

# The Component and Functional Pathways of Gut Microbiota Are Altered in Populations with Poor Sleep Quality – A Preliminary Report

JIANGHUI ZHANG<sup>1#</sup>, XUEQING ZHANG<sup>1#</sup>, KEXIN ZHANG<sup>1</sup>, XIAOYAN LU<sup>1</sup>, GUOJING YUAN<sup>1</sup>, HUAYU YANG<sup>1</sup>, HAIYUN GUO<sup>1</sup>, ZHIHUI ZHU<sup>1</sup>, TIANLI WANG<sup>1</sup>, JIAHU HAO<sup>2</sup>, YING SUN<sup>2</sup>, PUYU SU<sup>2</sup> and ZHIHUA ZHANG<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Anhui Medical University, Hefei, China <sup>2</sup>Department of Maternal, Child and Adolescent Health, School of Public Health, Anhui Medical University, Hefei, China

Submitted 14 December 2021, accepted 5 April 2022, published online 16 June 2022

## Abstract

With the development of genome sequencing, many researchers have investigated the mechanism by which the intestinal microbiota influences sleep across the brain-gut axis. However, the relationship between gut microbiota and sleep disorder remains unclear. Thus, we studied the difference in gut microbiota composition between poor sleep quality- and normal populations, which helps set the ground for future research. The recruited college students provided baseline information and stool samples and completed the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI). We compared the two groups' gut microbiota composition and functional differentiation by using the 16S rRNA gene sequencing analysis. The main bacterial difference and the most critical effect were mainly concentrated within Tenericutes and Elusimicrobia. Compared with the healthy control group, some functions of the gut microbiota were impaired in the poor sleep quality group, such as butanoate metabolism and propanoate metabolism. Bacterial taxa with significant differences raised the possibility for future diagnosis and treatment of sleep problems.

K e y w o r d s: sleep disorder, poor sleep quality, gut microbiota, 16S rRNA, college students

# Introduction

A sleep disorder (SD) is characterized by difficulty in falling asleep, lack of sleep, excessive sleep, and poor sleep quality, which can affect the personal physical status and inherent mental health. Overwhelming evidence indicates that sleep plays an irreplaceable role in protecting human health, eliminating fatigue, restoring body function, enhancing immunity, protecting brain health, and others (Irwin 2015). However, previous studies show that inadequate sleep was found in 40~65% of American students (Carney et al. 2006; Lund et al. 2010; Kenney et al. 2012; Becker et al. 2014). Correspondingly, according to a recent study investigating 11,954 students from 50 universities in China, relatively severe sleep disorders were found among students attending high-level universities (Yang et al. 2018). These studies also suggested that academic and job strain and adverse lifestyle habits may be the main reason for sleep problems. One study of 1,125 participants from four countries provided novel evidence for the deterioration of problematic sleep among adolescents that at least one insomnia symptom was reported by 32.7% of adolescent workers and 35.2% of nonemployed adolescents. Additionally, this study also indicated that insomnia symptoms were persistent in individuals with anxiety (73.2~76.5%) or depression (67.6~77.4%) disorders (Ohayon et al. 2000), which suggested that comorbidity led to the development and worsening of sleep disorder to a certain extent. Given the high prevalence of sleep problems in adolescents and the expanded influence on health outcomes, it has become a severe public health concern, which is needed to be solved urgently.

Recent studies have generally discovered the linkages between gut microbiota and sleep disturbance with

\* Corresponding author: Z. Zhang, Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Anhui Medical University, Hefei, China; e-mail: zhangzh@ahmu.edu.cn

© 2022 Jianghui Zhang et al.

<sup>#</sup> Jianghui Zhang, Xueqing Zhang contributed equally to this study and are co-first authors.

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

the development of genome sequencing techniques. There is currently some evidence in the mice model that sleep disturbance can alter the composition of the gut microbiome (Poroyko et al. 2016). Subsequently, some findings that fecal microbiota transplantation could result in sleep disturbances supported the bidirectional linkages between the two (Badran et al. 2020). Additionally, a significant change in some bacterial taxa was reported by recent studies related to sleep, such as an increase in the Firmicutes to Bacteroidetes ratio (Bowers et al. 2020) and an alteration of the relative abundance of the Clostridiaceae and Lachnospiraceae (El Aidy et al. 2020) in sleep-disrupted mice. It might highlight possible directions of future research in this field. Although most mice experiments can consistently reveal the association between sleep problems and gut microbiota, some studies in humans have reported contradicting conclusions (Benedict et al. 2016; Zhang et al. 2017), suggesting that previous works needed to be repeated to verify the question. Another concern is that antibiotics and dietary prebiotics have been utilized to alter the gut microbiota and, correspondingly that sleep quality was influenced by the changes in the gut bacteria (Nakakita et al. 2016; Thompson et al. 2017). In short, the findings of previous studies, both reduction in nocturnal sleep (Lendrum et al. 2017) and metabolic disturbance of amino acids and vitamins related to neurotransmission (Ogawa et al. 2020), have been strengthened the association between gut microbiota and sleep disturbance by using antibiotics to deplete the gut microbiota of mice. The research on dietary prebiotics used to improve sleep is in its infancy, and there are still many issues to be elucidated, including safety, efficacy, and others. Even though the causal associations between the gut microbiome and sleep problems remain undetermined, the above findings indicated that gut microbiota is essential for improving sleep quality.

