Review Article Review of Current Immunologic Therapies for Hidradenitis Suppurativa

Victoria K. Shanmugam,¹ Nadia Meher Zaman,¹ Sean McNish,¹ and Faye N. Hant²

¹Division of Rheumatology, Ideas to Health Laboratory, The George Washington University, School of Medicine and Health Sciences, 701 Ross Hall, 2300 Eye Street, NW, Washington, DC 20037, USA

²Division of Rheumatology and Immunology, Medical University of South Carolina, 96 Jonathan Lucas Street, Suite 822, Charleston, SC 29425, USA

Correspondence should be addressed to Victoria K. Shanmugam; vshanmugam@mfa.gwu.edu

Received 19 June 2017; Accepted 18 July 2017; Published 20 August 2017

Academic Editor: Bruce M. Rothschild

Copyright © 2017 Victoria K. Shanmugam et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Hidradenitis suppurativa (HS) is a chronic, recurrent, inflammatory disease of apocrine gland-bearing skin which affects approximately 1–4% of the population. The disease is more common in women and patients of African American descent and approximately one-third of patients report a family history. Obesity and smoking are known risk factors, but associations with other immune disorders, especially inflammatory bowel disease, are also recognized. The pathogenesis of HS is poorly understood and host innate or adaptive immune response, defective keratinocyte function, and the microbial environment in the hair follicle and apocrine gland have all been postulated to play a role in disease activity. While surgical interventions can be helpful to reduce disease burden, there is a high recurrence rate. Increasingly, data supports targeted immune therapy for HS, and longitudinal studies suggest benefit from these agents, both when used alone and as an adjunct to surgical treatments. The purpose of this review is to outline the current data supporting use of targeted immune therapy in HS management.

1. Introduction

Hidradenitis suppurativa (HS) is a chronic, recurrent, inflammatory disease of the apocrine sweat glands, characterized by recurrent abscessing inflammation [1]. The prevalence of HS is estimated at 1–4% in young adults [2–5]. Women are affected more commonly than men (with a female to male ratio of 3:1), and the disease is more common in African Americans (AA) [6]. Patients with HS develop inflammatory nodules, abscesses, and sinus tracts around the apocrine glands, and increasing evidence points to an immune basis for this disease [7].

The Hurley staging system (Table 1) is a three-stage classification system developed for assessing extent and severity of HS, and in particular for identifying patients who need surgical intervention [1, 8]. Until now, surgery has been a mainstay of HS management, and surgery remains the firstline therapy for patients with extensive Hurley stage III disease [9]. Studies show that, in severe disease, the best results are achieved with wide local excision [10–12], but even with extensive surgical intervention HS often recurs and this has led to the recent interest in the use of adjunctive biologic therapy in the management of HS [13–15].

While several risk factors including obesity and smoking are known to be associated with disease activity in HS, the molecular drivers of HS are unknown and are actively being investigated [16]. Host innate or adaptive immune response [7], defective keratinocyte function [1], and the microbial environment in the hair follicle and apocrine gland [17] have all been postulated to play a role in disease activity. Recent studies suggest that tumor necrosis factor α (TNF α) is elevated in active lesions. In addition, interferon-gamma (IFN- γ) has been shown to be elevated in lesion effluent [18], and suppression of IL22 [19] along with the IL12/23 pathways is thought to play a role in pathogenesis [20, 21].

The purpose of the current manuscript is to review the data supporting the use of the currently available immunologic therapies for HS and to provide an update on novel immune mechanisms under investigation in HS.

TABLE 1: Hurley staging system.

Stage	
0	No active HS
Ι	Localized abscess, no sinus tracts
II	Recurrent abscesses with sinus tracts and scarring, single or multiple widely separated lesions
III	Diffuse involvement with multiple interconnected sinus tracts and abscesses

2. Currently Available Immune Therapies

2.1. Antibiotics. Antibiotics have been advocated as first-line therapy for HS for many years [1]. However, routine cultures of HS lesions often fail to identify infection or identify only normal skin flora [22].

