloning site of the vector (Fig. 5a). Thus, we cloned SGV1 and tested for suppression of the caffeinesensitive growth of H3K36R-mutant cells. Surprisingly, the WT SGV1 clone does not suppress this growth defect (Fig. 5b). We



Fig. 4. The gene expression regulator TOS4 and m⁶A RNA-binding protein PHO92 suppress the caffeine-sensitive growth of H3K36R histone-mutant cells, but Tos4 interaction with HDAC complexes and Pho92 m⁶A RNA binding are not required for suppression. a) The Tos4 protein is a gene expression regulator that contains a forkhead-associated (FHA) domain, which is a phosphopeptide recognition domain found in many regulatory proteins. The amino acids changes generated to disrupt the interaction with the HDAC complexes, Rpd3L and Set3 (Bastos de Oliveira et al. 2012; Cooke et al. 2021), R122A and N161A, which are located in the FHA domain, are shown below the diagram in bolded text. b) TOS4 suppresses the caffeine-sensitive growth of H3K36R-mutant cells to the same extent as the high copy suppressor, SUP68, and a FHA domain double mutant of Tos4 that disrupts interaction with Rpd3L and Set3 HDACs remains competent to suppress the cells. The H3K36R cells (hht2-K36R $hht1\Delta$) containing a TOS4 plasmid show improved growth compared to cells with vector alone on a caffeine plate, similar to cells containing the SUP68 suppressor, which contains uncharacterized open reading frame, YLR184W, in addition to TOS4. The H3K36R cells containing an FHA domain double mutant of Tos4, tos4-R122A-N161A, show improved growth compared to cells with vector alone on a caffeine plate, similar to cells containing TOS4. c) The Pho92 protein contains a YT521-B homology (YTH) domain, which is an evolutionarily conserved m⁶A-dependent RNA-binding domain (Xu et al. 2015). The amino acid changes made to impair the binding of Pho92 to m⁶A RNA, W177A and W231A, which alter key tryptophan residues in the m⁶A binding pocket (Xu et al. 2015) located in the YTH domain, are shown below he diagram in bolded text. d) PHO92 suppresses the caffeinesensitive growth of H3K36R-mutant cells similar to the high copy suppressor, SUP99, but the m⁶A RNA-binding function of Pho92 is not required for suppression. The H3K36R cells (hht2-K36R hht1 Δ) containing a PHO92 plasmid show improved growth compared to cells with vector alone on a caffeine plate, similar to cells containing the SUP99 suppressor, which contains WIP1 and BCS1 genes in addition to PHO92. The H3K36R cells containing the m⁶A RNA-binding mutant pho92-W177A or pho92-W231A plasmid show improved growth compared to cells with vector alone on a caffeine plate, similar to cells containing PHO92. WT cells transformed with vector and H3K36R-mutant cells (hht2-K36R hht2Δ) transformed with vector, SUP68, TOS4, tos4-R122A-N161A, SUP99, PHO92, pho92-W177A, or pho92-W231A plasmid were serially diluted and spotted onto a control YEPD plate and YEPD plate containing 15 mM caffeine and grown at 30°C for indicated days.



Fig. 5. WT SGV1 does not suppress the caffeine-sensitive growth of H3K36 histone mutants, but Sgv1 with a C-terminal extension can suppress. a) The Sgv1/Bur1 kinase is a cyclin-dependent kinase that contains a protein kinase domain with homology to human CDK9 (Malumbres 2014). The amino acid substitutions generated to inactivate the catalytic function of Sgv1/Bur1 (Keogh et al. 2003), E107Q and D213A, which are located in the protein kinase domain, are shown below the diagram in bolded text. The genomic suppressor clone SUP3 identified in the high copy suppressor screen encodes a slightly truncated Sgv1 protein, which lacks the last 2 amino acids (LY) and translates into the multiple cloning site of the vector (YEp352) to add an additional 8 amino acids (PRVPSSNS), which are shown below the diagram at the C-terminus on the domain structure shown and labeled sgv1 Δ 2 + 8aa. An additional Sgv1 variant was engineered to contain an in-frame C-terminal Myc tag (EQKLISEEDL), which is labeled Sgv1-Myc. b) Unlike the high copy suppressor, SUP3, which suppresses the caffeine-sensitive growth of H3K36R-mutant cells, the WT SGV1 clone does not suppress the cells; however, an SGV1 clone containing the Cterminal addition of either the suppressor screen-associated changes $(\Delta 2 + 8 \text{ amino acids})$, $sgv1\Delta 2 + 8aa$, or a Myc tag, SGV1-Myc, suppresses growth on caffeine. c) While the control SGV1-Myc suppresses the caffeine-sensitive growth of H3K36-mutant cells, SGV1-Myc containing either the catalytic amino acid substitution E107Q or D213A (Keogh et al. 2003) does not suppress the caffeine-sensitive growth defect of H3K36R-mutant cells.

