



# Metabolic Engineering of *Fusarium oxysporum* to Improve Its Ethanol-Producing Capability

George E. Anasontzis<sup>1†</sup>, Elisavet Kourtoglou<sup>2</sup>, Silas G. Villas-Boâs<sup>3</sup>, Dimitris G. Hatzinikolaou<sup>1</sup> and Paul Christakopoulos<sup>4\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Microbial Biotechnology Unit, Sector of Botany, Department of Biology, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Zografou, Greece, <sup>2</sup> BIOtechMASS Unit, Biotechnology Laboratory, School of Chemical Engineering, National Technical University of Athens, Zografou, Greece, <sup>3</sup> Centre for Microbial Innovation, School of Biological Sciences, University of Auckland, Auckland, New Zealand, <sup>4</sup> Biochemical and Chemical Process Engineering, Division of Sustainable Process Engineering, Department of Civil, Environmental and Natural Resources Engineering, Luleå University of Technology, Luleå, Sweden

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Vijai Kumar Gupta, National University of Ireland, Galway, Ireland

#### Reviewed by:

Michael Sauer, University of Natural Resources and Life Sciences, Austria Antonella Amore, National Renewable Energy Laboratory, USA

#### \*Correspondence:

Paul Christakopoulos paul.christakopoulos@ltu.se

#### <sup>†</sup>Present Address:

George E. Anasontzis, Biodiversité et Biotechnologie Fongiques, INRA - Aix Marseille Université, Marseille, France

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Microbiotechnology, Ecotoxicology and Bioremediation, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

> Received: 22 February 2016 Accepted: 18 April 2016 Published: 04 May 2016

#### Citation:

Anasontzis GE, Kourtoglou E, Villas-Boâs SG, Hatzinikolaou DG and Christakopoulos P (2016) Metabolic Engineering of Fusarium oxysporum to Improve Its Ethanol-Producing Capability. Front. Microbiol. 7:632. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.00632 Fusarium oxysporum is one of the few filamentous fungi capable of fermenting ethanol directly from plant cell wall biomass. It has the enzymatic toolbox necessary to break down biomass to its monosaccharides and, under anaerobic and microaerobic conditions, ferments them to ethanol. Although these traits could enable its use in consolidated processes and thus bypass some of the bottlenecks encountered in ethanol production from lignocellulosic material when Saccharomyces cerevisiae is used-namely its inability to degrade lignocellulose and to consume pentoses-two major disadvantages of F. oxysporum compared to the yeast-its low growth rate and low ethanol productivity—hinder the further development of this process. We had previously identified phosphoglucomutase and transaldolase, two major enzymes of glucose catabolism and the pentose phosphate pathway, as possible bottlenecks in the metabolism of the fungus and we had reported the effect of their constitutive production on the growth characteristics of the fungus. In this study, we investigated the effect of their constitutive production on ethanol productivity under anaerobic conditions. We report an increase in ethanol yield and a concomitant decrease in acetic acid production. Metabolomics analysis revealed that the genetic modifications applied did not simply accelerate the metabolic rate of the microorganism; they also affected the relative concentrations of the various metabolites suggesting an increased channeling toward the chorismate pathway, an activation of the  $\gamma$ -aminobutyric acid shunt, and an excess in NADPH regeneration.

Keywords: *Fusarium oxysporum*, ethanol, consolidated bioprocessing, filamentous fungi, biofuels, fermentation, *y*-aminobutyric acid shunt, NADPH regeneration

# INTRODUCTION

Lignocellulose is the complex matrix of cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin that constitutes the plant cell wall. Being a waste product from agricultural activities, forestry, and the pulp and paper industry, lignocellulose can be a sustainable source of carbohydrates for biotechnological applications (Fujita et al., 2002; Malherbe and Cloete, 2002).

The commonly perceived process for lignocellulose use in a biorefinery for ethanol production comprises of two main steps; hydrolysis and fermentation. Hydrolysis refers to the breakdown of polysaccharides present in biomass to monosaccharides and fermentation refers to the use of these monosaccharides for the synthesis of the end-product. Hydrolysis and fermentation can be performed either sequentially or in parallel (Ask et al., 2012). Selection of the set-up depends on the raw material. However, since each set-up has different requirements in terms of physical and chemical conditions, different biomass-degrading enzyme mixtures are required for the efficient release of the available sugars. For example, when hydrolysis and fermentation are performed simultaneously, the temperature is maintained at 30°C, which is not necessarily the optimum temperature for the commercial enzyme mixtures. When hydrolysis is performed separately from fermentation, the temperature can be closer to the optimum for the enzyme mixture, but other factors-such as product inhibition—can affect the efficiency of the process (Ask et al., 2012).

As far as the fermentation part is concerned *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* is undoubtedly the most important microorganism, due to its high productivity (reported as high as  $3.3 \text{ g}_{ethanol} \text{ L}^{-1} \text{ h}^{-1}$ ; Cavka et al., 2010) and generally high metabolic rate, which make it a suitable microorganism for industrial use (Kuyper et al., 2004). This microorganism is capable of fermenting hexoses, such as glucose and mannose, to ethanol. Glucose is present in various concentrations and structures in plant biomass, but predominantly in the form of cellulose; mannose is abundant as a hemicellulose constituent from gymnosperms. The capacity of yeast to efficiently produce ethanol has prompted its use for the production of biofuels, and as a production host for the biosynthesis of other bulk and fine biochemicals (Nevoigt, 2008).

Despite its obvious advantages, S. cerevisiae has several drawbacks that hinder its use in lignocellulose-based processes; these include its dependence on previous chemical or enzymatic steps in order to break down the plant cell wall biomass to monosaccharides, and also its inability to metabolize pentoses such as xylose (la Grange et al., 2010). Despite the development of S. cerevisiae strains capable of fermenting xylose (Bettiga et al., 2009), which has allowed extensive work on increasing tolerance to inhibitors present in lignocellulose (Koppram et al., 2012) and on optimizing the fermentation process from lignocellulosic materials (Koppram et al., 2013), these bottlenecks have also encouraged the development of microorganisms that can use plant biomass, saccharify the polymers, and ferment the product of interest in a consolidated bioprocess (Lynd et al., 1996). This can be based either on the engineering of microorganisms that are naturally capable of breaking down biomass in order to improve the efficiency of the production step, or on the engineering of non-cellulolytic microorganisms, but with a high yield for the product of interest, in order to acquire the ability to extract the sugars from lignocellulosic biomass (la Grange et al., 2010). Despite the progress achieved in both of these strategies, outlined in recent reviews (la Grange et al., 2010; den Haan et al., 2015), there are no such strains available today that could make the consolidated bioprocessing system advantageous on an industrial scale.

*Fusarium oxysporum* is a filamentous fungus. It is one of the few fungal species reported to ferment plant carbohydrate polymers to ethanol in a one-step process (Christakopoulos et al., 1989; Singh and Kumar, 1991; Anasontzis et al., 2011). It can produce a range of plant cell wall degrading enzymes (Christakopoulos et al., 1996a,c, 1997) and ferment D-glucose, D-xylose, cellulose, and hemicellulose to ethanol. Compared to yeasts, its main disadvantage is the low conversion rate (Singh and Kumar, 1991).

The strain F3 of F. oxysporum was selected for its high cellulase production, and it has been extensively studied (Christakopoulos et al., 1989; Panagiotou et al., 2005a,b,c, 2008). The accumulation by F. oxysporum F3 of high levels of glucose-1,6-phosphate at the end of both the aerobic and the anaerobic phases, when glucose was used as carbon source, was attributed to what was believed to be a low phosphoglucomutase activity, which was consequently restricting the metabolism of glucose toward cell wall biosynthesis (Panagiotou et al., 2005c), glycolysis, and ethanol production. This enabled us to study this enzyme further and to show that it follows the ping-pong mechanism for the conversion of glucose-1-P to glucose-6-P and vice versa (Kourtoglou et al., 2011). Also, the accumulation of sedoheptulose-7-P when the microorganism was grown in glucose-xylose mixtures under oxygen-limiting conditions, and of erythrose-4-P during xylose consumption (Panagiotou et al., 2005b), indicated a second bottleneck in the F. oxysporum metabolism. Fan et al. reported the effect on F. oxysporum of the overexpression of transaldolase genes from Pichia stipitis and S. cerevisiae, which increased both xylose consumption and ethanol production when either glucose or xylose was used as carbon source (Fan et al., 2011a,b) in shake flask batch cultures.