Recent use of next-generation sequencing techniques using 16S and 18S ribosomal RNA to identify microbial profiles of HS lesions has shown that there are differences between lesional and nonlesional HS skin [22], with the microbiome of lesional skin often demonstrating *Corynebacterium* species and *Porphyromonas* and *Peptoniphilus* species. *Porphyromonas* and *Peptoniphilus* species were not detected in healthy controls. In contrast, healthy skin showed a relatively higher abundance of *Propionibacterium* species, indicating that dysbiosis of the cutaneous microbiome may play a role in HS [22].

Clinically it is acknowledged that while antibiotics play a role in the management of HS [23, 24], their efficacy is often short-lived. Antibiotic resistance, along with complications from chronic antibiotic use, is a concern. In parallel with investigations targeting immune pathways in HS, the interplay of the immune response with the microbiome should be considered. In particular biofilm formation, which is known to be associated with delayed healing in chronic wounds [25, 26], may play a role in advanced disease. Biofilms have been shown to be present in established HS lesions [27], although they are less prominent in early lesions. Further investigation into biofilms in HS, and in particular antimicrobial peptide pathways that assist with microbial clearance, may identify novel therapeutic pathways in the management of HS [28– 31].

2.2. Steroid Therapy. Glucocorticoids are naturally occurring hormones that have been used since the 1950s as antiinflammatory therapy for immune mediated diseases [32]. Glucocorticoid receptors are present in the cytoplasm of almost all human cells. Upon binding to the glucocorticoid domain the receptor translocates to the nucleus and binds to glucocorticoid response elements in DNA, resulting in transcription of steroid responsive genes. Glucocorticoids have very wide-ranging clinical effects due to their potential to increase transcription of a variety of cytokines, chemokines, and inflammatory adhesion molecules [33, 34].

In the management of HS, intralesional steroid therapy is often used to treat isolated nodules (e.g., triamcinolone (3-5 mg) injected into the nodules); however, there is minimal data to support its use in patients with extensive disease [24, 35]. Similarly, while systemic corticosteroids in HS are often advocated, there are no randomized controlled trials to support their use, and due to the wide-ranging actions of steroids, side effects limit their role in HS [24]. In a study investigating low dose systemic prednisone as an adjunct to other therapies there was some benefit, but it was largely seen in the patients who received steroids in conjunction with anti-TNF- α agents [36].

2.3. Therapies Blocking Tumor Necrosis Factor- α . TNF- α is a central cytokine that regulates immune responses in several inflammatory diseases.

Agents blocking TNF- α have been marketed for many years for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis, Crohn's disease, and other inflammatory diseases. Several anti-TNF- α agents have been utilized in the treatment of HS. Infliximab (Remicade[®]) is a chimeric monoclonal antibody that binds soluble and cell-bound TNF- α and thus inhibits the actions of circulating TNF- α while also inducing apoptosis in cells with TNF- α bound to their receptor. Adalimumab (Humira[®]) is a fully human monoclonal antibody which binds the soluble and transmembrane forms of TNF- α . Etanercept (Enbrel[®]) is a fusion protein created by linking the extracellular binding regions from two TNF-RII (p75) receptors to the FC portion of human IgG1. It binds both soluble TNF- α and lymphotoxin (TNF- β).

Early trials of TNF- α inhibitors in HS were limited due to challenges defining clinical outcome measures. Etanercept was shown to be ineffective for HS [37]. However, a small trial of infliximab therapy (n = 38) showed a 50% reduction in baseline HS Severity Index Score in the treated group compared to placebo along with statistically significant improvements in Dermatology Quality of Life Index (DQLI), and inflammatory markers [38]. Infliximab has never been through rigorous phase II and phase III studies for HS and thus does not have regulatory approval for HS. However, the results of this and other early studies [39, 40] suggest that infliximab is effective in HS [41]. Based on data from pharmacokinetic studies, the addition of methotrexate to infliximab increases patient exposure to infliximab by approximately 30%, and thus many rheumatologists use these agents in combination to increase the efficacy from infliximab particularly in the HS patients who have concurrent inflammatory arthritis.

