

Corrigendum to “Successful Urine Multiplex Bead Assay to Measure Lupus Nephritis Activity” *Kidney Int. Rep.* 2021;6:1949-1960.



Kidney Int Rep (2021) 6, 2735; <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ekir.2021.08.023> © 2021 International Society of Nephrology. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Ellen M. Cody¹, Michael R. Bennett^{2,3}, Gaurav Gulati⁴, Qing Ma⁵, Mekibib Altaye⁶, Prasad Devarajan^{3,7} and Hermine I. Brunner^{3,8}

¹Department of Pediatric Nephrology, Cincinnati Children’s Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati, Ohio, USA; ²Department of Pediatric Nephrology, Cincinnati Children’s Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati, Ohio, USA; ³Department of Pediatrics, University of Cincinnati College of Medicine, Cincinnati, Ohio, USA; ⁴Division of Immunology, Allergy and Rheumatology, Department of Medicine, University of Cincinnati College of Medicine, Cincinnati, Ohio, USA; ⁵Nephrology and Hypertension Clinical Laboratory, Cincinnati Children’s Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati, Ohio, USA; ⁶Division of Biostatistics and Epidemiology, Cincinnati Children’s Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati, Ohio, USA; ⁷Division of Nephrology and Hypertension, Cincinnati Children’s Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati, Ohio, USA; and ⁸Division of Rheumatology, Cincinnati Children’s Hospital Medical Center, Cincinnati, Ohio, USA

The author regrets that ICC reported in the abstract and the discussion for the modified RAIL by ELISA vs MPL was reported incorrectly. It should be 0.68 with 95% CI 0.62-0.74.

In addition, there was an error in Table 3. In column one, the last two labels are switched, should be “Modified RAIL MPL vs ELISA” then “Original vs Modified RAIL”.

The author would like to apologize for any inconvenience this may have caused.

Correspondence: Ellen Cody, 3333 Burnet Avenue, MLC 7022, Cincinnati, Ohio 45229, USA. E-mail: emcody13@gmail.com