We had previously investigated the effect of overproduction of these two enzymes on the metabolism of F. oxysporum under aerobic conditions. Through a double transformation using customized vectors providing hygromycin resistance, we had generated a number of strains that constitutively overexpressed the phosphoglucomutase and/or the transaldolase gene under the regulation of the gpdA promoter of Aspergillus nidulans. Among these strains, FF11 produced the highest amounts of both enzymes. Thus, we compared FF11 and the parental strain F3 in order to evaluate the effect of the modification on the growth characteristics of the microorganism. We found that the applied modification increased the growth rate and the fungal biomass yield when glucose was used as carbon source. We also detected changes in the metabolome that indicated a facilitation of the channeling of the sugars through the glycolysis and pentose phosphate metabolism, such as the accumulation of glutamate as a possible response to the high NADPH availability from higher flux through the pentose phosphate pathway (Anasontzis et al., 2014a).

In this study, we evaluated the effect of the constitutive production of phosphoglucomutase and tranlsaldolase on ethanol productivity when glucose was used as carbon source. Thus, we compared the parental strain F3 with the genetically modified FF11 under anaerobic conditions. We showed that the latter outperformed F3 in terms of ethanol production, glucose consumption and by-product generation. We also used metabolomics tools, in an attempt to understand if the metabolome profile indicates a continuously high NADPH and NADH availability which resulted in improved fermentation characteristics.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

### **Microorganisms and Media**

*Fusarium oxysporum* wild type F3 (Christakopoulos et al., 1989) was maintained on PDA plates (Potato Dextrose Agar; Applichem, Germany) and recombinant FF11 (Anasontzis et al., 2014a) was maintained on PDA plates supplemented with 50 mg  $L^{-1}$  hygromycin at 4°C, following growth for 4 days at 25°C.

The basal medium for growth in bioreactors and for metabolome analysis had the following composition (in  $g'L^{-1}$ ): NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O, 7; Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O, 9.5; KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 1; (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, 10; MgSO<sub>4</sub>.7H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.3; CaCl<sub>2</sub>.2H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.3 (Christakopoulos et al., 1996b).

Precultures were grown for 24 h in 500-mL Erlenmeyer flasks (100 mL working volume) using the basal medium supplemented with glucose at 20 g  $L^{-1}$  as carbon source. The whole preculture was used to inoculate a 2-L stirred tank bioreactor (BioFlo310, Benchtop Fermenter/Bioreactor; New Brunswick Scientific GmbH, Germany) with a working volume of 1.5 L and at a final concentration of 7% (v/v), under aerobic conditions as previously described (Anasontzis et al., 2014a). After the end of the aerobic phase, which corresponded to glucose depletion, the air supply was stopped and 60 g of sterilized glucose was added.

## **Genetic Modification**

Genetic modification of F. oxysporum F3 was performed as previously described (Kourtoglou et al., 2011; Anasontzis et al., 2014a). In brief, the genes encoding for translaldolase and phosphoglucomutase-tal and pgm- were isolated from the genomic DNA of F. oxysporum with PCR and appropriately designed primers. The overexpression vectors were constructed by substituting the xyl2 gene from pBlXyl (Anasontzis et al., 2011) with the PCR fragments of the two genes. pBLXyl had the gpdA promoter upstream and the trpC terminator of A. nidulans downstream the xyl2. The hygromycin resistance gene was isolated from the plasmid pCSN44 and ligated to the plasmids, after digestion with XhoI. The resulting plasmids pBITAL-hyg (Genebank accession JF756587) and pBLPGM-hyg (Anasontzis et al., 2011; Kourtoglou et al., 2011) were then used for the co-transformation of F. oxysporum F3. Protoplast preparation and transformation were performed as previously described (Kourtoglou et al., 2011). Integration of the genes in the genome was confirmed with PCR and Southern analysis, while gene expression was evaluated with northern analysis. The successful overproduction of the two enzymes was verified with enzymatic assays. The transformant FF11, which had incorporated both inserts and overproduced both enzymes, was selected for this study.

# **Cell Density and Glucose Concentration**

Cell density was calculated based on dry cell weight (DCW). To determine the glucose concentration in the culture medium, samples were first centrifuged at 4°C and 10,000 × g. The supernatant was then analyzed by HPLC, on a Jasco (PU-987) HPLC system equipped with an ion-moderated partition chromatography column (Aminex HPX-87H; Bio-Rad), and a Waters 410 refractive index detector. The mobile phase (5 mM H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) was 0.6 mL·min<sup>-1</sup> and the temperature 45°C. All measurements are the result of technical duplicates from two identical fermentations (biological duplicates).

# Quenching and Sample Metabolite Analysis

Sample quenching and derivatization, and the subsequent analyses of amino and non-amino organic acids were performed as previously described (Smart et al., 2010; Anasontzis et al., 2014a). In brief, triplicate 50-mL samples from the cultures were obtained at the last sampling point of the anaerobic phase (139.5 h for FF11 and 124 h for F3), either just before depletion of the carbon source or after the culture had shifted to the stationary phase.

The samples were filtered under vacuum to separate the fungal biomass from the culture medium. The mycelium was then washed with saline solution at 4°C and guenched with cold methanol-water (1:1 v/v) at  $-30^{\circ}$ C. From each filtrate, 1 mL was sampled and supplemented with 0.2 µmol 2,3,3,3-d4-alanine as internal standard, and stored at -80°C for the extracellular metabolite analysis. From the quenched mycelium, cold methanol-water freeze and thaw cycles guenched the intracellular metabolites. Alanine was also added as internal standard before the extraction. Both quenched culture medium and the extracted intracellular metabolites from the mycelium were freeze-dried using a BenchTop K manifold freeze dryer (VirTis) before chemical derivatization. The derivatization of the freeze-dried samples was performed with the methyl chloroformate method (MCF), and the derivatives were analyzed in an Agilent GC7890 system coupled to a MSD5975 mass selective detector and a ZB-1701 GC capillary column  $(30 \text{ m} \times 250 \,\mu\text{m} \text{ internal diameter} \times 0.15 \,\mu\text{m} \text{ with 5-m guard})$ column; Phenomenex) as previously described (Smart et al., 2010). Metabolomic data analysis was performed as previously described (Smart et al., 2010; Anasontzis et al., 2014a). The deconvolution of GC-MS chromatograms and the identification of metabolites was performed with the software AMDIS using an in-house MCF mass spectra library. Both MS spectrum and its respective retention time were used for metabolite identification. The relative abundance of identified metabolites was determined with ChemStation (Agilent) by using the GC base-peak value of a selected reference ion, normalized by the initial biomass of each sample as well by the internal standard concentration (2,3,3,3-d<sub>4</sub>alanine). Samples were prepared in triplicates from two identical bioreactors for each strain.

## RESULTS

Based on the hypothesis that the overproduction of phosphoglucomutase and transaldolase could help bypass the

assumed bottleneck (Panagiotou et al., 2005c) in the metabolism of *F. oxysporum*, we previously described the expression of the *pgm* and *tal* genes under the regulation of the constitutive promoter of *gpdA* of *Aspergillus nidulans*. Strain FF11, which constitutively produced both enzymes, was selected for further evaluation. We reported how the overproduction of these two enzymes affected the metabolic channeling and the growth of the microorganism under aerobic conditions. A higher specific growth rate and a higher abundance for the majority of the detected amino and non-amino organic acids was observed at the end of the aerobic phase—when glucose was fully consumed or growth reached a plateau (Anasontzis et al., 2014b).

Considering the perspective of using *F. oxysporum* for ethanol production from lignocellulose in a consolidated bioprocess, we evaluated the effect of constitutive expression of the respective genes on ethanol productivity using glucose as the sole carbon source.

The recombinant strain, FF11, showed significantly higher ethanol yield than the wild type, and in parallel, a lower yield of acetic acid (**Table 1**). FF11 produced more than 20 g L<sup>-1</sup> of ethanol after 139 h of anaerobic fermentation, while the wild type seemed to decrease its glucose consumption rate at around 120 h, with an ethanol concentration of less than 10 g L<sup>-1</sup>. Another interesting point was the lower production of acetate by the recombinant strain compared to the wild type one; acetate is a common by-product of fermentation, and was also detected as a major by-product in the case of the wild type (**Figure 1**). Ethanol productivity was also higher for FF11 compared to F3 (0.146 and 0.080 g L<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>, respectively), as was the glucose consumption rate (0.290 and 0.223 g L<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>).