Adalimumab has been more thoroughly studied in phase II and two phase III clinical trials. Using the novel endpoint of the Hidradenitis Suppurativa Clinical Response (HiSCR) defined as a 50% reduction in active nodule (AN) count without increase in abscesses or draining fistulae, the PIO-NEER I and II studies were able to demonstrate efficacy of adalimumab at a dose of 40 mg weekly subcutaneously for HS. It should be noted that these studies were conducted in a predominantly Caucasian population, excluded patients with more severe Hurley stage III disease, and also excluded patients undergoing surgery or receiving concurrent opiate analgesia. Longitudinal studies investigating observational

cohorts of HS patients that are more representative of the HS population seen in the United States are ongoing.

3. Novel Immune Pathways and Therapies Being Investigated for HS

3.1. Phosphodiesterase 4 (PDE4). Apremilast (Otezla®) is a small molecule selective inhibitor of phosphodiesterase 4 (PDE4). Inhibition of PDE4 impacts cellular cyclic AMP production and thus reduces TNF- α production and secondarily reduces IL17 and IL23 while increasing IL10. Developed and FDA approved as an oral agent specifically for use in psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis, this agent has been utilized in small case series of patients with HS with some efficacy [42].

3.2. IL12/23 Pathways. The IL12/23 pathway is implicated in HS based on small studies demonstrating expression of IL12/23 in macrophages infiltrating HS lesional skin [43] and infiltration of the HS dermis by IL17 producing T-helper cells. Additionally, single nucleotide polymorphisms in the IL12 receptor beta-1 impact the clinical phenotypes of HS [44]. Ustekinumab (Stelara®) is a human monoclonal antibody which targets the common p40 subunit of IL12 and IL23, preventing receptor binding and T cell activation. Ustekinumab is FDA approved for psoriasis, psoriatic arthritis, and Crohn's disease. Case reports have demonstrated some benefit of ustekinumab in HS [45–47].

3.3. IL-1 Pathways. Anakinra (Kineret®) is a recombinant IL-1 receptor antagonist which inhibits the biologic activity of IL-1 by competitively binding to the IL-1 receptor [48]. This agent was originally developed for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis but has more recently been adopted for use in autoinflammatory syndromes including familial Mediterranean fever and adult onset Still's disease. Use of IL-1 receptor antagonist therapies in HS have had mixed results with some studies showing lack of efficacy [49, 50], but small case series and a recent randomized controlled trial have shown efficacy in moderate to severe HS [51, 52]. In this double-blind randomized trial, anakinra efficacy correlates with alterations in cytokine production by PBMC, with increased production of IFN- γ in the placebo arm and increased IL-22 in the anakinra treated group. These observations correlate with studies investigating cytokine production in HS lesion tissue and effluent in which IFN- γ has been shown to be elevated in active disease [18], and IL-22 production is reduced [19], providing further evidence that targeting biologic response pathways in HS can provide novel therapeutic options for this disease.

3.4. Complement Pathways. Another pathway that has generated interest as a potential target for novel HS therapy is the complement pathway, which has been shown to be active in patients with HS [53]. An open label phase II study is currently underway in Greece to investigate the safety of the complement inhibitor IFX-1 in patients with moderate to severe HS (NCT 03001622). The results of this study are eagerly awaited, given its potential to add to the therapeutic armamentarium in HS.

3.5. Antimicrobial Peptide Production. Abnormalities in keratinocyte antimicrobial peptide (AMP) production may also contribute to defective keratinocyte function and impaired microbial clearance seen in HS [28–31]. These observations are further supported by the data indicating that IL-22 production is reduced in active disease and increases in response to therapy [54]. In vitro data investigating keratinocyte function in HS has corroborated this finding, demonstrating reduced IL-22 release from keratinocytes harvested from HS lesions compared to those from healthy skin. IL-22 is a member of the IL-10 family of cytokines which play a role in cutaneous innate immunity and stimulate antimicrobial peptide (AMP) production. As the role of AMP in HS and cytokines driving AMP production are further elucidated, agents targeting the AMP pathways may represent novel therapies for this disease.

