

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript

Bone Marrow Transplant. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2018 July 30.

Published in final edited form as: *Bone Marrow Transplant.* 2018 July ; 53(7): 932–937. doi:10.1038/s41409-018-0100-1.

Donor body mass index does not predict graft versus host disease following hematopoietic cell transplantation

Lucie M. Turcotte¹, Tao Wang^{2,3}, Michael T. Hemmer², Stephen R. Spellman⁴, Mukta Arora⁵, Daniel Couriel⁶, Amin Alousi⁷, Joseph Pidala⁸, Hisham Abdel-Azim⁹, Ibrahim Ahmed¹⁰, Amer Beitinjaneh¹¹, David Buchbinder¹², Michael Byrne¹³, Natalie Callander¹⁴, Nelson Chao¹⁵, Sung Wong Choi¹⁶, Zachariah DeFilipp¹⁷, Shahinaz M. Gadalla¹⁸, Robert Peter Gale¹⁹, Usama Gergis²⁰, Shahrukh Hashmi^{21,22}, Peiman Hematti¹⁴, Leona Holmberg²³, Yoshihiro Inamoto²⁴, Rammurti T. Kamble²⁵, Leslie Lehmann²⁶, Margaret A. MacMillan⁵, Zachariah Mclver²⁷, Taiga Nishihori⁸, Maxim Norkin²⁸, Tracey O'Brien²⁹, Richard F. Olsson^{30,31}, Ran Reshef³², Ayman Saad³³, Bipin N. Savani³⁴, Harry C. Schouten³⁵, Sachiko Seo³⁶, Melhem Solh³⁷, Leo Verdonck³⁸, Ravi Vij³⁹, Baldeep Wirk⁴⁰, Jean Yared⁴¹, Mary M. Horowitz², Jennifer M. Knight⁴², and Michael R. Verneris⁴³

¹University of Minnesota Blood and Marrow Transplant Program, Minneapolis, MN ²Center for International Blood and Marrow Transplant Research, Department of Medicine, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI ³Division of Biostatistics, Institute for Health and Society, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI ⁴Center for International Blood and Marrow Transplant Research, National Marrow Donor Program/Be The Match, Minneapolis, MN ⁵Division of Hematology, Oncology and Transplantation, Department of Medicine, University of Minnesota Medical Center, Minneapolis, MN ⁶Utah Blood and Marrow Transplant Program, Salt Lake City, UT ⁷Department of Stem Cell Transplantation, Division of Cancer Medicine, The University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX ⁸Department of Blood and Marrow Transplantation; H. Lee Moffitt Cancer Center and Research Institute, Tampa, FL ⁹Division of Hematology, Oncology and Blood & Marrow Transplantation, Children's Hospital Los Angeles, University of Southern California Keck School of Medicine, Los Angeles, CA ¹⁰Department of Hematology Oncology and Bone Marrow Transplantation, The Children's Mercy Hospitals and Clinics, Kansas City, MO ¹¹University of Miami, Miami, FL ¹²Division of Pediatrics Hematology, Children's Hospital of Orange County, Orange, CA ¹³Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN ¹⁴Division of Hematology/Oncology/Bone Marrow Transplantation, Department of Medicine, University of Wisconsin Hospital and Clinics, Madison, WI ¹⁵Division of Cell Therapy and Hematologica, Department of Medicine, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC ¹⁶The University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI ¹⁷Blood and Marrow Transplant Program, Massachusetts

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Users may view, print, copy, and download text and data-mine the content in such documents, for the purposes of academic research, subject always to the full Conditions of use: http://www.nature.com/authors/editorial_policies/license.html#terms

Correspondence to: Lucie M. Turcotte, MD, University of Minnesota, 420 Delaware St. SE, MMC 484, Minneapolis, MN 55455; turc0023@umn.edu; phone: 612-708-1282; Fax: 612-626-2815.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS: All listed authors participated in: 1) the conception and/or design of this study, data acquisition, and/or result interpretation; 2) drafting or revising the manuscript; and 3) approval of the final submitted manuscript. Dr. Turcotte has had full access to the data in the study and takes responsibility for the decision to submit for publication.