To this end, we conducted a study in which the differences in the diversity and composition of the gut microbiota between the individuals with poor subjective sleep quality and normal were analyzed. We tried to explore possible alteration of functional pathways and identify the significant gut bacteria for further studies.

# Experimental

### Materials and Methods

Participants. This study was approved by the Institutional Examination Board of the Anhui Medical University. All subjects were volunteers recruited among university students. To ensure the comparability between the two groups and reduce the confounding bias, the enrolled participants were screened by the exclusion criteria. Subsequently, they were divided into groups of healthy control (HC) and sleep disorder (SD) based on the good and poor subjective sleep quality. Finally, 34 individuals were included for further analysis (each group has 17 individuals). Subjects' data is shown in Table I. Exclusion criteria included a history of the brain, neurological, psychiatric disease, history of alcohol dependence, and using any antibiotic or supplements within the last 30 days. Participants reported not suffering from any gastrointestinal disorder, and none of the subjects recently suffered from severe diarrhea and constipated disease. No one had a special diet habit during the previous two years. They kept a regular diet for the past week. All participants signed the written informed consent form.

Collection of demographic and sleep condition information. All participants underwent the questionnaires, which included gender, age, BMI (Body Mass Index), and the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI) score (Buysse et al. 1989). The PSQI is a selfreport questionnaire consisting of 19 items and five additional questions, which was widely used to assess subjects' sleep quality over the past month. It consists of seven domains: subjective sleep quality, sleep latency, sleep duration, habitual sleep efficiency, sleep disturbance, sleep medication use, and daytime dysfunction. Total-scores range from 0 to 21, and higher scores mean poorer sleep quality. Previous studies (Guo et al. 2016) suggested that the Chinese version of PSQI, with a cutoff of 8, showed good reliability in Chinese people. In the current study, the subjects whose PSQI global score >7 are defined as having poor sleep quality and would be assigned to the SD group, if not to the HC group.

			-	
Characteristics	SD (n=17)	HC (n=17)	t/χ²	<i>p</i> -value
Male/Female	10/7	7/10	0.159	0.303
Age (years)	$20.059 \pm 0.785$	$19.662 \pm 0.611$	1.646	0.109
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	$21.229 \pm 2.194$	$20.167 \pm 2.172$	1.419	0.166
PSQI score	$9.059 \pm 1.144$	$5.118 \pm 1.576$	8.342	< 0.001

 Table I

 Demographic characteristics of the participants.

p-value < 0.05 are considered statistically significant

**Collection of fecal samples.** Each participant was instructed to collect fecal samples through the correct approach between 07:00 and 09:00 of the following day with the sterile stool collection kit and as quickly as possible to arrive at the laboratory. The partial sample of the middle, the inner fragment of the fecal, was placed in a centrifuge tube by the operator using a sterile spoon, which finished on the ultraclean table after exposure to ultraviolet irradiation. Finally, these centrifuge tubes and all samples were stored at  $-80^{\circ}$ C until further analyses.

**Genomic DNA extraction**. Total bacterial DNA was extracted by operators using the QIAamp DNA stool Mini Kit (Qiagen, Germany). The NanoDrop 2000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific, USA) was utilized to measure the DNA content and purity, and the agarose gel electrophoresis evaluated its integrity.

High-Throughput Sequencing. The V3-V4 hypervariable region of the 16S rRNA gene was amplified with the forward primer (5'-CCTACGGGNGGCW-GCAG-3') and reverse primer (5'-GACTACHVGGG-TATCTAATCC-3'). PCR amplification products were detected using agarose gel electrophoresis and purified by the AgencourtAMpure XP kit (Beckman Coulter, USA). The 16S rRNA gene amplification products sequencing was performed with the  $2 \times 250$  bp pairedend method using the Illumina MiSeq Benchtop Sequencer (Kozich et al. 2013) at the Genesky Biotechnologies Inc. (Shanghai, China). The 16S rRNA amplicon sequences were deposited in Sequence Read Archive (SRA) database with the accession number (BioProject ID: PRJNA788172). The SRA is accessible at https:// dataview.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/object/PRJNA788172.