4. Recommendations on Timing of Immune Therapies Relative to Surgical Interventions in HS

Patients with severe Hurley stage III disease are often excluded from clinical trials of biologic agents because of concerns that initiating immunosuppressive therapy without surgical intervention carries the risk of disseminating infection. Studies show that wide local excision surgery is often the most effective way to rapidly improve the quality of life for patients with Hurley stage III disease [10]. However, a series of recent studies suggest that patients treated with adjuvant biologic therapy after radical resection of HS demonstrated lower rates of recurrence and disease progression, as well as longer disease-free interval [13]. Data from the Wound Etiology and Healing-Hidradenitis Suppurativa (WE-HEAL-HS) study has shown that combining biologic immunosuppression therapy with wide local excision surgeries is more effective than either intervention alone, and data suggests that timing of biologic therapy relative to surgery does not alter the synergistic impact of these interventions [55].

5. Long Term Impact of Uncontrolled Inflammation in HS

Population based studies show that HS is associated with a significantly increased risk of adverse cardiovascular outcomes and all-cause mortality independent of confounders [56]. It has been postulated that, similar to the association seen in rheumatoid arthritis and other chronic inflammatory conditions, the increased risk of cardiovascular disease may be explained by uncontrolled inflammation. These observations further support the need for identifying more effective therapies for HS and for longitudinal outcomes studies in HS to investigate the impact of immune suppression in this patient population.

6. Conclusions

The role of the immune system in driving HS is increasingly recognized and various therapies are under investigation for efficacy as treatment for HS. Based on data from randomized controlled clinical trials, the TNF α inhibitor adalimumab recently received orphan drug designation for HS. As new immune pathways driving disease pathogenesis are recognized, there is a significant need for ongoing clinical studies investigating adjunctive immune therapies in HS.

Abbreviations

HS:Hidradenitis suppurativaIL:InterleukinIFN- γ :Interferon-gammaTNF- α :Tumor necrosis factor-alpha.

Disclosure

This work's contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences or the National Institutes of Health.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors state no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported in part by the National Institute of Nursing Research (Award R01NR013888) and by the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences (NCATS) (Award no. UL1 TR000075), National Institutes of Health, through the Clinical and Translational Science Awards Program (CTSA).

References

- G. B. E. Jemec, "Clinical practice: hidradenitis suppurativa," *The New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 366, no. 2, pp. 158–164, 2012.
- [2] V. Shahi, A. Alikhan, B. G. Vazquez, A. L. Weaver, and M. D. Davis, "Prevalence of hidradenitis suppurativa: A population-based study in Olmsted County, Minnesota," *Dermatology*, vol. 229, no. 2, pp. 154–158, 2014.
- [3] B. G. Vazquez, A. Alikhan, A. L. Weaver, D. A. Wetter, and M. D. Davis, "Incidence of hidradenitis suppurativa and associated factors: a population-based study of Olmsted County, Minnesota," *Journal of Investigative Dermatology*, vol. 133, no. 1, pp. 97–103, 2013.
- [4] I. Cosmatos, A. Matcho, R. Weinstein, M. O. Montgomery, and P. Stang, "Analysis of patient claims data to determine the prevalence of hidradenitis suppurativa in the United States," *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*, vol. 69, no. 5, p. 819, 2013.
- [5] S. Esmann, D. N. Dufour, and G. B. E. Jemec, "Questionnairebased diagnosis of hidradenitis suppurativa: Specificity, sensitivity and positive predictive value of specific diagnostic

questions," British Journal of Dermatology, vol. 163, no. 1, pp. 102–106, 2010.