In order to further investigate the metabolic state of the two strains during the anaerobic stage of the culture, to identify possible bottlenecks, and to understand if the more efficient redox balance achieved by the recombinant strain during the aerobic phase was retained also under anaerobic conditions, we performed metabolomics analysis of amino and non-amino acid metabolites at the final sampling point of each fermentation—when glucose consumption reached a plateau for strain F3 and when glucose was practically depleted

TABLE 1   Yield of ethanol and acetic acid.					
	F3	FF11			
g PER g OF GLUCOSE C	ONSUMED				
YSE	0.360	0.504			
YSAc	0.252	0.040			
Per cent EtOH	69.9%	98.1%			
g PER g OF INITIAL GLU	COSE				
YSE	0.232	0.492			
YSAc	0.162	0.039			
Per cent EtOH	45.1%	95.7%			

YSE, ethanol yield over consumed and initial glucose; YSAc, acetic acid yield over consumed and initial glucose; Per cent EtOH, percentage of ethanol over the theoretical yield of 0.51 g ethanol per g of glucose.

for strain FF11. The sampling point was selected in order to obtain comparable results with our previous work, when metabolomics analysis was performed at the end of the aerobic phase, and to allow accumulation of metabolites in detectable and significant levels (Anasontzis et al., 2014a). The results of the comparison between the two strains under anaerobic conditions are presented in Figure 2. It is evident that the citric acid cycle was more active in the recombinant strain, at least after the y-aminobutyric acid (GABA) shunt. Higher concentrations of lactic acid, nicotinic acid, and citramalate were also observed. Itaconic acid levels were higher for the recombinant strain only in the extracellular samples, although still low as absolute concentrations. Several amino acids had lower concentrations in FF11 compared to the wild type strain. Table 2 shows the average values of the intracellular and extracellular metabolites under anaerobic conditions for both strains.

### DISCUSSION

### **Ethanol Productivity**

Our results show an increase in ethanol productivity in the recombinant strain. This indicates that the genetic modification performed was in the right direction, as it has improved the yield of the main product while reducing that of acetic acid, the main by-product. Phosphoglucomutase overexpression would be expected to increase the channeling of glucose to pyruvate and then to ethanol and acetic acid. However, it is not clear why acetaldehyde catabolism shifts toward ethanol instead of acetic acid. An explanation could be that due to the constitutive production of transaldolase and the more active PPP, the NADPH recycling is more efficient and therefore less acetic acid production is required to regenerate NADPH through the NADP-dependent acetaldehyde dehydrogenase (E.C. 1.2.1.3). The higher concentration of lactic acid in the recombinant strain confirms this, as lactic acid production regenerates NAD+ through the action of lactic acid dehydrogenase.

Despite the very high ethanol yield and the improvement in the ethanol productivity (Table 1), F. oxysporum still cannot compete with other microorganisms in terms of productivity and final ethanol concentration. As Table 3 shows, both these values from strains F3 and FF11 are still much lower in comparison to values in the literature. For example, Rhizopus oryzae has been reported to have an ethanol productivity of 1.87 g  $L^{-1}$  $h^{-1}$  (Millati et al., 2005). The maximum ethanol concentration was also very low compared to values achieved routinely with S. cerevisiae in pure or mixed substrates (Saitoh et al., 2010). Nevertheless, FF11 gave among the highest yields of glucose to ethanol, higher than 98% of the theoretical value. In a similar study, Fan et al. demonstrated the effect of the expression of a transaldolase gene from P. stipitis in ethanol production in flask cultures (Fan et al., 2011a). Although the experimental set-up was different, and parameters such as productivity and yield are not readily comparable, extrapolation of their results indicates an improvement in ethanol yields. We observed even greater improvement, which could be attributed to simultaneous overproduction of both transaldolase and phosphoglucomutase.



## **Metabolite Concentrations**

The relative levels of tryptophan, tyrosine, phenylalanine, and nicotinic acid for FF11, between the aerobic phase (Anasontzis et al., 2014a) and the anaerobic phase, showed no significant variation. For the wild type, though, all three of these amino acids

showed higher levels in the anaerobic phase than in the aerobic one. It seems that in the wild type, their production is induced under anaerobic conditions. However, in the recombinant strain, there appears to be a constant need for their synthesis, indicating that the modifications have increased the metabolic flow toward



their production in both culture regimes. The lack of detection of phosphoenolpuryvate under anaerobic conditions supports this idea, and it suggests increased channeling toward either the TCA cycle or the chorismate pathway. Although nicotinic acid levels are constant in the recombinant strain, they are lower in the wild type under anaerobic conditions.

For *S. cerevisiae*, it has been reported that under aerobic conditions nicotinic acid is synthesized from tryptophan, while under anaerobic conditions it appears to be produced from aspartate and glutamate (Ahmad and Moat, 1966). Strain F3 concentrations of nicotinic acid, glutamate, and aspartate drop during the anaerobic phase, an observation that indicates a similar mechanism of regulation. However, for the recombinant strain, although aspartate and glutamate also decrease under anaerobic conditions, nicotinic acid is only slightly affected. It is possible that the constitutive expression of the two genes has led to the partial retainment of the aerobic nicotinic acid is a precursor of NAD synthesis; however, it is not clear if the higher concentrations of nicotinic acid in the recombinant strain are related to the availability of the different forms of NAD.

The levels of all following amino acids-serine, glycine, alanine, leucine, threonine, lysine, glutamine, and prolineincreased in the recombinant strain under aerobic conditions (Anasontzis et al., 2014a), but were relatively lower under anaerobic conditions. This could be loosely related to the protein production levels under the latter conditions. If the excessive production of fumarate during both phases in the recombinant strain acts as the electron acceptor during protein production, as has been previously suggested for S. cerevisiae (Liu et al., 2015), the intracellular concentrations of at least several of the protein building blocks could be expected to be lower, as they are more readily consumed. Nevertheless, under aerobic conditions the recombinant strain has higher intracellular levels of these amino acids, but whether this is a result of an increase in their production rate or a decrease in their consumption rate remains unclear with the current experimental set-up. The different relative levels of other amino acids such as valine, aspartate, asparagine, histidine, and glutamate further complicate the picture.

Apart from fumarate, other metabolites of the TCA cycle that are linked to the GABA shunt showed higher concentrations

TABLE 2 | Amino acid and non-amino acid intracellular and extracellular metabolites detected at the end of the anaerobic phase, for the two strains.