then considered the possibility that the C-terminal loss of either 2 amino acids (Δ 2) or addition of the 8 amino acids (+8AA) could confer suppression through a dominant mechanism. We thus reengineered a clone to independently produce the same Sgv1 variant (Fig. 5a, termed sgv1 Δ 2 + 8 amino acids or sgv1 Δ 2 + 8aa) as the one identified in the high copy suppressor screen. As shown in Fig. 5b, this Sgv1 variant suppresses the H3K36R growth defect on caffeine. We then considered the possibility that any C-terminal extension of Sgv1 is sufficient to mediate this suppression, so we appended a Myc tag (EQKLISEEDL) to the C-terminus of the WT SGV1 open reading frame (Fig. 5a termed Sgv1-Myc). Interestingly, this C-terminally Myc-tagged Sgv1 protein also suppresses the caffeine-sensitive growth of H3K36R-mutant cells (Fig. 5b). Importantly, all the engineered clones contain the



Fig. 6. The identified genomic suppressors of H3K36R/M histone mutants, containing SGV1, ESA1, TOS4, and PHO92, suppress the caffeine-sensitive growth of *set*2A H3K36 histone methyltransferase-mutant cells on either a) YEPD plates with 15 mM caffeine or b) Ura – plates with 15 mM caffeine. The *set*2A-mutant cells containing SUP3 (SGV1), SUP67 (ESA1), SUP68 (TOS4), and SUP99 (PHO92) suppressor plasmids show improved growth on a caffeine plate compared to cells containing vector alone, but cells containing SUP54 (HHT2) do not improve growth. The gene(s) encoded on the suppressor clones are indicated to right. WT cells transformed with vector and *set*2A-mutant cells transformed with vector, SUP3, SUP67, SUP68, or SUP99 plasmid were serially diluted and spotted onto a) a control YEPD plate and a YEPD plate containing 15 mM caffeine or b) a control Ura – plate and a Ura – plate containing 15 mM caffeine and grown at 30°C for indicated days.

endogenous SGV1 3' UTR so differences should not be due to changes in 3'-UTR-mediated regulation.

Having found that addition of Myc tag to the C-terminus of Sgv1 creates a functional suppressor of the H3K36 growth defect on caffeine (Fig. 5b), we next tested whether the catalytic activity of Sgv1 is required for this suppression. In this Sgv1-Myc variant, we generated 2 previously characterized mutants that impair the catalytic function of Sgv1/Bur1-sqv1-E107Q and sqv1-D213A (Keogh et al. 2003). As shown in Fig. 5c, when either of these changes that impair the catalytic activity of Sgv1 are introduced into Sgv1-Myc, no growth suppression is observed, arguing that the catalytic function of Sgv1 is required to suppress the caffeinesensitive growth of H3K36R-mutant cells. In support of this conclusion, introduction of the E107Q or D213A catalytic mutations into the Sgv1-containing SUP3 suppressor also impairs its ability to suppress H3K36R growth on caffeine (Supplementary Fig. 3). Thus, both the catalytic function and a change to the C-terminus of Sgv1 are required to suppress the growth of the histone-mutant cells.

Given that these suppressors were identified in a screen for genes that could suppress growth defects of either H3K36M- or H3K36R-mutant cells on plates containing caffeine, the mechanism of suppression is likely linked to PTM of this critical Lys36 residue in histone H3. To test this idea, we examined whether the identified genomic suppressors can suppress the caffeine-sensitive growth of budding yeast cells lacking the H3K36 histone methyltransferase, Set2 (Strahl *et al.* 2002; McDaniel *et al.* 2017). Four of the 5 identified genomic suppressors—SUP3 (SGV1), SUP67 (ESA1), SUP68 (TOS4), and SUP99 (PHO92)—can suppress the caffeine-sensitive growth of set2 Λ cells (Fig. 6a). As expected suppressor SUP54 (HHT2) does not suppress the set2 Δ caffeinesensitive growth defect (Fig. 6). This result is expected because an increase in the dose of histone H3 gene in the absence of a functional histone methyltransferase would not be expected to overcome the growth defect caused by loss of the methyltransferase and the accompanying methylation of H3K36. To directly compare these results to a previous study that analyzed high copy suppressors of set2 Δ (McDaniel *et al.* 2017), the suppressors were also analyzed on Ura- plates with caffeine (Fig. 6b). These data functionally link these suppressors to proper methylation of H3K36.

Discussion

Here, we leverage the eukaryotic S. *cerevisiae* model system to unmask the mechanism(s) of action for known human oncohistone mutations. Budding yeast is an attractive model system for these experiments as histones are highly conserved, the budding yeast genome is streamlined with only 2 H3 genes instead of the 15 H3 genes present in the mammalian genome, and essential biological pathways that contribute to growth and metabolism are evolutionarily conserved. Because the S. *cerevisiae* genome contains only 2 copies of histone H3, *HHT1* and *HHT2*, this model enables robust genetic screening to: (1) identify pathways and biological processes that are altered to support oncogenicity in mammals; and (2) apply this information to develop and design rational therapeutics for the treatment of oncohistone-driven cancers.