The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

General Hospital, Boston, MA ¹⁸Division of Cancer Epidemiology & Genetics, NIH-NCI Clinical Genetics Branch, Rockville, MD ¹⁹Hematology Research Centre, Division of Experimental Medicine, Department of Medicine, Imperial College London, London, United Kingdom ²⁰Hematolgic Malignancies & Bone Marrow Transplant, Department of Medical Oncology, New York Presbyterian Hospital/Weill Cornell Medical Center, New York, NY ²¹Department of Internal Medicine, Mayo Clinic, MN ²²Oncology Center, King Faisal Specialist Hospital and Research Center, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia ²³Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center, Seattle, WA ²⁴Division of Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation, National Cancer Center Hospital, Tokyo, Japan ²⁵Division of Hematology and Oncology, Center for Cell and Gene Therapy, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX ²⁶Dana-Farber Cancer Institute/Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, MA ²⁷Wake Forest Baptist Health, Winston-Salem, NC ²⁸Division of Hematology/Oncology, University Florida College of Medicine, Gainesville, FL ²⁹Blood & Marrow Transplant Program, Kids Cancer Centre, Sydney Children's Hospital, Sydney, Australia ³⁰Division of Therapeutic Immunology, Department of Laboratory Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden ³¹Centre for Clinical Research Sormland, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden ³²Blood and Marrow Transplantation Program and Columbia Center for Translational Immunology, Columbia University Medical Center, New York, NY ³³Division of Hematology/Oncology, Department of Medicine, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL ³⁴Division of Hematology/Oncology, Department of Medicine, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN ³⁵Department of Hematology, Academische Ziekenhuis, Maastricht, Netherlands ³⁶Department of Hematology & Oncology, National Cancer Research Center East, Chiba, Japan ³⁷The Blood and Marrow Transplant Group of Georgia, Northside Hospital, Atlanta, GA ³⁸Dept of Hematology/Oncology, Isala Clinic, Zwolle, The Netherlands ³⁹Division of Hematology and Oncology, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO ⁴⁰Division of Bone Marrow Transplant, Seattle Cancer Care Alliance, Seattle, WA ⁴¹Blood & Marrow Transplantation Program, Division of Hematology/Oncology, Department of Medicine, Greenebaum Cancer Center, University of Maryland, Baltimore, MD ⁴²Department of Psychiatry, Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI ⁴³University of Colorado-Denver, Aurora, CO

Keywords

donor obesity; allogeneic HCT; GVHD

Graft versus host disease (GVHD) is a serious complication affecting nearly half of hematopoietic cell transplantation (HCT) recipients.⁽¹⁾ It is characterized by lymphocyte activation and proliferation, a surge in pro-inflammatory cytokines and tissue destruction.⁽²⁾ GVHD risk factors include degree of donor-recipient human leukocyte antigen (HLA) mismatch, stem cell source, donor-recipient sex matching, donor age, and pre-HCT conditioning regimen intensity.^(3, 4) More than one-third of HCT donors are obese, and although previous studies have demonstrated either no or minimal effect of recipient body mass index (BMI) on transplant outcomes,^(5–8) the impact of donor obesity and donor inflammation on recipient outcomes have not been investigated. Obesity, defined as a BMI 30 kg/m², and overweight (BMI 25–29.9 kg/m²), are chronic inflammatory states. Most individuals with obesity have increased numbers of circulating monocytes secreting pro-

inflammatory cytokines, including TNF- α , IL-1 β and IL-6,⁽⁹⁾ similar to the inflammation and cytokine dysregulation that are observed in GVHD.⁽²⁾ We sought to address the hypothesis that stem cell products from obese donors would result in engrafted hematopoietic cells that are more inflammatory, resulting in increased rates of acute (aGVHD) and chronic GVHD (cGVHD) in transplant recipients.