- [6] N. Vlassova, D. Kuhn, and G. Okoye, "Hidradenitis suppurativa disproportionately affects african americans: a single-center retrospective analysis," *Acta Dermato Venereologica*, vol. 95, no. 8, pp. 990-991, 2015.
- [7] G. Kelly, C. M. Sweeney, A.-M. Tobin, and B. Kirby, "Hidradenitis suppurativa: The role of immune dysregulation," *International Journal of Dermatology*, vol. 53, no. 10, pp. 1186–1196, 2014.
- [8] A. B. Kimball, G. B. E. Jemec, M. Yang et al., "Assessing the validity, responsiveness and meaningfulness of the Hidradenitis Suppurativa Clinical Response (HiSCR) as the clinical endpoint for hidradenitis suppurativa treatment," *British Journal of Dermatology*, vol. 171, no. 6, pp. 1434–1442, 2014.
- [9] A. Alavi, "Hidradenitis suppurativa: Demystifying a chronic and debilitating disease," *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*, vol. 73, no. 5, pp. S1–S2, 2015.
- [10] J. J. Kohorst, C. L. Baum, C. C. Otley et al., "Surgical Management of Hidradenitis Suppurativa: Outcomes of 590 Consecutive Patients," *Dermatologic Surgery*, vol. 42, no. 9, pp. 1030– 1040, 2016.
- [11] A. Mehdizadeh, P. G. Hazen, F. G. Bechara et al., "Recurrence of hidradenitis suppurativa after surgical management: A systematic review and meta-analysis," *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*, vol. 73, no. 5, pp. S70–S77, 2015.
- [12] F. W. Danby, P. G. Hazen, and J. Boer, "New and traditional surgical approaches to hidradenitis suppurativa," *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*, vol. 73, no. 5, pp. S62–S65, 2015.
- [13] M. V. DeFazio, J. M. Economides, K. S. King et al., "Outcomes After Combined Radical Resection and Targeted Biologic Therapy for the Management of Recalcitrant Hidradenitis Suppurativa," *Annals of Plastic Surgery*, vol. 77, no. 2, pp. 217–222, 2016.
- [14] R. A. Falola, M. V. DeFazio, E. L. Anghel, C. D. Mitnick, C. E. Attinger, and K. K. Evans, "What Heals Hidradenitis Suppurativa," *Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery*, vol. 138, pp. 219S–229S, 2016.
- [15] A. Alavi, "Discussion: What Heals Hidradenitis Suppurativa: Surgery, Immunosuppression, or Both?" in *Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery*, vol. 138 of 230, 3, 2016.
- [16] D. N. Dufour, L. Emtestam, and G. B. Jemec, "Hidradenitis suppurativa: a common and burdensome, yet under-recognised, inflammatory skin disease," *Postgraduate Medical Journal*, vol. 90, no. 1062, pp. 216–221, 2014.
- [17] A. C. Jahns, H. Killasli, D. Nosek et al., "Microbiology of hidradenitis suppurativa (acne inversa): A histological study of 27 patients," *APMIS*, vol. 122, no. 9, pp. 804–809, 2014.
- [18] A. Banerjee, S. McNish, and V. K. Shanmugam, "Interferongamma (IFN-γ) is Elevated in Wound Exudate from Hidradenitis Suppurativa," *Immunological Investigations*, vol. 46, no. 2, pp. 149–158, 2017.
- [19] K. Wolk, K. Warszawska, C. Hoeflich et al., "Deficiency of IL-22 contributes to a chronic inflammatory disease: pathogenetic mechanisms in acne inversa," *The Journal of Immunology*, vol. 186, no. 2, pp. 1228–1239, 2011.
- [20] A. B. Kimball, F. Kerdel, D. Adams et al., "Adalimumab for the treatment of moderate to severe hidradenitis suppurativa: A parallel randomized trial," *Annals of Internal Medicine*, vol. 157, no. 12, pp. 846–855, 2012.
- [21] A. B. Kimball, M. M. Okun, D. A. Williams et al., "Two phase 3 trials of adalimumab for hidradenitis suppurativa," *New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 375, no. 5, pp. 422–434, 2016.