**Bioinformatics analysis**. To ensure the accuracy and reliability of bioinformatics analysis results, the raw reads were filtered by the QIIME2 to remove lowquality and polyclonal sequences and then merged by using FLASH (Magoč and Salzberg 2011). Primers in sequences were checked and removed by Mothur software. The research software was utilized for removing the sequences with a total base error rate > 2 and length less than 100 bp to obtain the clean reads with high quality and reliability. The clean reads were clustered into operational taxonomic units (OTUs) based on a 97% similarity cutoff by the UPARSE pipeline (Dong et al. 2017) after removing chimeras and singletons.

All OTUs were classified based on the Ribosomal Database Project (RDP) database (version 11.5), and the microbial community abundance and diversity were calculated by alpha-diversity indices, including observed species, Chao1, and ACE for microbial richness, and the Shannon index, Simpson index, and Coverage index for microbial diversity. Beta-diversity was calculated to determine the difference between the SD and HC groups, including Principal Coordinate Analysis (PCoA) based on Bray-Curtis distance, Jaccard, and unweighted and weighted uniFrac metrics. Moreover, the ADONIS analysis with a nonparametric implementation of a Permutational Multivariate Analysis of Variance (PERMANOVA) and the Partial Least Squares Discriminant Analysis (PLS-DA) that has a better effect when the difference between groups is not significant was used. The metastats analysis was used to identify the changes in microbiota composition. The linear discriminant analysis (LDA) effect size (LEfSe) was performed to detect the difference in species abundance between two groups, and further, the species were obtained with a significant difference. LDA analysis was used to estimate the impact of these species on the differences between the two groups. The larger the LDA score, the greater the influence of species abundance on the difference effect, and only species with an LDA score > 2.0 were kept (*p*-value < 0.05). Functional pathways of the identified taxa with differential abundance were inferred from OTUs using the Phylogenetic Investigation of Communities by Reconstruction of Unobserved State (PICRUSt) and annotated with the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) database (Langille et al. 2013).

**Statistical analysis.** In the present study, demographic data were analyzed by SPSS 22.0. Categorical variables were compared by Pearson's chi-square test, and Student's *t*-test performed quantitative variables. The Kruskal-Wallis test was utilized to analyze the relative abundances (%) of the gut bacterial communities and ADONIS analysis was used to determine the differences between the two groups, and whether the differences were statistically significant. PCoA and PLS-DA were carried out using packages of the R software (v4.0.3). Correlations were analyzed using Spearman correlation and plotted by the ggplot2 package in R software.

# Results

The Basic Characteristics of the SD and HC Groups. Demographic characteristics of the SD and HC groups are presented in Table I. The PSQI score of the two groups were  $5.118 \pm 1.576$  (HC) and  $9.059 \pm 1.144$  (SD), with a significant difference (t=8.342, p-value < 0.001). However, there was no significant difference in gender, age, and BMI. Both groups had similar demographic profiles.

The Quality of Fecal Samples. Rarefaction curve, Shannon-Wiener curve, rank-abundance curve, and species accumulation curve were utilized to assess the quality of the fecal samples, demonstrating that the fecal samples collected from all participants meet the requirements for experimental design and subsequent analysis (see supplementary materials).



Fig. 1. Comparison of gut microbiota's alpha diversity indices between the SD and HC groups, including Observed, Chao 1, ACE, Shannon, Simpson, and Coverage. Plotted in the graphics are the interquartile ranges and boxes, medians (lines in the box), and the lowest and highest values for the first and third quartiles. The abscissa represents a different group; the ordinate represents the value of each diversity index. Different colors distinguish different groups.

Alpha diversity analysis. The six parameters above were compared based on the Wilcoxon rank-sum test of both groups to identify differences in alpha diversity between the SD and HC groups. Neither the species richness (observed species, Chao1 and ACE) nor diversity (Shannon index, Simpson index, and Coverage index) found significant differences between the two groups (all *p*-value > 0.05, two-side; Fig. 1). Beta diversity analysis. We calculated unweighted UniFrac, weighted UniFrac, Jaccard, and Bray-Curtis distances of the microbial composition to determine differences in the bacterial community structure among samples from two groups in this study. ADONIS results revealed no significant differences between Jaccard (p-value=0.1838, Fig. 2A) and Bray-Curtis distances (p-value=0.5401, Fig. 2B). Therefore, we utilized the



Fig. 2. The boxplot chart shows the beta diversity of the bacterial communities from the two groups, based on the Jaccard (A) and Bray-Curtis (B) distances, respectively. The interquartile ranges and boxes, medians (lines in the box), and the lowest and highest values for the first and third quartiles are plotted in the graph. Colors identify each group, and a black dot represents each sample.