Data were obtained from the Center for International Blood and Marrow Transplantation Research (CIBMTR). The CIBMTR is a collaboration between the Medical College of Wisconsin and the National Marrow Donor Program/Be the Match. Individuals included in the study had a primary diagnosis of acute lymphoblastic leukemia (ALL), acute myeloid leukemia (AML), chronic myeloid leukemia (CML), or myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS), and underwent their first allogeneic HLA-A, B, C and DRB1-matched (8/8 matched) unrelated peripheral blood stem cell (PBSC) transplant between 2000 to 2013. Individuals who received *ex-vivo* T-cell depleted or CD34⁺ selected grafts, transplants from multiple donors, or who had missing donor data were excluded from the analysis. Primary endpoints included incidence of grade II-IV and grade III-IV aGVHD and cGVHD. aGVHD II-IV was graded according to consensus criteria at day 100 with death without aGVHD as a competing risk. cGVHD was reported as cumulative incidence at 6 months, 1 and 2 years post-HCT with death without cGVHD as a competing risk. Secondary outcomes included relapse, disease free survival (DFS), non-relapse mortality (NRM), and overall survival (OS). Relapse was reported as a cumulative incidence with NRM as a competing risk. DFS was defined as time to treatment failure either death or relapse. NRM was defined as death in continuous remission with relapse as a competing risk. Donor BMI category definitions included: underweight (BMI <18.5 kg/m²), normal weight (18.5-24.9 kg/m²), overweight $(25-29.9 \text{ kg/m}^2)$, obese $(30-39.9 \text{ kg/m}^2)$ and morbidly obese (40 kg/m^2) . Other patient-, disease- and transplant-related variables considered included recipient and donor age at HCT, recipient and donor race, donor-recipient sex match, donor and recipient cytomegalovirus (CMV) status, recipient Karnofsky score prior to HCT, disease status at HCT, interval from diagnosis to HCT, HCT conditioning intensity, use of total body irradiation (TBI) in conditioning, CD34⁺ cell dose, GVHD prophylaxis and use of antithymocyte globulin (ATG) or alemtuzumab.

Univariate probabilities of OS and DFS were calculated using the Kaplan-Meier estimator. ⁽¹⁰⁾ Cumulative incidences of aGVHD and cGVHD, NRM and relapse were estimated using a cumulative incidence function method.⁽¹¹⁾ Cox's proportional hazards models⁽¹²⁾ were used to adjust for significant covariates. A stepwise forward model selection was used to identify significant covariates to be included in the models with a threshold of p<0.05 for variable entry and exit. Interactions between donor BMI variables and the significant covariates were tested and no significant interactions were detected. Recipients of grafts from normal weight donors were the reference group for all models. To account for multiple comparisons, p<0.01 was considered as the threshold for significance. P-values are 2-sided. The analysis was performed using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC).

There were 4,412 individuals from 178 centers included in the present analysis. Transplant recipients were 43% female and were a median of 52 years of age (range 0–79 years) at the time of HCT. The indications for transplantation included: AML (54.4%), ALL (14.4%),

CML (7.8%) and MDS (23.4%), and conditioning intensity was myeloablative for 64%, reduced intensity for 28% and non-myeloablative for 8%. Donors were 30% female and were a median of 32 years of age (range 18–62 years). One percent of donors were underweight, 38% were normal weight, 38% were overweight, 21% were obese and 2% were morbidly obese.

Cumulative incidence of grade II–IV and grade III–IV aGVHD at day 100 did not differ based on donor BMI category (p=0.59 and p=0.76, respectively; Table 2). After adjusting for recipient disease, grade II–IV aGVHD was not associated with donor BMI (p=0.51), and for grade III–IV aGVHD, adjusting for donor sex, graft CD34⁺ cell dose, and recipient disease, there was no association with donor BMI (p=0.90) (Figure 1A). Cumulative incidence of cGVHD at one year also did not differ based on donor BMI (p=0.15; Table 2). After adjusting for recipient disease, performance status and donor-recipient sex match, there was not an overall significant association between donor BMI and cGVHD (p=0.03). PBSCs from obese donors were associated with increased risk of cGVHD (hazard ratio [HR]=1.16, 95% CI 1.03–1.30, p=0.01); however, this association was not seen for morbidly obese donors (Figure 1B).

Relapse at 2 years did not differ significantly, as a function of donor BMI (p=0.18; Table 2). In multivariate analysis, adjusting for conditioning intensity, interval from diagnosis to HCT and Karnofsky score, there was no significant effect of donor BMI on relapse (p=0.23). DFS at 2 years did not differ based on donor BMI category (p=0.18; Table 2). After adjusting for recipient age, ATG or alemtuzumab use, CMV status, GVHD prophylaxis, interval from diagnosis to HCT, Karnofsky score and CD34⁺ cell dose, DFS was worse when the donor was overweight compared with a normal weight donor (HR=1.16, 95% CI 1.06–1.28, p=0.002), but this relationship did not hold for obese donors (HR=1.14, 95% CI 1.02–1.28, p=0.02), nor was there an overall significant association with donor BMI category (p=0.02).