- [22] H. C. Ring and L. Emtestam, "The Microbiology of Hidradenitis Suppurativa," *Dermatologic Clinics*, vol. 34, no. 1, pp. 29–35, 2016.
- [23] C. C. Zouboulis, N. Desai, L. Emtestam et al., "European S1 guideline for the treatment of hidradenitis suppurativa/acne inversa," *Journal of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology*, vol. 29, no. 4, pp. 619–644, 2015.
- [24] R. K. Andersen and G. B. Jemec, "Treatments for hidradenitis suppurativa," *Clinics in Dermatology*, vol. 35, no. 2, pp. 218–224, 2017.
- [25] G. A. James, E. Swogger, R. Wolcott et al., "Biofilms in chronic wounds," *Wound Repair and Regeneration*, vol. 16, no. 1, pp. 37– 44, 2008.
- [26] R. D. Wolcott, D. D. Rhoads, M. E. Bennett et al., "Chronic wounds and the medical biofilm paradigm," *Journal of Wound Care*, vol. 19, no. 2, pp. 45–53, 2010.
- [27] H. Ring, L. Bay, M. Nilsson et al., "Bacterial biofilm in chronic lesions of hidradenitis suppurativa," *British Journal of Dermatology*, vol. 176, no. 4, pp. 993–1000, 2017.
- [28] S. C. Hofmann, V. Saborowski, S. Lange, W. V. Kern, L. Bruckner-Tuderman, and S. Rieg, "Expression of innate defense antimicrobial peptides in hidradenitis suppurativa," *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*, vol. 66, no. 6, pp. 966– 974, 2012.
- [29] V. U. Emelianov, F. G. Bechara, R. Gläser et al., "Immunohistological pointers to a possible role for excessive cathelicidin (LL-37) expression by apocrine sweat glands in the pathogenesis of hidradenitis suppurativa/acne inversa," *British Journal of Dermatology*, vol. 166, no. 5, pp. 1023–1034, 2012.
- [30] B. Dréno, A. Khammari, A. Brocard et al., "Hidradenitis suppurativa: the role of deficient cutaneous innate immunity," *Archives* of Dermatology, vol. 148, no. 2, pp. 182–186, 2012.
- [31] F. G. Bechara, M. Sand, M. Skrygan, A. Kreuter, P. Altmeyer, and T. Gambichler, "Acne inversa: Evaluating antimicrobial peptides and proteins," *Annals of Dermatology*, vol. 24, no. 4, pp. 393–397, 2012.
- [32] PS. Hench and EC. Kendall, "The effect of a hormone of the adrenal cortex (17-hydroxy-11-dehydrocorticosterone; compound E) and of pituitary adrenocorticotropic hormone on rheumatoid arthritis," in *Proceedings of the staff meetings Mayo Clinic*, vol. 24, pp. 181-97, Epub, 1949.
- [33] V. H. J. Van Der Velden, "Glucocorticoids: Mechanisms of action and anti-inflammatory potential in asthma," *Mediators* of *Inflammation*, vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 229–237, 1998.
- [34] T. Rhen and J. A. Cidlowski, "Antiinflammatory action of glucocorticoids—new mechanisms for old drugs," *New England Journal of Medicine*, vol. 353, no. 16, pp. 1658–1723, 2005.
- [35] P. T. Riis, J. Boer, E. P. Prens et al., "Intralesional triamcinolone for flares of hidradenitis suppurativa (HS): A case series," *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*, vol. 75, no. 6, pp. 1151–1155, 2016.
- [36] D. Wong, S. Walsh, and R. Alhusayen, "Low-dose systemic corticosteroid treatment for recalcitrant hidradenitis suppurativa," *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*, vol. 75, no. 5, pp. 1059–1062, 2016.
- [37] D. R. Adams, J. A. Yankura, A. C. Fogelberg, and B. E. Anderson, "Treatment of hidradenitis suppurativa with etanercept injection," *Archives of Dermatology*, vol. 146, no. 5, pp. 501–504, 2010.
- [38] A. Grant, T. Gonzalez, M. O. Montgomery, V. Cardenas, and F. A. Kerdel, "Infliximab therapy for patients with moderate to severe hidradenitis suppurativa: A randomized, double-blind,

placebo-controlled crossover trial," *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*, vol. 62, no. 2, pp. 205–217, 2010.