Intracellular   Extracellular     F3   FF11   F3   FF11     4-amino-n-butyrate (GABA)   1.0   66.1   7.4   10.1     Alanine   296.9   163.6   84.4   102.9     Asparagine   13.5   52.3   0.0   0.0     Asparate   56.4   65.5   3.2   1.0     Cis-aconitate   19.0   12.3   0.3   0.3     Citramalate   0.0   0.6   6.6   3.8     Citrate   268.6   150.9   3.9   3.5     Cystathionine   1.0   1.0   0.0   0.0     Fumarate   18.2   45.7   2.2   17.3     Glutamate   75.4   71.7   1.8   2.4     Glutamine   3.3   1.9   0.0   0.0     Glutathione   9.6   6.6   0.6   0.3     Glycine   235.7   163.6   5.9   26.2     Histidine   19.8   14.1   0.0   0.0 <th></th> <th colspan="4">Anaerobic</th>		Anaerobic			
F3   FF11   F3   FF11     4-amino-n-butyrate (GABA)   1.0   66.1   7.4   10.1     Alanine   296.9   163.6   84.4   102.9     Asparagine   13.5   52.3   0.0   0.0     Aspartate   56.4   65.5   3.2   1.0     Cis-aconitate   19.0   12.3   0.3   0.3     Citramalate   0.0   0.6   0.6   3.8     Citrate   268.6   150.9   3.9   3.5     Cystathionine   1.0   1.0   0.0   0.0     Fumarate   18.2   45.7   2.2   17.3     Glutamate   75.4   71.7   1.8   2.4     Glutamine   3.3   1.9   0.0   0.0     Iduamine   9.6   6.6   0.6   0.3     Glycine   235.7   163.6   5.9   26.2     Histidine   19.8   14.1   0.0   0.0     Lecoine   9.6   6.6<		Intrace	llular	Extrace	ellular
4-amino-n-butyrate (GABA)   1.0   66.1   7.4   10.1     Alanine   296.9   163.6   84.4   102.9     Asparagine   13.5   52.3   0.0   0.0     Aspartate   56.4   65.5   3.2   1.0     Cis-aconitate   19.0   12.3   0.3   0.3     Citramalate   0.0   0.6   0.6   3.8     Citrate   268.6   150.9   3.9   3.5     Cystathionine   1.0   1.0   0.0   0.0     Fumarate   18.2   45.7   2.2   17.3     Glutamate   75.4   71.7   1.8   2.4     Glutamine   3.3   1.9   0.0   0.0     Glutathione   9.6   6.6   0.6   0.3     Glycine   235.7   163.6   5.9   26.2     Histidine   19.8   14.1   0.0   0.0     Leconate   8.3   7.2   0.0   0.6     L-2-amino-adipate <th></th> <th>F3</th> <th>FF11</th> <th>F3</th> <th>FF11</th>		F3	FF11	F3	FF11
Alanine 296.9 163.6 84.4 102.9   Asparagine 13.5 52.3 0.0 0.0   Aspartate 56.4 65.5 3.2 1.0   Cis-aconitate 19.0 12.3 0.3 0.3   Citramalate 0.0 0.6 0.6 3.8   Citrate 268.6 150.9 3.9 3.5   Cystathionine 1.0 1.0 0.0 0.0   Fumarate 18.2 45.7 2.2 17.3   Glutamate 75.4 71.7 1.8 2.4   Glutamine 3.3 1.9 0.0 0.0   Glutathione 9.6 6.6 0.6 0.3   Glycine 235.7 163.6 5.9 26.2   Histidine 19.8 14.1 0.0 0.0   Itaconate 8.3 7.2 0.0 0.6   L-2-amino-adipate 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0   Locine 97.0 46.3 8.5 0.5   Lysine 43.6 26.4	4-amino-n-butyrate (GABA)	1.0	66.1	7.4	10.1
Asparagine   13.5   52.3   0.0   0.0     Aspartate   56.4   65.5   3.2   1.0     Cis-aconitate   19.0   12.3   0.3   0.3     Citramalate   0.0   0.6   0.6   3.8     Citrate   268.6   150.9   3.9   3.5     Cystathionine   1.0   1.0   0.0   0.0     Fumarate   18.2   45.7   2.2   17.3     Glutamate   75.4   71.7   1.8   2.4     Glutamine   3.3   1.9   0.0   0.0     Glutathione   9.6   6.6   0.6   0.3     Glycine   235.7   163.6   5.9   26.2     Histidine   19.8   14.1   0.0   0.0     Itaconate   8.3   7.2   0.0   0.6     L-2-amino-adipate   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0     Lactic acid   41.5   82.5   9.3   84.2     Leucine   97.0<	Alanine	296.9	163.6	84.4	102.9
Aspartate56.465.53.21.0Cis-aconitate19.012.30.30.3Citramalate0.00.60.63.8Citrate268.6150.93.93.5Cystathionine1.01.00.00.0Fumarate18.245.72.217.3Glutamate75.471.71.82.4Glutamine3.31.90.00.0Glutathione9.66.60.60.3Glycine235.7163.65.926.2Histidine19.814.10.00.0Itaconate8.37.20.00.6L-2-amino-adipate0.00.00.00.0Locine97.046.38.50.5Lysine43.626.41.10.1Malate17.976.61.311.6Myristate3.73.10.30.2Ornithine73.98.82.20.7Phenylalanine24.815.82.10.5Phosphenolpyruvate1.10.00.00.0Pyruvate <i>n.d.n.d.</i> 0.00.0Pyruvate <i>n.d.n.d.</i> 0.00.0Serine88.957.70.20.2Succinate39.358.815.366.6	Asparagine	13.5	52.3	0.0	0.0
Cis-aconitate   19.0   12.3   0.3   0.3     Citramalate   0.0   0.6   0.6   3.8     Citrate   268.6   150.9   3.9   3.5     Cystathionine   1.0   1.0   0.0   0.0     Fumarate   18.2   45.7   2.2   17.3     Glutamate   75.4   71.7   1.8   2.4     Glutamine   3.3   1.9   0.0   0.0     Glutathione   9.6   6.6   0.6   0.3     Glycine   235.7   163.6   5.9   26.2     Histidine   19.8   14.1   0.0   0.0     Itaconate   8.3   7.2   0.0   0.6     L-2-amino-adipate   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0     Lactic acid   41.5   82.5   9.3   84.2     Leucine   97.0   46.3   8.5   0.5     Lysine   43.6   26.4   1.1   0.1     Myristate   3.7	Aspartate	56.4	65.5	3.2	1.0
Citramalate   0.0   0.6   0.6   3.8     Citrate   268.6   150.9   3.9   3.5     Cystathionine   1.0   1.0   0.0   0.0     Fumarate   18.2   45.7   2.2   17.3     Glutamate   75.4   71.7   1.8   2.4     Glutamine   3.3   1.9   0.0   0.0     Glutathione   9.6   6.6   0.6   0.3     Glycine   235.7   163.6   5.9   26.2     Histidine   19.8   14.1   0.0   0.0     Itaconate   8.3   7.2   0.0   0.6     L-2-amino-adipate   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0     Lactic acid   41.5   82.5   9.3   84.2     Leucine   97.0   46.3   8.5   0.5     Lysine   43.6   26.4   1.1   0.1     Malate   17.9   76.6   1.3   11.6     N-acetyl-L-glutamate   1.2<	Cis-aconitate	19.0	12.3	0.3	0.3
Citrate   268.6   150.9   3.9   3.5     Cystathionine   1.0   1.0   0.0   0.0     Fumarate   18.2   45.7   2.2   17.3     Glutamate   75.4   71.7   1.8   2.4     Glutamine   3.3   1.9   0.0   0.0     Glutathione   9.6   6.6   0.6   0.3     Glycine   235.7   163.6   5.9   26.2     Histidine   19.8   14.1   0.0   0.0     Itaconate   8.3   7.2   0.0   0.6     L-2-amino-adipate   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0     Lactic acid   41.5   82.5   9.3   84.2     Leucine   97.0   46.3   8.5   0.5     Lysine   43.6   26.4   1.1   0.1     Malate   17.9   76.6   1.3   11.6     Myristate   3.7   3.1   0.3   0.3     Nicotinate   1.7	Citramalate	0.0	0.6	0.6	3.8
Cystathionine   1.0   1.0   0.0   0.0     Fumarate   18.2   45.7   2.2   17.3     Glutamate   75.4   71.7   1.8   2.4     Glutamine   3.3   1.9   0.0   0.0     Glutamine   9.6   6.6   0.6   0.3     Glycine   235.7   163.6   5.9   26.2     Histidine   19.8   14.1   0.0   0.0     Itaconate   8.3   7.2   0.0   0.6     L-2-amino-adipate   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0     Lactic acid   41.5   82.5   9.3   84.2     Leucine   97.0   46.3   8.5   0.5     Lysine   43.6   26.4   1.1   0.1     Malate   17.9   76.6   1.3   11.6     Myristate   3.7   3.1   0.3   0.3     Nicotinate   1.7   4.1   0.0   0.2     Ornithine   73.9 <t< td=""><td>Citrate</td><td>268.6</td><td>150.9</td><td>3.9</td><td>3.5</td></t<>	Citrate	268.6	150.9	3.9	3.5
Fumarate18.245.72.217.3Glutamate75.471.71.82.4Glutamine3.31.90.00.0Glutathione9.66.60.60.3Glycine235.7163.65.926.2Histidine19.814.10.00.0Itaconate8.37.20.00.6L-2-amino-adipate0.00.00.00.0Lactic acid41.582.59.384.2Leucine97.046.38.50.5Lysine43.626.41.10.1Malate17.976.61.311.6Nyristate3.73.10.31.6N-acetyl-L-glutamate10.20.50.30.3Nicotinate1.74.10.00.0Phosphenolpyruvate1.10.00.00.0Proline279.514.331.84.8Pyroglutamate82.531.30.00.0Serine88.957.70.20.2Succinate39.358.815.366.6	Cystathionine	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0
Glutamate   75.4   71.7   1.8   2.4     Glutamine   3.3   1.9   0.0   0.0     Glutathione   9.6   6.6   0.6   0.3     Glycine   235.7   163.6   5.9   26.2     Histidine   19.8   14.1   0.0   0.0     Itaconate   8.3   7.2   0.0   0.6     L-2-amino-adipate   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0     Lactic acid   41.5   82.5   9.3   84.2     Leucine   97.0   46.3   8.5   0.5     Lysine   43.6   26.4   1.1   0.1     Malate   17.9   76.6   1.3   11.6     Nyristate   3.7   3.1   0.3   0.3     Nicotinate   1.7   4.1   0.0   0.2     Ornithine   73.9   8.8   2.2   0.7     Phenylalanine   24.8   15.8   2.1   0.5     Phosphenolpyruvate   1.1	Fumarate	18.2	45.7	2.2	17.3
Glutamine   3.3   1.9   0.0   0.0     Glutathione   9.6   6.6   0.6   0.3     Glycine   235.7   163.6   5.9   26.2     Histidine   19.8   14.1   0.0   0.0     Itaconate   8.3   7.2   0.0   0.6     L-2-amino-adipate   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0     Lactic acid   41.5   82.5   9.3   84.2     Leucine   97.0   46.3   8.5   0.5     Lysine   43.6   26.4   1.1   0.1     Malate   17.9   76.6   1.3   11.6     N-acetyl-L-glutamate   10.2   0.5   0.3   0.3     Nicotinate   1.7   4.1   0.0   0.2     Ornithine   73.9   8.8   2.2   0.7     Phenylalanine   24.8   15.8   2.1   0.5     Phosphenolpyruvate   1.1   0.0   0.0   0.0     Pyroglutamate	Glutamate	75.4	71.7	1.8	2.4