Fig. 3. Different color dots represent different groups; the horizontal and vertical axis scale is the relative distance without a practical significance; X-variable 1 and variable 2 represent the putative factors influencing changes in the microbial composition of two groups of samples, respectively. The plot is based on the weighted Unifrac distance.

PLS-DA, a supervision pattern recognition method, to assess the subtle significant differences between the two groups, and the result demonstrated that the two groups were separated obviously, as can be seen from Fig. 3.

**Differential microbiota analysis**. The linear discriminant analysis (LDA) and effect size (LEfSe) analysis, based on the nonparametric factorial Kruskal-Wallis (KW) sum rank test and Wilcoxon rank-sum test, were utilized to examine bacterial taxa with significant differential abundances between the SD and HC groups (LDA score > 2.0, *p*-value < 0.05, two-side). The result of LEfSe analysis showed 24 taxa with significantly different abundance, mainly focused on phyla Firmicutes and Proteobacteria, and the top ten species with the smallest *p*-value were shown in Fig. 4. The bacterial species with significant differences in relative abundance at each taxonomic level between the two groups were defined by the Metastats analysis under *p*-value < 0.05. There were eight taxa with significantly different abundance between the two groups after Bonferroni-Holm



Fig. 4. The bacterial taxa's linear discriminant analysis (LDA) effect size (LEfSe). LEfSe plot shows the top ten species with the smallest *p*-value for each group. Ten bacterial taxa were enriched in the SD group (green), and six bacterial taxa were enriched in the HC group (blue).

## Zhang J. et al.

Level	Taxon name	Relative abundance (%)	q-value	r
Phylum	Elusimicrobia	$0.171 \pm 0.995$	0.007*	-0.108
Phylum	Tenericutes	$0.123 \pm 0.631$	0.030*	-0.183
Class	Elusimicrobia	$0.171 \pm 0.995$	0.023*	-0.108
Class	Mollicutes	$0.123 \pm 0.631$	0.069	-0.183
Class	Erysipelotrichia	$2.606 \pm 2.604$	0.276	0.384*
Order	Elusimicrobiales	$0.171 \pm 0.995$	0.014*	-0.108
Order	Anaeroplasmatales	$0.123 \pm 0.631$	0.058	-0.183
Order	Desulfovibrionales	$0.303 \pm 0.259$	0.188	0.328
Order	Erysipelotrichales	$2.606 \pm 2.604$	0.208	0.384
Family	Elusimicrobiaceae	$0.171 \pm 0.995$	0.027*	-0.108
Family	Anaeroplasmataceae	$0.123 \pm 0.631$	0.110	-0.183
Family	Desulfovibrionaceae	$0.303 \pm 0.259$	0.286	0.328
Family	Erysipelotrichaceae	$2.606 \pm 2.604$	0.339	0.384*
Genus	Holdemanella	$0.647 \pm 1.490$	0.033*	0.601**
Genus	Elusimicrobium	$0.171 \pm 0.995$	0.033*	-0.108
Genus	Asteroleplasma	$0.123 \pm 0.631$	0.159	-0.183
Species	uncultured_proteobacterium	$0.128 \pm 0.748$	0.035*	-0.183
Species	uncultured_bacterium	$3.700 \pm 2.810$	0.707	0.323

Table II Correlation analysis of the selected bacterial species with the PSQI score.

*q*-value BH-corrected; \* – *q*-value < 0.05; \*\* – *q*-value < 0.01

(BH) correction (*q*-value < 0.05). Additionally, the result of the Spearman correlation indicated that only three taxa had a significant positive correlation with PSQI score, respectively, and the correlation coefficient for genus *Holdemanella* reached its maximum value (r=0.601, p-value < 0.01) (Table II). The actual correlations between them and the SD score were shown with scatter plots in Fig. 5.

**Functional prediction of gut microbiota**. As our results indicated a shift in the gut microbiome composition, we further examined whether it might relate to potential metabolic differences between the SD and HC groups, as previous studies have shown. The functional metagenome (PICRUSt) was utilized for char-

acterizing the functions of the gut microbiota. Subsequently, Welch's *t*-test was used to identify statistically significant differences between the two groups (Fig. 6). The results suggested that compared to the HC group, metabolic pathways involved in polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons pathways degradation (*p*-value = 0.005, two-side) were significantly increased in the SD group. In contrast, metabolic pathways involved in styrene degradation (*p*-value = 0.009, two-side), butanoate metabolism (*p*-value = 0.018, two-side), D5-branched dibasic acid metabolism (*p*-value = 0.043, two-side), propanoate metabolism (*p*-value = 0.044, two-side) were significantly decreased in the SD group.