Here we focus on the defined oncohistones H3K36M, H3G34W/L/R/V, and the histone missense mutation H3K36R. While not oncogenic, H3K36R serves as a model to explore how a conservative amino acid change at this position alters function (McDaniel et al. 2017). Initial experiments compare the growth of yeast cells that express these histone H3 variants as the sole copy of H3. The data reveal distinct growth phenotypes between H3K36 and H3G34 mutants (Fig. 2), suggesting that while missense mutations that alter H3G34 reduce neighboring H3K36 methylation (Fig. 1e), the functional dynamics are more complex. Furthermore, while H3K36-mutant cells show growth defects on plates with caffeine, they show no growth defect on plates containing HU. In contrast, the H3G34-mutant cells all show growth defects in the presence of caffeine. Even cells with a significant decrease in the level of H3K36me3 such as H3G34V (~25% of control H3K36me3) show no detectable growth defect on plates containing caffeine. These results align with recent studies that performed a similar analysis using S. pombe (Yadav et al. 2017; Lowe et al. 2021). The differences observed between oncohistone variants in yeast are also congruent with the different types of cancers linked to somatic mutations that alter H3K36 or H3G34 (Wan et al. 2018). Combined, these data suggest that additional analyses are required to uncover precisely how different amino acid changes within histones alter biological pathways to drive oncogenesis.

To define the biological pathways altered by different histone mutations, we exploited yeast genetics to perform a high copy suppressor screen. In this screen, we identified 4 candidate suppressors—the lysine acetyltransferase, Esa1, the gene expression regulator, Tos4, the m⁶A RNA binding protein Pho92, and the cyclin-dependent kinase, Sgv1/Bur1—that rescue the caffeine-associated growth defects that are exhibited by histone H3K36 missense mutations, which are known oncogenic drivers in cancer. The suppressors identified primarily suppress growth on caffeine with minimal effects on the other drugs that impair growth of the different histone models, suggesting that different

biological pathways, which are impacted by the oncohistone missense mutations, can be defined with this genetic approach. Caffeine induces a stress response that depends on the Tor pathway (Kuranda *et al.* 2006), which is evolutionarily conserved as the mTOR pathway in humans (Tatebe and Shiozaki 2017). Identifying genes involved in suppressing growth defects associated with Tor pathway-induced stress is biologically relevant to human cancers, as the mammalian PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway mediates essential biological processes that are frequently deregulated in cancer: cell growth, survival, proliferation, and metabolism (Saxton and Sabatini 2017).

The high copy suppressor screen identified the histone H4/ H2A lysine acetyltransferase Esa1 as a suppressor of caffeinesensitive growth of H3K36M and H3K36R histone-mutant cells (Figs. 2 and 3). The lysine acetyltransferase activity of Esa1 is required for the suppression as 2 independent catalytically inactive mutants of Esa1, Esa1 C304S and Esa1 E338Q (Yan et al. 2000; Decker et al. 2008), do not suppress the caffeine-sensitive growth of H3K36R-mutant cells. Interestingly, di- and trimethylation of histone H3K36 stimulates the interaction of the NuA4 lysine acetylation complex, which contains the Esa1 catalytic subunit, with nucleosomes and NuA4 acetylation of lysine residues in the histone H4 tail has also been observed to stimulate the interaction of the SAGA lysine acetylation complex with nucleosomes, enhancing acetylation of histone H3 (Ginsburg et al. 2014). Overexpression of Esa1 in H3K36R-mutant cells could therefore increase acetylation of histone H4 to enhance SAGA recruitment to nucleosomes to restore optimal acetylation of histone H3.

Crosstalk between H4 and/or H2A acetylation and H3K36 methylation could potentially impact cell growth or other pro-oncogenic properties that are abrogated in the absence of Esa1 enzymatic activity. However, the human homologue of Esa1, KAT5/TIP60, has additional nonhistone substrates (Sapountzi and Côté 2011) that may impact biological function in high copy suppressor assays such as those employed here. TIP60 is involved in DNA repair through the acetylation of nonhistone proteins such as the ATM kinase (Sun *et al.* 2007). Future experiments could include determining whether Esa1 suppresses growth in the presence of DNA damaging agents such as HU, and whether this is dependent on Esa1 acetyltransferase activity. Ultimately, defining the relevant targets of Esa1 that confer growth suppression will lend insight into the biological pathways altered by oncogenic changes at H3K36.

The high copy screen also identified the gene expression regulator Tos4 as a potent suppressor of the caffeine-sensitive growth of H3K36-mutant cells. Tos4 binds to the yeast HDAC complexes Rpd3L and Set3 via a FHA domain (Fig. 4a) (Hofmann and Bucher 1995; Bastos de Oliveira et al. 2012; Cooke et al. 2021), which ultimately leads to histone deacetylation. However, amino acids substitutions that interfere with Rpd3L/Set3 complex binding to the FHA domain of Tos4 did not affect Tos4-mediated H3K36-mutant growth suppression (Fig. 4b), suggesting that Rpd3L/Set3independent functions of Tos4 mediate suppression. This model is supported by the fact that we did not independently identify Rpd3L or Set3 complex genes as growth suppressors in our initial high copy suppressor screen. Pho92, an RNA "reader" protein that recognizes and binds m⁶A-containing RNA (Shi et al. 2021) is another suppressor identified in this screen. A previous screen identified PHO85 as a high copy suppressor of caffeine-sensitive growth of $set2\Delta$ cells and explored the link to the nutrient sensing pathway (McDaniel et al. 2017). In this pathway, Pho92 regulates the stability of the PHO4 transcript (Kang et al. 2014), which encodes a helix-loop-helix transcription factor that activates transcription in response to limiting phosphate (Ogawa and Oshima 1990). However, amino acid