Glutathione   9.6   6.6   0.6   0.3     Glycine   235.7   163.6   5.9   26.2     Histidine   19.8   14.1   0.0   0.0     Itaconate   8.3   7.2   0.0   0.6     L-2-amino-adipate   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0     Lactic acid   41.5   82.5   9.3   84.2     Leucine   97.0   46.3   8.5   0.5     Lysine   43.6   26.4   1.1   0.1     Malate   17.9   76.6   1.3   11.6     N-acetyl-L-glutamate   10.2   0.5   0.3   0.3     Nicotinate   1.7   4.1   0.0   0.2     Ornithine   73.9   8.8   2.2   0.7     Phenylalanine   24.8   15.8   2.1   0.5     Phosphenolpyruvate   1.1   0.0   0.0   0.0     Pyroglutamate   82.5   31.3   0.0   0.0     Pyruvate	Glutamine	3.3	1.9	0.0	0.0
Glycine   235.7   163.6   5.9   26.2     Histidine   19.8   14.1   0.0   0.0     Itaconate   8.3   7.2   0.0   0.6     L-2-amino-adipate   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0     Lactic acid   41.5   82.5   9.3   84.2     Leucine   97.0   46.3   8.5   0.5     Lysine   43.6   26.4   1.1   0.1     Malate   17.9   76.6   1.3   11.6     Myristate   3.7   3.1   0.3   1.6     N-acetyl-L-glutamate   10.2   0.5   0.3   0.3     Nicotinate   1.7   4.1   0.0   0.2     Ornithine   73.9   8.8   2.2   0.7     Phenylalanine   24.8   15.8   2.1   0.5     Phosphenolpyruvate   1.1   0.0   0.0   0.0     Pyroglutamate   82.5   31.3   0.0   0.0     Serine   <	Glutathione	9.6	6.6	0.6	0.3
Histidine19.814.10.00.0Itaconate8.37.20.00.6L-2-amino-adipate0.00.00.00.0Lactic acid41.582.59.384.2Leucine97.046.38.50.5Lysine43.626.41.10.1Malate17.976.61.311.6Myristate3.73.10.31.6N-acetyl-L-glutamate10.20.50.30.3Nicotinate1.74.10.00.2Ornithine73.98.82.20.7Phenylalanine24.815.82.10.5Pyroglutamate82.531.30.00.0Pyroglutamate82.531.30.00.0Pyruvaten.d.n.d.0.00.0Serine88.957.70.20.2Succinate39.358.815.366.6	Glycine	235.7	163.6	5.9	26.2
Itaconate   8.3   7.2   0.0   0.6     L-2-amino-adipate   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0     Lactic acid   41.5   82.5   9.3   84.2     Leucine   97.0   46.3   8.5   0.5     Lysine   43.6   26.4   1.1   0.1     Malate   17.9   76.6   1.3   11.6     Myristate   3.7   3.1   0.3   1.6     N-acetyl-L-glutamate   10.2   0.5   0.3   0.3     Nicotinate   1.7   4.1   0.0   0.2     Ornithine   73.9   8.8   2.2   0.7     Phenylalanine   24.8   15.8   2.1   0.5     Phosphenolpyruvate   1.1   0.0   0.0   0.0     Proline   279.5   14.3   31.8   4.8     Pyroglutamate   82.5   31.3   0.0   0.0     Serine   88.9   57.7   0.2   0.2     Succinate <t< td=""><td>Histidine</td><td>19.8</td><td>14.1</td><td>0.0</td><td>0.0</td></t<>	Histidine	19.8	14.1	0.0	0.0
L-2-amino-adipate   0.0   0.0   0.0   0.0     Lactic acid   41.5   82.5   9.3   84.2     Leucine   97.0   46.3   8.5   0.5     Lysine   43.6   26.4   1.1   0.1     Malate   17.9   76.6   1.3   11.6     Myristate   3.7   3.1   0.3   1.6     N-acetyl-L-glutamate   10.2   0.5   0.3   0.3     Nicotinate   1.7   4.1   0.0   0.2     Ornithine   73.9   8.8   2.2   0.7     Phenylalanine   24.8   15.8   2.1   0.5     Phosphenolpyruvate   1.1   0.0   0.0   0.0     Proline   279.5   14.3   31.8   4.8     Pyroglutamate   82.5   31.3   0.0   0.0     Serine   88.9   57.7   0.2   0.2     Succinate   39.3   58.8   15.3   66.6	Itaconate	8.3	7.2	0.0	0.6
Lactic acid   41.5   82.5   9.3   84.2     Leucine   97.0   46.3   8.5   0.5     Lysine   43.6   26.4   1.1   0.1     Malate   17.9   76.6   1.3   11.6     Myristate   3.7   3.1   0.3   1.6     N-acetyl-L-glutamate   10.2   0.5   0.3   0.3     Nicotinate   1.7   4.1   0.0   0.2     Ornithine   73.9   8.8   2.2   0.7     Phenylalanine   24.8   15.8   2.1   0.5     Phosphenolpyruvate   1.1   0.0   0.0   0.0     Proline   279.5   14.3   31.8   4.8     Pyroglutamate   82.5   31.3   0.0   0.0     Pyruvate   n.d.   n.d.   0.0   0.0     Serine   88.9   57.7   0.2   0.2     Succinate   39.3   58.8   15.3   66.6	L-2-amino-adipate	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Leucine   97.0   46.3   8.5   0.5     Lysine   43.6   26.4   1.1   0.1     Malate   17.9   76.6   1.3   11.6     Myristate   3.7   3.1   0.3   1.6     N-acetyl-L-glutamate   10.2   0.5   0.3   0.3     Nicotinate   1.7   4.1   0.0   0.2     Ornithine   73.9   8.8   2.2   0.7     Phenylalanine   24.8   15.8   2.1   0.5     Phosphenolpyruvate   1.1   0.0   0.0   0.0     Proline   279.5   14.3   31.8   4.8     Pyroglutamate   82.5   31.3   0.0   0.0     Serine   88.9   57.7   0.2   0.2     Succinate   39.3   58.8   15.3   66.6	Lactic acid	41.5	82.5	9.3	84.2
Lysine43.626.41.10.1Malate17.976.61.311.6Myristate3.73.10.31.6N-acetyl-L-glutamate10.20.50.30.3Nicotinate1.74.10.00.2Ornithine73.98.82.20.7Phenylalanine24.815.82.10.5Prosphenolpyruvate1.10.00.00.0Proline279.514.331.84.8Pyroglutamate82.531.30.00.0Serine88.957.70.20.2Succinate39.358.815.366.6	Leucine	97.0	46.3	8.5	0.5
Malate   17.9   76.6   1.3   11.6     Myristate   3.7   3.1   0.3   1.6     N-acetyl-L-glutamate   10.2   0.5   0.3   0.3     Nicotinate   1.7   4.1   0.0   0.2     Ornithine   73.9   8.8   2.2   0.7     Phenylalanine   24.8   15.8   2.1   0.5     Phosphenolpyruvate   1.1   0.0   0.0   0.0     Proline   279.5   14.3   31.8   4.8     Pyroglutamate   82.5   31.3   0.0   0.0     Serine   88.9   57.7   0.2   0.2     Succinate   39.3   58.8   15.3   66.6	Lysine	43.6	26.4	1.1	0.1
Myristate   3.7   3.1   0.3   1.6     N-acetyl-L-glutamate   10.2   0.5   0.3   0.3     Nicotinate   1.7   4.1   0.0   0.2     Ornithine   73.9   8.8   2.2   0.7     Phenylalanine   24.8   15.8   2.1   0.5     Phosphenolpyruvate   1.1   0.0   0.0   0.0     Proline   279.5   14.3   31.8   4.8     Pyroglutamate   82.5   31.3   0.0   0.0     Serine   88.9   57.7   0.2   0.2     Succinate   39.3   58.8   15.3   66.6	Malate	17.9	76.6	1.3	11.6
N-acetyl-L-glutamate   10.2   0.5   0.3   0.3     Nicotinate   1.7   4.1   0.0   0.2     Ornithine   73.9   8.8   2.2   0.7     Phenylalanine   24.8   15.8   2.1   0.5     Phosphenolpyruvate   1.1   0.0   0.0   0.0     Proline   279.5   14.3   31.8   4.8     Pyroglutamate   82.5   31.3   0.0   0.0     Porturate <i>n.d. n.d.</i> 0.0   0.0     Succinate   39.3   58.8   15.3   66.6	Myristate	3.7	3.1	0.3	1.6
Nicotinate   1.7   4.1   0.0   0.2     Omithine   73.9   8.8   2.2   0.7     Phenylalanine   24.8   15.8   2.1   0.5     Phosphenolpyruvate   1.1   0.0   0.0   0.0     Proline   279.5   14.3   31.8   4.8     Pyroglutamate   82.5   31.3   0.0   0.0     Pyruvate   n.d.   n.d.   0.0   0.0     Serine   88.9   57.7   0.2   0.2     Succinate   39.3   58.8   15.3   66.6	N-acetyl-L-glutamate	10.2	0.5	0.3	0.3
Ornithine   73.9   8.8   2.2   0.7     Phenylalanine   24.8   15.8   2.1   0.5     Phosphenolpyruvate   1.1   0.0   0.0   0.0     Proline   279.5   14.3   31.8   4.8     Pyroglutamate   82.5   31.3   0.0   0.0     Pyruvate   n.d.   n.d.   0.0   0.0     Serine   88.9   57.7   0.2   0.2     Succinate   39.3   58.8   15.3   66.6	Nicotinate	1.7	4.1	0.0	0.2
Phenylalanine   24.8   15.8   2.1   0.5     Phosphenolpyruvate   1.1   0.0   0.0   0.0     Proline   279.5   14.3   31.8   4.8     Pyroglutamate   82.5   31.3   0.0   0.0     Pyruvate   n.d.   n.d.   0.0   0.0     Serine   88.9   57.7   0.2   0.2     Succinate   39.3   58.8   15.3   66.6	Ornithine	73.9	8.8	2.2	0.7
Phosphenolpyruvate   1.1   0.0   0.0   0.0     Proline   279.5   14.3   31.8   4.8     Pyroglutamate   82.5   31.3   0.0   0.0     Pyruvate   n.d.   n.d.   0.0   0.0     Serine   88.9   57.7   0.2   0.2     Succinate   39.3   58.8   15.3   66.6	Phenylalanine	24.8	15.8	2.1	0.5
Proline   279.5   14.3   31.8   4.8     Pyroglutamate   82.5   31.3   0.0   0.0     Pyruvate   n.d.   n.d.   0.0   0.0     Serine   88.9   57.7   0.2   0.2     Succinate   39.3   58.8   15.3   66.6	Phosphenolpyruvate	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Pyroglutamate   82.5   31.3   0.0   0.0     Pyruvate   n.d.   n.d.   0.0   0.0     Serine   88.9   57.7   0.2   0.2     Succinate   39.3   58.8   15.3   66.6	Proline	279.5	14.3	31.8	4.8
Pyruvate   n.d.   n.d.   0.0   0.0     Serine   88.9   57.7   0.2   0.2     Succinate   39.3   58.8   15.3   66.6	Pyroglutamate	82.5	31.3	0.0	0.0
Serine   88.9   57.7   0.2   0.2     Succinate   39.3   58.8   15.3   66.6	Pyruvate	n.d.	n.d.	0.0	0.0
Succinate 39.3 58.8 15.3 66.6	Serine	88.9	57.7	0.2	0.2
	Succinate	39.3	58.8	15.3	66.6
Threonine   88.9   57.7   0.8   0.7	Threonine	88.9	57.7	0.8	0.7
Tryptophan 23.3 20.5 0.0 0.0	Tryptophan	23.3	20.5	0.0	0.0
Tyrosine 25.7 27.8 1.2 0.2	Tyrosine	25.7	27.8	1.2	0.2
Valine 150.3 63.3 7.4 1.1	Valine	150.3	63.3	7.4	1.1