Fig. 5. Each point represents a sample and the 95% confidence intervals of the correlation coefficients are shown by grey areas. The relative abundances of genus *Holdemanella* in the samples were 0.



Fig. 6. Functional prediction analysis of the gut microbiota in the SD and HC groups. Each color represents one group. The bar graph represents the pathways with a significant difference in relative abundance between the two groups. The figure on the right shows 95.0% confidence intervals and *p*-value.

## Discussion

Given that previous studies used mice models to verify the association between sleep disturbance and the gut microbiota and their interactions, we carried out the current study to determine whether poor sleep quality is associated with the gut microbiota in humans and, if so, whether more biomarkers can be determined for further study in the area. In the current study, a better balance of baseline characteristics between the SD and HC groups was reached after the screening of participants.

Although previous studies have suggested significant differences in the alpha diversity of gut microbiota between the sleep disorders and healthy populations, we failed to find any statistically significant differences in several parameters used to assess the alpha diversity of the gut microbiota. Moreover, the current results are consistent with those of Zhang et al. (2017), who showed that short-term sleep restriction did not significantly alter the OTU abundance,  $\beta$  diversity, and population shift of the gut microbiota in humans. In addition, the latest evidence has indicated that shortterm sleep restriction and circadian misalignment do not appear to impair the stability of the alpha diversity of the human microbiome (Withrow et al. 2021). On the other hand, some researchers studied these relationships from a new perspective. They observed the changes in gut microbiota following short-term (two weeks) sleep extension in patients with chronic sleep deprivation, but no significant changes were found in this study (Reutrakul et al. 2020). Notably, the participants self-reported their current sleep conditions in our study, which may lead to the overestimated duration of SD in short-term partial subjects. However, recent results have shown a significant decrease in the abundance and alpha diversity of the gut microbiota in sleep disorders compared to healthy individuals (Liu et al. 2019). When there was disagreement

between our results and some findings in previous studies, it is important to note the limitations of the present study and why some results should be interpreted with caution.

However, our study indeed found subtle significant differences in the structure of the gut microbiota in people with poor sleep quality compared to the sleep normal humans and finally identified eight taxa (q-value < 0.05), according to the result of Metastats analysis, which may be used to discriminate these two groups. Finding that sleep efficiency was positively correlated with the abundance of phylum Tenericutes has been mentioned in numerous previous studies (Benedict et al 2016; Reutrakul et al 2020; Withrow et al. 2020). Notably, phylum Tenericutes and class Mollicutes have been demonstrated to be resilient in response to acute sleep-wake cycle shifts (Liu et al. 2020). We also found that the relative abundance of the phylum Tenericutes (significantly, q-value < 0.05) and class Mollicutes (differences approaching significance, q-value = 0.069) in subjects with poor sleep quality were lower than in the healthy individuals. However, it remains to be determined whether this phenomenon represents that the taxa have the buffering capacity to some extent to external factors that may impair the host's sleep. In addition, we also observed a higher abundance of the family Erysipelotrichaceae (phylum Firmicutes) in participants with poor sleep quality (no significant difference after correction), which is consistent with the previous results (Benedict et al 2016). However, the latest evidence provided by Lin et al. (2021) indicated that Lactobacillus fermentum PS150 (PS150) could improve sleep conditions in the mice model and also induces gut microbiota remodeling, including an increased abundance of class Erysipelotrichia. Our findings do not confirm these results. One possible explanation for these discrepancies is species differences between humans and mice.

The PICRUSt prediction of the gut bacterial functions showed that several metabolic pathways differed significantly from healthy controls compared with individuals with poor sleep quality. However, some of them are challenging to interpret by current and previous evidence, and their clinical significance may be questionable, for instance, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon degradation. However, the predicted functional compositions of butanoate metabolism, C5-branched dibasic acid metabolism, and propanoate metabolism pathways were significantly down-regulated in the SD group. It is worth mentioning that sleep fragmentation could lead to a decreased abundance of putative butyrateproducing bacteria and further affect the butanoate metabolism (Maki et al. 2020). As the members of specific short-chain fatty acids (SCFAs) (Magzal et al. 2021), butanoate has also been demonstrated to play a role in inducing sleep in mice models (Szentirmai et al. 2019). Additionally, butanoate has some functions similar to SCFAs, such as energy metabolism and inflammation of the host (Hamer et al. 2008), and pieces of previous evidence have suggested that changes in microbiota composition induced by the circadian rhythm misalignment might have implications for inflammatory diseases (Voigt et al. 2014; Parkar et al. 2019; Mashaqi and Gozal 2020). C5-Branched dibasic acid metabolism and propanoate metabolism in patients with obstructive sleep apnea-hypopnea syndrome has also been found with significant differences compared to healthy humans (Li et al. 2017; Ko et al. 2019). Correspondingly, the increased concentration of propanoate in human infant fecal samples was associated with the prolongation of sleep duration (Heath et al. 2020). Significant differences in the gut microbiota composition and function were observed in our study, which further demonstrated the relationship between sleep problems and the gut microbiota in humans, but the causality remains to be fully explored.