substitutions within the reader domain that render Pho92 incapable of binding m⁶A RNA do not alter Pho92-mediated growth suppression of the H3K36R-mutant growth, suggesting the mechanism(s) by which Pho92 mediates suppression is independent of recognizing and/or binding m⁶A RNA. Collectively, more research is needed to mechanistically define how some suppressors such as Tos4 and Pho92 suppress growth defects of H3K36-mutant cells.

The high copy suppressor screen also identified a clone containing nearly all of the S. cerevisiae kinase Sgv1/Bur1 open reading frame, a homologue of human CDK9 (Fig. 5b). Previous studies have defined functional links between Sgv1/Bur1 and H3K36 methylation, demonstrating that a functional Sgv1/Bur1 protein is required for normal H3K36 methylation (Chu et al. 2006). While the slightly truncated SGV1 genomic suppressor identified (SUP3) suppresses the caffeine-sensitive growth of H3K36R-mutant cells, the fulllength SGV1 clone does not. The genomic SGV1 clone that was identified as a suppressor encodes a truncated Sgv1 protein, lacking the 2 C-terminal amino acids (LY) and fused to an additional 8 amino acids (PRVPSSNS) prior to reaching the next natural stop codon. As appending a C-terminal Myc tag with a very distinct sequence (EQKLISEEDL) to Sgv1 also suppresses the caffeine-sensitive growth of H3K36-mutant cells (Fig. 5, b and c), the nature of the change to the C-terminus of Sgv1 is clearly not important. Furthermore, both the modified C-terminal end of the protein and the catalytic activity are required for suppression, suggesting a dominant function that relies on Sgv1 kinase activity. The CTD of S. cerevisiae Sgv1 is not evolutionarily conserved in mammalian CDK9 orthologs, but the CTD of Sgv1 is implicated in interaction with the RPA protein to ensure genome stability (Clausing et al. 2010). In addition, the CTD of Sgv1 does share identity with the CTD of S. pombe Cdk9, which interacts with the mRNA capping/RNA 5'-triphophatase enzyme, Pct1 (Cet1 in S. cerevisiae) (Takagi et al. 2002; Pei and Shuman 2003). A previous screen found that overexpression of Sgv1 induced aberrant budding (Sopko et al. 2006), so it will be interesting to test the variants of Sgv1 created here to assess whether they recapitulate this effect observed for WT Sgv1. Collectively, these data suggest that we may have identified a regulatory role for the C-terminal end of Sgv1 that depends on active kinase activity. We also note that the SGV1 suppressor (SUP3) shows more robust suppression on YEPD plates with caffeine as compared to Ura-plates with caffeine (compare Fig. 6, a and b). Clearly further studies will be required to understand both the function of the C-terminus of Sgv1 and the interplay between Sgv1 and H3K36 oncohistone models.

The high copy suppressor screen performed here provides a streamlined and rapid approach to identify genetic vulnerabilities that may be therapeutically actionable. Two of the suppressors identified, Esa1 and Sgv1, encode enzymes that have been targeted in the corresponding pathways in human cells as potential therapies. Expression of KAT5/TIP60 lysine acetyl transferase, the human ortholog of Esa1, is deregulated in prostate and other cancers (Shiota et al. 2010). The preclinical TIP60 acetyltransferase inhibitors Nu9056 and TH1834 reduce DNA-damage induced ATM phosphorylation (Gao et al. 2014). Thus, TIP60 inhibition may be a viable therapeutic strategy for cancers dependent on TIP60 enzymatic activity. This experimental approach in budding yeast provides the first evidence that H3K36-mutant tumors may be candidates for TIP60 inhibition, but subsequent experimentation in H3K36-mutant human cancer cell lines is required to test this hypothesis. The human homologue of Sgv1, CDK9 (Chun et al. 2019), binds to Cyclin T to form the positive transcription elongation factor complex (P-TEFb); CDK9 kinase activity is thus critical for RNA-Pol II-directed transcription (Bacon and D'Orso 2019). CDK9 is deregulated in hematological malignancies and other cancer types, prompting the development of CDK9 inhibitors (Mandal et al. 2021). However, understanding the mechanism(s) by which CDK9 inhibition contributes to anti-cancer effects is still under investigation. The CDK9 inhibitor AZD4573 is the first CDK9-selective inhibitor (Cidado et al. 2020) and has entered clinical trials for hematological cancers. The anti-tumorigenic activity of CDK9 inhibition is thought to be due to the depletion of the anti-apoptotic protein MCL-1 (Dey et al. 2017). More recently, H3K27M oncohistone-expressing diffuse midline gliomas (DMGs) have been shown to deregulate the expression of AFF4, a scaffolding protein involved in transcriptional elongation, which CDK9/CyclinT regulates (Dahl et al. 2020). These preclinical studies have prompted the clinical testing of AZD4573 in hematologic malignancies (Rule et al. 2018; Barlaam et al. 2020) and suggest that H3K27M-mutant tumors that are characterized by AFF4 upregulation are candidates for clinical CDK9 inhibition (Barlaam et al. 2020). While through a divergent mechanism, our data suggest that CDK9 inhibition may be beneficial for H3K36-mutant head and neck cancers and chondroblastomas, although further research is necessary to test this hypothesis.