All values express peak areas normalized by the biomass concentration. Standard deviation lower than 5%.

in the recombinant strain under both aerobic and anaerobic conditions. It seems that this effect is restricted after the GABA shunt and it is not reflected on the glutamate—the precursor of GABA—, citrate, and *cis*-aconitate levels. On the other hand, the GABA shunt of the wild type appears to be completely deactivated under anaerobic conditions, while the TCA cycle remains active, albeit with reduced metabolite concentrations. It seems that under anaerobic conditions, there is a reduced need in the wild type for GABA production, a compound that

plays an important role in response to environmental stresses and especially to reactive oxygen stress (Mead et al., 2013), while the genetic modifications keep the GABA shunt active. The low production of acetic acid, which indicates no need for NADPH regeneration, may be associated with the active GABA shunt as such pathways become extremely important as sinks for excess NAD(P)H which occurs when the TCA cycle is inhibited (Streeter and Salminen, 1990).

An active TCA cycle under anaerobic conditions has also been reported in other microorganisms. In *Rhizopus arrhizus*, it has been suggested that both TCA and pyruvate carboxylation are active but in the opposite direction (Kenealy et al., 1986; Roa Engel et al., 2008). The higher concentrations of fumarate, succinate, malate and GABA in the recombinant strain FF11 propose a similar equilibrium, leading to the accumulation of GABA. The higher succinate production could contribute to the NAD<sup>+</sup> recycling, as it has been reported for *Bifidobacterium* sp. (Van der Meulen et al., 2006).

In order for the cell to regenerate its reductive potential, it is necessary to recycle the cofactors and maintain the balance of NAD+/NADH and NADP+/NADPH. This balance allows energy production and use of the substrate for compound synthesis (Roger et al., 2004). Both glycolysis and pentose phosphate pathway are two key metabolic pathways both present in even between distantly related microorganisms such as F. oxysporum (Panagiotou et al., 2005a) and lactic acid bacteria (Roger et al., 2004). Modification of the metabolic fluxes to increase or reduce the accumulation of a specific metabolite is often the target, but selection of the modification that will give the expected result is not always possible, as the effect can be unpredictable (Roger et al., 2004). The repression of an enzyme, such as the lactic acid dehydrogenase which also regenerates NAD<sup>+</sup> (Monnet et al., 2000) or the acetaldehyde dehydrogenase which regenerates NAD(P)H (Panagiotou et al., 2005b), could drive the metabolism to alternative pathways and equilibria with the same or unexpected results.

The overproduction of phosphoglucomutase and transaldolase was not aimed at disrupting the redox equilibrium of *F. oxysporum*, but instead to accelerate the metabolism at specific points, and eventually increase its ethanol productivity. Nevertheless, it seems that the redox equilibrium has indeed been affected in a beneficial way, with several metabolites supporting it under both aerobic (Anasontzis et al., 2014a) and anaerobic conditions.

Despite the positive effect of the constitutive production of phosphoglucomutase and transaldolase directly on ethanol productivity and indirectly on redox equilibrium, further improvements would be required to allow the large scale perspectives of *F. oxysporum* in biofuel production from lignocellulose. Other aspects, such as ethanol tolerance, also need to be addressed (Paschos et al., 2015). Screening for the selection of wild type strains that are more efficient ethanol producers and better understanding of the gene regulation and bottlenecks under ethanol producing conditions (Ali et al., 2012, 2013), further genetic modifications on selected pathways, evolutionary engineering, and novel bioprocessing set-ups, are necessary in order to achieve the production of ethanol above the limit of

Strain	Ethanol concentration (g $L^{-1}$ )	Yield (g ethanol per g of sugars consumed)	Ethanol productivity (g L <sup>–1.</sup> h <sup>–1</sup> )	References
F. oxysporum F3	9.9	0.36	0.081	This study
F. oxysporum F11	20.4	0.504	0.146	This study
F. oxysporum M209040-Tal2	8.5	~0.425	0.142	Fan et al., 2011a
F. oxysporum CCTCC M209040	7.5	~0.375	0.125	Fan et al., 2011a
Mucor indicus		0.46		Sues et al., 2005
<i>Saccharomyce</i> s 1400 (pLNH33)	~110	0.4845	2.4	Krishnan et al., 1999
Pichia stipitis P5-90-133- p5-200-16/Saccharomyces cerevisiae V30		0.35–0.45		Kordowska-Wiater and Targonski, 2002
Zymomonas mobilis MTCC 92	70.4	0.495	2	Kesava et al., 1995
Saccharomyces cerevisiae		0.428-0.459		Shafiei et al., 2010
Peniophora cinerea	8.1	0.41	0.021	Okamoto et al., 2010
Trametes suaveolens	7.7	0.39	0.017	Okamoto et al., 2010
Rhizopus oryzae A	20.5	0.41	1.87	Millati et al., 2005
Mucor corticolous	21.5	0.43	1.48	Millati et al., 2005
Mucor hiemalis	19.5	0.39	1.44	Millati et al., 2005
Mucor indicus	19.5	0.39	1.41	Millati et al., 2005
Saccharomyces cerevisiae	21	0.42	1.29	Millati et al., 2005

TABLE 3 | Ethanol productivity of different strains reported in the literature, using glucose as the carbon source.