- [39] C. Lesage, L. Adnot-Desanlis, G. Perceau et al., "Efficacy and tolerance of prolonged infliximab treatment of moderate-to-severe forms of hidradenitis suppurativa," in *European journal of dermatology : EJD*, vol. 22, p. 640, pp. 640-4, Epub, 2012.
- [40] D. C. Van Rappard and J. R. Mekkes, "Treatment of severe hidradenitis suppurativa with infliximab in combination with surgical interventions," *British Journal of Dermatology*, vol. 167, no. 1, pp. 206–208, 2012.
- [41] J. R. Ingram, "Interventions for hidradenitis suppurativa: updated summary of an original cochrane review," JAMA Dermatology, vol. 153, no. 5, p. 458, 2017.
- [42] P. Weber, S. M. Seyed Jafari, N. Yawalkar, and R. E. Hunger, "Apremilast in the treatment of moderate to severe hidradenitis suppurativa: A case series of 9 patients," *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*, vol. 76, no. 6, pp. 1189–1191, 2017.
- [43] C. Schlapbach, T. Hänni, N. Yawalkar, and R. E. Hunger, "Expression of the IL-23/Th17 pathway in lesions of hidradenitis suppurativa," *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*, vol. 65, no. 4, pp. 790–798, 2011.
- [44] S. Giatrakos, K. Huse, T. Kanni et al., "Haplotypes of IL-12Rβ1 impact on the clinical phenotype of hidradenitis suppurativa," *Cytokine*, vol. 62, no. 2, pp. 297–301, 2013.
- [45] R. A. Lee and D. B. Eisen, "Treatment of hidradenitis suppurativa with biologic medications," *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*, vol. 73, no. 5, pp. S82–S88, 2015.
- [46] W. Gulliver, G. Jemec, and K. Baker, "Experience with ustekinumab for the treatment of moderate to severe Hidradenitis suppurativa," *Journal of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology*, vol. 26, no. 7, pp. 911–914, 2012.
- [47] V. Sharon, M. Garcia, S. Bagheri et al., "Management of recalcitrant hidradenitis suppurativa with ustekinumab," *Acta Dermato Venereologica*, vol. 92, no. 3, pp. 320-321, 2012.
- [48] C. A. Dinarello and J. W. M. van der Meer, "Treating inflammation by blocking interleukin-1 in humans," *Seminars in Immunology*, vol. 25, no. 6, pp. 469–484, 2013.
- [49] H. H. Van Der Zee and E. P. Prens, "Failure of anti-interleukin-1 therapy in severe hidradenitis suppurativa: A case report," *Dermatology*, vol. 226, no. 2, pp. 97–100, 2013.
- [50] D. Menis, L. Maroñas-Jiménez, A. Delgado-Marquez, C. Postigo-Llorente, and F. Vanaclocha-Sebastián, "Two cases of severe hidradenitis suppurativa with failure of anakinra therapy," *British Journal of Dermatology*, vol. 172, no. 3, pp. 810-811, 2015.
- [51] K. S. Leslie, S. V. Tripathi, T. V. Nguyen, M. Pauli, and M. D. Rosenblum, "An open-label study of anakinra for the treatment of moderate to severe hidradenitis suppurativa," *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*, vol. 70, no. 2, pp. 243–251, 2014.
- [52] K. Zarchi, D. N. Dufour, and G. B. E. Jemec, "Successful treatment of severe hidradenitis suppurativa with anakinra," *JAMA Dermatology*, vol. 149, no. 10, pp. 1192–1194, 2013.
- [53] S. Kirthi Jeyarajah and A. Marie Tobin, "Good response of acne conglobata to adalimumab in two patients with hidradenitis suppurativa," *Journal of Clinical & Experimental Dermatology Research*, vol. 7, no. 4, 2016.
- [54] V. Tzanetakou, T. Kanni, S. Giatrakou et al., "Safety and efficacy of anakinra in severe hidradenitis suppurativa a randomized clinical trial," *JAMA Dermatology*, vol. 152, no. 1, pp. 52–59, 2016.

- [55] V. K. Shanmugam, S. Mulani, S. McNish, S. Harris, T. Buescher, and R. Amdur, "Longitudinal observational study of hidradenitis suppurativa: impact of surgical intervention with adjunctive biologic therapy," *International Journal of Dermatology*, In press.
- [56] A. Egeberg, G. H. Gislason, and P. R. Hansen, "Risk of major adverse cardiovascular events and all-cause mortality in patients with hidradenitis suppurativa," *JAMA Dermatology*, vol. 152, no. 4, pp. 429–434, 2016.