This study's findings must be considered along with limitations. Initially, the observational characteristic of the cross-sectional study cannot provide enough evidence for supporting the potential causal links between poor sleep quality and changes in the gut microbiota. Secondly, further study on larger samples is also needed to identify the other key bacteria, reported in a previous study but failed to be found in this study. Moreover, in studies with small sample size, the change of statistical methods may need to be considered. As Weiss et al. (2017) mentioned, DESeq2 could increase sensitivity on smaller datasets (<20 samples per group). In addition, the lack of multiple objective measures of sleep (e.g., electroencephalogram, polysomnography) could reduce the accuracy and timeliness of the data and induce recall bias due to the self-reported approach.

On the other hand, the gut microbiota composition

may be influenced by diet. So, in future research, the dietary survey of the participants should be added and included as a control variable for reducing the influence on gut microbiota. Finally, as a preliminary exploration, we failed to find robust evidence from the current research to establish the association between the bacteria that changed and the metabolic pathways identified. Therefore, future studies are warranted to further examine the causal relationship between sleep and gut microbiota by considering more other factors.

# Conclusions

In conclusion, our study demonstrated an association between the composition of the gut microbiome and poor sleep quality. Moreover, we identified several specific phyla and taxa (e.g., Elusimicrobia and Tenericutes) that may be used to distinguish sleep-disordered patients from healthy individuals. Our results also revealed the difference in functional pathways, such as butanoate metabolism and propanoate metabolism, which raise the possibility that the gut microbiota could be a potential contributor to diagnosing and treating sleep problems in the future.

## Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the schools and tutors for their support and assistance. In addition, we also thank the college students who participated in our survey.

#### Funding

The study is supported by The National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 81973064).

#### **Conflict of interest**

The authors do not report any financial or personal connections with other persons or organizations, which might negatively affect the contents of this publication and/or claim authorship rights to this publication.

#### Literature

Badran M, Khalyfa A, Ericsson A, Gozal D. Fecal microbiota transplantation from mice exposed to chronic intermittent hypoxia elicits sleep disturbances in naïve mice. Exp Neurol. 2020 Dec;334:113439. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.expneurol.2020.113439

**Becker SP, Luebbe AM, Langberg JM.** Attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder dimensions and sluggish cognitive tempo symptoms in relation to college students' sleep functioning. Child Psychiatry Hum Dev. 2014 Dec;45(6):675–685.

### https://doi.org/10.1007/s10578-014-0436-8

Benedict C, Vogel H, Jonas W, Woting A, Blaut M, Schürmann A, Cedernaes J. Gut microbiota and glucometabolic alterations in response to recurrent partial sleep deprivation in normal-weight young individuals. Mol Metab. 2016 Oct 24;5(12):1175–1186. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molmet.2016.10.003

Bowers SJ, Vargas F, González A, He S, Jiang P, Dorrestein PC, Knight R, Wright KP Jr, Lowry CA, Fleshner M, et al. Repeated sleep disruption in mice leads to persistent shifts in the fecal microbiome and metabolome. PLoS One. 2020 Feb 20;15(2):e0229001. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0229001

**Buysse DJ, Reynolds CF 3rd, Monk TH, Berman SR, Kupfer DJ.** The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index: a new instrument for psychiatric practice and research. Psychiatry Res. 1989 May;28(2):193–213. https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-1781(89)90047-4

Carney CE, Edinger JD, Meyer B, Lindman L, Istre T. Daily activities and sleep quality in college students. Chronobiol Int. 2006; 23(3):623–623. https://doi.org/10.1080/07420520600650695

**Dong X, Kleiner M, Sharp CE, Thorson E, Li C, Liu D, Strous M.** Fast and simple analysis of MiSeq amplicon sequencing data with MetaAmp. Front Microbiol. 2017 Aug 3;8:1461.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.01461

El Aidy S, Bolsius YG, Raven F, Havekes R. A brief period of sleep deprivation leads to subtle changes in mouse gut microbiota. J Sleep Res. 2020 Dec;29(6):e12920. https://doi.org/10.1111/jsr.12920

Guo S, Sun W, Liu C, Wu S. Structural validity of the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index in Chinese undergraduate students. Front Psychol. 2016 Aug 8;7:1126. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2016.01126 Hamer HM, Jonkers D, Venema K, Vanhoutvin S, Troost FJ, Brummer RJ. Review article: the role of butyrate on colonic function. Aliment Pharmacol Ther. 2008 Jan 15;27(2):104–119.