Cumulative incidence of NRM at 2 years was not significantly different across donor BMI categories (p=0.04; Table 2). Multivariate analysis, adjusted for recipient age, donor-recipient CMV match, GVHD prophylaxis, interval from diagnosis to HCT, and CD34⁺ cell dose, identified increased risk for NRM in the obese donor group compared with the normal weight donors (HR=1.29, 95% CI 1.08–1.55, p=0.005), but this relationship was not seen consistently across BMI categories (p=0.05). The probability of OS at 2 years did not differ based on donor BMI (p=0.11; Table 2). Multivariate analysis, adjusted for ATG and alemtuzumab exposure, disease, donor-recipient CMV match, GVHD prophylaxis, interval from diagnosis to HCT, and CD34⁺ cell dose, did not reveal a significant effect of donor BMI on OS (p=0.08).

In contrast with our hypothesis, consistent and significant associations were not identified between donor BMI and aGVHD or cGVHD, or relapse, DFS, NRM or OS. Despite identifying inferior DFS and increased risk for NRM for the obese donor group, these relationships were not observed for overweight or morbidly obese donors, nor were significant overall effects of donor BMI observed for any of the outcomes of interest. The lack of significant findings in the morbidly obese group may be due to limited numbers of morbidly obese donors. These results might also reflect a selection bias as donors that were

suitably healthy to serve as stem cell donors have fewer pro-inflammatory health comorbidities compared with those who may have been excluded as donors, making the donor groups less different than what is observed in the general population. Furthermore, all donors received high-dose granulocyte colony stimulating factor prior to stem cell donation, which may have altered the inflammatory properties of the collected cells, potentially masking differences in inflammation typically observed between obese and normal weight donors. Although data on statin use were not available for this analysis, it is likely that use is more common among obese individuals compared to those of normal weight, and donor statin use has been associated with a decreased risk of grade 3–4 acute GVHD,⁽¹³⁾ potentially influencing the findings of our analysis.

While this study suggests that donor obesity is not a risk factor for GVHD, the concept of whether inflammation is transferrable from donor to recipient remains an outstanding issue not addressed by this study. There are multiple causes for systemic inflammation, beyond increased adipose tissue mass, such as autoimmune conditions, acute infection, and even chronic stress, social isolation, and depression.⁽¹⁴⁾ Additionally, lifestyle factors such as tobacco or alcohol use may increase systemic inflammation.⁽¹⁵⁾ These factors may contribute to normal weight or underweight donors having more similar inflammatory profiles to obese donors than we had anticipated.

Based on the present analysis, donor obesity is not associated with increased risk for aGVHD or cGVHD and does not appear to be correlated with other post-HCT adverse outcomes. Further investigation of donor circulating cytokine concentrations or analysis of cytokine producing cells would be an important next step in elucidating the role of donor inflammation in post-HCT outcomes.

Acknowledgments

This work was funded in part by the Children's Cancer Research Fund, Minneapolis, MN (LMT) and the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences (National Institutes of Health (NIH)) through Grant Numbers UL1TR001436 and KL2TR001438 (JMK). The CIBMTR is supported primarily by Public Health Service Grant/ Cooperative Agreement 5U24CA076518 from the National Cancer Institute (NCI), the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute (NHLBI) and the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID); a Grant/ Cooperative Agreement 4U10HL069294 from NHLBI and NCI; a contract HHSH250201200016C with Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA/DHHS); two Grants N00014-17-1-2388 and N0014-17-1-2850 from the Office of Naval Research; and grants from * Actinium Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; * Amgen, Inc.; * Amneal Biosciences; * Angiocrine Bioscience, Inc.; Anonymous donation to the Medical College of Wisconsin; Astellas Pharma US; Atara Biotherapeutics, Inc.; Be the Match Foundation; * bluebird bio, Inc.; * Bristol Myers Squibb Oncology; * Celgene Corporation; Cerus Corporation; * Chimerix, Inc.; Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center; Gamida Cell Ltd.; Gilead Sciences, Inc.; HistoGenetics, Inc.; Immucor; * Incyte Corporation; Janssen Scientific Affairs, LLC; * Jazz Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; Juno Therapeutics; Karyopharm Therapeutics, Inc.; Kite Pharma, Inc.; Medac, GmbH; MedImmune; The Medical College of Wisconsin; ^{*} Mediware; ^{*} Merck & Co, Inc.; ^{*} Mesoblast; MesoScale Diagnostics, Inc.; Millennium, the Takeda Oncology Co.; * Miltenyi Biotec, Inc.; National Marrow Donor Program; * Neovii Biotech NA, Inc.; Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation; Otsuka Pharmaceutical Co, Ltd. – Japan; PCORI; * Pfizer, Inc; * Pharmacyclics, LLC; PIRCHE AG; * Sanofi Genzyme; * Seattle Genetics; Shire; Spectrum Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; St. Baldrick's Foundation; * Sunesis Pharmaceuticals, Inc.; Swedish Orphan Biovitrum, Inc.; Takeda Oncology; Telomere Diagnostics, Inc.; and University of Minnesota. The views expressed in this article do not reflect the official policy or position of the National Institute of Health, the Department of the Navy, the Department of Defense, Health Resources and Services Administration (HRSA) or any other agency of the U.S. Government.