5% (w/v) from lignocellulose (Anasontzis and Christakopoulos, 2014). Under the scope of this work, investigation and increase of the efficiency of alcohol dehydrogenase would help determine other major bottlenecks in ethanol production from *F. oxysporum*, while studies of the performance of the selected strain on lignocellulose material would also shed light on the limitations that might have been removed or added through this approach. Constitutive production of these enzymes might not be the most optimal modification; thus fine-tuning using different promoters could improve the growth and ethanol characteristics of the modified strains.

### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

GA contributed to the design of the work, performed the fermentations and the sampling for metabolome analysis, interpreted the data, and drafted the manuscript. EK performed the fermentations, sampling, and HPLC analyses, and also drafted the results. SV designed and performed the metabolomics

### REFERENCES

- Ahmad, F., and Moat, A. G. (1966). Nicotinic acid biosynthesis in prototrophs and tryptophan auxotrophs of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. J. Biol. Chem. 241, 775–780.
- Ali, S. S., Khan, M., Fagan, B., Mullins, E., and Doohan, F. M. (2012). Exploiting the inter-strain divergence of *Fusarium oxysporum* for microbial bioprocessing of lignocellulose to bioethanol. *AMB Express* 2:16. doi: 10.1186/2191-0855-2-16

analysis and revised the manuscript. DH contributed to the design of the study, interpretation of the data, and revision of the manuscript. PC conceived the study, contributed to the design and interpretation of the results, and revised the manuscript. All the authors have approved the final version to be published and have agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work.

### FUNDING

This work has been co-funded by the project PENED 2003 and the European Community's Seventh Framework Program (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement 213139 (the HYPE project). PENED is co-financed 80% from public expenditure through the EC-European Social Fund, 20% from public expenditure through the Greek Ministry of Development— General Secretariat of Research and Technology, and through private sector, under measure 8.3 of the OPERATIONAL PROGRAMME "COMPETITIVENESS" in the Third Community Support Program.

Ali, S. S., Nugent, B., Mullins, E., and Doohan, F. M. (2013). Insights from the fungus *Fusarium oxysporum* point to high affinity glucose transporters as targets for enhancing ethanol production from lignocellulose. *PLoS ONE* 8:e54701. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0054701

Anasontzis, G., and Christakopoulos, P. (2014). Challenges in ethanol production with *Fusarium oxysporum* through consolidated bioprocessing. *Bioengineered* 5, 393–395. doi: 10.4161/bioe.36328

- Anasontzis, G. E., Kourtoglou, E., Mamma, D., Villas-Boas, S. G., Hatzinikolaou, D. G., and Christakopoulos, P. (2014a). Constitutive homologous expression of phosphoglucomutase and transaldolase increases the metabolic flux of *Fusarium oxysporum*. *Microb Cell Fact* 13:43. doi: 10.1186/1475-2859-13-43
- Anasontzis, G. E., Kourtoglou, E., Mamma, D., Villas-Boâs, S. G., Hatzinikolaou, D. G., and Christakopoulos, P. (2014b). Constitutive homologous expression of phosphoglucomutase and transaldolase increases the metabolic flux of *Fusarium oxysporum. Microb. Cell Fact.* 13, 1–13. doi: 10.1186/1475-2859-13-43
- Anasontzis, G. E., Zerva, A., Stathopoulou, P. M., Haralampidis, K., Diallinas, G., Karagouni, A. D., et al. (2011). Homologous overexpression of xylanase in *Fusarium oxysporum* increases ethanol productivity during consolidated bioprocessing (CBP) of lignocellulosics. *J. Biotechnol.* 152, 16–23. doi: 10.1016/j.jbiotec.2011.01.002
- Ask, M., Olofsson, K., Di Felice, T., Ruohonen, L., Penttilä, M., Lidén, G., et al. (2012). Challenges in enzymatic hydrolysis and fermentation of pretreated *Arundo donax* revealed by a comparison between SHF and SSF. *Process Biochem.* 47, 1452–1459. doi: 10.1016/j.procbio.2012.05.016
- Bettiga, M., Bengtsson, O., Hahn-Hagerdal, B., and Gorwa-Grauslund, M. F. (2009). Arabinose and xylose fermentation by recombinant *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* expressing a fungal pentose utilization pathway. *Microb. Cell Fact.* 8:40. doi: 10.1186/1475-2859-8-40
- Cavka, A., Alriksson, B., Rose, S. H., Zyl, W. H., and Jönsson, L. J. (2010). Biorefining of wood: combined production of ethanol and xylanase from waste fiber sludge. *J. Indust. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 38, 891–899. doi: 10.1007/s10295-010-0856-9
- Christakopoulos, P., Kekos, D., Macris, B. J., Claeyssens, M., and Bhat, M. K. (1996a). Purification and characterisation of a major xylanase with cellulase and transferase activities from *Fusarium oxysporum*. *Carbohydr. Res.* 289, 91–104.
- Christakopoulos, P., Macris, B. J., and Kekos, D. (1989). Direct fermentation of cellulose to ethanol by *Fusarium oxysporum. Enzyme Microb. Technol.* 11, 236–239. doi: 10.1016/0141-0229(89)90098-7
- Christakopoulos, P., Mamma, D., Nerinckx, W., Kekos, D., Macris, B., and Claeyssens, M. (1996b). Production and partial characterization of xylanase from *Fusarium oxysporum*. *Bioresour*. *Technol*. 58, 115–119.
- Christakopoulos, P., Nerinckx, W., Kekos, D., Macris, B., and Claeyssens, M. (1996c). Purification and characterization of two low molecular mass alkaline xylanases from *Fusarium oxysporum* F3. J. Biotechnol. 51, 181–189.
- Christakopoulos, P., Nerinckx, W., Kekos, D., Macris, B., and Claeyssens, M. (1997). The alkaline xylanase III from *Fusarium oxysporum* F3 belongs to family F/10. *Carbohydr. Res.* 302, 191–195. doi: 10.1016/S0008-6215(97) 00075-X
- den Haan, R., van Rensburg, E., Rose, S. H., Gorgens, J. F., and van Zyl, W. H. (2015). Progress and challenges in the engineering of non-cellulolytic microorganisms for consolidated bioprocessing. *Curr. Opin Biotechnol.* 33, 32–38. doi: 10.1016/j.copbio.2014.10.003
- Fan, J.-X., Yang, X.-X., Song, J.-Z., Huang, X.-M., Cheng, Z.-X., Yao, L., et al. (2011b). Heterologous expression of transaldolase gene tal from *saccharomyces cerevisiae* in *Fusarium oxysporum* for enhanced bioethanol production. *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol.* 164, 1023–1036. doi: 10.1007/s12010-011-9191-5
- Fan, J.-X., Yang, Q., Liu, Z.-H., Huang, X.-M., Song, J.-Z., Chen, Z.-X., et al. (2011a). The characterization of transaldolase gene tal from *Pichia stipitis* and its heterologous expression in *Fusarium oxysporum*. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* 38, 1831–1840. doi: 10.1007/s11033-010-0299-4
- Fujita, Y., Takahashi, S., Ueda, M., Tanaka, A., Okada, H., Morikawa, Y., et al. (2002). Direct and efficient production of ethanol from cellulosic material with a yeast strain displaying cellulolytic enzymes. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 68, 5136–5141. doi: 10.1128/AEM.68.10.5136-5141.2002
- Kenealy, W., Zaady, E. D. U., Preez, J. C., Stieglitz, B., and Goldberg, I. (1986). Biochemical aspects of fumaric acid accumulation by *Rhizopus arrhizus*. *Appl. Envir. Microbiol.* 52, 128–133.
- Kesava, S. S., Rakshit, S. K., and Panda, T. (1995). Production of ethanol by zymomonas-mobilis - the effect of batch step-feeding of glucose and relevant growth-factors. *Process Biochem.* 30, 41–47. doi: 10.1016/0032-9592(95) 95703-L
- Koppram, R., Albers, E., and Olsson, L. (2012). Evolutionary engineering strategies to enhance tolerance of xylose utilizing recombinant yeast to inhibitors

derived from spruce biomass. Biotechnol. Biofuels 5:32. doi: 10.1186/1754-6834-5-32