Taken together, the results from this suppressor screen exploiting the budding yeast model both define novel cellular pathways that could be altered by missense mutations in histones that drive oncogenesis and uncover links to potential therapeutic avenues. Future studies will help to define the mechanisms by which the suppressors rescue the growth of the oncohistone yeast models, which may further define how these pathways could be targeted for therapeutic approaches.

Data availability

Strains and plasmids are available upon request. The authors affirm that all data necessary for confirming the conclusions of the article are present within the article, figures, and tables.

Supplemental material is available at G3 online.

Acknowledgments

We thank members of the Corbett Lab for project discussions and experimental insight.

Funding

This study was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health (NIH)/National Cancer Institute (R21 CA256456 to AHC and JMS), a Post-doctoral Enrichment Program (PDEP) Award from Burroughs Wellcome to LDL. LDL was supported by the NIH Institutional Research and Career Development Award (IRACDA) program (K12 GM000680). HTN and REA were participated in the Emory NIH Initiative for Maximizing Student Development (IMSD) Program (GM125598). VG was supported by the Laney Graduate School—Summer Opportunity for Academic Research (SOAR) Program.

Conflicts of interest

None declared.

Literature cited

Adams A, Gottschling DE, Kaiser CA, Stearns T. Methods in Yeast Genetics. Cold Spring Harbor, New York: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press; 1997.

- Bacon CW, D'Orso I. CDK9: a signaling hub for transcriptional control. Transcription. 2019;10(2):57–75.
- Bagert JD, Mitchener MM, Patriotis AL, Dul BE, Wojcik F, Nacev BA, Feng L, Allis CD, Muir TW. Oncohistone mutations enhance chromatin remodeling and alter cell fates. Nat Chem Biol. 2021;17(4): 403–411.
- Barlaam B, Casella R, Cidado J, Cook C, De Savi C, Dishington A, Donald CS, Drew L, Ferguson AD, Ferguson D, et al. Discovery of AZD4573, a potent and selective inhibitor of CDK9 that enables short duration of target engagement for the treatment of hematological malignancies. J Med Chem. 2020;63(24):15564–15590.
- Bastos de Oliveira FM, Harris MR, Brazauskas P, de Bruin RA, Smolka MB. Linking DNA replication checkpoint to MBF cell-cycle transcription reveals a distinct class of G1/S genes. EMBO J. 2012; 31(7):1798–1810.
- Braastad CD, Hovhannisyan H, van Wijnen AJ, Stein JL, Stein GS. Functional characterization of a human histone gene cluster duplication. Gene. 2004;342(1):35–40.
- Chu Y, Sutton A, Sternglanz R, Prelich G. The BUR1 cyclin-dependent protein kinase is required for the normal pattern of histone methylation by SET2. Mol Cell Biol. 2006;26(8):3029–3038.
- Chun Y, Joo YJ, Suh H, Batot G, Hill CP, Formosa T, Buratowski S. Selective kinase inhibition shows that Bur1 (Cdk9) phosphorylates the Rpb1 linker in vivo. Mol Cell Biol. 2019;39(15).
- Cidado J, Boiko S, Proia T, Ferguson D, Criscione SW, San Martin M, Pop-Damkov P, Su N, Roamio Franklin VN, Sekhar Reddy Chilamakuri C, et al. AZD4573 is a highly selective CDK9 inhibitor that suppresses MCL-1 and induces apoptosis in hematologic cancer cells. Clin Cancer Res. 2020;26(4):922–934.
- Clarke AS, Lowell JE, Jacobson SJ, Pillus L. Esa1p is an essential histone acetyltransferase required for cell cycle progression. Mol Cell Biol. 1999;19(4):2515–2526.
- Clausing E, Mayer A, Chanarat S, Müller B, Germann SM, Cramer P, Lisby M, Strässer K. The transcription elongation factor Bur1-Bur2 interacts with replication protein A and maintains genome stability during replication stress. J Biol Chem. 2010;285(53): 41665–41674.
- Cooke SL, Soares BL, Müller CA, Nieduszynski CA, Bastos de Oliveira FM, de Bruin RAM. Tos4 mediates gene expression homeostasis through interaction with HDAC complexes independently of H3K56 acetylation. J Biol Chem. 2021;296:100533.
- Dahl NA, Danis E, Balakrishnan I, Wang D, Pierce A, Walker FM, Gilani A, Serkova NJ, Madhavan K, Fosmire S, et al. Super elongation complex as a targetable dependency in diffuse midline glioma. Cell Rep. 2020;31(1):107485.
- Decker PV, Yu DY, Iizuka M, Qiu Q, Smith MM. Catalytic-site mutations in the MYST family histone acetyltransferase Esa1. Genetics. 2008;178(3):1209–1220.
- Dey J, Deckwerth TL, Kerwin WS, Casalini JR, Merrell AJ, Grenley MO, Burns C, Ditzler SH, Dixon CP, Beirne E, et al. Voruciclib, a clinical stage oral CDK9 inhibitor, represses MCL-1 and sensitizes highrisk diffuse large B-cell lymphoma to BCL2 inhibition. Sci Rep. 2017;7(1):18007.
- Doyon Y, Selleck W, Lane WS, Tan S, Côté J. Structural and functional conservation of the NuA4 histone acetyltransferase complex from yeast to humans. Mol Cell Biol. 2004;24(5):1884–1896.
- Duina AA, Miller ME, Keeney JB. Budding yeast for budding geneticists: a primer on the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* model system. Genetics. 2014;197(1):33–48.
- Duina AA, Turkal CE. Targeted in situ mutagenesis of histone genes in budding yeast. J Vis Exp. 2017;(119):55263.
- Eissenberg JC. Structural biology of the chromodomain: form and function. Gene. 2012;496(2):69–78.