# https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2036.2007.03562.x

Heath AM, Haszard JJ, Galland BC, Lawley B, Rehrer NJ, Drummond LN, Sims IM, Taylor RW, Otal A, Taylor B, et al. Association between the faecal short-chain fatty acid propionate and infant sleep. Eur J Clin Nutr. 2020 Sep;74(9):1362–1365. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41430-019-0556-0

Irwin MR. Why sleep is important for health: a psychoneuroimmunology perspective. Annu Rev Psychol. 2015 Jan 3;66:143–172. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-010213-115205

Kenney SR, LaBrie JW, Hummer JF, Pham AT. Global sleep quality as a moderator of alcohol consumption and consequences in college students. Addict Behav. 2012 Apr;37(4):507–512.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addbeh.2012.01.006

Ko CY, Liu QQ, Su HZ, Zhang HP, Fan JM, Yang JH, Hu AK, Liu YQ, Chou D, Zeng YM. Gut microbiota in obstructive sleep apnea-hypopnea syndrome: disease-related dysbiosis and metabolic comorbidities. Clin Sci (Lond). 2019 Apr 12;133(7):905–917. https://doi.org/10.1042/CS20180891

Kozich JJ, Westcott SL, Baxter NT, Highlander SK, Schloss PD. Development of a dual-index sequencing strategy and curation pipeline for analyzing amplicon sequence data on the MiSeq Illumina sequencing platform. Appl Environ Microbiol. 2013 Sep; 79(17): 5112–5120. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01043-13

Langille MG, Zaneveld J, Caporaso JG, McDonald D, Knights D, Reyes JA, Clemente JC, Burkepile DE, Vega Thurber RL, Knight R, et al. Predictive functional profiling of microbial communities using 16S rRNA marker gene sequences. Nat Biotechnol. 2013 Sep;31(9):814–821. https://doi.org/10.1038/nbt.2676

**Lendrum J, Seebach B, Klein B, Liu S.** Sleep and the gut microbiome: antibiotic-induced depletion of the gut microbiota reduces nocturnal sleep in mice. bioRxiv. 2017 Oct;199075.

https://doi.org/10.1101/199075

Li L, Lu J, Xue W, Wang L, Zhai Y, Fan Z, Wu G, Fan F, Li J, Zhang C, et al. Target of obstructive sleep apnea syndrome merge lung cancer: based on big data platform. Oncotarget. 2017 Mar 28; 8(13):21567–21578. https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.15372

Lin A, Shih C-T, Chu H-F, Chen C-W, Cheng Y-T, Wu C-C, Yang CC, Tsai Y-C. *Lactobacillus fermentum* PS150 promotes nonrapid eye movement sleep in the first night effect of mice. Sci Rep. 2021 Aug 11;11(1):16313. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-95659-3 Liu B, Lin W, Chen S, Xiang T, Yang Y, Yin Y, Xu G, Liu Z, Liu L, Pan J, et al. Gut microbiota as an objective measurement for auxiliary diagnosis of insomnia disorder. Front Microbiol. 2019 Aug; 10:1770. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.01770

Liu Z, Wei ZY, Chen J, Chen K, Mao X, Liu Q, Sun Y, Zhang Z, Zhang Y, Dan Z, et al. Acute sleep-wake cycle shift results in community alteration of human gut microbiome. mSphere. 2020 Feb 12; 5(1):e00914-19. https://doi.org/10.1128/mSphere.00914-19

Lund HG, Reider BD, Whiting AB, Prichard JR. Sleep patterns and predictors of disturbed sleep in a large population of college students. J Adolesc Health. 2010 Feb;46(2):124–132. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jadohealth.2009.06.016

**Magoč T, Salzberg SL.** FLASH: fast length adjustment of short reads to improve genome assemblies. Bioinformatics. 2011 Nov 1; 27(21): 2957–2963. https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btr507

Magzal F, Even C, Haimov I, Agmon M, Asraf K, Shochat T, Tamir S. Associations between fecal short-chain fatty acids and sleep continuity in older adults with insomnia symptoms. Sci Rep. 2021 Feb 18;11(1):4052. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-83389-5

Maki KA, Burke LA, Calik MW, Watanabe-Chailland M, Sweeney D, Romick-Rosendale LE, Green SJ, Fink AM. Sleep fragmentation increases blood pressure and is associated with alterations in the gut microbiome and fecal metabolome in rats. Physiol Genomics. 2020 Jul 1;52(7):280–292.

https://doi.org/10.1152/physiolgenomics.00039.2020

Mashaqi S, Gozal D. "Circadian misalignment and the gut microbiome. A bidirectional relationship triggering inflammation and metabolic disorders" – a literature review. Sleep Med. 2020 Aug; 72:93–108. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sleep.2020.03.020

Nakakita Y, Tsuchimoto N, Takata Y, Nakamura T. Effect of dietary heat-killed *Lactobacillus brevis* SBC8803 (SBL88<sup>™</sup>) on sleep: a non-randomised, double blind, placebo-controlled, and crossover pilot study. Benef Microbes. 2016 Sep;7(4):501–509.