- Koppram, R., Nielsen, F., Albers, E., Lambert, A., Wannstrom, S., Welin, L., et al. (2013). Simultaneous saccharification and co-fermentation for bioethanol production using corncobs at lab, PDU and demo scales. *Biotechnol. Biofuels* 6:2. doi: 10.1186/1754-6834-6-2
- Kordowska-Wiater, M., and Targonski, Z. (2002). Ethanol fermentation on glucose/xylose mixture by co-cultivation of restricted glucose catabolite repressed mutants of *Pichia stipitis* with respiratory deficient mutants of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. Acta Microbiol. Pol. 51, 345–352.
- Kourtoglou, E., Anasontzis, G. E., Mamma, D., Topakas, E., Hatzinikolaou, D. G., and Christakopoulos, P. (2011). Constitutive expression, purification and characterization of a phosphoglucomutase from *Fusarium oxysporum*. *Enzyme Microb. Technol.* 48, 217–224. doi: 10.1016/j.enzmictec.2010.10.007
- Krishnan, M., Ho, N., and Tsao, G. (1999). Fermentation kinetics of ethanol production from glucose and xylose by recombinant *Saccharomyces* 1400(pLNH33). *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol.* 77–79, 373–388. doi: 10.1385/ABAB:78:1-3:373
- Kuyper, M., A, A., van Dijken, J. P., and Pronk, J. T. (2004). Minimal metabolic engineering of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* for efficient anaerobic xylose fermentation: a proof of principle. *FEMS Yeast Res.* 4, 655–664. doi: 10.1016/j.femsyr.2004.01.003
- la Grange, D. C., den Haan, R., and van Zyl, W. H. (2010). Engineering cellulolytic ability into bioprocessing organisms. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 87, 1195–1208. doi: 10.1007/s00253-010-2660-x
- Liu, L., Zhang, Y., Liu, Z., Petranovic, D., and Nielsen, J. (2015). Improving heterologous protein secretion at aerobic conditions by activating hypoxiainduced genes in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *FEMS Yeast Res.* 15:fov070. doi: 10.1093/femsyr/fov070
- Lynd, L., Elamder, R., and Wyman, C. (1996). Likely features and costs of mature biomass ethanol technology. *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol.* 57–58, 741–761. doi: 10.1007/BF02941755
- Malherbe, S., and Cloete, T. E. (2002). Lignocellulose biodegradation: fundamentals and applications. *Rev. Environ. Sci. Technol.* 1, 105–114. doi: 10.1023/a:1020858910646
- Mead, O., Thynne, E., Winterberg, B., and Solomon, P. S. (2013). Characterising the role of GABA and its metabolism in the wheat pathogen *Stagonospora nodorum*. *PLoS ONE* 8:e78368. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0078368
- Millati, R., Edebo, L., and Taherzadeh, M. J. (2005). Performance of *Rhizopus*, *Rhizomucor*, and *Mucor* in ethanol production from glucose, xylose, and wood hydrolyzates. *Enzyme Microb. Technol.* 36, 294–300. doi: 10.1016/j.enzmictec.2004.09.007
- Monnet, C., Aymes, F., and Corrieu, G. (2000). Diacetyl and alpha -acetolactate overproduction by *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. lactis biovar diacetylactis mutants that are deficient in alpha -acetolactate decarboxylase and have a low lactate dehydrogenase activity. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 66, 5518–5520. doi: 10.1128/AEM.66.12.5518-5520.2000
- Nevoigt, E. (2008). Progress in metabolic engineering of Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev. 72, 379–412. doi: 10.1128/MMBR.00025-07
- Okamoto, K., Imashiro, K., Akizawa, Y., Onimura, A., Yoneda, M., Nitta, Y., et al. (2010). Production of ethanol by the white-rot basidiomycetes *Peniophora cinerea* and *Trametes suaveolens*. *Biotechnol. Lett.* 32, 909–913. doi: 10.1007/s10529-010-0243-7
- Panagiotou, G., Christakopoulos, P., and Olsson, L. (2005a). The influence of different cultivation conditions on the metabolome of *Fusarium oxysporum*. *J. Biotechnol.* 118, 304–315. doi: 10.1016/j.jbiotec.2005.05.004
- Panagiotou, G., Christakopoulos, P., Villas-Boas, S. G., and Olsson, L. (2005b). Fermentation performance and intracellular metabolite profiling of *Fusarium* oxysporum cultivated on a glucose-xylose mixture. *Enzyme Microb. Technol.* 36, 100–106. doi: 10.1016/j.enzmictec.2004.07.009
- Panagiotou, G., Pachidou, F., Petroutsos, D., Olsson, L., and Christakopoulos, P. (2008). Fermentation characteristics of *Fusarium oxysporum* grown on acetate. *Bioresour. Technol.* 99, 7397–7401. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2008. 01.017
- Panagiotou, G., Villas-Boas, S. G., Christakopoulos, P., Nielsen, J., and Olsson, L. (2005c). Intracellular metabolite profiling of *Fusarium* oxysporum converting glucose to ethanol. J. Biotechnol. 115, 425–434. doi: 10.1016/j.jbiotec.2004.09.011

- Paschos, T., Xiros, C., and Christakopoulos, P. (2015). Ethanol effect on metabolic activity of the ethalogenic fungus *Fusarium oxysporum. BMC Biotechnol.* 15:15. doi: 10.1186/s12896-015-0130-3
- Roa Engel, C., Straathof, A., Zijlmans, T., van Gulik, W., and van der Wielen, L. (2008). Fumaric acid production by fermentation. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 78, 379–389. doi: 10.1007/s00253-007-1341-x
- Roger, O., Lavigne, R., Mahmoud, M., Buisson, C., Onno, B., Zhang, B.-L., et al. (2004). Quantitative 2H NMR at natural abundance can distinguish the pathway used for glucose fermentation by lactic acid bacteria. *J. Biol. Chem.* 279, 24923–24928. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M401232200
- Saitoh, S., Hasunuma, T., Tanaka, T., and Kondo, A. (2010). Cofermentation of cellobiose and xylose using beta-glucosidase displaying diploid industrial yeast strain OC-2. Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 87, 1975–1982. doi: 10.1007/s00253-010-2714-0
- Shafiei, M., Karimi, K., and Taherzadeh, M. J. (2010). Pretreatment of spruce and oak by N-methylmorpholine-N-oxide (NMMO) for efficient conversion of their cellulose to ethanol. *Bioresour. Technol.* 101, 4914–4918. doi: 10.1016/j.biortech.2009.08.100
- Singh, A., and Kumar, P. K. (1991). Fusarium oxysporum: status in bioethanol production. Crit. Rev. Biotechnol. 11, 129–147. doi: 10.3109/07388559109040619
- Smart, K. F., Aggio, R. B. M., Van Houtte, J. R., and Villas-Boas, S. G. (2010). Analytical platform for metabolome analysis of microbial cells using

methyl chloroformate derivatization followed by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry. *Nat. Protocols* 5, 1709–1729. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2010.108

- Streeter, J., and Salminen, S. (1990). Periplasmic metabolism of glutamate and aspartate by intact *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* bacteroids. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* 1035, 257–265. doi: 10.1016/0304-4165(90)90087-D
- Sues, A., Millati, R., Edebo, L., and Taherzadeh, M. J. (2005). Ethanol production from hexoses, pentoses, and dilute-acid hydrolyzate by *Mucor indicus. FEMS Yeast Res.* 5, 669–676. doi: 10.1016/j.femsyr.2004.10.013
- Van der Meulen, R., Adriany, T., Verbrugghe, K., and De Vuyst, L. (2006). Kinetic Analysis of bifidobacterial metabolism reveals a minor role for succinic acid in the regeneration of NAD+ through its growth-associated production. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 72, 5204–5210. doi: 10.1128/AEM.00146-06

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2016 Anasontzis, Kourtoglou, Villas-Boâs, Hatzinikolaou and Christakopoulos. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.