- Gao C, Bourke E, Scobie M, Famme MA, Koolmeister T, Helleday T, Eriksson LA, Lowndes NF, Brown JAL. Rational design and validation of a Tip60 histone acetyltransferase inhibitor. Sci Rep. 2014; 4:5372.
- Ginsburg DS, Anlembom TE, Wang J, Patel SR, Li B, Hinnebusch AG. NuA4 links methylation of histone H3 lysines 4 and 36 to acetylation of histones H4 and H3. J Biol Chem. 2014;289(47): 32656–32670.
- Harutyunyan AS, Krug B, Chen H, Papillon-Cavanagh S, Zeinieh M, De Jay N, Deshmukh S, Chen CCL, Belle J, Mikael LG, *et al.* H3K27M induces defective chromatin spread of PRC2-mediated repressive H3K27me2/me3 and is essential for glioma tumorigenesis. Nat Commun. 2019;10(1):1262.
- Hill JE, Myers AM, Koerner TJ, Tzagoloff A. Yeast/E. coli shuttle vectors with multiple unique restriction sites. Yeast. 1986;2(3): 163–167.
- Hofmann K, Bucher P. The FHA domain: a putative nuclear signalling domain found in protein kinases and transcription factors. Trends Biochem Sci. 1995;20(9):347–349.
- Horak CE, Luscombe NM, Qian J, Bertone P, Piccirrillo S, Gerstein M, Snyder M. Complex transcriptional circuitry at the G1/S transition in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Genes Dev. 2002;16(23): 3017–3033.
- Hoyos-Manchado R, Reyes-Martín F, Rallis C, Gamero-Estévez E, Rodríguez-Gómez P, Quintero-Blanco J, Bähler J, Jiménez J, Tallada VA. RNA metabolism is the primary target of formamide in vivo. Sci Rep. 2017;7(1):15895.
- Hyland EM, Cosgrove MS, Molina H, Wang D, Pandey A, Cottee RJ, Boeke JD. Insights into the role of histone H3 and histone H4 core modifiable residues in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Mol Cell Biol. 2005; 25(22):10060–10070.
- Irie K, Nomoto S, Miyajima I, Matsumoto K. SGV1 encodes a CDC28/ cdc2-related kinase required for a G alpha subunit-mediated adaptive response to pheromone in S. cerevisiae. Cell. 1991;65(5): 785–795.
- Johnson P, Mitchell V, McClure K, Kellems M, Marshall S, Allison MK, Lindley H, Nguyen H-TT, Tackett JE, Duina AA, et al. A systematic mutational analysis of a histone H3 residue in budding yeast provides insights into chromatin dynamics. G3 (Bethesda). 2015;5(5): 741–749.
- Justin N, Zhang Y, Tarricone C, Martin SR, Chen S, Underwood E, De Marco V, Haire LF, Walker PA, Reinberg D, et al. Structural basis of oncogenic histone H3K27M inhibition of human polycomb repressive complex 2. Nat Commun. 2016;7:11316.
- Kaczmarek Michaels K, Mohd Mostafa S, Ruiz Capella J, Moore CL. Regulation of alternative polyadenylation in the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* by histone H3K4 and H3K36 methyltransferases. Nucl Acids Res. 2020;48(10):5407–5425.
- Kang H-J, Jeong S-J, Kim K-N, Baek I-J, Chang M, Kang C-M, Park Y-S, Yun C-W. A novel protein, Pho92, has a conserved YTH domain and regulates phosphate metabolism by decreasing the mRNA stability of PHO4 in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Biochem J. 2014; 457(3):391–400.
- Kang TZE, Zhu L, Yang D, Ding D, Zhu X, Wan YCE, Liu J, Ramakrishnan S, Chan LL, Chan SY, et al. The elevated transcription of ADAM19 by the oncohistone H2BE76K contributes to oncogenic properties in breast cancer. J Biol Chem. 2021;296:100374.
- Keogh MC, Podolny V, Buratowski S. Bur1 kinase is required for efficient transcription elongation by RNA polymerase II. Mol Cell Biol. 2003;23(19):7005–7018.
- Kuranda K, Leberre V, Sokol S, Palamarczyk G, François J. Investigating the caffeine effects in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae brings new insights into the connection between TOR, PKC

and Ras/cAMP signalling pathways. Mol Microbiol. 2006;61(5): 1147–1166.