# https://doi.org/10.3920/BM2015.0118

**Ogawa Y, Miyoshi C, Obana N, Yajima K, Hotta-Hirashima N, Ikkyu A, Kanno S, Soga T, Fukuda S, Yanagisawa M.** Gut microbiota depletion by chronic antibiotic treatment alters the sleep/wake architecture and sleep EEG power spectra in mice. Sci Rep. 2020 Nov 11;10(1):19554.

#### https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-76562-9

**Ohayon MM, Roberts RE, Zulley J, Smirne S, Priest RG.** Prevalence and patterns of problematic sleep among older adolescents. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry. 2000 Dec;39(12):1549–1556. https://doi.org/10.1097/00004583-200012000-00019

**Parkar SG, Kalsbeek A, Cheeseman JF.** Potential role for the gut microbiota in modulating host circadian rhythms and metabolic health. Microorganisms. 2019 Jan 31;7(2):41.

https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms7020041

Poroyko VA, Carreras A, Khalyfa A, Khalyfa AA, Leone V, Peris E, Almendros I, Gileles-Hillel A, Qiao Z, Hubert N, et al. Chronic sleep disruption alters gut microbiota, induces systemic and adipose tissue inflammation and insulin resistance in mice. Sci Rep. 2016 Oct 14;6:35405. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep35405

Reutrakul S, So-ngern A, Chirakalwasan N, Saetung S, Chanprasertyothin S, Thakkinstian A, Chlipala GE. No changes in gut microbiota after two-week sleep extension in chronically sleepdeprived individuals. Sleep Med. 2020 Apr;68:27–30.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sleep.2019.08.022

Szentirmai É, Millican NS, Massie AR, Kapás L. Butyrate, a metabolite of intestinal bacteria, enhances sleep. Sci Rep. 2019 May 7; 9(1): 7035. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-43502-1

Thompson RS, Roller R, Mika A, Greenwood BN, Knight R, Chichlowski M, Berg BM, Fleshner M. Dietary prebiotics and bioactive milk fractions improve NREM sleep, enhance REM sleep rebound and attenuate the stress-induced decrease in diurnal temperature and gut microbial alpha diversity. Front Behav Neurosci. 2017 Jan 10;10:240. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnbeh.2016.00240

Voigt RM, Forsyth CB, Green SJ, Mutlu E, Engen P, Vitaterna MH, Turek FW, Keshavarzian A. Circadian disorganization alters intestinal microbiota. PLoS One. 2014 May 21;9(5):e97500.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0097500

Weiss S, Xu ZZ, Peddada S, Amir A, Bittinger K, Gonzalez A, Lozupone C, Zaneveld JR, Vázquez-Baeza Y, Birmingham A, et al. Normalization and microbial differential abundance strategies depend upon data characteristics. Microbiome. 2017 Mar 3;5(1):27. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40168-017-0237-y

Withrow D, Bowers SJ, Depner CM, González A, Reynolds AC, Wright KP Jr. Sleep and circadian disruption and the gut microbiome-possible links to dysregulated metabolism. Curr Opin Endocr Metab Res. 2021 Apr;17:26–37.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coemr.2020.11.009

Withrow D, Gonzalez A, Sprecher K, Depner C, Burke T, Fleshner M, Lowry C, Turek F, Vitaterna M, Dorrestein P, et al. Stability of gut microbiome alpha diversity during combined sleep restriction and circadian misalignment. Sleep. 2021 May; 44(Suppl\_2): A46–A47. https://doi.org/10.1093/sleep/zsab072.113 Yang T, Peng S, Barnett R, Zhang C. Regional contextual influences on short sleep duration: a 50 universities population-based multilevel study in China. Glob Health Action. 2018;11(1):1442684. https://doi.org/10.1080/16549716.2018.1442684

Zhang SL, Bai L, Goel N, Bailey A, Jang CJ, Bushman FD, Meerlo P, Dinges DF, Sehgal A. Human and rat gut microbiome composition is maintained following sleep restriction. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2017 Feb 21;114(8):E1564–E1571.

https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1620673114

Supplementary materials are available on the journal's website.