^{*}Corporate Members

References

- Jagasia M, Arora M, Flowers ME, Chao NJ, McCarthy PL, Cutler CS, et al. Risk factors for acute GVHD and survival after hematopoietic cell transplantation. Blood. 2012; 119(1):296–307. [PubMed: 22010102]
- Hill GR, Teshima T, Gerbitz A, Pan L, Cooke KR, Brinson YS, et al. Differential roles of IL-1 and TNF-alpha on graft-versus-host disease and graft versus leukemia. The Journal of clinical investigation. 1999; 104(4):459–67. [PubMed: 10449438]
- 3. Harris AC, Ferrara JL, Levine JE. Advances in predicting acute GVHD. British journal of haematology. 2013; 160(3):288–302. [PubMed: 23205489]
- Kollman C, Howe CW, Anasetti C, Antin JH, Davies SM, Filipovich AH, et al. Donor characteristics as risk factors in recipients after transplantation of bone marrow from unrelated donors: the effect of donor age. Blood. 2001; 98(7):2043–51. [PubMed: 11567988]
- Gleimer M, Li Y, Chang L, Paczesny S, Hanauer DA, Frame DG, et al. Baseline body mass index among children and adults undergoing allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation: clinical characteristics and outcomes. Bone marrow transplantation. 2015; 50(3):402–10. [PubMed: 25531283]
- 6. Hoffmeister PA, Storer BE, Macris PC, Carpenter PA, Baker KS. Relationship of body mass index and arm anthropometry to outcomes after pediatric allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation for hematologic malignancies. Biology of blood and marrow transplantation: journal of the American Society for Blood and Marrow Transplantation. 2013; 19(7):1081–6.
- Nikolousis E, Nagra S, Paneesha S, Delgado J, Holder K, Bratby L, et al. Allogeneic transplant outcomes are not affected by body mass index (BMI) in patients with haematological malignancies. Annals of hematology. 2010; 89(11):1141–5. [PubMed: 20544351]
- Fleming DR, Rayens MK, Garrison J. Impact of obesity on allogeneic stem cell transplant patients: a matched case-controlled study. The American journal of medicine. 1997; 102(3):265–8. [PubMed: 9217595]
- Weisberg SP, McCann D, Desai M, Rosenbaum M, Leibel RL, Ferrante AW Jr. Obesity is associated with macrophage accumulation in adipose tissue. The Journal of clinical investigation. 2003; 112(12):1796–808. [PubMed: 14679176]
- 10. Kaplan EL, Meier P. Nonparametric estimation from incomplete observations. Journal of the American Statistical Association. 1958; 53:457–81.
- Gooley TA, Leisenring W, Crowley J, Storer BE. Estimation of failure probabilities in the presence of competing risks: new representations of old estimators. Statistics in medicine. 1999; 18(6):695– 706. [PubMed: 10204198]
- 12. Cox RD. Regression analysis and life tables. J of Royal Statist Soc. 1972; B 34:187-220.
- Rotta M, Storer BE, Storb RF, Martin PJ, Heimfeld S, Peffer A, et al. Donor statin treatment protects against severe acute graft-versus-host disease after related allogeneic hematopoietic cell transplantation. Blood. 2010; 115(6):1288–95. [PubMed: 19965630]
- Slavich GM, Irwin MR. From stress to inflammation and major depressive disorder: a social signal transduction theory of depression. Psychological bulletin. 2014; 140(3):774–815. [PubMed: 24417575]
- Bonaccio M, Di Castelnuovo A, Pounis G, De Curtis A, Costanzo S, Persichillo M, et al. Relative contribution of health-related behaviours and chronic diseases to the socioeconomic patterning of low-grade inflammation. International journal of public health. 2017; 62(5):551–62. [PubMed: 28110395]

Turcotte et al.