- Lafon A, Chang CS, Scott EM, Jacobson SJ, Pillus L. MYST opportunities for growth control: yeast genes illuminate human cancer gene functions. Oncogene. 2007;26(37):5373–5384.
- Lewis PW, Müller MM, Koletsky MS, Cordero F, Lin S, Banaszynski LA, Garcia BA, Muir TW, Becher OJ, Allis CD, et al. Inhibition of PRC2 activity by a gain-of-function H3 mutation found in pediatric glioblastoma. Science. 2013;340(6134):857–861.
- Lowe BR, Yadav RK, Henry RA, Schreiner P, Matsuda A, Fernandez AG, Finkelstein D, Campbell M, Kallappagoudar S, Jablonowski CM, et al. Surprising phenotypic diversity of cancer-associated mutations of Gly 34 in the histone H3 tail. Elife. 2021;10:e65369.
- Lu C, Jain SU, Hoelper D, Bechet D, Molden RC, Ran L, Murphy D, Venneti S, Hameed M, Pawel BR, *et al.* Histone H3K36 mutations promote sarcomagenesis through altered histone methylation landscape. Science. 2016;352(6287):844–849.
- Malumbres M. Cyclin-dependent kinases. Genome Biol. 2014;15(6): 122.
- Mandal R, Becker S, Strebhardt K. Targeting CDK9 for anti-cancer therapeutics. Cancers. 2021;13(9):2181.
- McDaniel SL, Hepperla AJ, Huang J, Dronamraju R, Adams AT, Kulkarni VG, Davis IJ, Strahl BD. H3K36 methylation regulates nutrient stress response in Saccharomyces cerevisiae by enforcing transcriptional fidelity. Cell Rep. 2017;19(11):2371–2382.
- Meers MP, Henriques T, Lavender CA, McKay DJ, Strahl BD, Duronio RJ, Adelman K, Matera AG. Histone gene replacement reveals a post-transcriptional role for H3K36 in maintaining metazoan transcriptome fidelity. Elife. 2017;6:
- Nacev BA, Feng L, Bagert JD, Lemiesz AE, Gao J, Soshnev AA, Kundra R, Schultz N, Muir TW, Allis CD, *et al.* The expanding landscape of 'oncohistone' mutations in human cancers. Nature. 2019; 567(7749):473–478.
- Ogawa N, Oshima Y. Functional domains of a positive regulatory protein, PHO4, for transcriptional control of the phosphatase regulon in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Mol Cell Biol. 1990;10(5): 2224–2236.
- Pei Y, Shuman S. Characterization of the Schizosaccharomyces pombe Cdk9/Pch1 protein kinase: spt5 phosphorylation, autophosphorylation, and mutational analysis. J Biol Chem. 2003;278(44): 43346–43356.
- Prelich G, Winston F. Mutations that suppress the deletion of an upstream activating sequence in yeast: involvement of a protein kinase and histone H3 in repressing transcription in vivo. Genetics. 1993;135(3):665–676.
- Rule S, Kater AP, Brümmendorf TH, Fegan C, Kaiser M, Radford JA, Stilgenbauer S, Kayser S, Dyer MJ, Brossart P, *et al.* A phase 1, open-label, multicenter, non-randomized study to assess the safety, tolerability, pharmacokinetics, and preliminary antitumor activity of AZD4573, a potent and selective CDK9 inhibitor, in subjects with relapsed or refractory hematological malignancies. J Clin Oncol. 2018;36(15_suppl):TPS7588–TPS7588.
- Sambrook J, Fritsch EF, Maniatis T. Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual. Cold Spring Harbor, NY: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press; 1989.
- Sapountzi V, Côté J. MYST-family histone acetyltransferases: beyond chromatin. Cell Mol Life Sci. 2011;68(7):1147–1156.
- Saxton RA, Sabatini DM. mTOR signaling in growth, metabolism, and disease. Cell. 2017;168(6):960–976.
- Shi R, Ying S, Li Y, Zhu L, Wang X, Jin H. Linking the YTH domain to cancer: the importance of YTH family proteins in epigenetics. Cell Death Dis. 2021;12(4):346.

- Shiota M, Yokomizo A, Masubuchi D, Tada Y, Inokuchi J, Eto M, Uchiumi T, Fujimoto N, Naito S. Tip60 promotes prostate cancer cell proliferation by translocation of androgen receptor into the nucleus. Prostate. 2010;70(5):540–554.
- Slater ML. Effect of reversible inhibition of deoxyribonucleic acid synthesis on the yeast cell cycle. J Bacteriol. 1973;113(1):263–270.
- Smith ER, Eisen A, Gu W, Sattah M, Pannuti A, Zhou J, Cook RG, Lucchesi JC, Allis CD. ESA1 is a histone acetyltransferase that is essential for growth in yeast. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1998;95(7): 3561–3565.
- Sopko R, Huang D, Preston N, Chua G, Papp B, Kafadar K, Snyder M, Oliver SG, Cyert M, Hughes TR, et al. Mapping pathways and phenotypes by systematic gene overexpression. Mol Cell. 2006;21(3): 319–330.
- Sorenson MR, Jha DK, Ucles SA, Flood DM, Strahl BD, Stevens SW, Kress TL. Histone H3K36 methylation regulates pre-mRNA splicing in Saccharomyces cerevisiae. RNA Biol. 2016;13(4):412–426.
- Strahl BD, Allis CD. The language of covalent histone modifications. Nature. 2000;403(6765):41–45.
- Strahl BD, Grant PA, Briggs SD, Sun Z-W, Bone JR, Caldwell JA, Mollah S, Cook RG, Shabanowitz J, Hunt DF, et al. Set2 is a nucleosomal histone H3-selective methyltransferase that mediates transcriptional repression. Mol Cell Biol. 2002;22(5):1298–1306.
- Sun Y, Xu Y, Roy K, Price BD. DNA damage-induced acetylation of lysine 3016 of ATM activates ATM kinase activity. Mol Cell Biol. 2007;27(24):8502–8509.
- Takagi T, Cho E-J, Janoo RTK, Polodny V, Takase Y, Keogh MC, Woo S-A, Fresco-Cohen LD, Hoffman CS, Buratowski S, et al. Divergent subunit interactions among fungal mRNA 5'-capping machineries. Eukaryot Cell. 2002;1(3):448–457.
- Tatebe H, Shiozaki K. Evolutionary conservation of the components in the TOR signaling pathways. Biomolecules. 2017;7(4):77.
- Taylor EL, Westendorf JJ. Histone mutations and bone cancers. Adv Exp Med Biol. 2021;1283:53–62.
- Theler D, Dominguez C, Blatter M, Boudet J, Allain FH-T. Solution structure of the YTH domain in complex with N6methyladenosine RNA: a reader of methylated RNA. Nucl Acids Res. 2014;42(22):13911–13919.
- Venkatesh S, Li H, Gogol MM, Workman JL. Selective suppression of antisense transcription by Set2-mediated H3K36 methylation. Nat Commun. 2016;7:13610.

- Wan YCE, Chan KM. Histone H2B mutations in cancer. Biomedicines. 2021;9(6):694.
- Wan YCE, Liu J, Chan KM. Histone H3 mutations in cancer. Curr Pharmacol Rep. 2018;4(4):292–300.
- Xu C, Liu K, Ahmed H, Loppnau P, Schapira M, Min J. Structural basis for the discriminative recognition of N6-methyladenosine RNA by the human YT521-B homology domain family of proteins. J Biol Chem. 2015;290(41):24902–24913.
- Yadav RK, Jablonowski CM, Fernandez AG, Lowe BR, Henry RA, Finkelstein D, Barnum KJ, Pidoux AL, Kuo Y-M, Huang J, et al. Histone H3G34R mutation causes replication stress, homologous recombination defects and genomic instability in S. pombe. Elife. 2017;6.
- Yan Y, Barlev NA, Haley RH, Berger SL, Marmorstein R. Crystal structure of yeast Esa1 suggests a unified mechanism for catalysis and substrate binding by histone acetyltransferases. Mol Cell. 2000; 6(5):1195–1205.
- Yang S, Zheng X, Lu C, Li G-M, Allis CD, Li H. Molecular basis for oncohistone H3 recognition by SETD2 methyltransferase. Genes Dev. 2016;30(14):1611–1616.
- Yusufova N, Kloetgen A, Teater M, Osunsade A, Camarillo JM, Chin CR, Doane AS, Venters BJ, Portillo-Ledesma S, Conway J, et al. Histone H1 loss drives lymphoma by disrupting 3D chromatin architecture. Nature. 2021;589(7841):299–305.
- Zhang CJ, Cavenagh MM, Kahn RA. A family of Arf effectors defined as suppressors of the loss of Arf function in the yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae. J Biol Chem. 1998;273(31):19792–19796.
- Zhang Y, Zhou L, Safran H, Borsuk R, Lulla R, Tapinos N, Seyhan AA, El-Deiry WS. EZH2i EPZ-6438 and HDACi vorinostat synergize with ONC201/TIC10 to activate integrated stress response, DR5, reduce H3K27 methylation, ClpX and promote apoptosis of multiple tumor types including DIPG. Neoplasia. 2021;23(8):792–810.
- Zhou K, Kuo WH, Fillingham J, Greenblatt JF. Control of transcriptional elongation and cotranscriptional histone modification by the yeast BUR kinase substrate Spt5. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2009;106(17):6956–6961.

Communicating editor: N. Rhind