Figure 1.

Acute and chronic GVHD adjusted* hazard ratios, by donor BMI category. Reference group is normal weight donor. A) Acute GVHD, grade 3–4, following HCT. B) Chronic GVHD following HCT.

*Acute GVHD III–IV analysis adjusted for donor sex, graft CD34+ cell dose, conditioning regimen, year of transplant, GVHD prophylaxis, disease stage, disease type, and ATG/ Alemtuzumab use; chronic GVHD analysis adjusted for disease type, Karnofsky performance score, donor-recipient sex match, conditioning regimen, year of transplant, and ATG/Alemtuzumab use.

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; GVHD, graft versus host disease; HCT, hematopoietic cell transplantation, MOB, morbidly obese; NW, normal weight; OB, obese; OW, overweight; UW, underweight.

Table 1

category.
Ą
B
donor
uc
based (
outcomes,
F
H
Ę
yses (
anal
ariate
λi
Ur

		Underweight	No	ormal weight	•	Overweight		Obese	W	orbidly Obese	
Outcomes	Z	Prob (95% CI)	Z	Prob (95% CI)	Z	Prob (95% CI)	Z	Prob (95% CI)	Z	Prob (95% CI)	p-value
Grade 2-4 acute GVHD	43		1654		1649		006		66		
100-day		47 (32–61)%		44 (42–46)%		42 (40-45)%		44 (41–48)%		49 (39–59)%	0.59
Grade 3-4 acute GVHD	43		1649		1648		894		98		
100-day		16 (7–29)%		18 (16–20)%		18 (16–20)%		17 (15–20)%		23 (15–32)%	0.76
Chronic GVHD	43		1661		1646		903		100		
6 months		23 (12–37)%		29 (27–32)%		29 (27–32)%		29 (26–32)%		33 (24–43)%	0.83
1-year		47 (32–61)%		47 (45–50)%		46 (44-48)%		51 (48–55)%		47 (38–57)%	0.15
2-year		49 (34–64)%		53 (51–56)%		52 (49–54)%		56 (53–60)%		54 (44–64)%	0.28
NRM	43		1650		1630		898		100		
1-year		26 (14–40)%		18 (16–20)%		21 (20–24)%		20 (17–22)%		16 (10–24)%	0.07
2-year		31 (18–46)%		22 (20–24)%		26 (24–28)%		26 (23–29)%		19 (12–27)%	0.04
3-year		34 (20–49)%		25 (23–28)%		28 (26–30)%		29 (26–32)%		24 (16–32)%	0.17
5-year		34 (20–49)%		29 (27–31)%		32 (29–34)%		34 (31–38)%		26 (18–35)%	0.07
Relapse	43		1650		1630		898		100		
1-year		21 (10–34)%		30 (28–32)%		31 (28–33)%		29 (26–32)%		32 (23-41)%	0.49
2-year		21 (10–34)%		34 (32–36)%		34 (32–36)%		33 (30–36)%		40 (31–50)%	0.18
3-year		21 (10–34)%		35 (33–38)%		36 (34–39)%		35 (32–39)%		44 (34–54)%	0.08
5-year		21 (10–34)%		38 (35–40)%		38 (35-40)%		37 (34-40)%		46 (36–56)%	0.04
DFS	43		1650		1630		868		100		
1-year		53 (39–68)%		52 (50–55)%		48 (45–50)%		52 (49–55)%		52 (42–62)%	0.12
2-year		48 (33–63)%		44 (42–47)%		40 (38-43)%		41 (38-44)%		40 (31–50)%	0.18
3-year		45 (31–61)%		39 (37–42)%		36 (34–39)%		35 (32–39)%		33 (24–42)%	0.17
5-year		45 (31–61)%		33 (31–36)%		31 (28–33)%		29 (26–32)%		28 (19–37)%	0.05
Overall survival	43		1680		1671		917		101		
1-year		58 (43–72)%		60 (58–63)%		57 (55–59)%		61 (58–64)%		60 (51–70)%	0.22
2-year		50 (35–65)%		50 (48–53)%		46 (43–48)%		47 (44–51)%		50 (40–60)%	0.11

Author Manuscript

Turcotte et al.